CROSS-CHANNEL RELATIONS IN THE BRITISH LATER IRON AGE:

with particular reference to the British archaeological evidence

3 Volumes

Volume 3

ANDREW PETER FITZPATRICK

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author.

No quotation from it should be published without his prior written consent and information derived from it should be acknowledged.

Thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Durham

Department of Archaeology

1989



APPENDICES

Introduction

The majority of the appendices attempt to present up to date and complete gazetteers of imported goods in later Iron Age Britain and provide the supporting material for the main text. The gazetteers are arranged by modern county boundaries (ie post 1974-75). The type of context, eg burial or settlement, is given and where it is a settlement, a simple categorisation is also given.

Very few sites can confidently be called oppida and the use of the term is fraught with difficulties (Bradley 1984, 150-2; Champion 1987, 103), however, a number of sites stand out because of the comparatively large quantity of imports and perhaps more importantly because they may be suspected to have been seats of authority in the Iron Age. The evidence is most clear cut at Colchester and Silchester and more ambiguous for Braughing, Canterbury, Chichester, Leicester and the St Albans sites. evidence from Bagendon is rather more difficult to interpret as it suffers from many of the same difficulties of interpretation and phasing as Camulodunum (cf Ch 4.2) and there are chronological problems as to how much pre-conquest occupation there was (Swan 1975, 59-61). However, it seems likely that at least some of the material was imported before AD 43, but even period IA contexts include Claudian Lyon ware (Greene 1979, 17, 42; Ch 6.2). Hengistbury Head is classified here as a Port of Trade. evidence from most of these sites is summarised in Haselgrove 1987a.

Most other sites are either clearly rural settlements or farms or



in some cases larger sites whose precise character is uncertain. Occasionally specialist sites such as the salt manufacturing 'Red Hills' of Essex have yielded imports and they have been classified simply as production sites.

Where a series of sites appear to form a single complex as at Braughing, Canterbury, Colchester, Leicester and Poole Harbour or the Welwyn A and B burials, they have been assigned the same number and subdivided alphabetically. The Lexden cemetery finds use the group numbers as for the forthcoming Camulodunum II volume. Where possible quantified data is given, almost invariably and unsatisfactorily in one form only, however, but it was impossible to examine all the material retained in museums or other collections to provide a standardised data set within the scope of this study (cf Introduction).

Stamps on amphorae and 'Arretine' are catalogued according to the standard works of Callender (1965) and Oxé and Comfort (1968) respectively. Pending the forthcoming publication of Timby's and Rigby's works on Gallo-Belgic stamps and the Leeds Index of Samian Stamps, comparanda to those stamps found in Iron Age Britain have not been included. For the stamps on Gallo-Belgic wares full details may be found in Timby 1982.

As stated in the Introduction one major body of material is not documented here: Celtic coins. These have been fully documented by Haselgrove (1978; 1983; 1987a) and Scheers (1977a) and it would be superfluous to repeat that documentation here.

However, for the sake of completeness of coverage of the material considered here those amphorae and bronze vessels not yet found in

Iron Age Britain but whose discovery is foreseeable are included in appendices. These two categories appear to be the likeliest to be found and potentially misidentified, other categories such as brooches are likely to be identified correctly if enough of the piece survives, otherwise they will be classified as unidentifiable.

Finally two appendices are devoted to consideration of literary topics, 'the Belgae' and the question of whether Julius Caesar intended to invade Britain in 56 BC, as detailed treatment would be inappropriate within the main body of the text.

APPENDIX 1

THE 'PROBLEM OF THE BELGAE'

It is necessary to discuss 'the problem of the Belgae' which, as Champion (1979, 415) has noted, has dominated explanations of the later Iron Age. A series of recent doctoral theses have regarded it as a problem still to be solved (Rodwell 1976a; Thompson 1982; Sealey 1981; Tyers 1981a; Timby 1982; Foster 1983). It will, somewhat reluctantly, be argued here that the only 'problem' is of the archaeologists' making.

The essence of the 'problem' is that a settlement attested by Caesar cannot be recognised unequivocally in either the archaeological or historical records. Caesar records that

'the coastal areas [of Britain] are inhabited by invaders who crossed from Belgium for the sake of plunder and then, when the fighting was over, settled there and began to work the land; these people have almost all kept the names of the tribes from which they originated,

(BG V, 12, trans Wiseman and Wiseman).

In an earlier passage Caesar records that the territory of Cassivellaunus was separated from the maritime tribes by a River called the Thames. As Champion, largely following Bushe-Fox (1926) has suggested (1979, 417), the simplest interpretation is

that Caesar's immigrants should be identified with the Belgae and the Atrebates of the Roman period much as Bushe-Fox argued. Cunliffe apparently unaware of Champion's or Bushe-Fox's arguments has recently argued a similar case (1984b, 19-20). In contrast with these economical suggestions, there is a tortuous series of arguments which have sought to place the settlements in southeastern England as a whole (Ch 26) and it is these which have created 'the problem of Belgae'. The 'problem' lies in the assumption that the settlements were only in south-east England and in the difficulties encountered in trying to associate any archaeological material, let alone an 'archaeological culture' with this assumption (Champion 1979, 416-17; cf idem 1975; 1982). It is as well to remember that the identification of south-east England as the subject of Caesar's comments was originally prompted by archaeological finds (Evans 1890).

Allen's reassessment of the date of the Gallo-Belgic coins in 1958 suggested that these were earlier than the Aylesford-type pottery previously thought to be contemporary with the coins, both dating from c 75 BC (Allen 1960). As Birchall's thesis demonstrated, most of the pottery probably dated after Caesar's comments, let alone as early as the coins. It was she who christened this 'the problem of the Belgae' (Birchall 1965). The datings of both coins and pottery were accepted by Hawkes who dispensed with the pottery as indicative of the settlements, and sought it in the earlier Hawkes, following Hachmann (1962), Gallo-Belgic coins (1968). pointed out that Caesar used Belgium in two senses. Gallia Belgica as a whole, the other implying a much more restricted area in western Gaul and it was from this restricted area that the settlements probably took place (Hawkes 1968, 8-9; Hachmann 1976, 119-29). Hawkes also noted that on these grounds

it was difficult to suggest that the Catuvellauni of Britain descended from the Catalauni of Gallia Belgica as they did not belong to the restricted Belgium. In itself Hawkes' suggestion that the invaders might be represented by the coins is plausible but is incompatible with Caesar's comments that the settlement was south of the Thames. The real problems as perceived recently, involving complex arguments, have been created in attempting to reconcile the coins and the pottery. As these arguments are frequently so involved, successive proposals will be summarised and then discussed.

The earliest proponent of reconciliation to Hawkes' argument was Avery in 1969 in a paper which remains substantially unpublished (Avery [1969]), other than in a short summary (Avery 1976, 142, n 103). Avery's arguments have, however, been published, if initially and accidentally without acknowledgement, by Harding (1974, 223-6; Antiquity 48, 1974, 292).

The central point in this argument is that Cassivellaunus, who was elected war-lord of the British confederacy against Caesar in 54 BC, was a member of the Catuvellauni (although Caesar does not record the name of his tribe) and that, contrary to most previous arguments, the Catuvellauni were not descended from recent settlers out of Belgium in contrast to the settlers in the region described by Caesar. Avery's argument is based on in the suggestion that as Cassivellaunus was previously in a continual state of war with the other tribes (BG V, 11), these wars must have been against the recent settlers from Belgium According to Avery Cassivellaunus was elected as war-lord because of his knowledge of the areas south of the Thames gained during these putative wars in these areas. The principal argument for this suggestion is that the account of Cassivellaunus is placed before the description of the settlers 'out of Belgium' in Book V of De Bello Gallico, thus showing his indigenous origins in stark contrast to those of the maritime regions. Avery looked for archaeological evidence for this in Gallo-Belgic A and B coins (as did Hawkes) and in the choice of an indigenous prototype for British L_{A} coins which were argued to be those of Cassivellaunus. Recognising that the Gallo-Belgic coins were found north of the thames, Avery suggested that those found south of the Thames arrived with invaders but those to the north arrived in the course of trade. Similarly, the Aylesford-type pottery and burials were interpreted as representing invaders south of the Thames but to north they represented acculturation (Avery [1969]). the Harding's interpretation was slightly different. He followed Avery's interpretation of the pottery and burials, but he suggested that the coins were only found south of the Thames with insignificant outliers to the north (Harding 1974, 224). also added Fécamp ramparts previously considered by Wheeler and Richardson (1957), Cotton (1962, 147-8) and Hawkes (1968, Fig 2b) to the evidence suggested to be associated with the settlers (Harding 1974, 225). Harding considers the Catuvellauni to have invaded Britain in La Tène I.

It is difficult to accept the need to give two interpretations to what seem to be parts of the same archaeological phenomenon (Champion 1979, 431, n 43) and it is clear that the coins are spread on both sides of the Thames in significant quantities (Cunliffe 1981d, Fig 39-41; Fig 40-4). Leaving aside the equation of British La with Cassivellaunus, it should be noted that it may have a Roman not an indigenous prototype (van Ardsell 1984b). Fécamp ramparts have such a wide distribution (Collis and Ralston 1976, 143-4, Fig 4; Ralston 1981) that it is impossible to see

them as anything other than a common form of defence, perhaps particularly useful for altering or refortifying ramparts while the claimed British examples are, in any case, far from convincing (Green 1979). The difficulty of the name Catuvellauni recognised as likely to be similar to Catalauni by Avery ([1969], n 6; Guyonarc'h 1967, 299-302) is effectively ignored. The principal evidence is badly undermined by Rambaud's recognition of the possibility that the chapters in Book V did not originally run in their present order of 11-14 but instead 11, 14, 12, 13 (Rambaud 1974, 34-8, 81, 87, 92; followed by Hawkes 1977a, 165-7). If this were the case then the contrast central to Avery's argument disappears. While this misordering of the folios is not proven, the demonstration of its possibility makes it very difficult to accept the arguments put forward by Avery and Harding.

Rodwell has also taken up the issue, indeed his thesis is dedicated to demonstrating 'the rise of Belgic power in southeastern England, (1976a). Rodwell agrees that Gallo-Belgic A and B represent invasions and follows Hawkes (1968) in seeing Gallo-Belgic C as the coinage of Diviacus although this is not seen as representing an invasion because of its later date (Ch 15.3). However, Rodwell sees Fécamp ramparts as being introduced from Belgic Gaul at the same time as Gallo-Belgic C. major disagreement with Avery and Harding is in the need to interpret the distributions of the coins and pottery differently. While disagreeing with them over the interpretation of 'maritime', Rodwell agrees that Cassivellaunus and the Catuvellauni, to whom he also suggests Cassivellaunus belongs, were not Belgic (Rodwell 1976a, 214). The similarity of the names is sidestepped again. Rodwell is able to accept the coins and pottery as representing settlers by rejecting the traditional association of them in the

Hertfordshire region as being Catuvellauni and interpreting them as Trinovantian. Instead Rodwell identifies the territory of the Catuvellauni as 'central and southern Essex, Hertfordshire, all of Middlesex and south-east Buckinghamshire' (Rodwell 1976a, 210). Following Peacock's suggestion (1971, 175-8) that Dr 1 amphorae should not be found in the territory of the 'anti-Roman Catuvellauni', Rodwell equates Dr 1 amphorae, the cremation rite and Aylesford-type pottery and early Gallo-Belgic coins with the Trinovantes. He argues that this evidence indicates that only a small area of the area north of the Thames was non-'Belgic' and that area was the territory of the Catuvellauni. Rodwell concludes that 'this does not automatically imply that the lower stretch of the north Thames bank was not a maritime district or was non-'Belgic' (Rodwell 1976a, 210). corollary of this interpretation is that "maritima" "interior" are to a certain extent used loosely and cannot be interpreted as rigidly as Harding did' (Rodwell 1976a, 211).

It must be doubted if any of the coin distributions show a gap in the area which Rodwell claims to be Catuvellaunian or which is any greater than those gaps in areas accepted by him as being within the distribution. Similarly, the distribution of Dr 1 can hardly be held to indicate pro- or anti-Roman polities. In some instances it appears to be true (eg the Nervii, BG II, 15) but in many more cases amphorae are found widely in the territories of tribes known to have been, if only intermittently, anti-Roman, for example the Suessiones or Treveri (Fitzpatrick 1985a, 317, Fig 5). The idea that the absence of cremation burials indicates the tribe to which Cassivellaunus belonged is attractive and burials are indeed very rare there (Whimster 1981, Fig 52). However, despite Rodwell's assertions, later Iron Age sites are presently rare in

the apparently blank area, particularly in the Greater London It is also difficult to reconcile this definition of the Catuvellauni with his subsequent interpretation of the coins of Rodwell declares the coins of Tasciovanus to appear Tasciovanus. in Caesar's 'the area which was, day, indisputably Catuvellaunian (Rodwell 1976a, 261), but it is quite clear that the earliest gold coins of Tasciovanus are also found in precisely the same areas as Rodwell would regard as Trinovantian (ibid, Fig. 22). The later bronze coinages of Tasciovanus and Cunobelin clearly indicate two areas of coin circulation which broadly agree with the areas traditionally taken to be Catuvellaunian (although there is of course a strong element of circularity in this argument). However, the 'boundary' in these distributions seems to lie along the line of the rivers Lea and Stort. Rivers seem to be respected by a number of British Iron Age distributions (Kimes, Haselgrove and Hodder 1982, 127). The gold coins of both Tasciovanus and Cunobelin cut across this distinction in the bronze coinages, as might be expected if they had different functions. Because of this, it is difficult to follow Rodwell in his definition of the Catuvellauni and his subsequent interpretation of the Trinovantes as being descended from maritime settlers. The rarity of cremation burials commented on by Rodwell is still noteworthy, but on its own cannot be held to define a tribal group.

The next major contributions on the topic have been by Kent who has argued that it is necessary to reject the association of the coins with the settlers. Kent suggests that most of the Gallo-Belgic gold coins arrived in Britain at the time of the Caesarian campaigns (Kent 1978a; 1978b; 1981) and because of this they can have little if any bearing on the question of the

settlement out of Belgium. It has been argued earlier (Ch 15.3) that Kent's interpretation is refuted by the state of wear of the British coins and the contrast in the composition of what appear to be contemporary hoards in Britain and Gaul. For all Kent's suggestions that it is necessary to make a new start (eg Kent 1978b, 53), it is clear that his own interpretations are shackled by the very historical evidence whose avoidance he urges. Instead of the 'Belgic invasions' as a central point in the interpretation of the coinage Kent merely substitutes the Caesarian campaigns. Although Kent's arguments have been accepted by some (eg Cunliffe 1984b, 12) they have been doubted by others (eg Haselgrove 1984a, 50, n 5; 1987a, 79-80; Nash 1987a, 108-17, 119; Fitzpatrick and Megaw 1987, 440).

Although Whimster (1981, 147-66) has summarised the discussions the most recent major contributions to the debate have come from Hawkes while others have preferred to relegate the 'problem' to the status of a 'non-problem' (eg Champion 1979; Haselgrove 1984a).

In a series of papers Hawkes (1977a; 1980a; 1982) has accepted Rodwell's interpretation of the Trinovantes as being 'Belgic'. Hawkes does not discuss the difficulties in accepting Rodwell's arguments which have been adumbrated above, only citing it approvingly (Hawkes 1977a, 167, n 2) and adding it to his earlier interpretation of the earlier Gallo-Belgic coins (Hawkes 1968). It is important to recognise this point as it underlies much of Hawkes's conclusions. Equally important is Hawkes' analysis of the interpretation of 'maritime' in Caesar's book V.

Contained within book V is an ethnographic excursus on Britain (BG V, 12-14). The excursus interrupts the narrative of Caesar's campaigns and as we have seen this was taken by Avery and Harding

to form an implicit contrast implying that Cassivellaunus and by inference the Catuvellauni, were of native stock or at least of older stock than the 'new' settlers recorded by Caesar in the excursus. Hawkes' main concern, however, is in the way that 'maritime' is used.

In Book V, 11, before the excursus, Caesar states that the territory of Cassivellaunus was separated from the maritime tribes by the Thames. In the excursus, at V, 12, 'maritime' seems to imply a large area, while at V, 14 Kent is stated to be an entirely maritime area. From this it is clear that the word is used in a variety of scales, but all are consistent with the proposition that the maritime areas lie to the south of the Hawkes argues that Caesar's use varies by pointing out that while he says that the territory of Cassivellaunus was separated from the maritime tribes by the Thames he does not assign them to the interior, which is the contrast, between 'maritime' and 'interior', made in the excursus. Assuming that Cassivellaunus was a Catuvellaunian Hawkes, following Avery and Marding, suggests that the wars were against the maritime tribes south of the Thames. As Cassivellaunus was certainly responsible for the death of the father of Mandubracius, of the Trinovantes, Hawkes implicitly assumes that they too were of maritime descent. On the basis of this Hawkes suggests that 'between his excursus and narrative he [Caesar] juggled with maritime' (Hawkes 1977a, Hawkes argues that Cassivellaunus did not belong to the maritime tribe but at the same time he need not be native. According to Hawkes the point is blurred: "Native" thus does not mean "interior" as in the excursus; what it means is "not maritime" as either in that or in the narrative' (Hawkes 1977a,

170, n 1). Proof of this apparent ambiguity is sought in the fact that Cassivellaunus' tribe grew grain, for Caesar's troops collected it (BG V, 19), but the excursus characterised the interior as not growing crops (Hawkes 1977a, 171; 1980a, 55; 1982, 8), accordingly for Hawkes this cannot be 'interior' in the sense of the excursus. Because of this if at least one area north of the Thames was not part of the 'interior', then it is possible that other areas which are not mentioned by Caesar could be 'maritime' as the usage is not quite precise. Further weight is added by Hawkes' conclusion that Caesar's description of the river boundary between the maritime tribes and Cassivellaunus is to be calculated from the ford, near to London, at which Caesar crossed the Thames. Hawkes states that the boundary was calculated along the river and not the estuary and that the river Lea formed the eastern boundary of the tribe of Cassivellaunus (Hawkes 1980a, 55), therefore, the area north of the Thames estuary is not defined as maritime one way or the other. Hawkes concludes that Caesar's descriptions of the maritime regions are precise, in so far as he gives them, but as that in the excursus is broader it may not actually have been written by him. Instead he suggests that it is a compilation, some parts may have been drawn from campaign reports and staff notes, an example being the reference to tin which he suggests may have been recorded in 56 BC in connection with Cornwall (Hawkes 1977a, 166, n 3), and other parts may have drawn from older ethnographers including Posidonius (ibid, 165). Subsequently Hawkes has ascribed all the excursus to Posidonius (1980a, 55-6; 1982, 8). For Hawkes one central difficulty remains, if the Trinovantes were settled out of Belgium as the coins and pottery have been held to suggest, and Caesar had a Trinovantian prince Mandubracius in his train in 54 BC, why does

Caesar not say that they were a maritime tribe and that they bordered the tribe of Cassivellaunus along the Lea? Why does Caesar not give this information in V, 11 when he gives the border of Cassivellaunus and the maritime tribes as the Thames? Hawkes the dénouement is to be found early in Caesar's campaign in In essence Hawkes argument is that the flight of Mandubracius to Caesar in Gaul, gave him justification for invading Britain a second time. From the fact that Caesar left his fleet at anchor, perhaps off Worth, and then made a rapid assault through Kent, Hawkes deduced that his attention was to secure north-east Kent and with it the harbourage of the Wantsum Channel. From there he intended to cross to Essex and to attack Cassivellaunus from the east, thus justifying the invasion. However, the fleet was wrecked by a gale (BG V, 10) and was unuseable and, according to Hawkes, Caesar could no longer execute his plans. 'The gale had ruined all. Only silence could save his face' (Hawkes 1980a, 55). Thus according to Hawkes, Caesar does not describe the geography of the Thames estuary because it would show clearly that the territory of the Trinovantes lay to the north and this would show his original plans and Mandubracius is relegated to a late and insignificant role later on in the narrative in order to further mask the plan (Hawkes 1977a, 160-1; 1980a, 55; 1982, 8). As Caesar did not tell the whole truth, the apparent ambiguity of 'maritime' in the way that it is used in the narrative and excursus is, for Hawkes, resolved. In this light the coins and pottery of the Trinovantes (as interpreted by for Hawkes, be interpreted as representing Rodwell) can, settlement. Hawkes has sought other contemporary artefacts to accompany the early coins, which he would place in the mid-second century BC and these he suggests may be found in some La Tène II

swords which he regards as indicating an intrusive tradition in British Iron Age weaponry. Between them the coins and swords are held to represent a small, but important, martial invasion; they are not accompanied by pottery because, according to Hawkes, the application of the concept of an archaeological culture is incorrect here as the need for this idea is only felt in the study of full prehistoric periods, in a protohistoric period the need for it grows 'less and ever less' (Hawkes 1980a, 57; cf idem 1982, Hawkes sees the Aylesford pottery and burial rites as being introduced subsequently through the links forged by these early In his most recent paper Hawkes (1982, 9) reiterates invaders. his idea of 'an accumulative story' comparable to that outlined by him as 'Cumulative Celticity' in 1973. While Hawkes places the invasions in the mid-second century BC he emphasises the possibility of very old cultural ties and it may well be that in his discussions of the possible connections of the Essex Iron Age with Germany he is looking to Caesar's comments that the continental Belgae were ultimately of German origin (BG II, 4). Finally in the last comments on the movement out of Belgium in his 1982 paper, Hawkes recognises the possibility that the definition of the invaders by coins may perhaps be too narrow a definition (1982, 9).

Hawkes' conclusions have not been challenged and while his arguments are cleverly and intricately argued it must be asked if they correct?

Firstly, it needs to be re-asserted that Caesar's comments do not need to be interpreted as contradictory. The comments may be taken to show that the settlers were south of the Thames (V, 11),

the maritime region in V, 12 is left undefined, but the statement that Kent is entirely maritime V, 14 does not contradict this. A priori Caesar appears to be indicating that the settlements were Hawkes argues that the territory of south of the Thames. Cassivellaunus is not ascribed to the interior parts and that that he should only be thought of as 'not maritime'. It is difficult to see any justification for this argument. Hawkes draws the distinction too clearly, for the excursus does not say that no tribes living in the interior grew grain, only that most did not. The argument that Cassivellaunus had been fighting wars against maritime tribes south of the Thames is based on no more than supposition which is itself based on an uncertain ordering of the folios. If the folios were in a different order as Hawkes himself argues (1977a, 165-6), then the whole contrast central to the To argue on the basis of this that the argument is lost. Trinovantes must, because they too had been at war with Cassivellaunus, be of maritime descent (Hawkes 1977a, 169) builds supposition on supposition. Caesar makes a clear distinction between Cassivellaunus and the maritime tribes. However, the excursus is arranged, it is surely quite clear that Cassivellaunus was not of maritime stock. Only by drawing a distinction that Caesar does not appear to make is it possible to suggest that the tribe of Cassivellaunus belonged to neither the maritime or the To draw from this the conclusion that as a 'noninterior. maritime', 'non-interior' tribe is distinguished it is not possible to exclude the possibility of a fully maritime tribe to the east not being mentioned is tenuous. Indeed, it would appear to be contradicted by Caesar's statement that the Thames was the This is resolved by Hawkes by suggesting that in boundary. describing the river Thames as a boundary Caesar was implying a

contrast between the river Thames and the Thames estuary and that the boundary from the maritime tribes was the river (1980a, 55). This is difficult to understand, as Caesar clearly makes the contrast between the sea and the river: there is no suggestion of Given the lack of comprehension of tides in the Mediterranean world until Posidonius (Hawkes 1984, 221) and the surprise by which a full tide had caught Caesar the previous year (BG, IV, 29), it might be thought that if Caesar wished to be specific about estuaries and rivers he would have done so. Hawkes suggests, Cassivellaunus' territory probably was upstream from the confluence of the Lea (1980a, 55), but to suggest a distinction between the tidal reaches of the Thames seems unwarranted. Even so why does Caesar not mention the maritime region north of the Thames estuary inhabited by the Trinovantes?, surely this silence inexplicable unless the reader is meant to assume that the tribes to the north are not native, of the interior parts?

Hawkes' solution to this question has two parts. One is that Caesar knew that the description in the excursus was vague — because he did not write it, the other is that Caesar deliberately suppressed the location of the Trinovantes. Hawkes suggests that the excursus on Britain is a 'patchwork' (1977a, 167) and in this he may well be right, the material may well have been put together from a variety of sources but is it correct to suggest that the principal source was Posidonius? It seems likely that Posidonius had some knowledge of the trade in British tin and that this information is preserved in Diodorus (Ch 18). But this information relates to exchanges along the Atlantic seaboard. Posidonius knew little of Gaul outside of the south and it is

difficult to see how he would have had this knowledge of Britain but less of Belgic Gaul or Germany. Although it has been suggested before (eg Hachmann 1976, 120) it is a suggestion unsupported by major studies of Posidonius (Edelstein and Kidd 1972; Theiller 1982 passim, Malitz 1983, 169-98). One other effect of Hawkes's suggestion is to relegate Caesar to the position of being little more than a poor hack of Posidonius, a position which Nash has argued convincingly to be unjustified (Nash 1976a). Hawkes suggests that Caesar will have 'shrewdly perceived' that Posidonius was vague about the precise area of the maritime part (Hawkes 1980a, 56) and was happy to leave the ambiguity. Again there seems little reason to discover a new passage of Posidonios for the sake of an assumed ambiguity in Caesar which is not apparent at a first reading.

It is difficult to follow Hawkes' suggestion that Caesar suppressed the location of the Trinovantes. In essence Hawkes' argument is that he intended to attack Cassivellaunus from Essex using the flight of Mandubracius as his justification. This plan was foiled by the wreck of the fleet. According to Hawkes the rapid excursion through north-east Kent was intended to secure the Wantsum Channel as an anchorage for his voyage to Essex. As this operation was to be completed rapidly the ships were left at anchor and to be moved on later. The storm intervened and in embarrassment Caesar had to conceal his plans and with them the location of the Trinovantes. Nowhere does Hawkes face the question that if Caesar intended to attack Cassivellaunus from Essex why did he not land in Essex with Mandubracius ensuring security? Equally baffling is why, if he so wished, did Caesar not anchor in the Wantsum in the first instance? Hawkes assumes that in his scouting voyage Volensus circumnavigated Thanet

(Hawkes 1977a, 155-7, Map 8) but it is possible that he did not if he had he would have seen Richborough and it has long been a puzzle why, if the harbourage at Richborough was similar to that of a hundred years later, Caesar did not use Richborough? Caesar specifically states (BG V, 8) that the landing place had been chosen the previous year so presumably it was perfectly satisfactory. It may have been at or near Hythe. The reason for Caesar's dash is quite clear, it was as Frere (1978, 50) points out, speed. Caesar marched through the night trying to act as quickly as possible and to seize the initiative and this is completely in character with his campaigns throughout the Battle The reason the ships were not hauled up is that not for Gaul. only did Caesar feel them to be safe but that it would take a long time to secure them properly if they were ashore. Hawkes quite omits to mention that with over 25,000 men it took ten days working day and night to secure the fleet with defences when it was beached. Surely this is why Caesar did not haul them up, he wanted to strike as quickly as possible. Whether or not Caesar intended to campaign in Essex there is no subsequent opportunity for him to discuss the geography of Essex and that is surely why he is silent on the topic. Mandubracius is not omitted until the last to try and obscure Caesar's intentions. He is introduced at precisely the point when a Trinovantian embassy arrives and this would logically occur when Caesar was campaigning north of the Thames (BG V, 20). It seems unnecessary for Hawkes to suggest this was his justification for the whole campaign. Throughout book V there is no attempt to justify Caesar's actions, it was apparently understood why he was in Britain and in any case Mandubracius could only justify attacking Cassivellaunus, not all of Britain including that south of the Thames so this would

provide only a feeble justification. Ultimately Caesar was successful in defeating Cassivellaunus and if this was originally his prime aim, why should Caesar suppress the successful conclusion of his campaign? Hawkes has no answer to these arguments and in the light of them it is surely impossible to accept his interpretation. As all of Hawkes' suggestions about the deliberate ambiguity and silence of Caesar about the maritime origin of the Trinovantes ultimately rest on this supposed motive for the invasion, then they also collapse with it. Indeed even if one were to accept Hawkes' main argument it would still be difficult to accept his interpretation of the political geography.

Hawkes' interpretation of the coins and swords is bound in a circular argument as to whether the Trinovantes as defined by Rodwell, were Belgic. It has been observed earlier that the suggestion that the early Gallo-Belgic coins indicate settlement is not in its self implausible, although contradicted by the evidence of Caesar. It is curious, however, that while Hawkes refers several times to Kent's work (Hawkes 1977a, 143; 1980a, 56; 1982, 5) nowhere does he cite Kent's publications or refute Kent's arguments for a late dating for the introduction of the early Gallo-Belgic coins. It is impossible, however, to follow Hawkes in seeing the La Tène II swords as intrusive (1980a, 56) for they reflect no more a change in fighting practise in Britain than they do in contemporary continental Europe. They represent part of the evidence for the widespread change in weaponry throughout Europe in La Tène II. Some of the swords could be imports but there is nor reason to identify them with invaders. Whatever the merits of assessment of the usefulness of the concept of an archaeological culture (1980a, 57; 1982, 10) his arguments over

the absence of associated pottery, fall prey to Bradley's earlier criticism of Harding's conclusion that if 'we are obliged to apply less rigorous criteria' (Harding 1974, 230 quoted by Bradley 1978, 2), then we are guilty of changing the questions to accommodate In a different way from the Childean culture the answers. discussed by Bradley the 'problem of the Belgae' is in Hawkes' argument an idée fixe. In this case the attempt has been made to alter the written text to fit the archaeology although the original archaeological interpretation was determined by that same history. Hawkes' statement that 'Caesar critically read has nothing against this' (1980a, 56) is surely testimony to la Déformation historique, but of a different sort to that considered by Rambaud (1966). It is possible to agree with Hawkes (1982, 9) that the definition of the invaders by coins may be too narrow and that the tradition recorded by Caesar may be of antiquity. Nash has suggested, ties between Kent and Belgium could date from at least the later third century BC (Nash 1984, 104), but contra Cunliffe (1984b, 19-20) it is not necessary to look in solely one region or another (cf Nash 1987a, 109, 119-22). The coins may provide another and more obvious indication. To what date the oral tradition of the people of the interior Britain, which claims them to be indigenous in apparent contrast to the people of the coastal areas, belongs is uncertain, so too is the area covered by But there is no ambiguity in Caesar's statements that it Caesar. was south of the Thames and as Nash argues some of Gallo-Belgic coins in Kent may be related to this and the possibility of Belgic expansion within Britain should not be As Hachmann has warned, the archaeological and philological arguments must be kept distinct (Hachmann 1976). as Thompson unwittingly demonstrates in seeking to prove the

contrary (Thompson 1982, 3) the problem is really of the archaeologists own making and the more important wider context and ideologies in which Caesar's comments should be viewed (eg Shaw 1982/83) have been ignored because of this.

APPENDIX 2

DRESSEL 1 AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

2.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DRESSEL 1 AMPHORAE

BERKSHIRE

Reading - Thames Valley Park. Rural settlement: one body sherd, almost certainly Dr 1 from the associated pottery (L. Mepham pers comm).

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

2 Dorton. Burial: one Dr 1B with two Italian Dr 2-4 (Farley 1983, 291, Fig 11, 1; Sealey 1985, 137-8).

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

- 3 Cambridge, Jesus Lane. Burial?: one Dr 1, probably 1B (Peacock 1971, 183).
- 4 Trumpington, Dam Hill. Burial?: one Dr 1, probably 1B (ibid).

CORNWALL

5 Carn Euny. Rural settlement: one, possibly two, Dr 1. A base fragment has been suggested to be Dr 1A but the

evidence is inadequate, however, the piece described as a body sherd is actually a Dr 1A rim (Truro Mus; Christie 1978, 396, 403, Fig 52, 22).

DORSET

- Gussage All Saints. Rural settlement: three+ Dr 1.

 Although one is suggested to be Dr 1A on the basis of the fabric by Peacock (in Wainwright 1979, 72, 191) and Williams (1984a), there is insufficient evidence (cf Ch 26.1), while contextual evidence is also inconclusive.

 Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: thirty+ Dr 1A and six+ 1B from (apparently) nearly all of the various excavations, one Dr 1B stamped B M (Peacock 1971, 181,
- 8 Lake Farm, Wimbourne. Settlement?: two Dr 1A (M.J. Darling pers comm; Fitzpatrick 1985a, 323; Williams 1987, 272).

Fig 37; App 14.2, 5; Williams 1987, 271-2, Ill 189-91).

9 Maiden Castle. Hillfort: two+ amphorae, probably including Dr 1A and Dr 1B. Some of the sherds were associated with Wheeler's Phase IV, Iron Age 'B' (1943, 47, 116, 231), and although the dating of this remains uncertain (Frere 1960a, 88-90), it is likely to be in the later second or earlier first century BC and the associated sherds from Graeco-Italic or Dr 1A vessels. Those sherds from the Iron Age 'C' levels (Wheeler 1943, 57, 64, 117, 238) may date to the second half of the first century BC, or possibly earlier (Cunliffe 1978a, 57; 1982a, 50). There are further finds from Sharple's excavations (pers comm).

- 10a Poole Harbour Furzey Island. Settlement: three sherds identified as Dr 1 (Cox 1985, 158 and pers comm).
- 10b Poole Harbour Green Island. Settlement: one Dr 1, possibly 1A (Peacock 1971, 180).
- 10c Poole Hamworthy. Settlement?: three+ Dr 1 (ibid).

 Cunliffe (1982a, 46) asserts that they are Dr 1A while

 Williams also suggests this on the basis of the fabric

 (1984a) but this is not proven (cf Ch 26.1).

ESSEX

- Colchester Colonia, St Mary's Rectory. Settlement: one
 Dr 1B (Dunnett 1971, 73, Fig 26, 8).
- 11b Colchester Lexden Tumulus. Burial: six+ Dr 1B (Foster 1986, 124-32, Fig 39).
- 11c Colchester Lexden Group 12 (Park Field). Burial: one
 Dr 1B (Peacock 1971, 183).
- Colchester Lexden Group 21 (St Clare Drive). Burial?:

 Dr 1B stamped HI[E] (op cit, 184; App 14.1, 5; 8.1, 3a).
- 11e Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: Hawkes and Hull (1947) recorded 46 diagnostic sherds of Dr 1B, but only 16 survive, one of which is a Pascual 1. Five Dr 1B from the 1970 excavations (Sealey 1985, 102); MNV 20. One illegible stamp and one perhaps on a Dr 20 (App 14.2, 3-4).
- Gestingthorpe. Rural settlement: one Dr 1B. Going in Draper (1985, 97).
- Great Canfield. Burial?: one Dr 1B. (Saffron Waldon Mus; Fitzpatrick 1985a, 324).
- 14 Great Chesterford. Burial?: one Dr 1B now in Audley End

- House, possibly associated with the Great Chesterford Bucket Burial (1bid; C.J. Going pers comm).
- Heybridge Osea Road. Salt production site: one Dr 1B (Rodwell 1976a, 319) called Osea Road, Maldon by W.J. Rodwell (1979, 155).
- Heybridge Langford Junction. Settlement?: two Dr 1B rims in the Fitch Collection. One stamped PE twice, originally provenanced as Maldon by Peacock (1971, 184) but first corrected to Heybridge by Rodwell (1976a, 319) (Wickenden 1986, 57-8, Fig 26, 28-9; App 14.1, 6).
- 16 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village: at least one Dr 1B from excavations by K. A. Rodwell (Rodwell 1976a, 319), four-seven Dr 1B in excavations by Eddy (Eddy with Turner 1982, 26-8, Fig 12, 1; C. E. Turner pers comm).
- 17 Lindsell. Burial: one Dr 1B (Peacock 1971, 184).
- Mount Bures. Burial: one Dr 1B (possibly Dr 2-4; cf Sealey 1985, 149) with four Dr 9 (cf App 7.3.1).
- Mucking. Rural settlement: one Dr sp. (Rodwell 1976a, 318).
- Orsett, 'Cock'. Settlement: Dr 1 handle sherd

 (P.R. Sealey pers comm; *idem* 1981) (for the site see

 Toller 1980).
- Sampford. Burial: one Dr 1B provenanced as Thaxted by Peacock (1971, 184) but the Saffron Waldon Museum catalogue of 1886 (MS) provenances it as Sampford (Fitzpatrick 1985a, 325).
- 22 Sandon. Burial?: two Dr 1B, provenanced as Danbury by Peacock (1971, 184) and corrected to Sandon by Rodwell (1976a, 318).
- 23 Stansted Airport catering site. Rural settlement: at

least three Dr 1A and five Dr 1B (Brooks and Wall 1986, 13; C. J. Going pers comm).

- Thaxted area. Burial: one Dr 1B. Originally provenanced as the White Colne area by Rodwell (1976a, 319) but corrected to the Thaxted area by Rodwell (1976c). It was probably found with a Pascual 1 (Williams 1981, 130; App 4.1, 5). This find will be discussed by C.J. Going in a forthcoming paper; I am grateful to him for his help.
- Tolleshunt D'Arcy Hill Farm. Rural settlement: one+ Dr

 1B (P.R. Sealey pers comm; cf Essex Archaeol Hist 16,

 1986-85 (1986), 135).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Cirencester - Leaholme. A Dr 1 with a basal stamp
(Peacock 1984, 38; A.D. McWhirr pers comm). Apparently
residual in a Roman context (Wilmott and Rahtz 1985) (App
14.2, 2).

HAMPSHIRE

- Danebury. Hillfort: six Dr 1, three of which are suggested by Williams (1984a) to be Dr 1A on the basis of their fabric, but there is insufficient evidence (cf Ch 26.1) and the chronology of the site may be too early (Haselgrove 1986a). On stratigraphic grounds (Cunliffe 1984d, 326) some vessels could be Dr 1B.
- 28 Hayling Island. Temple: one+ Dr 1A (Downey, King and Soffe 1979, 7; A.C. King pers comm).
- 29 Hook. Rural settlement: one body sherd, perhaps Dr 1B

(Fitzpatrick in Ashbee 1987, 40-1).

- Horndean. Rural settlement: one Dr 1 (Peacock 1971, 181). The ceramic assemblage (Cunliffe 1961, 25-6, Fig 2) is comparable to Danebury Cp 8 so the vessel is likely to be Dr 1 and perhaps 1B, but the possibility of it being from a Dr 2-4 should not be excluded totally.
- Owslebury. Rural settlement: one+ Dr 1 (Peacock 1971, 181; J.R. Collis pers comm).
- Silchester. Oppidum: Dr 1 may have been found in older excavations (1bid) and there are Dr 1B from the recent excavations (Fulford with Corney 1984, 127, 233, 251; Timby in Fulford 1985a, 26; Fulford 1987).
- 33 Winchester. Rural settlement?: one+ Dr 1B (Peacock 1971, 181; Biddle 1975b, 99-100).
- Winnall Down. Rural settlement: one, possibly three Dr 1(A?) (Fasham 1985, 73; Site Archive and P.J. Fasham pers comm). There may have been a break in occupation from the late second or early first century BC until the first half of the first century AD (1bid, 69-76), so, accepting the finds as Dr 1 and not Dr 2-4, although they were residual in Roman contexts (1bid, 71-2), the vessels are likely to have been Dr 1A.

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 35a Baldock The Tène. Burial: one Dr 1A (Stead and Rigby 1986, 53, Fig 21).
- Baldock Walls Field. Settlement: one Dr 1B, possibly two others (ibid, 235, 279).
- 36a Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: one+ Dr 1 from near

- Gatesbury Wood (Peacock *in* Partridge 1981, 334-5). Cunliffe (1982c, 442) suggests that there are Dr 1A from the site but this is not stated in the report (Partridge 1981, 334-5) and so this is presumably a misprint.
- Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: one Dr 1A and two+ Dr 1B, one stamped 1.R or B (Williams and Peacock in Partridge 1979, 114, Fig 34, 1-3; App 14.2, 1).
- 36c Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: one Dr 1, probably
 1B (Peacock 1981, 202).
- Braughing Station Road. Oppidum?: two+ Dr 1B (Peacock in Partridge 1979, 47-8, Fig 12). Contra the report, the palmette stamp on one is paralleled at Basel-Gasfabrik, Basel-Münsterhügel and Roanne. The Basel-Gasfabrik find is probably Dr 1A (cf App 14.3, 1).
- 36e Braughing Wickham Kennels. Oppidum?: Dr 1 sp. (Partridge 1980-82, 43, Fig 10, 15).
- 36f Braughing. Surface finds: two finds of Dr 1 east of the Rib (Partridge 1981, Fig 3).
- Crookhams. Rural settlement: two+ Dr 1, probably 1B (Rook 1968, Fig 58, Fig VIII, 10a-b; Peacock 1971, 184).
- Foxholes Farm. Rural settlement: Dr 1 (Williams and Peacock 1983, 275). For the site *cf Current Archaeol* 5, 1975, 153.
- Hadham Ford, near Bridgefoot Farm. Burial?: probably Dr
 1B. Incorrectly provenanced as Welwyn by Thompson (1982,
 853). Hadham Ford is in the same parish as L. Hadham but
 it seems unlikely to be the same as no 41 as the records
 of discovery are different (C. Saunders pers comm).
- 40 Hertford Heath. Burial: one Dr 1B (Hüssen 1983, 24-5, 44, Fig 8, 1). A Dr 1B was also found nearby.

- Little Hadham. Burial?: one Dr 1, probably 1B, now lost, cf no 39 (Stead 1967a, 60).
- St Albans Verulamium. Oppidum?: a base identified as from an Italian Dr 2-4 and from a context dated AD 230-50 (Frere 1984a, 201, Fig 80, 189B), is more probably from a Dr 1B.
- Standon, Ralph Saddlier Middle School. Rural settlement:

 Dr 1B (Partridge 1977, 89, Fig 34, 6).
- Watton-at-Stone, Broomhall Farm. Settlement: one Dr 1B, stamped VAMP (Rook et al 1982, 21 and pers comm; App 14.1, 8).
- Welwyn A and B. Burials: one Dr 1B stamped SOS or just possibly PAPI (cf Aulnas 1980-81, 67, no 68, Pl 12, 68; App 14.1, 7) in burial A. Five Dr 1B from burial B, one stamped AA (Peacock 1971, 185; App 14.1, 1).
- Welwyn Mardleybury. Burial?: Dr 1B (1bid); its discovery is recorded by Andrews, who notes a possible second find (1905, 32-3). It is not clear why Rodwell declares that three Dr 1 were found (1976a, 321).
- Welwyn Garden City. Burial: five Dr 1B, one stamped HIE or HIB (Peacock 1971, 185; App 14.1, 4).

ISLE OF WIGHT

- 48 Ashey Down, Mount Joy, Newport. Settlement?: Dr 1
 (Tomalin 1973 and pers comm).
- Gills Cliff, Ventnor. Rural settlement: probably Dr 1, as, despite the excavators' uncertainty over the stratigraphy (Benson 1953, 303) it does now appear to be chronologically significant.

- 50 Knighton. Rural settlement: four+ Dr 1A (Peacock 1971, 181; Williams 1984a).
- Yarmouth Roads. Seabed at, Wreck or anchorage debris?: sixteen sherds of Graeco-Italic or Dr 1A (Peacock 1984, 38; Maritime Heritage Bull 1987, 5-6; D.J. Tomalin perscomm).

KENT

- 52 Bigberry. Hillfort: one Dr 1 (Thompson 1983, 265, Fig 12, 105).
- 53a Canterbury Bridge Hill. Settlement: one+ Dr 1 (Peacock 1971, 182).
- 53b Canterbury Rose Lane. Oppidum?: three+ Dr 1, probably
 Dr 1B (1bid).
- Canterbury various sites. Oppidum?: at least nine+ Dr

 1B from old and recent excavations (Thompson 1983, 265;

 Arthur 1986, 239, n 1, 240-2, 256-7, Fig 2, 1-5).
- Highstead. Rural settlement: at least one Dr 1B with a fragmentary stamp .A... (App 14.2, 6). Arthur has suggested that a further vessel may be southern Spanish (1986, 257), but an Italian source should not be excluded (1bid; N. Macpherson-Grant pers comm).
- Quarry Wood, Loose. Hillfort?; one Dr 1B stamped EB (Kelly 1971, 84, Fig 12, 33; Arthur 1986, 257, Fig 2, 9; App 14.1, 3).
- Rochester. Settlement: one Dr 1B (R.J. Pollard pers comm; Fitzpatrick 1985a, 323).

SUSSEX

- Boxgrove. Rural settlement: one Dr 1, probably 1B (D. R. Rudling pers comm).
- Carne's Seat, Goodwood. Rural settlement; one Dr 1(B?)
 handle (Holgate 1986, 45). Three other Dr 1-4 sherds
 were found but were not from certainly Iron Age contexts,
 as was also the case with the handle.
- 59 Chichester. Oppidum?: one Dr 1B stamped AVALER (Down 1978, 243, Fig 10.15, 13; App 14.1, 2).
- Fishbourne. Settlement: one Dr 1B (Cunliffe 1971, 208, Fig 100, 159).
- Oving, Copse Farm. Rural settlement: at least two Dr 1(B?) from trenches B and F (Williams in Bedwin and Holgate 1985, 229, 236-8, M 24).

WORCESTERSHIRE

62 Worcester. Context not stated (Peacock 1984, 38).

2.2 ITALIAN AMPHORAE FROM IRON AGE CONTEXTS WHICH MAY BE EITHER DR 1 OR DR 2-4.

- Castle Dore. Hillfort: probably at least two vessels
 (Harris and Quinnell 1985, 129; Truro Mus).
- Trethurgy. Rural settlement (Round): a piece suggested to possibly be Dr 1A (Cunliffe 1982a, 63; Fitzpatrick 1985a, 323) is an undiagnostic body sherd, while the context is not closely datable.

DORSET

Maiden Castle. Hillfort: some of the Italian vessels from Iron Age 'C' contexts could be Dr 2-4 (cf no 9 above).

ESSEX

- 4 Canvey Island, Thorney Bay. Settlement: one vessel (Rodwell 1976a, 318).
- 5 South Benfleet. Salt production site (1bid).
- Rodwell (1973, 94-5) suggest that it is Dr 1. The sherd was unstratified in the enclosure ditch of mid-first century AD date. Although there are Iron Age finds from the ditch (op cit, 59, 102), it was suggested that there was no significant domestic activity on the site during this period and that the sherd was to be explained as being introduced as secondary refuse from a nearby settlement, (op cit, 94-5). Alternatively it may be suggested that the sherd is residual from an Iron Age settlement and is to be associated with the Iron Age pottery or that it is a Dr 2-4 deposited at the time the enclosure was constructed.

HAMPSHIRE

Riseley Farm. Rural settlement: one sherd from an Iron Age context, perhaps likelier to be Dr 2-4 (E.L. Morris pers comm).

HEREFORD AND WORCESTER

8 Kenchester. Rural settlement: some amphorae are identified as Dr 1 (Wilmott and Rahtz 1985, M2: D6-7 [= no 48]) but they do not occur in Iron Age contexts and are apparently featureless sherds. On the chronology presented the pieces could be Dr 1A and associated with occupation in the first half of the first century BC rather than later (161d, 115-17). However, the authors of the structural report are very cautious as to the dating value of the sherds (161d, 110-13, 115) and the identification of the sherds is open to question.

ISLE OF WIGHT

Redcliff. Rural settlement: three sherds described as Dr 1 in *Proc Prehist Soc* 45, 1979, 340 now seem more likely to be Dr 2-4 and possibly not necessarily of Iron Age date (D.J. Tomalin pers comm).

KENT

Canterbury - Rose Lane. Oppidum?: a find from the 'occupation layer' which Frere (1954, 111) described as Cam 181-4, but Thompson's description of it as 'reddish ... and full of shining white grits' suggests that it may be Catalonian (1982, 661) (cf App 3-4).

SUFFOLK

Burgh-by-Woodbridge. Rural settlement: two vessels (Bull Counc Brit Archaeol Grp 7, 22, 1975 (1976) [n.p.]; E.A.

Martin pers comm).

SUSSEX

- 12 Carne's Seat, Goodwood. Rural settlement: some vessels,

 if Iron Age imports, could be from Dr 1 or 2-4 (Holgate

 1986, 45; no 56 above).
- Oving, Copse Farm. Rural settlement: at least three vessels from Iron Age contexts (Williams in Bedwin and Holgate 1985, 236-8, M 24).

2.3 FINDS FOR WHICH THERE IS INADEQUATE INFORMATION

BEDFORDSHIRE

Old Warden. Burial: Dyer (1976, 16) states that a Dr 1 was found with the Old Warden I mirror. There is evidence for an amphora being found but not for its type. The dating of the mirror probably excludes Dr 1 (cf App 10, 1).

ESSEX

Danbury, Twitty Fee Camp. Rural settlement: one vessel.
Hull suggests that if the sherd was from an amphora, of

which he was uncertain, then it may have been from a Dr 1 (1935-37, 113, 119). On the basis of the associated pottery this is likely to be true, but it has not proved possible to locate the sherd to verify the identification (cf Bull 1935-37 for the site).

- Heybridge Bouchernes Farm. Settlement?: part of an Italian Dr 1-4 perhaps of Iron Age date (Wickenden 1986, 62).
- Marks Tey. Burial?: a group of 'tall red pots, about four feet high' were found in road works. Rodwell (1976a, 319; 1976b, 251) suggests they were Dr 1.

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 5 St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: some amphorae may be Dr 1 (Thompson 1982, 869-938. Haselgrove excludes this (1987a, 176), but it is not clear why.
- Westmill. Burial?: Three amphorae, now lost, may have been Dr 1 (Peacock 1971, 185).

SUSSEX

Lancing Down. Settlement/shrine?: Haselgrove (1987a, 293) suggests that amphorae from the site could be Dr 1, but there is insufficient evidence, while the other finds might suggest a later date, if the amphorae are of Iron Age date.

2.4 CORRIGENDA

ESSEX

1 West Mersea. Thompson (1982, 860) states that there is a Dr 1B from the island, but the vessel, now in Mersea Museum, is an early Rhodian form and to judge from its encrustations and completeness is probably a modern introduction which was found in the Mediterranean. The first recorded location was at Bawdsey Manor, Suffolk and not Mersea Island (P.R. Sealey pers comm).

HERTFORDSHIRE

2 St Albans - King Harry Lane. Cemetery: a vessel earlier identified as Dr 1B by Rodwell (1976a, 321) and Stead (1976a, 402), has been reidentified as a southern Spanish vessel (Hüssen 1983, 28, n 43; App 7.4, 1).

2.5 FALSA

HERTFORDSHIRE

Datchworth. Whimster (1981, 374) states that there is a Dr 1B from Datchworth and cites Peacock (1971, 185) as evidence for this but Peacock makes no mention of this find.

2 Richborough. Cf App 14.6, 2.

DRESSEL 2-4 AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

3.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DRESSEL 2-4 AMPHORAE

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

Dorton. Burial: one Italian and possibly also a southern Spanish but likelier Italian vessel (Farley 1983, 289-91, Fig 11, 2-3; Sealey 1985, 137-8).

DORSET

- 2 Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: one sherd from an Iron Age context (Williams 1987, 273).
- Poole Harbour Hamworthy. Settlement: some of the vessels could be Iron Age (Peacock 1971, 180).

ESSEX

- 4a Colchester Lexden Tumulus. Burial: at least 11, possibly 13 vessels (Foster 1986, 124-32, Fig 40).
- 4b Colchester Lexden Group 24 (St Clare Drive/Lexden Road). Burial: (Peacock 1971, 184), not certainly Iron Age.
- 4c Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum. At least two Cam 182 and one Cam 183 were stratified in Iron Age contexts (Hawkes

and Hull 1947, 251, 280).

5 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village: (Eddy with Turner 1982, 28).

HAMPSHIRE

Silchester. Oppidum. At least two, perhaps three, vessels (Fulford with Corney 1984, 127-9; Fulford 1985a, 26, Fig 8, 24; cf Peacock 1971, 180) with further vessels from Fulford's recent excavations (J. R. Timby pers comm).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Praughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum? At least one vessel which is described as 'probably a Spanish imitation' but it is not clear if this means a Catalonian or Baetican source (Partridge 1979, 113-16).
- 7b Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum? At least four vessels (Peacock 1981, 201-4, Fig 81, 2-5).
- 8 Foxholes Farm. Rural settlement. (Williams and Peacock 1983, 275).
- 9 St Albans Wheathampstead. Settlement. Saunders and Havercroft suggest that some sherds may be Iron Age imports, possibly from the same vessel (1980-82, 18, 25, 30). Sherds from Feature 41 were the only imports associated with indigenous pottery while sherds from Feature 53 were associated with Roman material of postconquest date. The two features are not in close proximity so the sherds may be from two vessels or the sherds in Feature 53 may be residual.

LEICESTERSHIRE

Leicester - Jewry Wall. Oppidum? One Catalonian vessel, perhaps an Iron Age import (Kenyon 1948, 134, Fig 36, 31; Jarvis 1986, 13, 15).

3.2 ITALIAN AMPHORAE FROM IRON AGE CONTEXTS WHICH MAY BE EITHER DR 2-4 OR DR 1.

Finds from Canterbury, Castle Dore, Canvey Island, Maiden Castle, South Benfleet, West Tilbury and Burgh-by-Woodbridge may be Dr 2-4 (cf App 2.2).

3.3 FINDS POSSIBLY OF IRON AGE DATE

BEDFORDSHIRE

Woburn. Burials?. Two vessels on separate occasions.

Not necessarily Iron Age as Peacock (1971, 184) suggests

(Bedford 1834).

ESSEX

Heybridge - The Towers. Burial? Sealey (1985, 137-8) and Wickenden suggests that a Dr 2-4 could be an Iron Age import as it is in Peacock's Fabric 3 and this does not occur in the Claudio-Neronian examples from Colchester-Sheepen (Wickenden 1986, 55, 62). However, this fabric

is always rare in Britain (Peacock 1971, 164), so it would be unwise to suggest that the Iron Age import of the Heybridge vessel is more than a possibility.

MIDDLESEX

Stanmore Park. Burial? A complete vessel stamped MAR. OF on the spike (Peacock 1971, 185). As this stamp occurs at Pompeii (Panella and Fano 1977, 162; App 14.5.2) it is uncertain if the Stanmore find is an Iron Age import.

SUFFOLK

- Kedington. Burial? The vessel is in Bury St Edmunds

 Museum (contra Rodwell 1976a, 323), as it is complete it

 may be from a burial (Fell 1949). E.J. Owles suggests

 that the amphorae is south Italian (pers comm).
- Stratford St Mary. Burial? Incorrectly attributed to Essex by Peacock (1971, 184) (cf Rodwell 1976a, 323). As it is complete it is likely to be from a burial but its date is unknown.

PASCUAL 1 AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

4.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF PASCUAL 1 AMPHORAE

DORSET

- Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: at least one vessel from an Iron Age context (Williams 1981, 128; 1987, 272, Ill 190).
- Maiden Castle. Hillfort. (N. Sharples pers comm).
- Poole Harbour Ower. Settlement. Forty-three sherds in Iron Age contexts (Williams 1981, 128; 1986, 42, Tab 1, Fig 42, 47-8, 51).
- 4 Poundsbury. Settlement: at least one vessel identified as Pascual 1, residual in later contexts (Green 1987, 117, M3: C1-4).

ESSEX

Thaxted. Burial: one vessel associated with a Dr 1B (Williams 1981, 130; App 2.1, 24). The association with the Dr 1B is confirmed by the museum register.

HAMPSHIRE

6 Silchester. Oppidum (Fulford with Corney 1984, 253; Fulford 1987, 275).

7 Owslebury. Rural settlement (Williams 1981, 130).

ISLE OF WIGHT

8 Knighton. Rural settlement (1b1d).

4.2 CATALONIAN AMPHORAE FROM IRON AGE CONTEXTS WHICH COULD BE PASCUAL 1 OR DRESSEL 2-4.

CORNWALL

Castle Dore. Hillfort, one vessel. Williams (in Quinnell and Harris 1985, 130) suggests that the piece is a Pascual 1 but the sherd is an undiagnostic neck sherd (Truro Mus).

DORSET

- 2 Gussage Hill. Settlement, surface find (J.C. Barrett pers comm).
- 3 Maiden Castle. Hillfort (N. Sharples pers comm).
- Weymouth Bay. ?Wreck. Catalonian (in Williams Fabric 2) rather than Dr 1 as suggested by Peacock (1971, 180) and Fitzpatrick (1985a, 323). Stamped P (Damon 1890; App 14.4.1).

ESSEX

5 Ardleigh. Settlement: one vessel (J. Hinchliffe pers

comm).

- Colchester Colonia. Settlement: Williams following Hawkes and Hull (1947, 214) suggested that the find was unlocalised (1981, 130) but it is possible to locate the findspot as within the Colonia (P. R. Sealey pers comm). As Dr 1 have been found at the Colonia (App 2.1, 11a) the possibility that this find is an Iron Age import must be considered. Stamped BV. BI (App 14.5, 1).
- 6b Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum. One handle (Sealey 1985, 102).

HAMPSHIRE

7 Silchester. Oppidum: From Fulford's excavations (J.R. Timby pers comm).

KENT

8 Canterbury - Rose Lane. Oppidum? One find listed above as Dr 1 or 2-4 could possibly be Catalonian (cf App 2.2, 10).

4.3 FINDS FOR WHICH THERE IS INADEQUATE INFORMATION

CORNWALL

Castle Gotha. Settlement (Round). One rim which could just possibly be Pascual 1 but the fabric appears to be southern Spanish. If the vessel is Pascual 1, then it is

likely to be an Iron Age import (Saunders and Harris 1982, 143, no 88, Fig 16, 6).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: sherds ascribed to Pascual 1 by Williams (1981, 130 - the same pieces as Peacock 1971, 181, no 4) but they could as easily be Dr 2-4 and as the stratification of the pieces is not given by Clifford (1961, 230), they could be of Romano-British date (cf Trow 1982b).

RHODIAN AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

5.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF RHODIAN AMPHORAE

ESSEX

- Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: one vessel from Iron Age contexts (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 280).
- 2 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village (Rodwell 1976a, 322).

5.2 FALSA

ESSEX

Colchester - Lexden Tumulus. Burial: one vessel described as Rhodian by (Peacock 1971, 183) but rejected by Williams (in Foster 1986, 125, 132).

OLIVE OIL AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

6.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF OBERADEN 83 AMPHORAE

DORSET

Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: one olive oil amphora sherd from a late Iron Age i context (Williams 1987, 273) which suggests that it is from an Oberaden 83, but the dating to the first half of the first century BC is unlikely to be correct.

ESSEX

Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: two vessels, from Roman contexts but probably Iron Age imports (Sealey 1985, 67-9, Fig 10, 79-80). There are at least two rims from the 1930s excavations.

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 3 Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: one vessel (Partridge 1979, 114, Fig 34, 4).
- 4 St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: one vessel (Peacock 1971, 184).

6.2 DRESSEL 20

CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DRESSEL 20 AMPHORAE

DORSET

- Hengistbury Head. Oppidum?: three sherds from Late Iron Age 2 contexts (Williams 1987, 273; Williams and Peacock 1983, 276).
- Poole Harbour Hamworthy. Settlement: some vessels may be of Iron Age date (Peacock 1971, 180).
- Poole Harbour Ower. Settlement: Williams and Peacock (1983, 276) are cautious whether any of the finds are Iron Age but Peacock (1984, 40) is more confident even though the published account is not clear on this point (Williams 1986, Tab 1).

ESSEX

- Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum?: At least two vessels from Hawkes and Hull's excavations (1947, 280), it cannot be distinguished if these are the same as the Oberaden 81 rims mentioned above (App 6.1.2)
- 4 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village: at least one vessel (Eddy with Turner 1982, 28). Not certainly Iron Age.
- Naezingbury. Rural settlement: one vessel (Huggins 1978, 76, 78).
- 6 Wickford. Rural settlement: one vessel (Rodwell 1976a, 322).

HAMPSHIRE

- 7 Owslebury. Rural settlement: one vessel? (Williams and Peacock 1983, 275).
- 8 Silchester. Oppidum: present in Iron Age contexts
 (J. R. Timby pers comm).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 9a Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: some Dr 20 may be Iron Age imports (Partridge 1981, 334-5).
- 9b Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: a number from Iron Age contexts, (Partridge 1979, 113-16).
- 10 Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: at least two vessels and in terms of quantity the most common amphora (Peacock 1981, 201-2, Fig 81, 1).
- Foxholes Farm. Rural settlement (Williams and Peacock 1983, 275).

ISLE OF WIGHT

Redcliff. Rural settlement: two sherds which may be Iron Age imports (D. J. Tomalin pers comm).

KENT

Gravesend. Rural settlement: one vessel (French and Green 1983, 56).

LEICESTERSHIRE

14 Leicester - Blackfriars Street. Oppidum?: one vessel (Clay and Mellor 1985, 49).

SOUTH HUMBERSIDE

Dragonby. Settlement: May claims one vessel as an Iron Age import (1976, 188, Fig 95, 6) but typologically the rim is Flavian or later (cf Martin-Kilcher 1983; 1987 Beil 1) and Williams and Peacock do not regard any of the finds as Iron Age (1983, 276). The associated finds suggest a Romano-British date (cf App 26.1, 48), however, Elsdon (pers comm) suggests some finds are Iron Age.

6.3 FINDS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED TO BE OF IRON AGE DATE

AVON

Camerton. Settlement: Wedlake, followed by Peacock (1971, 182) suggests that amphorae occur in Iron Age levels. Only one vessel is cited (Wedlake 1958, 33, 41) but the context, and probably also the vessel, which is repaired with lead rivets, are post-conquest (ibid, 154, Fig 56, 273 A).

AMPHORAE FOR FISH-BASED PRODUCTS FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

7.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF BELTRÁN I AMPHORAE

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

1 Snailwell. Burial: two vessels (Peacock 1971, 183).

DORSET

Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: four sherds from late Iron Age 1 contexts which are unlikely to be dated correctly and another four from later Iron Age 2 (Williams 1987, 273) plus further possible finds from the earlier excavations (Peacock 1971, 181).

ESSEX

- 3a Colchester Lexden Group 13. Burial: one vessel. As most of the burials in the cemetery are Iron Age (Foster 1986, 1, Fig 2), this find may be also.
- 3b Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: one vessel (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 280).
- 4 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village: at least one vessel (Rodwell 1976a, 322).
- 5 Layer-de-la-Haye. Settlement. Identified as Cam 185b, a form which may not have existed, but the fabric identified as Beltrán I (Turner, Turner and Major 1983, 132).

HAMPSHIRE

Silchester. Oppidum: (Fulford with Corney 1984, 253; further finds from the recent excavations (J.R. Timby pers comm)).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 7a Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: at least six vessels (Peacock 1981, 202, Fig 7-11).
- 7b Braughing Station Road. Oppidum?: one vessel?
 (Partridge 1979, 48).
- St Albans. Oppidum?: sherds apparently from pre-conquest deposits (Rodwell 1976a, 324) but this is not clear from Frere's publications (1983; 1984a, 249).

7.2 FINDS POSSIBLY OF IRON AGE DATE

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

Wavendon Heath. Burial?: a nearly complete vessel probably from a burial but not certainly of Iron Age date (Peacock 1971, 182).

ESSEX

Colchester Area. Burial?: a complete vessel (Parker 1973, Fig 17), so probably from a burial, but of uncertain date.

Rivenhall End. Burial?: a near complete vessel, probably from a burial (Rodwell 1976a, 322; Peacock 1974, 242; Rodwell and Rodwell 1986, 19) but whose date is not known.

SUFFOLK

4 Cavendish. Burial?: a complete example, perhaps from a burial (Ipswich Mus, unpub; H.A. Feldman pers comm), but of unknown date.

7.3 DRESSEL 9

ESSEX

- Colchester Lexden Group 10. Burial (cf Foster 1986,
 1).
- Mount Bures. Burial: four vessels (Smith 1852; cp von Schnurbein 1979, Bld 41) associated with a probable Dr 1B (App 2.1, 18).

7.4 UNIDENTIFIED SOUTH SPANISH AMPHORAE FOR FISH-BASED PRODUCTS OF IRON AGE DATE

HERTFORDSHIRE

St Albans - King Harry Lane. Cemetery (Hüssen 1983, 28, n 43; cf App 2.4, 2).

LEICESTERSHIRE

- 2 Leicester Jewry Wall. Oppidum?: one vessel, probably from an Iron Age context (Jewry Wall Mus, unpub).
- 7.5 VESSELS FOR SOUTHERN SPANISH FISH-BASED PRODUCTS SUGGESTED TO BE OF IRON AGE DATE, BUT WHICH ARE PROBABLY ROMANO-BRITISH

ESSEX

Southend. Burial?: Rodwell (1976a, 310) suggests that the burial is of Iron Age date, but as Thompson has shown (1982, 827), it was probably deposited in the Romano-British period.

HAMPSHIRE

2 Silchester. Oppidum: two vessels, but both are apparently from Roman levels (Peacock 1971, 181).

HALTERN 70 AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

8.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF HALTERN 70 AMPHORAE

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

1 Snailwell. Burial: one vessel (Peacock 1971, 183).

DORSET

Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: one vessel from an Iron Age context (Williams 1987, 273), this could be the same vessel mentioned by Peacock (1971, 181).

ESSEX

- Colchester Lexden Group 21 (St Clare Drive). Burial:
 Thompson (1982, 765) suggests that is was associated with
 a Dr 1B but Peacock (1971, 184) does not support this and
 there is no documentary proof of the association (cf
 Sealey 1985, 148-9). As the Dr 1 is stamped it is
 unusual that such an association would not have been
 mentioned by Hull (Colchester Mus Rep 1932, 26, 32, 35,
 Pl VIII, 1-2; Parker 1973, 371, Fig 20).
- 3b Colchester Lexden Group 23 (Lexden Park House).

 Burial: a separate find from the above (Parker 1973, Fig

19; P.R. Sealey pers comm). As most of the finds from the cemetery are later Iron Age (Foster 1986, Fig 2) this find may be also.

3c Colchester-Sheepen. Oppidum: one vessel (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 280).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: at least one vessel may be an Iron
Age import (Clifford 1961, 230, 256; Peacock 1971, 180,
n 1).

HAMPSHIRE

5 Silchester. Oppidum: present in Iron Age contexts
(J. R. Timby pers comm).

HERTFORDSHIRE

Braughing - Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: one vessel (Peacock 1981, 202, Fig 81, 6).

8.2 FINDS POSSIBLY OF IRON AGE DATE

ESSEX

Gestingthorpe. Burial?: possibly Iron Age (Colchester Mus Rep 1944, 22; VCH Essex III, 1963, 134; cf Draper 1985).

2 Heybridge. Burial?: a near complete vessel perhaps from an Iron Age burial (Rodwell 1976a, 322; Wickenden 1986, 55, 62, Fig 26, 15).

8.3 VESSELS PROBABLY NOT OF IRON AGE DATE

CORNWALL

1 St Mawgan in Pydar. Rural settlement: probably Romano-British (Peacock 1971, 180).

8.4 FINDS OF UNCERTAIN DATE

A vessel from the Channel, perhaps from a wreck, may be of Iron Age or Romano-British date (Harmand 1966).

DRESSEL 6 AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

9.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DRESSEL 6 AMPHORAE

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: (Williams and Peacock 1983, 275).
- 1b Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: (Partridge 1979, 113-16; Williams and Peacock 1983, 275).
- 1c Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: (Peacock 1981, 202, Fig 81, 13; Williams and Peacock 1983, 275).

UNIDENTIFIED AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

BEDFORDSHIRE

- Old Warden I. Burial: an amphorae found with one of the mirror burials (Dyer 1976, 16; cf App 2.3, 1).
- Old Warden Quints Hill. Burial: two amphorae, described as 'earthern urns of large size, with long handles' (Dryden 1845, 20).

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

Foxton. Burial: amphora found with an 'Arretine' Crater, now lost (Rodwell 1976a, 323; cf App 25.1, 3).

CORNWALL

Castle Dore. Hillfort: three unidentified amphora fragments, from different vessels from three different sources (Truro Mus, unpub; Quinnell and Harris 1985, 129).

DORSET

Poole Harbour - Ower. Settlement: four sherds (Williams 1986, 79, Tab 1).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: some vessels from Period II
(Clifford 1961, 230) could be Iron Age imports (Peacock
1971, 180-1).

HAMPSHIRE

7 Silchester. Oppidum: (Fulford 1985a, 26, Fig 8, 23) one vessel. The rim is comparable to Richborough 527 but the fabric is apparently not that of the Richborough 527.

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 8a Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: a number of unassigned sherds from Iron Age contexts (Partridge 1979, 113, 116).
- 8b Braughing Station Road. Oppidum?: a number of unassigned sherds from vessels probably of Iron Age date (1bid, 48).
- 9 St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: a number of amphorae probably from Iron Age contexts (Thompson 1982, 883, 896, 901, 907, 934).

KENT

10 Canterbury - Rose Lane. Oppidum?: two vessels (Thompson 1982, 661).

SELECTED PRE-CLAUDIAN AMPHORAE NOT YET FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

This appendix details, in the same format as that employed in the main text in Chapter 2, the more common types of amphorae which have not yet been found in British later Iron Age contexts, but whose discovery may be anticipated. The appendix does not attempt an exhaustive analysis of all pre-Claudian amphorae types and types whose presence in Iron Age Britain seems unlikely on the basis of the evidence currently available are not considered. However, the justification for a type's inclusion is presented in each case.

