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## PART FIVE. Migration.

Effective demographic analysis demands information on the size and nature of migratory movement. Migration affects the population of both the home and receiving countries, modifying the sex, age and marital structure of the population, the fertility and the trends of subsequent growth. The relationship between the natural growth of population and emigration has been pointed out in several European countries where the volume of emigration has been positively related to changes in the natural increase twenty years earlier (79). But in Malta the periods of peak rates of population increase have been followed almost immediately by large-scale emigration. This happened after the first decade of the present century, and again in the 1950's after the post-war rise in the birth rate. It emphasised the extreme instability of the Maltese population within which, for the last fifty years, there has been a large pool of potential migrants waiting for a combination of circumstances through economic opportunity abroad, and Government sponsorship at home, to prompt their departure from the Islands.

A great change has been wrought in the pattern of faltese emigration since the nineteenth century. Then. North Africa and the Levant received over $90 \%$ of the migrants, and remigration was a recurrent response to even the slightest signs of changing conditions in Malta。 Between 1840 and 1890 Price estimated that

returns numbered $85 \%$ of departures ( 80.$)$ : and for this reason above all others, emigration was not $\dot{a} \dot{s}$ successful in reducing population pressure as it should have been. By the turn of the century, people were gradually beginning to be attracted overseas by favourable conditions abroad, as well as being pushed out by conditions of hardship at home. As their spirit became more adventurous the movement spread beyond the shores of the Mediterranean.

Unfortunately, two factors combined to limit the extent of this movement in its early years of rejuvenation. The first was the fact that the realisation of the desire for settlement further afield coincided with mounting world-wide depression immediately prior to, and after the Great War. fifeasures were being introduced to limit the entry of immigrants to the receiving countries, and the American Quota Law of $192 I_{n}$ based upon the numbers living in the United States in 1910, hit Malta particue larly severely. The subsequent hardship caused by the return of many men who could not be joined by their families must be accepted as a direct repercussion of the lyaltese failure to settle in the Americas earlier. Secondly, in the early years of the Maltese emigration to Australia, one of the most unpleasant forms of opposition encountered was racial prejudice exercised against the Maltese by "uninformed opinion".

The counter attack against the anti-Maltese bias was fought
by the Emigration Department, created after the Armistice, which established good relations with the receiving countries on a Governmental basis, and instituted a selective system which operated to control the numbers and types of emigrants leaving Malta. The latter movement was an undoubted success, and in the most recent years of Maltese emigration $n_{n}$ every migrant leaving the Islands has had to satisfy the Emigration Department as to his suitability. The scale of movement has, as a result, been controlled by the policies of the Maltese Government as well as those of the receiving countries. Together they make the arrangements to facilitate the legal entry of migrants, and to an increasing extent in recent years, they are providing a proportion of financial assistance to allow the actual movement to take place

In order to view migration against the broader background of the social, economic and cultural life of the community, it is essential to establish the basic facts of the numbers migrating. the actual years of movement, and also give some indication of the numbers living abroad.

## Chapter Fourteen. The Validity of Migration Statistics.

The problems and pitfalls encountered when assembling migration statistics, before any analysis of migration can be maden are serious (8I). The best Iongoterm statistical assessment of net migration is provided by the relation of natural increase to net increase of population in intercensal periods. This is the most precise measure of net movement, as both census and vital statistics are more accurate than any migration statistics. To proceed beyond this figure and derive estimates of annual movement and the total numbers of migrants and emigrants. the less reliable passport records, and statistics of arrivals and departures, have to be consulted and co-ordinated with emigration statistics.

## Passports

In the absence of any actual emigration records, Price derived his annual estimates of emigration from passport records. Not until the decade 1871-8I did Port statistics of passenger move= ment become available. The passports were useful because they distinguished between natives and visitors, and also they showed the ultimate destinations rather than the first port of call. Although, according to Price, not legally necessary until 1899, it is probable that they were used by almost everyone. They were moreover free, afforded British protection to their possessors in the disturbed conditions of Africa and the Levant, and they were

Virtually insisted upon by the Maltese Government (82)。 Price concluded that $40 \%$ of the total departures, estimated from the numbers of passports issued, were intending emigrants. By combining his table of emigrants with that of intercensal net migration he estimated the total number of re-migrants, and in the light of consular estimates and estimates of the number of returned natives undergoing quarantine in Valletta, he obtained a rough value for annual remigration, modifying the decennial averages with these figures.

In the intervening period, following that studied by Price (1823-1884) and before 1918, more statistics of passenger movement became available. A sample was also taken for this study, of the passport records from 1881 to 1921, and this was related to the total movement. This material (83) raises some queries regarding Price's methods in the interpretation of the earlier passport records.

In the first place there must be some questioning of the way in which the total numbers of departures have been derived. The table below shows that in the following sample years the ratio of passports issued, to the numbers of intending travellers enumerated on them ${ }_{n}$ was $I$ : I. $l_{\text {. Price on the other hand apparently }}$ estimates that twice this number travelled on each passport -o

Table 24．

| Year | No．of passports issued | No．of persons enumerated |  | Persons per passport |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C．F．${ }^{3 .}$ | 嗢．R。 | C．P． |
| 1881 | 1572 | 1787 | 3400 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 1882 | 2224 | － | 4600 | － | 2.1 |
| 1884 | 585 | － | 1200 | － | 2.1 |
| 1897 | 413 | 469 | － | Iol | － |
| 1911 | 871 | 931 | － | I． 1 | － |
| 1915 | 1909 | 1910 | － | 1.0 | － |

Sources：I．Appendix E，table 17
2．Appendix E，table 18
3．Price，Appendix B，para。 15.
He does not mention the actual number of passports issued each year，but only gives the approximate number of persons énumerated on the passports，and there is a need for a further check on the derivation of these figures as they affect his totals of intercensal departures．It must be remembered，though． that although the discovery of an overestimate in Price＇s counts would alter the scale of movement，it would not affect the variations in and trends of that movement．

When passport records are used as sources of emigration data there is also a difficulty in distinguishing between the migrant
and the short-term traveller. Price's method of solving this problem was to assume that most women and children leaving \#alta were intending migrants. These numbered some $20 \%$ of the total. and to them he added another $20 \%$ which he assumed would be a conservative estimate of the number of adult males intending to settle abroad. Together some $40 \%$ of total departures were therefore reckoned to be intending migrants. The analysis of records In the sample years 1881, 1897, 1911 and 1915 (84), does indeed show that in all except the last year, about $20 \%$ of those enume。 rated were women and children, but the destinations of both men and women suggest that the overall proportion of migrants was considerably higher than would appear from Price's statements. In the 1890's fewer than 5,000 passports were issued, whilst the recorded number of Haltese departures from the Islands was over 40,000 (85). Of the 5,000 who did in fact take out passports it would seem very likely that the majority would be those people who intended to settle overseas and were genuine migrants. The small proportion of passports issued for Italy, to which a very large number of people must have travelled, would tend to support this hypothesis. Horeover, despite Price's statement that the use of the passport became legally necessary after 1899, in the minutes of evidence to the Royal Commission in 1912. it was acknowledged that even then not everyone used passports (86), though their cost was only 2/6d. Further, in the decade 190I-IO,

only 4 \& 500 passparts were issued, although the total outward movement was undoubtedly much higher. Because of these difficulties, inherent in the use of passport records, the net trends of movement since 1881 have been estimated in preference, from Customs and Port Department Statistics (Fig. 45), and the absolute movement in intercensal periods has been standardised by the adjustment of these to equal the net migration calculated from the Censuses and Vital Statistics (Fig. 37) 。 Customs and Port Department Statistics.

The first statistics of passenger movements were published in the Census of 188I (87), and arrivals and departures were again published in the Censuses of 1891 (88) and 1901 (89). In the former and latter, distinction was drawn between Maltese and 'other passengers' but not in that of 1891. In 1911, these Returns, which used to be collected from the Masters of vessels. were not published, as they were considered too unreliable. the fault lay in inconsistencies during the enumeration and the explanation was that "whenever a large number of MaItese leave the Islands for a few days on a pleasure trip to Sicily, the number of passengers is omitted from the Returns on the assumption that they return by the same steamer, whilst in point of fact a good many of them return by other steamers and are then registered under arrivals" (90). It can probably be assumed though that an error of this sort would have a consistency which would
allow the yearly variations in the net movement to be still regarded as significant: and it is these which are shown in figure 45. There are new difficulties attached to the derivation of the figures for the next decade. The first wave of largescale emigration began in this period but the large proportion of troop-movements invalidated the Customs returns. The Emigration. Committee , formed after the Armistice, did however assess the extent of prewar emigration and the approximate number of emigrants leaving the Islands in the decade I910/II to 1920/21 was estimated. This estimate has been related to the total net migration in the decade " and the trends of passports issued, to produce the trend of net movement shown in the graph.

Between 1921 and 1931, comparison with the intercensal migration estimate showed that the net movement calculated by the Customs and Port Department underestimated the inward movement, and there were still obvious inadequacies in the statistics. But after 1931, the position improved, and at the Census of 1948 the estimated population based on counts of net migration and vital statistics differed by fewer than 2,000 from the Census total. But another complication was added. After 1921 the increasing proportion of British movement was beginning to obscure the Maltese trends of net movement. This increased in the 1930 's. and it is not until the post 1945 period that maltese, British and Foreign passenger movements were classified separately and accurately。

Figure 46.


Beginning in 1945, the statistics of arrivals and departures become most valuable (Fig. 46). They are now compiled jointly by the Customs and Port Department and the Police Passport Office. All persons travelling to and from the islands, by sea and air, are registered at the Police Passport Office, and they are distinguished by nationality, form of transport, destination and origin. Further improvements which have been recommended for immediate adoption by the Central Office of Statistics, are the detailed analysis of the statistics by age and sex. Heanwhile this serious omission. in the current material reduces its value considerably.

However, given accurate, even if not detailed, passenger statistics, if in the course of a year the number of short-term departures equals the number of short-term arrivals, the difference between the total departures and arrivals will equal the balance of emigrants and immigrants. The recent pattern of specifically "Maltese-born" movement suggests that the shortterm journeys do indeed balance in the course of a year, as most are confined to holiday travel in the summer months (91). Emigration Department Statistics.

As from 1918, "all persons of Maltese birth who express the intention of leaving the Islands to settle abroad, before applying for a passport or visa have to attend at the Government

Emigration Office for registration" (92), and all persons of the
migrant class who return to the Islands are registered as returned migrants (93)。 In principle this scheme should have produced good statistics, and in 1922, the Superintendent of Emigration reckoned it to be "the best emigrant statistical record that has hitherto been devised in any country" (94). It could not, however, avoid the pitfalls which befell all migration statistics collected only in this way. ..

In the first place there is the possibility that some people Would register at the Emigration Office, and even take out a passport but then not Ieave the Island. There would also be people leaving the Island without registering, who at a later date decided to remain abroad. Moreover, the prepar incentives to register were not very high. Passports could be obtained directly from the Lieutenant Governor's Office, and it was not until two years after the Armistice that the passports of bona fide self-declared emigrants first came under the full control of the Emigration Authorities. Apart from this, there were few financial benefits to be gained if negotiations were made through the Emigration Department.

Since 1945, the advantages of subsidised travel have been available to the prospective migrant who registers with the Emigration Department, and the enumeration of emigrants has therefore been much more likely to be complete in this recent period. The actual departures, since the war, are also checked against the
air and shipping manifestos，so the bulk of the movement at least is accurately recorded．Hore dubious is the success attached to the enumeration of＂returned migrants＂。

Before the war their numbers were reported to the Emigration Department，presumably by the Police Passport office，but it is uncertain how a returned migrant was identified．On his outward journey the passport was obtained from the Passport office after he had already passed through the Emigration Department，and it bore no special marks of identification．This would make the separation of returned migrants from short－term travellers par－ ticularly onerous．In the post－1945 era，however，the Police Passport Office have theoretically been able to identify returned migrants more easily，as passports are now stamped by the Emigration Department prior to the migrant＇s departure．

The completeness of enumeration can only be checked by a comparison with laltese passenger statistics for the years since the last War．

Net Migration（Maltese－born population）1945－1956．

| Year | Dept。 of <br> Emigration | Customs and <br> Fort Dept。 | Year | Depto of <br> Emigration | Table 25。 <br> Costoms and <br> Fort Dept。 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1945 | -205 | 891 | 1951 | -7222 | -5868 |
| 1946 | -996 | 92 | 1952 | -4333 | -2909 |
| 1947 | -2142 | -990 | 1953 | -3583 | -2159 |
| 1948 | -3019 | -1526 | 1954 | -10497 | -9559 |
| 1949 | -5260 | -3845 | 1955 | -8146 | -7166 |
| 1950 | -9010 | -7249 | 1956 | -4104 | -2441 |

Total. 1945-1956. Department of Emigration - 57,517 (revised 1958)
II Customs and Port Department - 42,722.

The Emigration Department figures of net emigration are cone sistently between 1,000 and 2,000 greater than the net outward movement registered by the Fort Department. As the reliability of the latter has been confirmed by the Census of November 1957, which showed a difference of less than 600, between the estimated and Census population, the source of discrepancy must be traced through the Statistics of the Emigration Department. There is no reason to suspect a large error in the scale of outward movement of migrants, but the identification and registration of returned migrants is almost certainly still incomplete. It has already been noticed as the greatest potential weakness in migration statistics, and it is evident that even now not all the migrants who come back to the Islands are recorded as such. There is a possibility alson of some double counting of former migrants returning for a holiday, who are not counted as returned migrants. but are recorded on the second outward journey as though they were newly emigrating. Whatever the cause of the discrepancies; it is a problem which demands immediate attentiona

However, without being able to specify the causes of the Emigration Department's over-optimistic estimates of effective emigration, it is possible to suggest in which block of movement the errors lie. In. Figure 47 the pattern of movement recorded

Figure 47.

by the Emigration Department and Customs and Port Department in 1955 and 1956 are compared more closely．There is close agree－ ment between them regarding the scale of movement to Australia。 The movement to the United States and Canada is small，and there are only minor discrepancies within the two sets of figures，which may well be due to a large proportion of the trans－Atlantic passenger movement having to be registered through European inter－ mediate stages．The outstanding feature of the passenger statis－ tics is that they reveal a net inward movement to Malta from Italy（this is apparent also in every year prior to 1955，for which statistics are available）．On further examination this can be equated with a net outward movement from Malta to the United Kingdoma．In 1955，the net inward movement from Italy was 984． and in 1956 it was 1,032 ．In the same years the net outward move－ ments to the United Kingdom were 1197 and 805。 Combined，these represent a net inward movement to Ralta of 14 in the two years． On the other hand，if the Italian movement is not derived from the United Kingdom，it could represent returning migrants from the United States，Canada and Australia，landing in Italian ports on their way home．The persistently large scale of the movement， however，makes this unlikely。 1,000 returned miğrants from these places each year would make an impact in Nalta which has not in fact been felt．

The truth would seem to be that this surplus derives from

Figure 48.

those Maltese who have travelled to Britain and are returning via Italy, and the annual net outward movement to the United Kingdom is apparent rather than real. This statement is a come plete contradiction of the records of the Emigration Department, which declare that the net emigration to the UoK。 in the last two years has been 2,500. The fact is that the total migration has been overestimated by that same amount in those two years, and the Emigration Department would be well advised to look more closely at this movement to Britain, to see if its long-term effect is as great as their statistics suggest.

The contradiction of the Emigration Department statistics does not mean that no migration to Britain occurs. What it does mean is that the period for which people emigrate is short, and relatively few are setting permanently in Britain. The comparative ease with which the translation can be made from HaIta, by a flight of only eight hours, and the existence of an emigrant fare of $£ 7$ (95), instead of $£ 29$, makes the journey particularly attractive, especially as the return can be accomplished for well under $\mathrm{fl4}$ overland. Such a move does not demand the heartsearching of an AtIantic crossing, or a journey to Australia. The ease of travel has in fact given to the movement to the United Kingdom a level of emigration which was characteristic of Maltese migration along the Mediterranean seaboard in the nine-. teenth century.

Even if most of the overestimate can be blamed on the overcounting of the movement to the United Kingdom, that is not the only source of error, for the entire emigrant movement to the U.Ko since 1945, has only been a Iittle over 14:000. On a smaller scale, and impossible to trace, has been the remigration from the remaining countries which have received laltese migrants in the past (Fig. 48).

## Summary of available statistics.

The quality of nearly all the material mentioned has been variously criticised in the preceding paragraph, but the judicious combination of the available sources can still give a detailed picture of the trends of migration. Leaving the period covered by Price completely aside, there remain statistics of net migration for intercensal periods, annual net migration, the statistics of the Emigration Department (after 1918), and from 1945 detailed statistics from the Customs and Port Department. From 1881 until 1948, it is possible to arrive at intercensal totals of specifically Maltese net migration. As a refinement. after 1911, the scale of emigration and remigration can be calculated for intercensal periods, whilst from 1918 onwards, the direction of movements can be elaborated: as a great deal of further information becomes available in the annual reports of the Emigration Department.

Table 26.

| Period | Maltese net <br> increase | Natural <br> Increase | Maltese net <br> migrationd |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1881-91$ | 14,462 | $15,714^{7}$ | -1252 |
| $1891-1901$ | 18,958 | $I 4,952^{7}$ | 4006 |
| $1901-11$ | 26,740 | 26,027 | 713 |
| $1911-21$ | 1,617 | 17,352 | $-15,735$ |
| $1921-31$ | 26,477 | 25,278 | 1,199 |
| $1931-48$ | 62,904 | 65,140 | 2,236 |

r excluding births and deaths of non-Maltese persons. $\oint$ 1901-11 et seq. give minimum estimates of inward/ maximum estimates of outward movement.