11.1. LAMBOGLIA 2

This amphora is of later Republican date and contained wine from southern Italy.

Typology

The vessel characteristically has a small bulbous body with a marked shoulder carination. There is a short spike. The neck is quite long and widens at the mouth which has a simple triangular or near vertical rim. The handles are long and heavy, oval in section and curve away slightly from the body.

Provenance

Lamboglia 2 and Dr 6 have frequently been confused. It is evident that there is some typological similarity between the two and it appears that they do occur in the same fabric (Riley 1979). They are often considered together (eg Baldacci 1972a; 1972b; Peacock and Williams 1986, Class 8), but it is difficult to justify this as the Lamboglia 2 is earlier than Dr 6 and thought to have been made in Apulia in southern Italy and not northern Italy (Peacock and Williams 1986, 99; cf Ch 2.5, 2). The attribution to Apulia is in part due to the uncertainty over the products of the kiln at Apani near Brindisi. These appear to have been the 'Brindisi oil-amphorae' but Sciarri (1972) appears to suggest that Lamboglia 2 were also made there (Tchernia 1986, 54-5; cf App 11.2). Consideration of the fabric does suggest a source in southern Italy (Formenti, Hesnard and Tchernia 1978) but it has not been demonstrated conclusively.

Contents

In publishing amphorae from the Albegna wreck Lamboglia cautiously suggested that the type contained oil and subsequently it became known as the 'Albegna oil amphorae' or Lamboglia 2. As the type is, apparently, found widely in northern Italy, and the type is found at Delos where a collegium of olearii is attested epigraphically, Lamboglia's suggestion appeared to be supported (Tchernia 1969, 492, 498). However, the analysis of a Lamboglia 2 from the La Cavalière wreck by gas chromatography suggested that the amphora contained wine (Formenti, Hesnard and Tchernia 1978) and probably never oil as the amphora also has a resinous lining

which is presently unknown in oil amphorae (Charlin, Gassend and Lequément 1978, 21-2).

The demonstration that the Lamboglia 2 was probably a wine amphora casts the relationship with the Dr 6 in further doubt as the Dr 6 is usually taken to be an oil amphora. However, as is argued above (Ch 2.5, 2), it seems likely that Dr 6 was not just manufactured in Istria but also in Cisalpine Gaul and contained wine as well as oil, the two types cannot be so easily disassociated. The capacity of one Lamboglia 2 from the La Cavalière wreck was 27L but it was slightly smaller than most on the wreck (Charlin, Gassend and Lequément 1978, 18), while there is a volume-weight ratio of 1.62L/Kg (Parker 1987, 268).

Chronology

The best dating evidence comes from wrecks as Lamboglia 2 are associated with Dr 1A and also Dr 1B (Tab 2) but they also occur at Athens in contexts dating to the last quarter of the second century BC (Tchernia 1986, 55). In the wrecks containing Dr 1B, Lamboglia 2 are only a minor part of the cargo (Charlin, Gassend and Lequément 1978). The latest wreck is the Tremiti Islands wreck of c 30 BC (Freschi 1982; Carre 1985, 211). The amphora appears to be generally absent from Augustan contexts with the latest find known being of early Augustan date (Tchernia 1986, 127).

Distribution

Little is known of the Lamboglia 2 outside of the Mediterranean world. Finds are known in the western Mediterranean and also in Spain (Beltrán-Lloris 1970) and particularly in the eastern Mediterranean (Tchernia 1986, 68-74), but their apparent rarity in France (two in Vienne Museum (op cit 69)) is in conspicuous contrast to their frequency as finds in wrecks off the French coasts, if not necessarily as an important component of the cargo. This may be partly explicable by conflation of Lamboglia 2, not with Dr 6, but with Dr 1A. The handles, necks and rims of Dr 1A and Lamboglia 2 are quite similar and without adequate fabric descriptions of most French finds, it seems likely that at least some Lamboglia 2 have been conflated with Dr 1A. Only one find is certainly known in Britain. There is a complete vessel in Ipswich museum but there are no records of its discovery and as there is a substantial collection of Italian antiquities in the museum it is possible that it is a modern introduction. A number of what have been identified as Dr 1A in Britain occur in what is described as atypical streaky fabric (Danebury, Gussage All Saints, Hamworthy and Knighton), although these vessels are suggested, not necessarily correctly, as Dr 1A (Williams 1984a, M8: D13-E3; Ch 26.1 above) it may be wondered if some are not Dr 1, if they are Lamboglia 2. Vessels of this type from the La Cavalière wreck are described as having a very light brown, fine fabric with light yellow to brown surfaces. The only inclusions are described as being ochre-red and large nodules of red clay are also sometimes found. These could reflect the decayed lava up to 5mm across.

It is evident that the provenance of the Lamboglia 2 is not fully understood and its typology is still confused. While wrecks show it to have been an important later Republican amphorae it remains rare as a (land) site find. This seems likely to be due in part to the uncertainty which surrounds it and its widespread identification in France and perhaps also in Britain is to be anticipated.

11.2 BRINDISI

The type has a pear-shaped body and terminates in a small knob. The handles are circular in section and quite heavy. The neck is quite short and the rim is quite simple. The handles are often stamped. Will (McMann, Bourgeois and Will 1977, 294-6) distinguishes two variants, her Type 11a and 11b. The latter variety is similar in form but is characteristically much heavier in appearance particularly in its handles.

Provenance

A kiln is known at Apani, north of Brindisi. This has never been reported satisfactorily but a number of writers have commented on it (Will 1962; McMann, Bourgeois and Will 1977; Sciarra 1962; 1964; 1972; Baldacci 1972a). All agree that 'Brindisi' amphorae were made at the site but Will (1979, 350, n 41) states that Dr 1B and other types were made at the site. Sciarri (1972) appears to suggest that Lamboglia 2 were also made there. Will also suggests that there may be kilns at Lecce (1979, 349). It has been suggested that 'Brindisi' amphorae stamped M. TVCCI. LF. TRO

GALEONIS were made at the Apani kilns (Tchernia 1968-70) but Will (McMann, Bourgeois and Will 1977, 294-6) has argued that the fabric is not the same as finds from Apani, and that while the finds are related thay belong to a different variant of the Brindisi jars and are later in date. These doubts are reinforced by Peacock and Williams's suggestion that the petrology of one 'Tuccius' vessel indicates a Campanian origin (1986, 69).

Contents

The contents are not known. Olive oil is usually suggested (eg Baldacci 1972a) but wine has also been proposed (Panella 1973) and if Dr 1B and perhaps Lamboglia 2 were produced at Apani this would support the latter suggestion. I am not aware of any published capacities.

Chronology

If Will's distinction between her 11a and 11b is accepted then it appears to be chronological. Type 11a is found in contexts dating to the first quarter of the first century BC but appears to be absent from the refoundation of Corinth in 44 BC. Type 11b, by contrast, does not appear at Delos which suggests that it did not appear until the mid-first century BC and it occurs at Corinth and also in the Planier III wreck (McMann, Bourgeois and Will 1977, 295). The type is found in both the early first century AD La Longarina and la Favorite deposits but it is rare (Hesnard 1980, 148, Pl VI, 3; Becker et al 1986, 80, Fig 13, 10-11). This distinction between the two varieties is important as the 'true' 'Brindisi' amphorae, Will's Type 11a, disappears in the mid-first

century BC but cannot be used to date all 'Brindisi'-like amphorae.

Distribution and Commentary

Type 11a appears to have a primarily eastern distribution, while on the basis of the 'Tuccius' stamps, 11b has a wider western distribution and is not uncommon in France (Tchernia, 1968-70, 60; Roman 1983, Fig 55). In view of the uncertainty over the products of the Apani kilns, it does not seem likely that the type has always been identified correctly while the apparent dating of the Type 11b later than the accepted dating for 'Brindisi' amphorae may hint that it has been identified as other types. As Will has pointed out there seems to be some typological relationship between her Type 11b and, especially, Oberaden 83 and Dr 20 ((McMann, Bourgeois and Will 1977, 295) and, it may be suggested, with Dr 26 also. It is possible that the 'Brindisi' 11b develops into the Dr 26 during the Augustan period but unfortunately the provenance of the Dr 26 is poorly known.

Galliou has stated that a number of Brindisi gréco-italiques have been found in western France (1982, 23) but it is not clear what type of amphorae he means. If 'Brindisi' amphorae are excluded, as appears to be the case, then it is possible that they are Lamboglia 2 (cf Fitzpatrick 1985a, 307) but is must be wondered if Brindisi amphorae are not intended even if termed incorrectly and also if the amphorae are correctly identified? 'Brindisi' Type 11a amphorae were certainly traded widely in the later Republic particularly in the eastern Mediterranean. They appear to be rare in western wrecks and as French site finds, but it is one of the amphora types presently absent in Iron Age Britain which is likely

74

to be found. The extent to which the later (?)Campanian Type 11b was traded, and also its relationship to the 'true' 'Brindisi' Type 11a, remain quite uncertain and require further research. Misidentification with Oberaden 83 seems quite likely.

11.3 DRESSEL 26

Typology

The type has a large 'bag'-like body with a tapering base and small spike. There are short, heavy, handles placed high on the shoulder and meet the short neck just below the simple collar rim. At La Longarina two sizes were represented, one apparently a half-size although it was rare there (2 of 27). Considerable confusion surrounds the type (Tchernia 1967, 222).

Provenance and Contents

Neither the provenance or contents of the Dr 26 are known. An Italian provenance has been suggested by Zevi (1966, 24-5) but this is based solely on its occurance in Rome, Ostia, Pompeii and Campania. Hesnard has suggested that it might be from Venafrum and may have contained Venafrum olive oil for which there is literary evidence but otherwise no epigraphical or archaeological evidence (1980, 150). The La Longarina finds do not have a resinous lining, which is compatible with oil as their contents, and this is supported by analyses (ibid). No capacities have yet been published.

₹.

Chronology

The finds from La Longarina are the earliest finds and the relatively large numbers there suggest that it was well established by the first decade AD. The latest finds are from Pompeii (Panella 1977, 142, Pl LXVII, 34).

Distribution and Commentary

The type is presently restricted to Italy. It is possible that the type is being conflated with Dr 20 or, as earlier confusion shows, with cylindrical Tripolitanian amphorae (Tchernia 1967, 222). Equally the possible relation between it and the 'Brindisi' amphora of Will's Type 11b which may be its typological predessor is unclear. If Dr 26 did contain olive oil it seems that it was dwarfed by the export of Dr 20 and it seems unlikely, but not impossible, that it reached Iron Age Britain.

11.4 TRIPOLITANIAN AMPHORAE

Introduction

A variety of tylindrical amphorae were made in Tripolitania throughout the first four centuries AD. The earliest type, I, occurs in the La Longarina deposit.

Typology

The type has a long cylindrical body and tapers to a hollow point.

The handles which are short and quite heavy are set high on the shoulder and return to the short neck just below the simple collared rim.

Provenance

The type is certainly Tripolitanian as a number of kilns in Libya which produced types are known (Arthur 1982b) while thin-sectioning has distinguished two fabrics, one of which is probably from a coastal region (Peacock and Williams 1986, 167-8).

Contents

Panella has argued that the various types contained olive oil (1973; 1977) and this is supported by the discovery of oil processing plants at a number of sites in Libya.

Chronology and Commentary

The finds from La Longarina are apparently the earliest known (Hesnard 1980, 148, Pl VI, 4) but the type has a very long life over at least 300 years. The principal distribution appears to have been in the eastern Mediterranean and it appears unlikely that they will have reached Iron Age Britain (cf App 11.6). Hesnard suggests that another vessels from La Longarina is a Tripolitanian II amphora (op cit, 150, Pl VII, 4) but it is unlike vessels of this form and may actually be related to Neo-Punic vessels of van der Werff's Type 3 (1977-78).

11.5 KINGSHOLM 117

Typology

The type is cigar-shaped with a tapering bottom with a small terminal knob. There is no neck, the body narrows to a small, everted rim. The mouth is quite wide and there are two lug-shaped handles. The surface is rilled. The type has been dubbed Kingsholm 117 by Darling and Sealey (Sealey 1985, 89). It is possible that earlier vessels may be rather more squat (cp Becker et al 1986, 86, Fig 17, 2; 18, 6).

Provenance

The fabric is very similar to that of the Cam 189 and it seems likely that it was made in the same general region, ie the southern and/or eastern Mediterranean (Peacock and Williams 1986, 217) although Becker et al suggest a Spanish as well as north Africa source (1986, 86).

Contents

As with the Cam 189, tituli picti appear to be absent, so the only evidence for the contents comes from the vessels themselves. One vessel on the late first century BC La Tradelière wreck contained dates (Joncheray 1973a, 36-7; Fiori and Joncheray 1975, 62, Pl 1, 8) but this seems to be the only positive information available. Some of the unpublished analyses on what were taken to be Cam 189 cited by Sealey and which indicated that wine and oil were carried could relate to the Kingsholm 117 (1985, 88). The rilling on the

type might be thought to align it with a number of vessels considered to have contained dates. However, many later Roman amphorae from the eastern Mediterranean contained wine or oil (Peacock and Williams 1986, classes 43-4, 46, 48-9), so caution should be exercised in considering the Kingsholm's 117 contents. No capacities have yet been published.

Chronology

The earliest find is probably that from the La Tradelière wreck which probably dates to between 20 and 10 BC (Gallia 33, 1975, 603). There is a find from the early first century AD at Lyon, la Favorite deposit (Becker et al 1986, 86, Fig 17.2; 18, 6) and vessels also occur on the Tiberian (Joncheray 1973a, 22-3, 28-9; contra Sealey's 1985, 89 dating) Dramont D wreck. The eponymous vessel from Kingsholm (Hurst 1985, 75, Fig 28, 117) is probably of Neronian date, and is notable for its rarity as a site find although there may be another find from Cirencester. The type may be present at Dangstetten (Fingerlin 1986, 99, Abb 268, 69) and Oberaden (App 13.1). It is possible (but impossible to prove as the vessel was destroyed in the Second World War) that a vessel from Haltern was a Kingsholm 117 (Loeschke 1909, 317-18, Taf XXXIII, 12 a-d). Loeschke included the vessel with earlier medieval material but considered that it might be Roman, hoping for a better dating for the type. Loeschke describes the fabric Schönberger and Simon (1976, 114, Anm 769) as a red-brown. suggest that the Haltern vessel may be a Cam 189 but the handles are too large, while the small knobbed base not only excludes Cam 189 but also the possibility that they are from an amphora of Punic Tradition as these vessels have basal spikes (van der Werff

7/

1977-78). Schönberger and Simon identify one rim sherd and numerous body sherds of what they take to be a Cam 189 (1976, 114, not illustrated). These could be Cam 189 but their identification as Kingsholm 117 is as likely.

There are a number of small storage vessels on Augustan sites which have a very similar mouth to the Kingsholm 117 (Vegas 1975, 43, 70, Taf 21, 27; 34, 14) and it is possible that the vessels may have been confused while their relationship to the small amphorae from the Aquitaine is also unclear (Santrot and Santrot 1979, 213-14, Pl 511).

Commentary

Virtually nothing is known of the type's distribution, but its presence on the La Tradelière wreck and in the la Favorite deposit and its possible presence at Haltern, and perhaps Rödgen, indicate that it may be found in Iron Age Britain.

11.6 'NEO-PUNIC'

The class has a long cylindrical body with a long spike. There are two small handles and a short expanding neck. The type has been fully discussed by van der Werff who has distinguished three principal types. Of these three only his classes 1 and 2 are likely to have possibly reached Britain. Type 1 has handles which are slightly everted while the rim flares outwards and sometimes has a flange and was stamped occasionally on the body. Type 2 has a slightly longer spike, the handles are symmetrical and the rim is a simple band (van der Werff 1977-78). Guerrero Ayuso has also

discussed these vessels (1986) but subdivides Maña's original two types into six which seems overly-complicated, especially as the two typologies are essentially similar and van der Werff's approach is preferred here although it should be noted that Guerrero Ayuso's C2c type appears not to be found in northern Africa (op cit, Fig 16) and may ultimately prove to be a separate type.

Provenance

A kiln which produced Type 1 is known at Kouass, near Tangiers in Morocco and others are suspected near the Atlantic coast of Mauretania Tingitana, while on the basis of the numbers found at Carthage van der Werff and Guerrero Ayuso also suggest production there (van der Werff 1977-78, 177; Guerrero Ayuso 1986, 163-7, Fig Also on the grounds of the numbers found there, van der Werff suggests that Type 2 were also produced in Tunisia between Sousse, Sfax and El Jem and Guerrero Ayuso follows this. Van der Werff also suggests that the type may have been produced in Tripolitania too (op cit, 179). Van der Werff publishes thin sections and chemical analyses of the three types found at Utiza, 17km south of Sousse, and distinguishes three major categories but he was unable to localise these fabrics. His Fabrics A and C appear to be homogenous while B was rather less so. Types 2 and 3 occurred in all three fabrics but Type 1 only in his Fabric A which he regards as Carthaginian. As all the analyses are from one site it is difficult to assess the significance of these associations in view of van der Werff's suggestion that the forms were made across northern Africa. Nonetheless the work holds out considerable promise.

Contents

The commodities contained are not known, however, van der Werff suggests that the Morrocan finds may have contained salazones and fragmentary epigraphic evidence (CIL XV, 4730) supports this (Guerrero Ayuso 1986, 167-8, 175, 177). The Kouass kiln is only 500m from a fish-processing site with which van der Werff suggests it may be contemporary (van der Werff 1977-78, 182, n 70). Van der Werff suggests that oil may have been carried in the eastern products but wine is also a possibility (Guerrero Ayuso 1986). No capacities have been published.

Chronology

Type 1 was current by the mid-second century BC and also appears in a number of Augustan contexts but not in later ones and Guerrero Ayuso suggests a range of 125-50/30 BC (1986, 174-5). Type 2 appears to be contemporary with Type 1 although it may commence slightly earlier, but Type 3 appears to antedate both 1 and 2, at least in its export.

Distribution

Van der Werff has published excellent, quantified, distribution maps for each of the types (1977-78, Fig 9, 11, 13) which have been added to by Guerrero Ayuso (1986)). As already noted Type 1 has a markedly western distribution (cf Guerrero Ayuso 1986, Fig 14-16) which, in conjunction with its occurrence at Utiza only in Fabric A, suggests that it may be Morrocan. In comparison, Type 2 has a restricted distribution in the central Mediterranean with

finds in Europe primarily in France and, in contrast to Type 1, only one Spanish find, at Ampurias. Type 3 has a very similar distribution.

Finds in non-Mediterranean France are rare, known only at Mt Beuvray for Type 1 and for Type 2 at Mt Beuvray again and in Tournus Museum but there may be a find from Danstetten (Fingerlin 1986, 202, Abb 544, 130).

Commentary

Van der Werff's publication has received comparatively little attention, but the widespread distribution of Type 1 and to a lesser extent Type 2 in the later Republican period contemporary with Dr 1 and with which they are associated in wrecks (Guerrero Ayuso 1986) and which did reach northern Europe, suggests that it could have reached the same areas, if only in small quantities. As the class is poorly known misidentification is likely and van der Werff himself (op cit, 182, n 69) confuses some vessels with Kingsholm 117. As Type 2 occurs at La Longarina (Hesnard 1980, 150, Pl VII, 3 and possibly Type 1 as well, ibid Pl VII, 4) in addition to the French sites and as the possible presence of the species at Dangstetten and perhaps Haltern has to be considered (cf App 11.5), then its occurrence in Augustan or earlier contexts in Iron Age Britain is possible. Arthur has suggested that a handle fragment from Canterbury found in a later first century AD is from a 'neo-Punic' vessel and probably of Iron Age date (Arthur However, north African, 1986, 252, Fig 6, 49). probably Tripolitanian, vessels are known from later first century contexts from at least four sites in Britain (M.J. Darling pers comm; eg Cunliffe 1971, 208, Fig 148.3) and in view of the date of the

context in which the Canterbury handle was found, it may be likelier that it is from one of these vessels.

11.7 DRESSEL 21-22

Typology

The type has a cylindrical body which tapers to a solid spike.

The neck and mouth are comparatively wide. The rim is generally of simple, rounded form. The handles are short but are quite heavy.

Provenance and Contents

Thin-sectioning suggests an origin for some vessels in Campania or Lazio (Peacock and Williams 1986, 97; Becker et al 1986) and tituli picti suggest an origin in the same region (Zevi 1966, 222). Beltrán-Lloris has drawn attention to what appear to be Catalonian vessels (1970, no 198) while Baetican production is apparently also attested (Hesnard 1980, 156, no 100).

As Callender showed quite clearly, these vessels appear to have contained fruit (1965, 13-14). The *tituli picti* on which this evidence is based are Italian.

Chronology, Distribution and Commentary

The form appears to be of first century AD date, becoming increasingly common in the second half of it. The finds from La Longrina and la Favorite appear to be the earliest known. The

distribution of the type has never been studied systematically but it appears to be very rare outside of Italy and the Mediterranean littoral. There is a find from Lyon (Desbat and Picon 1986, Fig 2, 9; Becker et al 1986, 86, Fig 17, 1) and although a find has recently been recognised from a Roman context at Colchester (P.R. Sealey pers comm), at present it seems unlikely that the form reached Iron Age Britain, however, as both the La Longarina and Lyon finds are Augustan it remains possible that it may have done.

11.8 DRESSEL 12

Typology

The Dressel 12 has a narrow, almost cylindrical body with a short, solid, spike. The handles are heavy and quite long, often with external grooves. The rim is collar-like and slightly flared and is similar to that of the Dr 9 but lacks the thickening at the top.

Provenance

The type is usually taken to be southern Iberian (Beltrán-Lloris 1970) and it was certainly made at the El Rinconcillo kiln near Algerias (Peacock 1974, 241).

Contents

Tituli picti indicate that the type contained fish-based products (Zevi 1966, 246; Beltrán-Lloris 1970, 454) and one vessel from the

Titan wreck contained what appeared to have been Tunny (Tailliez 1961, 184-5). Although one find from Rome has a titulus pictus which suggests that it contained fruit (mella; CIL IV, 2, 5742), Beltrán-Lloris suggests that the form may have been misidentified. No capacities have yet been published.

Chronology

The earliest securely dated Dr 12 was found on the Titan wreck of mid-first century BC date. Parker has suggested that the type could be as early as c 100 BC but neither the identification nor the association of the Mahdia find is certain (1972, 228) and it seems unlikely that the type is so early particularly as it is absent from the Cáceres el Viejo fortress (Ulbert 1985). The type is associated with Dr 1B on the Fos 1 (Giacobi-Lequément 1987, 183, Fig 11, 4) and the Cap Bear III (Colls 1986, Fig 37, A) wrecks. Nonetheless, its development in the second quarter of the first century BC seems likely (Parker op cit). Beltrán suggests that the type continued into the second century AD but as Ettlinger notes it seems to be rare in northern Europe (1977, 11) and where it is found and is dateable, it is of first century BC date (Dangstetten: Fingerlin 1986, eg 94, Abb 244, 50, Taf 32; Goeblingen-Nospelt: Thill 1967a, 208, Taf III, 9; XII; Mt Beuvray, Bulliot 1899, Pl XIX, 2 (identification not certain); Steinfort: Engling 1856, 17, Pl II, 1-5 (two vessels, ?burial)). It certainly occurs at Pompeii and Herculanium and in the early first century AD deposit at La Longarina (Hesnard 1980, 148). This suggests that the type is being misidentified, possibly as Dr 9 or Cam 186A (Var A), in northern Europe. A less likely

explanation is that its absence is due to a dislike of its contents.

Distribution and Commentary

For the above reasons it is possible that the rarity in Europe may be more apparent than real. Similarly, its dating is not entirely clear and needs further study: it may prove to be early in the salazones series. Although not yet recognised in Iron Age Britain it is likely to be indistinguishable from other southern Iberian vessels in fabric and is one of the types not yet found in Britain which is likely to be. Why the Dr 12 should have a quite distinctive form than other salazones amphorae is not immediately obvious.

UNCERTAIN AMPHORAE SUGGESTED TO BE OF BRITISH LATER IRON AGE DATE

12.1 PROVENANCED FINDS

BEDFORDSHIRE

Mauldon Moor. Burial?: at least one amphora from a burial. Rodwell suggests that it may have been of early Roman date (1976a, 323; cf Peacock 1971, 182). There is no evidence on which to base any dating.

CORNWALL

The Rumps. Cliff Castle. Hawkes (1966) suggested that an amphora from the site may be Iron Age but the piece is not described or illustrated in the final report and on the basis of the stratigraphy there (Brooks 1974, 12) and in the second interim report (idem 1966, 7) it is likely that the context is Roman or later. Hawkes' description appears to be that of a late Roman Spatheia (Bonifay 1986, 275-7, Fig 4, 2-8; Dubuis, Haldimann and Martin-Kilcher 1987, 163-5, Fig 6, 4-10) and he now considers the amphora to be of this type (pers comm).

ESSEX

Canewdon. Burial?: three amphorae. Rodwell suggests that they were not Dr 1 (1976a, 322) although later on he argues from a similar lack of evidence that the finds at Mark's Tey were Dr 1 (cf App 2.3, 4).

KENT

Boughton Monchelsea. Burial?: two amphorae. Rodwell suggests that they may have been Iron Age imports (1976a, 324; Cunliffe 1982c, 46; Haselgrove 1987a, 153) but there is no evidence to support this.

12.2 OTHER FINDSPOTS

Thompson refers to a number of amphorae sherds from south-east England none of which need be of Iron Age rather than Roman date (1982, 604, 617, 620, 766, 814, 833 and 850). Of these only the sherd from Sturry, Kent, which seems as if it could be from a Dr 1-4 (ibid, 833) may be relevant here.

AMPHORAE NOT CERTAINLY OF BRITISH LATER IRON AGE DATE

13.1 CAMULODUNUM 189

Typology

The traditional British description 'carrot amphora' describes this amphora well. It is very small and has a tapered body rarely wider than 15cm. There is no neck and the rim may be either a simple bead rim or a plain everted one. There are a pair of small lug handles either side of the mouth. The body is covered with rilling. It has a characteristically friable fabric.

Provenance

The surface texture of the quartz grains in the type were examined using a scanning electron microscope by Shackley (1975, 58-9) and this suggested an origin in a desert environment. Petrological analyses have not been able to demonstrate the origin of the type but Palestine has been suggested (Green 1980b, 45; Williams 1984b, 76). Sealey has doubted this, suggesting that it is apparently not found in Israel (1985, 88). However, a southern or eastern Mediterranean origin seems likely on the evidence presently available (Peacock and Williams 1986, 109). It is noteworthy that in describing finds from Carnuntum, Grünewald compares the fabric to Pompeian Red ware (1983, 34).

Contents

In an exceptionally fine discussion of the type, Loeschke suggested that the small size of the vessel suggested that it contained quite specialised contents and that as similar vessels in glass represented on wall paintings at Pompeii appeared to contain fruit and an actual vessel from Pompeii appeared to contain dried fruit, then it was probably a fruit amphora (1942, Loeschke suggested that the vessel was called a cadus but Reusch (1970, 61, Anm 52) doubts that there is enough evidence to support this. Sealey suggests that a Cam 189 variant from Avenches contained dates (1985, 88). While the association with dates is clear (Reusch 1970, 58-9), the amphora is not a Cam 189 variant but a distinct and later, third-fourth century AD type (Peacock 1977d, 298-9; Peacock and Williams 1986, 200-1, Class In the light of Loeschke's comments about the 'loaves' in Pompeian Red ware platters at Pompeii (Ch 5.2), his comments about the fruit in vessels should be viewed cautiously. An example from London contained 3.15L (Sealey 1985, 88) but this may not be too relevant if fruit was the content.

Chronology

The earliest vessels may be from Oberaden, represented by handle fragments, which Loeschke took to be Cam 189. Peacock and Williams (1986, 110), following Reusch (1970, 56), appear to have reservations over this and these may well be well founded. If this is the earliest date for the type it is curious that the next certain finds are of Claudio-Neronian date. Peacock and Williams (op cit) suggest that finds at Wiesbaden and Vindonissa are of

early first century date. The Wiesbaden find is probably from the Heidenberg and may be from the Domitianic stone fort (which is the likeliest context) or from the pre-Claudian wooden forts. earliest date would be late Augustan or early Tiberian but the amphorae is likely to be later as are the bulk of the older finds from Wiesbaden (Reusch 1970, 54). The finds from the Vindonissa are not well dated, the earliest ones, ie those from the eastern Schütthügel, may be dated no more precisely than c AD 30-60. There is a fragmentary find from Rödgen which is identified as a Cam 189 (Schönberger and Simon 1976. 114). The gap between the Oberaden and Rödgen examples and the later finds is at the least c20 years and possibly up to 50 years. As Reusch has noted the Oberaden handle fragments appear to be quite large, with the 'eye' of the handle being between 8 and 11cm (1970, 56) but the 'eye' of the Cam 189 handle is rarely larger than 3cm. These measurements in connection with finds from Haltern raise the possibility that the Oberaden and Rödgen finds are from the Kingsholm 117 amphorae. The present absence of finds of Cam 189 from Iron Age Britain may then be chronological and it is very likely that Sealey (1985, 88-9) is incorrect to suggest that the type appears under Augustus.

Distribution

The type is apparently found widely in pre-Flavian and early Flavian contexts in Britain, France, Germany, Switzerland, Yugoslavia and Italy and it was clearly traded widely. As mentioned earlier the fabric is particularly friable and can often resemble daub. This has undoubtedly hindered identification, while as argued above (App 11.5), it seems very likely that the

type has been conflated with the Kingsholm 117 and many identifications should be treated cautiously. Even very knowledgeable commentators have confused the two types (eg Sealey 1985, 87-90).

13.2 DRESSEL 14

Typology

The vessel has quite slim ovoid body with a large pointed hollow spike. The handles are oval in section and are quite heavy. The rim is a quite thick bead rim. It is Beltrán's form IV (Beltrán-Lloris 1970, 456-64, Fig 183-5) which has been subdivided by Parker into IVa and IVb (1977, 38). We are concerned with Beltrán IVa.

Provenance

A kiln is known at Calahonda in southern Spain (Beltrán-Lloris 1970, 459; 1977, 102; Parker 1977, 38) and others at Monte da Enchurrasqueira and Vale de Capo in the lower Sado valley (Dias Diogo 1983). Thin-sections made by Peacock and Williams (1986, 126-7) also suggest an origin in southern Iberia.

Contents

A number of *tituli picti* identify the contents of the vessel as fish-based products such as *liquamen* and *muria* (Beltrán-Lloris 1970, 462; Panella 1973, 516-19).

Chronology and Commentary

The earliest find known to Beltrán-Lloris (1970) was from the Stanfordbury A burial which is probably Romano-British but these amphorae are unusually small vessels. Sealey has suggested that their small size is explicable by the exceptionally large scale of the illustration in Dressel's table (1985, 150), but this does not explain their small size in comparison to actual Dr 14 and their identification as this seems doubtful. The earliest find is apparently from the Sud-Lavezzi 3 or C wreck where only one was The wreck is probably late Augustan-early Tiberian found. (Corsi-Sciallano and Liou 1985, 167, Fig 108, 3103; Panella 1973, 517 suggests that there is also a find from the Tiberian-Claudian However, the type is apparently absent from Lavezzi I wreck). Claudio-Neronian sites and its absence from the large Sheepen assemblage is probably chronological. Most dated finds are Trajanic or later (Beltrán-Lloris 1970, 457; Panella 1973, 516-19).

Farley has suggested that a southern Spanish vessel from Aston Clinton may be of Iron Age date (Farley 1983, 299-300, Fig 14). Farley suggests that the vessel is a Dr 2-4 but it appears to be a Dr 14 and therefore unlikely to be an Iron Age import.

STAMPS ON AMPHORAE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

14.1 ON DRESSEL 1

- AA. On Dr 1B rim from Welwyn B burial (Stead 1967a, 8, Fig 6, 2; Callender 1965, 59, no 2; App 2.1, 45). Other finds at Mt Beuvray and the Titelberg. As Callender suggests, the find from Cirencester //AA is probably a misreading. The stamped Dr 1 from Leaholme (14.2, 2 below) is apparently a different vessel (A.D. McWhirr pers comm).
- AVALER. On Dr 1B rim from Chichester (Down 1978, 248, no 3, Fig 10.15, 3; Callender 1965, 179, nos 162-3?: App 2.1, 59). This may be related to the stamps of A.V(aleri)? and A.Val(eri) Ius(ti)? from Peña de la Sal and Modena respectively. The former is stamped A.V. and because of its brevity may be on a Dr 1 but is as likely to be on a Catalonian vessel.
- EB. On the base of a handle from Quarry Wood Camp, Loose (Kelly 1971, 84, no 33, Fig 12, 33; Arthur 1986, 241, 257, Fig 2, 9; App 2.1, 55). A vessel from Någes is stamped ED (Callender 1965, 124, no 567), possibly EB, and a Dr 1A from Alba Helviorum has a similar stamp from a different die (Lauxerois and Vichy 1975, 58, Fig 6, 10.

- HI.. Stamped on the bottom of the neck of a Dr 1B from Welwyn Garden City (Stead 1967a, 8, Fig 5, 5; 6,3; App 2.1, 47) and on the rim of a Dr 1B from Colchester-Lexden (St Clare Road) (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 214, Fig 45, 7; App 2.1, 11d). The two stamps are from different dies. Callender read the Lexden find as HIB (1965, 140, no 705, Fig 7, 41). A stamp HB occurs at Mt Beuvray (idem 138, no 686) but both could be HIB or HIE or either.
- PE. Heybridge Langford Junction. Twice on the rim of a Dr 1B (May 1930, 244, no 37, Fig 8, 37; Rodwell 1976a, 319; Wickenden 1986, 57-8, Fig 26, 28; Callender 1965, 204, no 1307, Fig 12, 45; App 2.1, 15b). May mentioned a dipento TML (op cit, Fig 8, 38), but this was not supported by Peacock and Rodwell, and examination of the feature shows it to be a dribble of pitch (cf Wickenden 1986, 58). The find is incorrectly attributed to Colchester by Callender.
- Sos. On the rim of a Dr 1B from the Welwyn A burial (Stead 1967a, 8, Fig 6, 1; App 2.1, 45). The stamp is difficult to read and could perhaps be COS. There is a vessel from Sainte Colombe stamped COSP (Callender 1965, 110, no 433). It is just possible that the stamp is PAPI (cp Aulnas 1980-81, 67, no 68, Pl 12, 68).
- 8 VAMP. On the rim of a Dr 1B from Watton-at-Stone (Rook et al 1982, 21; App 2.1, 44).

14.2 UNCERTAIN READINGS ON DRESSEL 1

- Braughing Gatesbury Track. A fragmentary stamp perhaps reading J.R on a Dr 1B rim (Partridge 1979, 114, Fig 34, 2; App 2.1, 36b) which could perhaps be expanded to D.R.
- Cirencester Leaholme. On the base of what is identified as a Dr 1 (App 2.1, 26; A.D. McWhirr pers comm).
- 3-4 Colchester Sheepen. One illegible stamp on the rim of a Dr 1B (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 214, App 2.1, 11e).

 Another stamp, apparently on a Dr 1 handle which would be unusual may have read (?N RVII). There is a N..RVII stamp from Villar de Brennes in Baetica (Callender 1965, 196, no 1225) which might suggest a Dr 20.
- A Dr 1 intermediate between Dr 1A and 1B from Hengistbury Head has a stamp on the rim (App 2.1, 7). Bushe-Fox read it as BA·V (1915, 53 and illus) which has also been found at Nuits St George (BA. VE) (Callender 1965, 81, no 182(a)), but the illustration given by Peacock (1971, Fig 37, 16), although at a much smaller scale, could be read as 1B M .
- A fragmentary stamp on a Dr 1B from Highstead (App 2.1, 54) reads .A... (N. Macpherson-Grant pers comm).

14.3 ANEPIGRAPHIC STAMPS ON DRESSEL 1

A Palm stamp, perhaps on a Dr 1B from Braughing - Station Road (Partridge 1979, 48, Fig 12, 3; App 2.1, 36d).

Although Peacock states that the stamp is unparalleled, there are related palmette stamps on what is probably a Dr 1A from Basel-Gasfabrik (Furger-Gunti and Berger 1980, Taf 169, 767), on the rims of two Dr 1B from Roanne (Bessou 1976, Pl 21; Aulnas 1980-81, 68, Pl 15, 88; 16, 89) and on a further vessel from Basel-Münsterhügel (Furger-Gunti 1979a, 99, Taf 7, 94).

14.4 STAMPS ON VESSELS OTHER THAN DRESSEL 1

P. On a Pascual 1 or Catalonian Dr 2-4 from Weymouth
Bay, probably Pascual 1 (Damon 1890; App 4.2, 4).
Beltrán-Lloris notes four related stamps on Pascual 1
(1977, nos 39, 47-8, 96, Fig 9, 15; 10; 13-14; 15, 11).

14.5 STAMPS ON VESSELS NOT CERTAINLY OF IRON AGE DATE

BV and BI. Two stamps on a Pascual 1 or Catalonian Dr 2-4 from the Colchester-Colonia (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 214, Fig 45, 2; Callender 1965, 83, no 195; App 4.2, 6a). Read as BARBARI or BARBARATI and BIBVLI or BITHI by Corsi-Sciallano and Liou (1985, 162-3).

The first stamp, apparently from the same die, occurs with the stamp IVC on a Dr 2-4 from Fos where it is read

by Amar and Liou as BAR (1984, no 81). It is also known on a Dr 2-4 from the Chrétienne H wreck (three times) and with the stamp RIM on a Dr 2-4 from the Diano Marino wreck (Corsi-Sciallano and Liou 1985, 79, 98). The former wreck is dated to between c AD 15-25, the latter to around the mid-first century AD by Corsi-Sciallano and Liou (*ibid*, 94, 106). The BAR stamp is attributed to Can Tintores.

The possibility that the Colchester piece was an Iron Age import, is strengthened by the stamps documented by Corsi-Sciallano and Liou and by the occurance of a Catalonian Dr 2-4 stamped CIT and A·B from Augustan-Tiberian levels at Xanten (Heimberg 1987, 454, Abb 16, 13).

2 MAR.OF On an italian Dr 2-4 from Stanmore Park (App 3.3, 3). Not certainly of Iron Age date as there is an example from Pompeii (Callender 1965, 175, no 1019; Panella and Fano 1977, 162).

14.6 STAMPS ON VESSELS PROBABLY NOT OF IRON AGE DATE

1 AQA. Apparently on a Cam 186A from Colchester (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 214, Fig 45, 1). Following Hawkes and Hull, Callender compared the piece to A A stamps from Forêt de Compiègne and Mt Beuvray and suggested that it was Italian and dated to between 25 BC and AD 25 (1965, 72, no 116). However, Cam 186A were rarely stamped and the form of the stamp suggests that it may be Catalonian.

The similarity of the A A and Q stamps is insufficient evidence on which to ascribe an Iron Age date.

PILID (ES) or ILIB. A stamp from Richborough (Callender 1965, 206, no 1333, Fig 13, 1; idem in Cunliffe 1968, 164, no 70). Gianfrotta suggests that the stamp is on a Dr 1B and reads it as PILIP (1982, 20, Fig 24) and this has been followed by Tchernia (1986, Carte 6) and Boudet (1987, 211). Irrespective of how the damaged Richborough stamp should be restored, Callender is specific that the stamp is on the handle of a Dr 20 and there seems no reason to doubt this.

BESANÇON TRADITION; CAMULODUNUM 102, 262 AND UNSPECIFIED VESSELS FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

15.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF CAMULODUNUM 102 JARS

ESSEX

- 1a Colchester Lexden Tumulus. Burial: (Foster 1986, 118-20, Fig 37, 3).
- 1b Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: four vessels (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 278).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Baldock. Settlement: (Stead and Rigby 1986, 285, Fig 111, 92). Tyers (1981b, 103, n 3) gives the total of vessels from the excavations as four but it is not clear how many were Iron Age imports. Stead and Rigby state, however, that there is only one Cam 262 (1986, 231).
- 3a Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: (Partridge 1981, 336, Fig 126, 6).
- 3b Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: Sixteen vessels in Iron Age contexts (Partridge 1981, 57, 66, 77, 99-100, Fig 22, 55, 61-3; 28, 39; 37 13; Tyers 1981b).
- 4 St Albans King Harry Lane. Cemetery: (Stead and Rigby

1986, 285; Tyers 1981b, 103, n 4; Rigby and Freestone 1986, 13).

KENT

Farningham Hill. Rural settlement: probably Cam 102 (Philp 1984, 41).

15.2 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF CAMULODUNUM 262 JARS

ESSEX

1 Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: three vessels (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 281).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 2a Braughing Bathhouse. Oppidum?: (Partridge 1977, 45, Fig 16, 8).
- 2b Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: seven vessels (Partridge 1981, 335, Fig 126, 7-13).
- 2c Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: 29 vessels (Partridge 1981, 56-7, 64-6, 74, 77, Fig 22, 49-54, 56-9; 28, 32-8, 40; 34, 12-13; 37, 14; Tyers 1981b).
- 3 St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: two vessels (Thompson 1982, 938, Fig 119, 12).

15.3 UNSPECIFIED BESANÇON TRADITION VESSELS PROBABLY IN IRON AGE CONTEXTS

DORSET

Poole Harbour - Ower. Settlement: (Galliou 1984, 34, n
14; Timby 1986, 78, Tab 1).

KENT

Canterbury. Oppidum?: (Stead and Rigby 1986, 285;
 R. J. Pollard pers comm; Rigby and Freestone 1986, 13).

LEICESTERSHIRE

3 Leicester - West Leicester. Oppidum?: Unpub.

Additionally some of the Silchester finds could be Iron Age imports (Tyers 1981b, 103) although some of the Colchester-Sheepen finds (Rigby and Freestone 1986, 13-14) do appear in Romano-British contexts, they could be residual.

CENTRAL GAULISH FLAGONS FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

16.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DORTON FLAGONS

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

Dorton. Burial: two vessels (Freestone and Rigby 1983, Fig 12, 1-2).

DORSET

Poole Harbour - Ower. Settlement; sherds probably from one vessel may be pre-conquest (Timby 1982, 335-6; 1986, 77).

ESSEX

- Burnham-on-Crouch. Burial: (Thompson 1982, 650, Fig 25, 775).
- 4a Colchester Abbey Field. Burial: (Birchall 1965, 310, Fig 20, 172).
- Ab Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: it is impossible to assess how many of the eleven Camulodunum vessels in Period I contexts were imports but the similarity of some to known imports (eg Hawkes and Hull 1947, 248, 279, Fig 52, 1) suggests that some were.

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: at least one example (Clifford 1961, 228, Fig 63, 71), although its context is not certainly Iron Age, the piece may well be residual.

HAMPSHIRE

Silchester. Oppidum: a number of vessels likely to be Iron Age imports (May 1916, Pl LX, 105-6, 108-9; Boon 1969, 73, Fig 14, 165), even though Boon regards the single piece published by him as post-conquest.

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Partridge 1981, 337, Fig 128, 19, 19a-e).
- 7b Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: three vessels (Rigby 1979, 108-11).
- 7c Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: eight+ vessels (Partridge 1981, 64, no 28-31, 82, no 1, 93, no 61-3, 190, Fig 28, 28-31; 40, 1; 47, 61-3).
- 8a St Albans King Harry Lane. Cemetery: AA Burial 17.

 Two vessels (Freestone and Rigby 1983, 292; Rigby and Freestone 1986, 11).
- 8b St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: at least two vessels (Thompson 1982, 529, 533; 12, D1; 12, [555]).
- 9 Welwyn Garden City. Burial: (Stead 1967a, 14, Fig 9, 36, Pl III, d).

Weston. Settlement?: one possible example (Thompson 1982, 860, Fig 83, 726).

KENT

11 Canterbury. Oppidum?: one vessel (Rigby and Freestone 1986, 11).

LEICESTERSHIRE

Leicester - Blackfriars Street/Bath Lane. Oppidum?: one vessel (Clay and Mellor 1985, 41, 51, Fig 32, 35). Two others, *ibid*, nos 80 and 90 may be residual in Roman contexts.

16.2 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF CENTRAL GAULISH CAMULODUNUM 161 Ab OR 163 FLAGONS

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: two vessels, one of which (no 23) may be a waster (Partridge 1981, 337, Fig 128, 22-3).
- 1b Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: two vessels (*ibid*, 74, 182, Fig 34, 9).

KENT

2 Canterbury. Oppidum?: a possibly Central Gaulish vessel

(Thompson 1982, 663, no 1193, Fig 28, 1193).

16.3 UNIDENTIFIED CENTRAL GAULISH FLAGONS

HAMPSHIRE

Silchester. Oppidum: sherds from Fulford's excavations
(J.R. Timby pers comm).

HERTFORDSHIRE

2 Braughing - Wickham Kennels. Settlement: ?Claudian (Partridge 1980-82, 59).

16.4 UNCERTAIN FINDS

DORSET

Poole Harbour - Ower. Settlement: one flagon could be an Iron Age import, but it is not clear from the published account (Timby 1986, 77, Tab 1).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

2 Bagendon. Oppidum?: one vessel, likely to be a Cam 163 contra Clifford (1961, 228-9, Fig 63, 71), might be an Iron Age import.

CAMULODUNUM 166 'FLAGONS' FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

17.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OR CAMULODUNUM 166 'FLAGONS'

ESSEX

1 Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: five vessels occurred in Period I-III/Iron Age - Conquest period contexts (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 249, 279).

HERTFORDSHIRE

2 Braughing - Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: (Peacock 1981, 201, Fig 81, 14).

GALLO-BELGIC FLAGONS FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

18.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF GALLO-BELGIC FLAGONS

BERKSHIRE

Burghfield. Burial: one Cam 140B could be of Iron Age date (Boon and Wymer 1958, 48, Fig 3, 2).

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

2 Snailwell. Burial: Two Cam 136, with rilled rims, two
Cam 163A and one Cam 163B (Lethbridge 1953, 35, Pl
II-III).

ESSEX

- 3a Colchester Lexden Group 10. Burial: one Haltern 45 cf
 Cam 140 (Sealey 1985, 150).
- 3b Colchester Lexden Group 21 (St Clare Drive) Sheepen Park. Burial: one Cam 161A (Colchester Mus Rec 1931-32, 26; Hawkes and Hull 1947, 14, n 5, grave 5).
- 3c Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: one Cam 140; one Cam 140D; 27 Cam 161; two Cam 162; four Cam 163

279).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: two Cam 153 and one Cam 163 may be
Iron Age imports plus unidentified vessels (Clifford
1961, 229-30, Fig 51, 1).

HAMPSHIRE

5 Silchester. Oppidum: (Timby in Fulford 1985a, 26).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 6a Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: one Cam 141A

 (Partridge 1981, 335, no 2, Fig 126, 2), one Cam 161A and

 one Cam 163A (contra idem 335, nos 26-7, Fig 128, 26-7).
- Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: one Oberaden 50 (Partridge 1981, 56, no 46, Fig 21, 46), one Cam 136C (ibid, 189), eight Cam 161, (ibid, 55, no 45, Fig 21, 45; 64, nos 26-7, Fig 28, 26-7; 182-5, 192), three Cam 163 (ibid, 183, 185, 192), two Cam 161/163 (ibid, 186-7), fifteen unidentified (ibid, 184, (one described as one-handled), 186-90, 193-4).
- 6c Braughing Station Road. Oppidum?: seventeen Cam 161, one Cam 163 and nine unidentified (Partridge 1979, 107-13).
- 6d Braughing Wickham Kennels. Oppidum?: (Partridge 1980-82, 59).

- 7a St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: Thompson 1982 Area 1, Enclosure A, four Cam 165 (Thompson 1982, 874, 901, 907); one Cam 161 (ibid, 905); Area 2, one Cam 140B (ibid, 913; Wheeler and Wheeler 1936, 171, Fig 22, 1); Cam 165 (Thompson 1982, 918); Cam 155 (ibid, 926). The last two may be indigenous copies (ibid, 929).
- 7b St Albans King Harry Lane. Cemetery (Stead 1969).
- 7c St Albans Verulam Hills Field. Cemetery: a Cam 161A from grave 17 (Anthony 1968, 17, Fig V, 17; Thompson 1982, 847).

LEICESTERSHIRE

8 Leicester - Blackfriars Street. Oppidum?: six vessels, unidentified (Clay and Mellor 1985, Tab 2).

18.2 UNIDENTIFIED GALLO-BELGIC FLAGONS FROM LATER IRON AGE SITES

HAMPSHIRE

Owslebury. Rural settlement and cemetery: pipeclay flagons from both, some described as 'Hofheim' (Collis 1968, 23, 27; 1970, 250).

HERTFORDSHIRE

2 Braughing - Wickham Kennels. Oppidum?: two apparently typologically pre-Claudian flagons from a Roman context (Partridge 1980-82, 59).

3 Crookhams. Rural settlement: one Cam 161/3, probably an Iron Age import (Rook 1968, 60, Fig 9, 21).

WILTSHIRE

4 Casterley Camp. Rural settlement: one Cam 161?

(Cunnington and Cunnington 1913, 102, Pl V, 11).

18.3 UNCERTAIN FINDS

DORSET

Poole Harbour - Ower. Settlement: one flagon could be an Iron Age import, but this is not clear (Timby 1986, 77, Tab 1).

HERTFORDSHIRE

Lockleys. Settlement: Ward-Perkins suggested that a flagon could be an Iron Age import (1938, 357-8), but the context is poorly dated and only vaguely associated with Ward-Perkins' 'earliest Belgic level' and so need not be Iron Age rather than Roman.

SOUTH HUMBERSIDE

Dragonby. Settlement: a number of PC flagons occur in contexts ascribed to ceramic stage 8 (eg 2100/C, Elsdon and May 1987, 124, Chart 1-2, Diag 1) or 9 (1477, op cit,

125, Chart 1-2, Diag 1-2). However, South Gaulish Samian was associated in 2100/C so it is possible that a later date should be entertained, and there appears to be no unambiguous evidence to support a pre-conquest date for ceramic stage 9. While the pre-conquest import of flagons alongside TR, TN and other PC vessels may be thought likely it cannot be demonstrated stratigraphically.

APPENDIX 19

CAMULODUNUM 139 'JUGS' FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

19.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF CAMULODUNUM 139 'JUGS'

DORSET

1 Poole Harbour - Ower. Settlement: at least one vessel (Williams 1986, 80-1).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 2a Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: (Partridge 1979, 113, Fig 34, 6).
- 2b Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum? (Partridge 1981, 194).

LEICESTERSHIRE

3 Leicester - West Leicester. Oppidum?: likely to be of Iron Age date (unpub).

APPENDIX 20

BLACK CORDONED WARES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

20.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF BLACK CORDONED WARES

DEVON

1 Mount Batten. Settlement: (Cunliffe 1983a, 125; 1987a, 310).

DORSET

- 2a Bournemouth Burleigh Road. Settlement: (Calkin 1965, 127; Cunliffe 1982a, Fig 8; 1987a, 312).
- 2b Bournemouth Tuckton Farm. Settlement: not cited by Calkin (1965, 127) but plotted by Cunliffe (1982a, Fig 8; 1987a, 312) who cites Calkin as an authority.
- 2c Bournemouth Wick. Settlement: (Calkin 1965, 127; Cunliffe 1982a, Fig 8; 1987a, 312).
- 3 Christchurch, Mill Plain. Settlement: (Calkin 1965, 127; Cunliffe 1982a, Fig 8; 1987a, 312).
- Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: 252+ vessels from Cunliffe's excavations (1987a, 310) excluding the earlier finds (1bid, 208) and two+ vessels from site 6 (1bid, M8: A4-5).
- 5a Poole Harbour Furzey Island. Settlement: three sherds

- (P.W. Cox pers comm; Cox 1985 for the site; also Cunliffe 1987a, 310, 314).
- 5b Poole Harbour Green Island. Settlement: (Cunliffe 1982a, 46, Fig 8; 1987a, 310, 312).
- Poole Harbour Hamworthy. Settlement: (Cunliffe 1982a, 46 but not plotted on Fig 8 or his 1987a, Ill 219; Jarvis 1982, 182).

20.2 FINDS FOR WHICH THERE IS INADEQUATE INFORMATION

DORSET

Redhill Common. Settlement: listed by Calkin (1965, 127) but not cited by Cunliffe (1982a, 66, Fig 8), however, on his Fig 16 Cunliffe plots the site as having produced imports, presumably Black Cordoned ware. Not mentioned in Cunliffe 1987a.

1

APPENDIX 21

GRAPHITE COATED WARE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

21.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF GRAPHITE COATED WARE

DORSET

- 1 Christchurch, Mill Plain. Settlement: (Calkin 1965, 127; Cunliffe 1982a, 66, Fig 9; 1987a, 310, Ill 223).
- 2 Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: 241+ vessels from Cunliffe's excavations (1987a, 310), excluding the earlier finds (ibid, 208).
- 3a Poole Harbour Green Island. Settlement: (Cunliffe 1982a, 66, Fig 9; 1987a, 310, Ill 223).
- 3b Poole Harbour Hamworthy. Settlement: (Cunliffe 1982a, 46, 66, Fig 9; 1987a, 310, Ill 223; cf Jarvis 1982, 182).

21.2 POSSIBLE FINDS

SOMERSET

Meare Village West. Settlement: one vessel is described as wheel-made and graphite coated and compared to the Hengistbury Head finds (Bullied and Gray 1948, 20, 34, Pl XVI, P 90; XIX, P90). The omphalos base of the vessel is

difficult to parallel but this may be due to the reconstruction.

APPENDIX 22

RILLED MICACEOUS WARES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

22.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF RILLED MICACEOUS WARES

DORSET

- Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: 60+ vessels from Cunliffe's excavations (1987a, 310) excluding those from the earlier excavations (1bid, 208).
- Maiden Castle. Hillfort: (Cunliffe 1987a, 310;
 N. Sharples pers comm).
- 3 Poole Harbour Hamworthy. Settlement: (Cunliffe 1982a,46, Fig 10, 1987a, 310; cf Jarvis 1982, 182).

APPENDIX 23

MORTARIA FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

23.1 CERTAIN OF PROBABLE FINDS OF MORTARIA

ESSEX

Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: at least nine Cam 191A and one 191B vessels were recovered from Iron Age contexts (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 221, 280, Fig 53, Pl LXXIII, 191, A & B). No mortaria were found in preconquest contexts in the 1970 excavations, although two could be Iron Age imports (Hartley 1985, 92, Fig 49, 3; M2: E8, F4).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: one Cam 191B (Clifford 1961, 230, Fig 64, 80).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 3a Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: at least four *Cam*191. Almost all are probably pre-conquest imports
 (Partridge 1981, 335, Fig 126, 14-17).
- 3b Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: although no quantified data is presented in the report, according to the contexts given at least five mortaria were found in

certain Iron Age contexts (Hartley 1981, nos 2, Fig 79, 6, 7, 11, 17; Fig 79, 5, 21; Fig 79, 7) while another two were found in contexts probably of Iron Age date (*ibid*, nos 1, 14, Fig 79, 9). Hartley notes nos 3, 5, 12, 19 and possibly 17 as typologically early, but as only no 17 comes from an Iron Age context these others may be residual in later contexts.

23.2 FINDS POSSIBLY OF IRON AGE DATE

HAMPSHIRE

Silchester. Oppidum: a mortarium found in third-fourth century AD context could possibly be residual from the Iron Age (Boon 1969, 60, Fig 11, 15).

HERTFORDSHIRE

2 St Albans - Prae Wood. Settlement: a ?mortarium sherd could possibly be an Iron Age import (Thompson 1982, 887, no 41, Fig 96, 41) but could be intrusive.

23.3 FINDS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED TO BE OF IRON AGE DATE BUT WHICH ARE PROBABLY ROMANO-BRITISH

Oldbury. Hillfort: Ward-Perkins (1939, 153; 1944, 139, 143) states that two sherds were found in late Iron Age contexts. However, other Roman pottery including burnt

27

samian and a colour-coated rouletted beaker was found in what Ward-Perkins took to be a fortification against the Claudian invasion (Tyers 1981a, 271) and excavations by Thompson suggest that this phase may be of late Roman date; as is the mortarium (Thompson 1986, 275-7).

~4

APPENDIX 24

POMPEIAN RED WARE FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

24.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF POMPEIAN RED WARE

ESSEX

Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: three Cam 17A platters, but no accompanying lids, were recovered from Period I contexts. One example whose context was not stated has a bead rim (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 221, 227). All probably in Peacock's Fabric 1.

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

2 Bagendon. Oppidum?: one vessel from an Iron Age context (Clifford 1961, 217).

HAMPSHIRE

3 Silchester. Oppidum: at least one vessel (J.R.Timby pers comm).

HERTFORDSHIRE

Baldock. Settlement: one vessel (Stead and Rigby 1986, 281).

- 5a Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: one vessel, probably Iron Age (Rigby 1981d, 332).
- Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: one vessel in an Augustan-Tiberian context in Peacock's Fabric 1 (Rigby 1979, 109).

24.2 FINDS POSSIBLY OF IRON AGE DATE

SURREY

Walton-on-the-Hill. Settlement: one Cam 17 which appears to be in Peacock's Fabric 3, thought to be from an Iron Age context, although the dating is not entirely clear (Lowther 1950, 78, Fig 5, 6).

APPENDIX 25

TERRA SIGILLATA FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

No attempt has been made to standardise the various usages of the Dragendorff, Haltern, Loeschke, Ritterling or other site-specific internal typologies employed by different authors as these may reflect important typological nuances.

25.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF TERRA SIGILLATA IN BRITAIN

AVON

Steep Holm. Settlement?: one Drag 11 (Boon 1987). A small fragment of the decoration is present and is similar to works by M. Perennius Tigranus probably made in Arezzo (Brown 1968, 18, no 45, Pl XII, 46). There is no certain evidence for Iron Age activity on the island and while the piece may be associated with an early Roman signal station contra Boon (1987), it would be a very old 'heirloom'.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

2 Barrington. Burial?: complete L 7 stamped CHRESTIO

TITTI▼

(O/C 2084, k). ?Arezzo (Haverfield 1915-16, 53-7

Fig 1-2).

176, 110) and XANTHI externally (O/C 177, 341) (Babington 1851-59; Krüger 1904; Walters 1906-8; Haverfield 1915-16; Oxé 1933, 82, Taf XXXVIII, a-c; Oxé 1930, 39 following Krüger's suggestion that the vessel arrived with its shipwrecked owner, cf Tacitus Ann II, 24; Ch 17.2.3).

DORSET

- Gussage All Saints. Settlement: L 8A or 11. Arezzo?
 (Wainwright 1979, 88).
- Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: one sherd of 'Arretine' was recorded by Bushe-Fox (1916, 47; Cunliffe 1978a, 57, 79). Boon appears to suggest that the piece is Gallo-Belgic (Boon 1969, 29, n. 4) and the piece was rejected by Hull (1961, 210-11) but it is a L 1A probably from Arezzo (Cunliffe 1987a, 281).
- Poole Harbour Ower. Settlement: a L 2? from Lyon and an unidentified piece from Iron Age contexts. However, a L 1, L 2, two L 8 and one Ritt 5 from Roman contexts are all from Lyon and typologically pre-conquest.

Also typologically pre-conquest are a vessel from Puteoli, an unprovenanced Drag 17 and another unprovenanced vessel (Pengelly 1986, 71, Fig 39, 7-8; Timby and Williams 1986). There is also a decorated Lezoux ?crater from an Iron Age context (Pengelly 1986, 71-2, Fig 39, 9).

ESSEX

- 7a Colchester Lexden Tumulus. Burial: a Ha 8 may come from the burial (Foster 1986, 120, Fig 37, 4; cp von Schnurbein 1982, 40-1, Abb 10.2).
- 7b (i) Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: 1930s excavations (Hawkes and Hull 1947).

Decorated Wares

Ten Drag 11s could be Iron Age introductions but only one, one of Hawkes and Hull's un-numbered finds was found in a context taken to be Iron Age (*ibid*, 168-9, Pl XX). Five vessels are described as 'Arretine' (nos 1-3 and the last two un-numbered vessels.

Attributions suggested by Hawkes and Hull are (1) M. Perennius and Bargates, and (2) Bargates. The last of the pieces has a Xanthus stamp. Reviewing the volume Comfort (1949, 329) suggests that Ateius or P. Cornelius may be a more likely attribution for vase number 1, but the piece is very fragmentary.

Two Tiberian, probably early Tiberian vessels are illustrated on Pl XXI, the second of which is stamped OFI·MACCA; twenty-eight Tiberian Drag 29 are illustrated on Pl XXII. Plate XXIV, 2 is also regarded as Tiberian while Pl XXIII, XXIV, 1 and XXV illustrate 57 early Claudian pieces some of which, particularly Pl XXIII, 1, 3, 7-9, 18 and 20, could be Tiberian. None of the pieces are certainly attributable.

~/

Plain Wares

Hawkes and Hull (op cit, 188-9) regarded approximately 250 vessels as 'Arretine' and state that 'the odds are at least twenty to one that any given Arretine piece here is in fact a pre-conquest import' (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 190).

According to Hawkes and Hull the forms were represented in the following quantities, the numbers in brackets are the number of sherds stratified in contexts taken by them to be of Iron Age date (Tab 25).

On the evidence presented by Hawkes and Hull (1947, 188-9) it is not possible to distinguish which of the vessels ascribed by them to southern Gaul may have arrived in the Iron Age.

The forty-five stamps can be tabulated as in Table 26.

7b (ii) Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: 1970 excavations (Dannell 1985).

Decorated Wares

Dannell ascribes 21 vessels to the Tiberian period. Of these no 1 may be ascribed to Cadmus, 10 to Bilicatus or Balbus and 28 to Igenvvs. Numbers 11 and 70 belong to Vernhet's Potter G1 of La Grafesenque. Number 8 is a Drag 11 from Arezzo of later Augustan-early Tiberian date. Only one vessel no 32 is an early Tiberian piece,

TABLE 25
'ARRETINE' FROM HAWKES AND HULL'S COLCHESTER - SHEEPEN EXCAVATIONS

Form	Total Sherds	Sherds in Period I
	Context	
S1	19	1
S2	42	5
S3	1	-
S4	30	7
S5	-	
S6	10	2
S7	1	-
S8	-	-
S9	-	-
S10	2	_
S11	20	6
S12	2	1
S13	3	1
S14A	34	2
S14B	-	-
S15A	6	-
S15B	-	-
S16	1	-
S17	-	-
S18	9	~
S19	-	-
S20	-	-
S21A	10	1
(other forms not a	represented)	
TOTAL	190	26

Source: Hawkes and Hull 1947, 188-9.

TABLE 26

CORRELATION OF CAMULODUNUM 'ARRETINE' STAMPS WITH OXÉ AND COMFORT

CORPUS NUMBERS

Camulodunum Arretine	Oxé and Comfort	Camulodunum Arretine	Oxé and Comfort
Stamp	Number	Stamp	Number
1	125	25	2292/2295
2	145	26	. 177
3	145	26a	177
4	145	26b	177
5	145	27	177
6	145	28	177
7	144	29	177
8	144	30	177
9	144	31	176
10	144	32	177
11	160	33	177
12	160	34	177
13	176	35	212/1084/1696
14	180	35a	?1084
15	212	36	82/84
16	599	37	Not 'Arretine'
17	?685		cf Comfort 1948
18	703	38	82/551/566
19	703	39	425/155
20	?63	40	2381
21	?1058	41	177
22	1188/1581	42	145
23	1732	43	144
24	1732		

Source: Hawkes and Hull (1947, 192-3).

c AD 15-30, although no 2 is not much later. The rest are dated by Dannell from c AD 25 onwards.

Plain Wares

Dannell does not distinguish any specifically Augustan pieces but in general his datings seem rather later than is demanded by the continental European evidence rather than by Dannell's interpretation of the British material (eg 1977). Dannell ascribes a number of vessels to an unexplained 'Ateius B' fabric which I am unable to correlate with any published fabric. It may be a Lyon or Pisan fabric and if so a rather earlier dating may be required than Dannell proposes. The pre-Claudian material or material possibly of this date is tabulated below (Tab 27), the datings are Dannell's:

TABLE 27

PRE-CLAUDIAN TERRA SIGILLATA OR 'ARRETINE' FROM THE 1970 COLCHESTER - SHEEPEN EXCAVATIONS

CONTEXT	FORM	PROVENANCE	DATE
PERIOD III			
120, 5	Ritt 5	-	-
u	L 11	?Arrezo	Probably pre-
			Claudian

127	Ritt 5	provincial	
		Arretine	_
131	", worn	?Ateius B	Tiberio-Claudian
11	Drag 17	44	44
135	Ritt 5	-	ч
PERIOD IV			
site i, 101	Ritt 5	Ateius B	Tiberio-Claudian
120, 3	11	Arretine	и
11	17/18 R	-	Claudian or possibly
			pre-Claudian
site i, 120,	3 -	probably Ateius B	Claudian
136	L 8	proto South	pre-Claudian/
		Gaulish	Claudian
157, 4	Drag 18	Ateius B	Claudian
PERIOD IVb, 1			
site iii, 323	B Drag 17R	?Arretine	Tiberio-Claudian
" 325	Drag 17	Ateius B	Claudian
u u	Drag 27	16	16
" 340	Plate	proto South Gaulish	-
"	Probably	Ateius B	-
	Drag 17		
"	Plate	?Arretine	Tiberio-Claudian
" 339	L 1B	Ateius B	-
PERIOD IVb, 2			
site iii,	?Drag 17	proto South Gaulish	-
Layer 4			
11	rouletted plate	Arretine	pre-Claudian

site i,	102	Ritt 9	Ateius B but	Claudian
			smaller, ?proto	
			South Gaulish	
41	"	Drag 15/17	Unusual fabric -	?Claudio-Neronian
			sub-Arretine slip	
			but buff/pink paste	
			and plain rim	
11	**	Drag 17	?provincial	Claudio-Neronian
			Arretine	
69	**	**	Ateius B	Tiberio-Claudian or
				Claudian
a	112	Ritt 5	Probably Arretine	-
**	11	Drag 17	Provincial Arretine	·
ш,	132		2 Arretinische [sic] fragments, more
				orange than Ateius B
				fabric but probably
				from the same source
site ii	, 236	L 8A	Crude provincial	-
pit			Arretine or early	
Complex	r A		South Gaulish	
16		L 1A	South Gaulish	_
86		Ritt 5	~	Claudian
		small		
**		L 5B	-	16
Ditto,				
feature	252	Drag 17	Arretine	-
site ii	, 213	Drag 24/5	-	Tiberio-Claudian
site v,	503	Plate	?Arretine	44
PERIOD	III-IV			
site ii	, 203	Drag 17	Ateius B	-
•	209	Ritt 5	16	-
	11	L 1B	?South Gaulish,	-
			large and heavy	
81	238	Plate	-	?Tiberio-Claudian
11	239	Drag 17x2	Ateius B	Claudio-Neronian

**	242	Drag 15R	**	_
site ii,	242,	Drag 17	Arretine	Tiberio-Claudian
Pit		type		
Complex E	3	•		
site ii,	277	Drag 17	"	-
site iv,	2	"	proto South Gaulish	Tiberio-Claudian
PERIOD V				
site iii,		Plate	-	Probably Tiberio-
				Claudian
Layer 2				
UNSTRATIF	IED			
				·
site i		L 5	-	#1
**		Inkwell	-	Tiberio-Claudian
11		Cup	possibly provincial	-
			Arretine	
11		L 1a	Ateius B	Claudian
II		L 1b	16	ч
11		Drag 17R	**	-
site ii		Ritt 9	_	Tiberio-Claudian
11		Drag 17	Ateius B	11
**		Drag 24/5	_	Tiberio-Claudian or
				Claudian
11		Ritt 5	?provincial	Claudian
			Arretine	
11		L 9	?Arretine	-
		?stamped	[Possibly an Ateius	
		TVS	stamp?]	
TOTAL		5.0		
TOTAL		56		

Source: Dannell 1985.

- Colchester. Williams (1978, 6) attributes a stamp of MAEIS (O/C 169) apparently from Colchester to Arezzo, which does not correlate with any published stamp from the site. Williams also attributes another sherd to Rome (1bid, 7) but does not state its provenance but it may be suspected to come from Hawkes and Hull's Sheepen excavations.
- Fingringhoe Wick. Settlement?: one vessel stamped CN. ATEI (O/C 145) and two other sherds L 19 or Drag 11 in the Benton Collection (P. R Sealey pers comm).
- 9 Goldhanger Salt Production site: one sherd described as "Arretine" (Reader 1907-9, 194).
- 10 Heybridge. Burial: platter, stamped PER [. x 4 rad. Comfort (1942, 92, n 15) reads the stamp as P. Hert(ori) (O/C 788, 118) as does Kenrick (in Wickenden 1986) (Birchall 1965, Fig 16, 139; Wickenden 1986, 53, Fig 26, 9; 27, 9).
- 11 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village: L 4A (Eddy with Turner 1982, 29; other finds mentioned by Rodwell 1976a, 205; K. A. Rodwell 1979, 330).
- 12 Layer-de-la-Haye. Settlement: fragment (Turner, Turner and Major 1983, 132).

(May 1918; O/C 180, 32). Thin sectioning by D.F. Williams shows it to be from Arezzo (pers comm). A Drag 29 stamped ACVTIM, possibly from La Grafesenque (May op cit, 230, Pl II; Simpson 1976, 252-3).