This table is useful as long as its limitations are undero stood. The deficiencies in it derive from the lack of a breakdown of natural increase after I901. This means that the Maltese natural increase is being overestimated, and consequently that the derived emigration is also overestimated. Thus in the years 1911-2I., the natural increase of 17,352 includes births to the non-Maltese populationo Those of the births still living in the Islands in 1921 are considered Maltese by the Census definition, but many (being born to a mobile Services population) will have left the Islands in the course of the decade before the Census. but would be included in the "Maltese net migration"。 An estimate can be hazarded at the extent of this element: between 1881
and 1901，about $5 \%$ of all births were to the nonollaltese popu－ lation（96）；in the 2950 ＇s the proportion was about 12\％。 At the Iower percentage，the number of non－Maltese births inciuded in the natural increase would be something under 1,000 ，and at the higher rate nearly 2,000 ，in the decade 1911－21．The number of variables which can operate to change this figure，would make any more precise estimate impossible．That can be said is that the net remigration in the decade was not greater than 15,735 ，and applying the same argument for 1921－31，the net emigration was not less than 1,199 。

This material can be used as it is to proceed a stage further and determine the volume of movement in each direction during the intercensal periods since I9II．

Table 27．

| Feriod | Kaltese net <br> migration <br> （I） | Emigrants <br> （D of E） <br> （2） | Remigranta <br> （I）－（2） | Remigrants <br> （D of E） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1911-21$ | $-15,700$ | 21,000 | 5,300 | $?$ |
| $1921-31$ | 1,200 | 31,000 | 32,200 | 23,900 |
| $1931-41$ | $-2,200$ | 13,600 | 11,400 | 5,400 |
| $1941-48$ | nil |  | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| $1948-56$ | $-42,700$ | 59,500 | 16,800 | 600 |

a．Customs and Port Department，1941－56。
The first three totals of remigrants are derived from the
previous table and they represent the minimum level of inward movement. The totals for the period since 1940 are based upon the ret migration calculated by the Customs and Port Department, and the number of remigrants is derived from the combination of this total with the Department of Emigration statistics of emigrants.

Assuming the accuracy of the counts of outward movement, the extent of the underenumeration of remigrants seems to have been greater in the postwar years than before the war, and larger in the years immediately after the war than recently. Similarly in the decade 1921-31 it is very probable that the least satisfactory counts were those made in the first two or three years.

A recent acknomledgment by the Emigration Department of their own unsatisfactory statistics of returnees is implicit in the Statistical Abstract for 1956, in which the revised estimate of returned migrants for the years 1945-54 has been increased from 2,885 to 4,696 (97)。

## Chapter Fifteen. The Emigration Movement 1900-1939.

## The Control of Emigration.

The formation, in 1907, of the voluntary organisation known as the Malta Emigration Committee (98), preceded the creation of the Government Emigration Department by eleven years. The small group of men, most of whom had travelled widely, collected information that could be made available to anyone wanting to leave the Islands. They gathered the addresses of contacts overseas, details of fares, advice on means of travel, and any other infor: mation which could help the migrant on his journey. The Committee was also eager to advise the prospective emigrant on the best fields for overseas settlement. Two special areas which interested them were Brazil (99), and California (100). The former mas a country offering free passages to migrants, but one in which prospects were uncertain, whilst the latter was an area already recognised as offering a fine future to the man who could afford to pay his passage.

The work undertaken by the Emigration Committee impressed the Royal Commissioners in 1912, and in their summary of recommendations one of the most prominent points was that "systematic emigration on a large scale is the most important of the objects which the Government of Malta must set itself to attain" (101). The means of achieving this were not so clearly outlined, but it was suggested that details of opportunities for overseas settlement
should be obtained from the Emigrants Information Office in London and a Maltese Government Information Bureau be established. There should be a medical examination of intending migrants, and negotiations between Governments should be encouraged.

If the topic of emigration had at that time been placed under the aegis of a single body, or had the existing committee been given the status of a Government-sponsored and approved organisation, progress might have been immediate: but in the circumstances which existed the committee had no official status and no financial backing to allow them to ascertain the precise conditions which would await migrants in the potential receiving countries. They had no authority to carry on inter-governmental negotiations, and could play no part in the interpretation of immigration laws, which was probably the field where most help. could be given to the migrant. Under this "laisser-faire" policy, which ignored the advice of the Royal Cormissioners, no measures were taken to introduce any systematisation of emigration.

Two incidents caused further setbacks to the movement of those early days. In 1912, as part of a Brazilian venture, about thirty families landed in Sao Faulo. The arrangements for them to be met by a Maltese already resident there, broke down, and after a brief and unhappy experience of conditions of hardship and exploitation, for which they were ill-prepared, the sorry group sought repatriation at the expense of the Maltese Government. The

$$
\text { - } 173 \text { - }
$$

results of this venture was most displeasing to the government, especially as it gave critics of the adventurous; and as it proved, ill counselled journey, a perfect opportunity to scorn all suggestions of the wisdom of settlement beyond the Nediterranean. Then in 1916, there followed a scene with even more serious repercussions.

A French emigrant ship Landed in Sydney with over 200 kialtese migrants. Many of them were substandard, mast were unskilled; they had very little money with them and hardly anyone knew the English language. All this happened at the time of Australian conscription: of manpower for the armed forces, and not surprisingly there was an uproar at the importation of this "cheap labour" e So great was the antagonism that the door to further entries was closed and the movement of Maltese into Australia virtually ceased until the embargo was finally broken in 1920 after prolonged negotiations.

Such incidents remained fresh in the minds of the Government when the Armistice came in 1918, and an Emigration Department was created with the primary intention of controlling emigration at the source, in order to save trouble and hardship to the emigrant at the other end. The fulfilment of this aim demanded the intro= duction of a discriminatory Selective System which, as could only be expected, met with opposition for several years. But even within two years there was ample evidence of the wisdom of the
scheme. An increasing number of restrictive laws were being formulated by the main receiving countries, and at such a time the only way of obtaining concessions and quota increases was to prove that the Maltese migrant could be a positive asset to the country who would accept him。

This was the magnitude of the task self-imposed by the Department but the urgent need of its accomplishment was equally great. After the Armistice 15,000 men were discharged from the Naval and Military Establishments in Malta, but only 5,000 of them were re-engaged in the next two years. In those same years over 10,000 left the Islands, most of them skilled workers from the Dockyard, and in the ten years after 1918 nearly 40,000 emigrants departed. Of those who applied to emigrate, about onethird were turned down in Malta, but of those who passed and sailed, all were freely admitted on reaching their destination (102).

Under the Selective System, the Immigration Laws and Regulations of the country of destination were strictly adhered to, and had to be satisfied by the prospective migrant before he was allowed to sail. Typical of the qualities sought in a migrant were those demanded by the Australian Government when in 1920 they relaxed their ban on Maltese immigration and accepted a quota of 260 migrants. These successful candidates had to have a knowledge of English, good physique, an unimpeachable character, and be
suited to the employment-needs of Australia at the time they went. The numbers who could satisfy these requirements were small and out of every hundred applicants only fifty were accepted. Illiteracy, the lack of technical education, the large number of unemployable clerks yearly released from the secondary schools, and a considerable amount of public abuse at home made the task of selection difficult. Apart from all this the migrant had to have the capital to pay for his passage.

Whilst imposing an apparent barrage of restriction, it was imperative that every possible attempt should be made to help the migrant to reach the required standards. Direct financial assistance was considered an unwise measure "as it impairs the initiative of the migrant" (103) : but help was given to the extent of the remission of passport fees, free medical inspection, and free educational instruction to enable the migrant to pass the literacy test. The immediate development of a system by which the emigrant could receive some normal and technical education was pursued with vigour and great foresight, although as alvays this was undertaken with meagre financial backing. Illiteracy, education and technical training.

Of the prospective emigrants in the early $1920{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, $90 \%$ were illiterate, and it had been proved in pre-war migration that the language barrier was one of the most serious to be surmounted. The two main aims of the educational campaign were firstly to
teach the migrant to read, and secondly to teach him English, as the movement was preponderantly to English-speaking countries. The standards demanded vere those which would meet the normal Immigration requirements. In the case of Australia a migrant had to be able to make himself understood in English, and for Canada and the United Statee there was a dictation test of Iiteracy in the language of the candidate's own choice.

Adult evening classes were introduced. Fifty of these were quickly formed and manned by the staff of the Government schools. They provided free instruction both in Malta and Gozo. The teaching of English at these classes met with political opposition, but was justified by the Emigration Department on the simple grounds of expediency, especially as the arrival of Maltese in Australia, "masquerading" as British subjects when they couldn't speak a word of English, had on innumerable occasions provoked great racial prejudices through which, much to the chagrin of the Naltese, they were classified either as South Europeans or worst of all as Africans or "coloured". Even if the Government Emigration Organisation has done nothing more, Henry Casolani, Superintendent of Emigration from 1918 to 1930, claimed that "it had opened the eyes of the people to the national shame and standing reproach of illiteracy that had hung over them for so Iong and was blighting their existence" (104).

The first step towards 'vocatioral training' was made through
the introduction of a horticultural course in 1923. The response was unenthusiastic, but when the Government opened a Migrants' Training Centre at Ghamieri in 1927, it was immediately apparent that this was indeed nearer to satisfying their needs. Courses covered a variety of skilled crafts, and the scope was enlarged as the demand grew. After the 1939-45 war, the classes were revived, and in 1956 nearly 1,000 people of all types were atteno ding evening classes covering eleven different skilled crafts. The latest phase is the extension of facilities provided by an Industrial Training Centre. In comparison with the post-war progress, that which was made before 1939 appears slight, but there is no doubt that the success of the post-mar policies has derived from the early years of experience.

The outstanding personality in the formative years was Casolani, whose great energy and considerable vision guided a Government reIuctant to experiment. In his review of the first ten years of emigration after the Armistice, one of the main subjects he attacked was an educational system which "has for a century been absolutely unrelated to the present needs of the people. .a. Instead of catering for the production of legions of literary men, only a few of whom find their way into the Professions or the Services, we, clearly, should have provided more farmers, more cooks, more waiters, more skilled men." (105). Thirty years later the dearth of skilled minds, especially in the

Figure 49.

sciences, was attributed to the teaching in secondary 8 chools of languages to the exclusion of timetable-space for other subjects (106). In 1957, the full-time secondary-technical education was only available to $1 \%$ of boys leaving primary schools, but by 1963 it is hoped that the opportunities of a technical education may be available to as many as $10 \%$ (107).

As Casolani saw it, there were two types of men who would always be welcomed as immigrants. One was the technician. In this respect, the training provided in the Dockyard stood any migrant, who had served his apprenticeship there, in good stead. The other was "the hardy pioneer - the agriculturalist born and bred on the land in Malta and Gozo". Experience of the nature of the demand in the first decade of emigration showed that courses in Arable, Poultry and Dairy Farming could be profitably pursued, and it was he who advocated the extension of the technical curriculum in that direction. But in 1958, there were still no courses open to a man wanting a technical training in agriculture. It is unnecessary to labour the point any further.

The Receiving Countries.
At the beginning of the Great War emigration from Europe, mainly directed to the United States, reached its peak, and between 1910 and 1914 one million immigrants moved in to the United States annually (Fig. 49). The war marked a crucial turning point in the history of overseas migration. In the twenties
and thirties, the movement progressively shrank to its lowest point in a hundred years, and then the intermar period witnessed the fading and virtual disappearance of mass migration from Europe (I08).

The two immediate causes of the decIine in overseas movement were restrictive legislation and economic depression. In turn, each of the major countries to which lialtese emigrants had directed their attention, imposed their own particular restrictions and by 1923 the flood of migrants from Malta was almost halted. Australia, the United States and Canada dealt severely with the movements, and by 1931 the first phase of Maltese migrac tion to the New World had ended. In the thirties, migratory movements within Europe were increasingly enmeshed with restrictions, and as the North African movement declined further, France took severe discriminatory measures against aliens. Then when the worst of the world depression was over, Maltese migration gravitated towards the United Kingdom where preferential entry was still possible for British subjects (Fig. 48). Australia.

All the nineteenth century attempts to encourage emigration to Australia failed, but by 1911 consultations between the Governments had been renewed with a view to the future encouragement of MaItese migrants especially to the sugar plantations of the Northern Territories. Between 1911 and 1916 the movement to

Australia grew, and totalled between $I_{8} 400$ and $I_{8} 800$. Then followed the unfortunate incident at Sydney which barred further entries. OnIy in 1920, wes an entry quota restored and this amounted to fewer than 300 men, women and children, 2.11 of them carefully selected so that the name of the Maltese migrant would not be prejudiced further.

In I922, a series of conferences were held with the Commonwealth Authorities in London, and these resulted in an interim admission of an additional 400 migrants while the question was referred again to Australia. In 1924, largely through the intervention and assistance of hr. Leopold Amery, the quota was raised to 1,200 per year, and the Iimitations were dictated only by the state of the labour market. In 1925, the movement was voluntarily limited from the $\mathbb{H}$ laltese end to those who were proceeding to assured work, but unemployment grew in Australia and labour conditions never settled sufficiently to allow any more than a very small number of aliens to come into the country until 1945.

Considering the scale of this movement, it is surprising that bitter controversy should have raged over the Maltese migrant in Austrafia. The White Australia policy not only discriminated against Asiatie immigration but it was specific in its European welcome which was virtually restricted to Nordic stock. There was, in popular circles, general agreement that the Southern European was coloured (109), and despite his protestations of

British nationality there was a tendency to treat the Maltese as an alien to be grouped with the Italians. The absence of official representation was not remedied until 1929 when a resident waltese Commissioner was appointed in Australia, but by this time the damage of a smear campaign had already been done. In other than a heated situation caused by the insecurity of employment among the native population, the sort of statements that caused offence would never have been uttered. Typical of the campaign carried on through the Press was a statement by the Rev. G. H. Cowley, in Brisbane, in 1925. He described the Maltese as "strict and economical, they live on threepence a day and their diet is mainly cucumbers and ricecake with a hole in the middle, or beans boiled in linseed oil and limejuice" (110). Such a pronouncement frightened the locals who had always feared the competition of cheap Iabour, and it infuriated the Maltese.

The situation became increasingly gloomy and the workers in the Queensland sugar mills demanded that $75 \%$ of hands should be British (i.e. excluding Maltese). On the plantations also, feelings mounted.

Within a year the campaign subsided, but to counter it the Maltese case had to be broadcast in every State of the Commonwealth (lll). In official quarters the worth of the Maltese migrants was well appreciated. Mr. Jo Howard, Prime Minister of Malta from 1921 to 1923, had said "Left to himself the Maltese
migrant will work wonders; there is no limit to his resourcefulness, for besides brawn he possesses brains. He is thrifty and will live on a crust, and by the sweat of his brow will build a fortune. Let him feel that you are behind him and he will become stagnant, unproductive, and a nuisance to the nearest consul." (112). Mro Bruce, Australia's Prime Minister, praised the Maltese immigrant in like manner, and was at pains to stress that any further discrimination would be regarded as an infringement of the privileges of British subjects (113). In 1926, the tension relaxed, the temper changed and the quality of the Maltese migrant received liberal praise, but there remained signs that the assimilation of the Maltese would never be easy in Australia. United States.

The tradition of assimilation of mixed elements is much more long-standing in the United States, and the success of Maltese colonisation there, even in its earliest stages was never in doubt. But the quota Law of 1921, which discriminated intentionally against Southern and Eastern European stock, succeeded also in smothering the Maltese movement which was building up after the Armistice.

In 1912, the news that there was a great future awaiting anyone coming to the United States was just beginning to spread. Between 1909 and 1912, about 400 Maltese had established themselves in California (II4). There was no limitation on the jobs
in which success could be found as long as a man was prepared to work hard, and the high scale of wages made a deep impression on the migrants writing home. One of them said "a single man need only work in California three months, and the married man six months in a year to make both ends meet." (115). UntiI 1921, Immigration Laws did not prejudice the movement of Hiltese although there were laws barring the entry of "undesirable persons", a literacy test (1917), and provisions restricting the Asiatic entry. After the Armistice the movement from Malta gained momentum earlier than other European countries, and by \#arch 1921 nearly 5,000 Maltese had moved in to the States. Then migration was halted by the Quiota Law.

Designed to limit the scale of entries from Southern and Eastern Europe, the quota Law of 1921 restricted the inward movement from any country to $3 \%$ of the number of persons of that nationality who were resident in the United States at the time of the 1910 Census (116). For Quota purposes, Halta was bracketed with "other Europe" which included Andorra, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Monaco, San Marino and Gibraltar, and between them they were allowed 86 places. Halta's share was 14. This regulation not only meant the virtual end of movement to the States, but resulted in the return of many migrants who could not be joined by their families as long as the quota restrictions were enforced.

This unsatisfactory arrangement persisted, and in 1924 the laws
were revised and the restrictions made even more stringent by changing the quota to $2 \%$ of the numbers born in each country and resident in the United States at the time of the 1890 Census. By a special provision, though, Malta was allowed 10 of the British quota, and within three months this was raised to 96. In 1925. the quota was raised to 200 : but with the proviso that half of the places should be reserved for relatives of United States citizens. In Malta the whole of the quota was devoted towarde reuniting families, and despite slight relaxations in 1929 and 1930 (540 places), emigration to the States ceased to be significant. In 1931, depression discouraged any new movement, and in the remaining years before the war fewer than 300 left Falta each year.

## Canada.

Canada was not as attractive to the migrant as Australia or the United States. The climate was a drawback which diluted Maltese interest, but nevertheless the Lake Provinces received some attention, and contacts had already been established there before the Great war. In 1910, the men wanted in Canada were farmers and farm labourers, But, those going abroad were the une skilled labourers and artisans whilst the agricultural class remained in Malta "as long as they could keep body and soul together (II7):

盖ovement before the war had in fact been far from smooth, but
hesitancy had not been the product of adverse regulations. Until 1921, the Maltese shared with Britain full freedom of entry as long as they complied with the ordinary Immigration Laws and Regulations. There was a sting in the clause nevertheless: an ordinary migrant on landing, had to possess in his own right $\$ 250$ - a requirement beyond the capabilities of most Maltese. In I922, the restrictions regarding "landing money" were removed, and any British subject was admitted as long as he could satisfy the Immigration Authorities he could support himself, and provided he complied with the normal regulations regarding health, Iiteracy and good character. When this new relaxation was introduced, a group of migrants was carefully selected and some left from an English port and were received safely. Others booked through a French port of embarkation; they were stopped by a Canadian agent in Paris who queried their British nationality and he eventually sent them back to Malta. All Maltese migration to Canada was immediately suspended and much correspondence between the two Governments followed. Then in 1923 a Canadian Privy Council Order effectively excIuded the Haltese from further enjoying the privileges of British subjects. Henceforth Malta was able to gain entry only under the regulations applicable to aliens, by which a) agriculturalists with capital, b) farm hands going to assured work and c) female domestic servants, were assured of permission to land.

The result of these restrictions was to cut movement to a minimum and there was no change. in the situation until the visit of a Goodwill Mission to Canada in 1948. United Kingdom。

In the twenties and thirties the United Kingdom was not considered very seriously in terms of an outlet for migrants from Malta, but was rather thought of as an intermediate basis for migrants bound for more distant destinations. Much of the movement to Britain was reckoned to be of seamen looking for employment in the Royal or Merchant Navy, but statistically at least the Emigration Department was convinced that a Iarge number (2,000 in ten years since 1918) had been absorbed by the United Kingdom. In the thirties, the movement grew as opportunities of direct entry to the Dominions and United States declined.