Wickford. Settlement: some material may be Iron Age
(Britannia 1, 1970, 291).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: Dannell (1977) classifies the assemblage from Clifford's excavations as Ritt 5 (x2), Drag 17 (x3), Drag 15/17 (x1), Drag 24/5 (x2), Drag 29 (x1) and Drag 33 (x1). Dannell recognises fourteen sherds from Lezoux, 'many' from La Grafesenque and one piece from Italy, which is presumably the sherd Williams (1978, 9) assigns to Arezzo. Dannell considers most of the assemblage to be pre-conquest in date but much is in post-conquest contexts (cf Trow 1982b).

HAMPSHIRE

16(i) Silchester. Oppidum: the majority of the finds have been described but not quantified by May (1916) and Boon (1969). Seven Drag 11 are known, one is stamped CN. ATEI EURYÂLUS

(O/C 164, 10) and two others are attributable, one to Ateius and the other to CN Ateius Xanthus. Boon illustrates an 'Italic' L 3b (1969, 58, Fig 10, 28).

A further fourteen stamped vessels have been published (Tab 28).

TABLE 28

'ARRETINE' STAMPS FROM SILCHESTER

1	SEX->>>	(O/C 88, 91)
	ANNI	
2	ATEI	(O/C 144)
3	·ATEI·	(O/C 144, 795)
4	ATEI.	(O/C 144, 796)
5-6	ATEI	(O/C 144, 797-8)
7	ÂTEI#	(O/C 144, 799)
8	: C÷N·ATEI:	(O/C 145, 347A)
9	CN ATEI EH	(in trefoil, O/C 160, 60)
10	<u>ATEI M</u>	(O/C 168, 42)
11	MENA AVILL	(O/C 292, 1)
12	IVCÚNDI	(O/C 835, n)
13	·IHTN CAX	(O/C 177)
14	XANTI	(O/C 177, 339)

Source: Boon 1969.

TABLE 29
TERRA SIGILLATA FROM SILCHESTER

CONTEXT	FORM	TOTAL	PROVENANCE	DATE
Pit 1, South Gate	Drag 11 " Drag 15 Drag 18	1 2 1	Italian South Gaulish Italian or very early South Gaulish Italian	Tiberio-Claudian « «
Surface Finds, Group 1 Phase 18	_	2	Arezzo?	Augustan-Tiberian
	L 8a	1	u N	" Augustan-Claudian
Surface Finds, Group 9 Phase 18	L 2	2	α	Augustan-Tiberian
	Drag 27 ?	3	South Gaulish	Tiberio-Claudian «
TOTAL		19		

Source: Fulford with Corney 1984.

**

Following Hawkes and Hull (1947), Boon (1969, 29) discounts the possibility that much, if any, South Gaulish material arrived before the conquest but notes three decorated pieces (May 1916, Pl 2, 10; 11, 1-2) which may be of Tiberian date and a Drag 27 (Boon 1969, 56), and points out the difficulties in Hawkes and Hull's arguments. Boon has noted early Montans ware (May 1916, Pl 81A, 1, 3, 15; 81C, 1-3; Boon 1969, 29, n 9) and also three early pieces of Lezoux ware, with two vessels by VITLUS, (Boon 1967, 30-2, 36-8, 1, 1B, 7) which may be Iron Age imports.

16(11) Three sherds of Arretine, apparently Italian, were found by Collis (1983, 64-5), two pre-dating the bank, and there is further material, including stamped vessels, from Italy and southern Gaul, from Fulford's excavations (1985a, 3, 26).

Earlier excavations by Fulford published a number of vessels likely to be of pre-conquest date (Fulford with Corney 1984, 128-36, 253). The identifiable vessels are given in Table 29 above.

HERTFORDSHIRE

Baldock. Settlement: one Ateius stamp, ATE[..]E , suggested to be Cn Ateius Mahes (O/C 168, no 39) or Cn Ateius Xanthus (O/C 176, no 57-65) and from Arezzo of Augustan date (Stead and Rigby 1986, 202). However, a Pisan or Lyon origin is more likely. One early La Grafesenque Drag 29 could also be an Iron Age import (1bid, 215, Fig 89, 20) but came from a mid-fourth

. .

TABLE 30

ITALIAN 'ARRETINE' FROM BRAUGHING - GATESBURY

FORM	TOTAL	PROVENANCE
L 1	3	Arezzo
L 5	3	u
L 8	2	11
L 4	2	u
Ha 12	2	II
Ha 10	1	"
Large Plate	1	**
TOTAL	14	

There are further unidentified sherds.

Source: Dannell 1981a.

4

century AD context (ibid, 215, 369).

- Braughing Bath House. Settlement: two L 1A apparently from Arezzo with a further unidentified vessel from there and four L 2A from an unidentified source. Dannell describes them as micaceous but does not suggest that they are from Lezoux (in Partridge 1977, 40-1). There is also a Ha 7 from the river (ibid, 103).
- 18b Braughing Ermine Street. Oppidum?: Italian 'Arretine' (Haselgrove 1987a, 432).
- 18b Braughing Gatesbury. Settlement: Dannell identifies fourteen vessels all from Arezzo (Tab 30).

Although Dannell states that all the vessels are from Arezzo (1981a, 327) elsewhere he states that there is a L 4 from an unidentified source (1981b, 152).

There is an unidentified radial stamp, another of CRESTI (O/C 425) while another stamp is recorded by Westell (1936, 362) but not mentioned by Dannell. The stamp is LTAR (O/C 1902) and is almost certainly radial (Westell 1936, 362) and a further ATEIUS stamp (O/C 144) is mentioned by Corder and Pryce (1938, 276).

- Braughing Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: Partridge states that the material is earlier than that from Skeleton Green but does not provide further details (1979, 103).

 I have been unable to examine the material.
- 18e Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: Dannell quantifies the Italian sherds as follows (Tab 31):

TABLE 31

ITALIAN 'ARRETINE' FROM BRAUGHING - SKELETON GREEN

FORM	TOTAL	AREZZO	AREZZO?	POZZUOLI
Crater	3	1	2	_
L 1	10	8	2	_
L 1/2	1	-	1	_
L 2	12	12	_	_
L 3	3	3	-	_
L 7	3	2	1	_
L 8	12	10	1	1
L 10	1	1	-	-
L 11	2	-	2	-
L 14	2	2	-	_
L 15	2	2	-	-
Drag 17 var	3	1	2	_
Ritt 5 var	1	-	1	-
TOTAL	55	42	12	1
Σ	110	•-		-

Source: Dannell 1981b.

There are six stamps: 1, EROS (O/C 2096); 2, XANTHI

(O/C 177); 3, RASN (O/C 1485); 4, SECU (O/C 1719, b, i, NDI

k, 1); 5, TITI¥ (O/C 1997); 6, ATEIXI (O/C 176, 66 or 84).

One of the Craters is probably attributable to Tellius (Dannell 1981b, 154, no 2, Fig 75, 2).

Dannell also notes the following South Gaulish vessels from contexts dated to before AD 45 some of which may well have arrived before the Roman conquest (Tab 32).

TABLE 32

SOUTH GAULISH TERRA SIGILLATA FROM BRAUGHING - SKELETON
GREEN

FORM	QUANTITY	DATE	PROVENANCE
Drag 15R	1	Claudian	Southern Gaul
Drag 17	4	Tiberian-Claudian	68
Drag 15/17	3	Claudian	99
Drag 18	2	"	u
Drag 24/25	5	"	66
Drag 27	5	**	86
44	1	11	II.
Drag 29	3	Tiberian-Claudian	ŧŧ
Ritt 5 var	1	Claudian	**
Ritt 12	1	н	eq
Crater	1	Tiberian-Claudian	u
Unidentified Plates	2	Claudian	н
Drag 24/25	1	"	Lezoux
TOTAL	30		

Source: Dannell 1981b.

From post-conquest contexts the following vessels possibly of pre-conquest date were recorded (Tab 33).

TABLE 33

POSSIBLE LATER IRON AGE IMPORTS OF TERRA SIGILLATA FROM BRAUGHING - SKELETON GREEN

FORM	QUANTITY	DATE	PROVENANCE
Ritt 5	1	Tiberian	Southern Gaul
Drag 17	1	11	**
Drag 17R	2	66	u
Ritt 5	4	Tiberian-Claudian	•
Ritt 14	1	11	u
Drag 17	2	"	u
Drag 27	1	(1	•
Drag 29	2	u	ч
Drag 11	1	41	u
Drag 15/17	1	11	•
TOTAL	16		

Source: Dannell 1981b.

Braughing - Wickham Kennels. Oppidum?: the following vessels probably or possibly of pre-conquest date were found (Partridge 1980-82, 58; Tab 34):

TABLE 34

TERRA SIGILLATA FROM BRAUGHING - WICKHAM KENNELS

FORM	QUANTITY	DATE	PROVENANCE
L 7b L 2	1 1	Augustan Augustan-Tiberian	Arezzo Italy
Drag 29	1	Tiberian-Claudian	Southern Gaul
TOTAL	3		

Source: Partridge 1980-82.

- 18g Braughing, River Rib. Settlement?: (Partridge 1977, 103).
- 19 Gorhambury. Settlement: (Dannell 1977, 232, n 1).
- Park Street. Settlement: a L 8a is probably of pre-conquest date. The stratigraphical context of the sherd is unclear as it appears to be from the lowest silt of a second century AD pit (Rubbish Pit II) which O'Neil seems to suggest is in some way 'Belgic' (O'Neil 1945, 48, 77, no 4, Fig 15, 4). However, O'Neil calls contexts which are clearly of early Roman date 'Belgic'.
- 21a St Albans King Harry Lane. Cemetery: five Samian vessels, some of which may be Iron Age imports (Stead 1969, 49).
- 21b St Albans Prae Wood. Oppidum?: the vessels are noted

 (Tab 35) according to Thompson's groupings but corrected
 according to Wheeler and Wheeler's publication.

*

TABLE 35

'ARRETINE' FROM ST ALBANS - PRAE WOOD

AREA 1
Enclosure A [=Group B]

FORM	QUANTITY	DATE	PROVENANCE
L 1	1	Augustan-Tiberian	?
L 2a	2	H	?
L 2b/3b	1	Tiberian	3
L 8	2	Augustan-Tiberian	. ?
Drag 7	1	Augustan	?

(Thompson 1982, 874; Wheeler and Wheeler 1936, 155, Fig 7).

Enclosure A, Section II

FORM	QUANTITY	DATE	PROVENANCE
L la/b	7 rim sherds	Augustan-Tiberian	3.
L 8	1	94	?

Further unidentified sherds

(ibid, 903, 905)

AREA 2

Black Ditch

Burnt Footring

(*ibid*, 918)

AREA XXII

FORM QUANTITY DATE PROVENANCE

L 4 1 Augustan-Tiberian ?

(Thompson 1982, 922)

"Black Ditch"

One vessel not identified, burnt (1bid, 928)

AREA 2

One L 1a/b (ibid, 933)

TOTAL 20+

Source: Thompson 1982; Wheeler and Wheeler 1936.

Dannell notes a stamp AGATHERMVS (O/C 1086d) (Dannell 1977, 232, n 5) and there are 'possible yellow-grey Arretine sherds' (Thompson 1982, 901).

KENT

Canterbury - Butchery Lane. Settlement: a stamp C. SENTI

(O/C 1732) (Williams and Frere 1948, 16, 35, Fig 13, 6)

probably from Lyon (cp von Schnurbein 1982, 106-11, Abb

29, 6).

≪.

22b Canterbury - Marlow Theatre. Settlement: Arretine (J Roman Stud 48, 1958, 149).

LEICESTERSHIRE

23a Leicester - Blackfriars Street. Oppidum?: three vessels were discovered (Tab 36).

TABLE 36

TERRA SIGILLATA FROM LEICESTER - BLACKFRIARS STREET

FORM	QUANTITY	DATE	PROVENANCE
Ha 13/16	1	Augustan/Tiberian	Dannell suggests
			Arezzo but it may
			be from Pozzuoli
Ritt 4	1	Augustan/Tiberian	Southern Gaul
L 1	1	Augustan-Tiberian	Arezzo
TOTAL	3		

Source: Clay and Mellor 1985, 59, Fig 36, 1-3.

23b Leicester - Jewry Wall. Oppidum?: none of the material published by Kenyon is necessarily pre-conquest (1948)

:44

but there is one unpublished L 2 from the site (Jewry Wall Mus Acc No LP. 115, 2).

Leicester - St Nicholas Street. Oppidum?: a fragment from a crater assigned by Oswald (1933) to M. Perennius Bargates but the combination of the grapes and vines suggest that it may actually be a piece by M. Perennius Tigrates (cf Dragendorff and Watzinger 1948, 202, Taf 22, 279, 282-3; Chase 1908, Pl XXI, 131) although Comfort regards it as the work of P. Cornelius (1962b, 454). Comfort dates the piece to the late Augustan-early Tiberian period. The sherd appears to have a reliable context, although it also does look like a collector's piece.

NORTH HUMBERSIDE

Redcliff (North Ferriby). Settlement: two vessels might be Iron Age introductions (Corder and Pryce 1938, 264-5, no 1, Fig 2, 1; 1939) but the bulk of the material from the site is later (Stead 1976b, 133-4). However, as Corder and Pryce (1939) pointed out, the second vessel is paralleled in Augustan-Tiberian contexts and might be a contemporary import and Roman imports may have been arriving at the site before AD 43 (cf Crowther 1987, 285).

OXFORDSHIRE

25 Dorchester-on-Thames. Settlement: one L 5 which Frere

Ý

suggests may be an Iron Age import (1962, 129, 133, Fig 14, 15; 1984b, 105-6).

SUFFOLK

Burgh-by-Woodbridge. Settlement: an L 8 in a Gaulish fabric. Other vessels may be Iron Age imports (Martin 1975 and pers comm).

27 Hacheston. Settlement?: (Dannell 1977, 232, n 1).

SUSSEX

28 Chichester. Oppidum?: the finds from Down's publications are considered most conveniently separately (Tab 37-40) with the pit group x 165 (D) also presented on its own.

TABLE 37

'ARRETINE' FROM CHICHESTER

FORM	QUANTITY (SHERDS)	AREZZO	POZZUOLI	LYON	LEZOUX	PROVINCIAL?
L 1	5	5				
L 2	37	29	2		1	5
L 3	1?	1?				
L 7	2	2				
L 8	30	26	3			1
L 9	3			3		
L 11	21	16				5

L 12	1	1				
L 15	2	2				
L 16	1	1				
TOTAL	103	83	5	3	1	11
TOTAL	103	83	5	3	1	11

Source: Dannell 1978.

TABLE 38

PRE-CLAUDIAN SOUTH GAULISH TERRA SIGILLATA FROM CHICHESTER

FORM	TOTAL (SHERDS)
L 2	5
L 8	8
Drag 17	7
Drag 24/25	2
Drag 27	2
Ritt 8	1
Ritt 9	2
TOTAL	27

Source: Dannell 1978.

There is one stamp ATEI/XANTHI. It is a cross-shaped stamp not paralleled in Oxé and Comfort (1968) (Dannell 1978, 227, Fig 10.10, 2).

1

TABLE 39
TERRA SIGILLATA FROM CHICHESTER

FORM	QUANTITY	AREZZO	LEZOUX	SOUTH GAULISH
L 1	2	2		
L 1A	1	1		
L 2	2	2		
L 2A	2	2		
L 2B	2	1+?1		
L 8	2	1		1
L 8A	5	5		
L 8B	1	1		
Drag 16	1		1(Tiberian)	
Plate	6	6		
Cup	1	1		
Pedesta:	1 1	1		
TOTAL	26			

Source: Dannell 1981c.

There are stamps of XANI (O/C 177, 31); M JAEIS (O/C 169, 35) and an illegible one (Dannell 1981c, 263-4, Fig 11.1, 1-29).

TABLE 40

TERRA SIGILLATA FROM PIT X, 165 (D) AT CHICHESTER

FORM	QUANTITY	AREZZO	SOUTHERN GAULISH
L 3	1	?	
L 8	2	2	
L 11	2	2	
L 12	1	1	
Drag 15/17	2		2
Drag 17	1		1
Drag 27	1		1
Ritt 12	1		1
TOTAL	11		

Source: Dannell 1981c.

The group probably dates to the 30s AD (Dannell 1981c, 264, Fig 11.1, 30-40).

29 Fishbourne. Settlement: (Dannell 1971).

Dannell distinguished four fabric groups (Tab 41).

TABLE 41

TERRA SIGILLATA FROM FISHBOURNE

'ITALIAN'

'ATEIUS'

L 1A/B 2
L 1 1
Ritt 5 2
Drag 17 9
Drag 33 2
Pedestal 1

'PROTO-SOUTH GAULISH'

L 1A 1
Drag 15/17 1
Drag 17 4

'MISCELLANEOUS'

Drag 17 stamped ATEI O/C 144

Drag 15/17

TOTAL 32

Source: Dannell 1971.

There are also stamps of ATE , ATEI (retrograde) (O/C 144), CREZTI (O/C 425), a further probable Ateius stamp and an unidentifiable stamp.

Dannell is rather optimistic in including some of the vessels as 'Arretine', for example the Drag 33s, while the rarity of cup forms from the 'typical' Arretine assemblage amongst the Fishbourne finds suggests that many of the Drag 15/17 vessels may be rather later than he suggests. Analyses of some sherds has indicated that one of the Ateius vessels (Cunliffe 1971, 262-3, Fig 121, 32; 122, 1A) is from La Grafesenque (Dannell 1978, 225; Williams 1978, 7) while six sherds are attributed to Arezzo, one of which Williams states to be stamped XANTHUS (ibid, 6), but none of the stamps published by Dannell can be plausibly read as this. Lastly one piece is attributed to Lyon and one to Lezoux (Williams 1978, 8).

Selsey. Context not known: stamped CANES/ IVS (O/C 96?).

Dannell (1977, 231, 233, n 13) seems to imply that the piece is from Lezoux. The provenance has not been verified (Pitts 1979, 73, no 56; Aldsworth 1987, M1: B10).

WILTSHIRE

Oare. Settlement?: two pieces, one Ritt 9 stamped PLEV, which should be Tiberian and a Ritt 4b may be pre-conquest introductions. Both are probably from La Grafesenque (Swan 1975, 59). Hartley (in Swan 1975, 59) suggests a Romano-British rather than Iron Age date, specifically because of the supposed rarity of

pre-conquest South Gaulish sigillata imports. This can be doubted (Rodwell 1976a, 308-9; Champion 1979, 402, 430, n 38).

25.2 BRITISH FINDS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED TO BE OF LATER IRON AGE DATE

DORSET

Poundsbury. Settlement: Bird (in Green 1987, 119) suggests that one piece may be early Italian or Gaulish [sic] but it is not clear if it may be an Iron Age import.

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Rodborough. Settlement: one fragment of a Drag 17 possibly of Tiberian date was found but it is impossible to locate where from the evidence published (Rennie 1959, 42, Fig 6, 30). The O'Neils and the Royal Commission regard the site as a Roman camp (O'Neil and O'Neil 1952, 27; RCHME 1976, 98) while Rennie regards the earthworks as medieval (1959). The possibility that the vessel was an Iron Age import should not be discounted (cf Swan 1975, 44-5).

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

3 East Bridgford (Margidunum). Roman fort: the piece may

be by Cornelius rather than by M. Perennius Tigranus, but if it is a Tiberian vessel then it was probably introduced after the Claudian conquest (Oswald 1940; Comfort 1962b, 454-5; Todd 1967), if indeed this broad attribution is correct. Marsh has stated that G. Simpson has reidentified the piece as an early second century AD Drag. 37 of Potter X-2 of Les Martres-de-Veyre (Marsh 1979, 127). Oswald claimed that the sherd was found in a Claudian pit but it is difficult to support such an early date (Todd 1967; 1968).

4 Oundle. Settlement: suggested to be Arretine by Hull (1961, 211) but Dannell (1979, 180) identifies it as Central Gaulish beaker by Libertus.

NORTH YORKSHIRE

Stanwick. Fortified settlement: as Hartley and Fitts (1977) point out, none of the material need be earlier than AD 43 although their reasoning is not entirely convincing (Chadburn 1983, 17; Haselgrove and Turnbull 1983; 1984; 1987).

OXFORDSHIRE

Bicester. A decorated sherd probably by M. Perennius
Tigranus (Comfort 1942; Stenico 1960b, 59; Brown 1968,
13, Pl IX, 25, Fig 1, 25). Comfort has proposed that the
vessel is later than AD 43 (1959b, 6; 1962b, 456) but has
subsequently retracted the suggestion (1975). The

authenticity of the findspot is not guaranteed (Haverfield 1905-7).

STAFFORDSHIRE

Hanley. Comfort (1962b) suggests that the vessel may be a product of Tindari and while it may be of later Tiberian date, it seems unlikely to have been introduced to Britain in the Iron Age and possibly not even in antiquity (Simpson 1968, 36; Marsh 1979, 129, n 34).

SURREY

Walton-on-the-Hill. Settlement: a L 2 from a Roman context and while possibly residual it seems likelier to be a Romano-British introduction as there is scanty evidence for Iron Age occupation (Lowther 1950, 78, Fig. 9, 41).

WEST YORKSHIRE

Almondsbury. Hillfort: although often stated to be 'Arretine' (eg Branigan 1984, 29; Hanson and Campbell 1986, 74), the description of the sherd is hardly suggestive of it (Varley 1976, 130) and while Collis' scepticism is perhaps too much (1984c, 89), the sherd is not accepted as an Iron Age import here.

25.3 FALSA

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

Bartlow Hills. A stamp of L.R. Pisanus taken by Fox (1923, 203, n 1) and Rodwell (1976a, Fig 44) to be genuine but there are no records of its discovery and as the piece is apparently tardo-italic and the stamp is not found in western Europe and also looks to be a collector's piece, it is very unlikely to be a genuine find (cf Hawkes and Hull 1947, 190, n 1, who appear to imply, somewhat misleadingly, that the piece is from Cambridge rather than in the museum there).

ESSEX

Maldon. Hull mentions a find from a burial at Maldon (1961, 210) but not from the one at Heybridge and it seems likely that the two were confused (cf Rodwell 1976a, 319; App 2.1, 15b) and the find is from the Heybridge New Cemetery (cp Wickenden 1986, 53; = App 25.1, 10 above).

MIDDLESEX

London. Based on careful research into the history of the pieces Marsh (1979) has shown that all the pieces must be regarded as modern introductions (cp Rodwell 1976a, 308).

Finds apparently from collections (eg Hildyard 1951; Detsicas 1964) are not considered here.

25.4 REPUBLIC OF IRELAND

The sole piece of Roman material which is thought to have been imported into Eire from continental Europe during the British later Iron Age is included here for the sake of completeness.

CO. OFFALY

Ballinderry Crannog No 2

A single sherd of 'Arretine' was identified by Wheeler (Hencken 1942, 48) and this has been upheld by subsequent commentators (Ó, Ríordáin 1947, 66-7; Bateson 1973, 27, 66) although the sherd itself does not appear to have been checked. It is uncertain if the sherd is 'Arretine' or later along with most of the terra sigillata from Ireland.

APPENDIX 26

GAULISH FINE WARES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

26.1 CERTAIN OR POSSIBLE FINDS OF GAULISH FINE WARES

BERKSHIRE

Cunning Man. Burial: TN Cam 7 stamped NOVIIDVS. A vessel identified as a TN Cam 21 but possibly a MicTN Cam 2B, and a PC Cam 113. The stamps (cf Rigby 1981a, 169) and the possibility that the unstamped vessel may be MicTN (Timby 1982) suggests that the burial may be Iron Age (Boon and Wymer 1958, 48-50, Fig 3, 1, 3-4).

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

Bierton. Rural settlement: MicTN Cam 52, TR 1A Cam 74 and 76, TR 1C Cam 7B (Rigby in Allen 1986, 21-3, Fig 14, 34; 16, 90).

CAMBRIDGESHIRE

- Foxton. Burial: TN, two Cam 8, one stamped TORNOS/ VOCAO [sic] (Fox 1923, 201).
- Snailwell. Burial: TN, two Cam 56, one stamped IVLLIO: TR, Cam 7A stamped CARIIVIR, Cam 74; Cam 112A; PC Cam 113

DORSET

- Gussage All Saints. Rural settlement: TR Cam 5. This vessel and possibly some of the PC Beakers from the site may be Iron Age imports (Wainwright 1979, 73).
- Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: one TN Cam 2, possibly of pre-conquest date (Bushe-Fox 1915, 56, P1 XXVII, 28) and a Central Gaulish Butt Beaker Cam 112/115, with notched scroll decoration (ibid, 47, P1 XIII, 8). There is another beaker from Cunliffe's excavations as well as a small number (five) of certainly Aquitanian wares; a Santrot and Santrot (1979) Form S 175 tazza, one or two bowls (58a), a platter (A216) and a closed form (225?) (Rigby 1987, 279-80, Ill 192, 2584, 2586, Not illus, 2587, 2585) plus a further five possible ones (idem, M8: A14). In general, however, Rigby inclines to date most of the assemblage to the post conquest period.
- Maiden Castle. Hillfort: the TR Cam 2 could be an Iron Age import (Wheeler 1943, 242, Fig 76, 1; Rigby 1987, 278), although it could possibly be associated with the early Roman occupation (Todd 1984; Rigby in Green 1987, 118, M3:C6).
- Poole Hamworthy. Settlement: some TN and TR platters may be Iron Age imports (Smith 1930; Timby 1982; Rigby 1987, 278) as there may be other Iron Age imports (App 2.1, 10c), but some could be associated with an early military phase (cf Cunliffe 1982a, 46).
- 8b Poole Harbour Ower. Settlement: it is difficult to

ascertain which pieces are from Iron Age contexts from the published evidence (Woodward 1986). However, there is one TN vessel, a TR 1A Cam 73/74, a TR 2 and a TR3 vessel, one MicTN Cam 1 or 2 and a PC Cam 113. One Aquitanian tazza (Santrot and Santrot Form 171) (Timby 1986, 77, Fig 41, 32) and a platter (1bid, 78, Fig 41, 34). There is also a beaker whose origin cannot be discerned.

ESSEX

- Burnham-on-Crouch. Burial: TN Cam 2, PC Cam 112.

 Although not certainly Iron Age imports none of the other material from the site is demonstrably Roman so the vessels are included here (Thompson 1982, 650, Fig 25, 668).
- 10a Colchester Lexden Group 21 (St Clare Drive). Burial:

 TR Cam 76A (Colchester Mus Rep 1932, 26, 32, 35, Pl VIII,

 1-2). The Camulodunum type figure (cf App 8.1, 3a).
- Colchester Lexden Tumulus. Burial: TR?, one, possibly two, Butt Beakers = one 115/16 and one Cam 112 (Laver 1927, 246, Pl LII, Fig 2, where Laver conflates a Cam 102 as well; Foster 1986 113-16, 120-1, Fig 37, 1, 5; 38). According to Rigby (in Foster 1986) the Cam 112 may not actually be from the burial and the 115/16 is suggested to perhaps be a British product, although a central Gaulish source should not be excluded.
- 10c Colchester Lexden Group 10. Burial: TN Cam 7 (Sealey 1985, 150).
- 10d Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: Hawkes and Hull did not

distinguish between TR and TN in their summary of finds from the Periods while very few Gallo-Belgic wares (six) were certainly stratified in Iron Age contexts in Niblett's excavations (1985, M1: F12), accordingly they are presented together (Tab 42) rather than separately as with the Terra Sigillata (Tab 25-7).

TABLE 42

GALLO-BELGIC WARES FROM COLCHESTER - SHEEPEN

CAMULODUNUM FORM	TOTAL	COMMENTS
1	1	
2	19	
3	14	
4A	1	
5	19	
6	2	
7	14	At least one in TN
8	9	
11	2	
12	17	
12/13	1	At least one in TN
14A	3	
14B/C	1	
16A	3	
51C	1	
53	2	
56	25	At least one in TN
57	1	
74	1	
76	2	

79A	1	
82	1	in TR 3 A
84	12	
82/84	1	in TR 3 B
85B	5	
91	2	
112	21	
113	170	
114A	13	
114B	2	
115/16	62	
TOTAL	462	

Source: Hawkes and Hull 1947; Rigby 1985.

Stamps of the potters Acutus, Acuto, ..V.TO (rad), Andica, AT.., Bitvol, [B]ovit (rad), Durotix, Iiudo, Iappi, Iulios (rad) Ivlio, Ivijo, T[.R].O.X., Viscros, Vritves (rad) were found in Hawkes and Hull's excavations (1947, 208-12) and locin from the 1970 excavations (Rigby 1985, stamp GB no 69, M1: F6). A number of other stamps from the recent excavations could also be pre-Claudian products (*ibid*, nos 8, 11-12, 14, 25, 27-8, 38, 46).

- Danbury, Twitty Fee Camp. Settlement: MicTN Cam 1. More likely to be an import rather than an imitation (Hull 1935-37, 116, Pl X, 9; Bull 1935-37 for the site).
- 12 Elmstead. Burial: TN platter in Iron Age? burial (Rescue News 25 1981, 3).
- 13 Heybridge Langford Junction. Settlement: (Fitch

Collection). The Fitch collection has many Gallo-Belgic wares, some of which, eg the Cam 1 and 2s, are likely to have been Iron Age imports (Wickenden 1986, 57).

- 14 Kelvedon. Rural settlement/village: TN and TR are known but not fully published (K.A. Rodwell 1979, 330; Eddy with Turner 1982, 28-9, Fig 12, 2-10, 13).
- 15 Layer-de-la-Haye. Settlement: MicTN Cam 1; TR Cam 84B, Cam 116A, Cam 116B, Cam 119B and PC sherds (Turner, Turner and Major 1983, 132-3, Fig 7, 1, 4-6, 10).
- Mount Bures. Burial: TN five+ vessels, Cam 7-8?

 Illegible and unrecorded stamps (Smith 1852, 27-8, 35-6).
- Orsett. Burial?: a Cam 1 in MicTN? may be an Iron Age import (Thompson 1982, 793, Fig 63, 1050).
- Wickford. Settlement: Gallo-Belgic wares (*Britannia* 1, 1970, 291).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

- Bagendon. Oppidum?: TN Cam 3, Cam 5, Cam 7, Cam 8, Cam 12, Cam 13, Cam 14, Cam 16, Cam 56; TR Cam 3, Cam 5, Cam 7, Cam 8, Cam 56, Cam 73, Cam 74-9, Cam 84; PC Cam 112 (Clifford 1961; S.D. Trow pers comm). Despite Swan's (1975) argument, some of these finds may well be Iron Age imports (cf Rodwell 1976a, 308-9; Champion 1979, 430, n 38), recent excavations (Trow 1982b) may help to resolve this. Stamps of ANIDECOSI and BENTOISI and CANINICICOS from possible Iron Age contexts from Clifford's excavations.
- North Cerney. Hillfort: TN, TR and PC vessels have been found, some of which, notably TR platters, are probably

Iron Age imports (S.D. Trow pers comm; cf Jarvis 1986,
13).

HAMPSHIRE

- 21 Hayling Island. Temple: Gallo-Belgic wares have been found, some of which may be in Iron Age context (A.C. King pers comm).
- Hurstbourne Tarrent. Burial: TN Cam 2A, Cam 4B; TR Cam
 112/115 (Hawkes and Dunning 1930, 306-8, Fig 32, 4-8; cf
 Knocker 1963; Foster 1986, 115-16).
- Owslebury. Settlement and cemetery (Collis 1968, 23; 1970, 250 notes TN, TR and PC Butt Beakers from the settlement and PC Butt Beakers and TN and TR from the cemetery, two of the TR platters being stamped IIIOIII (Collis 1968, 27, Pl X b; 1977a, Tab 1, Fig 7-8).
- 24 Riseley Farm. Rural settlement: TR 3 vessels (E.L. Morris pers comm).
- 25 Silchester. Oppidum?: There are TN, TR, MicTN and PC vessels as well as Central Gaulish roulette decorated beakers from the current excavations (Fulford 1985a, 26). The stratification of the older finds is far from clear. Boon hints that TN vessels stamped by Acutus and Bovti could be pre-conquest as well as a PC Cam 114 but it is impossible to be conclusive (Boon 1969, 29-31). Quantified data is available from Fulford's earlier excavations (Tab 43).

TABLE 43

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM PIT 1, SILCHESTER

Cam Form	TR1	TR2	TR3	TN	MicTN	PC	TOTAL
?1	_	_	-	-	1	-	1
?2	-	-	_	1	_	-	1
5	1	-		-	-	-	1
8	-	1	-	-		_	1
12	-	-	-	1	-	_	1
?12	-	-	_	1	-	_	1
12/13	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
14	_	-	-	1	-	-	1
52	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
56A/C	-	-	_	1	-	-	1
73/79	1	_	1	_	-	-	2
112	-	_	1	_	-	1	2
?112	-	•••	3	_	-	1	4
113	-	-	-	-	_	1	1
114	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Unidentified	-	-	_	_	-	2	2
TOTAL	2	1	5	6	2	6	22

Source: Fulford with Corney 1984, 128-36, Fig 42-4.

Extra-Mural Sites

Cam 2, 5, 7, 12/13 and 56 are likely to be pre-conquest in manufacture.

- Winnall Down. Rural settlement: it is possible that the MicTN found in Roman contexts could have been Iron Age imports (Fasham 1985, 69, 72, Tab 10).
- Worthy Down. Rural settlement: TN Cam 8, 14, possibly pre-conquest imports (Hooley 1930, 186, Fig 55).

HERTFORDSHIRE

Baldock. Settlement: one TN Cam 2; TR 2 Cam 6; TR 3 Cam 84; TR3 Cam 112 from Iron Age contexts (Stead and Rigby 1986, 283, 285, 287, 292), with (and including) the following vessels considered to be pre-conquest typologically (ibid, 230-1, Tab 10-11) (Tab 44).

TABLE 44

POSSIBLE LATER IRON AGE IMPORTS OF GAULISH FINE WARES FROM BALDOCK

Cam Form	TR 1A	TR 1C	TR2	TR3	TR	TN	MicTR	MicTN	TOTAL
1	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	4	4
2	_	-	· -	-	-	1	-	-	1
3		1	-	_	-	-	-	-	1
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
3/5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
5	2	1	-	-	_	9	-	-	12
5/6	3	-	_	-	-	-	-	· _	3
6	-	-	1	-	_	-	-	-	1
7	-	1	2	_	-	-	-	_	3
7c	-	_	_	-	1	-	-	_	1
12	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	_	1
Baldock 17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Cam 79	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Cam 82	-	_	-	1	-	-	_	-	1
Cam 84	-	_	-	1	_	-	-	-	1
Cam 112	~	_	_	1	-	-	1	-	2
Platter	-	-	-	-	_	_	1	-	1
TOTAL	7	3	4	3	1	11	2	6	37

Source: Stead and Rigby 1986.

29a Braughing - Bath House. Oppidum?: apparently a pre-conquest collection which can be tabulated as follows (Tab 45) (Rigby 1977b).

TABLE 45

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM BRAUGHING - BATH HOUSE

Cam Form	TR 1	TR 2	TR 3	MicTN	TN	PC	Total
1	-	-	-	2	-	-	2
2	-	-	-	-	2		2
3	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
4	_	_	-	2	-		2
7 or 8	-	_	_	-	.1	-	1
Platter	-	_	-	1	2	-	3
54/56	_	1	-	_	-	_	1
56C	-	-	_	-	1	_	1
84	-	_	1	-	-	_	1
112	-	-	3	-	-	-	3
113	-	-	_	_	-	4	4
Beakers	_	-	4	-	-	_	4
TOTAL	1	1	8	5	6	4	25

Source: Rigby 1977b.

There are stamps of Julios and Andocaulo or Andicovilo. The same Julios die was found at Haltern (Rigby 1977b, 1981b).

29b Braughing - Ermine Street. Oppidum?: Gallo-Belgic wares (Haselgrove 1987a, 432).

29c Braughing - Gatesbury. Settlement: a comparatively large assemblage (Rigby 1981d) (Tab 46).

TABLE 46

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM BRAUGHING - GATESBURY

Cam Form TR TR 1A TR 1B TR 1C TR 2 TR 3 MicTR MicTN TN PC TOTAL

1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	5
2	-	-	-	~	-	-	_	-	13		13
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	3	~	5
5	5	1	-	-	_	-	-	-	6	-	12
7	8	-	2	6	1	_	-	-	2	-	19
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
10	-	1	_	-	-	-	-	<u></u> .	1	-	2
11	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-	1	-	3
13	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	2	-	2
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	_	1
51A	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	-	1
53	-	1	-	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	2
54	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	_	4
56A	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	5	_	8
76/7	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	3
79	3?	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
112	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-13	3-16	25
113		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	9	9
114	-	-	-	-	-	1	_	-	-	-	1
120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	1	1
Rigby 44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	_	1
Ob 87	-	1	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	1
Ob 91	-	2	_	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	4
TOTAL	19	9	2	12	3	13	5	2	42	23	130

Source: Rigby 1981d.

34

All of these vessels could be Iron Age imports. There are a number of stamps: Acutus x 2, Attisus, Canicos, Cicarus, Julios, Lullos, Smertuccos, Tornos (?), Vritves and an unidentified stamp. Additionally Westell (1936, 362) notes stamps of VIR (Viriodacus?) and MOTTA.

Braughing - Gatesbury Track. Oppidum?: (Rigby 1979). it is possible to divide the finds into those certainly of Iron Age date (Tab 47) and those possibly so (Tab 48).

TABLE 47

CERTAIN LATER IRON AGE IMPORTS OF GAULISH FINE WARES FROM BRAUGHING - GATESBURY TRACK

Cam Form	TR	TR 3	MicTR	TN	MicTN	PC	TOTAL
1	_	_	1	_	1?	_	2
2	2	-	_	1	_	_	3
3	3	_	_	-	_	_	3
4 B	-	_	-	-	1	_	1
5	4	_	-	_	-	_	4
7	-	-	_	1	-	-	1
7B	1	-	-	1	-	_	2
7C	1	-	_	-	-	_	1
53	1	-	-	-	-	_	1
54	1	-	_	-	-	_	1
56	1	-	-	~	-	_	1
74/9	1(TR 1C)	-	-	-	-	-	1
76/7	-	1	_	-	-	-	1
112	-	6	-	-	-	-	6
113		-	-	-	-	20	20
Hol 9b	-	7	-	-	-	-	7
Unidentified	6	_	-	6	2	_	14
TOTAL	21	14	1	9	4	20	69

Source: Rigby 1979.

A stamp of Acutus was stratified in a secure Iron Age context. The rest of the material from the site although

not in secure contexts is possibly also of Iron Age date and the following vessels were represented (Tab 48).

TABLE 48

POSSIBLE LATER IRON AGE IMPORTS OF GAULISH FINE WARES FROM

Cam Form	TR	TR 3	MicTR	TN	MicTN	PC	TOTAL
1					0		0
1	_	_	_	_	2		2
2	-	-	-	4	-	_	4
3	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
3var	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
5	19	-	-	-	-		19
11/12	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
56	2	-	-	-	_	_	2
56A	1		_	-	-	_	1
76/7	2(TR 1C)	-	-	_	-	-	2
84	_	8	-	-	-	-	8
112	12	-	-	-	-	-	12
113	~	-	-	-	_	35	35
Unidentified	22	-	1	10	3	-	36
TOTAL	67	8	1	5	5	35	130

Source: Rigby 1979.

BRAUGHING - GATESBURY TRACK

It should be noted that the totals given by Rigby (1979, 113) do not tally with the vessels documented by her.

Braughing - Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: a major assemblage, fully documented by Rigby (1981a). The securely stratified and identified Iron Age material is tabulated in Table 49 and the unidentified material in Table 50.

TABLE 49

IDENTIFIABLE GAULISH FINE WARES FROM SECURELY DATED LATER IRON AGE
CONTEXTS AT BRAUGHING - SKELETON GREEN

Cam Form TR TR 1A TR 1B TR 1C TR 2 TR 3 MicTR TN MicTN PC TOTAL

1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	15
2	-		_	_	-	-	-	28	2	_	30
3	-	-	4	6	-	-	-	2	-	-	12
4B	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	1
5	2	7	1	5	-	-	-	13	-	-	28
6	-	_	-	1	1	-	-	-	_	-	2
7	1	-	5	10	~	-	-	2	-	-	18
8	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	2	-	-	2
10	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	6
11/12	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	5	-	-	7
12/13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	8
13	_	-	-	1	_	-	-	6	-	-	7
14	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	5	-	-	5
15	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	3	-	-	3
16	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	2
51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	7	-	8
53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	_	1
54	-	-	-	1	3	-	_	-	_	-	4
56	_	-	4	1	1	-	_	4	_	-	10
56A	-	_	1	3	2	-	_	8	-	_	14

56B	~		1	3	~	-	-	1	_	-	5
56C	-	_	_	4	-	-	-	21		-	25
58	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	_	-	3
74/9	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
76/7	-	2	-	1	-	_	-	-	-	-	3
82	-	-	-	-	-	10	_	-	-	-	10
84	-	_	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	_	12
112	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	~	27
113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61	61
114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8
TOTAL	3	10	16	38	10	49	1	117	24	69	338

Source: Rigby 1981a.

TABLE 50

UNIDENTIFIED GAULISH FINE WARES FROM SECURELY DATED LATER IRON AGE
CONTEXTS AT BRAUGHING - SKELETON GREEN

TR TR 1A TR 1B TR 1C TR 2 TR 3 MicTN TN MicTN PC TOTAL Platters 10 5 2 82 13 121 Cups 1 1 4 Beakers 1 -9 7 17 Cup/Bowl -2 - 2 TOTAL 13 5 2 8 2 9 83 15 7 144

Source: Rigby 1981a.

The following stamps were found in secure Iron Age contexts: Atepos, Attissus (rad) Eilo, Julios, Tiotagos (not certain), Vritves, 10S, a potters mark. Additionally these stamps may have been imported during the Iron Age: Acutus, Ata, L: IEC, Dannomarus, 10S or 1VS; 1TV; 1V; 1V, and an unidentifiable stamp.

29f Braughing - Station Road. Oppidum?: (Partridge 1979, 43-5) (Tab 51).

TABLE 51

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM BRAUGHING - STATION ROAD

Cam Form	TR 1A	TR 1B	TR 1C	TR 3	MicTR	TN	MicTN	PC	TOTAL
5	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
7var	-	1	-	_	-	-	-	-	1
13/14	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
56	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
74/9	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
84	-	-	_	3	-	-	-	-	3
84/112	_	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
112	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	3	19
113	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7
91	1?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Unidentified	_	1	-	-	1	2	2	-	6
TOTAL	5	2	2	20	1	3	2	10	45

Source: Partridge 1979.

Additionally a Cam 1 platter in MicTN is probably an Iron Age import residual in a Roman context.

29g Braughing - Wickham Kennels. Oppidum?: (Partridge 1980-82, 58-9) (Tab 52).

TABLE 52

TYPOLOGICALLY PRE-CLAUDIAN FINE WARES FROM BRAUGHING - WICKHAM KENNELS

Cam Form TR 1A TR 1B TR 1C TR 3 MicTR TN MicTN PC CG? TOTAL

5	1	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	1
7/8	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
8	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Platters	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	4
Pedes- talled										
Cup	_	1	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	1
112	-	_	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Beaker	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
TOTAL	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	-	1	11

Source: Partridge 1980-82.

34

The two MicTN vessels are considered to be early Central Gaulish vessels by Rigby and Freestone (1986, 8).

30 Crookhams. Settlement: MicTN Cam 2a; TR 3 Cam 83/5 rather than TR 4 as Rook (1968) suggests (contra Thompson 1982, 687), PC Cam 113 (Rook 1968, 58, Fig VII, 4, 6).

St Albans - King Harry Lane. Cemetery: Rigby (1981a, 195, Tab IV) lists the vessels from the cemetery most of which appear to be of Iron Age date (cf Stead 1969). Rigby appears to be using another classification for this material but this is not explained so the correlation with the Cam series presented in Table 53 is not absolutely certain.

TABLE 53

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM ST ALBANS - KING HARRY LANE

Cam Form	TR	TN	TOTAL
1	-	4	4
2	-	4	4
3	-	1	1
5	3	1	4
7	10	4	14
8	-	8	8
11/12	-	1	1
12/13	-	1	1
13	-	2	2
16	-	1	1
51A	-	1	1
53	1	-	1
54	1	-	1

56A	2	4	6
56B	1	-	1
56C	2	6	8
74/9	3	-	3
76A	3	~	3
76/7	1	-	1
82	1	-	1
84	4	-	4
112A	2	-	2
112C	2	-	2
TOTAL	36	38	74

Source: Rigby 1981a.

AREA 1

31b St Albans - Prae Wood. Oppidum?: Wheeler's excavations
(Wheeler and Wheeler 1936) have been exhaustively
reassessed by Thompson (1982; cf Hunn 1980; Tab 54).

TABLE 54

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM ST ALBANS - PRAE WOOD

Enclosure A

Cam Form TR TN MicTN PC

1 - 1 1 -

2 - 4 - 4 2B - 1 - 1 3 - 1 - 1

TOTAL

2

		•	

4	1	3	-	-	4
4A	-	3	-	-	3
5A	-	1	-	_	1
8	-	1	-	-	1
51B	-	-	1	-	1
53B	1	_	-	-	1
56A	-	1	-		1
58	-	?	-	-	1
76	1	-	-	_	1
78	1	-	-	-	1
83	-	1	-	_	1
85A	2	-	<u> </u>	-	2
113	-	-	-	6	6
Unidentified	-	3+	-	-	3
			•		
TOTAL	6	21	2	6	35

(Wheeler and Wheeler 1936, 155-61, Fig 12-15; Thompson 1982, 880, 883, 885, 891, 893, 895-7, 901, 903, 905, 907). One stamp OTAV or VATO (Wheeler and Wheeler 1936, 158, 176).

AREA 2
'Black Ditch One PC Cam 113 (Thompson 1982, 918).

'U' Ditch = Group C

		TN	PC		
Cam	1	1	-		
	4 B	1	-		
	5	1	-		
	5/6	1	-		
	12	1	-		
	?	1	-	Stamped	
	113	-	4		

(Thompson op c1t, 922, 926)

Area Z One TN Cam 13, (ibid, 933).

Source: Thompson 1982.

- St Albans Verulam Hills Field. Cemetery: TR Cam 7 with illegible stamp, TR3? Cam 112 from grave 17 (Anthony 1968, 17, Fig V, 17A-B). The Girth Beaker, Cam 115, from grave 16 could be an import (ibid, Fig V, 16).
- 31d St Albans Verulamium. Oppidum?: some of the finds from the site of the Roman town could be Iron Age imports (cf Frere 1961) but this is not proven (idem, 1984a, 249).
- Welwyn Garden City. Burial: two MicTN early versions of Cam 1 platters (Stead 1967a, 14, Fig 8, 29-30; Rigby and Freestone 1986, 7-8). Presumably the tazza in the burial (Stead 1967a, Fig 8, 18) imitates an imported Cam 51.

ISLE OF WIGHT

- 33 Gill's Cliff, Ventnor. Settlement: a TR Cam 7 and ?77 are probably Iron Age imports, as may be a TN? Cam 2 platter (Benson 1953, 307-9, Fig 4, 9-11).
- Redcliff. Settlement: some pre-conquest imports (D.J. Tomalin pers comm).

- Bexley, Cold Blow. Settlement: MicTN Cam 2 with PC Cam
 112/113 (Caiger 1958, 187, Fig 1, 4) both may be
 pre-conquest.
- 36a Canterbury Canterbury Castle. Oppidum?: Bennett, Frere and Stow (1982, 94-5, 126, 140) suggest only a MicTN Cam 1? and a TR Cam 3-5 as possible Iron Age imports.
- 36b Canterbury Marlowe (Sites). Oppidum?: at least two early Central Gaulish vessels (Rigby and Freestone 1986, 8).
- Canterbury Rose Lane. Oppidum?: TN Cam 2-5 with PC vessels (Frere 1954, 103-12). The Cam 2 may be Central Gaulish (cf Thompson 1982, 793, Fig 63, 1050).
- Canterbury. None of the material from the Defences sites need be pre-conquest (Frere, Stow and Bennett 1982, 140) but Arthur (1986, 256, n 80) states that something approaching 200 pre-Claudian vessels have now been identified from other sites in the city.
- 37 Faversham. Rural settlement: TN Cam 2c, two TN Cam 13/14, TN Cam 8 stamped SI[= SIINODO or SINNORV?, PC Cam 112, 116 (Philp 1968, 78, Fig 24, 183, 195-9).
- 38 Gravesend. Settlement: TR Beaker? (French and Green 1983, 57, 64, Fig 5, 69).
- 39 Springhead. Settlement: Augustan platters (Rigby 1987, 278).
- 40 Walmer. Burial: Augustan cup (ibid).

LEICESTERSHIRE

Leicester - Blackfriars Street. Oppidum?: it is not possible to attribute many of the sherds to vessel forms but by fabrics the following vessels were found in certain or probable Iron Age contexts (Tab 55).

TABLE 55

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM LEICESTER - BLACKFRIARS STREET

	Phase	1 A	1B	2B	2C	TOTAL
Fabric						
TR 1C		-	1	-	-	1
TR 2		_	2	-	-	2
TR 3(A)		-	4	-	-	4
TR 3(B)		-	1	-	_	1
Mic TN		-	1	-	-	1
TN		-	10	1	_	11
PC		-	13	2	-	15
TOTAL		-	32	3	_	35

Source: Clay and Mellor 1985.

The MicTN vessel is a Cam 1 (Clay and Mellor 1985, 51, Fig 31, 1) additionally a further two vessels in the same fabric are likely to be Iron Age imports (ibid, 41). There is a stamp Lullos/Votis on a TN platter (Phase 1B) (ibid, 59, Fig 37, 1) while a stamps of Melos on another

TN platter is probably also an Iron Age import (*ibid*, Fig 37, 2).

Leicester - Jewry Wall. Oppidum?: the following vessels came from the 'Iron Age' pits and are likely to be of Iron Age date (Tab 56).

TABLE 56

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM LEICESTER - JEWRY WALL

Cam Form	TR	TR 1A	TR 1B	TR 1C	TR 2	MicTN	TN	PC	TOTAL
2	-	-	-	-	-	1	_	-	1
4	-	-	_	_	-	3	-	-	3
Platter	-	-	-	2	-		_	_	2
53	3	2	-	_	-	-	_	-	5
56	-	-	_	-	1	_	-	-	1
112	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	1	1
113	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	6	6
TOTAL	3	2	_	2	1	4	_	7	19
		_							

Source: Author. Jarvis (1984; 1984-85; 1986) gives an account of the Iron Age material and deposits from Jewry Wall. The identifications given here are based on Jarvis 1984 and personal examination.

LINCOLNSHIRE

Old Sleaford. Settlement: May (1976, 188) mentions a variety of Gallo-Belgic imports but illustrates only two PC Cam 112 and 113 (Fig 95, 4-5; cf Elsdon 1982, Fig 13, 61; Elsdon and May 1987, 61).

NORTH HUMBERSIDE

Redcliff (North Ferriby). Settlement: it is possible that a TN Cam 3 may be an Iron Age import, but the bulk of the older finds are later (Corder and Pryce 1938; Rigby 1976, 133-5). However, some material from the recent excavations may be earlier (Crowther 1987, 285).

OXFORDSHIRE

Dorchester-on-Thames. Settlement: MicTN Cam 2, TN Cam

12, 16, 17 and TR and PC vessels could be Iron Age
imports (Frere 1962; 1984b).

SOMERSET

- South Cadbury. Hillfort: TR Pedestalled Beakers (cf Alcock 1970, 20; Rigby 1987, 278).
- West Coker. Burial?: TR platter stamped Durotix (Rigby 1987, 278).

Dragonby. Settlement: only seven TR and TN vessels are suggested to be typologically pre-Claudian; TR 1C Cam

7/8; TR 3 Cam 84B; two TR Cam 72-9; two-three MicTN Cam

51C (Elsdon and May 1987, 63-5, Fig 39A, 1-4).

Of these only one MicTN Cam 51C appears to be from a Key Group probably of Iron Age date, 2086/E in ceramic stage 8 (op cit, 39, 41, 46, Chart 1-2, Diag 1-2).

One other group in the Key Sequence with TN might be of Iron Age date; 1682. However, this group is ascribed to both ceramic stages 8 (op cit, 39, 64, Chart 1, Diag 1), probably of Iron Age date) and 9, which spans the Roman conquest (op cit, 43, Chart 2), although it is sometimes also called Iron Age (op cit, 65) in the Draft Report. From the description of the feature, a ditch (op cit, 43), it is apparent that it was open until well into the Romano-British period. The vessel itself is a TN platter stamped I PPOS, which may or may not be pre Claudian (op cit, 108, Fig 39A, 8).

It is also difficult to assess when PC vessels first appear. It is suggested that Cam 113 occur in ceramic stage 8 as sherds from the same vessel (op cit, Pot 1255, 92, Fig 16, 1255) were found in contexts 2086 and 1477 (op cit, 43, 46), the former being suggested to be of Iron Age date. However, the Cam 113 sherd is not mentioned in the descriptions of 2086 (op cit, 41) or of the pottery from it (op cit, 92-3, Fig 17-18), while in the dating summaries the sherd is described as an imitation but the vessel from 1477 is taken to be an

'original' (op cit, 124-5). On the basis of this join it is suggested that 1477 which contains other Gaulish finewares and a Dr 20 may be of pre-conquest date (op cit, 43) but the intaglio and coin of Nero (probably not

issued until after AD 63) is commensurate with the parallels of the amphora rim with Flavian forms at Augst (Martin-Kilcher 1983, Fig 2-3; 1987, Beil 2) and their absence from the pre-Flavian Colchester - Sheepen assemblage (Sealey 1985, 67-75, Fig 10-11) in suggesting that the feature was open until after the Roman conquest, while it is suggested elsewhere in the Draft Report that there is Claudian pottery from it (Elsdon and May 1987, 6) and that it belongs to ceramic stage 9 (op cit, 125, Chart 1-2, Diag 1-2). Because of this some doubt must attach to the suggestion that Cam 113 first appear in ceramic stage 8.

The typologically earliest PC Cam 113 was found in a Roman context 745/C (op cit, 39, 65, 108), while the TR 1C Cam 7/8 of pre-Claudian type (Pot 1148 from 1267) was associated with a post-conquest TN Cam 14 (op cit, 48, 98, Fig 26, 1148, 1147 [sic]), suggesting that the earliest material either continued in used for some time before breakage and/or deposition, or that it was old when it arrived. As typologically pre-Claudian pieces comprise 17% of the TR and TN collection (7 of 41), it is difficult to accept the latter argument, particularly as the MicTN Cam 51C are almost invariably of pre-conquest date, as indeed is suggested by the stratification of the Dragonby example from 2086/E.

34

Of the Cam 113, only c 1.5% (2 of c 142) may be pre-conquest pieces, but it is unclear how many pieces are Gaulish imports or their imitations. Related to these vessels is a Cam 114 (op cit, 65, 109, Fig 41B, 7) which could be an Iron Age import.

A number of PC flagons occur in contexts ascribed to ceramic stage 8 (eg 2100/C, op cit, 124, Chart 1-2, Diag 1) or 9 (1477, op cit, 125, Chart 1-2, Diag 1-2). However, South Gaulish Samian was associated in 2100/C so it is possible that a later date should be entertained, and there appears to be no unambiguous evidence to support a pre-conquest date for ceramic stage 9. Again while the pre-conquest import of flagons alongside TR, TN and other PC vessels is likely it cannot be demonstrated stratigraphically.

Lastly, the possibility that one vessel may be Aquitanian should be noted (op cit, 109, Fig 41B, 1), although it is not immediately comparable to the forms presented by Santrot and Santrot (1979). Where Aquitanian vessels have been recognised in Britain, at Poole Harbour - Ower and Hengistbury Head (nos 6 and 8b above) they have been considered to be of Iron Age date and it is just possible that, if it is Aquitanian, the Dragonby piece should be set alongside them, perhaps arriving alongside the Central Gaulish MicTN Cam 51C tazzae.

SUFFOLK

Burgh-by-Woodbridge. Settlement: TN Cam 8, TR 1A Cam 5 and 7A, TR 1B Cam 3 may be Iron Age imports (Martin 1975 and pers comm).

SUSSEX

than not to be pre-Claudian products in Rigby's opinion have so far been published and neither have any certain Iron Age groups. However, this is at odds with the terra sigillata (App 25.1, 28) and it seems that the Gaulish fine wares may be dated too late. Those pieces which Rigby (1978; 1981c) considers likely to be Iron Age imports are set out in Table 57.

TABLE 57

GAULISH FINE WARES FROM CHICHESTER

Cam Form	TR 1A	TR 1C	TR 2	TN	TOTAL
2	-	-	-	1	1
5	-	1	1	-	2
Platter	-	1	-	-	1
56	-	1	-	-	1
72/9	3	-	-	-	3
TOTAL	3	3	1	1	8

Source: Rigby 1978.

50

Fishbourne. Settlement: none of the Gallo-Belgic wares were attributed to the Iron Age but two stamps on TR platters are those of potters whose work reached Haltern, Attisvs and Dannomarus. The Attisus stamp is from the same die as the Haltern find.

Rigby has reconsidered the finds in conjunction with the Chichester finds (1978). Rigby tabulates the Gallo-Belgic wares from Fishbourne as follows (1978, Tab 2; Tab 58).

TABLE 58

PROBABLE LATER IRON AGE IMPORTS OF GAULISH FINE WARES
FROM FISHBOURNE

Cam Form	TR 1A	TR 1C	TR 2	TN	MicTN	TOTAL
1	~	-	-	-	1	1
2	-	_	-	6	-	6
3	~	3	-	1	_	4
4	_	1	_	-	-	1
5	3	1	-	1	-	5
6	_	1	-	-	_	1
8	-	-	-	5	-	5
13	-	-	-	5	_	5
14	-	-	-	3	-	3
16	-	-	-	20	-	20
56	-	2	3	2	_	7
58	-	-	-	7	_	7
TOTAL	3	8	3	50	1	65

Source: Rigby 1978; 1981c.

Rigby recognises the MicTN Cam 1 platter as likely to be of pre-conquest date while the seven Cam 3 and 5 platters in TR 1 are also likely to be of pre-Claudian manufacture but the bulk of the finds could be of post-conquest date.

- Lancing Down. Settlement?: a TR Cam 4B and a PC Butt Beaker Cam 113? from limited excavations (Frere 1940, 158, Fig 10, 2-3).
- 52 Lancing Ring. Shrine: a TN Cam 1 or 2A and a PC ?Butt Beaker may be Iron Age imports (Frere 1940, 163, 165, Fig 13, 25; 14, 28) as is a TR Cam 84 (Bedwin 1981, 50, Fig 7, a-c).

WILTSHIRE

- Boscombe Down. Rural settlement: TN Cam 13? and two PC Cam 115/19. The former may be an Iron Age import (Richardson 1951, 149, Fig 12, 94-7; Brailsford 1958, 115).
- Casterley Camp. Rural settlement: TN Cam 5A? and 3?;

 TR3?, 3 x Cam 82/4 possibly from one vessel; PC Cam

 113/15 and two or one possibly Central Gaulish Cam

 113/15. A TR platter with an illegible stamp may be by

 ATTISSVS (Cunnington and Cunnington 1913, 101-3, Pl VIII,

 31-2; V, 3-5; VI, 1; V, 1-2; Rigby 1987, 278-9; Hawkes

 and Hull 1947, 209).
- Hanging Langford. Settlement: TN platter (Brailsford 1958, 115). Perhaps pre-conquest.
- Oare. Settlement?: TN and TR 1C platters. Two stamps of Attisus and a number of PC Beakers may be Iron Age

imports (Swan 1975, 56-9; Rodwell 1976a, 308-9; Champion 1979, 402, 430, n 38).

26.2 GAULISH FINE WARES NOT CERTAINLY OF LATER IRON AGE DATE

DORSET

Jordan Hill. Cemetery: a Cam 56 cup could be an Iron Age import (Rigby 1987, 278; and in Green 1987, M3:C6) but the associations are uncertain (Chambers 1978, 3; Whimster 1981, 260).

ESSEX

Woodham Walter. Rural settlement: one TR 3 beaker could be an import (Rodwell 1987, 26-7, 39, Fig 19, 97), but it is of unusual form and could be an indigenous imitation.

HAMPSHIRE

Southampton. Settlement: Hawkes (in Waterman 1948, 166) suggested that some of the Gallo-Belgic wares may be Iron Age imports, but the bulk, if not all, of the material appears to be associated with the early Roman occupation.

HERTFORDSHIRE

4 Park Street. Rural settlement: TN cup possibly from an Iron Age context (O'Neil 1945, 77, Fig 15, 5), but the

stratification is ambiguous.

NORFOLK

Thetford. Settlement: some TN may be pre-conquest in manufacture but the site is thought to date to after the conquest (A. Gregory pers comm; cf Current Archaeol 7, 1981, 294-7).

NORTH HUMBERSIDE

- Rudston. Settlement: TR3 Cam 82/3; PC Cam 113 (Stead 1980, 41, Fig 24). These two finds could be Iron Age as there are some brooches possibly of this date (*ibid*, 95), but they could equally have arrived with the pottery after the conquest to which period the bulk of the earlier Roman material on the site dates.
- Hayton. Roman fort: one piece may be a TR Cam 76 (Johnson 1978, 92, Fig 23, 17) and could be an Iron Age introduction, although it is perhaps likelier to be an old vessel brought by the army.

NORTH YORKSHIRE

Stanwick. Defended settlement: it is possible that some of the fine wares from Wheeler's excavations (1954b, 32-7) and perhaps some of the recent finds (Haselgrove and Turnbull 1983, 6; 1984, 15; Chadburn 1983) could have arrived before AD 43.

SOUTH HUMBERSIDE

9 Old Winteringham. Settlement: it is possible that a single TN Cam 2 might be an Iron Age import (Rigby 1976, 129, Fig 60, 1) but in view of the date of the assemblage it is perhaps likelier to be an old vessel (cf Elsdon and May 1987, 64).

APPENDIX 27

GLASS BRACELETS IN IRON AGE BRITAIN AND IRELAND

27.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF GLASS BRACELETS IN BRITAIN

CORNWALL

Castle Dore. Hillfort: two bracelets, one Haevernick Group 1 in green glass with yellow glass on the inside face, the other a Group 14 type in ultramarine (Radford 1951, 68-9, Fig 8, 1-2; Fitzpatrick 1985c).

DORSET

- Gussage All Saints. Rural settlement: fragment in light blue metal, perhaps Group 3a (Wainwright 1979, 104, Fig 79, 6010; Fitzpatrick 1985c, 134)
- Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: fragments of two plain purple bracelets of Haevernick Group 3a and 2 (Henderson 1987a, 161, Ill 116, 131 A-B) two purple examples with yellow zig-zag decoration of Haevernick Group 3b and 6b (1bid, 161, Ill 116, 129-30) and one cobalt blue bracelet of Haevernick Group 3a (1bid 161, Ill 116, 131C) from the various excavations (Bushe-Fox 1915, 62-3; Cunliffe 1978a, 44; 1982a; 1984e; Henderson 1987a and pers comm).
- 4 Poole Harbour Hamworthy. Settlement: fragment of a cobalt blue Haevernick Group 3b bracelet with yellow

zig-zag decoration (Smith 1934, 14, Pl III; 1948, Fig 19,
4; tI.P. Horsey pers comm).

HAMPSHIRE

Hayling Island. Temple: at least one bracelet, apparently of Iron Age date (Downey, King and Soffe 1979, 6).

HERTFORDSHIRE

Welwyn Garden City. Burial: one, possibly two, brown Group 3b bracelet(s) with yellow zig-zag decoration (Stead 1967a, 17, Fig 10, C-F, Frontispiece).

ISLE OF MAN

Ballacagen A. Rural settlement: one bracelet of white glass with moulded decoration and with yellow glass internally, and two bracelets of pale green glass (Bersu 1977, 63, 84-5, Fig 21, A 43-4, Pl II, A 43-4). Perhaps Group 10 and 6a respectively.

SOMERSET

Meare Village East. Settlement: fragment of a Haevernick Group 7b deep blue bracelet with opaque white chequered decoration on central rib, with traces of opaque yellow decoration on another rib (Henderson 1987c, 87-8, 170, 182, Fig 3.23, G 66.55). Henderson suggests that the

**

find could be third or early second century BC (1bid, 182), but this is on the basis of the earliest rather than general currency of the finds.

Ormes, Coles and Sylvester suggest that a bangle of bluish-green glass with yellow decoration is of first century AD or later date (1982, 68, Fig 69, 480; cf Coles 1987, 88, 170; Fig 3.23, G 82.480). On the presently available evidence this is true, but as the bulk of the activity at the site is Iron Age, a prehistoric origin for the type should not be excluded.

27. 2 IRELAND

CO. DOWN

Loughey', near Donaghadee. Burial: two purple bracelets of Haevernick Group 3a possibly of first century BC date or earlier (Jope and Wilson 1957, 82-3, Pl V, lower; Raftery 1983, 175, Fig 152, 462-3; 1984, 151, 196; Henderson 1987a, 162; 1987b).

27.3 GLASS BRACELETS POSSIBLY OF IRON AGE DATE

ESSEX

i Harlow - Holbrooks. Settlement: purple Group 3b bangle with zig-zag opaque yellow decoration (Harlow Mus, unpub). This piece might be of Iron Age date but a Romano-British date is perhaps more likely.

GWYNEDD

Bryn y Castell. Hillfort: at least seven bracelets;

(i) four green bracelets with purple and white decoration, (ii) one green bracelet with red and white decoration, (iii) one blue bracelet with yellow-green decoration and (iv) one plain green bracelet (Crew 1985).

HAMPSHIRE

3 Silchester. Oppidum: one bracelet, possibly Iron Age (Boon 1974, 137).

ISLE OF MAN

- 4 Close ny Chollagh. Rural settlement: blue glass bracelet (Gelling 1958, 94, Fig 4, 3).
- Port e Candas. Settlement: at least one bracelet (P. Crew pers comm).

27.4 GLASS BRACELETS PROBABLY NOT OF PRE-ROMAN IRON AGE DATE

The Irish finds from Dunadry and Freestone published by Raftery (1983, 175-6; 1984, 196-7) and others from Rathinaun, Tara and Dun Ailinne, Co. Kildare (P. Crew pers comm; Henderson 1987a, 162) are either of third-fourth century AD date or undateable, but likely

-7

to belong to this period rather than earlier although Henderson (1987a, 162) suggests an Iron Age date. It should be noted that the Feerwore find published by Raftery (1983; 1984) as a bracelet probably is not one as it has an internal diameter of only 20mm.

~

APPENDIX 28

ROMAN GLASS FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

28.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF ROMAN GLASS

ESSEX

- 1(i) Colchester Sheepen. Oppidum: a polychrome or layered blown bowl (Uberfangglas) of Isings Form 12. The exterior is pink and in the interior colourless (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 297, no 37, Pl LXXXVII, 37). This technique appears to have been developed in the later Tiberian period and may not have outlasted the Claudian one (Berger 1960, 37; van Lith 1977b, 28-9).
- 1(ii) A blown, undecorated bowl or flask in opaque blue metal (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 299, no 46). In view of the dominance of Isings Form 12 bowls at Velsen and Valkenburg, and the general absence of the flask, it seems likely that the vessel was an Isings Form 12 bowl. Other possibilities are a Form 16 flask or Form 14 flagon both of which occur at the Magdalensberg. Van Lith and Randsborg (1985, 467-8) note that the Camulodunum report conflates jugs and flasks.
- 1(iii) An Isings Form 12 blown bowl in bluish-green metal with a band of wheel turned grooves near the rim (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 303, no 74a). This form first appeared in the Tiberian period and was popular until the Flavian period

(Czurda-Ruth 1979, 41).

Mount Bures. Burial: a small unguentarium similar to 2 Isings Form 6 or 26a was found but was broken and destroyed shortly after (Smith 1852, 28, 34, Pl XII). Smith, quoting Jackson, describes the vessel as 'of variegated colours inlaid in the glass' (op cit, 28). is not entirely clear what technique Jackson describing as, although it is possible that the vessel was core-formed, this would be a very rare find at this time and contemporary vessels made of variegated glass are also uncommon (Isings 1957, 41). Other possibilities are that the vessel was decorated with gold 'ribbon' (Berger and Jouve 1980), or that Jackson was misled by the glass laminating. Without the vessel it is now impossible to decide how the vessel was made and the rather schematic illustration published by Smith is not particularly helpful in deciding what type the vessel was and there are three main possibilities: (i) Isings Isings (1957, 22-3) documents a number of Form 6. Augustan finds, but the Form continued to be made throughout the first century AD; (ii) Isings Form 26a, which was dated by her from the mid-first century AD into the second century (ibid, 40-1) or (iii) small brightly coloured unguentaria which Grose describes a 'similar but not identical to Isings, Form 6' and which were made in Italy possibly from as early as c 40-30 BC but which only became widespread under Augustus (Grose 1977, 25-7; 1982, The illustration is closest to Isings Form 26a but this may be fortuitous and the vessel could belong to any one of the three types all of which could occur in the -4

Augustan-Tiberian period, to the earlier part of which the Mount Bures burial probably dates.

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Bagendon. Oppidum?: four fragments of glass from the same context dated by Clifford to AD 20/25-41 (and likely to be pre-conquest, despite Swan (1975, 59-61)). One fragment is from the side or base of a dark green bottle, the other fragments are of green, amber and dark blue glass respectively. They may be from four different vessels (Clifford 1961, 199).

HAMPSHIRE

Hurstbourne Tarrent. Burial: fragments of a vessel in a thin brown metal are reported but not illustrated (Hawkes and Dunning 1930, 304). The description of the vessel as thin-walled suggests either an unguentarium of Isings Form 6 (or similar), 8 or 26a (cf Mackenson 1978, 56) or a bowl of Isings Form 1 or 2 as these were the most widely available pre-Claudian thin-walled vessels.

HERTFORDSHIRE

Braughing - Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: a fragment of a millefiori vessel was recovered from an early Tiberian context (c AD 15-25) (Partridge 1981, 119, no 1, Fig 64, 1). Although the technique is known from the second century BC (Oliver 1968), the earliest dated vessel from

Italy is in a context with a *terminus ante quem* of 25-15 BC (Grose 1982, 26). Vessels occur at Dangstetten and in Augustan and Tiberian contexts at Haltern, Xanten and *Vindonissa* but are very rare in Claudian contexts (Berger 1960, 16-17; van Lith 1977b, 12-13). Van Lith suggests that the type was not manufactured after *c* AD 40 (*cf* also Harden *in* Hawkes and Hull 1947, 293).

Charlesworth (in Partridge 1981) drew a parallel with an Isings Form 1 bowl in millefiori glass (Harden et al 1968, no 44 (and not 43 as cited by Charlesworth)) and millefiori vessels of this form are known from Dangstetten (Fingerlin 1971, 18, Abb 9; 1986, 30, Abb 52, 8) and from some Augustan burials at Trier (Goethert-Polaschek 1977) and an Augustan or early Tiberian burial from Minusio Cadra, grave 4 (Berger 1960, 16). However, millefiori vessels of Isings Form 2 which also have very thin walls are also found at this time (eg Haltern; Mitt Altertumskomm Westfalens II 1901, 172, nos 4-6; Velsen; van Lith 1977b, 12-13) and so the Skeleton Green vessel could be from either form, both of which are widely distributed (Czurda-Ruth 1979).

A polychrome rim sherd of a bowl made in 'strip-mosaic'

(Partridge 1981, 72, Fig 33, 18), so-called after the technique of manufacture (cf Goethert-Polaschek 1977, Taf 1). The technique appears to be restricted to simple bowls of Isings Form 1. Berger (1960, 9-16) and Czurda-Ruth (1979, 16-18) suggest that the type was current from the Augustan to Claudian periods, but it is absent from both Valkenburg and Hofheim and occurs only rarely at Camulodunum so it appears possible that, as

with millefiori glass, the technique was not employed after the Tiberian period. The best parallels for the lace-like decorative strip on the Skeleton Green example are, naturally enough, on reticella vessels, for example on an find from Haltern (Mitt Altertumskomm Westfalens III, 1906, Abb 20; ibid V, 1909, 373, Taf XXXVIII, 8). Czurda-Ruth (1979, 16-18) suggests that mosaic glass was made in Campania.

- The neck and rim of a small unguentarium was found in an Iron Age pit (F 24). The vessel is illustrated by Partridge 1981, 78, Fig 36, 7) but not described. The vessel is of Isings Form 6 or a form similar to it. Vessels of this form could date from the late first century BC until the end of the first century AD (Isings 1957, 22-3; Grose 1977, 25-7; 1982, 28).
- 6 Hertford Heath. Burial: a shallow pillar-moulded bowl in a translucent greenish yellow metal, with three sets of two shallow grooves internally (Holmes and Frend 1955-57, 9, Pl 4; Hüssen 1983, 9-11, Fig 8, 2, Pl IV). Although the form cannot be precisely paralleled (Hüssen 1983, 9) it approximates to Isings Form 3a. Berger suggests that the internal grooving indicates an eastern origin (Berger and Jouve 1980, 13) and van Lith also suggests that Tiberian pillar-moulded bowls at Velsen are eastern, but Grose (1982,26) has provided evidence for manufacture of vessels with similar grooving in Italy in the last quarter of the first century BC. Discussing the Isings Form 3 bowls from the Magdalensberg, the earliest of which may be about the same date as the Hertford Heath example, c 25-20 BC, Czurda-Ruth (1979, 26-34, 234-5)

3.78

suggests that they may originate from Alexandria or Campania or possibly northern Italy. Hüssen (1983, 10-11) following Hayes (1975), reviews the date of the key finds and it seems possible to suggest a date between c 50-20 BC for the Hertford Heath find although it should be noted that the vessel form was probably in production much earlier than Hayes would allow (Ch 7.3.1; Grose 1977, 11-13, n 12, 24, n 59; 1981, 67-9).

28.2 ROMAN GLASS POSSIBLY FROM LATER IRON AGE CONTEXTS

HAMPSHIRE

1 Oppidum: Boon suggests that a fragment of a Silchester. vessel 'cased white-and-blue with two zones of opaque twist' (Boon 1969, 34) may have been imported during the Boon compares the sherd to a Augustan period. straight-sided vessel from Haltern, probably belonging to a deep version of Isings Form 1 (Isings 1957, 16) but the Haltern vessel is made in strip-mosaic and is not cased like the vessel from Camulodunum which Boon also cites as comparanda (Boon 1969, 34). Boon is unable to give the precise provenance of the Silchester vessel and as similar vessels occur in Claudian contexts it impossible to decide if it was imported during the Iron This is neatly illustrated by two similar vessels at Skeleton Green, one is from an Iron Age context (no 5(ii) above), while the other (Partridge 1981, 119, Fig 64, 3) was found in a Roman context.

28.3 FINDS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED TO BE OF IRON AGE DATE

ESSEX

Southend - Southbourne Grove. Burial: although Rodwell (1976a, 322) has suggested that this burial is preconquest in date, the coarse pottery, particularly the Cam 58 suggests that it is post-conquest (Thompson, 1982, 827). The vessel is an Isings Form 6 unguentarium (ibid, Fig 71b) and could be of either pre- or post-conquest date.

HAMPSHIRE

2 Oppidum: a piece of strip inlay with a Silchester. yellow flower with a red-and-yellow floret reserved against a royal blue ground was excavated in 1895 by St John Hope (1897, 430). Boon suggests that it might be an Augustan import (1969, 34, Fig 8A, 2). The only parallels which I am aware of are all Egyptian and are thought to be of first century BC - first century AD date (Glass from the Ancient World (1957), 67-79, esp 75, Fig 117; Harden et al 1968, 48). All are mosaic tesserae as the Silchester piece clearly is, as indeed St John Hope (1897, noted 430). In the absence of reliably provenanced finds outside Egypt the likelihood of the Silchester piece arriving in the Iron Age is slight. it was introduced in antiquity it is probably a Roman period introduction.

HERTFORDSHIRE

Stevenage. Burial: Holmes has suggested that Burial 1, which contains an Isings Form 6 unguentarium, may be of Iron Age date on the basis of the single pot included (Holmes 1950-54, 211) but it seems likelier to be of Roman date (cf Thompson 1982, 950).

28. 4 FALSA

MIDDLESEX

1(1-iii) London. The three Hellenistic vessels illustrated by Price (1978, 71, Fig 51) and allegedly found in London although accepted by Hüssen (1983, 27, n 20) are rejected here because of both the absence of adequate evidence for an Iron Age settlement and the considerable suspicion that must surround any undocumented London findspot (cf Marsh 1979). Price suggests the type was not made after c AD 25 (Parker and Price 1981, 224-5) although examples were found in the Port Vendres B shipwreck which sank c AD 41/42 so it is possible, although unlikely, that they are Roman period introductions.

APPENDIX 29

BRITISH IRON AGE COINS WHICH CERTAINLY OR PROBABLY IMITATE ROMAN GEMS

	REFERENCE IN HENIG 1972	р 219	220, n 120	221, n 122	215-16
WALLON CERIMINEL ON FRODADEL IMITALE NORMN GERS	MOTIF	Boar. Gem or a denarius of M. Voteius 76-71 BC	Vine leaf. The possibility that it is a representation of a poplar or maple leaf or some herb should not be overlooked	Caduceus between cornucopiae Gem or denarius of Marc Antony	Standing sphinx
	AUTHORITY	Verica Bo	T TO TO	S & K	Tasciovanus S4
n iron Age coins	METAL	AR	AV	AR	AE
BKIIISH	MACK	115	124-5	129	173

Gem or possibly 220 agustus c 18 BC	. Rev: sphinx 219	219, P1 XIII, C	Pegasus. Gem or possibly 220 coin of Q. Titius 90-79 BC. Also occurs on Gaulish coins	ius of Marc 218 31 BC	E	Centaur playing double pipes 214–15, Pl XI, G	M 185 220	n? 217, Pl XIII, C	210 N 2252
Tasciovanus Pegasus. Gem or possibly coin of Augustus c 18 BC	" Obv: panther.	" Horse	" Pegasus. Gem coin of Q. Ti Also occurs o	Ruis Gem or denarius of Marc Antony c 43-31 BC		Tasciovanus/ Centaur playi Dias	Andoco Pegasus, as M 185	Cunobelin Griffin. Gem ?	" Griffin copying M 2252
AE Ta	E	•	AV	AE Ru	2	" Ta	AR	AE	=
178	181	183a	185	189	191	192	199	225	229

216, n 68	217-18, Pl XII, E	215	220	215-16, n 67, Pl XII, A	210-11, Pl XI, A	213-14
Sphinx	Griffin	Centaur carrying a branch. Gem or denarius of M. Aurelius Cota c 150-125 BC. M. 134a is silver not gold as Henig states	Pegasus, M 185	Sphinx. Gem, more likely than eastern coinage of Augustus?	Apollo holding plectrum, altar. Gem rather than Mercury figures on coins of Augustus	Obv: Huntsman in tunic with Lagobolon, bow and ?food. Rev: Hunstman in tunic with dead animal over shoulders. Gem or less likely a coin of
Cunobelin	=	ŧ	:	:	=	=
AE	AR	•	z	:	:	E
230	234	234a	235	237	238	240

211-12, 220, n 121	214–15	212, P1 XI, C	218, Pl XII, G	218, Pl XII, F	212-13, Pl XI, E	217	:	213, 216	:
Obv: Apollo. Rev: Dolphin	Centaur blowing horn	Smith seated	Sow	Lion	Hercules with club	Griffin, after M 225 ?	Griffin	Obv: Crouching sphinx. Rev: Priest at altar holding a head or Perseus with head of Medusa. The sphinx may be from a gem or a denarius or T. Carisius of 46 BC.	=
Cunobelin	ī	£	=	:	:	:	=	=	ŧ
AR	AE	.		=	AR	*	=	AE	E
241a	242	248	252	253	254	256	258	260	260a

G

APPENDIX 30

LATER REPUBLICAN METAL VESSELS NOT YET FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

Introduction

Buckets of Eggers types 4-9 are not considered here as there is no evidence to suggest that they are of Italian rather than 'Germanic' origin, or as Redlich (1980) has suggested Celtic, from southern Germany and eastern France.

30.1 EGGERS TYPE 16 BUCKETS

Typology

This is a simple bucket with vertical sides, flat bottom and a slightly everted rim. It has a simple iron handle crimped rather than looped around bronze lugs on the bucket walls.

Chronology

The bucket occurs in Graue's phase II at Ornavasso but the simple form also appears in second and third century AD context although by then some vessels were apparently made of copper (Kunow 1983, 17). A number of vessels come from first century BC contexts but there are no vessels which suggest continuity with the later vessels.

Function

Kunow argues that the bucket was for cooking in (1983, 69-70) but obviously it could have been used for many purposes.

Distribution and Commentary

There are occasional finds from Germany (Eggers 1952, 160; Fischer 1959) but most finds are known from the southern and eastern Alpine region particularly Ornavasso and Idria bei Bača (Drescher 1969, 33-5; Graue 1974, 23) and northern Italy (Tizzoni 1983, 142) all of first century BC date. As the vessel is so simple it must be wondered if all the German finds are imports rather than locally manufactured versions but this does not preclude the possibility of it having been traded widely.

30. 2 FÄLLANDEN BUCKETS

Typology

The bucket has an elegant vase shape with a flared mouth. There are three feet soldered to the base. Two variants may be distinguished on the basis of the handle mounts. The Fällanden-Vahrendorf variant has heavy triangular bucket mounts with fleur-de-lys mouldings. The Fällanden-Marronniers variant has vine-leaf mounts. Both variants have a similar handle which has a circular moulding on the top of the bow and the ends have simple lobate mouldings.

Chronology

Both variants appear to be contemporary and while the number of finds is quite small, a number come from well dated sites or burials and provide a dating of c 90-20 BC, possibly ending sooner rather than later (Fitzpatrick 1987b).

Function

What the buckets contained is not known but it is associated with strigils in a number of burials which suggests that it may have been part of a toilet set rather than part of a wine service, although it is much larger than vessels normally thought to contain oil. Ladles are also frequently found with the bucket.

Distribution and Commentary

Finds are known from Spain, France, north Germany, Italy, Switzerland and Romania most of which were wrongly identified as the later Eggers type 36 bucket. The handle mounts are characteristic but the handle could be mistaken for Eggers type 18 and 19 buckets and the body and feet mistaken for a number of other later Iron Age types. As the type has only recently been characterised and it is widely distributed it is likely that others await recognition (ibid). There is no obvious reason why it should not have reached Iron Age Britain.

30.3 EGGERS TYPE 19 BUCKETS

Typology

This bucket is very similar to the Eggers type 18 and is distinguished only by having a slightly less pronounced rim and instead of opposed dolphins on the handle mounts there is a heart-shaped mount with a fleur-de-lys terminal. The rest of the handle mount is generally slightly simpler. The handle terminals may have birds' heads on them.

Chronology

The dating of the type is uncertain as few finds come from well dated contexts (Werner 1954, 57). Werner suggested that the type may be slightly older than the Eggers type 18 bucket and the fleur-de-lys terminal is similar to those on Fällanden-Vahrendorf buckets which are clearly dated to before c 20 BC (at the latest) which would support this idea. Werner's first century BC dating seems likely to be broadly correct.

Function

Perhaps part of a drink service, but other uses should not be excluded.

Distribution and Commentary

Virtually all the known finds come from Free Germany (Werner 1954, 57; Kunow 1983, 155) but there are finds from Landau in the Pfalz

and Stradonice (Svobodová 1983, 656, Obr 1, 7, 10, 12) which suggest that it was widely distributed and could have reached Iron Age Britain.

30. 4 EGGERS TYPES 20-21 BUCKETS

Typology

These buckets have a very simple situla-like form not dissimilar to that of Eggers types 18-19. Type 20 has, according to Eggers, a bronze handle mount riveted on. The mounts are rectangular and have a simple loop. Type 21 is marginally larger in Eggers type figure (1951, Taf 4) and has simple iron attachments in the form of a simple loop and two leaf-shaped plates. The handles are simple iron loops on both types. It is convenient to consider the two types together as they are so similar in form.

Chronology

Eggers dated the types to the first century BC but is clear that this simple form continued well into the second century AD and Kunow is pessimistic about the dating possibilities (1983, 18). However, it does seem possible to distinguish between types 20 and 21. It should be noted that it can be difficult to decide whether a vessel is of Eggers type 19 and 21 particularly because as we have seen early forms of type 19 may have a more sinuous profile. Eggers type 21 buckets are known from contexts likely to be or certainly of first century BC date at Giubiasco grave 425 where it was associated with a pan transitional between the Dühren-Moosburg

and Aylesford-types and a La Tène II-III sword (Ulrich 1914, 414, Taf LXXV, 11), in the Grand Bassin B wreck dated early in the first century BC (Solier 1981, 73, Fig 31), Bîrlăleşti (Glodariu 1976, Pl 52, B3) and Villeneuve-Saint-Germain (Debord 1982, 249-50, Fig 40, 047). None of the finds from Free Germany have useful associations but no finds appear to be later than the first century BC

In contrast the Eggers type 20 where dated seems to be first century AD or later (den Boesterd 1956, 40; Kunow 1983, 18) and it appears that the version with iron handle mounts, Eggers type 21 is quite distinct chronologically despite the pessimism of Werner (1954, 56) and Kunow (1983, 18).

Function

Kunow suggests that the bucket was a cooking vessel (1983, 70) but this may not have been its only use.

Distribution and Commentary

The Eggers type 21 is poorly known particularly as it has previously been considered with later types and there is no compelling reason why fragments should be considered as imports. The finds identified here are widely dispersed suggesting that the type was traded widely and could well have reached Iron Age Britain. It should not be overlooked that the type could easily have been imitated.

30.5 EGGERS TYPE 22 BUCKETS

Typology

This type has flaring walls and then a pronounced shoulder carination. A short neck rises almost vertically. The handle loop links two large iron plates each held by two rivets. The handle is also iron. The type is quite close to Eggers type 21 buckets.

Chronology

The earliest known bucket of this type is from Giubiasco, burial 291 which is probably of first century BC date (Ulrich 1914, 366, 625, Taf LXII, 5). The best dated examples come from burials at Goeblingen-Nospelt A, Idria-bei Bača and Ornavasso-Persona and the Kappel hoard all of which date to about the last two decades BC (Kunow 1983, 18; Drescher 1969, 34-5) but other examples appear to be much later in date (den Boesterd 1956, 29-40) and the form appears to have been made over many centuries.

Function

As with other buckets of this kind it is suggested to be a cooking vessel but other uses should not be discounted.

Distribution and Commentary

The type is found in the Alpine area and central Europe but is apparently rare in France but it seems unlikely that much weight

should be placed on this particularly as only a relatively small number of finds are known.

30.6 WEHRINGEN JUGS

Typology

This jug has a squat body with a pronounced shoulder and a short neck. There is a simple upright rim and the base is slightly raised. The handle has a heart-shaped mount at the foot and rather than just gripping the rim there is also a hinged lid. On some vessels there were three small feet soldered on. Christlein has called it the Wehringen type (1972).

Chronology

The type may date from the penultimate decade BC or earlier (cf Thill 1967b, 90, Taf I, 2; IV, 3, V, 1). A find from Port, Switzerland is undated (Wyss 1974, Abb 25, 14) and although it is usually assumed to be Iron Age because other finds from the river Zihl at Port are Iron Age, it should be noted that there are also Roman finds. The find from Wehringen in Bavarian is also undated (Christlein 1972). Finds from Hohlač in Russia appear to be of later first century AD date (Raev 1979, 237, Pl 135, 12; 136, 13) suggesting that the type was manufactured for some time.

Function

Thill (1967b) asserts that the find is a wine jar, probably

because he accepts the Kelheim-Kjaerumgaard variant jar from the same burial as a wine jar. This may be true but there is no evidence to support or disprove it.

Distribution and Commentary

I am aware of only four finds. Raev (1979) suggests that the Hohlač finds are of Thracian manufacture but the central European finds are more likely to be of provincial or Italian manufacture and this may suggest that the type was manufactured widely and perhaps over a century or more (cf Raev 1986, 37-9). The type is little known and therefore likely to be unrecognised. There seem to be no grounds on which to exclude the possibility that the type reached Iron Age Britain.

30.7 CÁCERES JUGS

Typology

This is a double handled jug. It has a very squat body with a long narrow neck with an everted rim. There is a footring. There are two handles which have sinuous profiles, their feet have heart-shaped plates. The tops have two arms which grip the rim and there may be a small knob placed centrally (Ulbert 1985, 79-81, Abb 21, 5; Taf 13, 77; 60, 77; 70; 71, 1).

Chronology

The only dated find is from Cáceres el Viejo which dates to c

83-80 BC. The rim is typologically similar to that of the Kelheim-Kappel variant jug which also suggests a date in the first half of the first century BC.

Function

The function of the jug is not known. The two handles may be decorative rather than functional. The Caceres find contained a Gallarate type ladle, necessary as the lip does not have a spout. The presence of the ladle may suggest that it was used as table ware.

Distribution and Commentary

Ulbert has only recently characterised the type and at present finds are known from Cáceres, Pella in Macedonia and from the Mediterranean off Agde. There is also an unprovenanced vessel in the Museo Nazional in Rome (op cit, 79). As with other newly recognised types further finds will doubtless be identified but whether this will be beyond the Mediterranean world remains to be seen.

EARLY IMPERIAL BRONZE VESSELS NOT YET FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

31.1 EGGERS TYPE 24 BUCKETS

Typology

This is a massive bucket. It has shape similar to that of Eggers types 18 and 19 but is much larger. There are three very large bronze feet soldered to the base. The shoulder and rim have a number of incised grooves. The handle mounts have a bust within a floral? surround and two canine heads spring from the shoulders of the bust. There is a suspension loop above.

Chronology

The dating is not entirely clear but most vessels are thought to be pre-Flavian after which it was replaced by smaller buckets which also have heads on the handle mounts (Eggers types 25-26). Eggers regarded the quite numerous finds from Free Germany as belonging to the first half of the first century AD.

Function

Kunow suggests that the bucket was used for containing drinks (1983, 70).

Distribution and Commentary

These buckets are known primarily from finds in Free Germany but the wide spread of finds there suggests that there is no obvious reason why they should not have been available in Iron Age Britain.

31.2 EGGERS TYPE 94 BOWLS

Typology

This basin has a deep, carinated body with a simple deep, overhanging rim. The handles are elaborately cast in the form of vine leaves. There is a small, slightly ungainly, pedestal base.

Chronology

A find from Ornavasso-San Bernardo grave 7 dates to the first half of the first century BC (Graue 1974, 29) but most finds date to the second half of that century (Kunow 1983, 21-2; Moser 1975, 134).

Function

There is no direct evidence for the intended use of the bowl but as with other basins it is likely to have been a wash basin.

Distribution and Commentary

The type is found in the Alpine region and in Free Germany (Werner 1954, 70) and there are also finds from the Saōne at Lyon (Boucher and Tassarini 1976, 114, type 128) and Kalinovka in Russia (Moser 1975, 133-4, Taf 43, 1) which suggest that the type was very widely distributed and its reaching Iron Age Britain is possible. Eggers illustrates a fragmentary British find which is unprovenanced but it would be rash to suggest that it is an Iron Age import to Britain (1966, 110, Abb 64).

31.3 HOBY AND LÜBSOW TYPE BASINS (EGGERS TYPES 97 and 99)

Typology

This simple basin has a plain footring and the two types are distinguished on the basis of the rim and handles. Type 97, the Hoby type, has an overhanging rim with an ovolo. The handles have elaborate terminals. The Lübsow type (Eggers type 99) has a simple rim and plainer omega-shaped handles, the feet of which have serpent-like terminals.

Chronology

Most of the Hoby type finds are Claudio-Neronian or later and the earliest find is probably that from Hoby which is probably Tiberian or later (Kunow 1983, 22-3; Graue 1975). Similarly the bulk of the Lübsow type and its successor, Eggers type 100, are later first century AD and later but Kunow (op cit) suggests that the type may have first been made in the Augustan period.

74

Function

These basins were probably wash basins (Kunow 1983, 72-3).

Distribution and Commentary

The basins are very widely distributed in Italy and beyond (Kunow 1983, 23; Raev 1986, 21-2) but as virtually all the dated finds postdate the Roman conquest of Britain it seems unlikely that either the Hoby or Lübsow types will have reached Iron Age Britain, or if they did if it would be possible to recognise them as such.

31.4 STRAINER SETS (EGGERS TYPE 159)

Typology

This set consists of a long handled pan, a smaller similarly shaped strainer fits inside it. Both have a bulbous body which flares at the mouth. The handle, which is positioned at right-angles to the rim, is made of thin sheet metal and expands at the terminal to a lozenge shape decorated with volutes. Eggers distinguishes small versions as type 159a.

Chronology

The type occurs in the Goeblingen-Nospelt A and B burials (Thill 1967b, 90-1, Taf I, 8-10; IV, 4; VII, 1-2) and in large numbers at Haltern (eg von Schnurbein 1979, 58, Bld 43) but it is rare in

..

Claudian contexts (Kunow 1983, 27) where it is replaced by Eggers types 160-2.

Function

Literary sources do not indicate what this set was for and archaeologists have suggested their use either as a drink strainer or a food strainer (Kunow 1983, 75-7).

One find from Pompeii was apparently left in a meat dish, however, from literary sources it is clear that strainers were not generally used in cooking (Hilgers 1969, 150-1). It has been suggested that the set was used to cook over an open fire the food being cooked in either water (suggested by Mau) or oil (suggested by Radnóti). Kunow has argued that this is unlikely because the rounded bottom of the vessel means that it could not easily have been placed in the fire and the all metal construction would have made it too hot to hold. Further objections are made by Wielowiejski (1977, 158).

Conversely, if the vessels were part of a drink service the right angled handles are hardly the best design and they are completely absent from the many representations of wine services discussed by Nuber (1972). However, interesting evidence for their use comes from a burial at Juellinge on Lolland (cf Wheeler 1954a, 41-3, Pl III-IV). This burial contained a pan and strainer set (Eggers type 162), a bucket and two glass beakers. Chemical analysis of the contents of the bucket indicated that it contained a drink made from berries, perhaps a local 'wine'. Kunow concludes that this was a drink service (1983, 76) and that a similar service included in a burial at Uggeløse on Zeeland (op cit, 75-6, Abb 14) was also a drink service. This still leaves unanswered the

74

question as why two vessels were necessary to strain the liquid unless the set was used to ladle it out and then it was decanted into a drinking vessel. Even then the right angled handle is impractical and there is no pouring lip on the rim and as Kunow concludes the function of the set is not understood completely.

Distribution and Commentary

The Eggers 159 is a common find in continental Europe (Werner 1954, 64, 72; Kunow 1983, 160) but appears to be most frequent in the provinces rather than in Italy and this may be due to the drink(?) being primarily a northern taste. If this were to be the case it is possible that its absence from Iron Age Britain may be cultural, but there seem to be no good reasons why it should not yet be discovered in an Iron Age context here. It is possible that the apparently unique find from Fontillet which is a Giubiasco type ladle with a strainer at the handle end may be related to these later sets (Werner 1954, Abb 6, 2).

DISC BROOCHES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

32.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DISC BROOCHES

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

Bierton. Rural settlement: one example, perhaps an Iron
Age import (Olivier 1986, 72, Fig 36, 7).

ESSEX

2 (1-11) Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: two brooches are probably Iron Age introductions (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 313, nos 65-6, Pl XCII, 65-6 (Type VIII)).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Baldock. Settlement: two brooches (Stead and Rigby 1986, 113, Fig 46, 96-7).
- 4 (1-ii) Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: (Mackreth 1981, 133, nos 9-11, Fig 70, 39, 41). Number 10, Fig 70, 42 may also be of this type.
- Braughing Station Road. Oppidum?: (Mackreth 1979b, 37, no 8, Fig 6, 8). There is a related decorated disc brooch from the Augustan grave 39 at the Titelberg (Metzler 1977; Thill 1969b, 144, no 55, Abb 5, 55). The

Braughing find should date to the penultimate decade BC or earlier as it is less advanced than the Dangstetten example (cf Mackreth 1987, 149).

KENT

Stone. Burial: not securely stratified but possibly of Iron Age date (Cotton and Richardson, 141, Fig 5, 4).

NORFOLK

7 Uncertain Provenance (Hattatt 1985, 38-9, Fig 17, 276).

Feugère notes unpublished brooches from Canterbury, Peterborough and Mildenhall (Wiltshire) (1985, 269) which could be Iron Age imports, while Olivier (1986, 72) notes further examples.

ROSETTE BROOCHES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

33.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF EARLY ROSETTES

BEDFORDSHIRE

1 Odell. Rural settlement: Class 16a2 (Feugère 1985, 274).

DORSET

Hengistbury Head. Port of Trade: a typologically early example likely to be an Iron Age import (Mackreth 1987, 149-50, Ill 108, 19).

ESSEX

3(i-iv) Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 314, no 68, Pl XCIII, 68, (Type X, A(ii)), Class 16a1 (citing similar finds at Faversham and Silchester). (1bid, no 78, Pl XCIII, 78) (Type X, C(ii)), Class 16a2. These two brooches were associated with a Langton Down and a Colchester brooch. It is also possible that brooch no 77, (1bid, Pl XVIII, 77 (Type X A(i)) (Class A1)) may also be of Iron Age date. The same may be true of no 69 (Class A 1).

Harlow - Temple. Sanctuary: Class 16a2 (France and Gobel 1985, 76, no 16, Fig 39, 16). The brooch is from a pre-Flavian context and so could be of Iron Age date. It is very similar to the Hurstbourne Tarrent find (no 5) below.

HAMPSHIRE

Hurstbourne Tarrent. Burial: Class 16a2 (Hawkes and Dunning 1930, 305, Fig 31, 1). Feugère suggests that the piece is Claudian (1985, 274) but the associated material is earlier (App 26.1, 22).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 6(1-111) Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: Class 16a1
 (Mackreth 1981, 131, 133, nos 8, 10, 12, Fig 70, 38, 40, 42).
- 7(+) St Albans King Harry Lane. Cemetery: many examples (ibid, 131).

KENT

8 Canterbury - Marlow. Oppidum?: Class 16a1 (Feugère 1985, 273).

SUSSEX

9 Fishbourne. Settlement: Class 16a2. Possibly a

pre-conquest introduction (Cunliffe 1971, 100, Fig 38, 22; Feugère 1985, 273.

The find from Duston, Northamptonshire is not securely dated to the Iron Age (Whimster 1981, 386, no 141) nor are finds from Hauxton, Cambridgeshire (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 315), Wakerley (Northamptonshire) and Brixton Deverill (Wiltshire) (Feugère 1985, 274 (Class 16a2), 273) and Lower Hacheston (Suffolk) (Hattatt 1985, 39, no 277, Fig 17, 277 (Class 16a1)) and so they are not included here. Feugère (1985, 274) cites an early rosette from Hod Hill. Although published by Brailsford in the guide to the antiquities of Roman Britain in the British Museum (1951, 20, Fig 11, 33), it was not included in the Durden collection (idem 1962) and its provenance may be suspect (cp Stead 1984a, 59) but it could perhaps still be an Iron Age import.

33.2 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DEVELOPED ROSETTES

HERTFORDSHIRE

1(+) St Albans - King Harry Lane. Cemetery: a large number (Mackreth 1982, 312-13).

33.3 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF DEVELOPED ROSETTE WITH ENAMEL INLAY

HERTFORDSHIRE

Baldock. Burial (Feugère 1985, Class 20b, 292-99).

Feugère dates the type to the Claudio-Neronian period but in discussing the Baldock find Hull (in Westwell 1935, 350, Fig 2) argues that the pieces is typologically earlier than examples in later Augustan-Tiberian examples (cf Hawkes and Hull 1947, 316).

33.4 FINDS OF UNCERTAIN DATE AND ORIGIN

SOUTH HUMBERSIDE

Dragonby. Settlement: an example apparently from an Iron Age context could be an import (May 1976, 169, Fig 84, 2).

LANGTON DOWN BROOCHES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

34.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF LANGTON DOWN BROOCHES

BEDFORDSHIRE

Odell. Rural settlement: an early example (Mackreth 1987, 150).

DORSET

Gussage All Saints. Rural settlement: the piece could be from the Iron Age phases but the context (hollow/pit 522 (5), Area H) is not dated in the report (Wainwright 1979, 113, Fig 86, 3066).

ESSEX

3(1-111) Colchester - Sheepen. Oppidum: (Hawkes and Hull 1947, 319, nos 94-6, Pl XCIV, 94-6).

HAMPSHIRE

4 Owslebury. Rural settlement: (Collis 1970, 250).

5(i-ii) Silchester. Oppidum: at least two brooches, an identical

pair, are of Iron Age date (Corney in Fulford 1985a, 20).

HERTFORDSHIRE

- 6 Baldock. Settlement: (Stead and Rigby 1986, 113, 124, Fig 45, 87).
- 7(1-11) Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: (Mackreth 1981, 133-4, no 13, Fig 71, 43 and probably also no 35, p 139, Fig 71, 46).
- 8 Crookhams. Rural settlement (Rook 1968, 65, Fig X).
- 9 St Albans King Harry Lane. Cemetery: many examples (Mackreth 1981, 134).

KENT

10 Canterbury. Oppidum?: an early example (Mackreth 1987, 150).

OXFORDSHIRE

Sutton Courtenay. Burial: one brooch although the exact associations are problematic (Whimster 1979, 95, Pl II, 5; Thompson 1982, 834).

34.2 FINDS OF UNCERTAIN DATE AND ORIGIN

SOUTH HUMBERSIDE

Dragonby. Settlement: one example may be Iron Age (May 1976, 169, Fig 84, 4)

34.3 FINDS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED TO BE OF IRON AGE DATE

DORSET

Litton Cheney. Whimster suggests that burial 6 might be Iron Age (1981, 257) but the associated finds, and the developed form of the brooch suggest that Bailey may be correct to suggest that the burial, and cemetery, are post-conquest (1967, 156, 158, Fig 11, 4).

ESSEX

2 Great Wakering. Burial: Thompson considers the burial to be of Roman date (1982, 709, Fig 38b).

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Lydney Park. Settlement?: Wheeler and Wheeler (1932, 12-13, 72, Fig 8, 2) suggest that the piece is Iron Age, but there is very little later Iron Age material (eg ibid 96, Fig 25, 22) and there may be a gap between the Middle Iron Age and Roman use(s) of the site.

KENT

Allington. Cemetery: described as a Langton Down by Bushe-Fox and followed by Stead (1976a, 412) but Thompson's publication shows the brooch to be of a different type (1978, 137, Fig 4, 2).

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Duston. Cemetery: there are Langton Down brooches from the cemetery but there are no associations preserved and the bulk of the material is Romano-British (Whimster 1981, 386).

AUCISSA BROOCHES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

35.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF AUCISSA BROOCHES

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Baldock. Settlement: (Stead and Rigby 1986, 113-20, no 105, 124, Fig 46, 105).
- 2(1-ii) Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: (Mackreth 1981, 134-5, no 15, Fig 71, 50 and no 36, p 139, Fig 71, 51 is probably also of Iron Age date).

KENT

Canterbury - Rose Lane. Oppidum?: (Frere 1954, 140, Fig 23, 1. The head is decorated with leaves).

HOD HILL BROOCHES FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

36.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF HOD HILL BROOCHES

HERTFORDSHIRE

- Braughing Skeleton Green. Oppidum?: (Mackreth 1981, 134-5, no 16, Fig 72, 53). A further find might possibly be pre-conquest (ibid, 141-2, no 55, Fig 72, 55; idem 1987, 150).

SUSSEX

3 Chichester. Oppidum?: Mackreth (1974, 143, Fig 8.15, 15) initially ascribed the brooch to the Romano-British period but has subsequently proposed an Iron Age date (1981, 135).

A PRELIMINARY GAZETTEER OF AMBER FROM BRITISH LATER IRON AGE SITES

37.1 CERTAIN OR PROBABLE FINDS OF AMBER

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

Bierton. Rural settlement: one bead (Allen 1986, 69, Fig 35, 11).

DEVON

2 Kent's Cavern. Settlement?: Gray suggested that three beads could be of mid-late Iron Age date (1966, 283). There are at least five beads, plus a further lump. In publishing them Silvester does not consider an Iron Age date but follows Pearce in suggesting a later Bronze Age one (Silvester 1986, 18, 30-2) but this possibility should not be dismissed given the evidence for Mid-later Iron Age occupation.

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Birdlip. Burial: seventeen beads from a necklace, with two beads of 'jet' (perhaps shale?) and one described as

'marble' (Bellows 1881, 139, Pl XIV) which is probably

HAMPSHIRE

Danebury. Hillfort: one bead from a ceramic phase 7 context (Cunliffe 1984d, 396, Fig 7.42, 5.3).

HEREFORD AND WORCESTER

pyrophillite.

5 Bredon Hill. Hillfort: two beads are probably of later

Iron Age date (Hencken 1938, 86, Fig 12, 4-5).

HERTFORDSHIRE

6 Welwyn Garden City. Burial: half a bead (Stead 1967a, 17, A, Fig 10, A and frontispiece).

ISLE OF MAN

7 Ballacagen A. Rural settlement: one bead perhaps of later Iron Age date (Bersu 1977, 64, Fig 21, A 54, Pl II, A54).

ISLES OF SCILLY

8 St Martin's. Burial: two beads, most likely to be of later Iron Age date (Whimster 1981, 275).

SOMERSET

- 9 Glastonbury Lake Village. Settlement: five beads (Bulleid and Gray 1917, 353-4, 356, Pl LIX, A1-5).
- Meare Lake Village. Settlement: six beads from Meare Village West (Gray 1966, 283-5, Pl LIV, A 1-6), the last three of which Gray considered to be pendants but they may be only large beads. A single find from the 1984 excavations at Meare Village East (Coles, Rouillard and Backway 1986, 51, Fig 31, 461) plus a further six finds from older excavations (Coles 1987, 50-1, Fig 3.1, A. 1-6).

37.2 CORRIGENDUM

NORTH YORKSHIRE

1 Collis (1973, 126) states that there is an amber ring from the North Grimston warrior burial but Mortimer states that the ring is from Arras (1905, 335-6).

CONCEALED-LOOP STRAP UNIONS, SUB-GROUP A (QUADRILOBATE)

38.1 BRITAIN

- Polden Hill hoard, Somerset (Brailsford 1975, 228, Pl XXIIIa; Leman-Delerive 1986, 40, Fig 9, 1).
- 2 'London' (Kemble 1863, 194-5, Pl XIX, 2; Lessing and Krůta 1979, Abb 81; Leman-Delerive 1986, 34, Fig 5).
- Hambledon, Buckinghamshire. The catalogues place Hambledon in South Buckinghamshire (Sothebys 1983, 34-5, nos 127-8; La rime et la raison 1984, 321, no 95) but Leman-Delerive places it, without explanation, in Dorset (1986, 34, Fig 7). There are a number of Hambledons in southern England.
- 5-6 Santon, Norfolk (Kemble 1863, 194-5, Pl XIX, 3; Megaw 1970, 163, Pl 8; top left; Leman-Delerive 1986, 40, Fig 8).
- 7 Norton, Suffolk (Kemble 1863, 194-5, Pl XIX, 4; Leman-Delerive 1986, 34, Fig 6).
- 8 Westhall, Suffolk (Harrod 1865; Clarke 1939, 68-9, Pl XVI-XVIII; Leman-Delerive 1986, 40, Fig 9, 1').

38.2 FRANCE

1 Paillart, Oise (Krůta and Lavagne 1984; Krůta and Forman 1985, 98, Pl on 98; Leman-Delerive 1986).

BRITISH CELTIC COINS FOUND IN CONTINENTAL EUROPE

	CLASS	PROVENANCE	DENOMINATION	REFERENCE(S)	SITE	NOTE
¥	UNINSCRIBED					
.	BRITISH B (M32)	Le Câtillon, Jersey (GB)	۸۷	Colbert de Beaulieu 1959, 71; Allen 1960.	Hoard	
	BRITISH KB (M449)					
7		Vildbjerg, Hammerum	ΑV			
		hered, Jutland (DAN)		Thomsen 1952; Galster 1964, 25, Pl I, 4.	٠ ٠	(1)
	BRITISH LX					
4	LX 6-10	Verneuil, Eure-et-Loir (F)	AR	Haselgrove 1987a, Fig 9:1	٠.	
വ	LY 6-10, LX 26	:	AE			

	(2)				(3)	
٠٠	Temple	Oppidum	c	>• (· · ·	· ·
Allen 1960, 208.	Mangard 1978, 88; Delestrée 1984.	Weiller 1977, 129, 181, Taf VIII, 392.		Allen 1960, 224.	Thomsen 1952:	Galster 1964, 25, Pl I, 14. Allen 1960, 224.
AV	AVŁ	AE	Ę	Y FE	AE AE	AE
Coulommiers, Seine-et-Marne (F)	Eu, Bois l'Abbé, Seine-Maritime (F)	nscribed) Titelberg (LUX)		Amiens, Somme (F)	?Rouen, Seine-Maritime (F) Munke-Biergbv. Alsted herred (DAN)	Oostende, West-Vlaanderen, (B)
COMMIUS (M92)	VOSENIOS (M298)	CANTII (Uninscribed) (M 296) Tite	TASCIOVANUS M179	M184	M185 or 194	M168-9
9	7	80	C	בי ל	10	12

CUNOBELIN Plastic Ser.

	c				
13		Katwijk, 'The Brittenberg',	۸۷	Allen 1975, 15, no 94, Pl IV,	Pierced.
		Zuid-Holland (NL)		94; N. Roymans pers comm.	Found on
					the beach
					by the
					lost Roman
					fort. For
					the site
					see De
					Weerd 1986
	M231				
14		Vertault, Côte D'Or (F)		Allen 1960, 235;	?
	M230			Haselgrove 1987a, 230.	
15		Fluy, 'Le Maulin', Somme (F)		S. Scheers pers comm.	Ċ
_	M250				,
16		Villers-Brettoneaux, Somme (F)		Haselgrove 1978, 79.	Excavations
•	M251				
17		Boulogne, Pas-de-Calais (F)		Allen 1960, 235.	·

.
Allen 1960, 242, 298. Colbert de Beaulieu 1958, 71; 1959, 55; Allen 1960, 243, 298. Allen 1965. Colbert de Beaulieu 1958, 203.
AR's AR's AR
Le Câtillon, Jersey (GB) Rozel, Jersey (GB)
M319 29-34 M321-1a 35-8 M320

DOBUNNI M391, 395 M376 ICENI	'Pernois', Somme (F) Eu, Bois l'Abbé, Seine-Maritime (F)	AR	Bertrand 1912; Haselgrove 1987a, 230. Mangard 1978, 88; Delestrée 1984; Haslegrove 1987a, 230.	? Temple
lhei	Rheingönheim, Speyer (BRD)	AR	F#RZD, p 339, no 2210.	Roman fort
%il]	Chilly, Somme (F)		Scheers 1982b, 107, no 180, Pl V, 180.	Hoard, in temple
font (Mont César, Oise (F)		Allen 1971a, 134, 147.	Hillfort?
imetz-	Limetz-Villez, Yvelines(F)		Gallia 44, 1986, 278-81; CC Haslegrove pers comm.	Temple

(4)

NOTES

- (1) It may be doubted if this coin is a genuine ancient introduction.
- (2) Allen noted a M 289 from Bois l'Abbé (Haselgrove 1978, 54) but Mangard notes only a M 298 (1978, 88, no 336) which is presumably the same coin.
- (3) Cf n 1.
- (4) A number of coins are not included here:
- (i) The coin from Velsen stated to be British by Vons (1977, 140) is actually Gaulish (N. Roymans pers comm).
- (11) The M184 cited by Allen as from Italy, perhaps Rome (1960, 224) is very doubtful and seems unlikely to be an ancient arrival, if it is identified correctly.
- (iii) Uncertain British or Gaulish coins such as the Kentish minims (Haselgrove 1978, 116-17) are not included and it may be suspected that the Kentish coins nos 7-8 may prove to be continental European rather than British issues.

JULIUS CAESAR AND BRITAIN - 56 BC?

Following Stevens (1947, 4; 1952, 8-16) many authors have stated that Julius Caesar planned to invade Britain in 56 BC (eg Hawkes 1977a, 139; 148-9; Mitchell 1983).

The suggestion is based primarily on the statement of Strabo (IV, 4, 2) that the Veneti revolted in 56 BC in an attempt to stop Caesar sailing to Britain in order to protect the use they made of the *emporion* in Britain. This contrasts with Caesar's account which starts with the Veneti detaining high-ranking Roman soldiers who were in their territory trying to obtain food supplies in order to use them as hostages to ensure the release of Venetic hostages already taken. Ultimately this clash led to war (BG III, According to Stevens, Hawkes and Mitchell, Strabo's comments indicate that the prime intention of the revolt was to stop Caesar crossing to Britain and that Caesar clearly intended to use the ships of the Veneti in the crossing. Support for this intention is seen in the large number of ships Caesar commissioned for this campaign which are held to indicate an invasion fleet for Britain (eg Mitchell 1983, 94) and the widespread support given to the coastal confederacy, including British tribes, (BG III, 9) is seen as showing widespread awareness of Caesar's intentions. argument has been developed by Mitchell (1983) who argues that the interest in the planned 56 BC invasion was British tin. (1977a, 139, 146-7) and Stevens (1980, 86 92, 96-7) have also suggested that Caesar was aware of the possibilities of British

3.4

tin and Stevens has argued that the tin route from Britain was controlled by an Arvernian empire (*ibid*, 86) although this argument is unconvincing (Ch 17-18).

One of the major points in Mitchell's argument is that the voyage of P. Crassus to the Cassiterides was made not by P. Licinius Crassus in the 90s BC but by P. Crassus, Caesar's legatus in Gaul 57-6 BC and that the voyage was actually to Britain probably in 57 BC. Mitchell argues Crassus' voyage must have been undertaken with the approval of Caesar and indicates that Caesar was interested in British tin. Realising this the Veneti revolted in order to protect what Mitchell calls their trading monopoly (1983, 95). Mitchell concludes that Caesar intended to launch a twopronged attack on Britain; in the south-west and the south-east. According to Stevens the reason why this plan to invade Britain was not revealed by Caesar was that when the Venetic fleet was destroyed, with it went Caesar's chances of invading Britain so the plan was never revealed by Caesar and only Strabo records the Mitchell's explanation is rather more elaborate. truth. suggests that while the prospect of mineral wealth being seized in conquest was both well known and widely practised in antiquity it was inappropriate to discuss it. Caesar's motives would have been readily intelligible to his contemporaries. Mitchell adduces Cicero's letters to Atticus and Trebatius noting the lack of booty from Britain as support for this. On the other hand Caesar would have wished to accrue all the glory from being the first Roman leader to land in Britain and for this reason he suppressed the account of the voyage of Crassus.

Perhaps surprisingly these arguments have gone unchallenged. It is convenient to consider Mitchell's developments first. Much of

his argument rests on accepting the identification of Crassus as the younger one. As we have already seen for a variety of reasons this seems unlikely (Ch 17-18). Mitchell's argument raises a further problem which he does not acknowledge and that is if Strabo did know the 'real' motives for Caesar's invasion, why does he place Crassus' voyage in his book III which is devoted to Spain rather than alongside his comments in book IV, 4, 1? identification is rejected then the supposed forewarning of the Veneti of Caesar's plans must also be rejected. While Mitchell draws the contrast with the voyage of Volusenus' exploration of the south-eastern English coast there is a difference between playing down the voyage and completely suppressing it. It is difficult to argue with Mitchell's suggestion that Caesar's motive would have been readily intelligible ex silentis. Indeed they may have been, but conversely Caesar's silence on the subject does Finally there is a major difficulty in not prove the case. Mitchell's arguments which is if Caesar really was interested in Cornish tin why did he eventually twice land in Kent? difficult to see any convincing answer to this question.

Stevens' argument is superficially less complex but it is equally difficult to accept. The principal conclusion that Stevens draws from Strabo is that Caesar intended to use the ships of the Veneti in his invasion (1952, 8-16). It is difficult to see how Strabo's comments can be taken to imply this.

'After the aforesaid tribes, the rest are tribes of the Belgae living by the ocean, of which the Veneti are those who fought at sea against Caesar, for they were prepared to

prevent his sailing to Britain, as they were using the trading centre.

(Strabo IV, 4, 1, trans Mays 1981, 55).

It is a possible interpretation, but hardly the most obvious one. Stevens also argues that as Caesar initially placed most emphasis on campaigning against the Veneti on land and only resorted to the fleet when this failed, this places the collecting of other ships by Caesar (BG IV, 11) in a different light. These ships he suggests were for invading Britain and it is this which suggests that Caesar also intended to use Venetic ships to invade Britain. Throughout no attempt is made to reconcile this with what Caesar said happened.

Firstly, if Caesar was planning to invade Britain in 56 BC, it is curious that he should leave Gaul for Illyricum. Even if it is not entirely certain if Caesar was actually there when the revolt broke out (cp BG III, 7, 9) even planning to leave when he was intending to invade Britain would be bizarre. It could be objected that this was merely a ploy on Caesar's part but even if it was he would surely never have gone to Illyricum once the war had started. Caesar's instructions are clear. Crassus was to build a fleet on the Loire, and that oarsmen were to be recruited from the Province while crews and pilots were also to be found (BG III, 9). Caesar arrived as quickly as he could from Illyricum and started campaigning before the fleet was finished. He rapidly concluded that this was a wasted effort as when the promontory forts of the Veneti looked likely to be captured, the inhabitants slipped away by boat. Only when the fleet was completed was Caesar able to challenge the Veneti effectively. Caesar states

that he had also ordered the Pictones, the Santoni and other pacified districts to supply ships (BG III, 11) but these formed part of the Roman fleet rather than an independent squadron. While this campaign was undertaken Caesar also sent forces under Crassus to Aquitania, another force under Sabinus to Normandy and a smaller force under Titus Labienus to the Treveri to keep the Belgae loyal and to block any reinforcements from Germany. this it would appear that Caesar acted to prevent a complete uprising in Gaul by isolating potential trouble spots. While Gaul may have nominally been pacified, it is clear that Caesar was taking no chances. His recriminations against the Veneti were particularly savage, surely intended to impress the futility of rebellion (BG III, 16). Is this then the background to an invasion of Britain? According to Hawkes the intention was to send Sabinus to Normandy while Caesar captured the Venetic navy. This would give Caesar command of the whole of the Gaulish seaboard not just for internal security but also as a springboard to Britain. It is suggested, however, that the fleet for Brutus was actually ordained in the autumn of 57 BC and not in the spring

was actually ordained in the autumn of 57 BC and not in the spring of 56 BC when Caesar states it was, because it could not have been ready in time for the Venetic campaign otherwise. Also some of the ships from the other pacified regions not named by Caesar (BG III, 11) are suggested to have been from opposite Britain and that these ships with the fleet on the Loire were to have formed the right wing of a double invasion force. This 'truthful' version of the events is obscured, allegedly, by the fact that Caesar has completely concealed the chronology of the year. The destruction

This is hard to credit. It is true that book III of the Bello

of the Venetic fleet completely destroyed Caesar's plans and so

the plans for invasion were concealed.

Gallico is sparing on dates but this barely warrants the suggestion that the starting date for the construction of the Loire fleet was some six to eight months earlier than Caesar states. It is almost inconceivable that the younger Crassus could or would have concealed this and that such a ploy would have been allowed to pass by his father, one of the triumvirate, without comment. Similarly much, if not all of the Channel seaboard rose with the Veneti, the Belgae had to be patrolled — and it does not seem likely that any Channel tribes provided ships for the Romans, particularly when the comments about the Pictones and the Santones probably implies that the other tribes are to be sought in Aquitania.

Similarly it must be asked would an invasion fleet planned for 56 BC really have not seen to gathering crews to man the ships? Finally there is the question of the Venetic fleet. Despite the impressions given by Stevens and Hawkes, Caesar does not say nor imply that the fleet of the coastal confederacy were destroyed. They do not appear in accounts of the British invasion although the ships built on the Loire do (BG IV, 21) but this may be because they were not the right sort of ships for Caesar's armies. Caesar's famous description of the Venetic ships (BG III, 13) emphasises the contrast, while throughout the invasions of Britain Caesar is concerned with transports and warships, ships which, unlike those of the Veneti, were also oar propelled and not at the mercy of the winds. It was the sudden calm which finally decided It may be worth considering the possibility that Caesar captured the fleet of the confederacy substantially intact but he chose not to use it.

In sum, there is no convincing evidence to suggest that Caesar ever intended to cross to Britain in 56 BC let alone in a

two-pronged attack. Nonetheless the discrepancy between the comments of Caesar and Strabo still remains but it is not solved by dismissing substantial sections of Caesar as false when it is clear that Crassus might reveal such deception and that Caesar's accounts of the capture of Silius, Velanius, Trebius and Terrasidius could not possibly have been fabricated. What Strabo may record is that the Veneti recognised the possibility that Caesar might invade Britain or that their influence over the Armorican and Channel seaways might be diminished by Caesar visiting Britain or through his occupation of Armorica. This would expand Caesar's commentary but it does not contradict and it is unnecessary to invent an invasion for 56 BC which can only be maintained by ignoring Caesar's own testimony.

APPENDIX 41

GRAFFITI FOUND IN LATER IRON AGE BRITAIN

F GRAFFITI
OF
FINDS
PROBABLE
OR
CERTAIN
41.1

DATE		Augustan "	:
REFERENCE		Hawkes and Hull 1947, 284. <i>Ibid</i> , 284, 192, no 3, Pl XLI, 3.	Ibid, 284, 193, no 35, Pl XLI, 35.
TYPE OF VESSEL		'Arretine', L 8 'Arretine', L 8 ATEL	ARI/
READING		AR '	Severi? Stamped HILARI/ OF PATT?
GRAFFITI		AR M	SEV II
		1 Colchester-Sheepen 2 "	:
SITE	ESSEX	Colchest "	:
Š	ŭ	7	e,

HERTFORDSHIRE

Pre-AD 20
Partridge 1979, 117, Fig 35.
Coarse Ware
Cen(n)atus?
CIINATIN
Braughing-Gatesbury
4

Augustan— Tiberian	1; AD 15-25	2. AD 30-40 3;	10 BC-AD 20 4. AD 15-25	5. Roman context	to No 8
Britannia 17, 1986, 443.	Partridge 1982, 325, Fig 12, 1; Idem, 1981, 166-7, Fig 76, 4.	Partridge 1982, 325, Fig 12, 2. Partridge 1982, 325, Fig 12, 3;	Idem, 1981, 153, Fig 74, 3. Partridge 1982, 325, Fig 12, 4.	Partridge 1982, 325, Fig 12, 5.	
Flagon	TR platter, Stamped ATTISVS	<pre>(rad) 'Arretine', L 1b 'Arretine', L 1</pre>	Stamped RASN. Coarse Ware	TN platter	
	Graecus				
RĄ[/]ILVŖ[GRAECVS	MIIVS TE	SF	II.	
5 Braughing-PuckeridgeRĄ[/]ILVŖ[-Skeleton	: :	:	:	
Braughing-	6 Braughing-Skeleton Green	: :	:	£	
ហ	9	7 8	6	10	

DATE	
ACE	
TDON	
TATED	֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֜֝֝֝֝֡֜֝֝֝֡֜֜֝֝֡֜֜֝֓֓֓֜֝֡֜֜֝֓֡֜֝֡֜֜֝֡֡֜֜֝֡֡֜֜֝֡֡֡֜֜֝
-	1
F.	5
PDOBABI V	
CDAFFIT	
0	1
	۰

-	Colchester-Sheepen $\lambda \lambda$	epen	2		'Arretine'	Hawkes and Hull 1947, 284.	Roman	Roman context
7	t	:	/	•	=	.	:	=
က	ŧ	=	*** **	X	=	#	:	*
4	=	=	Œ		.	Ibid, 284, 192, no 21, Pl XLI, 21	:	=
					Stamped MPS			
ญ	=	:	VAT	Vat	'Arretine'	Hawkes and Hull 1947, 284	=	=

~		

Roman context	E		=	:	
Roman	:		:	:	
Hawkes and Hull 1947, 284	Ibid, 284, 192, no 22, Pl XLI, 22		Hawkes and Hull 1947, 284	:	
'Arretine' L 11	" L 2	Stamped ROMVLVS	'Arretine' L 8	. 1.8	
Ve				Visi	٠٠
VE	SI or IS		А	vīSī	٧/
-Sheepen	:		-Sheepen	=	=
6 Colchester-Sheepen	:		8 Colchester-Sheepen	E	*
9	7		80	6	10

41.3 GRAFFITI NOT CERTAINLY OF LATER IRON AGE DATE

Boon 1969, 34, n 6 Coarse Ware ΧΦA 1 Silchester

Boon argues that the pot is certainly of Iron Age date but it has no recorded context and the vessel he compares it to comes from a group which he suggests was deposited immediately before the conquest so there is in fact no certainty that the vessel is of Iron Age date, even though an earlier chronology could now be advanced (cp Fulford 1985a).

APPENDIX 42

THE PORTH FELEN ANCHOR STOCK

A lead stock from a wooden anchor of Mediterranean type from Porth Felen, Gwynedd, has been suggested by Boon to belong to a Roman ship which foundered there, probably in the late second or first centuries BC (Boon 1975b; 1977a; 1977b). Apart from some small fragments of bronze, possibly from the teeth on the anchor arms (Boon 1977a, 10; Haldane 1986, 185-6), no other evidence for a wreck has been observed at the place of discovery (Boon 1977a, 10).

In discussing anchors of this type (Class C, wooden with a lead stock), Boon divided them into three varieties on the basis of the bar across the bottom of the box: C(1) box without bar; C(2) box with lead bar: C(3) box with wooden bar extending well to either side (ibid, 11; cf Käpitan 1984).

The earliest dated examples of C(2) stocks are from the Grand-Ribaud A and Jeune-Garde B wrecks of the third quarter of the second century BC. Boon suggests that the find from Grand Congloué dates to c 180-160 BC but it is not possible to accept the remains as coming from only one wreck (Stöckli 1979a, 115-18; Liou 1987) and as the stratigraphic position of the stock is unclear (Benoit 1961, 170-4) it could be almost a hundred years later than Boon suggests. The latest certainly dated example of a C(2) stock is from the pleasure barges of Caligula on Lake Nemi which were destroyed in AD 39. There are two inscribed examples of Julio-Claudian date from the Rhine. One from Duisburg of Legio

•

V probably dates to between AD 9 and 69, while the other from Mainz of Legio XVI probably dates between AD 9 and 43.

It should be noted that Boon specifically argues that two Class C stocks both in Palermo museum which have been suggested to be of first century AD date are earlier, one a stock impressed with a lamp he suggests, citing Bailey, could be of first century BC date, possibly a 100 years earlier, but he does not argue this in detail, although lamps only became popular during the Augustan period (Liebundgut 1977; Pavolini 1981) while Bailey does not exclude a first century AD date (1975, 297, no Q677).

The other stock has an inscription interpreted as $K\lambda\alpha\upsilon(\delta(\omega)\beta\iota\sigma(\varsigma))$ and an acclamation of the Emperor Claudius but Boon dismisses this as 'highly improbable' (Boon 1977a, 17) without any further discussion.

Despite Boon's lack of enthusiasm for the later examples of Class C anchors, it is not possible to suggest a narrower date range for the form of the stock than c 150 BC-AD 50. In an attempt to narrow this date range Boon examined the astragali impressed into the mould for the stock (ibid, Fig 2, Pl III, a). Of the 19 examples cited by Boon only two were from dated contexts, the Grande-Ribaud A and Jeune-Garde B wrecks (1bid, 20). Boon was later able to mention a further 36 examples documented by Käpitan, none were from dated contexts (ibid, 25). More recent finds, however, have extended the date range, both earlier to the early second century or even the late third century BC, but also later, to the second half of the first century BC (Perrone Mercanti 1979, 34, 39, 42ff; Käpitan 1982 and pers comm). greater date range does not affect Boon's conclusion that the Class C anchor 'was obsolete by the time of the Roman conquest of

346

Wales, complete in AD 74-8, and may well have been obsolete by the time of the Roman invasion in AD 43' (Boon 1977a, 17).

On the basis of this dating Boon explored why and when a Mediterranean vessel would be off the west coast of Britain. A number of historical contexts are examined but Boon tacitly assumes that the only possible reason for the presence of a ship would be related to the exploration of Welsh mineral sources, probably tin. The voyage of Pytheas seems to be excluded on chronological grounds and Boon accepts that the expedition of Publius Crassus to the Cassiteredes reported by Strabo (III, 5, ii) was undertaken by Crassus the Elder and dates to the 80s BC although this is debatable (Mitchell 1983, 82-3; Ch 17.2.1; App 40). Boon concludes that an interest in British tin must antedate the exploitation of the Spanish tin resources which he suggests dates from the 80s or shortly after (Boon 1977a, 23; cf Nony 1978, 667-8).

Boon adduces three points as evidence for direct Roman contact with western Britain. The first point is the knowledge of Ireland in Augustan or later sources which Boon suggests may derive from a Mediterranean source. The other two points are the Paul hoard of Cisalpine tetradrachms (Allen 1961) which even if the hoard is a genuine find (cf Ch 15.5), are of doubtful relevance to Roman contact, and the direct Mediterranean trade suggested in 1971 by Peacock on the basis of the distribution of Dr 1 as then recorded. This apparent direct contact is, despite Boon's assertions (1977a, 24), more apparent than real (Ch 1.2; 24.2). Although there may have been some interest in Welsh mineral resources during the Romano-British period (Boon 1971; André 1976-78), there is no

acceptable evidence either for arguing that the Porth Felen stock was necessarily lost, or deposited (cf Käpitan [1985]), before the 80s BC or that it has anything to do with a direct Mediterranean It is also only an assumption that the findspot betokens an interest in Welsh mineral resources for, as Boon admits, the ship may simply have been blown off-course (1977a, 25) and if the anchor was lost before the Claudian conquest, it is difficult to offer convincing reasons as to why the ship should have been off Porth Felen. But was the anchor lost before the Claudian invasion? Boon is sure that it was, as is Sealey (1979, 172-3), but we should note his dismissal of finds possibly of first century AD date in Palermo museum and there are two certainly Julio-Claudian examples from the Rhine. Boon's comment that the Class C anchor was obsolete by the time that the Roman conquest was completed AD 74-8 may well be correct but it should not be forgotten that military interest in Wales may date from the late 40s AD perhaps in cartographic expeditions (Sherk 1974). We may note that Tacitus specifically states that no fleet was available in the taking of Anglesey (Agric 18) but if it is necessary to look for a historical context for the loss of deposition of the Porth Felen stock then an early military context is surely the most plausible one and on the dating evidence presently available does not exclude this possibility. As McGrail has commented there is no reason why the presence of the Mediterranean type vessel off Porth Felen may not have been intentional (1983a, 321-2; 1987, 253; cf in general Moore 1977).

However, we do not need to look for an historically attested situation in which to place the loss or deposition of the Porth Felen anchor. The dating of the Class C anchor extends from the

...

late third or early second century BC to the Julio-Claudian period but no stocks with astragali can be dated later than the second half of the first century BC. The archaeological evidence suggests then that a Mediterranean vessel may have been off North Wales in the later Iron Age, but perhaps the most likely historically recorded context is the early Roman military activity in or around Wales. At present, however, there is no way of deciding either when the anchor was lost or deposited or if the vessel carrying it was engaged in an attempt to exploit Welsh mineral resources, or was on an exploratory or cartographic voyage or involved in a military campaign or if it was simply blown off-course. While Boon's interpretation may be challenged, the Porth Felen stock remains an enigmatic find, of uncertain date and context.

REFERENCES

ADAMS, J.P. 1976: Logistics of the Roman Imperial Army: Major Campaigns on the Eastern Front in the First Three Centuries A.D. Ann Arbor, Univ Yale, Ph.D. Thesis.

AGOSTINETTI, P. A. 1972: Documenti per la protostoria della Val D'Osalla. (Milan, Centro Stud e Docum sull italia Romana, Monogra supp Atti 1).

AITKEN, G. M. 1967: Third Interim Report on the Excavations at Whitcombe, Dorset. Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc 89, 126-7.

ALBRECHT, C. 1942: Das Römerlager in Oberaden, II. (Dortmund, Veröff Stadt Mus für Vor- und Frühgesch Dortmund II, 2).

ALCOCK, L. 1970: Excavations at South Cadbury Castle, 1969: A Summary Report. Antiq J 50, 14-25.

ALCOCK, L. 1977-78: Excavation and Publication: Some Comments. Proc Soc Antiq Scot 109, 1977-78 (1980), 1-6.

ALCOCK, L. 1980: The Cadbury Castle Sequence in the First Millennium B.C. Bull Board Celtic Stud 28, 656-718.

ALDINI, T. 1978: Anfore foropopiliensi. Archaeol Class 30, 242-3.

ALDSWORTH, F.G. 1987: Prehistoric and Roman Selsey. Sussex Archaeol Collect 125, 41-50.

ALEXANDER, J. A. 1973: The Study of Fibulae (Safety Pins). In Renfrew, A. C. (ed) The Explanation of Culture Change. Models in Prehistory., 185-94. London.

ALFÖLDY, G. 1968: Die Hilfstruppen der römischen Provinz Germania Inferior. (Cologne, Epig Stud 6).

ALLEN, D. 1986: Excavations in Bierton, 1979: A Late Iron Age 'Belgic' Settlement and Evidence for a Roman Villa and a Twelth to Eighteenth Century Manorial Complex. *Rec Buckinghamshire* 28, 1986 (1987), 1-120.

ALLEN, D. F. 1938: British Tin Coinage of the Iron Age. In Allan, J. Mattingly, H. & Robinson, E. S. G. (eds) Transactions of the International Numismatic Congress, London 1936., 351-7. London.

ALLEN, D. F. 1944: The Belgic Dynasties of Britain and Their Coins. Achaeologia 90, 1-46.

ALLEN, D.F. 1958: Belgic Coins as Illustrations of Life in the Late Pre-Roman Iron Age of Britain. *Proc Prehist Soc* 24, 43-63.

ALLEN, D. F. n. d. [1960]: The Origins of Coinage in Britain: A Re-Appraisal. *In* Frere, S. S. (ed) n. d. [1960]b, 97-308.

ALLEN, D. F. 1961: The Paul (Penzance) Hoard of Imitation Massilia Drachms. *Numis Chron* 1961, 91-106.

ALLEN, D.F. 1965: Les pièces d'argent minces du comté de Hampshire: nouveau lien entre la Gaule celtique et la Grande-Bretagne. Rev Numis 6 ser 7, 79-93.

ALLEN, D.F. 1967: Iron Currency Bars in Britain. Proc Prehist Soc 33, 307-55.

ALLEN, D. F. 1968a: Celtic coins from the Romano-British Temple at Harlow. Brit Numis J 37, 1-6.

ALLEN, D.F. 1968b: A Hoard of Danubian Tetradrachms from England. Jahrb Numis Geldgesch 18, 113-18.

ALLEN, D. F. 1969: Monnaies à la croix. *Numis Chron 7 ser* 1969, 33-78.

ALLEN, D.F. 1970: The Coins of the Iceni. Britannia 1, 1-33.

ALLEN, D. F. 1971a: British Potin Coins: A Review. *In* Jesson & Hill (eds) 1971, 127-54.

ALLEN, D. F. 1971b: The Ship on Gaulish Coins. Antiq J 51, 96-9.

ALLEN, D. F. 1972: The Fibula of Criciru. Germania 50, 122-32.

ALLEN, D. F. 1974: The Philippus in Switzerland and the Rhineland. Schweiz Numis Rundschau 53, 42-74.

ALLEN, D. F. 1975: Cunobelin's Gold. Britannia 6, 1-19.

ALLEN, D. F. 1976a: Some Contrasts in Gaulish and British Coins. In Duval & Hawkes (eds) 1976, 265-80.

ALLEN, D. F. 1976b: Wealth, Money and Coinage in a Celtic Society. In Megaw, J. V. S. (ed), To Illustrate the Monuments: Essays on Archaeology Presented to Stuart Piggott., 199-208. London.

ALLEN, D. F. 1976c: The Houssen Hoard at Colmar. Rev Belge Numis 122, 79-85.

ALLEN, D. F. 1976d: Did Adminius Strike Coins? Britannia 7, 96-100.

ALLEN, D.F. (ed D. NASH), 1980: The Coins of the Ancient Celts. Edinburgh.

ALMIEDA, D.F. DE, ZYBSZEWSKI, G. & VEIGA FERREIRA, O. DA, 1971: Descoberta de fornos Lusitano-romanos na região da Marateca (Setübal). Arqueol Portugues 5, 155-65.

ALMGREN, O. 1923: Studien über Nordeuropäische Fibelformen der ersten nachchristlichen Jahrhunderte mit Berücksichtigung der provinzialrömischen und südrussichen Formen. (Würzburg, Mannus-Bibliothek 32/1973 reprint, Bonn).

AMAR, G. & LIOU, B. 1984: Les estampilles sur amphores du golfe de Fos. Archaeonautica 4, 1984 (1985), 145-211.

ANDERSON, A.C. & ANDERSON, A.S. (eds) 1981: Roman Pottery Research in Britain and North-West Europe. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 123).

ANDRÉ, J. 1956: Lexique des termes de botanique en latin. Paris.

ANDRE, P. 1976-78: Un lingot de cuivre en Bretagne. Bull Board Celtic Stud 27, 148-53.

ANDREWS, R.T. 1905: Roman Remains in Welwyn and Neighbourhood. Trans E Hertfordshire Archaeol Soc 3 (1), 28-35.

ANDRONICOS, M. 1977: Vergina: the Royal Graves in the Great Tumulus. Athens Ann Archaeol 10, 40-72.

ANDRONICOS, M. 1984: Vergina: the Royal Tombs and Ancient City. Athens.

ANSTETT, M. 1976: Note sur un bouchon de liège dans un col d'amphore Dressel 1. Cah Archéol Subaqua 5, 121-2.

ANTHONY. I.E. 1968: Excavations in Verulam Hills Field, St Albans, 1963-4. Hertfordshire Archaeol 1, 9-50.

ARMS, J.H. D', 1980: Senators Involvement in Commerce in the late Republic: Some Ciceronian Evidence. *In* D'Arms & Kopff (eds) 1980, 77-89.

ARMS, J.H. D', & KOPFF, E.C. (eds) 1980: The Seaborne Commerce of Ancient Rome. (Rome, Mem Amer Acad Rome 36).

ARSDELL, R.D. VAN 1983: A Note on the Earliest Types of British Potin Coins. *Numis Circ* 91, 8-9.

ARSDELL, R.D. VAN 1984a: A Note on the Date of the British Potin Coinage. Numis Circ 92, 257-8.