## North Africa.

This was the traditional nineteenth century destination of the Maltese emigrant. Many settled permanently in Tunisia and Algeria, becoming naturalised, but others never severed their connections with Malta.

Algeria was occupied by the French in 1847. In 1881, Tunisia was acquired by them, and the pace of continental European colonisation of North Africa increased. In 1911, Libya became an Italian colony and emigration to North Africa, which fell during the Maltese boom period never really recovered in the face of
competition in commerce and on the labour market. It was no Ionger "open territory". Despite the declining Maltese interest the movement to North Africa remained about 1,000 a year until the thirties, and there were no restrictions on immigration, although after 1926 increasing numbers of permanent settlers were becoming naturalised in order to gain the benefits of favourable legislation reserved for those who claimed FrenchoAlgerian nationality. The tradition of seasonal movement of labour was also sustained by the signature of an agreement in 1929 with the 'Office Gratuit du Placement des Francais en Tunisier, who engaged workers in Malta for whom they assured employment in Tunisia。 But, the high levels of returns registered even by the Emigration Department is sufficient to show that the permanent relief to population pressure offered by this outlet was slight. Egyot.

Once a land of middle-class opportunity, and the haven of the Maltese businessman, since the Treaty of Independence signed in 19:24 Egypt had offered only limited opportunities. In the thirties, the only movement to Egypt was of seamen, many of whom signed on British vessels at Alexandria and Port Said.

## France.

Following the Great War, France received a large number of migrants from most other European countries amongst whom the Maltese were a noticeable element. Even in the war, they had gone
to France as labour gangs, and they played a part in the post-war reconstruction of the devastated regions of the North-East. In 1919, between 700 and $I_{" 000}$ moved in to the "liberated regions" on good labour and wage conditions (118). Most of the remainder Who emigrated lived in the south within the Marseilles area, working as seasonal labour in the vineyards, or in the docke sugar refineries and salt beds.

## South America.

On various occasions interest has been expressed in the possibilities of establishing Maltese colonies in South American but never have any of the pioneer settlements met with much success. The failure of the Brazilian venture in 1912 was the last serious attempt at breaking into these new fields. Since then, diplomatic contacts have been maintained with the Governments of Brazil and Argentina without any schemes of emigration materialising. Cyprus and the Mediterranean enclaves.

Eighty years ago, Cyprus offered a golden opportunity for Maltese settlement. A man of vision, Sir Adrian Dingli said in 1878 "It was in Britain's interests to fill up the empty spaces of Cyprus - at present less than one-tenth as densely settled as Malta - with loyal British subjects. Were Cyprus left to itself。 an immigration of "Greeks or reputed Greeks" would fortify the Greek element already there and completely swamp the Island's Ottoman character. Such persons, with their Hellenic Ianguage,
religion and customs, would inevitably feel themselves drawn to the main body of Greeks elsewhere. This Hellenic sentiment would some day cause trouble to Great Britain, particularly in time of war" (II9). On this occasion, despite warnings, a spot renowned for its fertility and unhealthiness was chosen to receive nine families as a sample colony. After three months they succumbed to malaria and most of them, stricken with panic, were repatriated to Malta.

In 1922 and 1927, further schemes were proposed, and ultimately rejected because of Maltese wariness of malaria, Turkish tenure rights, and other unfavourable conditions (120)。 It would have been interesting for Dingli to see the situation in Cyprus today, when all has happened as he forecast. The vacuum has been filled by Greece, and the recent turmoil is too well known to merit any further comment. It fould have been so different.

Other small enclaves in various parts of the Mediterranean are now only of historical interest; among them are communities in the Greek islands and Turkey, the main centres being Constantinople, Smyrna and Corfu, Cephalonia and Zante (121).

## Chapter Sixteen. Emigration after 1945.

When the war ended in 1945 nearly thirty years had elapsed since the great wave of emigration that followed the shock of unemployment after the Armistice. In the 1920 's the desirability of emigrating to the United States or Australia rather than North Africa was generally accepted, but first restrictive legislation and then depression curtailed movement. During the war, in every year except 1942, the population continued to grow, and in 1943 a committee was delegated to prepare for postwar emigration. Prospective migrants were registered and the numbers on the lists grew until shipping gradually became available for their dispersal. By the end of 1946 nearly 12,000 people had expressed the desire to emigrate although opinions were divided over the most attractive destinations.

Numbers of registered prospective migrants.
Table 28.

| Destination | $3 I_{.12 .46}$ | 31.3 .48 | 31.3 .49 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Australia | 5203 | 15137 | 20457 |
| Canada | 1205 | 2854 | 15030 |
| South Africa | 225 | 221 | 221 |
| New Zealand | 28 | 27 | 28 |
| United States | 5143 | 4739 | 4639 |
| Argentine |  | 1745 | 1745 |
| Total |  | 24723 | 42120 |

- 191 -

By the latter part of 1947 fewer than 4,000 of these people had left the islands, and of those who did go, 2,500 left for the United Kingdom. In March 1949, the numbers on the registers had increased to more than 40,000 , but the actual outward movement was still disproportionately small.

The principal reasons for the slow progress in the first three postwar years were, according to the Department of Emigration, the international shortage of shipping, a housing shortage in Australia and the absence of a United States Consul for Malta (all Visas had to be obtained personally from the American consulate in Tunis). However, the slow start did not unduly worry the Government. There was full employment and a large labour force was engaged in the extensive programe of reconstruction. The expenditure on building, with funds allocated by the War Damage Comnission, was large. By March 1946, £7,500,000 had been distributed as compensation for war damage and most of it was invested in reconstruction。

It was only after the return of representative government and the election of Ministers in November 1947, that the emigration drive once more emerged as an essential part of government policy. Reviewing the situation, it was recognised that unemployment would grow as the various schemes of postwar reconstruction were completed, and the labour market would be swollen by the influx of the younger generation at a faster rate than could be absorbed in
jobs vacated by retirements (122). Within a year a Goodwill Mission was nominated to visit Canada, the U.S.A. and Australia, and discuss the problers impeding the emigration movement. The Mission returned feeling well-satisfied. The United States promised that the consular difficulties would soon by surmounted (aIthough it was not until March 1950 that the consulate was re-opened), Canada agreed to receive a large contingent of migrants as soon as possible, and in Australia the topic of financial assistance was discussed. The subsequent implementation of the Malta/Australia Passage Assistance Agreement, and the introduction of a Passage Assistance Scheme covering the remaining countries, gave rise to an increased migration flow which bore witness to the importance of the decision to subsidise migrant travel on a larger scale. Since then, the policy of financial assistance has proved itself to have been the vital factor that brought success to the postwar emigration movement which has seen a net migration from Malta of over 40,000 between 1948 and 1956 (see Fig. 45).

## Financial Assistance.

The desirability of giving financial assistance to migrants had been discussed long before it first became available on a significant scale in 1949. Before the war, a fund administered under the Emergency Unemployment Loan Scheme was available to deserving persons for whom employment was not available in MaIta, and who wished to emigrate. Repayment was, however, expected
within two years, and the expenditure in loans rarely totalled more than $\& 1,000$ in any single year. The cases towards which help was extended were rare, and much of the money was given to seamen to enable them'to proceed to foreign ports. But even this limited help met with opposition from Casolani, who was personally convinced that any man of initiative could find the money to emigrate without resorting to government funds, which he said would never be repaid, anyway. As he forecast, the number of loans which were repaid was small.

However, it was from the E.U.L.S. that a postwar emigration fund first materialised. In August 1946 the old scheme was revised; and the Board of Administration reconstituted. Grants became available to a broader range of people, namely, ordinary emigrants; dependents of Maltese living abroad, and seamen. A sum not exceeding $75 \%$ of the passage money could be applied for by "ordinary emigrants who cannot obtain employment in Malta, have insufficient to pay their own passages and are eligible for admission to the countries to which they wish to proceed. Grants on loans, not exceeding half the passage money, could be claimed by depend living abroad is not able to pay, and can provide living accommodation" (123). Seafaring men in distress could claim 75\% of the passage money to proceed to an overseas port where they might have better prospects of signing on。

The provision of grants rather than loans was a distinct sign of progress, but the scale of assistance was extremely limited, and no more money was actually spent than before the war. It lapsed on the election of linisters in 1947 and was replaced by the Passage Assistance Scheme. Under this latest programme, grants not exceeding $75 \%$ of the cost of the passage would again be provided, but on a far more generous scale "to suitable, necessitous and deserving cases with good prospects of employment abroad on condition that if they return to Malta within two years from the date of their departure, the amount advanced will be refunded" (124). Funds for the scheme were provided too late for the benefits to be felt in the financial year 1947/8, but immediately after the close of the year the Scheme financed the movement of 500 workers to Canada. Then, in May 1948, the Lalta/ Australia Passage Assistance Agreement was aigned, and further use of the funds was made to meet the commitments of this Agreement Which came into effect as from the lat January 1949。

By the special arrangements of the latter Passage Assistance Agreement, the migrant to Australia received equal contributions from both Governments. The Australian Government, however, stipulated a maximum contribution of $£ 30$, to be covered by a like amount from the Government of Malta, which meant that the personal contribution of the migrant, to secure a passage, would be in the region of £50. Meanwhile, under the Passage Assistance Scheme,
migrants to other countries still received assistance on a $75 \%$ basis. The heavy contribution demanded from the migrant to Australia continued until 1953, when relief was provided directly by the United Kingdom Government for the first time. The terms of the agreement were that assistance, up to a maximum of £200,000 annually, towards emigration from Nalta, would be given by covering two-thirds of the cost of the emigration programe after excluding the contribution of Australia. With this new source of revnue it became possible to extend aid in the following ways - a) to reduce the contribution demanded from migrants to Canada and Australia to only ElO O , b) to make an allowance of from £20 to £ 30 to the dependents of migrants, to tide over the period of the voyage, c) to provide for the appointment of Migration Officers and Agents in Australia to do welfare work and open up new areas for Maltese settlement and d) to provide for the appointment of a Malta Government Representative in Canada。

Following improvements in the employment situation in Australia, in 1954 it became apparent that the United Kingdom grant for that year would be inadequate. Then, in May, Her Majeaty's Government agreed to increase their contribution for 1954/5 to £427,000, and in November their total authorised contribution was further raised to $£ 569,000$. In addition, the Colonial Development and Welfare Fund agreed to make a supplementary contribution of £ 400,000 to finance vocational training for prospective migrants.

Pigure 50.


By the accumulation of these grants the total direct and indirect assistance for migrants rose from Al , 200 in 1946/7 to $£ 1,378,000$ in the peak year of 1954/5.

Since 1954, the Passage Assistance Agreement has been renewed annually, and the United Kingdom grant has been maintained at the level agreed in 1953. This has meant that the level of emigration has not in any year been limited by the lack of financial backing。 Passage assistance is available to all persons born in the Islands, or who belong to the Islands by reason of seven years continuous residence, and to their dependents, provided they are deemed to be suitable for settlement overseas (125), but the decision to grant assistance is subject to a means test (126)。 From a limited aim directed only towards the subsidy of travel, the programme now also caters for the maintenance of representatives abroad, the provision of allowances for families left in Malta and most recently the payment of special gratuities to Government employees proceeding to Australia or Canada.

Figure 50 illustrates the extension of passage assistance since 1948. The scale of expenditure has varied with the total movement but the per capita expenditure on assisted migrants has risen from 240 in 1948, to more than $\begin{gathered}\text { £ } 70 \text { in both } 1955 \text { and } 1956 . ~\end{gathered}$ The burden of contributions has lain heavily upon the United Kingdom since 1953. $60 \%$ was derived from that country, $30 \%$ from Malta, and $10 \%$ from Australia in 1956. The maximum Australian
contribution was 2282,918 in 1954; in 1956 it had fallen to £51,633。

The percentage of migrants receiving financial assistance has increased from 44\% in 1948, to $77 \%$ in 1956. Since 1949 over $90 \%$ of migrants to Australia have received assistance. More than $80 \%$ of migrants to Canada have been assisted in each year, although there has been a decline from a maximum of $98 \%$ in 1949, when most of the migrants were State-sponsored. The percentage of migrants to the United Kingdom receiving assistance has grown steadily, and since 1954, $50 \%$ have benefited from subsidies. Passage assistance is not extended to migrants to the United States. primarily because the small quota allowed into that country does not permit any encouragement of movement.

The most heavily subsidised migrant is the man going to Australia. The average per capita expenditure has risen from
 migrant to Canada has benefited to a lesser extent but assistance of $£ 30$ in 1949 has risen to f 56 in 1956. The migrant to Britain has had an increase in subsidy from £9 to $£ 15$ in response to an increase in the air fares.

## The Nomination and Sponsorship of Migrants.

There is a special clause in the Australian Passage Assistance Agreement which specifies that assistance is only available for nominated migrants. Nomination can be achieved in three ways:-
a) personal nominees b) group nominees c) Commonwealth nominees. Among the first two groups are those nominated by friends and relations, and migrants for whom employment and accormodation are guaranteed by private employers or the State Government. The last group includes workers selected against overall known or assessed employment demands. Nost of these people would be obliged to live in Government hostels until private accommodation could be found, and the Commonwealth nomination was designed to allow the entry of persons with no previous contacte in Australia. The nomination of migrants by friends and relatives accounted for all the prewar migration to Australia, and for the bulk of the present movement (see Appendix E, table 25) but on a variety of occasions the Commonwealth nominees have been introduced to Australia less successfully. In 1949, a number of migrants, having been directed to employment in Canberra, left that which had been allotted to them, apparently because of difficulties over housing accommodation (127). There was a lack of responsibility and of the sense of their obligations, a sense which would probably have been more highly developed had they been able to make their own arrangements for employment. On occasions too, Canada has made requests for specific numbers of workers to be admitted as Government nominees. 500 Government-sponsored workers arrived in Canada, in a single batch, in 1949. But there were no friends and relatives to receive and help them, and the diffio
culties of finding housing accommodation appeared insurmountable。 Some of them tried a few other places before settling down, but one-fifth of the total returned to Malta (128). The warning of the difficulty of absorbing people was noted, and the next contingent of Government-sponsored migrants to Canada arrived over a period of several months to facilitate settlement.

Canada is a country with only a limited experience of Maltese immigration. The number of people in a position to make personal nominations is small, and in this direction at least, the perseverence with Government-sponsored migration would seem merited, as it can soon lead to independent settlement. Between 1952 and 1956, $20 \%$ of the migrants to Canada were Government nominees; on the other hand only $20 \%$ of those going to Australia were Commonwealth nominees. The distinction between the two may be taken as a reflection of the newness of the lialtese emigration to Canada, and of the firm establishment of the Maltese in Australia.

## The Receiving Countries.

The earlier discussion of emigration before 1939 showed how there was a strong tendency towards the restriction of movement into the receiving countries. In the 1930 's only the United Kingdom increased ber intake of Maltese migrants, whilst movement to Australia, the United States and Canada was barely perceptible, and that to North Africa fell noticeably each year. When the pattern of postwar emigration emerged it was apparent that there
was no further Maltese interest in settlement in North Africa。 Gradually, Australia and then Canada began to make positive bids to attract migrants, but the Maltese showed a distinct preference for Australia, and with the help of assisted passages a considerable movement evolved. The desire to migrate to the United States was still strong, even after twenty-five years of restric. tive legislation. A quota system still operated but it was possible to gain entry on the unused portion of the British allorance. Then the McCarrenmiliter Act was introduced at the end of 1952 and once again the United States were virtually closeda. From that time, movement to the United Kingdom increased but the Australian intake continued to represent the most significant contribution to emigration from MaIta。
Australia.
The Passage Assistance Agreement of 1948 provided an opportunity for migration to Australia on an unprecedented scale. Full advantage of this was taken and movement into Australia rose to a peak in 1954, after a lapse in 1952 and 1953 caused by a partial recession in employment there. After the heavy emigration of 1954 the Australian Government requested that not more than 5,000 migrants should be sent to Australia in the year ending June 1956 and stated that they were only prepared to assist the immigration of wives and children, up to a maximum of 3,000 , in that year.
In order to work to these limits the MaItese Department of


Emigration selected the remaining 2,000 from among the relatives of migrants already in Australia. Again in late 1956, the number of migrants fell but as a result of better conditions in Malta rather than the imposition of further restrictions by Australia. The Passage Assistance Agreement was extended in 1957 and again in 1958, and on a number of occasions an Australian Technical Selection Officer visited Malta to select workers as Commonwealth nominated migrants. These visits also allowed the exchange of views on emigration prospects to the Australian territories.

## United States.

It was not until March 1950 that an American Consul was appointed in Malta and the acquisition of visas without making a journey to Tunis became possible. At that time a quota system still operated to control the entry of migrants to the United States (a modification of the 1924 Act), but lialta was allowed to use the surplus quota of the United Kingdom. In three years to the end of 1952, 3,000 migrants left for the United States. On the 24 th December 1952, the McCarren-Walter Act came into force. This restricted the use of the United Kingdom balance to 100 a year, and the situation remembered from 1921 was repeated; migrants were once more separated from their families without any prospect of being reunited (in America at any rate) in the foreseeable future. Representations were immediately made in

Washington, and in 1954, at the Eighth Session of the InterGovernmental Committee for European Migration, the United States Delegation requested details of those relatives who were unable to join settlers in the UoSoA. Accordingly, all relatives were invited to register at the Department of Emigration. Within three weeks it was possible to send a list of 4,900 names to the delegation (129).

But, despite the promise of sympathetic consideration for the Maltese case there has beer no further progress and the restrictions remained unmodified in 1958. In two years after 1954 fewer than 500 migrants Ieft for the United States. Wives and the minor children of American citizens were granted visas over and above the 100 limit for the Islands. Unless naturalised, the migrantis prospect of being joined by his family are small. Canada.

It is difficult to assess the success of emigration to Canada. The experience of the postmar years has shown that it is possible for the Maltese to settle there successfally, but mavement has never been sustained at a very high level. In 1951, 1,600 migrants departed for Canada but fewer than $I_{0} 000$ have gone in each year since then. Minor difficulties have been experienced with the Canadian Immigration Authorities and in 1953 a Haltese Government Representative was appointed to Canada with the task of presenting Maltese problems and requirements to the Canadian

Government, as well as acting as liaison for the immigrants, and exploring new prospects for settlement. Group migration under Government sponsorship has been an important feature, and large groups came into Canada in 1949, 1954 and again in 1957。 When sufficient time has passed for these groups to become established it is hoped they will attract other members of their families. At present group nominations account for a fifth of the total movement.

## United Kingdoma.

After the movement to Australia, perhaps the most striking feature of postwar emigration is the position held by the United Kingdom which is second in importance to Australia. The movement of British subjects into the United Kingdom is unrestricted and over 15,000 Malteae have come to Britain aince the war. The proportion who remain is uncertain but there are grounds for believing that this is a short-term movement only and it is sometimes used as an intermediate stage on the way to North America。 The recorded remigration from the United Kingdom is not unduly high (U.K. 14.1\% Canada 14.1\%, U.S. 12.1\% Australia 9.1\% in 1945-57) although the evidence of the United Kingdom censuses (130) corroborates the belief that the net immigration is exaggerated. The emigration statistics of movement to the United Kingdom were discussed in an earlier chapter and most of the evidence suggests that this is a large two-way movement rather than
one which shows a specific bias of movement from Malta。 Other Countries.

The spasmodic interest in other areas which has appeared at intervals was directed towards Argentina in 1945 . Then the Argentinian Government offered to pay the passages of selected persons to be chosen by their representative who would wisit Malta The number they required was not specified and subsequent negotiations although prolonged did not have any material resultso Since 1948, no more has been heard of the Argentinian scheme.

In. June 1955 New Zealand negotiated a passage assistance agreement with Malta for 100 migrants. It was late in 1956 before the first four migrants left Malta, but despite reports that they have settled down well they have not been followed by others.

## Chapter Seventeen. Recent migration trends o age, sex and occupations of migrante.

For nearly ten years after 1947 every effort was made by the Maltese Government to provide a high level of emigration. A Migration Conference of the International Labour Office at Naples in 1951 agreed that 120,000 migrants should leave Malta within the next ten years (13I). It was 1954 before the recommended level was actually achieved for the first time ${ }^{\text {g }}$ yet in 1957 the Minister of Emigration stated that if the level of outward move。 ment in 1956 had shown a tendency to exceed 5,000 it would have been necessary "to restrain the flow" (132). The factors which produced this "volte face" were related to the introduction of a programme of economic expansion under the Mintoff Government. As part of this scheme Harbour improvements and the establishment of an industrial estate at Larsa were planned for the immediate future (133) and it was anticipated that they would provide employment for an augmented labour force. The emigration policy for the past years, however, had witnessed the exit of a high proportion of the young men with the most skill and initiative. Added to this, in 1956 there was a decline in the available male iabour force remaining in the Islands. These features worried the Government who feared that there would not only be an absolute insufficiency of labour to meet the future requirements, but also there would be inadequate resources of skilled manpower. Further-
more, it seemed that the accelerated technical training programe organised in lalta was going to be of more help to Australia and Canada than to MaIta herself unless more skilled men were retained in the Islands.

The analysis in the following pages reviews the pattern of migration since 1950. The period considered covers the years when postwar emigration was at its height and during which the Government at first favoured and then retracted from its policy of mass emigration. Using Emigration Department statistics, the age, sex and occupational structure of the migrants are discussed in some detail.

## Age and sex of migrants (1951-1956).

Since 1951, an age and sex analysis of emigrants from Halta has been produced annually by the Department of Emigration. No equivalent analysis of remigrants is made, so the review of the structure of migration must be limited to outward movement only.

The mast important trend in the postwar period has been the decline of male emigration, which passed from a peak in 1948/9 when men accounted for two-thirds of the movement, to a complete reversal of the position by 1956 when only one-third of the migrants were men and two-thirds were women and children.

| $\ldots$ | $1948 / 9$ | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men (\%) <br> Women ${ }^{\text {and children }}$ <br> (under 14) $(\%)$ | 63 | 51 | 52 | 41 | 51 | 56 | 39 | 36 |

In the early years of the movement after the war, the buik of the migrants were men, many of them married, who intended to be joined by their families once they became established overseas. Consolidation of these families really began in 1952 when restriction in the movement to Australia was imposed by the Australian Government and preference was given to the wives and children of migrants already abroad. In 1954 the scale of emigration revived but comparatively few men left in the following two years. The increased employment during the Suez crisis of 1956, and the promise of better opportunities in Malta under a Labour Government restrained further emigration. The figures for 1957, by which time news of the industralisation programme had travelled abroad。 showed a record level of returns and a very small outward movement. These trends were plainly illustrative of a desire to remain in Malta as long as employment could be guaranteed.

In figure $5 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ the details of the migration by age, sex and marital status, and the annual trends are shown for each of the major destinations. The annual trends show that the decline of emigration as a whole and of men in particular although true for the United States, Canada and Australia is not applicable to the United Kingdom which receives an abnormally high percentage of men, and has maintained the level (nearly $60 \%$ of the total movement) since 1951. On the other hand whereas $70 \%$ of the migrants to Canada in 1951 were men, they amounted to less than $40 \%$ in 1956.
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An important index to the permanence of movement is shown by the percentage of married women (and children) emigrating. In the case of the United Kingdom they represented little over $10 \%$ of the total, and were exceeded in numbers by the unmarried women. In contrast, the movement of married women to the United States showed an increase from 20\% in 1951 to $33 \%$ in 1956. The, Canadian percentage also rose, but Australia maintained a steady $20 \%$. Australia and the United States received the greatest proo portions of children = the United Kingdom received the least. The combination of three factors - the small number of married, and large number of unmarried women, and the low level of child migration are strong indices of the impermanence of movement to the United Kingdom which contrast vividly with the pattern of movement to Australia.

The age-pyramids in Figure $5 \mathbb{1}$ represent the population under 60, in quinquennial age-groups, and show the ages of migrants at the time of their departure for Malta, i.e., in the period 1951. 1956, 3,100 of the male migrants to Australia were betmeen 15 and 20 when they left Malta.

The most striking features of the pyramids are the high proportion of migrants between 15 and 35 , and the low numbers of children of secondary-school age. $78 \%$ of the emigrants over 15 were under 35.

Migrants over 15, 1951-1956.
Table 30.

| Age | Sex | Australia | Canada | United Kingdom | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage | $\mathbb{M}$ | 79 | 86 | 78 | 68 |
| 15-34 years | F | 75 | 81 | 70 | 69 |

Canada had the greatest emphasis on youth, and was followed by Australia and the United Kingdom. The United States had a high proportion of emigrants over 25 but very few young men under 25, Australia on the other hand received an exceptionally large number of men under 20 , and the commonest age at which men emigrated was between 15 and 25 in all cases except the United States
(1) Age of emigrants, 1951-1956。

Table 31A.

| Age Group: | Male | Female | Total |  | Percentages |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under 15 | 6,685 | $6, I 86$ | 12,871 | 25.7 | 38.1 | 30.4 |
| I5-34 | 15,285 | 7,378 | 22,663 | 58.8 | 45.4 | 53.8 |
| Over 35 | 4,020 | 2,687 | 6,707 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 15.8 |

(2) Age Structure. Maltese IsIands. 1948.

| Age Group | Male | Female | Total | Fercentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | M | F | T |
| Under 15 | 54,064 | 52,538 | 106,602 | 35.9 | 33.8 | 34.8 |
| 15-34 | 46,007 | 48,086 | 94,093 | 30.6 | 31.0 | 30.8 |
| Over 35 | 50,594 | 54,702 | 105,296 | 33.5 | 35.2 | 34.4 |

## (I) as \% of (2)

| M | Table 31B。 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $12 \%$ | T | Age-group |  |
| $\dot{\circ} \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | Under 15 |
| $33 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $24 \%$ | I5-34 |
| $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | Over 35 |

The table above relates the structure of the migration mavement to that of the population at the time of the 1948. Census. In: the six years 1951-56, 54\% of the emigrants were between 15 and 35, although at the Census only $31 \%$ of the population was in that age group. It has already been seen that most of these migrants were males - in aII, 15,300 left, which represented $33 \%$ of the population of that age in 1948.

This compares with a much lower level of female emigration of the same age-group equal to $15 \%$ of the 1948 population, and of child migration of $12 \%$ showing no differentiation between the sexes. The lowest emigration rate was that of people orer 35 who onily equalled $6 \%$ of the population in 1948.

Although the statistics do not allow a determination of the modified age-structure in 1956; they are sufficiently detailed to show the way in which the ageing of the population is being accelerated, and demonstrate the high loss of manpower from the younger elements of the working population. As the migration rate of women and dependent children was so much lower than that of the men of working age, the problems of supporting the population
are falling on a declining number of men, and the place of women in industry has recently come under wide discussion, for in 1958 they were still a virtually untapped reserve of labour (134). Occupations of migrants (1950-1956).

The study of the occupational structure of the emigration movement is of less interest to the receiving countries than to Malta. The pattern of migration to Australia has shown that few migrants remain in the same types of jobs as they held before leaving home, but from the Maltese viewpoint it is important to know the type of man who is being lost. The table below gives a broad occupational classification.

Occupations of migrants. (Percentages, by occupations).
Table 32.

| Group | 1950 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | Total migrants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Manual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Skilled: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building | 21 | 18 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 4113 |
| Engineering | 16 | 13 | 12 | 10 | II | 12 | 15 | 3225 |
| Others | 14 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 4030 |
| (b) Unskilled: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labourers | 25 | 23 | 16 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 23 | 6286 |
| Agriculture | 14 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2354 |
| Others | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 601 |
| Total (a) | 51 | 48 | 44 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 47 | 11368 |
| (b) | 40 | 35 | 26 | 36 | 39 | 39 | 31 | 9241 |
| 2) Non Manual (Clerks, professionals etc | 9 | 17 | 30 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 22 | 4803 |
| 3) Grand Total | 4493 | 4352 | 2598 | 2607 | 6536 | 3224 | 1602 | 25412 |

(for detailed classification of occupations see ${ }^{\nu_{0}}$ of E. Report 1951-3 povi)。

Just under half of the total are classified as skilled labour divided between building, engineering and miscellaneous other. trades. The proportion of unskilled labour leaving the Islands has been highest in the years of most movement - 1950, 1954 and 1955. Except in 1952 about one-quarter of the migrants have been unskilled labourers. A declining proportion of the farming community are emigrating; but on the other hand the percentages of non-manual workers have increased, and were highest in 1952 and 1953 when places for migrants were hardest to find.

Australia takes a high proportion of the men in the building trades and most of the farmers. The contracted labour which has gone to Canada has been mainly composed of Iabourers and the building trades; in 1954 nearly $70 \%$ were labourers. The United Kingdom receives a higher proportion of clerks and other nonmanual workers than the remainder, but also takes a large number of the men in the engineering and minor trades. The majority of servants, cooks and seamen find their way to Britaing but the length of their stay is open to question.
N.o information on the occupations of returned migrants is available.

It is extremely difficult to assess the wastage-rate from industry due to emigration, but the one giving rise to most worry is among the engineering trades, which supplied 3,200 migrants。 But, in 1956, the dockyard and other defence departments were
employing 18,000 men in industrial capacities as well as 1,100 apprentices and an additional 200 'learners". The replacement rate would seem therefore to be sufficient to have met emigration losses which, for the figure quoted, spanned seven years.
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## Chapter Eighteen. The Iocal origins of Migrants, and motivations behind emigration.

An analysis, by localities, of the origins of migrants has been undertaken in recent years by the Central Office of Statistics. These records go back as far as 1948。 Before the war for a limited period the Department of Emigration tabulated the origins of migrants to Australia and Canada. These statistics, in the Department Reports, provide the only direct indication of pre-war trends, but it is possible to trace the pattern of emigration even earlier by consulting the records of the Passport Office, Valletta. Statistics collected from each of these sources are summarised in Appendix E, tables 19 and 23 the trends derived from this material are shown in figure 52. which compares the origins of migrants in 1881 and 1911 with the averages for 1922/3 - 1929/30, and 1952-55。

Migration Rates per 1,000 population.

|  | 1881 | 1911 | $1922 / 3-29 / 30$ | Table 33. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malta | 120.0 | 4.8 | 11.6 | 23.2 |
| Gozo | 10.6 | 1.1 | 34.8 | 25.6 |

It has already been seen that the population of Gozo is increasing at a much lower rate than Malta (Fig. I6), but the summary table above shows that only in the $1920^{\prime}$ s was the Gozitan overseas migration rate much above that from Malta. Then it
accounted for one-quarter of the total movement, but in recent years fewer than $10 \%$ of the emigrants have come from Gozo.

Part of the Iow Gozitan net increase of population is explained by a lower natural increase, but another factor which has modified the population growth of Gozo has been local movement to Malta of Gozitans who take up residence in the larger island. There has been a similar movement from the more distant parts of Malta to the suburban area, and it is probable that this breakaway from the ties of one ${ }^{1}$ s birthplace, even if the move should be no more than a few miles from home, is often a prelude to the consideration of overseas migration as a serious prospect. To prove the hypothesis it would be necessary to make a large number of case-studies, but there is a considerable amount of evidence to show that the majority of migrants are from the most recently settled parts of Malta.

In a country where most villages are over three hundred years old, all communities established less than one hundred years aga are comparatively young and immature. Among such areas, all of which share a high emigration rate, are the agricultural northwest which was pioneered in the l850's, suburban Maltas, and the new villages of Birzebbuga and Marsaxlokk in the south-east. Discussion of the evolution of the Maltese settlement pattern and a detailed analysis of internal movement are found in a later chapter, but during the following pages it is as well to remember
that the background of settlement growth is an important factor which influences the decision to emigrate.

A feature of nineteenth century migration from Malta was the temporary nature of much of the movement. In 188I, nearly all of the emigrants from Gozo went to Algeria, and then $75 \%$ were from Nadur. As Nadur was, and still is, the most important wine producing area in Gozo, it seems very likely that such a movement would be to the Algerian vine harvest to augment the local labour supply. Forty years later the seasonal movements of Gozitans to vine harvests in the south of France was noted by CasoIani (135). In Malta, in 1881, many of the migrants were from Rabat (aIso a wine-producing area) and most of the remainder were from the Harbour area. There was, however, a moderate emigration from the villages between Valletta and Rabat, and from the south-east, for both these areas could benefit from contacts with their neighbours in the Harbour area and Dockyards who received direct news of opportunities abroad. At this time agriculture was still expanding in the north and like Zurrieq and Siggiewi, which were traditional and conservative agricultural strongholds in the south, the level of emigration from such areas was low.

The high level of emigration from Mosta and St. Paul's Bay in 1911 was noted by the members of the Royal Commission (136). A shortage of farming land for expansion was acutely felt by the people of Mosta and with some from Mellieha, Naxxar and Gharghur
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they accounted for one-third of the total movement from Nalta. Most of the remainder came from Valletta, Sliema and the northern suburbs. The importance of emigration from the northern villages was again emphasised by the trends of the 1920 's, and there was a large migration from these parts after the Second War, but by the 1950's the bulk of migrants were from suburban Malta, and a high emigration rate was evident in the south-east of the Island (Fig. 53). In contrast, throughout the period surveyed, Siggiewi, Zebbug, Zurrieq and the small villages of Lija and Attard were never of anything other than minor importance.

At one extreme today there is Pawla with 3,600 emigrants since 1948, among whom are included a high proportion of technically skilled men with a good future abroad. In contrast and at the other end of the scale is Zurrieq, from which fewer than 250 men, women and children have emigrated since 1948 (Appendix $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ table 23). Public transport reached Zurrieq in the 1930 's and allowed a large part of the population to seek employment in the Harbour area; the numbers in full-time agriculture declined, but the qualities of the traditional rural environments, with its strong families ties, perpetuated the conservatism in village life Which in Zurrieq does not favour emigration. Similar trends are evident in Siggiewi and to a lesser extent in Zebbug and Zejtun, but not in Birkirkara, Qormi and Zabbar which have become part of the Harbours conurbation.


## UnempIoyment and Emigration.

The relationship between the patterns of internal movement and emigration has been suggested in the preceding paragraphs (see also Fig. 61) but the correlation with occupational trends and unemployment is not as clear. Instead of having high unemployment rates in areas of high emigration, there is a negative correlation and the highest unemployment is revealed in low emigration areas (see Fig. 54) . Before drawing any concluaions from these figures it is necessary to digress and trace the origin and system of registration of unemployment statisticso

Introduced before the war as a service with which registration was voluntary, it had been frequently by-passed by a number of major employers who contracted their labour directly and without reference to the Employment Service. The main offenders were the War Department, Air Ministry and the Malta Government Departmentso Consequently the statistical analysis of unemployment could not claim to reflect the real trends from year to year, although they probably did show the relative distribution of unemployment reasonably accurately.

In 1955, the situation was transformed from the unsatisfactory situation which had developed. In March a National Employment Board was established, and in May the Employment Service Act was passed. Under the Act, all employers of more than fifty employees became statutorily obliged to recruit through the Employment
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Service. The Act allowed to register for employment not only the unemployed, but also any person earning less than $\hat{C} 4$ a week. This resulted in a rise in the numbers registered, from 1,000 men, women and children, at the end of each year before 1955, to 5,700 in 1955, and a further modification to the Act in 1956 secured a firm definition of unemployment (137) and separated the underemployed (earning less than $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ a week) from the unemployed. In 1956 and 1957 the numbers employed on the 31st December were 3,100 and 3,300 ( $3.8 \%$ of the gainfully occup.ied population) but the pattern of unemployment in 1956 was not significantly different from that of the earlier years.

The trends for the years 1952 to 1955 are indicative of unemployment, inflated in the last year of the period by the inclusion of the underemployed. The highest rates are in the south-east, south and north; the lowest are in the central. western and urban/suburban areas. On the average the registration for employment was highest in the agricultural localities, and in Zabbar, Zejtun and the Three Cities (the source of most of the dockyard workers). Registrations were least in those areas where private industries were most highly developed. In 1956, the decline in unemployment (caused by the exclusion from the registers of persons earning less than $£ 4$ a week) was greater in the rural and more agricultural areas than in the Harbours conurbation (Fig. 55). The villages with the highest proportions of under-
employed persons were Mellieha, Mgarr, Gharghur and Marsaxiokk. There was a greater proportion of underemployed in Siggiewi than in Zebbug, and more in Zejtun than in Zabbar. Although these villages are often discussed and described in similar terms, the capacity of Siggiewi and Zejtun to support a high level of underemployment is one of many indications of their agricultural enterprise which is capable of absorbing surplus labour in lean years. The other large $\nabla$ illage which displays this capacity is Zurrieq, and the three villages between them provide a good example of the resourcefulness of a fundamentally agricultural society. When this is combined with a tradition of five hundred years of village life, a stability of society is revealed which cannot be imitated by the modern agricultural villages north of the Victoria Lines. In the former, despite an apparently high level of unemployment, the emigration rate is low; in the latter what are only moderate rates of unemployment are accompanied by a high emigration.