ARSDELL, R.D. VAN 1984b: The Origin of the British L Stater and 'The Problem of the Catuvellauni.' Numis Circ 92, 9-11.

ARSDELL, R. D. VAN 1986: An Industrial Engineer (But no Papyrus) in Celtic Britain. Oxford J Archaeol 5, 205-21.

ARTHUR, P. 1982a: Roman Amphorae and the Ager Falernus under the Empire. Pap Brit Sch Rome 50, 22-33.

ARTHUR, P. 1982b: Amphora Production in the Tripolitanian Gebel. Libyan Stud 13, 61-72.

ARTHUR, P. 1986: Roman Amphorae from Canterbury. Britannia 17, 239-58.

ARTHUR, P. & MARSH, G.D. (eds) 1978: Early Fine Wares in Roman Britain. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 57).

ASHBEE, P. 1987: Hook, Warsash, Hampshire. Excavations, 1954. Proc Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc 43, 21-62.

AUDIN, A & LEGLAY, M. 1966: Découvertes archéologiques récentes à Lugdunum, métropole des Gaules. Bull Soc Nat Antiq France 1966, 95-109.

AULNAS, C. 1980-81: Les marques et inscriptions sur amphores trouvées à Roanne. Cah Archéol Loire 1, 69-91.

AVERY, D. M. A. 1968: Excavations at Meare East, 1966. An Interim Report and Discussion. Somerset Archaeol Natur Hist 112, 21-39.

AVERY, D. M. A. n. d. [1969]: Caesar and Cassivellaunus. Unpublished typescript.

AVERY, D. M. A. 1973: British La Tène Decorated Pottery: An Outline. Étud Celtiques 13, 522-51.

AVERY, D. M. A. 1976: Hillforts of the British Isles: A Student's Introduction. *In* Harding (ed) 1976, 1-58.

AVIGAD, N. 1962: The Expedition to the Judean Desert, 1961, Expedition A. Israel Explor J 12, 181-3.

AVIGAD, N. 1972: Excavations in the Jewish Quarter of the Old City of Jerusalem, 1971. Israel Explor J 22, 193-200.

BABINGTON, C.C. 1851-59: On Some Roman Pottery Found near Foxton, Cambridgeshire. *Proc Cambridge Antiq Soc* 1, 43-5.

BADIAN, E. 1958: Foreign Clientelae, 264-70 B.C. Oxford.

BADIAN, E. 1966: Notes on Provincia Gallia in the Late Republic. In Chevallier, R. (ed), Mélanges d'archéologie et d'histoire offerts à André Piagnol., 901-18, Paris.

BADIAN, E. 1968: Roman Imperialism. Oxford.

BADIAN, E. 1972: Publicans and Sinners. Oxford.

BADIAN, E. 1982: Review of Hopkins 1978. J Roman Stud 72, 164-9.

BAGSHAWE, T.W. 1928: Early Iron Age Objects from Harpenden. Antiq J 8, 520-22.

BAILEY, C.J. 1967: An Early Iron Age and Romano-British Settlement at Pin's Knoll, Litton Cheney, Dorset. *Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc* 89, 147-59.

BAILEY, D.G. 1975: A Catalogue of Lamps in the British Museum, I, Greek, Hellenistic, and Early Roman Pottery Lamps. London.

BALDACCI, P. 1972a: Importazioni Cisalpine e produzione apula. *In* Baldacci (ed) 1972c, 7-28.

BALDACCI, P. 1972b: Le principali correnti del commercio di anfore romane nelle Cisalpina. I problemi della ceramica romana di Ravenna, della Valle padana e dell' alto Adriatico, 103-31. (Bologna, Atti del Covegno internat Ravenna 10-12 Maggio 1969).

BALDACCI, P. (ed) 1972c: Recherches sur les amphores romaines. (Rome, Coll École Franç Rome 10).

BALSDON, J. P. V. D. 1934: The Emperor Gaius (Caligula). Oxford.

BANNERT, H. 1977: Caesars Brief an Q. Cicerco und die Verbreitung von griechischer Sprache und Schrifte in Gallien (zu *BG* 1, 29, 1.5, 48, 4 und 6, 14, 13). *Wiener Stud NF* 11, 80-95.

BARDON, L., BLANCHET, J.-C., BRUNAUX, J.-L., DURAND, M., DUVAL, A., MASSY, J.-L., RAPIN, A., ROBINSON, C. & WOIMANT, J.-P. (eds) 1983: Les celtes dans le nord du Bassin Parisien (VIème - Ier siècle avant J.C.). (Amiens, Rev Archéol Picardie 1/1983).

BARFORD, P. M. 1983: Chaff-Tempered Ware, A New Type of Salt Vessel? Iron Age Pott Grp Newsl 3 (Dec 1983), [n. p].

BARRUOL, G. (ed) 1980: Ruscino, Château-Roussillon, Perpignan (Pyrénées-Orientales). I. État des travaux et recherches en 1975. (Paris, Rev Archéol Narbonnaise Supp 7).

BARRUOL, G. & SAUZADE, G. 1969: Une tombe de guerrier à Saint-Laurent-des-Arbres (Gard). Rev Étud Ligures 35, 15-89.

BASTIEN, J.-M. & DEMELON, P. 1975: Villa et cimetière du Ier siècle après J.-C. à Noyelles-Godault (Pas-de-Calais). Septentrion 5, 1-36.

BATESON, J.D. 1973: Roman Material from Ireland: A Re-consideration. *Proc Roy Ir Acad (C)* 73, 21-97.

BATESON, J.D. 1981: Enamel-working in Iron Age, Roman and Sub-Roman Britain. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 93).

BATS, M. 1986: Le vin italien en Gaule aux IIème - Ier S. av J. - C.: problèmes de chronologie et de distribution. Dialogues Hist Anc 12, 391-30.

BAUME, P. LA, 1958: Frührömische Töpferöfen aus der Lungengasse. Kölner Jahrb 3, 26-54.

BAYARD, D. & MASSY, J.-L. 1984: Amiens romain. Samarobiva Ambianorum. (Amiens, Rev Archéol Picardie num spec).

BAYLEY, J. & BUTCHER, S.A. 1981: Variations in Alloy-Composition of Roman Brooches. Actes du XX Symposium Internationale d'Archéométries Paris 1980., 24-36. (Paris, Rev Archéométrie Supp).

BEAGRIE, N. 1983: The St Mawes Ingot. *Cornish Archaeol* 22, 1983 (1984), 107-11.

BEAGRIE, N. 1985: A Bronze 'Ox-Hide' Ingot from Cornwall. Cornish Archaeol 24, 1985 (1986), 160-2.

BÉAL, J.-C. 1983: Catalogue des objets de tabletterie du musée de la civilisation gallo-romaine de Lyon. (Lyon, Centre Étud Romaines Gallo-Romaines de l'Univ Jean Moulin Lyon III, n ser 1).

BÉAL, J.-C. & FEUGÈRE, M. 1983: Les pyxides gallo-romaines en os de Gaule méridionale. Doc Archéol Méridionale 6, 115-26.

BEARD, M. & CRAWFORD, M.H. 1985: Rome in the Late Republic. Problems and Interpretation. London.

BECK, C.W., GREENLIE, J., DIAMOND, M.P., MACCHIARULO, A.M., HANNENBERG, A.A. & HAUCK, M.S. 1978: The Chemical Identification of Baltic Amber at the Celtic Oppidum Staré Hradisko in Moravia. *J Archaeol Sci* 5, 343-54.

BECK, F. & GUILLAUMET, J.-P. 1985: La métallurgie du bronze en pays éduen. In Bonnamour, Duval & Guillaumet (eds) 1985, 237-45.

BECKER, C. 1986: Note sur un lot d'amphores du Ier siècle ap. J.C. à Lyon (Fouille de l'flot 24). Figlina 7, 1986 (1987), 147-50.

BECKER, C., CONSTANTIN, C., DESBAT, A., JACQUIN, L. & LASCOUX, J.-P. 1986: Les dépôt d'amphores augustéen de la Favorite à Lyon. *Figlina* 7, 1986 (1987), 65-89.

BECKERT, T. & VANDERHOEVEN, M. 1984: Italische Terra Sigillata aus dem Vicus von Asciburgium. In Haupt, D. (ed) Beiträge zur Archäologie des römischen Rheinlandes., 163-209. (Bonn, Rheinisches Ausgrabungen 23).

BECKMANN, C. 1969: Metallfingeringe der römischen Kaiserzeit im Freien Germanien. Saalburg Jahrb 26, 5-106.

BEDFORD, DUKE OF, 1834: Roman Amphora Found in the Park at Woburn Abbey. Archaeologia 25, 606-7.

BEDWIN, O. 1978: Iron Age Sussex - the Downs and the Coastal Plains. In Drewett, P.L. (ed) Archaeology in Sussex to AD 1500., 41-51. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 29).

BEDWIN, O. 1981: Excavations at Lancing Down, West Sussex 1980. Sussex Archaeol Collect 119, 37-56.

BEDWIN, O. 1982: Excavations at the Devil's Ditch, Boxgrove, West Sussex 1981. Sussex Archaeol Collect 120, 37-43.

BEDWIN, O. 1984-85: Excavations at Mount House, Braintree 1984. Essex Archaeol Hist 16, 1984-85 (1986), 28-40.

BEDWIN, O. & HOLGATE, R. 1985: Excavations at Copse Farm, Oving, West Sussex. *Proc Prehist Soc* 51, 215-45.

BEDWIN, O. & ORTON, C. 1984: The Excavation of the Eastern Terminal of the Devil's Ditch (Chichester Dykes), Boxgrove, West Sussex. Sussex Archaeol Collect 122, 63-74.

BEEK, B.L. VAN, 1983: Salinatores and Sigillata: the Coastal Areas of North Holland and Flanders and their Economic Differences in the First Century A.D. *Helinium* 23, 3-12.

BEEK, B.L. VAN, 1987: The Roman Imports from the Campaigns 1978-1980. In Brandt, R.W., Groenman-van Waateringe, W. & Leeuw, S.E., van der (eds) Assendelver Polder Papers 1., 285-95. (Amsterdam, Cingvla 10).

BEEK, B.L. VAN, BRANDT, R.W. & GROENMAN-VAN WAATERINGE, W. (eds) 1977: Ex Horreo (Amsterdam, Cingvla 4).

BEHRENS, G. 1950: Römische Fibeln mit Inschrift. *In* Behrens, G. & Werner, J. (eds) *Reinecke Festschrift.*, 1-12. Mainz.

BELLOWS, J. 1881: On Some Bronze and Other Articles found near Birdlip. Trans Bristol Gloucestershire Archaeol Soc 5, 137-41.

BELTRÁN-LLORIS, M. 1970: Las ánforas romanas en España. (Zaragoza, Monogr Arqueol (Anejos de 'Caesaraugusta') 8).

BELTRÁN-LLORIS, M. 1977: Problemas de la morfolgía y del concepto histórico geográfico que recucbre la noción tipo. Aportaciones a la tipologiá de las ánforas beticas. *In Méthodes Classiques.*, 97-131.

BÉMONT, C. 1972: Terra Nigra trouvée à Vichy, Allier. *Gallia* 30, 149-66.

BÉMONT, C. 1973: Vases gris décorés et signés du début du ler siècle ap. C. Antiq Nationales 3, 67-78.

BÉMONT, C., DELPLACE, C., FISCHER, B., GRUEL, K., PEYRE, C. & RICHARD, J.-C. (eds) 1987: Mélanges offerts au docteur J.-B. Colbert de Beaulieu. Paris.

BÉMONT, C., DEMAROLLE, J.-M., HECKENBENNER, D. & MASSY, J.-L. (eds) 1987: *Mélanges offerts à Marcel Lutz*. (Paris, Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 38 (1-2)).

BENNETT, P., FRERE, S.S. & STOW, S. 1982: Excavations at Canterbury Castle. (Maidstone, Archaeol Canterbury 1).

BENOIT, F. 1957: Typologie et épigraphie amphoriques. Les marques de Sestius. Rev Étud Ligures 23, 247-85.

BENOIT, F. 1961: *L'Épave du Grand Conglué à Marseilles*. (Paris, 14 supp à Gallia).

BENOIT, F. 1962: Nouvelles Épaves de Provence (III). Gallia 20, 147-76.

BEN REDJEB, T. 1985: La céramique gallo-romaine à Amiens (Somme), I - la céramique gallo-belge. *Rev Archéol Picardie* 3/4, 1985, 143-76.

BEN REDJEB, T. 1987: La commercialisation de la céramique gallobelge à Amiens. *In* Bémont, Demarolle *et al* (eds) 1987, 93-100.

BENSON, G.C. 1953: A Belgic Occupation Site at Gill's Cliff, Ventnor. Proc Isle Wight Natur Hist Archaeol Soc 4, 303-11.

BERETTA, I. 1954: La romanizzazione della valle d'Aosta. Milan.

BERGER, L. 1960: Römische Gläser aus Vindonissa. (Basle, Veröff Ges pro Vindonissa 4/1980 reprint).

BERGER, L. 1963: Poseidonios Fragment 18: Ein Beitrag zur Deutung der spätkeltischen Viereckschanzen? Ur-Schweiz 27, 26-8.

BERGER, L. & FURGER-GUNTI, A. 1981: Les sites de l''Usine à gaz' et de la 'Colline de la cathédrale' à Bâle. *In* Buchsenschutz (ed) 1981, 172-86.

BERGER, L. & JOUVE, M. 1980: Un fragment de verre à ruban d'or découvert à Béthisy-Saint-Martin (Oise). Rev Archéol Oise 18, 9-13.

BERNHARD, H. 1980: Eine Töpferei aus Tiberischer Zeit in Speyer. Mitt Hist Ver Pfalz 78, 1980 (1981), 109-29.

BERNHARD, H. 1984-85: Studien zur spätrömischen Terra Nigra zwischen Rhein, Main und Neckar. *Saalburg Jahrb* 40-41, 1984-85 (1985), 34-120.

BERSU, G. 1977: Three Iron Age Round Houses in the Isle of Man. Glasgow.

BERTRAND, E. 1912: Monnaies gauloises regionales. Rev Prehist Est France Ser 3, 6, 161-82.

BERTUCCHI, G. 1982: Fouilles d'urgence et ateliers de potiers sur la butte des Carmes à Marseilles. Les amphores. Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 15, 1982 (1983) 135-60.

BERTUCCHI, G. 1983: Amphore et demi-amphore de Marseille au 1er siècle avant J.-C. Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 16, 1983 (1984), 89-102.

BESSOU, M. 1976: Étude des vestiges de la Tène découverts à Roanne. (Saint Etienne, Centre Étud Foréziennes Archéol 3).

BEZECZKY, T. 1987: Roman Armphorae from the Amber Route in Western Pannonia. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 386).

BIANCHETTI, E. 1895: I sepolcreti di Ornavasso, (ed E. Ferrero). (Turin, Atti Soc Archeol Art Prov Milano 6).

BIDDLE, M. 1967: Two Flavian Burials from Grange Road, Winchester. Antiq J 47, 224-50.

BIDDLE, M. 1975a: Ptolemaic Coins from Winchester. Antiquity 49, 213-15.

BIDDLE, M. 1975b: Excavations at Winchester 1971: Tenth and Final Interim Report: Part 1. Antiq J 55, 96-126.

BIHAN, J.-P. LE, 1984: Villages gaulois et parcellaires antiques au Braden en Quimper: une recherche en milieu périurbain. (Quimper, Cah Quimper Antique 1).

BIHAN, J.-P. LE, DAIRE, M.-Y. CARRIE, P. & GOUPIL, P. 1987: Le hameau de La Tène finale du Braden II à Quimper (Finistère). Rev Archéol Ouest 1987, 67-89.

BINFORD, L.R. 1983: In Pursuit of the Past. Decoding the Archaeological Record. London.

BINTLIFF, J.L. 1984a: Iron Age Europe in the Context of social Evolution from the Bronze Age through to Historic Times. *In idem* (ed) 1984b, 157-225.

BINTLIFF, J. L. (ed) 1984b: European Social Evolution. Archaeological Perspectives. Bradford.

BIRCHALL, A. 1965: The Aylesford - Swarling Culture: The Problem of the Belgae Reconsidered. *Proc Prehist Soc* 31, 241-367.

BIRD, J. 1982: Review of Partridge 1981. *Archaeol J* 139, 1982 (1983), 457-8.

BIRLEY, A.R. 1981: The Economic Effects of Roman Frontier Policy. In King, A.C. & Henig, M. (eds) 1981: The Roman West in the Third Century. Contributions from Archaeology and History., 39-53. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 109).

BITTEL, K., KIMMIG, W. & SCHIEK, S. (eds) 1981: Die Kelten in Baden-Württemberg. Stuttgart.

BLACKMORE, C., BRAITHWAITE, M. & HODDER, I.R. 1979: Social and Cultural Patterning in the Later Iron Age in Southern England. In Burnham, B.C. & Kingsbury, J. (eds), Space, Hierarchy and Society. Interdisciplinary Studies in Social Area Analysis., 93-111. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 59).

BLAGG, T.F.C. & KING, A.C. (eds) 1984: Military and Civilian in Roman Britain. Cultural Relationships in a Frontier Province. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 136).

BLAKE, H. M., POTTER, T. W. & WHITEHOUSE, D. B. (eds) 1978: Papers in Italian Archaeology I. Recent Research in Prehistoric, Classical and Medieval Archaeology. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 41).

BLANCHET, A. 1905: Traité des monnaies gauloises. Paris.

BLÁZQUEZ-MARTINEZ, J.M. & REMESAL-RODRÍGUEZ, J. (eds) 1983: Producción y comercio del aceite en la Antigüedad I. Madrid. BLOEMERS, J. H. F. 1973: Fries aardewerk uit de Romeinse legerplaatsen te Haltern. *In* van Es *et al* (eds) 1973, 225-31.

BLOEMERS, J. H. F. 1978: Rijswijk (Z. H.) 'De Bult'. Eine Siedlung der Cananefaten. (Amersfoort, Nederlandse Oudheden 8).

BLOEMERS, J.H.F. 1983a: Periferie in pre-en protohistorie. (Amsterdam, Inaugural Lecture, I.P.P. Pub 318).

BLOEMERS, J.H.F. 1983b: Acculturation in the Rhine/Meuse Basin in the Roman Period: A Preliminary Survey. *In* Brandt & Slofstra (eds) 1983, 159-209.

BOESTERD, M. H. P. DEN, 1956: Description of the Collections in the Rijksmuseum G. M. Kam at Nijmegen, V, The Bronze Vessels. Nijmegen.

BOGAERS, J.E. 1982: Zum Geheimnis von Hildesheim. Bull Antieke Beschaving 57, 182-7.

BOGAERS, J. E. & HAALEBOS, J. K. 1980: Opgravingen in de romeinse legionsvestingen te Nijmegen, III (Canisiuscollege, Hoge Veld, 1975-77). Oudheid Mededel Leiden 61, 39-111 & pl.

BONIFAY, M. 1986: Observations sur les amphores tardives à Marseille d'après les fouilles de la Bourse (1980-84). Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 19, 269-305.

BONNAMOUR, L., DUVAL, A. & GUILLAUMET, J.-P. (eds) 1985): Les Âges du Fer dans la Vallée de la Saône (VII - Ier siècles avant notre ère). Paléométallurgie du Bronze à l'Âge du Fer). (Paris, Rev Archéol Est Centre Est Supp 6).

BONNER, S.F. 1977: Education in Ancient Rome from the Elder Cato to the Younger Pliny. London.

BOON, G.C. 1967: Micaceous Sigillata from Lezoux at Silchester, Caerleon, and other Sites. Antiq J 47, 27-42.

BOON, G.C. 1969: Belgic and Roman Silchester: the Excavations of 1954-8 with an Excursus on the Early History of Calleva. Archaeologia 102, 1-81.

BOON, G.C. 1971: Aperçu sur la production des métaux non ferreux dans la Bretagne romaine. *Apulum* 9, 453-503.

BOON, G.C. 1974: Silchester: The Roman Town of Calleva. London.

BOON, G.C. 1975a: Segontium 50 Years On: I, A Roman Stave of Larchwood and other Unpublished Finds, mainly of Organic Materials, together with a Note on Late Barracks. *Archaeol Cambrensis* 124, 52-67.

BOON, G.C. 1975b: A Graeco-Roman Anchor Stock from North Wales. Archaeol Atlantica 1 1975 (1976), 195-9.

BOON, G.C. 1977a: A Greco-Roman Anchor Stock from North Wales. Antiq J 57, 10-30.

BOON, G.C. 1977b: The Porth Felen Anchor Stock. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 6, 239-42.

BOON, G.C. 1983: Potters, Oculists and Eye-Troubles. *Britannia* 14, 1-12.

BOON, G.C. 1987: A Decorated Arretine Sherd from Steepholm, Bristol Channel. $Antiq\ J$ 77, 375-6.

BOON, G.C. & SAVORY, H.N. 1975: A Silver Trumpet-Brooch with Relief Decoration, Parcel-Gilt, from Camarthen, and a Note on the Development of the Type. *Antiq J* 55, 41-61.

BOON, G.C. & WYMER, J.J. 1958: A Belgic Cremation Burial from Burghfield. Berkshire Archaeol J 66, 46-53.

BOUBE-PICOT, C. 1960: Les lits du bronze de Maurétainie Tingitane. Bull Archéol Marocaine 4, 189-286.

BOUCHER, S. 1971: Vienne, bronzes antiques. (Paris, Inv Coll Publiques Franç 17).

BOUCHER, S. 1983: Musée Denon, Chalon-sur-Saône. Les bronzes figurés antiques. Paris.

BOUCHER, S. & TASSARINI, S. 1976: Bronzes antiques du Musée de la Civilisation Gallo-Romaine à Lyon, I. Lyon.

BOUCLY, J.-L. 1984: Les débuts de l'occupation romaine à Bavai: état de la question. *In Les Villes* 1984, 19-25.

BOUDET, R. 1987: L'Age du Fer récent dans la partie méridionale de l'estuaire girondin (Du Ve au Ier siècle avant J. C.) (Périgueux, Coll 'Archéologies' 2).

BOUTHIER, A., LEMOINE, J.M. & SIMON, P. 1971-72: Fouilles de sauvetage dans les fosses d'époque augustéene, rue Cujas, à Paris (5e). Bull Archéol Vexin Franç 7-8, 1971-72 (1972), 186-91.

BOUYER, M. & BUCHSENSCHUTZ, O. 1983: La chronologie du village celtique des Arènes, à Levroux (Indre). *In* Collis, Duval & Périchon (eds) 1983, 72-89.

BRADLEY, R.J., 1975: Salt and Settlement in the Hampshire - Sussex Borderland. In Brisay, K. De & Evans, K. (eds) Salt - the Study of an Ancient Industry., 20-5. Colchester.

BRADLEY, R.J. 1978: The Prehistoric Settlement of Britain. London.

BRADLEY, R.J. 1984: The Social Foundations of Prehistoric Britain. Harlow.

BRADLEY, R. J. 1985: Exchange and Social Distance: The Structure of Bronze Artefact Distributions. *Man* 20, 692-704.

BRAILSFORD, J.W. 1951: Guide to the Antiquities of Roman Britain. London.

BRAILSFORD, J. W. 1958: Early Iron Age 'C' in Wessex. *Proc Prehist* Soc 24, 101-19.

BRAILSFORD, J. W. 1962: Hod Hill, Vol 1. Antiquities from Hod Hill in the Durden Collection. London.

BRAILSFORD, J.W. 1975: The Polden Hill Hoard, Somerset. Proc Prehist Soc 41, 222-34.

BRANDT, K. 1977: Die Ergebnisse der Grabung in der Marschensiedlung Bentumersiel / Unterems in den Jahren, 1971-1973. Probleme Küstenforsch süd Nordseegebiet 12, 1-32.

BRANDT, R. W. 1983: A Brief Encounter along the Northern Frontier. In Brandt & Slofstra (eds) 1983, 129-45.

BRANDT, R. W., LEEUW, S. E., VAN DER & WIJNGAARDEN-BAAKER, L. H. VAN, 1984: Transformations in a Dutch Estuary: Research in a Wet Landscape. World Archaeol 16, 1-17.

BRANDT, R.W. & SLOFSTRA, J. (eds) 1983: Roman and Native in the Low Countries: Spheres of Interaction. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 184, 1983 (1984)).

BRANIGAN, K. 1984: North-east England in the First Century. In Wilson, Jones & Evans (eds) 1984, 27-33.

BRAUND, D.C. 1983: Caesar, Gabinus and the *publicani* of Judea. *Klio* 65, 241-4.

BRAUND, D.C. 1984: Rome and the Friendly King: The Character of the Client Kingship. Beckenham.

BREEZE, D. J. 1977: The Fort at Bearsden and the Supply of Pottery to the Roman Army. *In* Dore & Greene (eds) 1977, 133-45.

BREEZE, D. J. 1982: Demand and Supply on the Northern Frontier. *In* Clack, P. A. G. & Haselgrove, S. (eds) *Rural Settlement in the Roman North.*, 148-65. Durham.

BREEZE, D. J. 1984: Demand and Supply on the Northern Frontier. In Miket, R. & Burgess, C.B. (eds) Between and Beyond the Walls. Essays on the Prehistory and History of North Britain., 264-86. Edinburgh.

BREMMER, J. 1980: Marginalia Manichaica. Zeitschr Papyr Epig 39, 29-34.

BŘEŇ, J. 1964: *Význam spon pro datování keltských oppid v Čećhach*. (Prague, Sborník Národ Muz Praze 18(5)).

BŘEŇ, J. 1966: Třísov: A Celtic Oppidum in South Bohemia. Prague.

BŘEŇ, J. 1975: Pánze tzv. Aylesfordského typu v Čećhach. *Časopis* Národn Muz Praha 144, 1975 (1977) 7-15.

BRIGGS, S., BRENNAN, J. & FREEBURN, G. 1973: Irish Prehistoric Gold-Working: Some Geological and Metallurgical Considerations. *Bull Hist Metall Grp* 7(2), 18-26.

BRISON, A. & HATT, J.-J. 1969: Le cimetière de la Tempête á Normée (Marne). *Mém Soc Agric Marne* 84, 21-37.

BRONGERS, J. A. & WOLTERING, P. J. 1973: Prehistory in the Netherlands: An Economic-Technological Approach. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 23, 7-47.

BRONGERS, J.A. & WOLTERING, P.J. 1978: De prehistorie van Nederland. Economisch, technologisch. Haarlem.

BROOK, G.C. 1933: The Philippus in the West and the Belgic Invasion of Britain. *Numis Chron 5 ser*, 13, 88-138.

BROOKS, H. & WALL, W. 1986: The Stanstead Project. Fieldwalking and Excavations at Stanstead Airport. First Interim Report. December 1986. Chelmsford.

BROOKS, R.T. 1966: The Rumps, St Minver; Second Interim Report on the 1965 Season. *Cornish Archaeol* 5, 4-10.

BROOKS, R.T. 1974: The Excavation of the Rumps Cliff Castle, St Minver. Cornish Archaeol 13, 5-50.

BROUWER, M. 1982: Römische Phalerae und anderer Lederbeschlag aus dem Rhein. Oudheid Mededel Leiden 63, 145-99.

BROWN, A.C. 1968: Catalogue of Italian Terra-Sigillata in the Ashmolean Museum. Oxford.

BROWN, L. 1984: Objects of Stone. *In* Cunliffe 1986d, 407-26, M12: A2-E3.

BROWN, P. 1985: The Late Iron Age Hoard from Lofts Farm. Essex J 20, 42-5.

BRUCKNER, A. 1975: Gebrauchskeramik aus zwei Augusteischen Töpferöfen von Neuss. with Vegas, M. Die Augusteische Gebrauchskeramik von Neuss. (Berlin, Novaesium 6/Limesforschungen 14).

BRUNT, P. A. 1963: Review of Meyer 1961. J Roman Stud 53, 170-6.

BRUNT, P. A. 1965: 'Amicitia' in the late Roman Republic. Proc Cambridge Philol Soc 191 (n ser 11), 1-20.

BRUNT, P. A. 1978: *Laus Imperii*. *In* Garnsey & Whittaker (eds) 1978, 159-91.

BRUNT, P. A. & MOORE, J. M. 1973: Res Gestae Divi Augusti.
Introduction and Commentary. (rev ed). Cambridge.

BRUZZA, P.L. 1875: Scoperta di figuline in Pozzuoli. *Bull Inst Corr Archeol* 1875, 242-56.

BRY, M. 1937: La nécropole Gallo-Romaine à incinérations de Prunay I. Bull Soc Archéol Champenoise 31, 6-21.

BRY, M. & FROMOLS, J. 1938: La nécropole Gallo-Romaine à incinérations de Prunay II. *Bull Soc Archéol Champenoise* 32, 133-56.

BUCHEM, H. VON, 1941: De fibulae van Nijmegen. (Nijmegen, Bouwsteenen voor een geschiedenis van Nijmegen 3).

BUCHSENSCHUTZ, O. (ed) 1981: Les structures d'habitat à l'Age du Fer en Europe tempérée. L'évolution de l'habitat en Berry. Paris.

BUCHSENSCHUTZ, O. 1984a: 150 ans de recherche sur les fortifications en terre en Europe tempérée. *In* Cahen-Delhaye *et al* (eds) 1984, 271-5.

BUCHSENSCHUTZ, O. 1984b: Structures d'habitats et fortifications de l'age du fer en France septentrionale. (Paris, Mém Soc Prehist Franç 18).

BUCHSENSCHUTZ, O. & RALSTON, I.B.M. 1986: En relisant la guerre des Gaules. In Duval, A. & Soto, J.G., de (eds) Actes du VIIIe colloque sur les Ages du Fer en France non-Méditerranéenne., 383-7. (Bordeaux, Rev Aquitania supp 1).

BUCKLEY, D.G. 1979: The Stone. In Wainwright 1979, 89-97.

BUCKLEY, D.G. (ed) 1980: Archaeology in Essex to A.D. 1500. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 34).

BUCKLEY, D. G. & MAJOR, H. 1983: Quernstones. In Crummy, N., The Roman Small Finds from Excavations in Colchester 1971-9., 73-6. (Colchester, Colchester Archaeol Rep 2).

BUDINSKÝ-KRIČKA, V. 1958: Výskum na mohylínku v Zemplíne roku 1958. Referáty o pracovných výs ledkoch Československých Archeológov Za Rok 1958 (Cast' II) (1959), 61-9. BUKOWSKI, Z. 1983: Neue Ergebnisse zur Problematik der ältesten Eisenbearbeitung und- gewinnung im Bereich der Lausitzer Kultur. *In* von Schmettow (ed) 1983, 35-46.

BULARD, A. & DROUHOT, C. 1981: Le site de La Tène finale de l'Ile-Ronde à Vaires-sur-Marne (Seine-et-Marne). In L'Âge du Fer 1981, 347-64.

BULL, J.M. 1935-37: A Prehistoric Site at Twitty Fee, Danbury. Essex Natur 25, 86-93.

BULLEID, A. & GRAY, H., ST GEORGE 1917: The Glastonbury Lake Village, Vol 2. Taunton.

BULLEID, A. & GRAY, H., ST GEORGE 1948: The Meare Lake Village, Vol 1. Taunton.

BULLIOT, J.G. 1899: Fouilles du Mont Beuvray. Autun.

BULMER, M. 1980: An Introduction to Roman Samian Ware. Denbigh.

BURNAND, Y. & VERTET, H. (eds) 1985: Céramique antique en Gaule. Actes du colloque de Metz (1982). (Nancy, Stud Gallica 2).

BURNHAM, B.C. & JOHNSON, H.B. (eds) 1979: Invasion and Response.

The Case of Roman Britain. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser
73).

BURNS, R.B. 1977: The Late Iron Age Site at the Tranquesous, St Saviour's, Guernsey. *Rep Trans Soc Guernesiaise* 20, 1977 (1978), 188-218.

BUSHE-FOX, J.P. 1915: Excavations at Hengistbury Head 1911-12. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 3).

BUSHE-FOX, J.P. 1925: Excavation of the Late-Celtic Urn-Field at Swarling, Kent. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 5).

BUTLER, H. E. 1912: Propertius. London.

CAHEN-DELHAYE, A. 1983: La Fin des âges des métaux en Hainaut. *In* Cauchies, J.-M. & Duvosquet, J.-M. (eds), *Recueil d'études d'histoire Hâinuyère offerts à Maurice A. Arnold.*, 77-94. Mons.

CAHEN-DELHAYE, A., DUVAL, A. LEMAN-DELERIVE, G. & LEMAN, P. (eds) 1984: Les celtes en Belgique et dans le nord de la France. Les fortifications de l'Age du Fer. (Lille, Rev du Nord num spec)

CAIGER, J.E.L. 1958: A Belgic Site at Bexley. Archaeol Cantiana 72, 186-9.

CALKIN, J.B. 1965: Some Early Iron Age Sites in the Bournemouth Area. Proc Dorset Nat Hist Archaeol Soc 86, 120-30.

CALLENDER, M. H. 1965: Roman Amphorae. With an Index of Stamps. Oxford.

CALVI, M.C. 1966: The Roman Glass of Northern Italy. *Bull Haaretz Mus* 8, 55-64.

CALVI, M.C. 1968: I Vetri Romani del Museo di Aquileia. Aquileia.

CARANDINI, A. 1977a: Alcune forme di vassellame bronzeo. *In idem* (ed) 1977b, 163-8.

CARANDINI, A. (ed) 1977b: L'Instrumentum domesticum di Ercolano e Pompei nella prima età imperiale. (Rome, Qauderni di Cultura Materiale 1).

CARANDINI, A. 1980: Roma imperialistica: un caso di sviluppo precapitalisticio. *In* D'Arms & Kopff (eds) 1980, 11-20.

CARRE, M.-B. 1985: Les amphores de la Cisalpine et de l'Adriatique au début de l'Empire. *Mel Ecole Franç Rome Antiq* 97, 207-45.

CASSON, L. 1971: Ships and Seamanship in the Ancient world. Princeton.

CASTELIN, K. 1977: Zur Chronologie der keltischen Fundmünzen von Basel-Gasfabrik und Basel-Münsterhügel. *Money Trend* 9 (11), 11-15.

CASTELIN, K. 1978: Wann begann die keltische Goldprägung in Gallien? *Money Trend* 10 (11), 10-12.

CASTELIN, K. 1985: Keltische Münzen. Katalog der Sammlung des Schweizerischen Landesmuseum Zürich. Bd II. Kommentar. Bern.

CASTLE, S. A. 1978: Amphorae from Brockley Hill. Britannia 9, 383-92.

CELTES 1982 = Celtes et gallo-romains en Berry. (Exhib Cat). Châteauroux.

CHADBURN, A.D.B. 1983: The Stanwick Fortifications Reconsidered. Northern Archaeol 4, 2-20.

CHAMBERS, S. A. 1978: An Analysis of Iron Age Inhumation Burials in the Dorset Area and an Assessment of their Value as Indicators of Social Organisation. (Univ Leeds, Certificate Diss).

CHAMPION, S.T. 1976: Coral in Europe: Commerce and Celtic Ornament. *In* Duval & Hawkes (eds) 1976, 29-40.

CHAMPION, S.T. 1985: Production and Exchange in Early Iron Age Central Europe. *In* Champion & Megaw (eds) 1985, 133-60.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1975: Britain in the European Iron Age. Archaeol Atlantica 1, 127-45.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1976: The Earlier Iron Age in the Region of the Lower Thames: Insular and External Factors. Univ Oxford, D. Phil Thesis.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1979: The Iron Age (c. 600 B.C. - A.D. 200), A. Southern Britain and Ireland. In Megaw & Simpson [eds] 1979, 344-421.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1980: Mass Migration in Later Prehistoric Europe. In Sörbom, P. (ed) Transport Technology and Social Change, 31-42. Stockholm.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1981: Review of Wainwright 1979. Proc Prehist Soc 47, 355.

CHAMPION, T.C. n.d. [1982]: The Myth of Iron Age Invasions in Ireland. In Scott (ed) [n.d.] 1982, 39-44.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1983: Review of Thompson 1982. Proc Prehist Soc 49, 428.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1985: Written Sources and the Study of the European Iron Age. In Champion & Megaw (eds) 1985, 9-22.

CHAMPION, T.C. 1987: The European Iron Age: Assessing the State of the Art. Scottish Archaeol Rvw 4, 98-107.

CHAMPION, T.C. & CHAMPION, S.T. 1981: The Iron Age in Hampshire. In Schadla-Hall, R.T. & Shennan S.J. (eds), The Archaeology of Hampshire, 37-44. (Farnborough, Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc Monogr 1).

CHAMPION, T.C. & CHAMPION, S.T. 1986: Peer Polity Interaction in the European Iron Age. *In* Renfrew & Cherry (eds) 1986, 59-68.

CHAMPION, T.C. & MEGAW, J.V.S. (eds) 1985: Settlement and Society: Aspects of West European Prehistory in the First Millennium B.C. Leicester.

CHANTRAINE, H. 1984: Keltische Münzen in frühen rheinischen Legionslagern. In Grasmann, Janssen & Brandt (eds) 1984, 11-19.

CHAPOTAT, G. 1970: Le matériel de La Tène III trouvé sur la colline Sainte-Blandine. (Lyon, Pub Centre Études Romaines Gallo-Romaines Faculté Lettres et Sciences).

CHARLESTON, R.J. 1963: Glass 'Cakes' as Raw Material and Articles of Commerce. *J Glass Stud* 5, 54-67.

CHARLIN, G., GASSEND, J.-M. & LEQUÉMENT, R. 1978: L'épave antique de la baie de Cavalière (Le Lavandou, Var). *Archaeonautica* 2, 1978 (1979), 9-93.

CHASE, G. H. 1908: The Loeb Collection of Arretine Pottery. New York.

CHASE, G. H. 1916: Catalogue of Arretine Pottery, Museum of Fine Arts, Boston. Boston & New York.

CHERRY, J.F. 1984: The Emergence of the State in the Prehistoric Aegean. *Proc Cambridge Philol Soc* 210 (*n ser* 30), 18-48.

CHOSSENOT, M. & CLEMENT, D. 1987: Introduction à l'étude de la céramique gallo-belge dans la vallée de la Vesle (Marne). *In* Bémont, Demarolle *et al* (eds) 1987, 113-23.

CHRISTIE, P. M. 1978: The Excavation of an Iron Age Souterrain and Settlement at Carn Euny, Sancreed, Cornwall. *Proc Prehist Soc* 44, 309-434.

CHRISTLEIN, R. 1963: Ein Bronzes Siebfragment der Spätlatènezeit vom Zugmantel. Saalburg Jahrb 21, 16-19.

CHRISTLEIN, R. 1972: Zur Datierung einer Bronzekanne aus Wehringen. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 27, 38-9.

CLARK, D. T. -D. & SEALEY, P. R. 1979: The Camulodunum Excavation Record. *Antiquity* 53, 57.

CLARK, J.G.D. 1966: The Invasion Hypothesis in British Archaeology. Antiquity 40, 172-89.

CLARK, P.J. 1971: The Neolithic, Bronze and Iron Age, and Romano-British Finds from Mount Batten, Plymouth 1832-1939. *Proc Devon Archaeol Soc* 29, 137-61.

CLARK, R. R. 1939: The Iron Age in Norfolk and Suffolk. Archaeol J 96, 1939 (1940), 1-113.

CLARK, R.R. 1954: The Early Iron Age Treasure from Snettisham, Norfolk. *Proc Prehist Soc* 20, 27-86.

CLARK, R.R. & HAWKES, C.F.C. 1955: An Iron Anthropoid Sword from Shouldham, Norfolk, with related Continental and British Weapons. *Proc Prehist Soc* 21, 198-227.

CLAUSSEN, W. 1947: Bede and the British Pearl. Classical J [Chicagol 42, 277-80.

CLAY, P. 1985: The Pre-Roman Iron Age Settlement. In Clay & Mellor 1985, 29-32.

CLAY, P. & MELLOR, J.E. 1985: Excavations in Bath Lane, Leicester. (Leicester, Leics Mus Archaeol Rep Ser 10).

CLEERE, H.F. & CROSSLEY, D.W. 1985: The Iron Industry of the Weald. Leicester.

CLEMENT, M. 1978: Les urnes globulaires à bord moulure. Une importation précoce de céramique commune en Armorique. *Archéol Bretagne* 23 (3), 13-17.

CLEMENT, M. & GALLIOU, P. 1985: Le depôt gaulois de Brech (Morbihan). Rev Archéol Ouest 2, 65-71.

CLIFFORD, E. M. 1961: Bagendon: A Belgic Oppidum Cambridge.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1952: Un monnayage celtique non attribué isolé dans le nord-est de l'Armorique. *Ann Bretagne* 59, 81-93.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1953a: La trouvaille de Brech. Bull Soc Polymath Morbihan 33, 47-51.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1953b: La trouvaille de Jersey-6, provient-elle d'un lot unique? *Ann Bretagne* 60, 326-8.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1953c: Les petits billons du Nord de l'Armorique. *Ann Bretagne* 60, 328-35.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1954a: Note complémentaire au sujet de la trouvaille de Brech. Bull Soc Polymath Morbihan 34, V.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1954b: Une énigme de la numismatique armoricaine: les monnaies celtiques des vénètes. II. L'or. Mém Soc Hist Archéol Bretagne 34, 5-38.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1955a: Numismatique celtique d'Alésia. Rev Belge Numis 101, 55-83.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1955b: Peut-on dater par la numismatique l'occupation gauloise d'un oppidum? L'example de Pommiers (Aisne). Rev Archéol Est Centre-Est 6, 260-70.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1956: Les monnaies gauloises du British Museum. *Ogam* 8, 425-8.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1957: Le trésor de Jersey-11 et la numismatique celtique des deux Bretagnes. *Rev Belge Numis* 103, 47-88.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1958: Amorican Coin Hoards in the Channel Islands. *Proc Prehist Soc* 24, 201-10.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1959: Un troisième lot de la récolte de Jersey-11. Rev Belge Numis 105, 49-57.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1970a: Les potins dits 'à la tête diabolique', un monnayage indigène de la Gaule en voie de romanisation. Rev Belge Numis 116, 97-123.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1970b: Les monnaies gauloises des Parisii. Paris.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1973a: Traite de Numismatique Celtique I. Methodologie des ensembles. (Paris, Ann Litt Univ Besançon 135/Centre Recherche Hist Anc Ser Numis 5).

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1973b: Chronologie des bronzes gaulois et British Potin Coins. Rev Belge Numis 109, 5-41.

COLBERT DE BEAULIEU, J.-B. 1984: Die zeitliche Einordnung der keltischen Münzen Galliens. *In* Grasmann, Janssen & Brandt (eds) 1984, 20-33.

COLES, B.J., ROUILLARD, S.E. & BACKWAY, C. 1986: The 1984 Excavations at Meare. Somerset Levels Pap 12, 30-57.

COLES, J.M. 1987: Meare Village East. The Excavations of A. Bulleid & H. St. George Gray 1932-1956. (Hertford, Somerset Levels Pap 13).

COLES, J.M., HEAL, S.V.E. & ORME, B.J. 1978: The Use and Character of Wood in Prehistoric Britain and Ireland. *Proc Prehist Soc* 44, 1-45.

COLIN, A. 1984: Preliminaires à une étude chronologique des *oppida* au premier siècle avant J.-C. *In Recherche* 1984, 154-68.

COLLART, J.-L. 1987: Le contexte stratigraphique des monnaies gauloises découvertes à Chilly (Somme). In Bruneau, J.-L. & Gruel, K. (eds) Monnaies gauloises découvertes en fouilles. (Paris, Doss de Protohist 1).

COLLECTANEA LONDINIENSIA 1978: Collectanea Londiniensia: Studies in London Archaeology and History presented to Ralph Merrifield. (London, London Middlesex Archaeol Soc Spec Pap 2).

COLLIS, J.R. 1968: Excavations at Owslebury, Hants: An Interim Report. Antiq J 48, 18-31.

COLLIS, J.R. 1970: Excavations at Owslebury, Hants. A Second Interim Report. *Antiq J* 50, 246-61.

COLLIS, J. R. 1971: Functional and Theoretical Interpretations of British Coinage. World Archaeol 3, 71-84.

COLLIS, J.R. 1973: Burials with Weapons in Iron Age Britain. *Germania* 51, 121-33.

COLLIS, J.R. 1975a: Defended Sites of the Late La Tène in Central and Western Europe. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Supp Ser 2).

COLLIS, J.R. 1975b: The Coin of Ptolemy V from Winchester.

Antiquity 49, 47-8.

COLLIS, J.R. 1977a: Pre-Roman Burial Rites in North-Western Europe. *In* Reece (ed) 1977, 1-13.

COLLIS, J.R. 1977b: Owslebury (Hants) and the Problem of Burials on Rural Settlements. *In* Reece (ed) 1977, 26-34.

COLLIS, J.R. 1978: Winchester Excavations, Vol II: 1949-1960. Excavations in the Suburbs and the Western Part of the Town. Winchester.

COLLIS, J.R. 1980: Aulnat and Urbanization in France: A Second Interim Report. Achaeol J 137, 1980 (1981), 40-9.

COLLIS, J.R. 1981: Coinage, Oppida, and the rise of Belgic Power: A Reply. In Cunliffe (ed) 1981d, 53-5.

COLLIS, J.R. 1982: Review of Wainwright 1979. Germania 60, 625-8.

COLLIS, J. R. 1983: Excavations at Silchester, Hants. 1968. Proc Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc 39, 57-68.

COLLIS, J.R. 1984a: Oppida. Earliest Towns North of the Alps. Sheffield, (1985).

COLLIS, J. R. 1984b: The European Iron Age. London.

COLLIS, J.R. 1984c: An Iron Age Epilogue. *In* Wilson, Jones & Evans (eds) 1984, 89-90.

COLLIS, J.R. 1985: Review of Cunliffe 1984d. *Proc Prehist Soc* 51, 348-9.

COLLIS, J. R. 1987: Celtic Europe Before the Romans. *In* Wacher, J. S. (ed), *The Roman World.*, 15-37. London.

COLLIS, J.R. & RALSTON, I.B.M. 1976: Late La Tène Defences. Germania 54, 135-46.

COLLIS, J.R., DUVAL, A. & PERICHON, R. (eds) 1983: Le deuxième âge du Fer en Auvergne et en Fôrez et ses relations avec les régions voisines. Sheffield.

COLLS, D. 1986: Les amphores léétaniennes de l'épave Cap Béar III. In Hommages à Robert Etienne., 201-13. (Bordeaux, Rev Etud Anc 87).

COLLS, D., ÉTIENNE, R., LEQUÉMENT, R. & MAYET, F. 1977: L'épave Port-Vendres II et la commerce de la bétique a l'époque de Claude. (Paris, Archaeonautica 1).

COMAS, I SOLÀ, M. 1984: La Laietana - 1: un nou tipus d'amfora trobat a Badalona. *Rev Arbres* 39, 23-6.

COMFORT, H. 1942: Arretine Ware by Perrenius, from England. American J Archaeol 46, 90-2.

COMFORT, H. 1949: Review of Hawkes and Hull 1947. American J Archaeol 53, 328-31.

COMFORT, H. 1959a: An Arretine-Type Signature from Lezoux. American J Archaeol 63, 179-80.

COMFORT, H. 1959b: Sigillata from the Ships at Lake Nemi. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 2, 5-12.

COMFORT, H. 1962a: Late Ateius Signatures. *Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt* 4, 5-25.

COMFORT, H. 1962b: An Italian Sigillata Crater in Britain. *In* Renard, M. (ed), *Hommages à Albert Grenier*., 448-56. (Brussells, Coll Latomus 58).

COMFORT, H. 1963-64: Puteolan Sigillata at the Louvre. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 5/6, 1963-64 (1964), 7-28.

COMFORT, H. 1973: Rasinius at Puteoli, - or Cumae? *In* van Es *et al* (eds) 1973, 271-4.

COMFORT, H. 1975: Lake Nemi and London: Two Retractions. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 16 (= Archeol Vestnik 26), 158-9.

COMFORT, H. 1987: Terra Sigillata from Arikmedu. [Abstract]. American J Archaeol 91, 292-3.

CONDAMIN, J., FORMENTI, F., METAIS, M.O., MICHEL, M. & BLOND, P. 1976: The Application of Gas Chromatography to the Tracing of Oil in Ancient Amphorae. *Archaeometry* 18, 195-202.

CONNOR, P.J. 1974: A Note on the Broadbridge (Sussex), Head. Brittania 5, 379-81.

COOTER, W.S. 1976: Preindustrial Frontiers and Interaction Spheres: Aspects of the Human Ecology of Roman Frontier Regions in North West Europe. Ann Arbor, Univ Michigan, Ph.D. Thesis.

COOTER, W.S. 1977: Preindustiral Frontiers and Interaction Spheres: Prolegomenon to a Study of Roman Frontier Regions. *In Miller*, D.H. & Steffen, J.O. (eds), *The Frontier. Comparative Studies.*, 81-107. Norman.

CORCORAN, J. X. W. P. 1952: Tankards and Tankard Handles of the British Early Iron Age. *Proc Prehist Soc* 18, 85-102.

CORDER, P. & PRYCE, T.-D. 1938: Belgic and Other early Pottery Found at North Ferriby, Yorks. With comments on pre-Claudian Romano-Gaulish Influence in Britain. $Antiq\ J$ 18, 262-77.

CORDER, P. & PRYCE, T.-D. 1939: An Arretine Plate from North Ferriby, Yorkshire. Antiq J 19, 207.

CORNEZ, A., TELLIER, P. & CARMALEZ, J.-C. 1981: Compte-rendu des Fouilles (Année Scolaire 1979-80) (III. La fouille de la 2ème boutique basse 35-38). Fouilles Étud Archeol Pedogogie Lycée Bavay 3, 7-40.

CORSI-SCIALLANO, M. & LIOU, B. 1985: Les épaves de Tarraconaise à chargement d'Amphores Dressel 2-4. (Paris, Archaeonautica 5 (1986)).

COTTON, M. A. 1962: Relationships Between Iron Age Earthworks in France and Britain. Archaeol News Letter 7, 147-52.

COTTON, M. A. & RICHARDSON, K. M. 1941: A Belgic Cremation Site at Stone, Kent. *Proc Prehist Soc* 7, 134-41.

COURTOIS, L. & VELDE, B. 1978: Une amphore à grenat jaune du Latium à Amathonte. Bull Corr Hellénique 102, 977-81.

COX, P.W. 1985: Excavation and Survey on Furzey Island, Poole Harbour (SZ 011871). An Interim Note. *Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc* 107, 1985. (1987), 157-8.

CRAWFORD, M. H. 1969: Roman Republican Coin Hoards. (London, Roy Numis Soc Spec Pub 4).

CRAWFORD, M. H. 1974: Roman Republican Coinage. (1975). London.

CRAWFORD, M. H. 1985: Coinage and Money under the Roman Republic. Italy and the Mediterranean Economy. London.

CRAWFORD, O.G.S. & RÖDER, J. and others 1955: The Quern-Quarries of Mayen in the Eifel. *Antiquity* 29, 68-76.

CRESTON, R.-Y. 1961: Les navires des Vénètes. In 'Atti del II' Congresso Internazionale di Archeologia Sottomarina, Albegna 1958., 369-80. Bordighera.

CREW, P. 1985: Bryn y Castell: 1979-1984 Interim Reports. Maentwrog.

CREW, P. & HENDERSON, J. in preparation: Prehistoric British Glass Armlets: Aspects of their Archaeology and Chemical Composition. To be submitted to *Proc Prehist Soc.*

CRIVELLI, A. 1971: La revisione della necropeli di Giubiasco. In Oblatio. Raccolta di Studi di antichità ed arte in onore del Prof Aristide Calderini., 287-309. Como.

CRIVELLI, A. 1977: La necropoli di Giubiasco. Riv Archeol Como 159, 5-70.

CROWTHER, D. 1987: Redcliff. Current Archaeol 9, 284-5.

CRUMLEY, C. L. 1974: Celtic Social Structure: The Generation of Archaeologically Testable Hypotheses from Literary Evidence. (Michigan, Univ Michigan Mus Anthrop, Anthrop Pap 54).

CRUMMY, P. 1979: Crop Marks at Gosbecks, Colchester. *Aerial Archaeol* 4, 77-82.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1961: Report on a Belgic and Roman Site at the Causeway, Horndean (1959). Proc Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc 22, 25-9.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1964: Winchester Excavations, 1949-1960, Vol I. Winchester.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1968: Fifth Report on the Excavations of the Roman Fort at Richborough, Kent. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 23).

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1971: Excavations at Fishbourne 1961-1969. (Leeds, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 26).

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1972: The Late Iron Age Metalwork from Bulbury, Dorset. Antiq J 52, 293-308.

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1974: Iron Age Communities in Britain. London.

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1978a: Hengistbury Head. London.

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1978b: Iron Age Communities in Britain. ² London.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1978c: Settlement and Population in the British Iron Age, some Facts, Figures and Fantasies. *In* Cunliffe & Rowley (eds) 1978, 3-24.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1981a: Hengistbury Head, Dorset: Iron Age Project. Interim Note on the Third Season of Excavations, 1981. Oxford, typescript.

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1981b: Danebury, Hampshire. Third Interim Report on the Excavations 1976-80. *Antiq J* 61, 238-54.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1981c: Money and Society in Pre-Roman Britain. In idem (ed) 1981d, 29-39.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. (ed) 1981d: Coinage and Society in Britain and Gaul. Some Current Problems. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 38).

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1982a: Britain, The Veneti and Beyond. Oxford J Archaeol 1, 39-68.

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1982b: Social and Economic Development in Kent in the Pre-Roman Iron Age. *In* Leach, P.E. (ed), *Archaeology in Kent to A.D. 1500.*, 40-50. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 48).

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1982c: Review of Partridge 1981. Britannia 13, 441-2.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1983a: Ictis: Is it here? Oxford J Archaeol 2, 123-6.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1983b: Danebury. Anatomy of an Iron Age Hillfort. London.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1984a: Iron Age Wessex: Continuity and Change. In Cunliffe & Miles (eds) 1984, 12-45.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1984b: Relations between Britain and Gaul in the First Century B.C. and Early First Century A.D. In Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984, 3-23.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1984c: Settlement Hierarchy and Social Change in Southern Britain in the Iron Age. *Anal Praehist Leidensia* 15, 161-81.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1984d: Danebury: An Iron Age Hillfort in Hampshire: The Excavations 1969-1978. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 52).

CUNLIFFE, B. W. 1984e: Hengistbury Head. Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc 106, 1984 (1985), 111-14.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1984f: Gloucestershire and the Iron Age of Southern Britain. Trans Bristol Gloucestershire Archaeol Soc 102, 5-15.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1985: Hengistbury Head. Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc 107, 1985 (1986), 156-7.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1986: The Iron Age in the Channel Islands: A Review. In Johnston (ed) 1986, 56-67.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1987a: Hengistbury Head, Dorset, Vol 1: The Prehistoric and Roman Settlement, 3500 B.C.-A.D. 500. (Oxford, Univ Oxford Cmte Archaeol Monogr 13).

CUNLIFFE, B.W. 1987b: Wine for the Barbarians. In idem (ed), Origins. The Roots of Civilisation., 161-72. London.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. & FULFORD, M.G. 1982: Corpus Signorum Imperii Romani. Great Britain, Vol 1 (2). Bath and the Rest of Wessex.

Oxford.

CUNLIFFE, B.W. & MILES, D. (eds) 1984: Aspects of the Iron Age in Central Southern Britain. (Oxford, Univ Oxford Cmte Archaeol Monogr 2).

CUNLIFFE, B. W. & ROWLEY, R. T. (eds) 1976: Oppida: The Beginnings of Urbanisation in Barbarian Europe. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Supp Ser 11).

CUNLIFFE, B. W. & ROWLEY, R. T. (eds) 1978: Lowland Iron Age Communities in Europe. (Oxford Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 48).

CUNNINGTON, M.E. 1932: Was there a Second Belgic Invasion (Represented by Bead-Rim Pottery) ? Antiq J 12, 27-34.

CUNNINGTON, M.E. AND CUNNINGTON, B.H. 1913: Casterley Camp Excavations. Wiltshire Archaeol Natur Hist Mag 38, 53-105.

CÜPPERS, H. 1981: Kranken- und Gesundheitspflege in Trier und dem Trierer Land von der Antike bis zur Neuzeit. Trier.

CURWEN, E. & CURWEN, E.C. 1927: Excavations in the Caburn, near Lewes. Sussex Archaeol Collect 69, 1-56.

CURWEN, E.C. 1941: More about Querns. Antiquity 15, 15-32.

CZURDA-RUTH, B. 1979: Die römischen Gläser vom Magdalensberg. (Klangenfurt, Kärntner Museumsschr 65 / Archäol Forschungen Grabungen Magdalensberg 6).

DAIRE, M.-Y. 1985: Céramiques armoricaines de la fin de l'âge du fer: essai comparatif sur quelques ensembles finistériens. *Bull Soc Archéol Finistère* 114, 77-95.

DAMON, R. 1890: Roman Amphora or Wine Jar. *Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc* 11, 88-90.

DANIEL, G. 1975: A Hundred and Fifty Years of Archaeology. London.

DANIEL, G. (ed) 1981: Towards a History of Archaeology. London.

DANNELL, G.B. 1971: The Samian Pottery. *In* Cunliffe 1971, 260-316.

DANNELL, G.B. 1977: The Samian from Bagendon. *In* Dore & Greene (eds) 1977, 229-34.

DANNELL, G.B. 1978: The Samian Pottery. In Down 1978, 225-33.

DANNELL, G.B. 1979: Eating and Drinking in Pre-Conquest Britain: The Evidence of Amphora and Samian Trading, and the Effect of the Invasion of Claudius. *In* Burnham & Johnson (eds) 1979, 177-84.

DANNELL, G.B. 1981a: Italian Samian from Gatesbury. In Partridge 1981, 327.

DANNELL, G.B. 1981b: The Italian and Gaulish Samian. *In* Partridge 1981, 152-8.

DANNELL, G.B. 1981c: The Samian Ware. In Down 1981, 262-9.

DANNELL, G.B. 1985: The Samian Ware - Discussion and Conclusions. Catalogues of Decorated and Plain Samian. *In* Niblett 1985, 83 & M2: B11-D14.

DARVILL, T.C. 1987: Prehistoric Britain. London.

DARVILL, T.C. & TIMBY, J.R. 1982: Textural Analysis: A Review of Potential and Limitations. *In* Freestone, Potter & Johns (eds) 1982, 73-87.

DAUBIGNY, A. 1979: Reconnaissance des formes de la dépendance gauloise. *Dialogues Hist Anc* 5, 145-89.

DAUBIGNY, A. 1983: Relations marchandes méditerranéennes et procès des rapports de dépendance (magu- et ambactes) en Gaule protohistorique. In Modes de Contact 1983, 659-83.

DAUGE, Y.A. 1981: Le Barbare. Recherches sur la conception romaine de la barbarie et de la civilisation. (Brussells, Coll Latomus 176).

DAVIES, J.L. & SPRATLING, M.G. 1976: The Seven Sisters Hoard: A Centenary Study. In Boon, G.C. & Lewis, J.M. (eds), Welsh Antiquity., 121-47. Cardiff.

DAVIES, R.W. 1966: The 'Abortive Invasion' of Britain by Gaius. Historia 15, 124-8.

DAVIES, R. W. 1970: The Roman Military Medical Service. Saalburg Jahrb 27, 82-104.

DAVIES, R.W. 1971: The Roman Military Diet. Britannia 2, 122-42.

DEAN, M. 1984: Evidence for Possible Prehistoric and Roman Wrecks in British Waters. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 13, 78-80.

DEBORD, J. 1981: Découverte d'un nouveau statère du type 'British Q' à Villeneuve-Saint-Germain (Aisne). Cah Numis 18 (69-70), 71-3.

DEBORD, J. 1982: Premier bilan de huit années de fouilles à Villeneuve-Saint-Germaine (Aisne) 1973-1980. In Vallée de 1'Aisne: Cinque années de fouilles protohistoriques., 213-64. (Amiens, Rev Archéol Picardie Num Spec).

DEBORD, J. 1984: Les origines gauloises de Soissons, oscillation d'un site urbain. *In Les Villes* 1984, 27-40.

DEBORD, J. 1985: Un statère anepigraphe des Suessiones découvert à Berzy-le-Sec (Aisne). Rev Archéol Picardie (1-2), 1985, 21-4.

DECHELETTE, J. 1914: Manuel d'Archéologie II-3: Deuxième Age du Fer, ou Epoque de La Tène. Paris.

DEDET, B., MICHELOZZI, A., PY, M., RAYNAUD, M. & TENDILLE, M. 1978: 'Ugernum.' protohistoire de Beaucaire. (Caveirac, Assoc Rech Archéol Languedoc Oriental Cah 6).

DEGENNE, M. & DUVAL, A. 1983: La nécropole de La Tène moyenne de Breuil-le-Sec (Oise), premières observations. *In* Bardon *et al* (eds) 1983, 74-95.

DEHN, W. 1979: Einige Überlegungen zum Charakter keltischen Wanderungen. *In* Duval & Krüta (eds) 1979, 15-19.

DELESTRÉE, L.-P. 1977: Essai de reclassement d'un bronze gaulois attribué à tort du chef breton Dubnovellaunus. *Cah Numis* 52, 30-5.

DELESTRÉE, L.-P. 1984: Les monnaies gauloises de Bois l'Abbé: Contribution à l'Étude de la Circulation monétaire gauloise dans l'ouest du Belgium après la conquête romaine. (Paris, Étud Numis Celtique 3 / Ann litt Univ Besançon 295 / Centre Recherche Hist Anc 56).

DEMOULE, J.-P. & ILETT, M. 1985: First-Millennium Settlement and Society in northern France: A Case Study from the Aisne Valley. *In* Champion & Megaw (eds) 1985, 193-221.

DENT, J.S. 1985: Three Cart Burials from Wetwang, Yorkshire. Antiquity 59, 85-92.

DESBAT, A. & PICON, M. 1986: Les importations d'amphores de méditerranée orientale a Lyon (Find du Ier siècle avant J.-C. et Ier siècle apres). *In* Empereur & Garlan (eds) 1986, 637-48.

DESBAT, A. & SAVAY-GUERRAZ, H. 1986: Les productions céramiques à vernis argileux de Saint-Romain-en-Gal. *Figlina* 7, 1986 (1987), 91-104.

DETSICAS, A.P. 1964: A Samian Bowl by the Potter Belsa, and an Arretine Vessel, from Canterbury. $Antiq\ J\ 44$, 153-8.

DETSICAS, A.P. (ed) 1973: Current Research in Romano-British Coarse Pottery. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 10).

DEUTSCH, M. E. 1924: Caesar and the Pearls of Britain. Classical J [Chicagol 19, 503-5.

DEYBER, A. with DELAUT, M., LADIER, M. & WEISROCK, A. 1984: L'habitat fortifié laténien de la 'Pierre d'Appel' à Étival-Clairefontaine (Vosges). *Gallia* 42, 175-217.

DIAS DIOGO, A.M. 1983: Fornos de ânforas do Monte da Enchurrasqueira e do Vale da Cepo - Notícia preliminar. Conimbriga 22, 209-15.

DILLY, G. 1978a: Céramique de tradition de 'La Tène' à Frencq (Pas-de-Calais). Cah Archéol Picardie 5, 127-34.

DILLY, G. 1978b: Les fibules gallo-romaines du Musée de Picardie. Cah Archéol Picardie 5, 157-75.

DION, R. 1968: Transport de l'étain des Îles britanniques à Marseilles à travers de la Gaule. Actes du 93 Congrès national des Sociétés Savantes, Tours 1968: Archéologie., 423-38. Tours.

DION, R. 1977: Une erreur traditionale à redresser: l'identification d'Iktis de Diodore de Sicile avec l'Île de Wight. Bull Assoc Guillaume Budé (1977), 246-56.

DOLLFUSS, M. A. 1975: Catalogue des fibules de bronze Galloromaines de Haute-Normandie. *Mém Acad Inscrip Belle-Lettres Inst* France 16, 9-261.

DOPPELFELD, O. 1966: Römisches und Fränkisches Glas in Köln. Cologne.

DORE, J. N. & GREENE, K. T. (eds) 1977: Roman Pottery Studies in Britain and Beyond. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Supp Ser 30).

DOWN, A. 1974: Chichester Excavations II. Chichester.

DOWN, A. 1978: Chichester Excavations III. Chichester.

DOWN, A. 1981: Chichester Excavations V. Chichester.

DOWNEY, R.R., KING, A.C. & SOFFE, G. 1979: The Hayling Island Temple. Third Interim Report on the Excavations of the Iron Age and Roman Temple 1976-1978. London.

DOWNEY, R.R., KING, A.C. & SOFFE, G. 1980: The Hayling Island Temple and Religious Connections across the Channel. *In* Rodwell, W.J. (ed) *Temples, Churches and Religion in Roman Britain.*, 289-304. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 77).

DOYEN, J.-M. & WARMENBOL, E. 1986: Ein Bronzestierkopf augusteisches Zeit aus Liberchies (Hainaut, Belgien). *Germania* 64, 168-72.

DRAGENDORFF, H. & WATZINGER, C. 1948: Arretinische Reliefkeramik mit Beschreibung der Sammlung in Tübingen. Reutlingen.

DRAPER, J. 1985: Excavations by Mr H. P. Cooper at the Roman Site at Hill Farm, Gestingthorpe, Essex. (Hunstanton, E Anglian Archaeol 25).

DRESCHER, H. 1958: Der Überfangguss. Ein Beitrag zur vorgeschichtlichen Metalltechnik. Mainz.

DRESCHER, H. 1963: Nachbesserungen und Reparaturen an keltischem und römischem Metallgeschirr. Nachr Niedersachsens Urgesch 32, 41-53.

DRESCHER, H. 1969: Untersuchungen über zwei römische Bronzegefässe aus Nienbüttel und Westerwanna im Niedersächsischen Landesmuseum, Hannover. Die Kunde 20, 17-47.

DREWETT, P. 1982: The Archaeology of Bullock Down, Eastbourne, East Sussex: The Development of a Landscape. (Lewes, Sussex Archaeol Soc Monogr 1).

DREXEL, F. 1928: Radix Britannica. Germania 12, 172-3.

DRINKWATER, J.F. 1975: Lugdunum: 'Natural Capital' of Gaul? Britannia 6, 133-40.

DRINKWATER, J.F. 1978: The Rise and Fall of the Gallic Iulii: Aspects of the Development of the Aristocracy of the Three Gauls under the Early Empire. *Latomus* 37, 817-50.

DRINKWATER, J.F. 1983: Roman Gaul. London.

DRURY, P.J. 1976: Braintree; Excavations and Research 1971-76 and an Archaeological Gazetteer. Essex Archaeol Hist 8, 1-135.

DRURY, P.J. 1978a: Excavations at Little Waltham 1970-71. (London, Chelmsford Excav Comm Rep 1 / Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 26).

DRURY, P.J. 1978b: Little Waltham and pre-Belgic Iron Age Settlement in Essex. *In* Cunliffe & Rowley (eds) 1978, 43-76.

DRURY, P.J. & RODWELL, W.J. 1973: Excavations at Gun Hill, West Tilbury. Essex Archaeol Hist 5, 48-112.

DRURY, P.J. & RODWELL, W.J. 1980: Settlement in the Later Iron Age and Roman Periods. *In* Buckley (ed) 1980, 59-75.

DRYDEN, H. 1845: Roman and Roman-British Remains at and near Shefford, Co. Beds. (Cambridge, Pub Cambridge Antiq Soc 1 (1840-46), No 8).

DUBUIS, B., HALDIMANN, M.-A. & MARTIN-KILCHER, S. 1987: Céramique du Bas-Empire découverte à Sion 'Sous-le Scex'. *Archäol Schweiz* 10, 157-68.

DUFF, A.M. & DUFF, J.W. 1935: Minor Latin Poets. London.

DUNCAN, G.C. 1964: A Roman Pottery near Sutri. Pap Brit Sch Rome 32, 38-88.

DUNNETT, R.B.K. 1971: Excavations in Colchester 1964-68. (=Trans Essex Archaeol Hist, 3(1)).

DUNNING, G.C. 1928: An Engraved Bronze Mirror from Nymegen, Holland. *Archaeol J* 85, 69-79.

DUVAL, A. 1974: Un type particulier de fibule gallo-romaine précoce, la fibule 'd'Alésia'. Antiq Nationales 6, 67-76.

DUVAL, A. 1975: Une tombe à char de La Tène III, Inglemare (Commune de Belbeuf, Seine-Maritime). Archaeol Atlantica 1, 147-63.

DUVAL, A. 1976: Aspects de La Tène moyenne dans le Bassin Parisien. *Bull Soc Préhist Franç* 73, 457-84.

DUVAL, A. 1980-81: À propos des 'plaques' de bronze de Levroux (Indre). Antiq Nationales 12/13, 1980-81 (1982), 59-62.

DUVAL, P.-M. & HAWKES, C.F.C. (eds) 1976: Celtic Art in Ancient Europe. Five Protohistoric Centuries. London.

DUVAL, P.-M. & KRÜTA, V. (eds) 1979: Les mouvements celtiques du Ve au Ier siècle avant notre ère. Paris.

H., SIEMS, H. & TIMPE, D. (eds) 1985: DÜWEL, K., JANKUHN, Handel und Verkehr Untersuchungen zu der vorund frühgeschichtlicher Zeit in Mittel und Nordeuropa. Teil 1, Grundlagen und Darstellungen Methodische zum Handel in vorgeschichtlicher Zeit und in der Antike. (Göttingen, Abhl Akad-Wiss Göttingen Phil-Hist Kl, Folge 3, 143).

DYER, J.F. 1976: The Bedfordshire Region in the First Millennium B.C. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 11, 7-18.

DYSON, S.L. 1985: The Creation of the Roman Frontier. Princeton.

EBEL, C. 1976: Transalpine Gaul. The Emergence of a Roman Province. (Leiden, Stud Dutch Archaeol Hist Soc 4).

EDDY, M.R. with TURNER, C. 1982: Kelvedon: the Origin and Development of a Roman Small Town. (Chelmsford, Essex County Counc Archaeol Sec Occas Pap 3).

EDELSTEIN, L. & KIDD, I.G. 1972: *Posidonius I. The Fragments*. Cambridge.

EGGER, R. 1952: Die Ausgrabungen auf dem Magdalensberg 1950-1951. Carinthia I 142, 81-172.

EGGER, R. 1961: Die Stadt auf dem Magdalensberg: ein Grosshandelsplatz. Vienna.

EGGER, R. 1968: Inschriften aus Ess- und Trinkgeschirr vom Magdalensberg. *In* Schmid, Berger & Bürgin (eds) 1968, 269-77.

EGGERS, H.-J. 1949-50: Lübsow, ein germanischer Fürstensitz der älteren Kaiserzeit. *Prähist Zeitschr* 34-35, 1949-50 (1950), 58-111.

EGGERS, H.-J. 1951: Der römische Import im Freien Germanien. (Hamburg, Atlas Urgesch I).

EGGERS, H.-J. 1966: Römische Bronzegefässe in Britannien. *Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz* 13, 67-164.

EGGERS, H.-J. 1976: Zur absoluten Chronologie der römischen Kaiserzeit im Freien Germanien. *In* Temporini, H. (ed), *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt II*, 5.1., 3-64. Berlin & New York.

EHRENREICH, R.M. 1985: Trade, Technology and the Ironworking Community of the Iron Age of Southern Britain. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 144).

EHRENREICH, R. M. 1986: Blacksmithing Technology in Iron Age Wessex. Oxford J Archaeol 5, 165-84.

EISENSTADT, S. N. & RONIGER, L. 1984: Patrons, Clients and Friends. Interpersonal Relations and the Structure of Trust in Society. Cambridge.

EKHOLM, K. & FRIEDMAN, J. 1979: 'Capital' Imperialism and Exploitation in Ancient World Systems. In Larsen, M.T. (ed), Power and Propaganda: A Symposium on Ancient Empires., 41-58. (Copenhagen, Mesopotamia 7).

EKHOLM, K. & FRIEDMAN, J. 1980: Towards a Global Anthropology. In Blussé, L., Wesseling, H.L. & Winius, G.D. (eds), History and Underdevelopment., 61-76. Leiden.

ELLMERS, D. 1969: Keltischer Schiffbau. Jahrb Röm German Zentralmus Mainz 16, 73-122.

ELSDON, S.M. 1975: Stamped and Roulette Decorated Pottery of the La Tène Period in Eastern England: A Study in Geometric Designs. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep [Brit Ser] 10).

ELSDON, S. M. 1982: A Skeleton Guide to Late Iron Age Pottery in Lincolnshire. Nottingham (Privately Circulated).

ELSDON, S. M. & MAY, J. 1987: The Iron Age Pottery from Dragonby. A Draft Report. Nottingham.

EMPEREUR, J.-Y. 1986: Un atelier de Dressel 2-4 en Égypte au IIIe siècle de notre ère. *In* Empereur & Garlan (eds) 1986, 599-608.

EMPEREUR, J.-Y. & GARLAN, Y. (eds) 1986: Recherches sur les amphores grecques. (Athens, Bull Corres Hellénique Supp 13).

ENGLING, J. 1856: Die Römerbegrabnisse auf den Gemarkingen der Gemeinden Waldbillig, Heffingen und Steinfort. *Pub Sec Hist Inst Luxembourg* 12, 13-25.

ES, W. A. VAN, 1981: De Romeinen in Nederland. 2 Bussum.

ES, W.A., VAN, HUBRECHT, A.V.M., STUART, P. & MANK, W.C. (eds) 1973: Archaeologie en Historie. Festschrift H. Brunsting. Bussum.

ETTLINGER, E. 1956: Nachtrag zum 'Sigillata-Depotfund aus dem Legionslager Vindonissa'. *Germania* 34, 273-5.

ETTLINGER, E. 1962: Vorbemerkungen zu einer diskussion des Ateiusproblems. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 4, 27-44.

ETTLINGER, E. 1967a: Frühe Arretine aus Neuss. *In Studien zu den Militärgrenzen Roms.* Vörtrage des 6 Internationalen Limeskongress., 77-85. (Cologne, Bonner Jahrb Bhft 19).

ETTLINGER, E. 1967b: Arretine und augusteisches Silber. *In Gestalt und Geschichte. Festschrift Karl Schefold.*, 115-20. (Bern, Antike Kunst Bhft 4).

ETTLINGER, E. 1968-69: Keramik-Datierungen der frühen Kaiserzeit. Jahrb Schweiz Ges Ur- u Frühgesch 54, 69-72.

ETTLINGER, E. 1973: *Die römischen Fibeln in der Schweiz*. (Bern, Handbh der Schweiz zur römer- u merowingerzeit).

ETTLINGER, E. 1977: Aspects of Amphora-Typology, - Seen from the North. In Méthodes Classiques 1977, 9-16.

ETTLINGER, E. 1983: Die italische sigillata von Novaesium. (Berlin, Novaesium 9 / Limesforschungen 21).

ETTLINGER, E. 1987: How was Arretine Sold? Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 25/26, 5-19.

ETTLINGER, E. & FELLMANN, R. 1955: Ein Sigillata-Depotfund aus dem Legionslager Vindonissa. *Germania* 33, 364-73.

EVANS, A.J. 1890: On a Late-Celtic Urn-field at Aylesford, Kent. Archaeologia 52, 315-88.

EVANS, A.J. 1897: On a Votive Deposit of Gold Objects Found on the North-West Coast of Ireland. *Archaeologia* 55, 391-408.

EVANS, J. 1864: The Coins of the Ancient Britons. London.

FARKA, C. 1977: Die römischen Lampen vom Magdalensberg. (Klagenfurt, Kärntner Museumsschr 61 / Archäol Forschungen Grabungen Magdalensberg 4).

FARLEY, M. 1983: A Mirror Burial at Dorton, Buckinghamshire. *Proc Prehist Soc* 49, 269-302.

FARRELL, A.W. & PENNY, S. with JOPE, E.M. 1975: The Broighter Boat: A Reassessment. Ir Archaeol Res Forum 2 (2), 15-28.

FASHAM, P.J. 1985: The Prehistoric Settlement at Winnall Down, Winchester. (Winchester, Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc Monogr 2 / M3 Archaeol Rescue Cmte Rep 8).

FELL, C. I. 1949: Amphora from Kedington. *Proc Cambridge Antiq Soc* 42, 129.

FELLMANN, R. 1952: Basel in römischer Zeit. (Basle, Monogr zur Ur- u Frühgesch Schweiz 10).

FERDIÈRE, A. M. 1972: Introduction à l'étude d'un type céramique: les urnes à bord mouluré Gallo-Romaines précoces. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 23, 77-88.

FEUGÈRE, M. 1977: Les Fibules Gallo-Romaines du Musée Denon à Chalon-sur-Saône. *Mém Soc Hist Archéol Chalon-s-Saône* 47, 77-158.

FEUGÈRE, M. 1978: Les fibules du Tournugeous. Bull Soc Amis Arts Sci Tournus 77, 133-98.

FEUGÈRE, M. 1982: Elément d'un cadre en fer de la Tène finale à Vienne (Isère). Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 15, 1982 (1983), 161-76.

FEUGÈRE, M. 1985: Les fibules en Gaule méridionale de 120 av. J.-C. à 500 apr. J.-C. (Paris, Rev Archéol Narbonnaise Supp 12).

FEUGÈRE, M., PONCET, J. & VAGINAY, M. 1977: Céramiques ornées d'époque Tibère-Claude trouvées à Roanne (Loire). Figlina 2, 107-26.

FEUVRIER-PRÉVOTAT, C. 1978: Échanges et sociétés en Gaule indépendante: à propos d'un texte de Poseidonios d'Apamée. *Ktema* 3, 248-53.

FEUVRIER-PRÉVOTAT, C. 1981: Negotiator et mercator dans le discours cicéronien: essai de définition. Dialoques Hist Anc 7, 1981 (1982), 367-405.

FICHET CLAIREFONTAINE, F. DE. 1986: La céramique d'époque tibérienne à Corseul. Étude du lot de la salle des Fêtes. *Doss Centre Reg Archéol Alet* 14, 39-51.

FILTZINGER, P. 1972: Die römische Keramik aus dem Militärbereich von Novaesium (etwa 25 bis 50 n. Chr.). (Berlin, Novaesium 5 / Limesforschungen 11).

FILTZINGER, P. 1980: Der westliche Umfassungsgraben und das rückwartige Lagertor des zweilegionenslagers der 1. und 20. Legion apud Ara Ubiorum Kölner Jahrb 17, 1980 (1981), 59-75.

FINGERLIN, G. 1970-71: Dangstetten, ein augusteisches Legionslager am Hochrhein. Ber Röm - German Komm 51-52, 1970-71 (1972), 197-232.

FINGERLIN, G. 1971: Rheinheim-Dangstetten. Ein Legionslager aus frührömischer Zeit am Hochrhein. Archäol Nachr Baden 6, 11-20.

FINGERLIN, G. 1986: Dangstetten I. Katolog der Funde (Fundstellen 1 bis 603). (Stuttgart, Forschungen u Ber vor- u Frühgesch Baden-Württemberg 22).

FINLEY, M. I. 1978: Empire in the Greco-Roman World. Greece & Rome 2 ser 25, 1-15.

FINLEY, M. I. 1985a: Ancient History. Evidence and Models. London.

FINLEY, M. I. 1985b: The Acient Economy. 2 London.

FIORI, P. & JONCHERAY, J.-P. 1975: Premiers résultats de la campagne de fouilles sur l'épave de la Tradelière. *Cah Archéol Subaqua* 4, 59-70.

FISCHER, B. 1978: Les monnaies antiques d'Afrique du Nord trouvées en Gaule. (Paris, 36 supp à Gallia).

FISCHER, B. 1981: Les monnaies gauloises de Levroux (Indre). In Buchsenschutz (ed) 1981, 191-200.

FISCHER, F. 1959: Der spätlatènezeitliche Depot-Fund von Kappel, Kr. Saulgau. (Stuttgart, Urkunden Vor- u Frühgesch Südwürttemberg-Hohenzollern I).

FISCHER, F. 1966a: Das oppidum von Atlenburg-Rheingau. Ein Vorbericht. Germania 44, 286-312.

FISCHER, F. 1966b: Frühe fibeln aus Aquileia. Aquilea Nostra 37, col 7-26.

FISCHER, F. 1973: KEIMHAIA: Bemerkungen zur kulturgeschichtlichen Interpretations des sogenannten Südimports in der späten Hallstatt- und frühen Latenekultur des westlichen Mitteleuropa. Germania 51, 436-59.

FISCHER, F. 1978: Archäologie und Geschichte, Konkret. *In Der Trichtinger Ring und seine Probleme. Kolloquium anlässlich des 70 Geburtstages von Professor Dr Dr h. c. Kurt Bittel.*, 9-37. Heidenheim.

FISCHER, F. 1983a: Thrakien als Vermittler iranischer Metallkunst an die frühen Kelten. In Boehmer, R.M. & Hauptmann, H. (eds) Beiträge zur Altertumskunde Kleinsasiens: Festschrift Kurt Bittel., 191-202. Mainz.

FISCHER, F. 1983b: Das handwerk bei den Kelten zur Zeit der Oppida. In Jankuhn, J., Janssen, W., Schmidt-Wiegand, R. & Tiefenbach, H. (eds), Das Handwerk in Vor- und Frühgeschichtlicher Zeit. Teil 2. Archäologische und Philologische Beiträge., 34-49. (Göttingen, Abhl Akad-Wiss Göttingan, Phil-Hist Kl Folge 3, 123).

FISCHER, F. 1985: Der Handel der Mittel- und spätlatènezeit in Mitteleuropa aufgrund archäologischer Zeugnisse. *In* Düwel *et al* (eds) 1985, 285-98.

FISCHER, T., RIECKHOFF-PAULI, S. & SPINDLER, K. 1984: Grabungen in der spätkeltischen Siedlung im Sulztal bei Berching-Pollanten, Landkreis Neumarkt, Oberpfalz. *Germania* 62, 311-72.

FITZPATRICK, A. P. 1984a: Beaurieux, Les Grèves, 1984: An Interim Assessment of the Excavated Pottery. In Haselgrove, C.C. La Vallée de l'Aisne, France. Excavations and Fieldwork by the University of Durham: 2nd Interim Report., 14-17. Durham.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. 1984b: The Deposition of La Tène Iron Age Metalwork in Watery Contexts in Southern England. *In* Cunliffe & Miles (eds) 1984, 178-90.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. 1985a: The Distribution of Dressel 1 Amphorae in North-West Europe. Oxford J Archaeol 4, 305-40.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. 1985b: The Celtic Coins. In France & Gobel 1985, 49-66.

FITZPATRICK, A. P. 1985c: The Iron Age Glass Bracelets from Castle Dore. Cornish Archaeol 24, 1985 (1986), 133-40.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. 1986: Camulodunum and the Early Occupation of South-East England. Some Reconsiderations. *In* Unz (ed) 1986, 35-41.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. 1987a: The Structure of a Distribution Map: Problems of Sample Bias and Quantitative Studies. *Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt* 25/26, 79-112.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. 1987b: Die Eimer vom Typus Fällanden: Ein italischer Bronzegefässtyp des 1. Jh. v. Chr. *Jahrb Schweiz Ges für Ur- u Frühgesch* 70, 101-12.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. in preparation: The British Iron Age Mirror Series: Chronology, Origins and Typology.

FITZPATRICK, A.P. & MEGAW, J.V.S. 1987: Further Finds from the Le Câtillon Hoard. *Proc Prehist Soc* 53, 433-44.

FLEURY, B. 1986: Late Iron Age Chronology in the Light of New Material from the Aisne Valley (Northern France). *Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London* 23, 1986 (1987), [1988], 29-46.

FLOUEST, J.-L. & STEAD, I.M. 1977: Une tombe de La Tène III à Hannogne (Ardennes). *Mém Soc Agric Marne* 92, 55-72.

FLOUEST, J.-L. & STEAD, I.M. 1979: Iron Age Cemeteries in Champagne. Third Interim Report. (London, Brit Mus Occas Pap 6).

FOERSTER, F. 1976: Notes and News: Spain. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 5, 89-90.

FONTES, P., LAUBENHEIMER, F., LEBLANC, J., BONNEFOY, F., GRUEL, K. & WIDEMANN, F. 1981: Nouvelles données analytiques et typologiques sur les ateliers de production d'amphores en gaule du sud. Actes du XX Symposium Internationale d'Archéométries, Paris 1980., 95-100. (Paris, Rev Archéometrie Supp)

FORBES, R.J. 1956: Studies in Ancient Technology, Vol 4. Leiden.

FORMENTI, F., HESNARD, A. & TCHERNIA, A. 1978: Note sur le contenu d'une amphore Lamboglia 2 de l'épave de la Madrague de Giens. Archaeonautica 2, 1978 (1979), 95-100.

FOSTER, J. A. A. 1977: Bronze Boar Figurines in Iron Age and Roman Britain. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep 39).

FOSTER, J. A. A. 1983: The Lexden Tumulus: A Re-appraisal. Univ London, Ph. D. Thesis.

FOSTER, J. A. A. 1986: The Lexden Tumulus. A Re-appraisal of an Iron Age Burial from Colchester, Essex. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 156).

FOUET, G. & SAVÈS, G. 1971: Le bronze à Vieille-Toulouse: trouvailles anciennes. Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 4, 47-92.

FOWLER, E. 1960: The Origins and Development of the Pennanular Brooch in Europe. *Proc Prehist Soc* 26, 149-77.

FOWLER, P.J. 1983: The Farming of Prehistoric Britain. Cambridge.

FOX, A. 1950: Two Greek Silver Coins from Holne, South Devon. Antiq J 30, 152-5.

FOX, A. 1961: The Iron Age Bowl from Rose Ash, North Devon. Antiq J 41, 186-98.

FOX, A. 1973: South-West England. 2 London.

FOX, A., RADFORD, C.A.R., ROGERS, E.H. & SHORTER, A.H. 1952: Report on the Excavations at Milber Down, 1937-8. *Proc Devon Archaeol Soc* 4, 27-78.

FOX, C.F. 1923: The Archaeology of the Cambridge Region. Cambridge.

FOX, C.F. 1958: Pattern and Purpose. A Survey of Early Celtic Art in Britain. Cardiff.

FOX, C.F. & HULL, M.R. 1948: The Incised Ornament on the Celtic Mirror from Colchester, Essex. *Antiq J* 28, 128-37.

FRANCE, N. E. & GOBEL, B. M. 1985: The Romano-British Temple at Harlow, Essex. Gloucester.

FRANCE-LANORD, A. 1963: Les lingots de fer protohistoriques. Rev Hist Sidérurgie 4, 167-78.

FRANKENSTEIN, S. & ROWLANDS, M.J. 1978: The Internal Structure and Regional Context of Early Iron Age Society in South-Western Germany. Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London 15, 73-112.

FREDERIKSON, M. W. 1984: Campania. (ed N. Purcell). London.

FREEMAN, C.E. & WATSON, W. 1949: Early Iron Age Objects from Harpenden: A New Interpretation. *Antiq J* 29, 196-7.

FREESTONE, I.C., POTTER, T.W. & JOHNS, C.M. (eds) 1982: Current Research in Ceramics: Thin Section Studies. The British Museum Seminar 1980. (London, Brit Mus Occas Pap 32).

FREESTONE, I.C. & RIGBY, V. 1982: Class B Cordoned Wares and Other Imported Wares from Hengistbury Head. *In* Freestone, Potter & Johns (eds) 1982, 29-42.

FREESTONE, I.C. & RIGBY, V. 1983: The Flagons. *In* Farley 1983, 291-3.

FREMERSDORF, F. 1940: Römische Scharnierbänder aus Bein. *In Serta Hoffilleriana*. [Festschrift Victor Hoffiller], 321-37. Zagreb.

FREMERSDORF, F. 1965-66: Die Anfänge der römischen Glashütten Kölns. Kölner Jahrb 8, 24-43.

FRENCH, D. A. & GREEN, P. W. 1983: A Late Iron Age Site at Thong Lane, Gravesend. *Kent Archaeol Rvw* 73, 54-68.

FRERE, S. S. 1940: A Survey of Archaeology near Lancing. Sussex Archaeol Collect 81, 141-72.

FRERE, S. S. 1954: Canterbury Excavations, Summer 1946. The Rose Lane Sites. Archaeol Cantiana 68, 1954 (1955), 101-43.

FRERE, S. S. n. d. [1960]a: Some Problems of the Later Iron Age. *In 1dem* (ed) n. d. [1960]b, 84-92.

FRERE, S.S. (ed) n.d. [1960]b: Problems of the Iron Age in Southern Britain. (London, Inst Archaeol Univ London Occas Pap 11 / 1978 reprint).

FRERE, S. S. 1961: Excavations at Verulamium, 1960: Sixth Interim Report. Antiq J 41, 72-85.

FRERE, S.S. 1962: Excavations at Dorchester-on-Thames, 1962. Archaeol J 119, 1962 (1964), 114-49.

FRERE, S.S. 1978: Britannia. 2 London.

FRERE, S.S. 1982: The Bignor Villa. Britannia 13, 135-95.

FRERE, S.S. 1983: Verulamium Excavations II. (London, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 41).

FRERE, S. S. 1984a: Verulamium Excavations III. (Oxford, Univ Oxford Cmte Archaeol Monogr 1).

FRERE, S. S. 1984b: Excavations at Dorchester-on-Thames, 1963. Archaeol J 141, 1984 (1985), 91-174.

FRERE, S.S., STOW, S. & BENNETT, P. 1982: Excavations on the Roman and Medieval Defences of Canterbury. (Maidstone, Archaeol Canterbury 2).

FRESCHI, A. 1982: Il relitto 'A' delle Tre Senghe (Isole Tremiti). Relazione preliminare 1981-1982. Archeol Subacquea 1, 89-100. (Rome, Supp à Boll Arte 4).

FREY, O.-H. 1984: Die Bedeutung der Gallia Cisalpina für die Entstehung der Oppida-Kultur. In Schiek, S. (ed), Studien zu Siedlungsfragen der Latènezeit., 1-38. (Marburg, Veröff Vorgesch Seminars Marburg Sonderbd 3).

FREY, O.-H. 1986: Einige Überlegungen zu den Beziehungen zwischen Kelten und Germanen in der Spätlaténezeit. In Frey, O.-H., Roth, H. & Dobiat, C. (eds) 1986: Gendenkschrift für Gero von Merhart., 45-79. (Marburg, Marburger Stud Vor- u Frühgesch 7).

FREY, O.-H. 1987: Review of Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984. Prähist Zeitschr 62, 248-50.

FREY, O.-H. with MEGAW, J.V.S. 1976: Palmette and Circle: Early Celtic Art in Britain and its Continental Background. *Proc Prehist Soc* 42, 47-65.

FRISON, E. 1961: Examen anatomique des bois du puits romain num I de Harelbeke. *Latomus* 20, 800-5.

FROMOLS, J. 1938: L'atelier céramique de Thuisy (Marne), découvert et fouille par M. Bry. Bull Soc Archéol Champenoise 32, 49-98.

FROMOLS, J. 1939: L'atelier céramique de Sept-Saulx (Marne) découvert et fouille par M. Bry. Bull Soc Archéol Champenoise 33, 31-77.

FULFORD, M.G. 1984: Demonstrating Britannia's Economic Dependence in the First and Second Centuries. *In* Blagg & King (eds) 1984, 129-42.

FULFORD, M.G. 1985a: Guide to the Silchester Excavations. The Forum and Basilica 1982-84. Reading.

FULFORD, M.G. 1985b: Roman Material in Barbarian Society c 200 B.C. - c A.D. 400. In Champion & Megaw (eds) 1985, 91-108.

FULFORD, M.G. 1987: Calleva Atrebatum: An Interim Report on the Excavations of the Oppidum, 1980-86. Proc Prehist Soc 53, 271-8.

FULFORD, M.G. with CORNEY, M. 1984: Silchester Defences 1974-80 with a Field Survey of Extra-Mural Settlement. (London, Britannia Monogr 2 (1985)).

FURGER-GUNTI, A. 1979a: Die Ausgrabungen im Basler Münster I. Die spätkeltische und augusteische zeit. (Derendingen-Solothurn, Basler Beitr Ur- u Frühgesch 6).

FURGER-GUNTI, A. 1979b: Die Funde aus der spätkeltischen Grube und des frührömischen spitzgraben. Basler Zeitschr Gesch u Altkde 79, 362-87.

FURGER-GUNTI, A. 1981: Frühe Auxilien am Rhein - Keltische Münzen in römischen militarstätionen. *Archäol Korrespondenzbl* 11, 231-46.

FURGER-GUNTI, A. 1982a: Der 'Goldfund von Saint-Louis' bei Basel und ähnliche keltische Schatzfunde. Zeitschr Schweiz Archäol Kunstgesch 39, 1-47.

FURGER-GUNTI, A. 1982b: Zur Chronologie keltischer Gold- und Potinmünzen. *In* Hackens & Weiller (eds) 1982, 587-95.

FURGER-GUNTI, A. & BERGER, L. 1980: Katalog und Tafeln der Funde aus der spätkeltischen Siedlung Basel-Gasfabrik. (Derendingen-Solothurn, Basler Beitr Ur- u Frühgesch 7).

FURGER-GUNTI, A. & KAENEL, H.-M. 1976: Die keltischen Fundmünzen aus Basel. Schweiz Numis Rundschau 55, 33-76.

GABELMANN, H. 1982: Eine späthellenistische Silberschale in Köln. Bonner Jahrb 182, 241-64.

GALLAY, G. & SPINDLER, K. 1972: Der Feuerbock von St Bernard (Ain, Frankreich). Archäol Korrespondenzbl 2, 169-77.

GALLIOU, P. 1981: A Group of Early Central Gaulish Beakers. In Anderson & Anderson (eds) 1981, 265-76.

GALLIOU, P. 1982: Les amphores tardo-républicaines découvertes dans l'ouest de la France et les importations de vins italiens à la fin de l'âge du Fer. (Brest, Corpus des amphores découvertes dans l'ouest de la France 1 / Archéol en Bretagne Supp 4).

GALLIOU, P. 1983a: L'armorique romaine. Braspars.

GALLIOU, P. 1983b: Iron in Iron Age and Roman Armorica. *In* von Schmettow (ed), 1983, 77-83.

GALLIOU, P. 1984: Days of Wine and Roses? Early Armorica and the Atlantic Wine Trade. *In* Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984, 24-36.

GALLIOU, P. 1986: Wine and the Atlantic Trade in the Later Iron Age. *In* Johnston (ed) 1986, 75-88.

GALLIOU, P. 1987: Les amphores Pascual 1 et le commerce atlantique au 1er siècle de notre ère. *In* Bémont, Delplace *et al* (eds) 1987, 379-88.

GALSTER, G. 1964: Royal Collection of Coins and Medals, National Museum, Copenhagen. Part I, Ancient British and Anglo-Saxon Coins before Æthelred II. (London, Syll Coin Brit Isles 4).

GALSTERER, B. 1983: Die Graffiti auf der römischen Gefässkeramik aus Haltern. (Münster, Bodenaltertümer Westfalens 20).

GARLAN, Y. 1975: War in the Ancient World: A Social History. London.

GARNSEY, P.D.A. 1983: Grain for Rome. In Garnsey, Hopkins & Whittaker (eds) 1983, 118-30.

GARNSEY, P.D.A., HOPKINS, K. & WHITTAKER, C.R. (eds) 1983: Trade in the Ancient Economy. London.

GARNSEY, P.D.A. & WHITTAKER, C.E. (eds) 1983: Trade and Famine in Classical Antiquity. (Cambridge, Cambridge Philol Soc Supp 8).

GASKELL-BROWN, C. & HUGO, T.E. 1983: Prehistoric and Romano-British Finds from Mount Batten, Devon 1979-1983. *Proc Devon Archaeol Soc* 41, 1983 (1984), 69-74.

GEBÜHR, M. 1974: Zur Definition älterkaiserzeitlicher Fürstengräber vom Lübzow-Typ. Prähist Zeitschr 49, 82-128.

GECHTER, M. 1979: Die Anfänge des Niedergermanischen Limes. Bonner Jahrb 179, 1-138.

GECHTER, M. & KUNOW, J. 1983: Der frühkaiserzeitliche Grabfund von Mehrum. Ein Beitrag zur Frage von Germanen in römischen Diensten. Bonner Jahrb 183, 449-68.

GECHTER, M. & KUNOW, J. 1986: Zur ländlichen Besiedlung des Rheinlandes in römischer Zeit. Bonner Jahrb 198, 377-96.

GEHRIG, U. 1973: Ein römischer Silberfund. Berliner Mus, Ber Staatlichen Mus Preussischer Kulturbesitz NF 23(2), 41-7.

GELLING, P.S. 1958: Close ny Chollagh: An Iron Age Fort at Scarlett, Isle of Man. *Proc Prehist Soc* 24, 85-100.

GELLING, P.S. 1977: Excavations on Pilsdon Pen, Dorset, 1964-71. Proc Prehist Soc 43, 263-86. GENT, H. 1983: Centralised Storage in Later Prehistoric Britain. Proc Prehist Soc 49, 243-67.

GENTY, P.-Y. & FICHES, J.-L. 1978: L'atelier de potiers galloromain d'Aspiran (Hérault). Synthèse des travaux de 1971 à 1978. Figlina 3, 1978 (1980), 71-92.

GIACOBI-LEQUÉMENT, M.F. 1987: La céramique de l'épave Fos 1. Archaeonautica 7, 167-91.

GIANFROTTA, P. A. 1982: Archeologia sott'acqua. Rinvenimenti sottomarini in Etruria meridionale. *Archeol Subacquea* 1, 13-36. (Rome, Supp à Boll Art 37-8).

GIARDINA, A. & SCHIAVONE, A. (eds) 1981: Società romana e produzione schiavistica II. Il Merci, mercati e scambi nel Mediterraneo. Rome & Bari.

GIOT, P.-R. 1960: Brittany. London.

GIOT, P.-R. 1964: Les Lingots de fer bipyramidaux en Bretagne. Ann Bretagne 71, 51-60.

GIOT, P.-R. 1979: L'Age du Fer. In Giot, P.-R., Briard, J. & Pape, L. Protohistoire de la Bretagne., 217-360. Rennes.

GIOT, P.-R., DAIRE, M.-Y. & QUERRÉ, G. 1986: *Un habitat protohistorique au Le Moulin de-la-Rive en Locquirec (29)*. (Rennes, Travaux Lab Anthrop Prehist Protohist Quatern Armoricains).

GIOT, P.-R., DAIRE, M.-Y. & QUERRÉ, G. 1987: Quelques caractères originaux de la poterie de la fin de l'Âge du Fer en Armorique. *In* Bémont, Delplace *et al* (eds) 1987, 401-18.

GLASBERGEN, W. & GROENMAN-VAN WAATERINGE, W. 1974: The Pre-Flavian Garrisons of Valkenburg Z. H. (Amsterdam, Cingvla 2).

GLASBERGEN, W. & LITH, S.M.E. VAN 1977: Italische und frühe südgallische Terra Sigillata aus Velsen (Provinz Noord-Holland). Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 16-17, 5-22.

GLASS FROM THE ANCIENT WORLD 1957: (Exhib Cat) New York.

GLODARIU, I. 1976: Dacian Trade with the Hellenistic and Roman World. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Supp Ser 8).

GODWIN, H. 1975: History of the British Flora. 2 Cambridge.

GOETHERT-POLASCHEK, K. 1977: Katalog der römischen Gläser des Rheinischen Landesmuseum Trier. (Mainz, Trierer Grabungen Forschungen 9).

GONZENBACH, V. VON, 1966: Tiberische Gürtel- und Schwertscheidenbeschläge mit figürlichen Reliefs. Helvetia Antiqua: Festschrift Emil Vogt., 183-208. Zürich.

GOODBURN, R.G. 1987: The Celtic Coins. In Crummy, N. (ed), The Coins from the Excavations in Colchester 1971-9., 15-16. (Colchester, Colchester Archaeol Rep 4).

GOODY, J. 1977: The Domestication of the Savage Mind. Cambridge.

GOODY, J. 1982: Cooking, Cuisine and Class.

GOODY, J. 1987: The Interface between the Written and the Oral. Studies in Literacy, Family, Culture and the State. Cambridge.

GORDIJN-VONS, N. 1977: Een overzicht over diervondsten van Romeins-Velsen uit de eerste helft van de eerste eeuw. *Westerheem* 26, 120-32.

GOSDEN, C. 1985: Gifts and Kin in Early Iron Age Europe. Man 20, 475-93.

GOUDINEAU, C. 1968: La céramique arétine lisse: Fouilles de l'École Française de Rome à Bolsena (Poggio Moscini) 1962-1967, IV. (Paris, Mel École Franç Rome Supp 6).

GOUDINEAU, C. 1970: Note sur la céramique à engobe interne rougepompéjen (pompejanisch-roten Platten). Mel École Franç Rome Antiq 82, 159-86.

GOUDINEAU, C. 1978: La Gaule transalpine. *In* Nicolet (ed) 1978, 679-99.

GOUDINEAU, C. 1980: La céramique arétine. *Ann Litt Univ Besançon* 36, 123-35.

GOUDINEAU, C. 1983: Marseilles, Rome and Gaul from the Third to the First Century B.C. *In* Garnsey, Hopkins & Whittaker (eds) 1983, 76-86.

GOURVEST, J. 1971: Gobelets et urnes ovoides, type Butt Beaker, en Terra Nigra de Chateaumeillant (Cher). Rev Archéol Centre 39-40, 275-83.

GRACE, V.R. 1961: Amphoras and the Ancient Wine Trade. (Princeton, Excav Athenian Agora Pic Bk 6).

GRAFF, Y. 1978: Liberchies. Site Augusteen (8). (Braine l'Alleud, Romana Contact 1975 (1978), (I-IV)).

GRASMANN, G., JANSSEN, W. & BRANDT, M. (eds) 1984: Keltische Numismatik und Archäologie / Numismatique celtique et archéologie. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 200).

GRAUE, J. 1974: Die Gräberfelder von Ornavasso. (Hamburg, Hamburger Beitr Archäol Bhft 1).

GRAUE, J. 1975: Ein bronzenes Fussbecken vom Typ 'Hoby' aus Pedemonte bei Gravellona (Toce). Archäol Korrespondenzbl 5, 205-7.

GRAY, H., ST GEORGE, 1936: The Meare Lake Village Excavations 1936. Somerset Archaeol Nat Hist 82, 236-7.

GRAY, H., ST GEORGE, 1966: The Meare Lake Village, Vol III. (ed M. A. Cotton). Taunton.

GREEN, C. M. 1980a: Handmade Pottery and Society in Late Iron Age and Roman East Sussex. Sussex Archaeol Collect 118, 1980 (1981), 69-86.

GREEN, C.M. 1980b: The Imported Amphorae. In Jones 1980, 40-5.

GREEN, C.S. 1987: Excavations at Poundbury, Dorchester, Dorset 1966-1982. Vol I: The Settlement. (Dorchester, Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc Monogr Ser 7).

GREEN, E.B. 1962a: The Hockwold Treasure. Apollo 76, 128-9.

GREEN, E.B. 1962b: The Hockwold Treasure, Norfolk. Archaeol News Letter 7, 154.

GREEN, T.K. 1979: The Fécamp-Style Refortification of High Rock. Sussex Archaeol Collect 117, 37-45.

GREENE, K.T. 1973: The Pottery from Usk. *In* Detsicas (ed) 1973, 25-37.

GREENE, K. T. 1978: Imported Fine Wares in Britain to A. D. 250: A Guide to Identification. *In* Arthur & Marsh (eds) 1978, 15-30.

GREENE, K.T. 1979: The Pre-Flavian Fine Wares. Report on the Excavations at Usk 1965-1976. (Cardiff, Rep Excavations at Usk 1965-1976 [1]).

GREENE, K. T. 1986: The Archaeology of the Roman Economy. London.

GREEP, S.J. 1983: Early Import of Bone Objects to South-East Britain. Britannia 14, 259-61.

GREEVES, T.A.P. 1981: The Archaeological Potential of the Devon Tin Industry. *In* Crossely, D.W. (ed), *Medieval Industry*., 85-95. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 40).

GREGORY, A. 1980: Three Cast Prototypes of Iron Age Potin Coins. Norfolk Archaeol 37, 341-3.

GREIFENHAUSEN, A. 1930: Bronzekline im Pariser Kunsthandel. Römer Mitt 45, 137-65.

GRIFFITH, G.T. 1935: Mercenaries of the Hellenistic World. Cambridge.

GRINSELL, L. V. 1958: The Archaeology of Wessex. London.

GROENMAN-VAN WAATERINGE, W. 1980: Urbanisation and the North-West Frontier of the Roman Empire. *In* Hanson & Keppie (eds) 1980, 1037-44.

GROENMAN-VAN WAATERINGE, W. 1983: The Disastrous Effect of the Roman Occupation. *In* Brandt & Slofstra (eds) 1983, 147-57.

GROENMAN-VAN WAATERINGE, W., & PALS, J.P. 1983: The Assendelver Polders Project: Integrated Research. In Jones, M.K. (ed), Integrating the Subsistence Economy., 135-61. (Oxford, Symp Assoc Environm Archaeol 4 / Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 181).

GROSE, D.F. 1977: Early Blown Glass: The Western Glass. J Glass Stud 19, 9-29.

GROSE, D.F. 1979: The Syro-Palestinian Glass Industry in the Later Hellenistic Period. *Muse* 13, 54-67.

GROSE, D.F. 1981: The Hellenistic Glass Industry Reconsidered.

Annales 8e Congrès de l'Association Internationale d'Histoire du

Verre (London 1979)., 61-72. Liège.

GROSE, D.F. 1982: The Hellenistic and Early Roman Glass from Morgantina (Serra Orlando), Sicily. *J Glass Stud* 24, 20-9.

GROSE, D.F. 1983: The Formation of the Roman Glass Industry. Archaeology 36, 38-45.

GRUEL, K. 1981: Le Trésor de Trébry (Côtes-du-Nord). 1er siècle avant notre ère. (Paris, Étud Numis Celtique 1 / Ann Litt Univ Besançon 260 / Centre Recherche Hist Anc 41).

GRUEL, K. 1986: Propostions for a Relative Chronolgy of the Coriosolitae Coinage (First Century B.C.). *In* Johnston (ed) 1986, 98-106.

GRUEL, K. 1987: Le monnaye de billon vénète. Cah Numis 93, 318-21.

GRÜNEWALD, M. 1983: Die Funde aus dem Schütthügel des Legionslagers von Carnuntum. (Vienna, Röm Limes Österreich 32).

GRÜNEWALD, M., PERNICKA, E. & WYNIA, S.L. 1980: Pompejanisch-rote Platten-Patinae. Archäol Korrespondzbl 10, 259-60.

GUÉNOCHE, A. & TCHERNIA, A. 1977: Essai de construction d'un modèle descriptif des amphores Dr. 20. *In Méthodes Classiques*., 241-59.

GUERRERO AYUSO, V. M. 1986: Una aportación al estudo de las ánforas púnicas Maña C. Archaeonautica 6, 1986 (1987), 147-86.

GUIDO, C.M. 1978: The Glass Beads of the Prehistoric and Roman Periods in Britain and Ireland. (London, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 35).

GUIDO, C.M., HENDERSON, J., CABLE, C., BAYLEY, J. & BIEK, L. 1984: A Bronze Age Glass Bead from Wilsford, Wiltshire: Barrow G42 in the Lake Group. *Proc Prehist Soc* 50, 245-54.

GUILLAUMET, J.-P. 1977: Les passoires de la fin de La Tène en Gaule et dans le monde celtique. *Gallia* 35, 239-48.

GUŠTIN, M. 1977a: Relativna kronologija grobov 'Mokronoške Skupine'. *In idem* (ed) 1977b, 76-103.

GUŠTIN, M. (ed) 1977b: Keltske Študije. (Brežice, Knjiga 4).

GUŠTIN, M. & MASSART, C. 1985: La production céramique des fours de potiers de Braives (Belgique). *In* Burnand & Vertet (eds) 1985, 65-9.

GUYONVARC'H, C.J. 1967: Les noms des peuples Belges, II. Celticum 18, 299-314.

HACHMANN, R. 1962: Germanen und Kelten am Rhein in der Zeit um Christi Gebuhrt. *In* Hachmann, Kossack & Kuhn 1962, 9-68.

HACHMANN, R. 1976: The Problem of the Belgae seen from the Continent. Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London 13, 117-37.

HACHMANN, R., KOSSACK, G. & KUHN, H. 1962: Völker zwischen Germanen und Kelten. Neumünster.

HACKENS, T. & WEILLER, R. (eds) 1982: Actes du 9ème Congrès international de numismatiques, Berne, 1979, I. (Louvain & Luxembourg, Assoc Internat Numis Professionels Pub No 6).

HADFIELD, C. 1966: British Canals: An Illustrated History. 2 London.

HAEVERNICK, T.E. 1960: Die Glasarmringe und Ringperlen der mittelund spätlatènezeit aus dem Europäischen Festland. Bonn.

HAEVERNICK, T. E. 1974a: Gedanken zur Frühesten Glasherstellungen in Europa. Jahrb Röm - German Zentralmus Mainz 21, 1974 (1977), 205-9.

HAEVERNICK, T.E. 1974b: Die Glasfunde aus den Gräbern vom Dürrnberg. In Moosleitner, F., Pauli, L. & Penninger, E. Der Dürrnberg bei Hallein, II., 143-52. (Munich, Münchner Beitr Voru Frühgesch 17).

HAEVERNICK, T.E. 1983: Glasperlen der vorrömischen Eisenzeit. (ed O.-H. Frey). (Mainz, Marburger Stud Vor-u Frühgesch 5).

HAEVERNICK, T.E. 1987: Glasperlen der vorrömischen Eisenzeit II. Ringaugenperlen und verwandte Perlengruppen. (Marburg, Marburger Stud Vor- u Frühgesch 9).

HAFFNER, A. 1971: Das keltische-römische Gräberfeld von Wederath-Belginum. Teil 1. (Mainz, Trierer Grabungen Forschungen 6, 1).

HAFFNER, A. 1974a: Das keltische-römische Gräberfeld von Wederath-Belginum. Teil 2. (Mainz, Trierer Grabungen Forschungen 6, 2).

HAFFNER, A. 1974b: Zum Ende der Latènezeit im Mittelrheingebiet unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Trierer Landes. *Archäol Korrespondenzbl* 4, 59-72.

HAFFNER, A. 1978: Das keltische-römische Gräberfeld von Wederath-Belginum. Teil 3. (Mainz, Trierer Grabungen Forschungen 6, 3).

HAFFNER, A. 1979: Zur absoluten Chronologie der Mittellatènezeit. Archäol Korespondenzbl 9, 405-9.

HAFFNER, A. 1982: Hinweise auf unbekannte Frühlatène-Fürstengräber im Trierer Land. Trierer Zeitschr 45, 35-43.

HALDANE, D. 1986: Wooden Anchor Arm Construction. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 15, 163-6.

HALDANE, J.W. 1970: A Study of the Chemical Composition of Pre-Roman Ironwork from Somerset. Bull Hist Metall Grp 4, 53-66.

HANSON, W.S. & CAMPBELL, D.B. 1986: The Brigantes: from Clientage to Conquest. Britannia 17, 73-89.

HANSON, W.S. & KEPPIE, L.J.F. (eds) 1980: Roman Frontier Studies 1979. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 71).

HARBISON, P. 1971: Hartmann's Gold Analyses: A Comment. J Roy Soc Antig Ir 101, 159-60.

HARBISON, P. & LAING, L.R. 1974: Some Iron Age Mediterranean Imports in England. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep [Brit Serl 4).

HARDEN, D. B. 1968: Ancient Glass, I: Pre-Roman. Archaeol J 125, 1968 (1969), 46-72.

HARDEN, D.B. 1969a: A Set of Game-Pieces and Dice of the Late 1st Century B.C. Annales 4e Congrès du Journées Internationale du Verre (Ravenna 1967)., 52-4. Liège.

HARDEN, D. B. 1969b: Ancient Glass, II: Roman. Archaeol J 126, 1969 (1970), 44-77.

HARDEN, D.B. 1972: A Julio-Claudian Glass Phalera. Antiq J 52, 350-3.

HARDEN, D.B., PAINTER, K.S., PINDER-WILSON, R.H. & TAIT, H. 1968: Masterpieces of Glass. London.

HARDING, A.F. 1983: The Bronze Age in Central and Eastern Europe: Advances and Prospects. Advances World Archaeol 2, 1-50.

HARDING, A. F. 1984: The Myceneans and Europe. London.

HARDING, D. W. 1974: The Iron Age in Lowland Britain. London.

HARDING, D.W. (ed) 1976: Hillforts: Later Prehistoric Earthworks in Britain and Ireland. London.

HARDING, D. W. 1980: Celts in Conflict: Hillfort Studies 1927-77. (Edinburgh, Univ Edinburgh Dept Archaeol Occas Pap 3).

HARMAND, L. 1966: À propos d'un col d'amphore trouvé dans la Manche. In Heurgon, J., Picard, G. & Seston, W. (eds), Mélanges d'Archéologie, d'Épigraphie et d'Histoire offerts à Jerôme Carcopino, 477-89. Paris.

HARRIS, W. V. 1979: War and Imperialism in Republican Rome, 327-70 B.C. Oxford.

HARRIS, W. V. 1980: Towards a Study of the Roman Slave Trade. In D'Arms & Kopff (eds) 1980, 117-40.

HARRIS, W.V. (ed) 1984a: The Imperialism of Mid-Republican Rome. (Rome, Pap & Monogr Amer Acad Rome 29).

HARRIS, W.V. 1984b: Current Directions in the Study of Roman Imperialism. In idem (ed), 1984a, 13-34.

HARROD, H. 1855: On Horse-trappings found at Westhall. Archaologia 36, 454-6.

HARTLEY, B. R. & FITTS, R. L. 1977: Comments on some Roman Material from Stanwick. Antiq J 57, 93-4.

HARTLEY, B. R. & WACHER, J.S. (eds) 1983: Rome and Her Northern Provinces [Festschrift Frere]. Gloucester.

HARTLEY, K.F. 1973: La diffusion des mortiers, tuiles et autres produits en provenance des fabriques italiennes. Cah Archéol Subaqua 2, 49-60.

HARTLEY, K.F. 1981: The Mortaria. In Partridge 1981, 196-9.

HARTLEY, K.F. 1985: The Mortaria. *In* Niblett, 1985, 92-3, M2: E7-G5.

HARTMANN, A. 1970: Prähistorische Goldfunde aus Europa. (Berlin, Stud Anfängen Metall 3).

HARTMANN, A. 1980: Irish and British Gold Types and their West European Counterparts. *In* Ryan (ed) 1980, 215-28.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1976: External Trade as a Stimulus to Urbanisation. *In* Cunliffe & Rowley (eds) 1976, 25-49.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1978: Supplementary Gazetteer of Find-Spots of Celtic Coins in Britain, 1977. (London, Inst Archaeol Univ London Occas Pap 11a).

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1979: The Significance of Coinage in Pre-Conquest Britain. In Burnham & Johnson (eds) 1979, 197-210.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1982: Wealth, Prestige and Power: The Dynamics of Late Iron Age Political Centralisation in South East England. *In* Renfrew & Shennan (eds) 1982, 79-88.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1983: Celtic Coins Found in Britain, 1977-82. Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London 20, 1983 (1984), 107-54.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1984a: 'Romanization' Before the Conquest: Gaulish Precedents and British Consequences. *In* Blagg & King (eds) 1984, 5-63.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1984b: Warfare and its Aftermath as Reflected in the Precious Metal Coinage of Belgic Gaul. Oxford J Archaeol 3, 81-105.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1984c: Comment on Hingley [1984b]. Scottish Archaeol Rvw 3, 27-30.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1984d: The Later Pre-Roman Iron Age between the Humber and the Tyne. *In* Wilson, Jones & Evans (eds) 1984, 9-25.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1986a: An Iron Age Community and its Hillfort: The Excavations of Danebury, Hampshire, 1969-79. A Review. Archaeol J 143, 1986 (1987), 363-9.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1986b: Central Places in British Iron Age Studies: A Review and Some Problems. *In* Grant, E. (ed), *Central Places, Archaeology and History.*, 3-12. Sheffield.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1987a: Iron Age Coinage in South-East England. The Archaeological Context. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 174).

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1987b: The Archaeological Context of Iron Age Coin Finds on Major Settlements in Eastern England: Colchester and Saint Albans. *In* Bémont, Delplace *et al* (eds) 1987, 483-96.

HASELGROVE, C.C. 1987c: Culture Process on the Periphery: Belgic Gaul and Rome During the Late Republic and Early Empire. *In* Kristiansen, Larsen & Rowlands (eds) 1987, 104-24.

HASELGROVE, C.C. & TURNBULL, P. 1983: Stanwick: Excavation and Fieldwork. Interim Report 1981-83. (Durham, Univ Durham Dept Archaeol Occas Pap 4).

HASELGROVE, C.C. & TURNBULL, P. 1984: Stanwick: Excavation and Fieldwork. Second Interim Report 1984. (Durham, Univ Durham Dept Archaeol Occas Pap 5).

HASELGROVE, C.C. & TURNBULL, P. 1987: Stanwick: Excavation and Research. Third Interim Report 1985-6. (Durham, Univ Durham Dept Archaeol Occas Pap 8).

HASSALL, M.W.C. 1970: Batavians and the Roman Conquest of Britain. Britannia 1, 131-6.

HASSALL, M.W.C. 1978: Britain and the Rhine Provinces: Epigraphic Evidence for Roman Trade. *In* du Plat Taylor & Cleere (eds) 1978, 41-8.

HATT, J.-J. 1979: La chronologie de l'officine de terre sigillé de Boucheporn d'après les fouilles de 1963 à 1967. *Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt* 19/20, 72-6.

HATT, J.-J. & SCHNITZLER, B. 1985: La céramique gallo-belge dans l'Est de la France. *In* Burnand & Vertet (eds) 1985, 79-105.

HATTATT, R. 1985: Iron Age and Roman Brooches. A Second Selection of Brooches from the Author's Collection. Oxford.

HATTATT, R. 1987: Brooches of Antiquity. Oxford.

HAVERFIELD, F. J. 1895: Note on Hoards of Roman Silver found in Britain, with special reference to the Silchester Hoard, Appendix II, 489-94 in Fox, G.E., Excavations on the Site of the Roman City at Silchester, Hants, in 1894. Archaeologia 54, 439-93.

HAVERFIELD, F.J. 1905-7: Note on a Fragment of Arretine Ware found at Bicester. *Proc Soc Antiq London 2 ser*, 21, 461-2.

HAVERFIELD, F.J. 1915-16: Arretine Fragments in Cambridgeshire (Barrington and Foxton). *Proc Cambridge Antiq Soc* 20, 53-9.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1966: Appendix I. In Brooks 1966, 9-10.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1968: New Thoughts on the Belgae. Antiquity 52, 6-16.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1973: Cumulative Celticity in Pre-Roman Britain. Étud Celtiques 13, 607-28.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1977a: Britain and Julius Caesar. *Proc Brit Acad* 63, 1977 (1978), 125-92.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1977b: Pytheas: Europe and the Greek Explorers. Oxford.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1980a: From Caesar, and the Century before him, to the Essex of Claudius. *In* Buckley (ed) 1980, 55-8.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1980b: Caesar's Britain: An Oppidum for Caesivellaunus. *Antiquity* 54, 138-9.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1982: Colchester Before the Romans or Who Were Our Belgae? A Lecture of 1950 Re-appraised. Essex Archaeol Hist 14 (M.R. Hull Memorial Volume), 1982 (1984), 3-14.

HAWKES, C.F.C. 1984: Ictis Disentangled, and the British Tin Trade. Oxford J Archaeol 3, 211-33.

HAWKES, C.F.C. & DUNNING, G.C. 1930: The Belgae of Gaul and Britain. Archaeol J 87, 150-335.

HAWKES, C. F. C. & DUNNING, G. C. 1932: The Second Belgic Invasion. A Reply to Mrs B. H. Cunnington. $Antiq\ J$ 12, 411-30.

HAWKES, C.F.C. & HULL, M.R. 1947: Camulodunum (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 14).

HAWKES, J. 1937: The Archaeology of the Channel Islands: 2. The Bailiwick of Jersey. Jersey.

HAWKES, S.C. 1975: Rare Bronze Escutcheon from Canterbury. *Kent Archaeol Rvw* 41, 6-8.



HAYES, J.W. 1973: Roman Pottery from the South Stoa at Corinth. *Hesperia* 42, 416-70.

HAYES, J.W. 1975: Roman and Pre-Roman Glass in the Royal Ontario Museum. Toronto.

HAYES, R.H., HEMINGWAY, J.E. & SPRATT, D.A. 1980: The Distribution and Lithology of Beehive Querns in North-East Yorkshire. J Archaeol Sci 7, 297-324.

HEDEAGER, L. 1978: A Quantitative Analysis of Roman Imports in Europe North of the Limes (0-400 A.D.), and the Question of Roman-Germanic Exchange. In Kristiansen, K. & Paluden-Müller, C. (eds) New Directions in Scandinavian Archaeology., 191-216. (Copenhagen, Stud Scandinavian Prehist & Early Hist 1).

HEDEAGER, L. 1987: Empire, Frontier and the Barbarian Hinterland: Rome and Northern Europe from AD 1-400. *In* Kristiansen, Larsen & Rowlands (eds), 1987, 125-40.

HEDEAGER, L. & KRISTIANSEN, K. 1981: Bendstrup – en fyrstegrav fra den romerske jernalder, dens sociale og historiske miljø. *Kuml* 1981 (1982), 81-164.

HEDGES, R.E.M. & SALTER, C.J. 1979: Source Determination on Currency Bars through Analysis of the Slag Inclusions. Archaeometry 21, 161-75.

HEERINGEN, R. M. VAN, 1985: Typologie, Zeitstellung und Verbreitung der in die Niederlande importierten vorgeschichtlichen Mahlsteine aus Tephrit. Archäol Korrespondenzbl 15, 371-83.

HEERINGEN, R. M. VAN, 1986: Een Stenen armband uit de Late IJzertijd uit Sint Maartensdijk, Tholen. *Nederlandse Archeol Rapp* 3, 61-5.

HEIMBERG, U. 1987: Colonia Ulpia Traiana. Die Früheste Keramik aus der Forumsgrabung. Bonner Jahrb 187, 411-74.

HENCKEN, H. O'NEILL, 1932: The Archaeology of Cornwall and Scilly. London.

HENCKEN, H. O'NEILL, 1942: Ballinderry Crannog No. 2. Proc Roy Ir Acad (C) 47, 1-76.

HENCKEN, T.C. 1938: The Excavation of the Iron Age Camp on Bredon Hill, Gloucestershire. *Archaeol J* 95, 1938 (1939), 1-111.

HENDERSON, J. 1980: Some New Evidence for Iron Age Glassworking in Britain. Antiquity 54, 60-1.

HENDERSON. J. 1981: A Report on the Glass excavated from Meare Village West. In Orme et al (1981), 55-60.

HENDERSON. J. 1982: X-Ray Fluoresence of Iron Age Glass: The Chemical Investigation of a Prehistoric Industry. (Univ Bradford, Ph.D. Thesis).

HENDERSON. J. 1984: Beads of Glass. *In* Cunliffe 1984d, 396-8, M10: E4-F2.

HENDERSON. J. 1985a: The Raw Materials of Early Glass Production. Oxford J Archaeol 4, 267-91.

HENDERSON. J. 1985b: The Glass from Castle Dore: Archaeological and Chemical Significance. *Cornish Archaeol* 24, 1985 (1986), 141-7.

HENDERSON. J. 1987a: 'Glass' and 'Glass Working.' In Cunliffe 1987a, 160-3, M6: A3-9 & 180-6, M6: A10-11.

HENDERSON. J. 1987b: The Iron Age of 'Loughey' and Meare: Some Inferences from Glass Analysis. Antiq J 67, 29-42.

HENDERSON. J. 1987c: The Archaeology and Technology of Glass at Meare Village. In Coles 1987, 170-82.

HENDERSON. J. 1987d: Chemical and Archaeological Analyses of Some British and European Glasses. *Annales 10e Congrès de* l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre., 13-24. Amsterdam.

HENDERSON, J. & WARREN, S.E. 1981: X-Ray Fluoresence Analyses of Iron Age Glass: Beads from Meare and Glastonbury Lake Villages. Archaeometry 23, 83-94.

HENDERSON, J. & WARREN, S.E. 1983: Analysis of Prehistoric Lead Glass. In Aspinall, A. & Warren, S.E. (eds), Proceedings of the 22nd Symposium on Archaeometry, Bradford, 1982., 168-80. Bradford.

HENIG, M. 1972: The Origin of Some Ancient British Coin Types. Britannia 3, 209-23.

HENIG, M. 1974: A Coin of Tasciovanus. Britannia 5, 374-5.

HENIG, M. & NASH, D. 1982: Amminus and the Kingdom of Verica. Oxford J Archaeol 1, 243-6.

HENRY, F. 1933: Émailleurs d'occident. Préhistoire 2, 65-146.

HERBERT, S.C. 1979: Tel Anafa 1978: Preliminary Report. Bull American Sch Oriental Res 234, 67-83.

HÉRON DE VILLEFOSSE, A.M.A. 1899-1902: Le Tresor de Boscoreale. (Paris, Mon Piot 5).

HESLOP, D. H. 1984: Initial Excavations at Ingleby Barwick, Cleveland. Durham Archaeol J 1, 23-34.

HESNARD, A. 1977: Note sur un atelier d'amphores Dr. 1 et Dr. 2-4 près de Terracine. *Mel École Franç Rome Antiq* 89, 157-68.

HESNARD, A. 1980: Un dépôt augustéen d'amphores à La Longarina, Ostie. *In* D'Arms & Kopf (eds) 1980, 141-56.

HESNARD, A. 1986: Imitations et raisonnement archéolgique: à propos des amphores de Rhodes et Cos. *In* Empereur & Garlan (eds) 1986, 69-79.

HESNARD, A. & LEMOINE, C. 1981: Les amphores du Cécube et du Falerne. Prospections, typologie, analyses. *Mel École Franç Rome Antiq* 93, 243-95.

HIDALGO CUÑARRO, J.M. 1985: El Castro de Vigo y el Comercio Atlántico Romano en el Noroeste Peninsular. *Rev Guimarães* 94, 370-87.

HILDYARD, E.J.W. 1951: A Group of Arretine Ware. Antiq J 31, 195-7.

HILGERS, W. 1969: Lateinische Gefässnamen: Bezeichnungen, Funktion und Form römischer Gefässe nach den antiken Schriftquellen. (Düsseldorf, Bonner Jahrb Bhft 31).

HINGLEY, R.C. 1982: Roman Britain: The Structure of Roman Imperialism and the Consequences of Imperialism on the the Development of a Peripheral Province. *In Miles* (ed) 1982, 17-52.

HINGLEY, R.C. 1984a: Towards Social Analysis in Archaeology: Celtic Society in the Iron Age of the Upper Thames Valley (400-0 B.C.). In Miles & Cunliffe (eds) 1984, 72-88.

HINGLEY, R.C. 1984b: The Archaeology of Settlement and the Significance of Space. Scottish Archaeol Rvw 3, 22-7.

HINGLEY, R.C. 1984c: A Response to Haselgrove's comments on Hingley's 'The Archaeology of Settlement and the Significance of Space' (SAR, 3, 22-31). Scottish Archaeol Rvw 3, 147-9.

HIRTH, K. 1978: Interregional Trade and the Formation of Prehistoric Gateway Communities. *American Antiq* 43, 35-45.

HODDER, I.R. 1984: Wendens Ambo: The Excavations of an Iron Age and Romano-British Settlement. (London, Archaeol M11, Vol 2).

HODDER, I.R. 1986: Reading the Past. Current Approaches to Interpretation in Archaeology. Cambridge.

HODGES, H. W. M. 1966: New Views on Papyrus in Pre-Roman Britain. Antiquity 40, 308.

HODGES, R. 1981: The Hamwih Pottery: The Local and Imported Wares from 30 Years' Excavations at Middle Saxon Southampton and their European Context. (London, Southampton Archaeol Res Cmte Rep 2 / Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 37).

HOLDER, A. 1896-1922: Alt-celtischer Sprachschatz, I-III. Leipzig.

HOLGATE, R. 1986: Excavations at the Late Prehistoric and Romano-British Enclosure Complex at Carne's Seat, Goodwood, West Sussex, 1984. Sussex Archaeol Collect 124, 35-50.

HOLLIGER, C. & HOLLIGER, C. 1985: Bronzegefässe aus Vindonissa. Teil 2. Jahrb Ges pro Vindonissa 1985 (1986), 5-44.

HOLMES, J. 1950-54: Stevenage: Romano-Belgic Burials. Trans E Hertfordshire Archaeol Soc 13, 1950-54 (1955), 209-11.

HOLMES, J. & FREND, W. H. C. 1955-57: A Belgic Chieftain's Grave on Hertford Heath. Trans E Hertfordshire Archaeol Soc 14, 1955-57 (1959), 1-19.

HOLWERDA, J.H. 1931: Een vondst uit den Ryn bij Doorwerth. Oudheid Mededel Leiden 12, 1-26.

HOLWERDA, J. H. 1941: De Belgische Waar in Nijmegen. (The Hague, Description Coll Rijksmus Kam Nijmegen 2).

HOOLEY, R.W. 1930: Excavation of an Early Iron Age Village on Worthy Down, Winchester. *Proc Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc* 10, 178-92.

HOPE, W. H. ST JOHN, 1897: Excavations on the Site of the Roman City at Silchester, Hants, in 1896. Archaeologia 55, 409-30.

HOPF, M. 1967: Einige Bemerkungen zu römerzeitlichen Fässern. Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz 14, 1967 (1970), 212-16. HOPKINS, K. 1978: Conquerors and Slaves. (Cambridge, Sociol Stud Roman Hist 1).

HOPKINS, K. 1983a: Introduction. *In* Garnsey, Hopkins & Whittaker (eds) 1983, ix-xxv.

HOPKINS, K. 1983b: Models, Ships and Staples. In Garnsey & Whittaker (eds) 84-109.

HÖRTER, F., MICHELS, F.X. & RÖDER, J. 1950-51: Die Geschichte der Basalt Lava industrie von Mayen und Niedermendig. *Jahrb Gesch Kultur Mittelrheins* 2-3, 1-32.

HOUR, M. L' 1984: Les statuettes de bois de l'épave de Planier 1 à Marseille. Archaeonautica 4, 1984 (1985), 53-73.

HÜBENER, W. 1973: Die römischen Metallfunde von Augsburg-Oberhausen. Ein Katalog. (Kallmünz / Opf, Materialhft Bayer Vorgesch 28).

HUBRECHT, A. V. M. & GERHARTL-WITTEVEEN, A. M. 1983: Rijksmuseum G. M. Kam. museum van Romeins Nijmegen. Nijmegen.

HUGGINS, P.J. 1978: Excavation of Belgic and Romano-British Farm with Middle Saxon Cemetery and Churches at Naezingbury, Essex, 1975. Essex Archaeol Hist 10, 29-117.

HUGHES, M.J. 1972: A Technical Study of Opaque Red Glass of the Iron Age in Britain. *Proc Prehist Soc* 38, 98-107.

HULL, M. R. 1935-37: The Ancient Pottery Found at Twitty Fee, Danbury. Essex Natur 25, 109-20.

HULL, M.R. 1942: An Early Claudian Burial Found at Colchester. Antiq J 22, 59-65.

HULL, M.R. 1958: Roman Colchester. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 20).

HULL, M.R. 1961: The Red-Glazed Pottery Found at Bagendon. In Clifford 1961, 202-11.

HULL, M.R. & HAWKES, C.F.C. 1987: Corpus of Ancient Brooches in Britain by the Late Mark Reginald Hull: Pre-Roman Bow Brooches. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 168).

HUMPHREYS, S.C. 1978: Anthropology and the Greeks. London.

HUMPHREYS, S.C. 1983: The Family, Women and Death. Comparative Studies. London.

HUNDT, H.-J. 1935: Spätlatèneimport in Grabfunden von Neu-Plötzin, Mark Bradenburg. Germania 19, 239-48.

HUNN, J.R. 1980: The Earthworks of Prae Wood: An Interim Account. Britannia 11, 21-30.

HURST, H. R. 1985: Kingsholm (Gloucester, Gloucester Archaeol Rep 1).

HÜSSEN, C.-M. 1983: A Rich Late La Tène Burial at Hertford Heath, Hertfordshire. (London, Brit Mus Occas Pap 44).

INCITTI, M. 1987: Recent scoperte lungo la costa dell'alto Lazio. Archeol Subacquea 3, 195-202. (Rome, Supp à Boll Arte 37-8).

ISINGS, C. 1957: Roman Glass from Dated Finds. (Groningen, Archaeol Traiectina 2).

JACKSON, K.H. 1953: Language and History in Early Britain: A Chronological Survey of the Brittonic Languages. First to Twelfth Century A.D. Edinburgh & Chicago.

JACOB-FRIESEN, G. 1972-73: Zu einigen Tier- und Menschenkopfattaschen der Spätlatènezeit. Kölner Jahrb 13, 50-8.

JACOBI, G. 1974a: Zum Schriftgebrauch in keltischen Oppida nördlich der Alpen. Hamburger Beitr Archäol 4, 171-81.

JACOBI, G. 1974b: Werkzeug und Gerät aus dem Oppidum von Manching. (Wiesbaden, Ausgrabungen in Manching 5).

JACOBSTAHL, P. 1944: Early Celtic Art. (1969 reprint). Oxford

JAMES, S. (comp) 1986: Archaeology in Britain. New Views of the Past. (Exhib Cat). London.

JANTZEN, U. 1955: Griechische Greifenkessel. Berlin.

JARVIS, K. A. 1982: Interim Report on Excavations in Hamworthy in 1982. *Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc* 104, 1982 (1983), 181-2.

JARVIS, P.A. 1984: The Later Iron Age Pits of the Jewry Wall Excavation, Leicester. Univ Nottingham, B.A. Diss.

JARVIS, P. A. 1984-85: The Origin and Status of Iron Age Leicester. Trans Leicestershire Archaeol Hist Soc 59, 1984-85 (1985), 92-3.

JARVIS, P.A. 1986: The Early Pits of the Jewry Wall Site, Leicester. Trans Leicestershire Archaeol Hist Soc 60, 1986, 7-15.

JASSOY, A. 1928: Auf Britanicaspuren am deutschen Wattenmeer. Natur u Mus 58, 379-84.

JAŽDŽEWSKI, K. 1979: Badania Nad Początkami Organizacji Wczesnopaństwowych. Acta Univ Łódziensis 1 ser, 36, 3-25.

JEFFERSON, T.O., DANNELL, G.B. & WILLIAMS, D.F. 1981: The Production and Distribution of Terra Sigillata in the Area of Pisa. *In* Anderson & Anderson (eds) 1981, 161-71.

JENKINS, I. 1985: A Group of Silvered Horse Trappings from Xanten (Castra Vetera). Britannia 16, 141-64.

JESSON, M. & HILL, D. (eds) 1971: The Iron Age and its Hillforts. (Southampton, Southampton Univ Mongr Ser 1).

JIGAN, C. 1987: Le potier Eridvbnos dans l'ouest de la Gaule. Rev Archéol Ouest 4, 111-12.

JITTA, A.N.Z.-J., PETERS, W.J.T. & WITTEVEEN, A.M. 1973: Description of the Collections in the Rijksmuseum G.M. Kam at Nijmegen. VII. The Figural Bronzes. Nijmegen.

JOACHIM, H.-E. 1985: Zu eisenzeitlichen Reibsteinen aus Basaltlava, den sog. Napoleonshüten. Archäol Korrespondenzbl 15, 359-69.

JOHNS. C. M. 1986: The Roman Silver Cups from Hockwold, Norfolk. Archaeologia 108, 2-13.

JOHNSON, S. 1978: Excavations at Hayton Roman Fort, 1975. Britannia 9, 57-114.

JOHNSTON. P. (ed) 1986: The Archaeology of the Channel Islands. Chichester.

JOHNSTONE, P. 1980: Seacraft of Prehistory. London.

JONCHERAY, J.-P. 1973a: Contribution à l'étude de l'épave Dramont D (campagnes 1970-1971). Cah Archéol Subaqua 2, 9-48.

JONCHERAY, J.-P. 1973b: Céramique áretine de l'épave D du Cap Dramont (Saint-Raphaël). Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 6, 275-84.

JONCHERAY, J.-P. 1974: Étude de l'épave Dramont D (campagne 1972). Cah Archéol Subaqua 3, 21-48.

JONCHERAY, J.-P. 1975: L'Épave 'C' de La Chrétienne. (Paris, Cah Archéol Subaqua Supp 1).

JONES, D. M. 1980: Excavations at Billingsgate Triangle, Lower Thames Street, 1974. (London, London Middlesex Archaeol Soc Spec Pap 4).

JONES, M. K. 1981: The Development of Crop Husbandry. In Jones, M. K. & Dimbleby, G. W. (eds), The Environment of Man: The Iron Age to the Anglo-Saxon Period., 95-127. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 87).

JONES, M.K. 1984: Regional Patterns in Crop Production. *In* Cunliffe & Miles (eds) 1984, 120-5.

JONES, M. K. 1986: England Before Domesday. London.

JONES, M. U. & JONES, W. T. 1975: The Crop-Mark Sites at Mucking, Essex, England. In Bruce-Mitford, R. (ed), Recent Archaeological Excavations in Europe., 133-87. London.

JOOS, M. 1975: Eine permische Brekzie aus dem Schwarzwald und ihre Verbreitung als Mühlstein im Spätlatène und frührömischer Zeit. Archäol Korrespondenzbl 5, 197-9.

JOPE, E.M. n.d. [1960]: The Beginnings of La Tène Ornamental Style in the British Isles. *In* Frere (ed) n.d. [1960]b, 69-83.

JOPE, E. M. 1982: Hallstatt D Daggers: Britain and Europe. *Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London* 19, 1982 (1983), 83-9.

JOPE, E. M. 1983: Torrs, Aylesford and the Padstow Hobby-Horse. In O'Connor & Clarke (eds) 1983, 149-59.

JOPE, E.M. & WILSON, B.C.S. 1957: A Burial Group of the First Century A.D. from 'Loughey' near Donaghadee. *Ulster J Archaeol* 20, 73-94.

KAENEL, G. 1985: Boire et manger à la fin de La Tène en Suisse occidentale. *Archäol Schweiz* 8, 150-9.

KAPITÄN, G. 1982: Review of Perrone Mercanti 1979. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 11, 179-83, & Corrigenda, ibid 12, 1983, 88.