A detailed study of the occupational structure of some sample locaities gives greater precision to the analysis of the relations between occupational trends and emigration, but the occupational data used, having been taken from the 1948 Census, is rather dated. However, insofar as the emigration of the 1950 's has been derived from a population whose instabilities arise from the occupational structure of the early postwar period, the
figures are important。
In the table on the following page，sample localities with high，moderate and low emigration rates are compared．In the first category there are three types－St。 Paul＇s Bay，Pawla and Birzebbuga－representative of the rural north and suburban Malta。 Each of these relies heavily on one major occupation for employ－ ment．Birzebbuga which owes its existence to the naval base at Kalafrana is more akin to suburban rather than rural Malta．In St．Paul＇s Bay nearly half of the population are dependent on agriculture；in Pawla one－third are engaged in manufacturing industries and in Birzebbuga nearly half are employed in public administration．High emigration rates for these localities are related to the lack of opportunities in farming，industry and public service in Malta。

Marsaxlokk has a moderate emigration rate which suggests that the fishing industry，which is the livelihood of $44 \%$ of the population，has not been very prosperous either．Since 1956，how－ ever，the prospects have been improved by the acquisition by the Government of some large fishing vessels and the improvement of the harbour in Marsaxlokk Bay．Another locality with a moderate emigration is Zabbar．Here，a large number are employed in public administration，in which field the level of underemployment（or overstaffing）is notoriously high，and the prospects of promotion are correspondingly poor．It is interesting to compare the
1．includes transport，personal service，business，professions．

| $0^{\circ} 00 \mathrm{I}$ | $0^{\circ} 001$ | $0^{\circ} 001$ | $0^{\circ} 00 \tau$ | $0^{\circ} 007$ | $0^{\circ} 00 \mathrm{~T}$ | $0^{\circ} .00 \mathrm{~T}$ | $0^{\circ} 00 \mathrm{~T}$ | TVT，OT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9^{\circ} 8 \mathrm{~L}$ | $7^{\circ} 7 \%$ | $\varepsilon^{\circ} 0 Z$ | $7^{\circ} \mathrm{ZL}$ | $9^{\circ} 9 \mathrm{~T}$ | $9^{\circ} \mathrm{ZZ}$ | $Z^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ | $\varepsilon^{\bullet} 9 \tau$ | －$\tau^{6 x}$ ขu70 |
| $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{IT}$ | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $0^{\circ} 9 \tau$ | $Z^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $8^{\circ} 9$ | $\mathrm{G}^{\circ} \mathrm{\nabla}$ | $z^{\circ} \mathrm{zT}$ | T0 2 | sิuțxn7orfnueg |
| $7^{88}$ | $\nabla^{\circ} 8$ | $8^{\circ} 9$ | $\Psi^{\circ} \varepsilon \tau$ | $9^{\circ} 7$ | $6^{\circ} 9$ | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ | TTeq9y |
| $8^{\circ} 8 \tau$ | $9^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $\nabla^{\circ}$ 百 | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $\nabla^{\circ} 6 \mathrm{~L}$ | $\nabla^{\circ} 0 \tau$ | $9^{\circ} 8$ | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ | uot 7onxqsuop |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{GZ}$ | $\mathrm{C}^{\circ} \mathrm{\nabla}$ T | $L^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \varepsilon$ | $\mathcal{E}^{\circ} 6$ | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\tau}$ | $9^{\circ} \mathrm{G}{ }^{\prime}$ | $6^{\circ}$ 万 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $8^{\circ} \varepsilon T$ |  |
| $\mathrm{c}^{\circ} 9$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ} 0$ | $\nabla^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ | こ゚五 | $9^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ | $L^{\circ} 0$ | $L^{\circ} 0$ | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ | ชินโบร？ |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{II}$ | $\nabla^{\circ} 6 \varepsilon$ | $7^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $9^{\circ}$ OT | $\nabla^{\circ} 88$ | $6^{\circ} 0$ | $7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{F}$ | อxnqโnoțx®\％ |
| betxxn | TMəT冖8\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  | पot7ednooo |
|  |  | पот78オ8T¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Gainfully－occupied population，according to occupation。
occupational structures of Mellieha and Siggiewi. Each has a similar proportion in agriculture but emigration from Mellieha is far above the rate from Siggiewi and the essential difference between them has to be sought in the historical background of settlement rather than through the occupational trends (see Chapter 20) 。

Zurrieq is the village with the lowest emigration rate. The percentage employed full-time in agriculture is not large (11\%) and public administration is the main occupational category, but there is not as great a dependence upon it as in Birzebbuga or Zabbar. Since bus services were introduced, an increasing proportion of the manpower of Zurrieq has been working outside the village and consequently the occupations show considerable diversification. However, living in the village the people still retain the outlook of a traditional agricultural community which can weather bad economic times by returning to the land rather than by taking the more drastic step of overseas migration.

The overall impression is that there are sufficient motives, mostly economic, applicable in most parts of Malta, which can explain large-scale emigration and regional differences in rates. The differences of reactions to economic pressures between two areas like Zurrieq and St. Paul's Bay cannot be defined easily, but on innumerable occasions it has been shown that ties with Malta, are broken more easily by the inhabitants of the new villages and the suburbs than by those living in the "heartland" of Siggiewi, Zurrieq and Rabat.

## Chapter Nineteen. The Naltese Abroad.

In 1901, the Census of the Maltese Islands reproduced an incomplete estimate of the numbers of lialtese living abroad, based on Consular estimates. Concentrated in the lifediterranean area they numbered some 34,000 of whom 15,000 lived in the Regency of Tunis, 7,000 in Egypt, and more than 5,000 in Algeria (excluding Constantine and Algiers (c. 12,000 ) for which returns were not available). In the Regency of Tripoli there were a further 2,000 while next in importance were Turkey (1919) n and Greece (1956). When the first estimates made by the Department of Emigration were published in 1930 (138): the distribution pattern had changed radically. The numbers in the Nediterranean basin had declined at the expense of the United States, Australia, Canada and the United Kingdom. Horeover the total abroad which had stayed near 50,000 from 1881 to 192I, was estimated by the Emigration Department to be over 55,000 in 1931, 84,000 by 1950, and 113,000 by 1954. In 1956 the estimate total was 125:000.

There are only three sources from which statistics can be derived. The earliest used were estimates made by HoM. Consuls abroad. These were found by Price to be generally too low, and wheress consular returns in 1881 accounted for 34,700 lialtese abroad, he put the total in 1885 at 55,000 (139) basing his own figure on the estimates of Consuls, French Censuses and the special count of Egyptian Maltese during the evacuation of 1882.

Table 35．

| Count ry | 1921 | 1929 | 1950 | 1953 | 1954 | Net emigration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1921－39 | 1945－54 |
| Australia | $1325^{\text {a }}$ | 3500 | 30000 | 38000 | $46500^{\text {e }}$ | 3000 | $19800^{f}$ |
| U，K。 | $6736^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{8000}{ }^{\text {a d }}$ | 12000 | 16000 | 17000 | 5300 | 10100 |
| Canada | $?$ | 2000 | 7000 | 10000 | 11000 | 300 | 5200 |
| United States | ？ | $15000^{\text {c }}$ | 20000 | 23000 | 23300 | 1600 | 4900 |
| Others | $22000^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{\circ} \mathrm{d} \cdot \\ 25000 \end{gathered}$ | 15000 | 15000 | 15200 | 15600 | Nil |
| Total | $?$ | $?$ | 84000 | 102000 | 113000 | 15500 | 40300 |

Notes on sources other than the Department of Emigration．
a．Census，1921．
b．Estimates by $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{H}_{0}$＇s Consuls abroad．
c．I925。
d．1931．
e．cf．Australian Census，June 1954n which gave total of 19．988．
f．Jan． 1945 －June 1954。

Later，the Emigration Department whilst estimating numbers abroad using both consular estimates and the records of departures from Malta fails to give precision to the figures by omitting a defi－ nition of＇Maltese＇．It is assumed therefore that those included in the estimates are Maltese－born persons only，their children being included in the native population．As the $D_{\text {。 }}$ of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ototals are derived from the accumulated movements out of Malta，this
appears to be a reasonable assumption, and moreover it allows comparison with various Census statistical analyses by birthplace. The Censuses; unfortunately, do not give an adequate breakdown in every country to which the Maltese have emigrated, but the statistics for Australia and the United Kingdom are sufficiently detailed to allow a comparison of the emigration statistice with changes in the lialtese-born population of these countries in intercensal periods. Using these figures a new estimate can be made of the degree to which emigration is being currently overstated.

## Australia.

a. Maltese-born population resident on 30.6 .47 . 3.238
b. " " " " " $30.6 .54 . \quad 19,988$
c. Increase 1947 to $1954-16,750$.
do Net emigration ( $D_{0}$ of $E_{0}$ ) - 18, 449。
e. Overestimate of emigration to Australia (1947-54) is by 10.1\%. United Kingdom。
a. Maltese-born population resident on
31.
8,418
(Engon Wales
and Scotland)


To add to this statement it should be remembered that a comparison of the Emigration Department statistics with those of the Customs and Port Department shoved an overestimate of $34.7 \%$ between 1945 and 1956 (p. 164 table 25) . The cumulative evidence suggests that net emigration may have been overestimated by as much as one-third, and in fact the numbers of Naltese living abroad may not have passed 100,000 until 1956. The main sources of error can be identified fairly easily by reference to table 35. The total in Australia in 1954 was probably overestimated by the Department of Emigration to the extent of 26,500 , through an error introduced before 1950, when the total quoted was an incredible 30,000 , although the numbers there at the end of the war could hardly have been more than 5,000 , and were probably much lower, for the Census population in 1947 accounted for only 3,200 . Projecting from the Australian census of 1954 the numbers may have risen to 35,000 by the end of 1956 .

The control offered by the United Kingdom censuses has been accepted more readily and it would seem reasonable to estimate the total to be 20,000 in 1956. It is in the thirties that the totals for Canada and the United States have increased most unaccountably. Emigration statistics only credit an outmard movement of 1,900 between 1921 and 1939, and a very small movement immediately after the war, yet between 1929 and 1950 the estimates of Maltese living in these countries increased by 10,000 .

Together with Australic these figures must contain the bulk of the gross overestimate.

The decline in numbers living elsewhere (mainly North Africa) represents not so much a return to Malta as the naturalisation of many of the Raltese who emigrated in the first two decades of the century. This was especially marked in Algeria in the $1920^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ when the choice had to be made between naturalisation and withdrawal.

The absence of Census data on the Haltese in Canada and the United States means that disproportionate weight must be given to other sources. In the city of Windsor, Ontario, a Maltese monthly newspaper ("Ahbarijiet ta Malta") is published. Although its paid circulation is only 2,000 (in 1958), it claims to serve 13,000 Maltese in Canada and 28,000 in the U.S.A. (140). Such a total could only be achieved, knowing the past rate of migration, by the inclusion and extension of numbers through marriage.

The manner in which the Naltese are being assimilated abroad is an open field for study. Two years ago the Raltese branch of the Catholic Action discussed plans to follow the movements of migrants to Australia, tracing changes in occupation, religion, family size and overall economic well-being. Nothing further has materialised yet in this field, but in each of the major countries Wiltese priests minister to their own commities, retaining the Maltese identity within the broader bond of Roman Catholicism
which unites most migrants of Mediterranean stock．The result of this has not been wholly beneficial．

A recent study of European migration to Australia（141）by A．J．Rose，Iecturer in Geography at Canberra，emphasises the outstandingly closely－knit community structure of the laltese overseas．In America，large groups of maltese are known to be clustered in Los Angeles，San Francisco，Detroit and Toronto．In the United Kingdom over half of the total are in Londoh，where the heaviest concentrations are in Aldgate and Camden Town（142）． In Australia，Rose found that between 1947 and 1954 there was a general picture of gains in the percentage of European－born popu－ lation in Victoria and South Australia，a slight fall in New South Wales and Western Australian and a big fall in Gueensland。 There was a trend away from dispersion in the remoter States towards concentration in the economically stronger South and the preference for State capitals was accentuated．

Table 36。
a．European－born Iiving in Australia． 1947 and 1954 （Rose．）

| Year | Aus． <br> $\%$ | NoS．W． | Victoria | Queensland | SoA． | WoA。 | T。 | Can－ berra | Not stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 100 | 33.2 | 27.0 | 19.7 | 6.2 | 13.3 | 0.5 | － | － |
| 1954 | 100 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 10.4 | 10．9 | 11.0 | 2.0 | $\square$ | － |
| b．Maltese arrivals in Australia 1951－56．（D．of Eo） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951／6 | 100 | 39.2 | 48.0 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 1．8 |  | 0.6 | 1.6 |

Figure 56.


The pattern of the Maltese immigration accentuated the preference for Victoria which, with New South Wales, received nearly $90 \%$ of the movement between 1951 and 1956。 In $195480 \%$ of the Naltese were living in State capitals, $2 \%$ in the industrial town (Geelong, Newcastle, Wollongong). $9 \%$ in rural centres and small towns, and $9 \%$ in rural areas. Only in Queensland did they show a distinctly rural preference. The figure for the entire European-born population, in contrast, showed only $62 \%$ Iiving in State capitals in 1954. Maltese Emigration Department statistics for 1955 and 1956 show that in those years over $90 \%$ of the arrivals in Victoria settled in Melbourne and its suburbs (Fig. 56), the majority living within three miles of the city centre . A similar pattern operated in New South Wales where hardly anyone moved beyond the inner suburbs of Sydney. Emphasising this aspect, Rose pointed out that the Mediterraneans tend to be clustered in segregated communities, as an insulation against a. possibly hostile environment and "the Maltese are the most closely clustered community". Although the basic cause of bitterness has been removed by the maintenance in recent years of full employment an unease about the presence of foreigners remains, and if an unpopularity poll were conducted, the least unpopular would be the English, Dutch and Germans, followed by the Italians, Greeks and lastly the Haltese, who are the least esteemed (143) and again he says: "Though they are the most law-
abiding of the Europeans in Australia, .... the Australians look unfavourably on the swarthy visages and on the gaudy clothes and long hair affected by many Hediterranean youths" (144)。

To some extent the bias has a religious basis also, for the Catholic Church has declared its continued support for maximum immigration even to the extent of lowering Australian Iiving standards (145). Reactionary attacks have come not only from the laity, but an article "A Sound Line on Nalta". published by "The Anglican" of Sydney, was one in particularly bad taste made in the columns of a periodical of the Established church. In this article published on February 2lst 1958, the Maltese were described as "the most ignorant, priestoridden, the least educated by any criterion, the most regrettable people in the whole Mediterranean basin". Designed to provoke animosity, the article was brought to the notice of the Commonwealth Government which immediately disassociated itself from such an opinion and deplored the fact that it should have been aired. At the request of the Maltese Cormissioner in Australia, a Press and Radio campaign was undertaken to counteract the harm done (146), but the underlying antipathy of certain sections of the community remains. Most important, it seems that as long as the defensive segregation of the Haltese continues, they will be identified as a nonAustralian element of the population. Whilst ministering to the moral and the social welfare of their people, the Maltese priest.
hood are delaying and making increasingly difficult the assimilation of these same people as an element of "new Australia"。 This problem of cultural assimilation which is gradually being recognised by the Maltese in Australia, is also operative elsewhere (147) and the future success of the emigration programme must depend on its solution.

## PART SIX. The Evolution of Settlement in Malta.

In the following pages an attempt has been made to correlate a wide range of material relating to the historical growth of settlement and to compare the trends of movement prior to the nineteenth century with the modern growth of Walta and especially with the patterns of internal movement in the last sixty years. One of the most urgent needs today is a far-sighted policy to ensure the conservation of water resources, for these are both being depleted and polluted, and promise to be quite inadequate in the near future. Moreover, it is vital that absolute priority be given to the study and solution of this problem, for ultimately the survival of the population will be controlled by the availability of water.

Gozo has been excluded from this section because the problems operative there are somewhat different. The smaller island has not witnessed a movement of population and growth comparable to that which gave rise to Suburban Malta, nor are the problems of water supply as serious in Gozo. No revolutionary changes in the distribution and evolution of settlement have occurred and consolidation of the present trends are anticipated in the future.

Figure 57.


## Chapter Twenty. The Historical Background to Settlement.

When the Commissioners appointed by the Grand Master of the Order of St. John of Jerusalem visited Malta to assess its amenities and potential as a home and base for the Knights, they reported first on the poverty of the land. The landscape was barren and the scene uninviting, but there were on the northeast facing coast harbours large enough to shelter the biggest fleets afloat (Fig. 57). Ultimately this factor, together with the comanding position of the Islands midway between Sicily and the North African mainland, was seen to promise them control of the passage from eastern to western Mediterranean, and it prompted the Order to build their headquarters in Malta.

Before the arrival of the Knights, the Maltese had been extremely vulnerable to the attacks of corsairs who descended mainly from the Barbary coast. The inhabitants were virtually unprotected and to assume the maximum protection from attack they chose the collective security found in small nucleated settlements situated well inland. The capital of Malta was Mdina, built on a spur of the Upper Coralline Plateau, and from the bastions of the town it was possible to survey the entire length of coast from which landings and attacks might come. The present fortifications of Mdina date from the time of the Arab conquest and in Roman times the site was much more extensive. During periods of siege from invaders the entire population of the Island gathered within
the walls of Mdina for protection. This is an indication of the paucity of inhabitants as the enclosed area is only o025 square mile.

Malta only had one port, called Birgu, in the medieval perioda The remaining coastline was undefended, except in the south-west and west where high cliffs in an uhbroken line precluded landings anywhere between Marsaxlokk Bay and Gnejna. Birgu lay on a small peninsular projecting into the Grand Harbour and was protected by the castle of St. Angelo which was built on the same headland and dominated the main harbour and the sheltered creeks on either side of the peninsula. Evidence of prehistoric settlement in the vicinity bears witness to the importance of the site as a port and safe anchorage which has been under continuous occupation for more than three thousand years.

Elsewhere in the island there were other good harbours and sheltered anchorages, notably Marsaxlokk, Marsaskala, St, Paul's Bay and Mellieha Bay but the openness to attack discouraged the settlement of their shores. The remoteness of the northern valleys and ridges from the agricultural heart of the island, which lay between Ifina and Birgu, further discouraged settlement from these parts. There was also a striking physical 'divide', with a northward facing escarpment along the line of the Great Fault which served a dual purpose as it protected the villages in the south from northern incursions and also incidentally delimited
effectively the 'inhabited' from the 'uninhabited' parts. This division of the Island was recognised by the Maltese historian Abela who included in his definition of the uninhabited region the western parts of the Upper Coralline (Rabat) Plateau (148). Apart from scattered troglodytic colonies the only village in these northern parts was Mellieha, one of ten parishes created in 1436, but at the time when Abela wrote, the site was deserted, having suffered from a sequence of attacks and evacuations. Mellieha was not resettled until the middle of the nineteenth century by which time the security of the Island from raiders was assured. Towards the end of the nineteenth century, villages began to multiply north of the Great Fault and the most important there today are St. Paul's Bay (a holiday resort), Mgarr, Zebbieh, and Burmarrad. On the Rabat Plateau before 1530 , apart from Mdina there was only one major settlement, partly troglodyticn which was south of Buskett and called Tartaria Like Mellieha it was elevated to parochial status in 1436. But this village, too, disappeared and when settlement returned to the area in the late seventeenth century it gathered around the nucleus of a hunting lodge to become the village of Dingli, one of the smallest in the Island.

The barrenness of dispersed settlements in 'uninhabited' Malta was striking, with only occasional troglodytic dwellings cut into the scarp-edge of the Upper Coralline limestone and a
few estates, some of which had been given as fiefdoms to Sicilian nobility and dated from the fourteenth century. Among the titled landlords were Counts of Ghajn Tuffieh and Baharijan a Marquis of Fiddien and a Baron of Djar el Bniet (near Dingli)。

In the 'inhabited' parts of Malta the continuity of settlement from prehistoric times on selected favourable sites is evident, but only two prehistoric villages have been identified in the course of modern archaeological exploration. One is on an extremely high saw-edged saddle of Upper Coralline Limestone above the cliffs at Baharija, and the other is at Borg in Nadur at the head of Marsaxlokk Bay and near the modern village of Birzebbuga. J. D. Evans suggests that "the curious and almost complete absence of settlement sites throughout the prehistoric period, which also holds good for the Punic and later periods, seems susceptible of only one explanation. The best sites must have been chosen for settlement very early in the island's occupation and these have remained centres of habitation until the present day" (149). In illustration of this, the occupation of Zurrieq in Roman times and probably earlier was proved when the remains of part of a Roman villa were found in the walls of the parish priest's house. At Rabat, a suburb of Mdina, there are also considerable Roman remains of villas, and it is believed that in other villages also, the remains of earlier periods of occupation are concealed beneath the foundations of the modern buildings.

The dating of villages is almost wholly dependent upon ecclesiastical records of the foundations of parishes and consecration of churches(150). But, the act of creation of a new parish is not in itself evidence of the period of the first appearance of a village. A new parish was proclaimed when a community reached sufficient size to support its own church and clergy. Usually this implied the existence of a congregation of between 500 and 1,000 souls. Prior to the arrival of the Normans the island was a single parish with the ecclesiastical and administrative centre at Kina (Citta Notabile), but in 1090 under Count Roger the parish of Birgu (Castel a Mare) was separated from lidina. In 1436, ten new parishes were created and of them Mellieha and Tartari have already been mentioned. The other eight were south of the Great Fault and all were inland sites, namely, Naxxar, Birkirkara, Qormi, Zebbug, Siggiewi, Zurrieq, Birmiftuh (Gudja) and Bisqallin (Zejtun). More than a hundred years passed before any further parishes were formed.

The villages of the fifteenth century lay some two to three miles apart on the wide, arched and dissected plain below the Rabat plateau. Reflecting the radial drainage focusфing on the Grand Harbours, the villages formed a crescent with an inner and outer arc looking towards Marsamxett and the Grand Harbour (Fig. 57). Scattered among them, but concentrated mainly in the northern and southern areas of higher land were small hamlets,
many with fewer than a dozen 'bearths' or households. The lines of settlement followed closely the crest-lines of the ridges in the Globigerina limestone series. Along the most prominent ridge there are the modern villages of Zejtun, Ghaxaq and Gudja.

Naxxar and Gharghur were hill-top villages, and the sites of all the other villages except Gormi were all prominently placed。 Qormi was located at the head of the marshy tract of land (Farsa). forming the inland limb of the Grand Harbour and was sited in the location where underground water was most easily accessible and the wells shallowest. Settlements all avoided the deeply incised, narrow and rocky wieds which were almays liable to sudden flooding。

When the Knights of St. John came to Malta in 1530, their earliest work was directed towards the repair of IFdina and Birgu. both of which were in almost ruinous condition, and wishing to be near their fleet, the headquarters of the Order was established at Birgu. Auberges were built for each 'langue' of the Knights, on the small peninsula, and before 1560 the lack of further space in Birgu forced new houses to be built on the neighbouring peninsula of lisla (Senglea). During the Siege of 1565, the entire population of the Island sheltered behind the walls of Mdina and Birgu. Although they withstood the prolonged force of the Siege, which lasted for nearly four months, both Birgu and Isla suffered much damage because they were easily shelled from
the heights of Corradino, Cottonera and Xibberaso
Suggestions for the transfer of the headquarters to the peninsula of Xibberas, which was much more spacious, dated from 1558. After the siege "G. II. La Valette took the bold step which had been advocated before; he determined to fortify Eount Xibberas and build there a new city" (151). The building of Valletta began in 1566 under Francesco Laparelli, a former assistant of Michelengelo, who proposed "to repair the old existing defences.which were defective and to build the new city on Mount Xibberas which would act as a guard to the harbours and the islands" (152). By the winter of 1568 the new city was complete.

The construction of this new headquarters and capital was the greatest work achieved by the Knights in Malta, but over a period of two hundred years they also strengthened old and constructed new fortifications throughout the Island and built watchtowers along the coasts; these were intervisible and afforded protection of the entire shoreline. In the reign of the Grand Master Wignacourt an aqueduct was built (1610-1615) to carry drinking water from the springs of the Rabat Plateau to Valletta and the supply "for more than two hundred years met all the requirements of Attard, Lija, Balzan, Birkirkara, Mosta, Zebbug, Hamrun, Qormi, Fioriana and Valletta" (153). Traditionally, the water supply was derived from roof catchments and stored in
cisterns under each house, or gained from the shallow liarsa wells, but during the occupation of Malta by the Knights the general prosperity of the Island grew and the population increased with such rapidity that supplementary supplies from the Wignacourt aqueduct were channelled to most villages.

The enterprise of the Knights was concentrated within the neighbourhood of the Grand Harbour, but the newly-won security, derived from the military strength of the Order, encouraged enterprise further inland and in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries a further fourteen parishes were formed. Mosta flourished in the gap between the Naxxar hills and Bingemma ridge, controling the routes northwards, and on the road from Valletta to Mdina there was the cluster of the Three Villages (Iija, Balzan and Attard)。 Gharghur also became a parish in its own rights in the first quarter of the seventeenth century, and south of Wied il Kbir the network of villages multiplied and the distance between the domes of neighbouring parish churches was rarely more than a mile and a quarter.

## The Deserted Villages of Malta.

In the latter part of the eighteenth century G. A. Ciantar, the Maltese historian, revised the descriptive account and history of the Maltese Islands written by Abela in 1647 (154). Ciantar noted that, at the time when he was writing, in many parts of the countryside there were only place-names, or the

## Figure 58,

ruins of wells, cisterns and a few crumbling walls to perpetuate the memories of villages and hamlets which once existed on these sites. One such place is Aarar - formerly the site of dwellings in the parish of Birkirkara. But today there is only the old church of St. Elena from which the parish derives its name. The people left at the time of an invasion by Barbary corsairs coming from Marsamxett, "and this occurred before the arrival of the Knights" (155). Another described by Ciantar is Hal Caprat -"an ancient village built in a small flat area not far from the church of St. Bartholomew and the roads to Qormi and Birkirkara. Today there only remain a few cisterns". Of the many other sites listed by him the names are reproduced in the Appendix but, unfortunately, most of these cannot be identified on the modern maps of the Island. However, the accumulated evidence of place names with the prefix 'Hal' (a village), road and field patterns, chapels and crosses, and the distribution of wells and cisterns indicate the sites of 'deserted villages', and strengthen the view that there has been a steady decline in the dispersed rural population during the period of growth of the major villages (Fig. 58) 。

Haxlukk is the name given to an area south-east of Siggiewi which is now open land, but it cis easily identified as the hamlet of Rahal Sciluk described by Ciantar. The word 'Hal' which is related to the Sicilian word 'Casal' is derived from the Semitic
root for cluster or constellation, and it is similar to the Arabic word 'Rahal' meaning the place where one encamps. The older Maltese villages still bear the prefix today and are always described as Hal Qormi, Hal Zebbug etc. Among areas with this prefix to their name and no trace of habitation are Hal Dragus Ta Hammut, Hal Reskun, Hal Farrug, Hal Saptan, while others like Hal Man (near Lija) and Hal Milleri have only a fraction of their former population (see also Appendix for other examples). Another indication of a deserted village site is provided by a field pattern or concentration of roads similar to those in the old nuclei of modern villages. Furthermore in many of these former villages the only remaining building is a church or sometimes a cross, standing in a remarkable and prominent isolation (156). Some of the most striking examples are the chapels 'Tal Providenza' and 'San Niclau tal Merhla', both near Siggiewio The remains of cisterns were often stated by Ciantar to be the only existing evidence of previous occupation, and the construction of them was traditionally enforced by a law which required that every house built in a town or village shall be provided with a Well-sealed tank of two cubic feet capacity for every square foot of floor space within the house, and that the tank be maintained in a good condition (157)。 Morris says that from Neolithïc times anwards the cultivators of the Island have excavated underground tanks to store rain water led into them from the roofs of farm
buildings, lanes and gullies, and practically every farmhouse in Malta has such an underground tank to meet the needs of its occupants and their animale (158).

The detailed distribution of wells and cisterns in the vicinity of Siggiewi, Mqabba, and Qrendi is shown in figure 59, which is based upon the information shown on the Six Inch maps of Malta, for which the basic survey was made by the Public Works Office in 1895. On these sheets distinctions are only made between welis and springs, but investigation in the field has shown that most of the places where wells are marked are in actual fact the sites of cisterns and the majority of these must have been for the storage of domestic water supplies rather than for field-irrigation. Although not all the sites have been plotted by the surveyors of 1895 , the evidence on figure 59 suggests that a detailed analysis of this type supported by field investigation would be a rewarding technique to make easier the location of lost villages. The insets in the same figure show the manner in which churches, roads and field patterns support the evidence in some of the most easily defined village sites. Perhaps the best example is Tal Providenza, even though it does not retain the prefix Hal in its name, but only that of the chapel. The area 'Tal Chiesa Vecchia' is interesting because attention is attracted to the position by the specially high concentration of wells and cisterns. But, in this case the characteristic

Figure 59.

irregular field patterns have been obscured by the superposition of formal walled gardens within which there is an almost geometrical precision of patterns of paths and terraces.

The application of the technique described above to the entire Six Inch coverage of Malta has resulted in the identification of thirty sites on which villages may once have stood (Fig. 58). If the presence of a chapel alone is discounted as insufficient evidence, there are still five sites identified by one other distinctive feature (roads, fields, cisterns, Hal) thirteen sites where two of the locational factors are evident, five sites showing three of the features, and six showing four of them. Only one, Hal Millieri (Fig. 59) showed evidence of all five locational factors at the site. This material and evidence, which is documented in the Appendix might, in the opinion of the present writer, provide a useful starting point for further studies by the archaeologist and historian.

There are a variety of questions about the deserted villages of Malta which have never been answered or even seriously considered. No one seems to have advanced any suggestions of the way in which they disappeared, but it does seem probable that the desertion of the villages was broadly coincidental with the growth of the new parochial nuciei in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Admittedly, some like Aarar disappeared before 1530; but the likelinood of plunder and plague causing the
extinction of many of the villages is remote. Nor has there been any movement comparable with the enclosure of land in England to bring rural depopulation. Instead, as with so many topics in Malta, the cause seems to be related to the social conscience of a people who find their unity in the symbol of the Church. The commonest theory of the evolution of liqabba supports the contention (159). It is believed that, once, in the vicinity of the modern village there were a number of hamlets all within a mile of each other but under the authority and jurisdiction of the villages of Siggiewi, Gudja (Birmiftuh) and Zurrieq, Under the protection of the Knights, the number of people living in this cluster of hamlets increased, their prosperity grew and they developed a common identity and desire for independence which was demonstrated by the movement to establish their own parish church. The site chosen for the church was within easy reach of all the hamlets, and the overflow of people from the latter built their homes in the shadow of the new church. Gradually the newly established nucleus grew and the old centres which had suddenly been transferred into outlying hamlets declined into anonymity. Unhappily, extensive quarrying near Mqabba has destroyed much of the evidence but Hamillieri remains.

The abiandonment of hamlets, whatever the reasons, proceeded in a period during which the overall prosperity of the Island advanced rapidly. The inland landscape was dominated by the domes
of the parish churches towering above the flat-roofed and squat village houses, but the development of Valletta and the Three Cities proceeded independently of rural Malta. It was a cosmopolitan growth stimulated by the Knights and attracting merchants and wealth from all parts of Europe. The only contact which most of the villagers had with this society was that derived from their service with the Militia, a force which was mobilised only during emergencies and therefore not of major importance. The Growth of Settiement since 1800.

During the era of the Knights for the first time there was evidence of material prosperity not only in the capital but in the countryside. In the hundred and fifty years since 1800 and the arrival of the British the changes both in the distribution and orientation of the population have been even more profound. For over a hundred years until the Great War of 1914-18, except when the Crimean War brought a trade boom, Malta was free from any participation in war and never threatened by attack. The Island also became a vital link on the Trade routes in the Mediterranean and with the opening of the Suez Canal in 1869 the volume of shipping and trade increased. This was the era of the steamship and Malta became not only a naval base and trading post but also a coaling station. The Grand Harbour was large enough to shelter the entire British fleet and in a much enlarged docko yard repair work of all magnitudes could be undertaken. There
was a boom in the Harbour area and in a period of unprecedented activity the growth of population accelerated; it overflowed from Valletta and the Three Cities, flooded in from the rural areas, and on the inland margins of the Harbours a new suburban Malta eme rged.

The nineteenth century witnessed a relative decline in agriculture as industrial and economic opportunity in the urban and particularly the dockyard area grew. But there was also a counter-movement into the northern lands beyond the Great Fault and the Victoria Lines; this was fundamentally a result of the newly-found security from attack guaranteed under the British administration but the speed at which the colonisation occurred was the product of land-hunger in the rapidly growing areas of settlement. Of eighteen parishes created in Malta since 1800. the overwhelming majority were in the harbour area and only six were outside the urban-suburban complex. Three of these were north of the Victoria Lines and the others in the south-east of the Island. Elsewhere the pattern of settlement established in the previous three hundred years remained much the same, although the occupational structure of the village people changed with increasing rapidity as communications and transport facilities improved. In the last thirty years extensions of bus services have given most of the villages closer contact with Valletta than with their neighbours, but nevertheless Rabat has emerged as
the unofficial capital of the rural areas having superseded INina and remains an important agricultural market although most of the old functions have gravitated to Valletta and the Urban area. Acute overcroving was evident in urban Halta (Valletta and the Three Cities) after only ten years of British rule. There was a very considerable influx of foreigners attracted by Malta's position as the centre of Mediterranean commerce; many houses were fitted up like ships, with tiers of berths, and several large vessels were converted into floating hotels. By the middle of the nineteenth century 56,000 people were living in a combined area of only Iol25 square miles and an overflow was inevitable: initially, development was concentrated on three sparsely inhabited locations - SLiema, Hamrun and Pawla.

In the earliest phase the movement was directed across the harbour of Marsamett to the shores of Sliema creek, where the gentry of Valletta had many years since built their spacious summer residences, but soon the elegantly planned villas were submerged under waves of new buildings. These very soon lined the waterfront of Sliema creek and encircled the grounds of the older properties overlooking Ghar id Dud Bay (Fig. 60). In 1861 the resident population of Sliema was only 300; by 1895 it was an estimated 8 , 000 and the parish of Stella Maris was created in 1878. In the present century, the population has trebled, two more parishes have been fragmented and the town now extends to
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Balluta Bay and merges imperceptibly with St. Julians in a continuous urban facade built along the ria-Iike inlets which are terminated by sta George's Bay, Pembroke Barracks on the southern edge of a coastal expanse of Xaghra (rocky wasteland) and War Department property. To the south Sliema is contiguous with Gzira, the newest suburb, which is a creation of the post 1945 period. In 1957 the combined population of Sliema, Gzira and St. Julians exceeded 40,000。

The growth of Hamrun followed a similar pattern but whereas Sliema preserved a cosmopolitan atmosphere and is today the most English of the towns and villages in Malta, Hamrun is a town of artisans, is predominantly Maltese and also the centre of the skilled trades and crafts outside the Dockyard. The third in importance of the suburban centres is Pawla. It developed more slowly than either Sliema or Hamrun, although it was founded two hundred years earlier, and was comparatively unimportant until the last fifty years. The rectangular grid plan was used in Pawla (Fig. 60) which was initially a summer resort, founded by the Grand Iaster de Paule in 1626. Perhaps because of the proximity of Tarxien, only a quarter of a mile away, the village declined after the death of its patron. The revival did not begin until after 1891. At that time the population was still only 1,300 and the early part of the overflow from the Three Cities was directed to Zabbar which in the middle of the last century was
already a large and energetic village. The really important stimulus to the growth of Pawla was provided by the construction of the tramway from Valletta to Cospicua in 1906. This gave the residents the dual advantage of speedy access to both the capital and dockyard and it captured the bulk of the stream of population which when faced with a compulsory move from their overcrowded homes in the Three Cities had previously chosen Zabbar.

The regular seventeenth century street plan was preserved in the easterly extension of Pawla and gradually the streets and houses merged with those of Tarxien which was also becoming a dormitory suburb for the dockyard workers. Although there is now a continuous ribbon of development from the Marsa to Tarxien the contrast between the old village of Tarxien and Pawla is remarkable. In Tarxien there is no evidence of planning, the streets are narrow, twisting and there are "alleys delving seemingly at random into the built-up mass of houses" (160). These are the antithesis of the broad well-planned streets of Pawla which is called by the Maltese "Rahal Gdid" (the new town)。

The analysis of the growth of population made in Chapter Eight and figure 18, distinguished three classes of growth in suburban Nalta. The most striking was that which has already been discussed and was represented by the emergence of Sliema, Hamrun and Pawla. Together with St. Julians, the rate of their growth outstripped all other localities. Just outside the Harbour area

Birkirkara* Qormi and Zabbar were already important villages before the movement to the suburbs began but within the last thirty years they have all been linked by ribbon development to the harbours and the pace of their growth, also, has accelerated. Between 1851 and 1901 the population of Birkirkara increased by 2,000; in the next fifty years it increased by 8,000 and it is still growing. Then on the edges of the major nuclei mentioned, and linking them, are the satellites. Some like Hsida and Kalkara have a distinctive character whilst others like Gzira and Santa Venera are indistinguishable from their larger neighbours, but all of them have grown from almost nothing since the late nineteenth century. There is now a continuous built-up area from St. George 's Bay in the north to Pawla in the south and Attard in the west - a distance of five miles from north to south, and a similar distance from Valletta to Attard by way of Birkirkara.