KAPITÄN, G. 1984: Ancient Anchors - Technology and Classification.

Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 13, 33-44.

KAPITÄN, G. n.d. [1985]: Archaeological Evidence for Rituals and Customs on Ancient Ships. Paper presented to the Symposium on 'Ship Construction in Antiquity', Piraeus 1985.

KARS, H. 1980: Early-Medieval Dorestad, An Archaeo-Petrological Study. Part I: General Introduction. The Tephrite Querns. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 30, 1980 (1983), 393-422.

KARS, H. & WEVERS, J.M.A.R. 1983: Early-Medieval Dorestad, An Archaeo-Petrological Study, VII: Amber. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 33, 1983 (1986), 61-81.

KEAY, S. & JONES, L. 1980: Differentiation of Early Imperial Amphora Production in Hispania Tarraconensis. *In* Freestone, Potter & Johns (eds) 1980, 45-61.

KELLNER, H.-J. 1970: Der Fund von Tayac, ein Zeugnis des Cimbernzuges? Jahrb Numis Geldgesch 20, 13-47.

KELLY, D.B. 1971: Quarry Wood Camp, Loose: A Belgic Oppidum. Archaeol Cantiana 86, 55-84.

KELLY, D.B. 1984: Archaeological Notes from Maidstone Museum. Archaeol Cantiana 101, 363-79.

KELLY, D.B. 1985: Archaeological Notes from Maidstone Museum. Archaeol Cantiana 102, 266-79.

KEMBLE, J. A. 1863: Horae Ferales. London.

KENNETT, D. H. 1972: An Early Roman Skillet in Bedford Museum. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 7, 82-3.

KENNETT, D. H. 1976: Felmersham and Ostia: A Metalwork Comparison. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 11, 19-22.

KENNETT, D. H. 1977: Shale Vessels of the Later Pre-Roman Iron Age: Context, Distribution and Origins. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 12, 17-22.

KENRICK, P.M. 1978: Arretine Pottery - A Changing Scene. In Blake, Potter & Whitehouse (eds) 1978, 237-41.

KENRICK, P. M. 1985: Excavations at Sidi Khrebish, Benghazi (Berenice), Vol 3, 1. The Fine Pottery. (Tripoli, Libya Antiqua Supp 5).

KENT, J.P.C. 1978a: The Origins and Development of Celtic Gold Coinage in Britain. Actes du Congrés International d'Archéologie, Rouen 3, 4, 5 juillet 1975., 313-24. Rouen.

KENT, J.P.C. 1978b: The London Area in the Late Iron Age: An Interpretation of the Earliest Coins. *In Collectanea Londiniensia* 1978, 53-8.

KENT, J.P.C. 1981: The Origins of Coinage in Britain. *In* Cunliffe (ed) 1981d, 40-2.

KENYON, K. M. 1948: Excavations at the Jewry Wall Site, Leicester. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 15).

KEPPIE, L.J.F. 1971: Legio VIII Augusta and the Claudian Invasion. Britannia 2, 149-55.

KEPPIE, L.J.F. 1983: Colonization and Veteran Settlement in Italy 47-14 B.C. London.

KEPPIE, L.J.F. 1984: The Making of the Roman Army. From Republic to Empire. London.

KILBRIDE-JONES, H. E. 1938: Glass Armlets in Britain. Proc Soc Antiq Scot 72, 366-95.

KIMES, T., HASELGROVE, C.C. & HODDER, I.R. 1982: A Method for the Identification of the Location of Regional Cultural Boundaries. J Anthrop Archaeol 1, 113-31.

KING, A.C. 1980: A Graffito from La Grafesenque and 'samia vasa'. Britannia 11, 139-43.

KING, A.C. 1981: A Graffito from La Grafesenque: Corrigendum. Britannia 12, 311.

KING, A.C. 1984: Animal Bones and the Dietary Identity of Military and Civilian Groups in Roman Britain, Germany and Gaul. *In* Blagg and King (eds) 1984, 187-217.

KNIGHT, D. 1984: Late Bronze Age and Iron Age Settlement in the Nene and Great Ouse Basins. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 130).

KNOCKER, G. M. 1963: Excavation of a Round Barrow in Rag Copse, near Hurstbourne Tarrent, Hampshire. Proc Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc 22 (3), 125-50.

KNÖRZER, K.-H. 1963: Römerzeitliche Heilkräuter aus Novaesium (Neuss/Rh.). Südhoffs Archiv Gesch Medizin Naturwiss 47, 311-16.

KNÖRZER, K.-H. 1965: Römerzeitliche Heilkräuter aus Novaesium (Neuss/Rh.), Nachtrag. Südhoffs Archiv Gesch Medizin Naturwiss 49, 416-22.

KNÖRZER, K.-H. 1970: Römerzeitliche Pflanzenfunde aus Neuss. (Berlin, Novaesium 4 / Limesforschungen 10).

KOENEN, C. 1888: Die vorrömischen, römischen und fränkischen Gräber in Andernach. Jahrb Ver Alterthumsfr Rheinlande [later Bonner Jahrb] 86, 148-230.

KOETHE, H. & KIMMIG, W. 1937: Trevergrab aus Wincheringen. Trierer Zeitschr 12, 44-64.

KÖVES-ZULAUF, T. 1977: Helico, Führer der gallischen Wanderung. Latomus 36, 40-92.

KRAAY, C.M. 1955: The Chronology of the Coinage of Colonia Nemausus. *Numis Chron 6 ser*, 15, 75-87. KRAFT, K. 1955-56: Das Enddatum des Legionslagers Haltern. Bonner Jahrb 105-6, 95-111.

KRAFT, K. 1962: Zum Legionslager Augsburg-Oberhausen. *In Aus Bayerns Frühzeit. Festschrift Wagner zum 75 Geburtstag.*, 142-5. (Munich, Schriftenrh Bayer Landesgesch 62).

KRÄMER, W. 1961: Fremder Frauenschmuck aus Manching. *Germania* 39, 305-22.

KRÄMER, W. 1971: Silberne Fibelpaare aus dem letzen vorchristlichen Jahrhundert. *Germania* 49, 111-32.

KRÄMER, W. 1982: Graffiti auf Spätlatènekeramik aus Manching. *Germania* 60, 489-99.

KRÄMER, W. 1984: Ein keltisches Schriftzeugnis vom Dürnnberg bei Hallein? Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 49, 293-4.

KRASKOVSKÁ, L. 1978: Roman Bronze Vessels from Slovakia. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 44).

KRIER, J. 1979: Ein römisches Brandgrab aus augusteischer Zeit in Livingen (Gemeinde Roeser). *Hémecht* 31, 544-9.

KRIER, J. 1980: Zu den Anfängen der römischen Besiedlung auf 'Pëtzel' bei Dalheim. Pub Sec Hist Inst Luxembourg 94, 141-94.

KRISTIANSEN, K. (ed) 1985: Archaeological Formation Processes: The Representivity of Archaeological Remains from Danish Prehistory. Copenhagen.

KRISTIANSEN, K., LARSEN, M.T. & ROWLANDS, M.J. (eds) 1987: Centre and Periphery in the Ancient World. Cambridge.

KRÜGER, E. 1904: Sigillatakelch mit Relief in England. Westdeustche Zeitschr Für Gesch Kunst 23, 181-3.

KRÜGER, T. 1982: Das Brett- und Würfelspiel der spätlatènezeit und römisch Kaiserzeit im freien Germania. Neue Ausgrabungen Forschungen Niedersachsen 15, 135-324.

KRUTA, V. 1981: Les Sénons de l'Adriatique d'après l'archéologie (prolégomènes). Étud Celtiques 18, 7-38.

KRŪTA, V. 1982: Archéologie et numismatique: la phase initiale du monnayage celtique. Étud Celtiques 19, 69-82.

KRŮTA, V. & FORMAN, W. 1985: The Celts of the West. London.

KRŮTA, V. & LAVAGNE, H. 1984: La documentation photographique, num 6070, (avril 1984). (= La Gaule celtique et romaine).

KÜHL, I. 1984: Animal Remains in Cremations from the Bronze Age to the Viking Period in Schleswig-Holstein, North Germany. In Grigson, C. & Clutton-Brock, J. (eds), Animals and Archaeology: 4. Husbandry in Europe., 209-20. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 227).

KUNOW, J. 1980: Negotiator et Vectura. Händler und Transport im freien Germanien. (Marburg, Kleine Schr Vorgesch Seminar Marburg 6).

KUNOW, J. 1983: Der römische Import in der Germania libera bis zu den Markomannenkriegen. (Neumünster, Gottinger Schr zur Vor- u Frühgesch 21).

KUNOW, J. 1985: Römisches Importgeschirr in der Germania libera bis zu den Markomannenkriegen: Metall- und Glasgefasse. *In* Temporini (ed) 1985, 229-79.

KÜNZL, E. 1969: Der augusteische Silbercalathus im Rheinischen Landesmuseum Bonn. Bonner Jahrb 169, 321-92.

KÜNZL, E. 1975: Eine Silberkanne mit Kentauromachie aus Pompeji. Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz [Festschift Hundt] 22, 1975 (1977), 62-80. KÜNZL, E. 1979: Le Argenterie. In Zevi, F. (ed) Pompei 79., 211-28. Naples.

KÜNZL, E. 1982: Medizinische Instrumente aus Sepulkralfunden der römischen Kaiserzeit. Bonner Jahrb 182, 1-131.

KÜTHMANN, H. 1958: Beiträge zur hellenistisch-römischen Toreutik. Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz 5, 94-127.

LABISCH, A. 1975: 'Frumentum Commeatusque'. Die Nahrungsmittelversorgung der Heere Caesars. Meisenheim a Glan.

LAET, S.J. DE, 1949: Portorium. Étude sur l'organisation douanière chez les romaines, surtout à l'époque du haut-empire. Bruges.

LAET, S.J. DE, & THOEN, M. 1969: Études sur la céramique de la nécropole gallo-romaine de Blicquy (Hainaut). IV. La céramique 'à enduit rouge-pompéien'. Helinium 9, 28-38.

L'ÂGE DU FER 1981 = L'Âge du Fer en France Septentrionale. (Châlons-sur-Marne, Mém Soc Archéol Champenoise 2).

LAING, L.R. 1966: Roman Republican Coins in Britain. Coin Medal Bull 1966, 56-7.

LAING, L.R. 1968: A Greek Tin Trade with Cornwall? Cornish Archaeol 7, 15-23.

LAMBOGLIA, N. 1952a: La nave romana di Albegna. Riv Stud Liguri 18, 131-236.

LAMBOGLIA, N. 1952b: Per una classificazione preliminaire della ceramica campana. Atti del 1º Congresso Internationale Studi Liguri 1950., 139-206. Bordighera.

LAMBOGLIA, N. 1955: Sulla cronologia delle anfore romane di età repubblicana (II-I secolo a.C.). Riv Stud Liguri 21, 241-70.

LAMBOGLIA, N. 1959: Una fabbricazione di ceramica megarica a Tindari e una terra sigillata siciliane? *Archeol Classica* 11, 87-91.

LAMBOGLIA, N. 1961: La nave romana di Spargi. Atti del II* Congresso Internazionale di Archeologia Sottomarina, Albenga 1958., 143-66. Bordighera.

LAMBOT, B. 1983a: Une fibule estampillée provenant de Chateau-Porcien (Ardennes). Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 34, 375-6.

LAMBOT, B. 1983b: Les fibules gallo-romaines du sud du département des Ardennes. Bull Soc Archéol Champenoise 1983 (4), 15-49.

LANGLOUËT, L. 1978: Les céramiques gauloises d'Alet. Doss Centre Reg Archéol Alet 6, 57-105.

LANGLOUËT, L. 1984: Alet and Cross-Channel Trade. *In* Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984, 67-77.

LANGLOUËT, L. 1985: Une céramique Gauloise retrouvée dans le soussol de la Fresnais (i-v). Doss Centre Reg Archéol Alet 13, 37-40.

LANGLOUËT, L. 1986: Les échanges trans-Manche. *In* Bihan, J.-P. Le, (ed), *Au temps des Celtes, Ve - Ier siècle avant J.-C.*, 146-8. Daoulas.

LARDY, J.-M. 1983: La nécropole d'Epiais-Rhus (Val d'Oise), approche chronostratigraphique partie protohistorique. *In* Bardon *et al*, 127-58.

LA RIME ET LA RAISON 1984 = La rime et la raison. Les collections Ménil (Houston-New York). Paris.

LASFARGUES, J. 1973: Les ateliers des potiers lyonnais: Étude topographique. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 24, 525-35.

LASFARGUES, J. & VERTET, H. 1968: Observations sur les gobelets d'Aco de l'atelier de la Muette. Rev Archéol Centre 7, 35-44.

LASFARGUES, J. & VERTET, H. 1970: Les gobelets à parois fines de la Muette. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 21, 222-4.

LASFARGUES, J., LASFARGUES, A. & VERTET, H. 1976: L'atelier de potiers augustéen de La Muette à Lyon: La fouille de sauvetage de 1966. Notes d'Épigraphie et d'Archéol Lyonnaises., 61-80. Lyon.

LASFARGUES, J., LASFARGUES, A. & VERTET, H. 1977: Les estampilles sur sigillée lisse d'atelier augustéen de La Muette à Lyon. Figlina 1, 39-87.

LÁSZLÓ, H. 1981: A szőlősgyöröki rómani kori sír. *Comm Archaeol Hungariae* 1981, 59-81.

LAUBENHEIMER, F. 1980: À propos de deux amphores de Ruscino: définition d'un nouveau type d'amphores. *In* Barruol (ed) 1980, 303-25.

LAUBENHEIMER, F. 1985: La production des amphores en Gaule Narbonnaise sous le haut-empire. (Paris, Ann litt Univ Besançon 327 / Ser Centre Hist Anc 66, 1985 (1986)).

LAUBENHEIMER, F. 1986: Les amphores produites à Gueugnon (Saône et Loire) et le débuts du vignoble bourguignon. *Dialogues Hist Anc* 12, 431-53.

LAUBENHEIMER, F. & WIDEMANN, F. 1977: L'atelier d'amphores de Corneilhan (Hérault). Typologie et Analyse. *Rev Archéometrie* 1, 59-82.

LAUBENHEIMER, F., FONTES, P., LEBLANC, J., DODINET, M., LACHARME, Y., LLERES, J. & WIDEMANN, F. 1981: Analyse par activation neutronique d'amphores gallo-romaines mise en jour en evidence d'exportations aux frontières de l'empire. Actes du XX Symposium Internationale d'Archéometries, Paris 1980., 155-75. (Paris, Rev Archéometrie Supp).

LAUXEROIS, R. & VICHY, M. 1975: À propos des origines d'Alba Helviorum. *Gallia* 33, 49-60.

LAVER, H. 1897: Discovery of Late-Celtic Pottery at Shoebury. Trans Essex Archaeol Soc 2 ser 6, 222-4.

LAVER, P.G. 1927: The Excavation of a Tumulus at Lexden, Colchester. *Archaeologia* 76, 241-54.

LEEUW, S.E. VAN DER, 1981: Information Flows, Flow Structures and the Explanation of Change in Human Institutions. *In idem* (ed), Archaeological Approaches to the Study of Complexity, 229-329. (Amsterdam, Cingvla 6).

LEJÈUNE, M. 1983a: Le canthare d'Alise. Nouvelles discussions sur les avatars et sur l'origine du vase. *Mon Piot* 66, 19-53.

LEJÈUNE, M. 1983b: Recontres de l'alphabet grec avec les langues barbares au cours du Ier millénaire avant J.-C. In Modes de contacts, 731-53.

LEMAN-DELERIVE, G. 1976: Le cimetière gaulois de Port-le-Grand (Somme) - Essai d'interpretation des fouilles de 1833-1834. *Cah Archéol Picardie* 4, 97-115.

LEMAN-DELERIVE, G. 1984a: L'habitat protohistorique de Villeneuve d'Ascq (Nord). *In* Cahen-Delhaye *et al* (eds) 1984, 63-7.

LEMAN-DELERIVE, G. 1984b: Céramique laténienne domestique de la region Lilloise (Nord). *Gallia* 42, 79-95.

LEMAN-DELERIVE, G. 1986: Une plaque émailée celtique découvert a Paillart (Oise). *Gallia* 44, 29-53.

LEMAN-DELERIVE, G. & PININGRE, J.-F. 1981: Les structures d'habitat du deuxième Age du Fer de Conchil-le-Temple (Pas-de-Calais) - Premiers résultats. *In L'Âge du Fer* 1981, 319-30.

LENEREZ DE WILDE, M. 1977: Zirkelornamentik in der Kunst der Latènezeit. (Munich, Münchener Beitr Vor- u Frühgesch 25).

LEQUÉMENT, R. & LIOU, B. 1978: Un nouveau document sur le vin de Bétique. Archaeonautica 2, 1978 (1979), 183-4.

LEQUÉMENT, R. & MASSY, J.-L. 1980: Importations à Soissons (02), d'olives au defrutum en provenance de Betique. Cah Archéol Picardie 7, 263-6.

LEQUÉMENT, R. & VIDAL, M. 1986: Chronologie corrigée des oenochoes du type de Kelheim trouvées dans les puits funéraires 8 et 9 de Toulouse, Estarac (Haute-Garonne). In Pailler, J.-M. (ed), Mélanges offerts à Monsieur Michel Labrousse., 233-57. (Toulouse, Pallas (hors ser)).

LERAT, L. 1956: Catalogue des collections archéologiques de Besançon. Les fibules gallo-romaines. (Paris, Ann Litt Univ Besançon 3, 1. Archéol 3).

LERAT, L. 1957: Catalogue des collections archéologiques de Montbéliard. Les fibules gallo-romaines de Mandeure. (Paris, Ann Litt Univ Besançon 16, Archéol 4).

LESSING, E. & KRÜTA, V. 1979: Die Kelten. Freiburg im Breisgau.

LES VILLES 1984 = Les Villes de la Gaule Belgique au Haut Empire. (Amiens, Rev Archéol Picardie 1984, 3-4).

LETHBRIDGE, T.C. 1953: Burial of an Iron Age Warrior at Snailwell. Proc Cambridge Antiq Soc 47, 25-37.

LINDBERG, I. 1973: Die Einfuhr römischer Bronzegefässe nach Gotland. Saalburg Jahrb 30, 5-69.

LINDENSCHMIDT, L. (ed) 1911: Altertümer unserer heidnischer Vorzeit, 5. Mainz.

LINS, P.A. & ODDY, W.A. 1975: The Origins of Mercury-Gilding. J Archaeol Sci 2, 365-73.

LIOU, B. 1987: Les épaves du Grand Conglué. Études du journal de fouille de Fernand Benoit. *Archaeonautica* 7, 9-36.

LIOU, B. & MARICHAL, R. 1978: Les inscriptions peintes sur amphores de l'anse Saint-Gervais à Fos-sur-mer. *Archaeonautica* 2, 1978 (1979), 109-81.

LIPINSKY, A. 1969: Argenteria Romana repubblicana, il tesoro detto di Tivoli. Atti Mem Soc Tiburtina di Storia e d'Arte 1969 (1971), 151-85.

LITH, S. M. E. VAN, 1977a: A Romano-British Bangle from Valkenburg Z. H. In Beek, Brandt & Groenmann-van Waateringe, (eds) 1977, 130-4.

LITH, S. M. E. VAN, 1977b: Römisches Glas aus Velsen. *Oudheid Mededel Leiden* 58, 1-62.

LITH, S. M. E. VAN, 1978-79: Römisches Glas aus Valkenburg, Z. H. Oudheid Mededel Leiden 59-60, 1-150.

LITH, S. M. E. VAN, & RANDSBORG, K. 1985: Roman Glass in the West: A Social Study. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 35, 1985 (1987), 413-532.

LIVERSIDGE, J. 1955: Furniture in Roman Britain. London.

LLOYD-MORGAN, G. 1978: The Antecedents and Development of the Roman Hand Mirror. *In* Blake, Potter & Whitehouse (eds) 1978, 227-35.

LLOYD-MORGAN, G. 1980: Roman Mirrors and Pictish Symbol: A Note on Trade and Contact. *In* Hanson & Keppie (eds) 1980, 97-106.

LLOYD-MORGAN, G. 1981: Descriptions of the Collections in the Rijksmuseum. G. M. Kam at Nijmegen, IX. The Mirrors. Nijmegen.

LLOYD-MORGAN, G. forthcoming: The Rivenhall Celtic Mirror. In Rodwell, W.J. & Rodwell, K.A., Rivenhall: Investigations of a Villa, Church and Village, 1950-1977, Vol 2. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep).

LOESCHKE, S. 1909: Keramische Funde in Haltern: ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der augusteischen Kultur in Deutschland. *Mitt Altertumskomm Westfalen* 5, 101-322.

LOESCHKE, S. 1919: Lampen aus Vindonissa. Zürich.

LOESCHKE, S. 1939: Älteste römische Keramik vom Petrisberg über Trier. Trierer Zeitschr 14, 93-112.

LOESCHKE, S. 1942: Die römische und die belgische Keramik aus Oberaden nach den Funden der Ausgrabungen von Alfred Baum. *In* Albrecht 1942, 7-148.

LONG, L. 1985: L'épave antique Bagaud 2. In VI Congreso internacional de arqueologia submarina, Cartagena 1982., 93-8. Madrid.

LONG, L. 1987: Quelques précisions sur le conditionnement des lingots d'étain de l'épave antique Bagaud 2 (Var). Actes du Colloque 'Mines et métallurgie en Gaule et dans Les provinces voisines'., 149-63. (Paris, Caesarodunum 22).

LORENZ, H. 1980: Bemerkungen zur keltischen Tracht. In Pauli, L. (ed), Die Kelten in Mitteleuropa., 133-7. Salzburg.

LORENZ, H. 1985: Regional Organization in the Western Early La Tène Province: The Marne-Mosel and Rhine-Danube Groups. *In* Champion & Megaw (eds) 1985, 109-22.

LOWTHER, A. W. G. 1946: Iron Age Pottery from Sites at Ewell and Ashstead. Surrey Archaeol Collect 50, 139-43.

LOWTHER, A. W. G. 1950: Roman Villa at Sandilands Road, Walton-on-the-Hill. Excavations of 1948-49. Surrey Archaeol Collect 52, 65-81.

LUFF, R.-M. 1982: A Zooarchaeological Study of the Roman North-Western Provinces. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 137).

LUNZ, R. 1981: Archäologie Südtirols. (Calliano, Archäol - Hist Forschungen Tirol 7).

LUTZ, M. 1979: La céramique belge en Gaule de l'Est. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 19/20, 64-71.

LUWUILLON, S. 1975: Histoire, société et lutte de classes en Gaule: une féodalité à la fin de la Republique et au début de l'Empire. *In* Temporini (ed) 1975, 425-583.

McGRAIL, S. 1975: The Brigg Raft Re-excavated. Lincolnshire Hist Archaeol 10, 5-13.

McGRAIL, S. 1979: Logboats of England and Wales, with Comparative Material from European and other Countries. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 51).

McGRAIL, S. 1981: Rafts, Boats and Ships. London.

McGRAIL, S. 1982: Fieldwork at Hengistbury Head During 1982. Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc 104, 1982 (1983), 178.

McGRAIL, S. 1983a: Cross-Channel Seamanship and Navigation in the Late First Millennium B.C. Oxford J Archaeol 2, 299-337.

McGRAIL, S. 1983b: Interpretation of Archaeological Evidence for Maritime Structures. *In* Annis, P. (ed), *Sea Studies.*, 33-46. Greenwich.

McGRAIL, S. 1985: Early Landing Places. In Herteig, A.E. (ed), Conference on Waterfront Archaeology in North European Towns No 2. Bergen 1983., 12-18, Bergen.

McGRAIL, S. 1987: Ancient Boats in North-West Europe: The Archaeology of Water Transport to A.D. 1500. London.

McGRAIL, S. & SWITSUR, R. 1975: Early British Boats and their Chronology. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 4, 191-200.

McILWAIN, A. 1980: Quernstones. In Jones 1980, 132.

McMANN, A.-M. BOURGEOIS, J. & WILL. E.L. 1977: Underwater Excavations at the Etruscan Port of Populonia. *J Field Archaeol* 4, 275-96.

MACDONALD, J. 1978: An Iron Age Dagger in the Royal Ontario Museum. In Collectanca Londiniensia 1978, 44-52.

MACGREGOR, M. 1962: The Early Iron Age Metalwork Hoard from Stanwick, N. R. Yorks., England. *Proc Prehist Soc* 28, 17-57.

MACGREGOR, M. 1976: Early Celtic Art in North Britain. Leicester.

MACKENSON, M. 1974: Die älteste keltische Gold und Silberprägung in England. Jahrb Numis Geldgesch 1974, 7-63.

MACKENSON, M. 1978: Das römische Graberfeld auf der Keckwiese in Kempten, I. (Kallmünz, Cambodunum-Forschungen 4 / Materialhft Bayer Vorgesch Rh A, 34).

MACKENSON, M. 1981: Review of Greene 1979. Germania 59, 440-6.

MACKRETH, D. F. 1973: Roman Brooches. Salisbury.

MACKRETH, D. F. 1974: The Brooches. In Down, 1974, 143-4.

MACKRETH, D. F. 1979a: Brooches. In Partridge 1979, 102-3.

MACKRETH, D. F. 1979b: Brooches. In Partridge 1979, 34-40.

MACKRETH, D.F. 1981: The Brooches. In Partridge 1981, 130-51.

MACKRETH, D.F. 1982: Two Brooches from Stonea, Cambs. and Bicester, Oxon. and the Origin of the Aesica Brooch. *Britannia* 13, 310-15.

MACKRETH, D.F. 1987: Brooches of Bronze and Iron. In Cunliffe 1987a, 142-51, M5: C5.

MACREADY, S. & THOMPSON, F.H. (eds) 1984: Cross-Channel Trade between Gaul and Britain in the Pre-Roman Iron Age. (London, Soc Antiq Occas Pap (NS) 4).

MAETZKE, G. 1959: Notizie sulla esplorazione dello scarico della fornace di CN. ATEIVS in Arezzo. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 2, 25-7.

MAIER, F. 1985: Vorbericht über die Ausgrabung 1984 in dem spätkeltischen Oppidum von Manching. Germania 63, 17-55.

MAIURI, A. 1933: La Casa del Menandro e il suo tesoro di argenteria. Rome.

MALITZ, J. 1983: Die Historien des Poseidonios. (Munich, 'Zetemata', Monogr Klass Altertumswiss 79).

MALONE, C. & STODDART, S. (eds) 1985: Papers in Italian Archaeology IV, iii: Patterns in Protohistory. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 245).

MANACORDA, D. 1977: Anfore spagnole a Pompei. *In* Caradini (ed) 1977b, 121-33.

MANACORDA, D. 1981: Produzione agricola, produzione ceramica e proprietari nell'ager Cosanus nel I. a.C. *In* Giardina & Schiavone (eds) 1981, 3-54.

MANACORDA, D. 1986: A proposito della anfore cosidette 'greco-italiche': una breva nota. *In* Empereur & Garlan (eds) 1986, 581-6.

MANGARD, M. 1978: Les monnaies gauloises d'Eu - Bois l'Abbé. In Monnaies, Médailles et Jetons., 71-103. (Exhib Cat), Rouen.

MANNING, W.H. 1983: The Cauldron Chains of Iron Age and Roman Britain. *In* Hartley & Wacher (eds) 1983, 132-54.

MARICHAL, R. & MAYET, F. 1980: Céramiques à parois fines et Gobelets d'Aco à Ruscino. *In* Barruol (ed) 1980, 245-70.

MARIETTE, H. 1966: Le site gaulois de la Motte du Vente à Wissant (Pas-de-Calais). Celticum 15, 53-95.

MARINI CALVINI, M. 1981: Un impianto produttivo romano a Sal Baganza. Per la Val Baganza (1981), 127-9, (No Unico).

MARINIS, R. DE, 1975: Review of Graue 1974. Preist Alpina 11, 353-4.

MARINIS, R. DE, 1977: The La Tène Culture of the Cisalpine Gauls. *In* Gustin (ed) 1977b, 23-50.

MARITIME HERITAGE PROJECT 1987: Isle of Wight Maritime Heritage Project, Bull 1, Autumn 1986 / January 1987. Newport, I.o.W.

MAROVIČ, I. 1961: Fibeln mit Inschrift von Typus Aucissa in den archäologische Museen von Zagreb, Zendar und Split. *Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz* 8, 106-20.

MARSH, G.D. 1979: Nineteenth and Twentieth Century Antiquities Dealers and Arretine Ware from London. *Trans London Middlesex Archaeol Soc* 30, 1979 (1981), 125-9.

MARTIN, E. A. 1975: Burgh-by-Woodbridge. Bull Counc Brit Archaeol Grp 7, no 22 [n.p.].

MARTIN, G. 1939: Un établissement de potier belgo-romain du 1er siècle, à Hambresart (Virton). *Ann Inst Archéol Luxembourg* 70, 83-112.

MARTIN, T. 1974: Deux Années de recherches archéologiques à Montans (Tarn). Rev Archéol Centre 13, 123-43.

MARTIN, T. & GARNIER, J.-F. 1977: Céramique aretine et sigillée sud-gauloise précoce d'Excisum à Villeneuve-sur-Lot (Lot-et-Garonne). Figlina 2, 145-88.

MARTIN-KILCHER, S. 1983: Les amphores romaines à huile de Bétique (Dressel 20 et 23) d'Augst (Colonia Augusta Rauricorum) et Kaiseraugst (Castrum Rauracense). Un rapport préliminaire. *In* Blázquez-Martinez & Remesal-Rodríguez (eds) 1983, 337-47.

MARTIN-KILCHER, S. 1987: Die römischen Amphoren aus Augst und Kaiseraugst. Ein Beitrag zur römische Handels- und Kulturgeschichte. 1: Die südspanischen Ölamphoren (Gruppe 1). (Augst, Forschungen in Augst 7).

MARTIN-KILCHER, S., MAGETTI, M. & GALETTI, G. 1987: Fabrikation vom Weinamphoren der Form Dressel 2-4 in Augusta Rauricorum (Augst BL). Jahrb Schweiz Ges Ur- u Frühgesch 70, 113-32.

MARY, G.T. 1967: Die südgallische Terra Sigillata aus Neuss. (Berlin, Novaesium 1 / Limesforschungen 6).

MASSY, J.-L. 1980: Les origines d'Amiens. Essai de chronologie d'après les decouvertes de céramiques 'arétines'. *Cah Archéol Picardie* 7, 115-36.

MASSY, J.-L., MANTEL, E., MENIEL, P. & RAPIN, A. 1986: La nécropole gauloise de Tartigny (Oise). Rev Archéol Picardie 1986 (3-4), 13-81.

MASSY, J.-L. & MOLIÈRE, J. 1979: Céramiques sigillées aretines précoces à Amiens. Cah Archéol Picardie 6, 109-29.

MASSY, J.-L. & VASSELLE, F. 1976: Le commerce des amphores à Amiens. Cah Archéol Picardie 3, 153-62.

MATHESON, C. 1942: Man and Bear in Europe. Antiquity 16, 151-9.

MATTHAEI, L.E. 1907: On the Classification of Roman Allies. Class Q 1, 182-204.

MATTHEWS, K.D. 1969: Scutella, patella, patera, patina. Expidition 11 (4), 30-42.

MAU, A. 1908: Pompeji in Leben und Kunst. 2 Leipzig.

MAXFIELD, V. A. 1980: Dona Militaria. London.

MAXWELL, I.S. 1972: The Location of Ictis. J Roy Inst Cornwall n ser 6, 293-319.

MAY, J. 1970: Dragonby: An Interim Report on Excavations on an Iron Age and Romano-British Site near Scunthorpe, Lincolnshire, 1964-9. Antiq J 50, 222-45.

MAY, J. 1971: An Iron Age Spout from Kirmington, Lincolnshire. Antiq J 51, 253-9.

MAY, J. 1976: Prehistoric Lincolnshire. (Lincoln, Hist of Lincolnshire 1).

MAY, J. 1984: The Major Settlements of the Later Iron Age in Lincolnshire. In Field, N. & White, A. (eds), A Prospect of Lincolnshire. Being Collected Articles on the History and Traditions of Lincolnshire in Honour of Ethel H. Rudkin., 18-22. Lincoln.

MAY, T. 1916: The Pottery Found at Silchester. Reading.

MAY, T. 1918: On Some Early Roman Finds from Plesheybury, Essex: In the Public Museum, at Chelmsford. *Trans Essex Archaeol Soc n ser* 14, 227-32.

MAY, T. 1930: Catalogue of the Roman Pottery in the Colchester and Essex Museum. Cambridge.

MAYET, F. 1975: Les céramiques à Parois fines dans la Péninsule Iberique. (Paris, Pub Centre Pierre Paris (E.R.A. 522) num 1).

MAYS, M. 1981: Strabo IV, 1, 2: A reference to Hengistbury Head? Antiquity 55, 55-7.

MEDUNA, J. 1982: Review of Stöckli 1979a. Prähist Zeitschr 57, 150-6.

MEGAW, J.V.S. 1963: A British Bronze Bowl of the Belgic Iron Age from Poland. Antiq J 43, 27-37.

MEGAW, J. V. S. 1966: A Bronze Bowl of the Belgic Iron Age from Poland and its Implications. In Pallatino, M., Peroni, R., Corona, M. & Corona, V. (eds), Atti de VII Congresso Internatzionale delle Scienze, Preistoriche e Protostoriche (III)., 144-7, Rome.

MEGAW, J.V.S. 1968: Les fragments de feuille de bronze décorés de Levroux (Indre). *Gallia* 26, 33-41.

MEGAW, J. V. S. 1970: Art of the European Iron Age. A Study of the Elusive Image. Bath.

MEGAW, J. V. S. 1971: The Felmersham Fish-Head Spout. Antiq J 51, 299-300.

MEGAW, J. V. S. 1978: The Bronze Spout. In Canham, R. 2,000 Years of Brentford., 131. London.

MEGAW, J. V. S. 1979: Iron Age Art in Southern Britain and Ireland. *In* Megaw & Simpson [eds] 1979, 421-5.

MEGAW, J. V. S. 1983: From Transdanubia to Torrs: Further Notes on a Gabion of the Late Jonathan Oldbuck. *In* O'Connor & Clarke (eds) 1983, 127-48.

MEGAW, R. & MEGAW, J.V.S. 1986: Early Celtic Art in Britain and Ireland. (Aylesbury, Shire Archaeol 38).

MEGAW, J. V. S. & SIMPSON, D. D. A. [eds], 1979: Introduction to British Prehistory. Leicester.

MEIER-ARENDT, W. 1971: Eine spätlatènezeitliche Henkelattache aus der Kölner Innenstadt. Kölner Jahrb 12, 50-1.

MEIGGS, R. 1982: Trees and Timber in the Ancient Mediterranean Region. Oxford.

MÉNEZ, Y. 1985: Les céramiques fumigées de l'ouest de la Gaule. (Quimper, Cah Quimper Antique 2).

MENGHIN, O. 1937: Die vorgeschichtliche Funde Vorarlbergs. (Vienna, Österr Kunsttopographie 27).

MERCANDO, L. 1976: L'ellenismo nel Piceno. *In* Zanker (ed) 1976, 160-218.

MERTENS, J. 1983: The Military Origins of Some Roman Settlements in Belgium. *In* Hartley & Frere (eds) 1983, 156-68.

MÉTHODES CLASSIQUES 1977: = Méthodes Classiques et Méthodes Formelles dans l'Étude des Amphores. (Paris, Coll École Franç Rome 32).

METTE, H.J. 1952: Pytheas von Massalia. (Berlin, Kleine Texte 173).

MEYER, H.D. 1961: Die Aussenpolitik des Augustus und die Augusteische Dichtung. (Cologne, Kölner Hist Abhandl 5).

MEYER, M. 1984-85: Hallstatt Imports in Britain. Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London 21-22, 1984-85 (1985), 69-84.

MIDDLETON, P.S. 1979: Army Supply in Roman Gaul: An Hypothesis for Roman Britain. *In* Burnham & Johnson (eds) 1979, 81-97.

MIDDLETON, P.S. 1983: The Roman Army and Long Distance Trade. In Garnsey & Whittaker (eds) 1983, 75-83.

MILES, D. (ed) 1982: The Romano-British Countryside: Studies in Rural Settlement and Economy. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 103).

MILES, D. 1986: The Iron Age. In Briggs, G., Cook, J. & Rowley, R.T. (eds), The Archaeology of the Oxford Region., 49-57. Oxford.

MILLAR, F. 1982: Emperors, Frontiers and Foreign Relations, 31 B.C. to A.D. 378. Britannia 13, 1-23.

MILLAR, F. 1984: The Mediterranean and the Roman Revolution: Politics, War and the Economy. Past & Present 102, 3-24.

MILLER, L., SCHOFIELD, J. & RHODES, M. 1986: The Roman Quay at St Magnus House, London. Excavations at New French Wharf, Lower Thames Street, London 1974-8. (London, London Middlesex Archaeol Soc Spec Pap 8, 1986 (1987)).

MILLETT, M. & McGRAIL, S. 1987: The Archaeology of the Hasholme Logboat. *Archaeol J* 144, 1987 (1988), 69-155.

MILLS, N. T. W. 1985: Iron Age Settlement and Society in Europe: Contributions from Field Surveys in Central France. *In Macready*, S. & Thompson, F. H. (eds), *Archaeological Field Survey in Britain and Abroad.*, 74-100. (London, Soc Antiq London Occas Pap (NS) 6).

MILNE, J.G. 1948: Finds of Greek Coins in the British Isles: The Evidence Reconsidered in the Light of the Rackett Collection from Dorset. Oxford.

MIRÓ, J. 1986: Une inscription peinte avec date consulaire sur une Dressel 1B de l'oppidum de Burriac (Cabrera de Mar, Barcelone). Doc Archéol Meridionale 6, 1986 (1988), 201-5.

MITCHELL, S. 1983: Cornish Tin, Iulius Caesar, and the Invasion of Britain. In Deroux, C. (ed), Studies in Latin Literature and Roman History III., 80-99. (Brussells, Coll Latomus 180).

MOBERG, C.-A. 1980: Vers une analyse sociologique en archéologie. In Schnapp, A. (ed), L'Archéologie Aujourd'hui., 305-16. Paris.

MODES DU CONTACT 1983 = Modes de contacts et processus de transformation dans les sociétés anciennes. (Pisa & Rome, Coll École Franç Rome 67).

MOEVS, M.T.M. 1973: The Roman Thin-Walled Pottery from Cosa. (Rome, Mem Amer Acad Rome 32).

MOEVS, M.T.M. 1980: Aco in northern Etruria: The Workshop of Cusonius at Cosa. *Mem Amer Acad Rome* 34, 231-80.

MOHEN, J.-P. 1980: L'Âge du Fer en Aquitaine. (Paris, Mém Soc Prehist Franç 14).

MOHL, U. 1977: Bjørnekløer og Brandgrave. Dyreknogler fra germansk jernalder i Stilling. *Kuml* 1977 (1978), 119-29.

MOMIGLIANO, A.D. 1950: Panegyricus Messallae and 'Panegyricus Vespasiani': Two References to Britain. J Roman Stud 40, 39-42.

MOMIGLIANO, A.D. 1975: Alien Wisdom: The Limits of Hellenization. Cambridge.

MONK, M. A. & FASHAM, P. J. 1980: Carbonised Plant Remains from Two Iron Age Sites in Central Hampshire. *Proc Prehist Soc* 46, 321-44.

MOORE, D. 1977: Maritime Aspects of Roman Wales. In Haupt, D. & Horn, H.-G. (eds) 1977, Studien zu den Militärgrenzen Roms II., 31-9. (Cologne & Bonn, Bonner Jahrb Bhft 38).

MOORE, D.T. 1983: Petrological Aspects of some Sharpening Stones, Touchstones, and Milling Stones. In Kempe, D.R.C. & Harvey, A.P. (eds), The Petrology of Archaeological Artefacts., 277-300. Oxford.

MOOSLEITNER, F. & ZELLER, K. 1982: Beschriftete Tontafel aus keltischer Zeit am Dürrnberg. Salzburger Museumsbl 43 (3), 29-30.

MOREAU-MARECHAL, J. 1979-80: La céramique gallo-romaine du Musée d'Arlon. (Arlon, = Ann Inst Archéol Lux 110-11, 1979-80, (1980)).

MOREL, J. & WEERD, M.D. DE, 1980: Early Roman Harbours in Velsen. In Hanson & Keppie (eds) 1980, 475-94.

MOREL, J.-P. 1978: Observations sur les céramiques à vernis noir de France et d'Espagne. In Journées d'Études de Montpellier sur la céramique Campanienne, 149-68. (Montpellier, Archéol Languedoc 1).

MOREL, J.-P. 1981: Céramique Campanienne. Les formes. (Paris, Biblio École Franç Athens Rome 244).

MOREL, J.-P. 1985: La céramique campanienne en gaule interne. In Bonnamour, Duval & Guillaument (eds) 1985, 181-7.

MOREL, J.-P. & PERRIN, M. 1976: La céramique 'campanienne' découverte aux Sept Fontaines à Tournus (Saône-et-Loire). Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 27, 136-48.

MORRIS, E.L. 1985: Prehistoric Salt Distributions: Two Case Studies from Western Britain. *Bull Board Celtic Stud* 32, 1985 (1986), 336-79.

MORTIMER, J.R. 1905: Forty Years Researches in British and Saxon Burial Mounds in East Yorkshire. London.

MOSER, E. 1973: Bronzespiegel oder Halbfabrikat? Bonner Hft Vorgesch 7, 53-60.

MOSER, E. 1975: Italische Bronzegefässe in Kalinovka, Wolgograd. Archäol Korrespondenzbl 5, 133-8.

MOTYKOVÁ, K., DRDA, P. & RYBOVÁ, A. 1978: Metal, Glass and Amber Objects from the Acropolis of Zavist. *Památky Archeol* 69, 259-343.

MOUCHA, V. 1969: Latènezeitliche Gräber aus Sulejovice in Nordwestböhmen. Archeol Rozhledy 21, 596-617.

MOUCHA, V. 1980: Corallium rubrum (L.) v laténské jámě ze Zvoleněvsi, Okr. Kladno. Archeol Rozhledy 32, 512-20.

MUCKELROY, K., HASELGROVE, C.C. & NASH, D.E.M. 1978: A Pre-Roman Coin from Canterbury and the Ship Represented on it. *Proc Prehist Soc* 44, 439-44.

MÜLLER, F. 1986: Das Fragment eines keltischen Kettenpanzers von der Tiefenau bei Bern. Archäol Schweiz 9, 116-23.

MÜLLER-KARPE, A. & MÜLLER-KARPE, M. 1977: Neue latènezeitliche Funde aus dem Heidetrank-oppidum im Taunus. *Germania* 55, 32-63.

MUTZ, A. 1981: Einige Bemerkungen zum Depotfund von 16 Eisenbarren in Schwadernau, BE. Archäol Schweiz 4, 128-9.

NASH, D.E.M. 1975: The Chronology of Celtic Coinage in Gaul: The Arvernian 'Hegemony' Reconsidered. Numis Chron 7 ser 15, 204-18.

NASH, D. E. M. 1976a: Reconstructing Poseidonio's Celtic Ethnography: Some Considerations. *Britannia* 7, 111-26.

NASH, D. E. M. 1976b: The Growth of Urban Society in France. In Cunliffe & Rowley (eds) 1976, 95-133.

NASH, D.E.M. 1978a: Settlement and Coinage in Central Gaul. c 200-50 B.C. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Supp Ser 39).

NASH, D.E.M. 1978b: Territory and State Formation in Central Gaul. In Green, D. Haselgrove C.C. & Spriggs, M. (eds), Social Organisation and Settlement., 455-75. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 47).

NASH, D. E. M. 1979: Five First Century B. C. Coins from Gaul Found Recently in East Kent. *Archaeol Cantiana* 95, 1979 (1980), 298-9.

NASH, D. E. M. 1980: The Celtic Coins. *In* Downey, King & Soffe 1980, 301.

NASH, D.E.M. 1981: Coinage and State Development in Central Gaul. In Cunliffe (ed) 1981d, 10-17.

NASH, D.E.M. 1982: Adminius did Strike Coins. Oxford J Archaeol 1, 111-14.

NASH, D.E.M. 1984: The Basis of Contact between Britain and Gaul in the Later Pre-Roman Iron Age. *In* Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984, 92-107.

NASH, D.E.M. 1985: Celtic Territorial Expansion and the Mediterranean World. *In* Champion & Megaw (eds) 1985, 45-67.

NASH, D.E.M. 1987a: Coinage in the Celtic World. London.

NASH, D. E. M. 1987b: Imperial Expansion Under the Roman Republic. In Kristiansen, Larsen & Rowlands (eds) 1987, 87-103.

NAVARRO, J. M. DE, 1925: Prehistoric Routes between Northern Europe and Italy Defined by the Amber Trade. $Geogr\ J$ 66, 481-503.

NAVARRO, J.M. DE, 1955: A Doctor's Grave of the Middle La Tène Period from Bavaria. *Proc Prehist Soc* 21, 231-48.

NAVARRO, J.M. DE, 1972: The Finds from the Site of La Téne, I: Scabbards and the Swords found in Them. London.

NAVEAU, J. 1972: L'Oppidum de Moulay. Bull Comm Hist Archéol Mayenne 243, 3-36.

NAVEIRO-LÓPEZ, J. 1985: El comercio maritimo en el n.o. durante epoca romana, a traves de las anforas. *Rev Arqueol* 57, 40-5.

NEWCOMB, R. M. 1968: Geographical Location Analysis and Iron Age Settlement in West Penwith. *Cornish Archaeol* 7, 5-13.

NEWTON, C. 1846: A Description of Four Bronzes found at Colchester: from the Collection of Henry Vint. *Archaeologia* 13, 443-7.

NEWTON, R.G. 1971: A Preliminary Examination of a Suggestion That Pieces of Strongly Coloured Glass were Articles of Trade in the Iron Age in Britain. *Archaeometry* 13, 11-16.

NIBLETT, B. R. K. 1985: Sheepen: An Early Roman Industrial Site at Camulodunum. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 57).

NICOLET, C. (ed) 1978: Rome et la conquête du monde Méditerranean, 2. Genèse d'un empire. Paris. NIERHAUS, R. 1969: Die Silberschatz von Hildesheim: seine Zusammensetzung und der Zeitpunkt seiner Vergrabung. *Die Kunde* 20, 52-61.

NÖEL, J. 1968: La nécropole romaine du Hunenknepchen à Sampont. (Brussels, Archaeol Belgica 106).

NONY, D. 1978: La péninsule Ibérique. In Nicolet (ed) 1978, 657-78.

NORTH, J. A. 1981: The Development of Roman Imperialism. *J Roman Stud* 71, 1-9.

NORTHOVER, J.P. 1982: The Exploration of the Long-Distance Movement of Bronze in Bronze and Early Iron Age Europe. *Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London* 19, 1982 (1983), 45-72.

NORTHOVER, J.P. 1984: Iron Age Bronze Metallurgy in Central Southern England. *In* Cunliffe & Miles (eds) 1984, 126-45.

NORTHOVER, J. P. 1987: Non-Ferrous Metallurgy. In Cunliffe 1987a, 186-96.

NUBER, H.-U. 1972: Kanne und Griffschale. Ihr Gebrauch im täglichen Leben und die Beigabe in Gräbern der römischen Kaiserzeit. *Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz* 53, 1972 (1973), 1-232.

NUBER, H.-U. 1977: Zum Vergrabungszeitpunkt der Silberfunde von Hildesheim und Berthouville. Actes des IIIes journées internationales consacrées à l'étude des bronzes romaines., 23-30. (Brussells, = Bull Mus Roy Art Hist 46, 1974 (1977)).

NYE, S. & JONES, M.K. 1987: The Carbonised Plant Remains. In Cunliffe 1987a, 323-8.

NYLÉN, E. 1958: The Remarkable Bucket from Marlborough. Acta Archaeol 29, 1958 (1959), 1-20.

O'CONNOR, A. & CLARKE, D. V. (eds) 1983: From the Stone Age to the 'Forty-five: Studies presented to R. B. K. Stevenson. Edinburgh.

O'CONNER, B. 1980: Cross-Channel Relations in the Later Bronze Age: With Particular Reference to the Metalwork. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 91).

O'NEIL, B. H. ST J. & O'NEIL, H. E. 1952: The Roman Conquest of the Cotswolds. Archaeol J 109, 23-38.

O'NEIL, H.E. 1945: The Roman Villa at Park Street, near St Albans. Report on the Excavations of 1943-45. Archaeol J 102, 1945 (1946), 21-110.

OLIVER, A. JR 1965: Two Hoards of Roman Republican Silver. *Bull Metrop Mus Art n ser* 23, 177-85.

OLIVER, A. JR 1968: Millefiori Glass in Classical Antiquity. J Glass Stud 10, 48-70.

OLIVER, A. JR 1977: Silver for the Gods. 800 Years of Greek and Roman Silver. (Exhib Cat). Toledo, [USA].

OLIVER, A. JR 1980: A Set of Ancient Silverware in the Getty Museum. Getty Mus J 8, 155-66.

OLIVIER, A. 1986: The Brooches. In Allen 1986, 69-73.

ORME, B.J., COLES, J.M., CASELDINE, A.E. & BAILEY, G.N. 1981: Meare Village West 1979. Somerset Levels Pap 7, 12-69.

ORME, B.J., COLES, J.M. & SILVESTER, R.J. 1982: Meare Village East 1982. Somerset Levels Pap 9, 49-74.

OSWALD, F. 1940: Arretine Ware from Margidunum. Antiq J 30, 283-5.

OSWALD, F. 1951: The Volute in late-Arretine Ware and its Adoption in early South Gaulish Terra Sigillata in the Tiberius-Claudius Period. *Antiq J* 31, 149-53.

OSWALD, F. & PRYCE, T.-D. 1920: An Introduction to the Study of Terra Sigillata. (1966 reprint) London.

OVERBECK, B. 1981: Münzen der Kelten. (Exhib Cat). Münster.

OXÉ, A. 1897: Die Terra-Sigillata Gefässe des Cn. Ateius. Ein Beitrag zu ihrer Zeitbestimmung und zur lesung ihrer Stempel. Bonner Jahrb 101, 22-37.

OXÉ, A. 1914: Die älteste Terra-Sigillata-Fabriken in Montans am Tarn. Archäol Anz 1914, col 61-76.

OXÉ, A. 1930: Barocke Reliefkeramik aus Tiberius' Zeit. Festschrift Schumacher., 301-8. Mainz.

OXÉ, A. 1933: Arretinische Reliefgefässe vom Rhein. (Frankfurt, Mat röm-germ Keramik 5).

OXÉ, A. 1943: Die Halterner sigillatafunde seit 1925. In Stieren, A. Die Funde von Haltern seit 1925., 15-76. (Münster, Bodenaltertumer Westfalens 6, 1943 (1944)).

OXÉ, A. & COMFORT, H. 1968: Corpus Vasorum Arretinorum: A Catalogue of the Signatures, Shapes and Chronology of Italian Sigillata. (Bonn, Antiquas Rh 3, Abhandl zur Vor- u Frühgesch, zur klass u prov-römischen Archäol 4).

PAINTER, K.S. 1965: A Roman Marble Head from Sussex. Antiq J 45, 178-82.

PAINTER, K.S. 1972: Roman Sculpture from Hampshire, Somerset, Wiltshire and Sussex. Brit Mus Q 36, 30-7.

PALLARÉS, F. 1987: Il relitto della nave romana di Spargi. Campagne di Scavo 1958-1980. Archeol Subacquea 3, 89-102. (Rome, Supp à Boll Arte 37-8).

PANELLA, C. 1970: Anfore. Studi Miscellanei 16, 102-56. (Rome, Ostia II).

PANELLA, C. 1973: Appunti su un gruppo di anfore della prima, media e tarda etá Imperiale. *Studi Miscellanei* 21, 460-633. (Rome, Ostia III).

PANELLA, C. 1976: Per uno studio delle anfore di Pompeii. Studi Miscellanei 22, 151-62.

PANELLA, C. 1977: Anfore Tripolitane a Pompei. *In* Carandini (ed) 1977b, 135-49.

PANELLA, C. 1980: Retroterra, port, e mercati: l'esampio dell'ager Falernus. In D'Arms & Kopff (eds) 1980, 251-9.

PANELLA, C. 1981: Merci destinate al commercio transmarino. Il vino: la distribuzione e i Mercata. *In* Giardina & Schiavone (eds) 1981, 55-80.

PANELLA, C. & FANO, M. 1977: Le anfore con anse bifide conservate a Pompei: contributo ad una loro classificazione. *In Méthodes Classiques* 1977, 133-77.

PAPE, L. 1977: Rennes, Rue de Dinan. *Archéol Bretagne* 15 (3), 1977, 25-7.

PAPPALARDO, J.-P. 1969: Un vase sigillée de Montans à Balarac-le-Vieux. Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 2, 123-32.

PARKER, A. J. 1972: Review of Beltrán-Lloris 1970. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 1, 225-44.

PARKER, A.J. 1973: The Evidence Provided by Underwater Archaeology for Roman Trade in the Western Mediterranean. *In* Blackman, D.J. (ed), *Marine Archaeology*., 361-82, (London, Proc 23 Symp Colston Res Soc).

PARKER, A.J. 1977: Lusitanian Amphoras. In Méthodes Classiques 1977, 35-46.

PARKER, A. J. 1984: Shipwrecks and Ancient Trade in the Mediterranean. Archaeol Rvw Cambridge 3, 99-112.

PARKER, A.J. 1987: Review of Peacock and Williams 1986. Int J. Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 16, 268-9.

PARKER, A.J. & PRICE, J. 1981: Spanish Exports of the Claudian Period: The Significance of the Port Vendres II Wreck Reconsidered. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 10, 221-8.

PARKER, A. J. & SQUIRE, D. M. 1974: A Wreck of the Late 2nd Century A. D. at Terrauzza (Siracusa, Sicily). Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 3, 27-34.

PARKER-PEARSON, M. 1984: Economic and Ideological Change: Cyclical Growth in the pre-State Societies of Jutland. *In Miller*, D. & Tilley, C. (eds), *Ideology, Power and Prehistory*., 69-92. Cambridge.

PARTRIDGE, C. R. 1977: Excavations and Fieldwork at Braughing 1968-73. Hertfordshire Archaeol 5, 1977 (1978), 22-108.

PARTRIDGE, C. R. 1979: Excavations at Puckeridge and Braughing 1975-9. Hertfordshire Archaeol 7, 1979 (1981), 28-132.

PARTRIDGE, C. R. 1980-82: Braughing, Wickham Kennels 1982. Hertfordshire Archaeol 8, 1980-82 (1983), 40-59.

PARTRIDGE, C. R. 1981: Skeleton Green. A Late Iron Age and Romano-British Site. (London, Britannia Monogr Ser 2).

PARTRIDGE, C. R. 1982: Graffiti from Skeleton Green. *Britannia* 13, 325-6.

PASCUAL-GUASCH, R. 1962: Centros de producción y difusión geográfica de un tipo de ánfora. *Crónica del VII Congresio Nacional de Arqueologia Barcelona.*, 334-45. Zaragoza.

PASCUAL-GUASCH, R. 1977: Las ánforas de la Layetania. *In Méthodes Classiques* 1977, 47-96.

PASCUAL-GUASCH, R. 1984: The Catalan Wine Trade in the Roman Empire. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 13, 245-8.

PASSELEC, M. 1970: Le vicus *Eburomagus*: Éléments de topographie - Documents archéologiques. *Rev Archéol Narbonnaise* 3, 71-101.

PATERSON, J. 1982: Salvation from the Sea: Amphorae and Trade in the Roman West. J Roman Stud 72, 146-57.

PAUNIER, D. 1981: La céramique gallo-romaine de Genève. (Geneva, Mém Doc Soc Hist Archéol Genève, Ser - IN-4, 19).

PAVOLINI, C. 1981: Le lucerne nell'Italia romana. *In* Giardina & Schiavone (eds) 1981, 139-86.

PEACOCK, D.P.S. 1971: Roman Amphorae in Pre-Roman Britain. In Jesson & Hill (eds) 1971, 161-88.

PEACOCK, D.P.S. 1974; Amphorae and the Baetican Fish Industry. Antiq J 54, 232-43.

PEACOCK, D.P.S. 1977a: Recent Discoveries of Roman Amphora Kilns in Italy. Antiq J 57, 262-9.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1977b: Roman Amphorae: Typology, Fabric and Origins. In Méthodes Classiques 1977, 261-78.

PEACOCK, D.P.S. 1977c: Pompeian Red Ware. In idem (ed), Pottery and Early Commerce., 147-62. London.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1977d: Late Roman Amphorae from Chalk, near Gravesend, Kent. *In* Dore & Greene (eds) 1977, 295-300.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1978: The Rhine and the Problem of Gaulish Wine in Roman Britain. In du Plat Taylor & Cleere (eds) 1978, 49-51.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1980: The Roman Millstone Trade: A Petrological Sketch. World Archaeol 12, 43-53.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1981: The Amphorae. In Partridge 1981, 199-204.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1984: Amphorae in Iron Age Britain: A Reassessment. In Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984, 37-42.

PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1987: Iron Age and Roman Quern Production at Lodsworth, West Sussex. Antiq J 67, 61-85.

PEACOCK, D.P.S. & WILLIAMS, D.F. 1986: Amphorae and the Roman Economy. An Introductory Guide. London.

PEAKE, H. & COGHLAN, H. H. 1930-33: Further Excavations on Boxford Common. Trans Newbury Dist Fld Club 6 (4), 1930-33 (1933), 210-17.

PEDDEMORS, A. 1975: Latèneglasarmringe in den Niederlanden. *Anal Praehist Leidensia* 8, 93-145.

PÉLICHET, P. E. 1946: À propos des amphores romaines trouvées à Nyon. Zeitschr Schweiz Archäol Kunstgesch 8, 189-209.

PEÑA, J.F., DE LA, 1967: Alfares y marcas de ánforas del Valle Medio del Guadalquivir. Archivo Español Arqueol 40, 129-37.

PENGELLY, H. 1986: The Samian. In Woodward 1986, 69-73.

PENHALLURICK, R.D. 1986: Tin in Antiquity: Its Mining and Trade Throughout the Ancient World with Particular Reference to Cornwall. London.

PÉRICHON, R. 1966: Notes complémentaires concernant l'album des fouilles du Mont Beuvray. *Celticum* 15, 209-24.

PÉRICHON, R. 1974: La céramique peinte celtique et gallo-romaine en Forez et dans la Massif Central. (St Etienne, Centre Étud Forèziennes, Thèses et Mém 6).

PERNICE, E. & WINTER, F. 1901: Die Hildesheimer Silberfund. Berlin.

PERRIN, M. 1976: Essai de la classification typologique preliminaire de la céramique de La Tène III découvertes à Tournus. Découvertes Archéol Tournugeois 4, 27-68.

PERRONE MERCANTI, M. 1979: Ancore Antiquae. Per una cronologia preliminare della ancore del Mediterranea. (Rome, Stud'Archaeol 20).

PESCHEL, K. 1978: Review of Graue 1974. Ethnog - Archäol Zeitschr 19, 559-65.

PETRÉ, B. 1980: Björnfällen i begravningsritualen - Statusobjekt speglande regional skinnhandel. Förnvännen 75, 5-14.

PETRES, E.F. 1979: Some Remarks on Anthropoid and Pseudoanthropoid Hilted Daggers in Hungary. In Duval & Krůta (eds) 1979, 171-8.

PEYRE, C. 1970: Tite-Live et la 'férocité' gauloise. Rev Étud Latines 1970, 277-96.

PFEFFER, W. VON, 1977: Töpferöfen einheimischer Keramik in frührömischen Lagerdorf Mainz-Weisenau. *Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt* 17/18, 178-91.

PFERDEHIRT, B. 1978: Die römischen Terra-Sigillata-Töpfereien in Südgallien. (Stuttgart, Kleine Schr röm Besatzungsgesch Südwestdeutschlands 18).

PHILP, B.J. 1968: Excavations at Faversham, 1965: The Royal Abbey, Roman Villa and Belgic Farmstead. (Crawley, Res Rep Kent Archaeol Res Grp 1).

PHILP, B.J. 1984: Excavations in the Darent Valley, Kent. (Dover, Kent Monogr Ser Res Rep 4).

PÍC, J.L. 1906: Le Hradischt de Stradonitz en Bohême. Leipzig.

PICON, M. 1974: A propos d'une vase faussement attribué à Montans. Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 7, 219-23.

PICON, M., CARRE, C., CORDOLIANI, M.L., VICHY, M., HERNANDEZ, J.A. & MIGNARD, J.L. 1975: Composition of the La Grafesenque, Banassac and Montans Terra Sigillata. *Archaeometry* 17, 191-9.

PICON, M. & GARNIER, J.-F. 1974: Un atelier d'Ateius à Lyon. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 25, 70-6.

PICON, M. & LASFARGUES, J. 1974: Transfert de moules entre les ateliers d'Arezzo et ceux de Lyon. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 25, 61-9.

PICON, M., MEILLE, E., VICHY, M. & GARNIER, J.-F. 1972-73: Recherches sur les céramiques d'Ateius trouvées en Gaule. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 14/15, 1972-73 (1973), 128-35.

PICON, M. & VICHY, M. 1974: Recherches sur la composition des céramiques de Lyon. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 25, 37-59.

PICON, M., VICHY, M. & MEILLE, E. 1971: Composition of the Lezoux, Lyon and Arezzo Samian Ware. *Archaeometry* 13, 191-208.

PIGGOTT, S. 1950: Swords and Scabbards of the British Early Iron Age. Proc Prehist Soc 16, 1-28.

PIGGOTT, S. 1952-53: Three Metal-work Hoards of the Roman Period from Southern Scotland. *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* 87, 1-50.

PIGGOTT, S. 1965: Ancient Europe. Edinburgh.

PIGGOTT, S. 1971: Firedogs in Iron Age Britain and Beyond. In Boardman, J., Brown, M.A. & Powell, T.G.E. (eds), The European Community in Later Prehistory., 243-70. London.

PIGGOTT, S. 1975: The Druids. 2 London.

PIGGOTT, S. 1977: A Glance at Cornish Tin. In Markotic, V. (ed),
Ancient Europe and the Mediterranean., 141-5. Warminster.

PIGGOTT, S. 1978: 'Royal Tombs' Reconsidered. Prace I Materialy Muz Archeol Etnog Łodzi, Ser Archeol 25, 293-301.

PIGGOTT, S. 1983: The Earliest Wheeled Transport. London.

PITT-RIVERS, A. H. L. F. 1881: Excavations at Mount Caburn Camp, near Lewes, conducted in September and October, 1877, and July 1878. Archaeologia 46, 423-95.

PITTS, L.F. 1979: Roman Bronze Figurines of the Catuvellauni and Trinovantes. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 60).

PITTS, M. W. 1979: A Gazetteer of Roman Sites and Finds on the West Sussex Coastal Plain. Sussex Archaeol Collect 117, 63-83.

PLEINER, R. 1979: Otázka Státu ve Staré Gallii. Prague.

PLICQUE, A. M. & GRENIER, A. 1961: Nouvelle fouilles à Lezoux (Puy-de-Dôme). Gallia 19, 55-69.

POLENZ, H. 1977: Ein Eimer vom Aylesford-Typus aus Geisenheim im Rheingau. Nassauische Ann 88, 9-34.

POLENZ, H. 1982: Münzen in latènezeitlichen Gräbern Mitteleuropas aus der Zeit zwischen 300 und 50 vor Christi Geburt. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 47, 27-222.

POMEY, P. & TCHERNIA, A. 1978: Le tonnage maximum des navires de commerce romains. Archaeonautica 2, 1978 (1979), 233-47.

POMPEII-HÜGEL, 1973: Pompeji. Leben und Kunst in den Vesuvstädten, (Exhib Cat Villa Hügel, Essel). Essel.

PONCET, J. 1974: Observations sur des céramiques gallo-romains précoces de Roanne. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 25, 77-94.

PONISCH, M. 1974: Implantation Rural Antique sur le Bas-Guadalquivir I. (Madrid, Pub Casa Vélazquez f 2).

PONISCH, M. 1979: Implantation Rural Antique sur le Bas-Guadalquivir II. (Paris, Pub Casa Vélazquez f 3).

PONISCH, M. 1982: Marcas de anforas de aceite de las riberas del Betis. Archivo Español Arqueol 55, 173-204.

POOLE, C. 1984: Briquetage Containers. In Cunliffe 1984d, 426-30, M11: C12.

POULSEN, E. 1979: The Manufacture of Final Models of Roman Mass Produced Pail Handle Attachments. Bronzes héllenistiques et romaines. Actes du Xe Colloque sur les bronzes antiques, Lausanne, 1978., 241-5. (Lausanne, Cah Archéol Romande 17).

POULSEN, V. H. 1958: Portraits of Caligula. *Acta Archaeol* 29, 1958 (1959), 175-90.

POULSEN, V. H. 1968: Die Silberbecher von Hoby. Antike Plastik 8, 69-74.

PRAEGER, R.L. 1942: The Broighter Gold Ornaments. J Roy Soc Antiq Ir 72, 29-32.

PRICE, J. 1978: Trade in Glass. In du Plat Taylor & Cleere (eds) 1978, 70-8.

PRYOR, F. M. M. 1984: Excavations at Fengate, Peterborough, England: The Fourth Report. (Leicester, Northants Archaeol Soc Monogr 2 / Royal Ontario Mus Archaeol Monogr 2).

PUCCI, G. 1973: La produzione della ceramica aretine. Note sull' 'industria' nella prima età imperiale romana. *Dialoghi Archeol 2 ser*, 7, 255-93.

PUCCI, G. 1975: Cumanae Testae. La parola del passato 30 (164), 368-71.

PUCCI, G. 1981: La ceramica italica (terra sigillata). In Giardina & Schiavone (eds) 1981, 99-121.

PURCELL, N. 1985: Wine and Wealth in Ancient Italy. *J Roman Stud* 75, 1-19.

PY, M. 1978a: Quatre siècles d'amphore massaliète. Essai de classification des bords. *Finglina* 3, 1978 (1980), 1-23.

PY, M. 1978b: L'oppidum des Castels à Nages (Gard) (Fouilles 1958-1974). (Paris, 30 supp à Gallia).

QUINNELL, H. 1986: Cornwall during the Iron Age and the Roman Period. Cornish Archaeol 25, 1986 (1987), 111-34.

QUINNELL, H. & HARRIS D.G. 1985: Castle Dore: The Chronology Reconsidered. Cornish Archaeol 24, 1985 (1986), 123-32.

QUINTO, R. (ed) 1979: I Galli e l'Italia. 2 (Exhib Cat). Rome.

RADDATZ, K. 1967: Das Wagengrab der jüngeren vorrömischen Eisenzeit von Husby, Kreis Flensburg. (Neumünster, Offa-Bucher NF 20).

RADDATZ, K. 1969: Die Schatzfunde der Iberischen Halbinsel vom Ende des dritten bis zur Mitte des ersten Jahrhunderts vor Chr. Geb. (Berlin, Madrider Forsch 5).

RADFORD, C. A. R. 1951: Report on the Excavations at Castle Dore. J Roy Inst Cornwall n ser App 1, 1-119.

RADNÓTI, A. 1938: Die römischen Bronzegefässe in Pannonien. (Budapest, Diss Pannonicae, Ser 2, 6).

RADNÓTI, A. 1964: Review of Fischer 1959. Germania 42, 308-13.

RADNÓTI, A. 1968: Zwei römische Jochbeschläge aus Augusta Raurica. In Schmid, Berger & Bürgin (eds) 1968, 170-84.

RAEV, B.A. 1977: Die Bronzegefässe der römischen Kaiserzeit in Thrakien und Mösien. Ber Röm - German Komm 58, 1977 (1978), 605-42.

RAEV, B.A. 1979: Metal Vessels from 'Hohlač' (Novočerkassk). Bronzes héllenistiques et romaines. Actes du Ve Colloque sur les bronzes antiques, Lausanne, 1978., 235-40. (Lausanne, Cah Archéol Romande 17).

RAEV, B. A. 1986: Roman Imports in the Lower Don Basin. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 278).

RAFTERY, B. 1981: Iron Age Burials in Ireland. In O'Corráin, D. (ed), Irish Antiquity: Essays and Studies Presented to Professor M.H. O'Kelly., 173-204. Cork.

RAFTERY, B. 1983: A Catalogue of Irish Iron Age Antiquities. (Marburg, Veröff Vorgesch Seminars Marburg Sonderbd 1).

RAFTERY, B. 1984: La Tène in Ireland. Problems of Origin and Chronology. (Marburg, Veröff Vorgesch Seminars Marburg Sonderbd 2).

RALSTON, I.B.M. 1981: The Use of Timber in Hill-fort Defences in France. In Guilbert, G. (ed), Hill-fort Studies: Essays for A.H.A. Hogg., 78-103. Leicester.

RALSTON, I.B.M. 1982: The Later Prehistoric Settlement Sites of non-Mediterranean France with Particular Reference to Limousin. Univ Edinburgh, Ph.D. Thesis.

RALSTON, I.B.M. 1984: Les caracteres de l'habitat à La Tène III: les structures urbaines et leur correspondances avec les entités politiques. *In Recherche* 1984, 169-98.

RAMBAUD, M. 1965: César, Bellum Gallicum, II-III. Paris.

RAMBAUD, M. 1966: La Déformation historique chez César. Paris.

RAMBAUD, M. 1974: César. Bellum Gallicum V. Paris.