Suburban Malta comprises those places in the continuously built-up area which together are becoming known as the Harbours conurbation. Zejtun (Fig. 60) lies just outside the suburban area and the morphology of the village is typical of the traditional rural centres, reproducing on a larger scale the irregular streets and alleys of Tarxien. It is a composite village with three distinct parts somewhat prosaically called Ta Fuq (the upper town). Ta Isfel (the lower town) and Ta Wara I'Knisja (behind the church). That part behind the church is the most recent and has
been built almost entirely within the last fifty years, but during the nineteenth century the village changed very little. In most of the villages of Malta new roads have been driven in to the centre of each village and along this axis one finds the school, parish church, dispensary, any new private building and the bus route to Valletta. One of the peculiarities of Zejtun is that the new houses have been built mainly on the larsaskala side of the village and, less important, on the Ghaxaq road where a minor concentration of houses occurs at Bir-i-Deheb. The importance of this latter road has been accentuated by the use of it as a bus route to Valletta which avoids the very narrow streets that lead directly into the centre of the village. A new road driven into the village from the west has come into use within the last two years and will almost certainly be the most coveted area for building in the near future.

In rural Malta villages such as Siggiewi and Zurrieq have not shown as vigorous signs of growth as Zejtun, and in Zurrieq there are hardly more than a handful of houses built in the last fifty years although the population has increased by two-thirds. Further north the pattern is different again. Mosta lies between the parishes of Rabat and Naxxar which in the eighteenth century and before the fragmentation of Mellieha: Mgarr and St. Faul's Bay, owned all the land north of the Victoria Lines. The colonisation of the latter districts however has been made mainly by

Mosta men who have always been short of land within the restricted limits of their own parish, and the incentive in the Mellieha area has been provided by Government grants of land made in the mid-nineteenth century on ninety-nine year emphyteutical leases. Figure 60 shows how Mellieha boomed in the second half of the century as the leases were taken up. But since then the marginality of these northern areas has been confirmed. The soil is shallow and plots are more scattered than in other parts of the Island, for some of the farmers in Mellieha now own land in plots which may be miles apart. Consequently, since improvements appeared in bus services and employment in the urban and suburban area there has been an exodus of the younger generation and Mellieha produced a steady flow of emigrants at a rate above the Maltese average.

The proportion of the population of Mgarr who are engaged in agriculture ( $73.3 \%$ ) is higher than anywhere else in Lalta, and the origin of the village and creation of this parish has been the product of the enterprise of farmers. Mosta farmers used to work the land in the vicinity of Mgarr during the early part of the nineteenth century, but gradually more of them built their houses nearer to the source of their livelihood. In 1898 the parish was created and since then it has demonstrated a slow but steady development less liable to fluctuation than Mellieha.

The parish and census locality of St. Paul's Bay is composed
of two elements. On the one hand there is the scattered agricultural population of Bumarrad, gawra, Ghajn Tuffieha and Tied tal Pwales who live on the margins of an irrigated valley of fertile alluvial soils. The other, nucleated, element is on the northern ('delia') and southern ('Xemxija') sides of St. Paul's Bay. The ribbon of development has the characteristics of a holiday resort and most of the population living here are present for only a few months in the year - from Nay or June to September - and spend the remaining months in the Harbours conurbationo Recently, St. Paul's Bay has shown promise of attracting significant numbers of overseas visitors during the tourist season if the scale of accommodation were to be increased.

Among the new villages along the south-east coast, Birzebbuga is unique. Forty years ago it was rather like St. Paul's Bay in the nineteenth century, and consisted of a cluster of seaside summer residences. Since then the Admiralty have established a naval base at Kalagrana and Birzebbuga has become a terminus for oil-tankers and a depot for the storage and distribution of fuel and diesel oil. Also at nearby Hal Far there is an airbase manned by the Americans and British. Employment opportunities have therefore expanded tremendously and the population has grown from 1200 in 1921 to 5,300 in 1948.

The war of 1939-45 caused the destruction of most of Valletta and the Three Cities. In 900 days the Island was subjected to

3,310 alerts as a result of which 30,024 houses were damaged and 3,764 persons injured. The siege ended in November 1943 and Valletta was left in a desolate condition, ... "huge masses of bomb debris and material blocking large areas; ao. but clearance on a large scale was carried out with the greatest possible speed and the re-opening of all roads and the removal of demolition material and dangerous structures from inside and outside buildings was completed within a few months. The clearance of Floriana, Sliema and the principal villages was simultaneously taken in hand" (161).

By 1955, the reconstruction of lower-density housing in the urban area was virtually complete and it was possible to divert attention to the establishment of planning schemes for the rapidly developing areas in the towns and villages. In 1955, the first project was announced for the area between Bahar ic Caghaq and Salina, "which will provide a self-contained community with church, school, shopping centre and open spaces" (162). This area was chosen because it was relatively barren 'Xaghra' and offered good scope for development as no agricultural land was involved. However, the scheme has not yet been implemented and a more recent scheme has taken precedence. This is a community project on a by-pass to the south of Tarxien. The scheme is designed to house a community of 6,000 in three neighbourhood units and by the middle of 1959 the first of a series of "point-
block" flats were nearing completion. Another community development is now being planned for an area near Msierah where some of the overflow from the northern Harbour area will be housed. Building on small sites in other villages continues and consolidation of the present built-up area is the key to a programme which is hoping to restrict any further ribbon development.

## Chapter Twenty-One。 Internal Movement, 1891-1957.

The most important feature of settlement growth during the last hundred and fifty years has been the emergence of suburban Halta. It has already been suggested that in its earliest stages this derived primarily from the overspill of population from the overcrowded urban areas rather than a movement from the rural areas towards the Dockyards. This theme is elaborated by the evidence of internal movement which is available from the 'Birthplace and Residence' tables in the Censuses of 1891, 1901, 1911 and 1948. For the most recent trends of internal movement the records of the Food Rationing Office, established in the last war, have to be consulted. A third important source of information is provided by Table 15, in the 1948 Census, which gives the only analysis of the origin, by localities, or persons not living in their birthplace. The latter information is particularly valuable for the analysis of the origin of persons who have colonised the lands north of the Great Fault, but of course it does provide data on the sources and destinations of the computed net movements for each locality.

The level of internal movement between individual localities has been represented in figure 61 as a series of net movements. These are derived from the Census tables by measuring the differences between the numbers "immigrant to" and "emigrant from" each locality. A person living outside his birthplace is

Figure 61.

considered immigrant to the place of his residence and the total inward movement to a locality is found by subtracting the numbers born and resident in f from the total resident in each locality. The outward movement is the difference between the numbers born in each locality and those born and still resident in that same Iocality. Thus the net movement (Appendix E, table 28) is derived directly from the data on birthplace and residence (Appendix $E$, table 27).

The inward and outward movements do not, however, balance. This is because there is, at each Census, a net inward movement from Gozo which is supplemented by the inclusion of the overseasborn population in the inward movement. The 1948 Census showed almost the whole of the Gozitan movement was to the urban and inner-suburban localities: $40 \%$ were living in Sliema, St. Julians and Gzira, over 35\% in Hamrun, Marsa and Santa Venera, $12 \%$ in Valletta and Floriana and $14 \%$ in Pawla, Tarxien and Zabbar, but the number in the Three Cities was very small and fewer than the total of persons born in the Three Cities living in Gozo. Of the foreign-born persons, $45 \%$ were in Sliema, St. Julians, Gzira. Msida and Fieta, $10 \%$ in Valletta and Floriana, $8 \%$ in Hamrun, Santa Venera and Marsa, and the remainder scattered elsewhere with comparatively high concentrations at Rabat, Wdina and Birzebbuga. It is, therefore, in these territories particularly that net outward movements of Malta-born persons are most likely to be concealed.

One of the crudest but most effective indices of movement over a long period is shown by the number of persons living in the place of their birth.

Table 37。
Fercentage, living in their birthplace

|  | 1891 | 1901 | 1911 | 1948 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malta | 76 | 73 | 73 | 65 |
| Gozo | 79 | 81 | 83 | 77 |

(for localities see Appendix $E_{\text {: }}$ table 27)
In MaIta, the percentage has declined by $11 \%$ since 1891 , but in Gozo it has fallen by only $2 \%$. Whereas the internal mobility of the population of lialta has gcown, that of Gozo has changed hardly at all. This suggests that in Gozo the choice which congronts the average person dissatisfied with home conditions is whether to emigrate overseas, or perhaps, as an interim step, to move to Malta. Few Gozitans who remain in Gozo live or marry outside their native villages. In Malta, on the other hand, improvements in the roads and the introduction of bus services since 1930 have greatly increased the mobility of the population. Nevertheless there is in Halta a considerable range of responses. In 1948, the highest percentage living in their birthplace were in Mgarr ( $89 \%$ ) and the percentage remained above 80 in the areas furthest from the Harbours conurbation (that is the north, west.
and south-east). In the central parts the level was about 75\%, and the lowest rates were in the urban and suburban areas. Only $36 \%$ of those born in Floriana were still living there in 1948 and in the Three Cities which were evacuated during the war the level was generally lower (Vittoriosa 36\%, Cospicua 28\%, Senglea 26\%)。 At the earlier Censuses the pattern was similar although the pro= portions in the urban and suburban areas were rather higher (about $70 \%$ ). Then, the Iowest percentages were in hosta, Lija, Attard, Balzan and Naxxar, but in none did they fall below $60 \%$.

A comparison of the levels of internal movement between localities allows the distinction of four zones of inward movement. four of outward movement and one stable area (see figure 61, inset).
A. Inward Piovement
I. suburban (high)
2. northern rural (moderate)
3. south-eastern (Harsaxlokk Bay) (moderate)
4. central (low)
B. Outward Movement
5. urban (moderate)
6. central. Zebbug/Qormi (moderate)
7. north-central, Hosta/Naxxar/Gharghur (moderate)
8. southern, Zurrieq to Zejtun (Iow)
C. Stable
9. Western plateau

It has already been seen that the urban population has been declining since 1861. The net outward movement from these areas in 1891 and 1901 is partially concealed by the inward movement to them of a considerable portion of the foreign-born population: in 1891, $\mathrm{I}_{*} 528$ persons living in Valletta and Floriana were born abroad (I90I, I, 592), and 592 persons immigrant to the Three Cities were born abroad (1901, 779). However, by 1948 the outward movement had been inflated by the wartime evacuations and 25,000 people born in Valletta, Floriana and the Three Cities were Iiving elsewhere in 1948. Of these over 5,000 (from the Three Cities) were in Pawla and 2,400 in the northern suburbs. There were also more than a thousand in Zabbar, Hamrun and Birkirkara, and more than 500 from the Three Cities in Kalkara and Birzebbuga. The net outward movement from Valletta and Floriana was concentrated on the northern suburbs (SIiema, St. Julians etc.) 6,400, and Hamrun. Santa Venera and Harsa, 2,800. The maps show clearly how Sliema, Hamrun and Pawla dominated the suburban scene at the beginning of this century and were the source of a consistently high level of movement. Hot until 1948 did St. Julians, Gzira, Fieta and Marsa gain a significant part of the total movement to the suburbs. On the suburban fringe there has been an inward movement to Zabbar and Luqa since 1911, and a small but consistent movement to Balzan and Attard, although not to Lija on which site there has been hardiy any room for developo
ment. In the north there has been an inward movement to Mellieha, Mgarr and St. Paul's Bay, but Mellieha on marginally productive land has been the first to show signs of a decline. The movement to St. Paul's Bay, on the other hand, in 1948 has been exaggerated by the overseas-born and a proportion of persons who moved from Valletta and Hamrun during the war. In the southeast the growth of Birzebbuga has already been discussed but there is a fusion of quite different elements. Host are from the dockyard area ( $25 \%$ ), but $12 \%$ are from Zurrieq。

After the urban area, the villages which have made the greatest contributions to suburban growth are Zebbug/8ormi and zejtun. There has also been a small but steady outward movement from the other villages in the south of the Island. There has been a regular flow from Hosta which has been consistent since 1891, and the 1948 Census showe that the bulk of it was directed towards Mgarr, St. Paul's Bay and that part of the parish of Naxxar which was north of the Victoria Lines. In contrast to the area further north, the western plateau has shown signs of remarkable stability.

## Internal Movement, 1941 to 1957.

Elaborations of the trends of growth concealed in the Census data of 1948 are made possible by a study of the Food Rationing Office records which have been compiled fortnightly since the early years of the war and provide the data for the compilation

Figure 62.

of intercensal population estimates for localities. The popuIation estimates for December of each year, from 1941 to 1957 are reproduced in the recently published 1957 Census (see Appendix $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ table 8a).

Whereas most parts of the Islands showed no increase in population during the early years of the war (Fig. 62) and there was a decline in urban Malta when Valletta, Floriana and the Three Cities were partially evacuated, most of the displaced population nevertheless remained as near to their homes as possible. Wany moved no farther than Zabbar, Pawla and Hamrun but others went to Birkirkara, the Three Villages (Balzan, Lija, Attard) and even as far as Rabat, Dingli, St. Faul ts Bay and Mgarr. As the worst of the air raids passed and it became safer to return to the vicinity of the Harbour area, the inflated populations of these places began to decIine. However, Hugh Braun in his survey of war-damage (163) says that of the inland villages only those near to the airfields suffered much damage from bombings: the worst hit were Luqa, Kirkop and Hosta. of Iuqa, Braun said: "Its proximity to the most important airfield in the Island has caused the village to suffer most cruelly from bombing; its streets present a bad spectacle of ruin.".

The rehousing of the displaced population began in 1943 but did not gather real momentum until after the war. In 1941 the population of the Three Cities was only 6,000 (less than a
quarter of the prewar population) ; by 1948 it was $11_{0} 400$ and in 1957, 18,200. Between 1948 and 1957 the population of Pawla fell by 3,400 and in the same period the populations of Sliema and St. Julians each fell by more than a thousand. The overall trends of movement during the evacuation and rehabilitation of the Harbour area are shown in the inset map on figure 61.

A detailed analysis of the Food Rationing records was undertaken to elaborate the most recent trends of movement. The period chosen was from 1949 until 1956, i.e. the years for which records were available while the writer was in Malta. The quality of the material was, however, not very satisfactory and the data was not good enough to give full weight to the calculated movements between each locality. Theoretically, the statistics were the ideal source of data for the measurement of internal movements and it was hoped that they might be the basis of a study similar in type to that made by $M$. P'. Newton and J. Re Jeffreys of internal migration in England and Wales from the National Register (164). The Food Rationing Office records distinguish movements to/from Abroad, Districts, Hospitals, Institutions and the Services, Births and Deaths. Only the movements between districts and localities were considered in this particular analysis and whereas the Census data, previously discussed, interpreted movement from data on birthplace and residence, this provided a measurement of the actual annual movement
to and from each locality.
The Iimitations of the material are apparent from the following summary table。

Internal Wovement, maita, 1949-1956。
Table 38.

| Year | Inward | Outward | Difference | Year | Inward | Outward | Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1949 | 9512 | 9220 | +292 | 1953 | 8500 | 8647 | -147 |
| 1950 | 8106 | 7389 | +717 | 1954 | 10170 | 10250 | -80 |
| 1951 | 6952 | 6635 | +317 | 1955 | 10419 | 10185 | +234 |
| 1952 | 7532 | 7873 | -341 | 1956 | 8985 | 7597 | +1388 |

The total registrations of inward movement should equal the total outward but in actual fact there was a net difference between the two tables; over the eight years, of 2,380 in 137,972 movements or an error of $1.72 \%$. In the worst year, 1956, it equalled $8.4 \%$ of all movements, in four years it was more than: $2 \%$ and in three years only, was it less than $1 \%$. For this reason annual fluctuations in the trends for localities are not held to be significant.

The net movements for localities over the eight years for which records were available, show that the areas to gain most of the inward movement were the Three Cities, Gzira, Floriana and Pawla/Tarxien (Fig. 62). In each of these there have been large building programes, but the decline in the population of Pawla in 1957 suggests that most of the projects are within the limits of

Tarxien. Sliema and St. Julians show only a small net inward movement, not surprising as building in these localities has declined. Further afield small inward movements were also recorded for Hosta, Luqa and Balzan. The latter is receiving some of the overspill from Birkirkara, and the other two which were severely damaged by bombs have witnessed building booms as part of the postmar recovery programme.

High outward movements are registered at Qormi, Valletta, Zejtun and Rabat. That from Valletta is surprising so soon after the postwar resettlement of the populations, but the trend of a declining resident population in the capital may become firmly established as the flats now being built in the city will do no more than relieve a part of the congestion in the overcrowded 'Kerrejas ${ }^{\text {: }}$ which are the multi-storey apartments providing a high proportion of the accommodation in Valletta. The net outward movement registered from Rabat is subject to query and there is the possibility that the figures may be distorted by inconsistent enumeration of school ehildren and students at the "Tal Virtus. Training College, who are in residence there for only part of the year. Net outward movements for Qormi and Zejtun are consistent with the higher rate of redevelopment in the inner zones of the suburban area which offer prospects of accommodation for the overflow from these villages.

In the villages further from the harbour with the exception
of Hosta, Luqa, Balzan and Ghaxaq, each showed small net outward movements.

The patterm of internal movement in the immediate future will probably be controlled by the attitude of the Government towards the sponsorship of housing projects and resettlement schemeso The development scheme on the Tarxien by-pass and the project for Msierah have already been mentioned and will attract the younger and newly-wed couples from the villages as well as the overspill from the Harbour conurbation. Any further projects are likely to be sited in the suburban ring, and probably not more than three miles from Valletta. Frivate building is devoted primarily to the construction of flats in Sliema, Gzira and Valletta. Small blocks of Iuxury flats are also being built in Attard and isolated sites are being acquired and built on rapidly in most villages. No estimates are available of the rate at which these remaining sites are being taken.