RANCOULE, G. 1970: L'Atelier de potiers et céramique indigène au Ier siècle avant J.-C. Rev Archéol Narbonnaise 3, 33-70.

RAPIN, A. 1986: Le mobilier en fer du sanctuaire de Digeon. Rev Archéol Picardie 1986 (3/4), 115-17.

READER, F. W. 1907-9: Report of the Red Hills Exploration Committee 1906-7. Proc Soc Antiq London 2 ser 22, 164-214.

RECHERCHE 1984 = Recherche sur la naissance de l'urbanisation au Ier siècle avant J.-C. dans le Centre de la France d'après les nouvelles données archéolgiques. Levroux.

REDING, L. 1972: Les monnaies gauloises du Titelbierg. Luxembourg.

REDLICH, C. 1980: Politische und wirtschaftliche Bedeutung der Bronzegefässe an Unterelbe und Saale zur zeit der Römerkriege. Stud Sachsenforschung 2, 329-74.

REECE, R. (ed) 1977: Burial in the Roman World. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 22).

REECE, R. 1979: Roman Monetary Impact. In Burnham & Johnson (eds) 1979, 211-20.

REECE, R. 1981: Roman Monetary Impact on the Celtic World - Thoughts and Problems. *In* Cunliffe (ed) 1981d, 24-8.

REED, N. 1973: Three fragments of Livy Concening Britain. *Latomus* 32, 766-86.

REED, N. 1975: Drusus and the Classis Britannica. Historia 24, 315-23.

REES, H. 1986: Ceramic Salt Working Debris from Droitwich. Trans Worcestershire Archaeol Soc 3 ser 10, 47-54.

REINECKE, P. 1911: Funde vom Ende der La Tènezeit aus Wohnstätten bei Karlstein umweit Reichenhall, Oberbayen. In Lindenschmidt (ed) 1911, (reprinted In Reinecke, P. 1968, Mainzer Aufsätze zur Chronologie der Bronze – und Eisenzeit., 82-7. Bonn).

REMESAL-RODRÍGUEZ, J. 1982: Die Ölwirtschaft in der Provinz Baetica: neue Formen der Analyse. Saalburg Jahrb 38, 30-71 (First pub as La economía oleicola bética: neuvas formas de análisis. Archivo Español Arqueol 50-1, 1977-78, 87-142).

REMESAL-RODRÍGUEZ, J. 1983: Ölproduktion und Ölhandel aus der Baetica: ein Beispiel über die Verbindung zwischen archäologischen und literarischen Quellen. Münster Beitr Antiken Handelsgesch 2, 91-111.

REMESAL-RODRÍGUEZ, J. 1986: La <u>annona militaris</u> y la exportación de aceite bético a <u>Germania</u> (corpus de sellos en ánforas Dressel, 20 hallados en: Nimega, Colonia, Mainz, Saalburg. Zugmantel y <u>Nida</u>. Madrid.

RENARD, M. 1961: Note épigraphique sur les sigles et graffiti du tonneau romain de Harelbeke. *Latomus* 20, 785-99.

RENFREW, A.C. 1975: Trade as Action at a Distance. In Sabloff, J.A. & Lamberg-Karlovsky, C.A. (eds), Ancient Civilization and Trade., 3-59. Albuquerque.

RENFREW, A.C. 1986: Introduction: Peer Polity Interaction and Socio-Political Change. *In* Renfrew & Cherry (eds), 1986, 1-18.

RENFREW, A.C. & CHERRY, J.F. (eds) 1986: Peer Polity Interaction and Socio-Political Change. Cambridge.

RENFREW, A.C. & SHENNAN, S.J. (eds) 1982: Ranking, Resource and Exchange. Aspects of the Archaeology of European Society. Cambridge.

RENNIE, D. M. 1959: The Excavation of an Earthwork on Rodborough Common in 1954-55. Trans Bristol Gloucestershire Archaeol Soc 78, 24-43.

REUSCH, W. 1970: Kleine, spitzkonische Amphoren. Ein Beitrag zur römischen Schwerkeramik. Saalburg Jahrb 27, 54-62.

RICH, J.W. 1976: Declaring War in the Roman Republic in the Period of Transmarine Expansion. (Brussels, Coll Latomus 149).

RICH, J.W. 1983: The Supposed Roman Manpower Shortage in the Later Second Century B.C. Historia 32, 287-331.

RICHARDSON, K. M. 1951: The Excavation of Iron Age Villages on Boscombe Down West. Wiltshire Archaeol Natur Hist Mag 54, 123-68.

RICHMOND, I.A. 1955: Roman Britain. (Harmondsworth, Pelican Hist of England 1).

RICHMOND, I.A. 1968: Hod Hill. Vol 2. Excavations Carried Out between 1951 and 1958. London.

RICHTER, G. M. A. 1966: The Furniture of the Greeks, Etruscans and Romans. London.

RIECKHOFF, S. 1972: Datierungsfragen früher gallo-römischer Fibelformen. Archäol Information 1, 77-83.

RIECKHOFF, S. 1975: Münzen und Fibeln aus dem Vicus des Kastells Hüfingen (Schwarzwald-Baar-Kreis). Saalburg Jahrb 32, 5-104.

RIECKHOFF-PAULI, S. 1981: Der Lauteracher Schatzfund aus archäologischer Sicht. Numis Zeitschr 95, 11-23.

RIECKHOFF-PAULI, S. 1983: Spätkeltische und frühgermanische Funde aus Regensburg. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 48, 1983 (1984), 1-66.

RIGBY, V. 1973: Potters' Stamps on Terra Nigra and Terra Rubra Found in Britain. *In* Detsicas (ed) 1973, 7-24.

RIGBY, V. 1976: Gallo-Belgic and Other Imported Wares. In Stead 1976b, 127-35.

RIGBY, V. 1977a: The Gallo-Belgic Pottery from Circucester. In Dore & Greene (eds) 1977, 37-43.

RIGBY, V. 1977b: Gallo-Belgic Wares. In Partridge 1977, 41-4.

RIGBY, V. 1978: The Early Roman Fine Wares. *In* Down 1978, 190-201.

RIGBY, V. 1979: The Gallo-Belgic and Other Imported Wares. In Partridge 1979, 104-13.

RIGBY, V. 1981a: The Gallo-Belgic Wares. In Partridge 1981, 159-95.

RIGBY, V. 1981b: The Potter Julios - A Suitable Case for Study? In Anderson & Anderson (eds) 1981, 39-50.

RIGBY, V. 1981c: Gallo-Belgic and Imported Roman Fine Wares. In Down 1981, 275-82.

RIGBY, V. 1981d: The Gallo-Belgic Wares from Gatesbury. In Partridge 1981, 328-34.

RIGBY, V. 1985: The Gallo-Belgic Wares - Discussion and Conclusions. *In* Niblett 1985, 74-82, M1: D12-M10.

RIGBY, V. 1987: Early Gaulish Imported Wares. In Cunliffe 1987a, 276-80.

RIGBY, V. & FREESTONE, I.C. 1986: The Petrology and Typology of the Earliest Identified Central Gaulish Imports. *J Roman Pottery Stud* 1, 6-21.

RIHA, E. 1979: Die römischen Fibeln aus Augst und Kaiseraugst. (Augst, Forschungen in Augst 3).

RIHA, E. & STERN, W.B. 1982: Die römischen Löffel aus Augst und Kaiseraugst. (Augst, Forschungen in Augst 5).

RILEY, J.A. 1979: The Coarse Pottery from Benghazi. In Lloyd, J.A. (ed), Sidi Khrebish Excavations, Benghazi (Berenice), Vol 2., 91-497. (Tripoli, Lybia Antiq Supp 5, 2).

RÍORDÁIN, S.P., Ó, 1947: Roman Material in Ireland. Proc Roy Ir Acad (C) 51, 35-82.

RIPOLLÉS, P.P. & VILLARONGA, L. 1984: La chronologie des monnaies à la croix de poids lourd d'après les trésors de l'Espagne. *In* Grasmann, Janssen & Brandt (eds) 1984, 339-59.

RITTERLING, E. 1912: Das frührömische Lager bei Hofheim im Taunus. Nassauische Ann 40, 1912 (1913), 1-416.

RIVET, A.L.F. & SMITH, C.C. 1979: The Place-Names of Roman Britain. London.

ROBINSON, H. R. 1975: The Armour of Imperial Rome. London.

ROBINSON, P. 1980: The Problematical Find of Ancient British and Gaulish Coins from 'near Portsmouth' in 1830. British Numis J 50, 1980 (1981), 1-7.

RÖDER, J. 1953: Zur Lavaindustrie von Mayen und Volvic (Auvergne). Germania 31, 24-7.

RODRÍGUEZ-ALMEIDA, E. 1972: Novedades de epigrafia ánforaria del Monte Testaccio. *In* Baldacci (ed) 1972c, 107-242.

RODRÍGUEZ-ALMEIDA, E. 1983: Altri mercatores dell'olio betico. Dialoghi Archeol ser 3, 1, 79-86.

RODWELL, K.A. 1979: Rome and the Trinovantes. *In* Burnham & Johnson (eds) 1979, 327-38.

RODWELL, W. J. 1976a: Coinage, Oppida and the Rise of Belgic Power in South-Eastern England. *In* Cunliffe & Rodwell (eds) 1976, 181-367.

RODWELL, W.J. 1976b: Iron Pokers of La Tène II-III. Archaeol J 133, 43-9.

RODWELL, W. J. 1976c: A Dressel 1 Amphora from the Thaxted Area (and Notes on the Amphorae from Sandon and Marks Teys). Essex Archaeol Hist 8, 250-1.

RODWELL, W.J. 1978: Buildings and Settlements in South-East Britain during the Late Iron Age. In Cunliffe & Rowley (eds) 1978, 25-41.

RODWELL, W. J. 1979: Iron Age and Roman Salt-winning on the Essex Coast. In Burnham & Johnson (eds) 1979, 133-75.

RODWELL, W. J. 1981: Lost and Found: The Archaeology of Find-Spots of Celtic Coins. *In* Cunliffe (ed) 1981d, 43-52.

RODWELL, W. J. 1987: The Pottery and its Implications. In Buckley, D. G. & Hedges, J. W. Excavation of a Cropmark Enclosure at Woodham Walter, Essex, 1976. In Excavations at Woodham Walter and an Assessment of Essex Enclosures., 20-39. (Chelmsford, E Anglian Archaeol 33).

RODWELL, W.J. & RODWELL, K.A. 1986: Rivenhall: Investigations of a Villa, Church and Village, 1950-1977, Vol 1. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 55).

ROES, A. & VOLLGRAFF, W. 1952: Le canthare de Stevensweert. Mon Piot 46, 39-67.

ROLLANDO, Y. 1971: La préhistoire du Morbihan. 3 Vannes.

ROMAN, Y. 1983: De Narbonne à Bordeaux. Un axe économique au 1er siècle avant J.-C. Lyon.

ROOK, A.G. 1968: Investigation of a Belgic Occupation Site at Crookhams, Welwyn Garden City. Hertfordshire Archaeol 1, 51-65.

ROOK, A.G. 1970a: A Belgic and Roman Site at Brickwall Hill. Hertfordshire Archaeol 2, 23-30.

ROOK, A.G. 1970b: Investigation of a Belgic Site at Grubs Barn, Welwyn Garden City. Hertfordshire Archaeol 2, 31-6.

ROOK, A.G., LOWERY, P.R., SAVAGE, R.D.A. & WILKINS, R.L. 1982: An Iron Age Bronze Mirror from Aston, Hertfordshire. Antiq J 62, 18-34.

ROOSENS, H. 1954: Cimetière romain du Haut-Empire à Fouches (Hachy - Luxembourg). (Brussells, Archaeol Belgica 20).

ROTTLÄNDER, R.C.A. 1978-79: Zur Geographische Verbreitung der Bernsteinfunde beim Übergang von der älteren zur jungeren Eisenzeit. Kölner Jahrb 16, 1978-79 (1983), 89-110.

ROUALET, P. 1974: Un habitat gallo-romain de l'époque de Tibère à Aulnay-aux-Planches (Marne). *Mém Soc Agric Marne* 89, 7-25.

ROUALET, P. 1977: Cimetières à incinération d'Hauviné et de Saint-Clément-à-Arnes (Ardennes). Première partie. *Mém Soc Agric Marne* 92, 37-81.

ROUALET, P. 1978: Cimetières à incinération d'Hauviné et de Saint-Clément-à-Arnes (Ardennes). Deuxième partie. *Mém Soc Agric Marne* 93, 23-34.

ROUALET, P. 1979: Cimetières à incinération d'Hauviné et de Saint-Clément-à-Arnes (Ardennes). Troisième partie. *Mém Soc Agric Marne* 94, 17-46.

ROUGÉ, J. 1966: Recherches sur l'organisation du commerce maritime en Méditerranée sous l'Empire romain. Paris.

ROWLANDS, M.J. 1980: Kinship, Alliance and Exchange in the European Bronze Age. In Barrett, J.C. & Bradley, R.J. (eds), Settlement and Society in the British Later Bronze Age., 15-55. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 83).

ROWLANDS, M.J. 1984: Conceptualising the European Bronze and Early Iron Ages. *In* Bintliff (ed) 1984b, 147-56.

ROWLANDS, M.J. 1987: Centre and Periphery: A Review of the Concept. *In* Kristiansen, Larsen & Rowlands (eds) 1987, 1-11.

ROWLETT, R. M., THOMAS, H. L., SANDER-JØRGENSEN ROWLETT, E., & STOUT, S. D. 1982: Stratified Iron Age House Floors on the Titelberg, Luxembourg. *J Field Archaeol* 9, 301-12.

ROYAL COMMISSION ON HISTORICAL MONUMENTS (ENGLAND) 1976: County of Gloucester, 1. Iron Age and Romano-British Monuments in the Gloucester Cotswolds. London.

ROYMANS, N. 1983: The North Belgic Tribes in the 1st century B.C.: A Historical - Anthropological Perspective. *In* Brandt & Slofstra (eds) 1983, 43-69.

ROYMANS, N. & SANDEN, W. VAN DER, 1980: Celtic Coins from the Netherlands and their Archaeological Context. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 30, 1980 (1983), 173-254.

RUDLING, D. R. 1982: Rural Settlement in Later Iron Age and Roman Sussex. *In* Miles (ed) 1982, 269-88.

SABIR, A., LAUBENHEIMER, F., LEBLANC, H. & WIDEMANN, F. 1983: Production d'amphores vinaires républicaines en Gaule du Sud? *Doc Archéol Méridionale* 6, 109-13.

SADDINGTON, D.B. 1961: Roman Attitudes to the 'Externae Gentes' of the North. *Acta Classica* [Cape Town] 4, 90-102.

SAKAŘ, V. 1970: Roman Imports in Bohemia. (Prague, Fontes Archaeol Pragenses 14).

SALLER, R.P. 1982: Personal Patronage Under the Early Empire. Cambridge.

SALTER, C. J. 1987: Ferrous Metallurgy and Other Slags. In Cunliffe 1987a, 197-205.

SALTER, C.J. & EHRENREICH, R.M. 1984: Iron Age Iron Metallurgy in Central Southern Britain. *In* Cunliffe & Miles (eds) 1984, 146-61.

SALWAY, P. 1984: Roman Britain. (corrected ed.) Oxford.

SANDS, P.C. 1908: The Client Princes of the Roman Empire Under the Republic. Oxford.

SANQUER, R. 1982: Genèse et organisation du corpus des amphores découvertes dans l'ouest de la France. *In* Galliou 1982, 3-10.

SANTAMARIA, C. 1984: L'épave 'H' de la Chrétienne à Saint-Raphaël (Var). Archaeonautica 4, 1984 (1985), 9-52.

SANTA-OLALLA, J.-M. 1933-35: Bronce inglés de La Tène hallado en Játiba (Valencia). *An Prehist Madrileña* 4-6, 1933-35 (1935), 203-4.

SANTROT, M.-H. & SANTROT, J. 1979: Céramiques communes Gallo-Romaines d'Aquitaine. Paris.

SANTROT, M., SANTROT, J., TASSAUX, D., TASSAUX, F., CAILLAT, P., MAURIN, L., STARAKIS, P. & TRONCHÉ, P. 1984: Aulnay de Saintonge, un camp militaire Augusto-Tiberian en Aquitaine. Aquitania 2, 105-56.

SAUNDERS, A. & HARRIS, D.G. 1982: Excavations at Castle Gotha, St. Austel. Cornish Archaeol 21, 109-53.

SAUNDERS, C. 1977: The Iron Firedog from Welwyn, Hertfordshire, Reconsidered. *Hertfordshire Archaeol* 5, 13-21.

SAUNDERS, C. & HAVERCROFT, A.B. 1980-82: Excavations on the Line of the Wheathampstead By-Pass with some thoughts on the Oppida at Wheathampstead and Verulamium, by C. Saunders. Hertfordshire Archaeol 8, 1980-82 (1983), 11-39.

SAVILLE, A. 1984: The Iron Age in Gloucestershire: A Review of the Evidence. In idem (ed) Archaeology in Gloucesterhsire. From the Earliest Hunters to the Industrial Age., 140-78. Cheltenham.

SAVORY, H. N. 1949: Carthaginian Coins from Monmouth. Bull Board Celtic Stud 13, 246-7.

SAVORY, H. N. 1966: A Find of Early Iron Age Metalwork from the Lesser Garth, Pentyrch (Glam). Archaeol Cambrensis 115, 27-44.

SCHAAF, U. 1974: Keltische Eisenhelme aus vorrömischer Zeit. Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz 21, 1974 (1977), 149-204. SCHAAF, U. 1983: Ein schwertförmiger Eisenbarren vom Typ Wérimont-La Tène aus Rheinhessen. Bull Mus Roy Art Hist 54 (1), 95-102.

SCHAAF, U. 1984: Studien zur keltischen Bewaffnung. Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz 31, 622-5.

SCHEERS, S. 1968: Le premier monnayage des Ambiani. Rev Belge Numis 114, 45-75.

SCHEERS, S. 1969: Les monnaies de la Gaule inspirées de celles de la Republique romain. Louvain.

SCHEERS, S. 1970: Un monnayage gaulois non attribué de la Côte maritime belge. Rev Belge Numis 116, 65-90.

SCHEERS, S. 1972: Coinage and Currency of the Belgic Tribes during the Gallic War. $Brit\ Numis\ J\ 41,\ 1-6.$

SCHEERS, S. 1977a: Traité de Numismatique Celtique II. La Gaule Belgique. (Paris, Ann Litt Univ Besançon 195 / Centre Recherche Hist Anc Ser Numis 24).

SCHEERS, S. 1977b: La circulation des monnaies gauloises sur le territoire trévire. Bull Antiq Luxembourgeoises 8, 25-55.

SCHEERS, S. 1980: Les monnaies d'or des Aulerci Eburovices. Acta Archaeol Lovaniensia 19, 1-48.

SCHEERS, S. 1981: The Origins and Evolution of Coinage in Belgic Gaul. *In* Cunliffe (ed) 1981d, 18-23.

SCHEERS, S. 1982a: Les imitations celtiques des monnaies romaines en Angleterre et leur signification historique. *In* Hackens & Weiller (eds) 1982, 619-23.

SCHEERS, S. 1982b: Les monnaies trouvées au fanum de Chilly (Somme) de 1978 à 1980. Rev Archéol Picardie 1 (4), 92-118.

SCHEERS, S. 1984: La datation des monnaies d'or au cavalier armé. In Grasmann, Janssen & Brandt (eds) 1984, 360-405. SCHINDLER - KAUDELKA, E. 1975: Die dünnwandige Gebrauchskeramik vom Magdalensberg. (Klangenfurt, Kärntner Museummschr 58 / Archäol Forschungen Grabungen Magdalensberg 3).

SCHLIPPSCHUH, O. 1974: Die Händler im römischen kaiserreich in Gallien, Germanien und den Donauprovinzien Rätien, Noricum und Pannonien. Amsterdam.

SCHMETTOW, H. VON, (ed) 1983: Festschrift Hans Hings. (Neumünster, Offa 40).

SCHMID, E. 1941: Die Bärenkralle in vor- und frühgeschichtlicher Zeit. Sachsens Vorzeit 5, 37-40.

SCHMID, E. 1968: Beindrechsler, Hornschnitzer und Leimsieder im römischen Augst. *In* Schmid, Berger & Bürgin (eds) 1968, 185-97.

SCHMID, E. 1973: Die Tierreste im Leichenbrand der Spätlatenegräber 2 und 4 von Newied, Stadtteil Hambach-Weis. Bonner Jahrb 173, 47-52.

SCHMID, E. 1981: Die Bärenkrallen aus dem Leichenbrand der Bronzesitula von Döhren, Stadt Petershagen, Kreis Minden-Lübbecke. Bodenaltertümer Westfalens 18, 76-8.

SCHMID, E., BERGER, L. & BÜRGIN, P. (eds) 1968: *Provincialia*. Festschrift R. Laur-Belart. Basle.

SCHNITZLER, B. 1978: La céramique Gallo-Belge dans l'est de la France. (Univ Strasbourg, Thèse de III cycle).

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1974: Die römischen Militäranlagen bei Haltern. (Münster, Bodenaltertümer Westfalens 14).

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1975: Riesige Weinfässer im Brunnen. Kölner Römer Illustrierte 2, 140.

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1977: Die Produktion der Halterner Töpfereien. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 17/18, 38-50.

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1979: *Die Römer in Haltern*. (Münster, Einführung Vor- u Frühgesch Westfalens 2).

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1981: Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der römischen Militärlager an der Lippe. Ber Röm-German Komm 62, 1981 (1982), 5-101.

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1982: Die unverzierte Terra Sigillata aus Haltern. (Münster, Bodenaltertümer Westfalens 19).

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1983: Neu entdeckte frühkaiser-zeitliche Militäranglagen bei Friedberg in Bayern. Germania 61, 529-50.

SCHNURBEIN, S. VON, 1986: Halterner Sigillata-Produkte in rheinischen Stützpunkten. *Germania* 64, 45-59.

SCHÖNBERGER, H. 1952: Die Spätlatènezeit in der Wetterau. Saalburg Jahrb 11, 21-130.

SCHÖNBERGER, H. & SIMON. H.-G. 1976: Römerlager Rödgen. (Berlin, Limesforschungen 15).

SCHUCANY, C. 1983: Tacitus (hist. I 67) und der Brand der jüngsten Holzbauten von Baden-Aquae Helveticae. Jahresber Ges pro Vindonissa 1983 (1985), 35-79.

SCHULZ, V. 1885-87: Hrob u Zlivi. Pámatky Archeol 13, 65-80.

SCHUMACHER, K. 1911: Grabfund der sog. Mittel-La Tène-Zeit von Dühren (Bez.-A. Sinsheim, Grossh. Baden). *In* Lindenschmidt (ed) 1911, 73-81.

SCHWAPPACH, F. 1969: Stempelverzierte Keramik von Armorica. Fundber Hessen 1, 213-87.

SCIARRA, B. 1964: Un primo saggio di Scavi ad Apani. Mus Prov Brindisi Quad 1, 39-43.

SCIARRA, B. 1966: Alcuni bolli anforari Brindisini. *Epegraphica* 28, 122-34.

SCIARRA, B. 1972: Ricerche in contrada Apani, agro di Brindisi. In Baldacci (ed) 1972c, 29-34.

SCOTT, B.G. 1976: The Occurance of Platinum as a Trace Element in Irish Gold: Comments on Hartmann's Gold Analyses. *Ir Archaeol Res Forum* 3 (2), 21-4.

SCOTT, B.G. (ed) n.d. [1982]: Studies on Early Ireland. Essays in Honour of M.V. Duignan. Belfast.

SCULLARD, H. H. 1974: The Elephant in the Greek and Roman World. London.

SEALEY, P.R. 1979: The Later History of Icenian Electrum Torcs. Proc Prehist Soc 45, 165-78.

SEALEY, P.R. 1981: Trade between Southern Britain and the Roman World in the Late Iron Age, 54 B.C. - A.D. 43. Univ Bristol, Ph.D. Thesis.

SEALEY, P.R. 1985: Amphoras From the 1970 Excavations at Colchester Sheepen. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 142).

SEALEY, P.R. 1987: Review of Laubenheimer 1985. Int J Naut Archaeol Underwater Explor 16, 269-70.

SELLWOOD, L.C. 1980: Notes on Nine Ancient British Coins from Bath. British Numis J 50, 1980 (1981), 128-31.

SELLWOOD, L.C. 1983: The Mount Batten Celtic Coins. Oxford J Archaeol 2, 199-211.

SELLWOOD, L.C. 1984: Tribal Boundaries Viewed from the Perspective of Numismatic Evidence. *In* Cunliffe & Miles (eds) 1984, 191-204.

SÉNÉCHAL, R. 1975: Céramique commune d'Alésia, les cruches. (Dijon, Univ Dijon Centre Recherches Techniques gréco-romaine 5).

SHACKLEY, M.L. 1975: Archaeological Sediments: A Survey of Analytical Methods. London.

SHAW, B.D. 1982-83: 'Eaters of Flesh, Drinkers of Milk': The ancient Mediterranean Ideology of the Pastoral Nomad. Anc Soc 13/14, 1982/1983 (1985), 5-31.

SHAW, M.E. 1979: Croydon: Hoard of Iron Age Potin Coins. Surrey Archaeol Soc Bull 159, 3.

SHELL, C.A. 1980: The Early Exploitation of Tin Deposits in South-West England. *In* Ryan (ed) 1980, 250-62.

SHENNAN, S.J. 1982: Exchange and Ranking: The Role of Amber in the Earlier Bronze Age of Europe. *In* Renfrew & Shennan (eds) 1982, 33-45.

SHEPPARD, I. A. G. 1985: Jet and Amber. In Clarke, D. V., Cowie, T. G. & Foxon, A., Symbols of Power at the Time of Stonehenge., 204-16. Edinburgh.

SHERK, R.K. 1974: Roman Geographical Exploration and Military Maps. In Temporini, H. (ed), Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt, II. 1, 534-62. Berlin & New York.

SHERWIN-WHITE, A.N. 1984: Roman Foreign Policy in the East. 168
B.C. to A.D. 1. London.

SILVESTER, R.J. 1986: The Later Prehistoric and Roman Material from Kent's Cavern, Torquay. *Proc Devon Archaeol Soc* 44, 1986 (1987), 9-38.

SIMMONS, B.B. 1980: Iron Age and Roman Coasts around the Wash. In Thompson, F.H. (ed) Archaeology and Coastal Change., 56-73. (London, Soc Antiq London Occas Pap (NS) 1).

SIMPSON, G. 1968: The Samian Pottery, 34-6. In Mountford, A.R., Gee, J. & Simpson, G., The Excavation of an Early Neronian Pottery Kiln and Workshop at Trent Vale, Stoke-on-Trent. N Staffordshire J Fld Stud 8, 19-38.

SIMPSON, G. 1976: Decorated Terra Sigillata at Montans (Tarn) from the Manuscript of Elie Rossignol at Albi. *Britannia* 7, 244-73.

SIMPSON, G. 1979: Some British and Iberian Penannular Brooches and other Early Types in the Rhineland and the 'Decumates Agri'. Antiq J 59, 319-42.

SLATER, E.A. & TATE, J.O. (eds) 1980: Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Archaemetry and Archaeological Prospection, Edinburgh 1976. Edinburgh.

SMITH, C.R. 1852: Roman Remains Found at Mount Bures, near Colchester. In idem, Collectanea Antiqua Vol 2., 25-36. London.

SMITH, H.P. 1930: The Occupation of the Hamworthy Peninsula in the Late Keltic and Romano-British Periods. *Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc* 52, 96-130.

SMITH, H. P. 1934: Local History Research and the School Curriculum. *Proc Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc* 56, 1934 (1935), 11-21.

SMITH, H. P. 1948: A History of the Borough and County of the Town of Poole: Origins and Development 1. Poole.

SMITH, R. A. 1911-12: On Late-Celtic Antiquities discovered at Welwyn, Herts. *Archaeologia* 63, 1911-12 (1912), 1-30.

SMITH, R.A. 1925: British Museum Guide to the Early Iron Age Antiquities. ² London.

SNODGRASS, A. M. 1985: The New Archaeology and the Classical Archaeologist. *American J Archaeol* 89, 31-7.

SOLIER, Y. 1981: Les Épaves de Gruissan. Archaeonautica 3, 1981 (1982), 8-267.

SOTHEBYS 1983: Catalogue of Antiquties, 11-12 July, 1983. London.

SPOKES, S. 1927: Discovery of a Carthaginian Coin near the Caburn. Sussex Archaeol Collect 68, 57-9.

SPRATLING, M.G. 1970: The Late Pre-Roman Iron Age Bronze Mirror from Old Warden. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 5, 9-16.

SPRATLING, M.G. 1972: Southern British Decorated Bronzes of the Late Pre-Roman Iron Age. Univ London, Ph.D. Thesis.

SPRATLING, M.G. 1975a: Fragments of a lorica segmentata in the Hoard from Santon, Norfolk. *Britannia* 6, 206-7.

SPRATLING, M.G. 1975b: The Function of the Bull-head Iron Upright from Stradonice, Bohemia. *Germania* 53, 160-4.

SPRATLING, M.G. 1980a: Observations on the Analysis of the Composition of the Opaque Red Glass ('Red Enamel') of the Pre-Roman Iron Age. *In* Slater & Tate (eds) 1980, 113-25.

SPRATLING, M.G. 1980b: Weighing of Gold in Prehistoric Europe. *In* Oddy, W.A. (ed), *Aspects of Early Metallurgy*, 179-83. (London, Brit Mus Occas Pap 17).

SPRATLING, M.G. 1981: Metalworking at the Stanwick Oppidum: Some New Evidence. Yorkshire Archaeol J 53, 13-16.

SPRATLING, M.G., TYLECOTE, R.F., KAY, P.J., JONES, L., WILSON, C.M., PETTIFER, K., OSBORNE, G., CRADDOCK, P.T. & BIEK, L. 1980: An Iron Age Bronze Foundry at Gussage All Saints, Dorset: Preliminary Assessment of Technology. *In* Slater & Tate (eds) 1980, 268-92.

STEAD, I.M. 1965: The La Tène Cultures of Eastern Yorkshire. York.

STEAD, I.M. 1967a: A La Tène III Burial at Welwyn Garden City. Archaeologia 101, 1-62.

STEAD. I.M. 1967b: A Decorated Bronze Brooch from Verulamium. Antiq J 47, 290.

STEAD. I. M. 1969: Verulamium, 1966-8. Antiquity 43, 45-52.

STEAD. I.M. 1971: The Reconstruction of Iron Age Buckets from Aylesford and Baldock. *In* Sieveking, G. de G. (ed), *Prehistoric and Roman Studies.*, 250-82. (London, Brit Mus Q 35).

STEAD. I. M. 1976a: The Earliest Burials of the Aylesford Culture. In Sieveking, G. de G., Longworth, I. H. & Wilson, K. E. (eds), Problems in Social and Economic Archaeology., 401-16. London.

STEAD. I.M. 1976b: Excavations at Winterton Roman Villa and other Roman Sites in North Lincolnshire 1958-67. (London, Dept Environm Archaeol Rep 9).

STEAD. I.M. 1979: The Arras Culture. York.

STEAD. I.M. 1980: Rudston Roman Villa. Leeds.

STEAD. I.M. n.d. [1981]: The Gauls. Celtic Antiquities from France. (Exhib Cat). London.

STEAD. I.M. 1982: The Cerrig-y-Drudion 'Hanging Bowl'. *Antiq J* 62, 1982 (1984), 221-34.

STEAD. I.M. 1983: La Tène Swords and Scabbards in Champagne. *Germania* 61, 487-510.

STEAD. I.M. 1984a: Some Notes on Imported Metalwork in Iron Age Britain. *In* Macready & Thompson (eds) 1984, 43-66.

STEAD. I. M. 1984b: Celtic Dragons from the River Thames. Antiq J 64, 1984 (1985), 269-79.

STEAD. I.M. 1984c: Iron Age Metalwork from Orton Meadows. Durobrivae 9, 6-7.

STEAD. I.M. 1985a: Celtic Art. London.

STEAD. I.M. 1985b: The Battersea Shield. London.

STEAD. I. M. & RIGBY, V. 1986: Baldock. The Excavation of a Roman and Pre-Roman Settlement, 1968-72. (London, Britannia Monogr Ser 7).

STENICO, A. 1960a: La ceramica arretina, I. Museo Archeologico di Arezzo; Rasinius, I. Milan.

STENICO, A. 1960b: Revisione critica delle pubblicazioni sulla ceramica arretina; liste di attribuzioni del vasellame decorato con rilievi edito fotograficamente. Milan.

STENICO, A. 1966: La ceramica arretina, II. Collezioni diverse; Punzoni, modelli, calchi, ecc. Milan.

STERN, E. M. 1977: Ancient Glass at the Fondation Custodia, Paris. Groningen.

STEVENS, C. E. 1927: Ancient Writers on Britain. Antiquity 1, 189-96.

STEVENS, C. E. 1947: 55 and 54 B.C. Antiquity 21, 3-9.

STEVENS, C. E. 1951: Britain between the Invasions (B. C. 54 - A. D. 43). A Study of Ancient Diplomacy. In Grimes, W. F. (ed), Aspects of Archaeology in Britain and Beyond [Festschrift O. G. S. Crawford]., 332-44. London.

STEVENS, C.E. 1952: The Bellum Gallicum as a Work of Propaganda. Latomus 11, 3-18.

STEVENS, C.E. 1959: Julius Caesar's Elephant. *History Today* 9, 626-7.

STEVENS, C.E. 1980: North-West Europe and Roman Politics (125-118). In Deroux, C. (ed), Studies in Latin Literature and Roman History, II., 71-97. (Brussels, Coll Latomus 168).

STEVENSON, R. B. K. 1954-55: Native Bangles and Roman Glass. *Proc Soc Antig Scot* 87, 208-22.

STEVENSON, R.B.K. 1976: Romano-British Glass Bangles. *Glasgow Archaeol J* 4, 45-54.

STIEREN, A. 1928: Die neue Grabungen in Haltern. *Germania* 12, 70-6.

STIEREN, A. 1954: Das neue römische Lager in Westfalen. *Germania* 32, 165-70.

STÖCKLI, W.E. 1974: Bemerkungen zur räumlichen und zeitlichen Gruppierung der Funde im Oppidum von Manching. *Germania* 52, 368-85.

STÖCKLI, W.E. 1975: Chronologie der jüngeren Eisenzeit in Tessin. (Basle, Antiqua 2).

STÖCKLI, W.E. 1979a: Die Grob- und Importkeramik von Manching. (Wiesbaden, Ausgrabungen in Manching 8).

STÖCKLI, W.E. 1979b: Die Keltensiedlung von Altendorf (Landkreis Bamberg). Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 44, 27-43.

STONE, J.F.S. 1958: Wessex before the Celts. London.

STOPFORD, J. 1987: Danebury: An Alternative View. Scottish Archaeol Rvw 4, 70-5.

STORK, I. 1975: Die keltische Siedlung von Breisach-Hochstetten. Archäol Nachrichten Baden 15, 3-9.

STORK, I. 1984: Überlegungen zur Chronologie spätlatènezeitlicher Potinmünzen am südlichen Oberrhein. *In* Grasmann, Janssen & Brandt (eds) 1984, 420-30.

STRÖM, Å. V. 1980: Björnfäller och Oden-religion. Fornvännen 75, 266-70.

STRONG, D. E. 1966: Greek and Roman Gold and Silver Plate. London.

STRONG, D. E. 1967: Silver Cup. In Stead 1967a, 20-2.

STRONG, S.A. 1916: A Bronze Bust of a Julio-Claudian Prince (?Caligula) in the Museum at Colchester; with a Note on the Symbolism of the Globe in Imperial Portraiture. *J Roman Stud* 6, 27-46.

STUART, P. 1979: Korrierte Datierung des Gräberfeldes O, E, S in Nijmegen (Niederlande). Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 19-20, 288-92.

STÜMPEL, B. 1961: Spätlatènezeitliche Brandgräber aus Ülversheim, Ldkr. Mainz und Armsheim, Ldkr. Alzey. Germania 39, 189-96.

SULLIVAN, R.D. 1980: The Dynasty of Cappodocia. In Temporini, H. (ed), Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt II, 7, 2., 1125-68. Berlin & New York.

SUTHERLAND, C. H. V. 1984: The Roman Imperial Coinage. Vol 1, from 31 B. C. to A. D. 69. 2 London.

SVOBODOVÁ, H. 1983: Bronzové nádoby z keltských Oppi v Čechách a na Moravě. Archeol Rozhledy 35, 656-77.

SWAN, V.G. 1975: Oare Reconsidered and the Origins of Savernake Ware in Wiltshire. *Britannia* 6, 37-61.

SZYDŁOWSKI, J. 1977: Zur Frage der fremden Komponenten in der Dobrodzień-Gruppe. *Przegląd Archeol* 25, 97-134.

TAILLIEZ, P. 1961: Travaux de l'été 1958 sur l'épave du 'Titan' à l'ile du Levant (Toulon). Atti del II Congresso Internazionale di Archeologia Sottomarina, Albegna 1958., 175-98. Bordighera.

TAPONECCO MARCHINI, P. 1974: La fabbrica Pisano di Ateio. Antichita Pisane 1 (2), 3-9.

TASSARINI, S. 1973: La vaiselle de bronze romaine et provinciale au musée des antiquités nationales. (Paris, 29 supp à Gallia).

TASSAUX, F., 1982: Laecanii. Recherches sur une famille sénatoriale d'Istrie. Mel École Franç Rome Antiq 94, 227-69.

TATTON-BROWN, T. 1982: Potins and other Iron Age coins from Canterbury. *Kent Archaeol Rvw* 67-70, 161-4.

TAYLOR, J., PLAT, DU & CLEERE, H.F. (eds) 1978: Roman Shipping and Trade: Britain and the Rhine Provinces. (London, Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 24).

TCHERNIA, A. 1967: Les amphores romaines et l'histoire économique. J Savants (1967), 216-34.

TCHERNIA, A. 1968-70: Premiers résultats des fouilles de juin 1968 sur l'épave III de Planier. Étud Classiques, 3, 51-82.

TCHERNIA, A. 1969: Direction des recherches archéologiques sousmarines. *Gallia* 27, 465-99.

TCHERNIA, A. 1971: Les amphores vinaires de Tarraconaise et leur exportation au début de l'Empire. Archivo Español Arqueol 4, 38-84.

TCHERNIA, A. 1980: Quelques remarques sur le commerce du vin et les amphores. *In* D'Arms & Kopff (eds) 1980, 305-12.

TCHERNIA, A. 1983: Italian Wine in Gaul at the End of the Republic. In Garnsey, Hopkins & Whittaker (eds) 1983, 86-104.

TCHERNIA, A. 1986: Le vin de l'italie romaine. Essai d'histoire économique d'après les amphores. Paris.

TCHERNIA, A., POMEY, P. & HESNARD, A. 1978: L'Épave romaine de la Madrague de Giens (Var), (Campagnes 1972-1975). (Paris, 34 supp à Gallia).

TCHERNIA, A. & VILLA, J.-P. 1977: Note sur le matériel recueilli dans la fouille d'un atelier d'amphores à Velaux (Bouche-du-Rhône). In Méthodes Classiques 1977, 231-9.

TCHERNIA, A. & ZEVI, F. 1972: Amphores vinaires de campanie et de tarraconaise à Ostia. *In* Baldacci (ed) 1972c, 35-67.

TEMPORINI, H. (ed) 1975: Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt, II, 4. Berlin & New York.

TEMPORINI, H. (ed) 1985: Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt, II, 2.3. Berlin & New York.

TENDILLE C. 1981: Mobiliers métalliques protohistoriques de la région Nîmoise: instruments de toilette et vaiselle (IV). Doc Archéol Méridionale 4, 61-82.

THEILLER, W. 1982: *Poseidonios. Die Fragmente.* (Berlin & New York, Text u Kommentare 10, 1-2).

THILL, G. 1967a: Die Keramik aus vier spätlatènezeitlicher Brandgräbern von Goeblingen-Nospelt. *Hémecht* 19, 199-213.

THILL, G. 1967b: Die Metallgegenstände aus vier spätlatènezeitlichen Brandgräbern bei Goeblingen-Nospelt. *Hémecht* 19, 87-98.

THILL, G. 1969a: Frühkaiserzeitliche Gräberanlage bei Nospelt (Krëckelbierg). *Hémecht* 21, 183-90.

THILL, G. 1969b: Fibeln vom Titelberg aus den Beständen des Luxemburger Museums. Hémecht 21, 133-71.

THILL, G. 1970: Frühkaiserzeitliche Brandgräber bei Nospelt (Kräckelbierg). Hémecht 22, 97-103.

THIOLLIER, F. & THIOLLIER, N. 1899: Fouilles du Mont Beuvray, Ancienne Bribracte, Album. Saint Etienne.

THOMPSON, F. H. 1979: Three Surrey Hillforts: Excavations at Anstiebury, Holmbury, and Hascombe, 1972-1977. Antiq J 59, 1979 (1980), 245-318.

THOMPSON, F. H. 1983: Excavations at Bigberry, near Canterbury, 1978-80. Antiq J 63, 237-78.

THOMPSON, F. H. 1986: The Iron Age Hillfort of Oldbury, Kent: Excavations 1983-4. Antiq J 66, 267-86.

THOMPSON, I.M. 1978: The 'Belgic' Cemetery at Allington. Archaeol Cantiana 94, 1978 (1979), 127-38.

THOMPSON, I.M. 1979: Wheathampstead Revisited. Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London 16, 159-85.

THOMPSON, I.M. 1982: Grog-Tempered 'Belgic' Pottery of South-Eastern England. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 108).

THOMSEN, R. 1952: Ancient British Gold Coins Found in Denmark. Numis Chron 6 ser 12, 126-7.

THREIPLAND, L. M. 1943: Excavations in Brittany, Spring 1939. Archaeol J 100, 1943 (1945), 128-49.

TIERNEY, J.J. 1960: The Celtic Ethnography of Posidonius. *Proc Roy Ir Acad (C)* 60, 189-275.

TIERNEY, J.J. 1964: The Celts and the Classical Authors. In Raftery, J. (ed), The Celts., 23-33. Cork.

TIMBY, J.R. 1982: Gallo-Belgic Fine Wares: A Study in Characterisation, Distribution and Development During the First Centuries B.C. and A.D. Univ Southampton, Ph.D. Thesis.

TIMBY, J.R. 1986: First Century Imports and Native Fine Wares. In Woodward 1986, 73-9.

TIMBY, J.R. 1987: The Distribution of Terra Nigra and Terra Rubra to Britain. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 25/26, 291-310.

TIMBY, J.R. & WILLIAMS, D.F. 1986: Petrological Analysis of the Pottery and Clays. *In* Woodward 1986, 93-4.

TIMPE, D. 1985: Der keltische Handel nach historischen Quellen. In Düwel et al (eds) 1985, 258-84. TITE, M.S., BOWMAN, S.G.E., AMBERS, J.C. & MATTHEWS, K.J. 1987: Preliminary Statement on an Error in British Museum Radiocarbon Dates (B.M.-1700 to B.M.-2315). *Antiquity* 61, 168.

TIZZONI, M. 1981: La Cultura tardo La Tène in Lombardia. Stud Archeol 1, 3-40.

TIZZONI, M. 1983: La Gallia Transpadana nel IIe e nel I secolo a.c. In Popoli e facies culturali celtiche. Atti del Colloquio Internazionale, Milano, 14-16 novembre 1980., 139-46. Milan.

TIZZONI, M. 1985: The Late Iron Age in Lombardy. In Malone & Stoddart (eds) 1985, 37-68.

TODD, M. 1967: An Arretine Bowl from Margidunum. Antiq J 47, 284-5.

TODD, M. 1968: The Roman Fort at Great Casterton, Rutland. Nottingham.

TODD, M. 1981: Roman Britain 55 B.C. - A.D. 400. Brighton.

TODD, M. 1984: The Early Roman Phase at Maiden Castle. *Britannia* 15, 254-5.

TODD, M. 1985: Oppida and the Roman Army. A Review of Recent Evidence. Oxford J Archaeol 4, 187-99.

TODD, M. 1987: The South West to A.D. 1000. London.

TOLLER, H.S. 1980: An Interim Report on the Excavation of the Orsett 'Cock' Enclosure: 1976-79. Britannia 11, 35-42.

TOMALIN, D. J. 1973: Reappraisal of a Collared Urn and other Pottery from Barrow 8, Ashey Down, Isle of Wight. *Proc Hampshire Fld Club Archaeol Soc* 30, 1973 (1975), 31-4.

TOMASEVIČ, T. 1970: Die Keramik der XIII Legion aus Vindonissa. (Basle, Veröff Ges pro Vindonissa 7).

TOYNBEE, J.M.C. 1944: Roman Medallions. (New York, Numis Stud 5).

TOYNBEE, J.M.C. 1964: Art in Britain under the Romans. Oxford.

TOYNBEE, J.M.C. 1978: Roman Historical Portraits. London.

TRIGGER, B.G. 1978: Time and Traditions. Essays in Archaeological Interpretation. Edinburgh.

TRIGGER, B.G. 1981: Anglo-American Archaeology. World Archaeol 13, 138-55.

TROW, S.D. 1982a: An Early Intaglio Found Near Circnester. Britannia 13, 322-3.

TROW, S.D. 1982b: The Bagendon Project 1981-1982: A Brief Interim Report. *Glevensis* 16, 26-9.

TUFFREAU-LIBRE, M. 1980: La céramique commune gallo-romaine dans le nord de la France. Lille.

TUFFREAU-LIBRE, M. 1981: L'industrie de la céramique gallo-belge dans la vallée de la Vesle. Bull Soc Archéol Champenoise 1981 (2), 81-93.

TURNER, C., TURNER, R. & MAJOR, H.J. 1983: Layer-de-la-Haye, Malting Barn. Essex Archaeol Hist 15, 1983 (1985), 129-34.

TYERS, P. A. 1980: Correspondance entre la céramique commune de La Tène III du sud-est de l'Angleterre et du nord de la France. Septentrion 10, 1980 (1982), 61-70.

TYERS, P.A. 1981a: Aspects of the Development of the Late La Tène and early Roman Pottery Industries of Gaul and Britain. Univ Wales (Cardiff), Ph.D. Thesis.

TYERS, P.A. 1981b: A Note on the Mica Dusted Vessels. In Partridge 1981, 102-3.

TYLECOTE, R. F. 1962: Metallurgy in Archaeology. London.

TYLECOTE, R.F. 1966: Examination of Cornish Tin Ingots. Cornish Archaeol 5, 32-3.

UENZE, O. 1958: Frührömische Amphoren als zeitmarken im Spätlatène. Marburg a Lahn.

ULBERT, G. 1959: Römische Holzfässer aus Regensberg. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 24, 6-29.

ULBERT, G. 1960: Ein Spätlatènezeitlicher Bronzebecher aus Manching, Ldkr. Ingolstadt. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 25, 69-75.

ULBERT, G. 1971: Römische Bronzeknöpfe mit Reliefverzierung. Fundber Schwaben NF 19 [=Festschrift W. Kimmig], 278-97.

ULBERT, G. 1977: Die römische Funde von Bentumersiel. *Probleme Künstenforsch süd Nordseegebiet* 12, 33-65.

ULBERT, G. 1985: Cáceres el Viejo. Ein spätrepublikanisches Legionslager in Spanisch-Extremadura. (Mainz, Madrider Beiträge 11).

ULRICH, R. 1914: Die Gräberfelder in der Umbegung von Bellinzona, Kt. Tessin. Zürich.

UNZ, C. (ed) 1986: Studien zu den Militärgrenzen Roms III, Vorträge des 13 Internationalen Limeskongresses, Aalen 1983. (Stuttgart, Forschungen Ber Vor- u Frühgesch Baden-Württemberg 20).

VANNACCI-LUNAZZI, G. 1982: Una tombe gallica a Garlasco-Madonna delle Bozzole (Pavia). In Studi in onore di Ferrante Rittatore Vonwiller., 747-65. Como.

VANNACCI-LUNAZZI, G. 1984: Un aspetto della romanizzazione del territoria: la necropoli di Gamoblà-Belcreda (Pavia). Riv Archeol Como 165, 199-275.

VANNACCI-LUNAZZI, G. 1985: Aspetti della cultura tardo La Tène in Lomellina. *In* Malone & Stoddart (eds) 1985, 69-88.

VARLEY, W. J. 1976: A Summary of the Excavations at Castle Hill, Almondsbury 1939-1972. *In* Harding (ed) 1976, 119-31.

VAUSSANVIN, A. 1979: À propos des amphores découvertes à Cersot. Bull Soc Amis Arts Sci Tournus 78, 101-27.

VAUVILLÉ, O. 1912: Fibules gauloises de l'Oppidum de Pommiers (Aisne) et de l'Oppidum situé sur les territoires de Liercourt et Erondelle (Somme). Bull Soc Préhist Franç 9, 303-7.

VEGAS, M. 1963: Vorläufiger Bericht über römische Gebrauchskeramik aus Pollentia (Mallorca). *Bonner Jahrb* 163, 275-304.

VEGAS, M. 1969: Munigua: Römische Keramik des I. Jahrhunderts n. Chr. *Madrider Mitt* 10, 199-250.

VEGAS, M. 1969-70: Aco-becher. Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt 11-12, 1969-70 (1970), 107-24.

VEGAS, M. 1975: Die Augusteische Gebrauchskeramik von Neuss. with Bruckner, A., Gebrauchskeramik aus Zwei Augusteischen Töpferöfen von Neuss. (Berlin, Novaesium 6 / Limesforschungen 14).

VELDE, B. & COURTOIS, L. 1983: Yellow Garnets in Roman Amphorae - A Possible Tracer of Ancient Commerce. *J Archaeol Sci* 10, 531-9.

VENCL, S.L. 1984: War and Warfare in Archaeology. J Anthrop Archaeol 3, 116-32.

VENCLOVÁ, N. 1972: Celtic Glass in Czechoslovakia. Ann des 5e Congrès de l'Association Internationale d'Histoire du Verre., 41-6. Liège.

VENCLOVÁ, N. 1980: Nástin chronologie laténeskych skleněných náramků v Čechách. *Památky Archeol* 71, 61-92.

VENCLOVÁ, N. 1984: On the Problem of Celtic Glass Vessels. Památky Archeol 75, 445-57.

VERMEULE, C. 1963: Augustan and Julio-Claudian Court Silver. Antike Kunst 6, 33-40.

VERTET, H. 1961: Céramique commune de l'officine de St Rémy-en-Rollat, Allier. *Gallia* 19, 218-26.

VERTET, H. 1967: Céramique sigillée tibérianne à Lezoux. Rev Archéol (1967), 255-86.

VERTET, H. 1971: Remarques sur les rapports entre les ateliers céramiques de Lezoux, de la vallée de l'Allier, de la Grafesenque et ceux de Lyon. *Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt* 13, 92-111.

VICHY, M., PONCHET, J. & PICON, M. 1981: Sur l'origine des exemplaires de 'terra nigra' de Roanne. Colloques de Balbigny, Roanne et Saint-Étienne. Archéologie Générale 1977-1978., 211-19. (St Étienne, Centre Étud Foréziennes Archéol 7).

VICTORIA COUNTY HISTORY 1963: Victoria County History of Essex, Vol III. London.

VIDAL, M. 1976: Le Seau de bois-orné de Vieille-Toulouse (Haute-Garonne). Étude comparative des seaux de La Tène III. *Gallia* 34, 167-200.

VIDAL, M. 1978: Plaques à decor orne au repoussé trouvées à Pavillon-Saint-Julie (Aube). Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 29, 303-4.

VIDAL, M. 1986: Note préliminaire sur les puits et fosses funéraires du Toulousain aux IIe et Ier siècles avant J.-C. Aquitania 4, 55-65.

VIÉRIN, J. & LEVA, C. 1961: Un puits à tonneau romain avec sigles et graffiti à Harelbeke. Latomus 20, 759-84.

VIERNEISEL, K. & ZANKER, P. 1979: Die Bildnisse des Augustus Munich.

VOGT, E. 1948: Der Lindenhof in Zürich: zwölf jahrhunderte Stadtgeschichte auf Grund der Ausgrabungen 1937/38. Zürich.

VONS, P. 1977: The Identification of Heavily Corroded Roman Coins Found at Velsen. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 27, 139-163.

WAINWRIGHT, G. J. 1979: Gussage All Saints. An Iron Age Settlement in Dorset. (London, Dept Environm Archaeol Rep 10).

WAIT, G.A. 1985: Ritual and Religion in Iron Age Britain. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 149).

WALDHAUSER, J. 1981: Keltské rotační mlýny v Čechách. *Památky* Archeol 72, 153-221.

WALKER, S. & BURNETT, A. 1981: The Image of Augustus London.

WALLERSTEIN, I.M. 1974: The Modern World System New York.

WALTERS, H.B. 1899: Cataloque of the Bronzes, Greek, Roman and Etruscan in the Department of Greek and Roman Antiquities, British Museum. London.

WALTERS, H.B. 1906-8: The Arretine Vase in the Cambridge Archaeological Museum. *Proc Cambridge Antiq Soc 12, 107-13.*

WANSCHER, O. 1980: Sella Curulis: The Folding Stool, an Ancient Symbol of Dignity. Copenhagen.

WARD-PERKINS, J.B. 1938: The Roman Villa at Lockleys, Welwyn. Antiq J 18, 340-76.

WARD-PERKINS, J.B. 1939: Excavations on Oldbury Hill, Ightham, 1938. Archaeol Cantiana 51, 1939 (1940), 137-81.

WARD-PERKINS, J.B. 1940: The Pottery of Gergovia in Relation to that of other Sites in Central and South-Western France. Archaeol J 97, 37-87.

WARD-PERKINS, J.B. 1944: Excavations on the Iron-Age Hill-fort of Oldbury, near Ightham, Kent. *Archaeologia* 90, 127-76.

WARD-PERKINS, J.B. & CLARIDGE, A. 1979: Pompeii. A.D. 79. (Exhib Cat). London.

WARHURST, A. 1953: A Belgic Burial from Borough Green. Archaeol Cantiana 64, 157-60.

WARNER, R.B. n.d. [1982]: The Broighter Hoard: A Reappraisal, and the Iconography of the Collar. In Scott (ed) n.d. [1982], 29-38.

WATERMAN, D. M. 1948: Excavations at Clausentum 1937-8. Antiq J 27, 151-71.

WATERMANN, R. A. 1974: Medizinisches und Hygienisches aus Germania Inferior: Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Medizin und Hygiene der römischen Provinzen. Neuss.

WATSON, M.-D. 1986: Pontiffroy (Moselle). Sauvetage 1983-1985. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 37, 75-97.

WAURICK, G. 1979: Die Schutzwaffen im numidischen Grab von Es Soumaa. In Horn, H.-G. & Rüger, C.B. (eds), Die Numider., 305-22. (Cologne, Kunst u Altertum a Rhein 96).

WAURICK, G. 1982: Die römische Kettenrüstung von Weiler-la-Tour. Hémecht 34, 111-30.

WEATHERHILL, C. 1985: The Ships of the Veneti: A Fresh Look at the Iron Age Tin Ships. Cornish Archaeol 24, 1985 (1986), 163-9.

WEBSTER, G.A. 1971: A Hoard of Roman Military Equipment from Fremington Hagg. In Butler, R.M. (ed), Soldier and Civilian in Roman Yorkshire., 107-25. Leicester.

WEBSTER, G. A. 1978: Boudica. London.

WEBSTER, G. A. 1980: The Roman Invasion of Britain. London.

WEDLAKE, W. J. 1958: Excavations at Camerton, Somerset. Bath.

WEERD, M.D. DE, 1977: The Date of Valkenburg I Reconsidered: The Redaction of a Multiple Choice Question. *In* Beek, Brandt & Groenman-van Waateringe (eds) 1977, 255-89.

WEERD, M.D. DE, 1986: Recent Excavations near the Brittenburg: A Rearrangement of Old Evidence. *In* Unz (ed) 1986, 284-90.

WEGEWITZ, W. 1982: Ein Bronzeeimer der Spätlatènezeit vom Greifensee. Helvetia Archaeol 13, 39-48.

WEILLER, R. 1977: Die Münzfunde aus der Grabung von Titelberg. Pub Sect Hist Inst Luxembourg 91, 117-200.

WEINBERG, G.D. 1970: Hellenistic Glass from Tel Anafa in Upper Galilee. *J Glass Stud* 12, 17-27.

WELLS, C. M. 1972: The German Policy of Augustus. An Examination of the Archaeological Evidence. Oxford.

WELLS, C. M. 1977a: Manufacture, Distribution and Date: Some Methodological Considerations on the Dating of Augustan Terra Sigillata. *Acta Rei Cret Rom Favt* 17/18, 132-40.

WELLS, C.M. 1977b: L'implantation des ateliers de céramique sigillee en Gaule: problematique de la recherche. *Figlina* 2, 1-11.

WELLS, P.S. 1980: Culture Contact and Culture Change: Early Iron Age Central Europe and the Mediterranean World. Cambridge.

WELLS, P.S. 1984: Farms, Villages, and Cities. Commerce and Urban Origins in Late Prehistoric Europe. Ithaca & London. WERFF, J.H. VAN DER, 1977-78: Amphores de tradition punique à Uzita. Bull Antieke Beschaving 52-53 (1978), 171-200.

WERFF, J.H. VAN DER, 1984: Roman Amphoras at Nijmegen - A Preliminary Report. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 34, 1984 (1986), 347-87.

WERNER, J. 1953: Keltisches Pferdgeschirr der Spätlatènezeit. Saalburg Jahrb 12, 42-52. (reprinted in idem 1979, 54-67).

WERNER, J. 1954: Die Bronzekanne von Kelheim. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 20, 43-73. (reprinted in idem 1979, 68-108).

WERNER, J. 1955: Die Nauheimer Fibel. Jahrb Röm-German Zentralmus Mainz 2, 170-95 (reprinted in idem 1979, 109-37).

WERNER, J. 1961: Bemerkungen zu norischen Trachtzubehör und zu Fernhandelbeziehungen der Spätlatènezeit im Salzburger Land. *Mitt Ges für Salzburger Landeskunde* 101, 1961 [Festschrift M. Hell], 143-60. (reprinted *in idem* 1979, 138-56).

WERNER, J. 1977: Spätlatène-Schwerter norischer Herkunft. In Chropovsky, B. & Dekan, J. (eds), Symposium Ausklang der Latène-Zivilisation und Anfänge der germanischen Besiedlung im mittleren Donausgebiet., 367-401. Bratislava. (reprinted in idem 1979, 165-97).

WERNER, J. 1979: Spätes Keltenum zwischen Rom und Germanien (ed L. Pauli). (Munich, Münchener Beitr Vor- u Frühgesch Erg.-Bd 2).

WESTWELL, W. P. 1935: Bronze Objects found in Hertfordshire. Antiq J 15, 349-51.

WESTWELL, W. P. 1936: Notes: Letchworth Museum. Trans E Hertfordshire Archaeol Soc 9, 362-6.

WHEELER, A. 1981: Fish Bones. In Partridge 1981, 242-3.

WHEELER, R. E. M. 1943: *Maiden Castle, Dorset*. (London, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 12).

WHEELER, R. E. M. 1954a: Rome Beyond the Imperial Frontiers. London.

WHEELER, R. E. M. 1954b: The Stanwick Fortifications. North Riding of Yorkshire. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London 17).

WHEELER, R. E. M. & RICHARDSON, K. M. 1957: Hillforts of Northern France. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London, 19).

WHEELER, R. E. M. & WHEELER, T. V. 1932: Report on the Excavation of the Prehistoric, Roman and post-Roman Site in Lydney Park, Gloucestershire. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London, 9).

WHEELER, R.E.M. & WHEELER, T.V. 1936: Verulamium: A Belgic and Two Roman Cities. (Oxford, Rep Res Comm Soc Antiq London, 11).

WHIMSTER, R.P. 1977: Harlyn Bay Reconsidered: The Excavations of 1900-1905 in the Light of Recent Work. *Cornish Archaeol* 16, 60-88.

WHIMSTER, R. P. 1979: A Possible La Tène III Inhumation from Sutton Courtenay, Oxfordshire. Oxoniensis 44, 93-6.

WHIMSTER, R.P. 1981: Burial Practices in Iron Age Britain: A Discussion and Gazetteer of the Evidence c. 700 B.C. - A.D. 43. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser 90).

WHITE, R.B. 1978: Excavations at Brithdir, near Dolgellau, 1974. In Boon, G.C. (ed), Monographs and Collections I. Roman Sites., 35-62. Cardiff.

WICKENDEN, N.P. 1986: Prehistoric Settlement and the Romano-British 'Small Town' at Heybridge, Essex. Essex Archaeol Hist 17, 1986 (1987), 7-68.

WIDEMANN, F., LAUBENHEIMER, F., ATTAS, M., FONTES, P., GRUEL, K., LEBLANC, L. & LLERES, J. 1979: Analytical and Typological Study of Gallo-Roman Workshops producing Amphorae in the Area of Narbonne. Proceedings 18th International Symposium Archaeometry and

Archaeological Prospection 1978., 317-41 (Cologne & Bonn, Archaeo-Physika 10).

WIDEMANN, F., PICON, M., ASARO, F., MICHEL, H.V. & PERLMAN, I. 1975: A Lyons Branch of the Pottery-Making Firm of Ateius of Arezzo. Archaeometry 17, 45-59.

WIEDEMANN, T.E.J. 1986: Between Men and Beasts: Barbarians in Ammianus Marcellinus. In Moxon, I.S., Smart, J.D. & Woodman, A.J. (eds), Past Perspectives. Studies in Greek and Roman Writing., 189-201. Cambridge.

WIELOWIEJSKI, J. 1977: Research on Roman Metal Vessels in the Last Thirty Years (1946-1975). Archaeol Polona 18, 137-72.

WIGHTMAN, E. M. 1974: La Gaule chevelue entre César et Auguste. In Pippidi, D. M. (ed), Actes du IXe congrès international d'études sur les frontières romaines., 473-83. Bucharest & Cologne.

WIGHTMAN, E. M. 1975: The Pattern of Rural Settlement in Roman Gaul. *In* Temporini (ed), 1975, 584-687.

WIGHTMAN, E. M. 1977a: Military Arrangements, Native Settlements and Related Developments in Early Roman Gaul. *Helinium* 17, 105-26.

WIGHTMAN, E. M. 1977b: Soldier and Civilian in Early Roman Gaul. In Fitz, J. (ed), Limes: Akten des XI Internationalen Limeskongress., 75-86. Budapest.

WIGHTMAN, E. M. 1978: Peasants and Potentates. American J Anc Hist 3, 97-128.

WIGHTMAN, E.M. 1985: Gallia Belgica. London.

WILD, J.P. 1966a: Papyrus in Pre-Roman Britain. Antiquity 40, 139-42.

WILD, J.P. 1966b: Reply to Hodges 1966. Antiquity 40, 309.

WILD, J.P. 1970: Textile Manufacture in the Northern Roman Provinces. Cambridge.

WILD, J.P. 1985: The Clothing of Britannia, Gallia Belgica and Germania Inferior. *In* Temporini (ed), 1985, 362-422.

WILHELMI, K. 1977: Ein 'neuer' zweiter Barrenfund der vorrömischen Eisenzeit nördlich des Rheins. *Germania* 55, 184-90.

WILL, E.L. 1956: Les amphores de Sestius. Rev Archéol Est Centre Est 7, 224-44.

WILL, E.L. 1962: Latin-Stamped Amphoras in the Eastern Mediterranean Area. Yearbk American Philol Soc 1962, 649-50.

WILL, E.L. 1979: The Setius Amphoras: A Reappraisal. *J Field Archaeol* 6, 339-50.

WILL, E.L. 1982: Greco-Italic Amphoras. Hesperia 51, 338-56.

WILL, E.L. 1984: The Spargi Wreck: A Reconsideration. American J Archaeol 88, 264.

WILL, E.L. 1987: The Roman Amphoras from Manching: A Reappraisal. Bayer Vorgeschichtsbl 52, 21-36.

WILLEMS, W. J. H. 1983: Romans and Batavians: Regional Developments at the Imperial Frontier. *In* Brandt & Slofstra (eds) 1983, 105-28.

WILLEMS, W. J. H. 1984: Romans and Batavians: A Regional Study in the Dutch Eastern River Area, II. Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bodemonderzoek 34, 1984 (1986), 39-331.

WILLEMS, W. J. H. 1986: Review of Blagg & King (eds) 1984. American J Archaeol 90, 500-1.

WILLERS, H. 1907: Neue Untersuchungen über die römische bronzeindustrie von Capua und von Niedergermanien, besonders auf die Funde aus Deutschland und dem Norden hin. Hannover & Leipzig. WILLIAMS, A. & FRERE, S.S. 1948: Canterbury Excavations, Christmas 1945 and Easter, 1946. The Butchery Lane Buildings. `Archaeol Cantiana 61, 1948 (1949), 1-45.

WILLIAMS, D.F. 1978: Petrological Analysis of Arretine and Early Samian: A Preliminary Report. *In* Arthur & Marsh (eds) 1978, 5-14.

WILLIAMS, D.F. 1981: The Roman Amphora Trade with Late Iron Age Britain. In Howard, H. & Morris, E.L. (eds), Production and Distribution: A Ceramic Viewpoint., 123-32. (Oxford, Brit Archaeol Rep Int Ser 120).

WILLIAMS, D.F. 1984: The Amphorae. In Cunliffe 1984d, M8: D13-E3.

WILLIAMS, D.F. 1984b: Amphorae from East Bight and Temperance Place, Lincoln. *In* Darling, M.J., *Roman Pottery from the Upper Defences.*, 75-7. (London, Archaeol Lincoln XVI-2).

WILLIAMS, D.F. 1985: Petrological Examination of Amphorae from Colchester Sheepen. *In* Sealey 1985, 153-66.

WILLIAMS, D. F. 1986: The Amphorae. In Woodward 1986, 79-81.

WILLIAMS, D.F. 1987: Amphorae. *In* Cunliffe 1987a, 271-5, M8: B8-12.

WILLIAMS, D.F. & PEACOCK, D.P.S. 1983: The Importation of Olive-Oil into Iron Age and Roman Britain. *In* Blázquez-Martinez & Remesal-Rodríguez (eds) 1983, 263-80.

WILMOTT, A.R. & RAHTZ, S.P.Q. 1985: An Iron Age and Roman Settlement outside Kenchester (Magnis), Herefordshire. Excavations 1977-79. Trans Woolhope Natur Fld Club 45, 1985 (1987), 36-185.

WILSON, A. E. 1938: Excavations in the Ramparts and Gateway of the Caburn, August-October 1937. Sussex Archaeol Collect 79, 168-94.

WILSON, C.E. 1981: Burials Within Settlements in Britain During the Pre-Roman Iron Age. *Bull Inst Archaeol Univ London* 18, 127-69.

WILSON, P.R., JONES, R.F.J. & EVANS, D.M. (eds) 1984: Settlement and Society in the Roman North. Bradford (1985).

WINTER, F.A. 1986: The Celtic Boar Feast: How Barbaric Was it Really? Archaeology 39 (4), 48-52.

WIRTH, S. 1987: Eine spätlatènezeitliche Siedlung im Bergischen Land bei Windeck-Driesel, Rhein-Sieg-Kreis. *Bonner Jahrb* 187, 387-410.

WISEMAN, A. & WISEMAN, P. (trans) 1980: Julius Caesar: The Battle for Gaul. London.

WOODWARD, P.J. 1986: The Excavation of a Late Iron Age Settlement and Romano-British Industrial Site at Ower, Dorset. In Romano-British Industries in Purbeck. Excavations at Norden by Nigel Sunter. Excavations at Ower and Rope Lake Farm by Peter J. Woodward., 44-124. (Dorchester, Dorset Natur Hist Archaeol Soc Monogr Ser 6).

WYNIA, S.L. 1973: 'Aco-bekers' uit Nijmegen. *In* van Es *et al* (eds) 1973, 393-8.

WYNIA, S.L. 1979: Töpfersignaturen auf Pompejanisch-roten Platten: quantité négligeable? Ber Rijksdienst Oudheid Bondemonderzoek 29, 1979 (1982), 425-32.

WYSS, R. 1974: Technik, Wirtschaft, Handel und Kriegwesen der Eisenzeit. In Ur- und Frühgeschichtliche Archäologie der Schweiz, Bd IV. Die Eisenzeit., 105-38. Basle.

YAVETZ, Z. 1984: The Res Gestae and Augustus' Public Image. In Millar, F. & Segal, E. (eds), Caesar Augustus. Seven Aspects., 1-36. Oxford.

ZANKER, P. (ed) 1976: Hellenismus in Mittelitalien. (Göttingen, Abhandl Akad-Wiss Göttingen Phil - Hist Kl, Folge 3, 97).

ZEIST, W. VAN, 1974: Paleobotanical Studies of Settlement Sites in the Coastal Area of the Netherlands. *Paleohistoria* 16, 223-371.

ZEIST, W. VAN, 1981: Plant remains from Iron Age Noordbarge, Province of Drenthe, the Netherlands. *Paleohistoria* 23 (1984), 169-93.

ZEVI, F. 1966: Appunti sulle anfore romane. Archeol Classica 18, 208-47.

ZIMMERMANN, W. H. 1969: Ein keltisches Bronzegerät aus dem Weserkies bei Dörverden, Kreis Verden (Allier). Neue Ausgrabungen Forschungen Niedersachsen 4, 123-30.