## Chapiter Twenty－Two．Other factors influencing the future pattern of settiement and population <br> growth。

Malta has an area of only 95 square miles and the island is served by adequate systems of public transport so that distance is not an important factor controlling the future planning of settlement．Undoubtediy，the buik of new developments will be concentrated within easy reach of the Harbour area，but perhaps two of the most important factors which will influence policy decisions over buildings：are the Iimitations imposed by the cons tinued existence of Far Department Clearance Areas and the vital need for a strict control of development to ensure the preser－ vation and conservation of the Island＇s water supply． War Department Clearance Areas．

Theoretically，the restrictions imposed by the Far Department upon building are not severe．There are four main areas in which they possess Clearance Rights：

1．the whole area of Harfa．Ghadira and Selmun in the north．
2．the north－east coast from St．Paul＇s Bay to Zonqor Point。
3．the south－east coast from Marsaskala to Hal Far，reaching inland as far as the limits of Zejtun and Ghaxaq．

4．the Victoria Lines．
In addition there are small plots on the periphery of the airfields and surrounding inland military installations as well as coastal strip near 哖garr，between 肘ahleb and Ghajn Snuber。

Within these areas if any person wishes to build he must first receive the approval of the military authorities, who claim that permission is rarely withheld, but in fact the existence of this formality serves effectively to restrict the number of applications which are submitted. The only villages within the Clearance areas are Harsaskala, Marsaxlokk and Birzebbuga; there, building apparently proceeds without undue military interference. Conservation of the Water Supply.

At. this stage it is well to emphasise that Malta is the most densely populated island of its size in the world, "and as a result of the constantly increasing demands for more water for domestic use, more water for local industries, more water for agricultural irrigation, and more water for defence establishments, the problem of maintaining an adequate piped supply of good quality water the year round becomes one of considerable difficulty." So wrote Morris in 1952, in his report on the water supply resources of the Maltese Islands (165) a

The seriousness of the problem which Morris tackled is underlined by the recent high increases in consumption. Morris estimated that the probable maximum supply available from the opper and Lower tables would be about 2,500 million gallons, and if the population growth continued at the present rate then with a per capita consumption of 20 gallons per head per day, the potential capacity would be fully utilised by 1974. In actual fact though
the rate of population growth has not much exceeded his estimate, which was of 298,000 in 1961, (actually probably reached by 1959) but whe total water consumed in $1956 / 57$ was 2,460 million gallons, and the daily per capita consumption 23 gallons.

Morris's estimates of the potential supply may have been conservative, but it is all too obvious that a critical stage is being reached in which the island's capacity to supply more water cannot be stretched much further. This is not the place to discuss in detail the measures which are being taken to solve the problem. The main lines of planned development are fully described in Morris's report, and these still form the basis of current works. Increases in supply are being sought from the perched water tables of Miziep and Bingemma, and by the sinking of new galleries in other parts of the Upper Coralline areas. During the past fifty years the salinity of water pumped from the Lower Water Table has been steadily increasing and it is felt that further demands on water from this level must be strictly limitedo

Pollution of water is also contributing to the deterioration of the present main sources of supply. Host of the surface area of Malta has been under cultivation for ages, is occupied by buildings or roads and only a fraction remains in its original bare rocky condition. Yet the only water of composition suitable for human consumption has to be drawn from underground sources within this area, nowhere more than 300 feet and often less than

Figure 63.

MALTA - WATER SUPPLY.


100 feet below the surface, and from limestone formations seamed through and through with open fissures.

In recent years between 80 and $90 \%$ of the island's water supply has been drawn from the Lower Coralline formation south of the Victoria Lines fault (Fig. 63). This formation rises above sea level over an area of 57.5 square miles in this zone and a large part of the replenishment of this main sea-level water table takes place through the small inliers of the lower corralIine limestone which outcrop in the centre region of the island. "Every effort should be made to conserve these outcrops and all the channels which drain into them, in as clear and effective a condition as possible. Their importance in the Island 's water supply regime is completely out of proportion to the relatively small superficial area which they occupy. It should be arranged that any planned redistribution of the congested villages of the interior shall take place in directions away from these inliers, for the valleys which cross them are already too well favoured as receptacles for all types of household refuse and filth. All underground water supplies in Malta travel but little way from the surface before they reach the works designed for their appropriation, and it is foolish to add gratuitously to the Miedical and Health Department 's work of maintaining the bacteriological purity of the supply."

The areas to which this warning by fiorris apply are princi-
pally near Naxxar, Mosta, St. Julians, the south-coast, Harsaskala and Xghajra. The places where pollution is most serious are at Mosta and liaxxar and the unfortunate ribbon development on the road from mosta leading to St. Paul's Bay seems to be proceeding unchecked despite this warning made some years ago.

The Upper Coralline areas cover about one quarter of the total surface area of the Island; they are the natural "upland catchments" of the Island, most remote from the main urban concentrations and preserving to a greater extent than any other area their original bare rocky character. Hitherto their utilisation has been impeded by a disinciination to alter the old-fashioned and ill-informed legal conceptions of the sub-surface rights of private landowners. The convenient altitude of the plateau was responsible for the early utilisation of the marginal springs to supply water for the Harbour towne. Although the farming consumption of water in these parts is high there is still much left to be tapped and exploited by strike galleries and the utilisation of the northern eynclinal structures of Bingemma-Fardia, and Bajda-Mellieha (Miziep) for new and previously untapped supplies as well as to act as underground storage reservoirs is already under way.

A conflict of interests over the use of these areas was recognised by Morris, but the validity of his arguments for the proper use for the area is most convincing. "The main function
of the Upper Coralline areas in the Island ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ natural internal economy should be that of catchment areas for the public water oupply, and their strict conservation for this purpose should have prior claim to all other aspects of their present and future utilisation. Whe clash of interests between the local agricultural communities and the much more numerous arban section of the population is inevitable and must be faced, the sooner the bettero At the same time as providing the only direction in which the Island ${ }^{\circ} s$ supply of perpetually fresh water can be increased, the Upper Coralline areas are practically the only parts of the Island in which extensions of cultivatable land are still possible: Such is the problem a. Again, quoting from Morris, "in view of the small extent of the Upper Coralline, it is essential that any further extension of towns, villages and military instalations in these areas should be avoided." The southward extension of Rabat beyond Hal Bajda should be prohibited and expansion should be limited to the already polluted Gharixiem side of the ridge ooo Dingli should be confined within its present limits and the villages of Mgarr and Zebbien should not be allowed to spread into the catchment area of the Bingemma syncline. A special plea is made for the conservation of Miziep in its present state of freedom from settlement。 (actually there are 8 farms in the valley.)

In brief the main points which Morris made were thatio

Io the main Sea Level Water Table is being pumped to capacity, and no increase in production can be expected from this direction. If further demands are made, the saIinity of the supply will increase substantially.
2. Any further increases will have to come from the Upper Coralline Water Table. The storage capacity of this area must be increased, as the run-off from springs closely follows rainfall and the retained reserves are smallo $A$ surface reservoir should be constructed at Fiddien (this work is already under way) and smaller ones elsewhere.
3. The perched water tables north of the Victoria Lines will provide a very large storage potential and a new source of high quality water.
4. The sea-level water tables in the Upper Coralline can be utilised to provide irrigation water for agriculture.
5. Strict control on the direction and extent of building to prevent any further contamination of the supplies Special efforts should be made to preserve the catchment areas of the Upper Coralline, and the outcrops of the Lower Coralline south of the Victoria Lines.
6. The retention of surface run-off by the construction of dams to impede the flow and promote percolation to the underlying storage areas.

Within the Water and Electricity Department there is now a special Hydrological Section whose task it is to make a detailed survey of all the underground supplies, present and potential. and ensure their proper conservation (166). Following on the work of Dro. Porris, intensive surveys in this field are of paramount importance for the continued welfare of the faltese people, and here a unique opportunity for far-sighted planning, if taken, may increase the effective supply to a Ievel considerably in excess of the wary estimates of Morris. To ignore the seriouse ness of the situation will certainly provoke disaster. The present supply in years of low rainfall is barely adequate, and in the autumn of 1956/57, when the numbers in the island were augmented by the inclusion of several thousand troops standing by during the Suez crisis, fresh water had to be imported in tankers from Sicily. At the present rate of population growth this could very shortly become a regular and embarrassing necessity unless every aid is given to the solution of the problem now.

A mention should be made, for the sake of completeness, of the position in Gozo. There, the rate of population growth has been much lower and the situation is not nearly as serious as in Malta. Nevertheless there are signs that the sea-level galleries are being over-pumped, and that a return will have to be made to the perennially fresh water of the Upper Coralline catchments in
order to maintain a supply of potable water and to meet the growing demand. As in Halta, the villages on the Upper Coralline outorops should be restricted within their present limits as far as possible.

## Conclusion.

There are some points, that have emerged in this thesis. which are worthy of emphasis and in conclusion may be briefly drawn together.

As we have seen; the Maltese Islands are small, they are topographically and edaphically difficult and water shortage has been shown to be a dominant feature of climate which imposes an inevitable control upon human effort.

Until recently the growth of population and settlement in Malta has been affected by these elements and one other - the locational and strategic value of the Islands to outsiders. This last factor has enabled the physical factors to be ignored in all but detailed reactions. Internal demographic variations illustrate this very clearly.

Fopulation growth is still rapid and the latent natural increase is very high. Fopulation pressure threatens to become more explosive each year. Emigration, the safety valve, has not been as effective a control as crude statistics appear to indicate, and the dependence on favourable overseas circumstances and policies concerning emigration is becoming ever greater.

The conflict between welfare measures, which will raise the rate of population increase and living standards on the one hand, and economic measures, which require a lowering of the deperdence
on factors outside Maltese control, is obvious.
All the measurable trends point to an increasing danger in that the intrinsic Maltese resources, other than position, are becoming diminished in value while all trends in human and social affairs show a desire for greater independence of action. The controls of physical environment, therefore, have become obscured at the same time as the finality of those controls becomes complete. Ultimately, there is no escape from reality。
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## APPENDIA A. Haterial consulted in the preparation of

 Maps and Diagrams illustrating the text.
## Figure

## Source

1. nil.
2. compiled by the author from the Censuses and drawn by J.C.D.
3-7. based on material held in the Central Office of Statistics, and the Archbishop 's Curia, Valletta.
3. based on a synthesis map prepared by the British Petroleum Co. Ltdo and additions made by the Durham Colleges ${ }^{\text {Geology Department as a result of a survey }}$ in 1955.
4. see Appendix B.
5. for $1500-1800$, Landry (1949), p.55.
1800-1900, " " p. 61.

1950, United Nations Demographic Yearbook, 1956.

1I. Woytinsky (I953): UoN. Demographic Yearbook, 1956.
12. Bonnici (1949), for the dating of foundations. Reconstruction of the Parish limits in 1436 is based on information in "Documenti su MaIta e Gozo" (1843): but the parishes of Mellieha and Tartari which were also created in 1436, soon disappeared and are not shown on this map. Mellieha was reconsecrated in 1840 and Dingli (Tartari) was reconsecrated in 1678.

13,14。 see Appendix $\mathrm{E}_{\text {, }}$ tables 1 and 3.
15. 1824, "Plan of the Islands of Plalta and Gozo." by Lt. Worsley, Royal Engineers.

1895: "Map of the Island of MaIta" by Capt. E. M. Woodward, based an the 6." map. (1895 Survey) by the Royal Engineers, Malta.
1957, $2^{n}$ map by the Geographical Section, General Staff, War Office: revised during Land Use Survey by the Durham Colleges Geography Department, 1957. (Figure 15 was drawn by J.C.D.)
16-21. Censuses of the Maltese IsIands and the Statistical Abstract, 1956; see Appendix E, table 3.
22. Censuses; Stat. Abstros 1956.

23-26. Censuses.
27. Censuses; Stat. Abstron 1956.
28. Censuses; Royal Commission on Population, vol. 2.
29. Hifaltese Islands - Stat. Abstron 1955 :

England and Wales, RoG.'s Statistical Review for 1955 vol. 2.

Australia, Australian Demographic Yearbook, 1956.
30. as for Figure 28.
31. Census 1948.
32. 1826 to 1880. Price (1954). pps. 228-9.

1881 to 1921, Blue Books.
32. contd. 1922 to 1956, Medical and HeaIth Department Reports. - for details see Appendix $E$, table 9. 33. Landry (I949), Woytinsky (1953), U.N.D.Y.Bop 1957. 34. U.N.D.Y.B., 1956.
35. World Population and Resources (1955), pel74 for nuptial fertilities of Ireland, Foland, Italy, France and U.S.Aa; the information on Australia, Great Britain and the Maltese Islands is drawn from "Australia Yearbook: 1956", "Royal Commission on Population, vol. IIn (1950). Censuses of the lialtese Islands and official vital statistics. The fertility rates are calculated from three-yearly means of births, per thousand women/married women, 15-44 years.
36. 1861 to 1870, Price (1954). p. 225. 1871 to 1956, Appendix E, table 20. notes: 1842 to 1880, Maltese only; I88I to 1956 - Civil population, including Garrison families from 1901. After 1871 the statistics for each decade are standardised against the intercensal net migrations calculated from the Censuses and Vital Statistics.
38. see i. Royal Cormission (1912), Report, Minutes of Evidence and map of scheme by Binnie and Deacon.
38. contd. ii. Public Health Department Reports, I897-1935.
iii. Medical and Health Department Reports „ 1936 - 1956 iv. map drawn by Binnie, Deacon and Gourley in 1955.

- plans in Public Works Department, Valletta.

39-41. P.H.D. and H. \& H.D. reparts.
42. A) and C). Appendix $E$, tables 12 and $13 ;$ B) Appendix E, table 22.
43. Appendix Es table 14.
44. ibid.
45. sources indicated on the diagram.
46. Customs and Fort Department Statistics (annual abstracts)
47. Emigration Department, and Customs and Fort Department repiorts: 1955 and 1956.
48. Appendix $E$, tables 21 and 22.
49. Australia Yearbook, 1956; Kirk (1947); Woytinsky (1953).
50. Appendix $E$, table 24.
51. Appendix E, table 26, and Department of Emigration reports.
52. Appendix $E$, tables 19 and 23.
53. Appendix E, table 23.
54. Unemployment - Department of Labour reports; position at the 3lst December each year.

Occupational trends - I. an analysis of Waltese workers in H.M. Dockyard (see Ph.D. thesis by W.A.C. (1960)).
54. contd. II. Census of Agriculture, 1956; Appendix $K$, table 3, whole- and part-time workers.
III. Department of Emigration, Labour and Social WeIfare Report, 1956 „table 6 。

| Rates | I | II | III |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| high | $>7 \%$ | $>11 \%$ | $>10 \%$ |
| moderate | $5-7 \%$ | $5-10 \%$ | $7-9 \%$ |
| Iow | $2-4 \%$ | $2-4 \%$ | $506 \%$ |
| $\nabla$. Iow | $42 \%$ | $<2 \%$ | $<5 \%$ |

55. D. of E.L. \& S.W. Report for 1956, table 9.
56. Statistical Abstracts for 1955 and 1956.
57. a) Physiography. This map was compiled and drawn by J.C.D
b) see notes to figure 12 。
58. see Appendix C.
59. reproduced from the Six Inch Map of lialta, see also Appendix C.
60. 1861 - Six Inch Hap of the Fortress of Halta (surveyed by the Royal Engineers during the years 1858-62). 1895 - Two Inch Map by Capt. E. M. Woodward based on the FoWad. Six Inch Map of 1895.

1957 - Aerial photographs (six inches to a mile) taken in August 1957 (Directorate of Overseas Surveys).
60. contd. VaIuable assistance was also received from the Public Works Department, Valletta; who hold a large stock of plans indicating property rights.
61. Appendix E, table 28.
62. Appendix E, tables 8a. and 30.
63. Morris (1952), modified from piates 8 and 9.

APPENDIX B．Sources of Population Estimates made before 1842．

Estimates in Table 4 ，page ．Figure 9，and Appendix $\mathrm{En}_{n}$ Table 1. Year Source

991 Census 1948＂po vi。
1240 Melita Historica＂，षoI．2，no．1．p．24．
1400 ibid．
1528 Report of the Commissioners to the Order of St．John， quoted by Boisgelin（1805），de Vertot（1728）and others．

1530 see note（a）below．
1565 see note（c）below．
1582 an estimate made by Monsignor Visconti for Pope Gregory XIII：quoted in the Census 1881，p． 2.

1590 ＇census＇by the Knight，de Quadra．＇MeIita Historica ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ， op．cit．

1632 see Abela（1647），also Boisgelin（1805）．
1741 Census 1881，p．2．see note（d）．
1760 Ciantar（1772）．see note（d）．
1798 Baisgelin（1805）．
180\％；＂Almanacco di Maltar，180\％．
1813 Burrill（1852）．
1823 Blue Book of Halta．
1828．a＂census＂compiled from the records of Farish priests： recorded by Miege（1840）voI．I，p．154．

1837 郘tson（1838）。

1842 The First Census of the Maltese IsIands, 1842。
Note (a). the population in 1530.
Bosio, the sixteenth century ohronicler of the Knights, suggested that in 1400 the population could not have been more than 9,000 or $10_{2} 000$ and when the Commissioners to the Knights arrived in 1528 they estimated the total population to be about 17, 000; The advent of the Order two years later brought a large contingent of followers and servants from Rhodes with the Knights, and it is thought that 4,000 Greek Rhodiotes who had accompanied the Knights throughout their travels tried to settle down with their masters in Birgu (now called Vittoriasa) (1). In all, in 1530, the Knights and their followers probably totalled as many as 5,000 and the number of natives in the Islands must have been at least 20,000 .

Although Bosio does not estimate the population in 1530 he gives the number of 'hearths" as 5,000 in MaIta. More detail is given for Gozo where the population of 4,659 is five times the number of hearths there. Bosio's estimate for the entire population, therefore, approaches 30,000 (2). Set against this and comparable with the first figure is a contemporary estimate made by Fra Joannus Quintinus who put the native population at this time as 20,000 (3).

Note (b). the sacking of Gozo in 1551.
In the years prior to the Great Siege of 1565 whilst the
population grew steadily in Halta, Gozo was virtually laid waste as the result of an invasion in I55l carried out by a Turkish Armada under the Ieadership of Dragut. The besieged population sheltered for some time in the citadel but they were eventually compelled to surrender. Bosio says that between 5,000 and 6,000 Gozitans were taken away as slaves (4) but this may have been somewhat exaggerated as the total population was only 5,000 in 1.530.

Note (c). The Great Siege of 1565.
The Siege which lasted for nearly four months has been well documented and we know that in the defence of Walta 9,000 members of the Order took part (5). The civil population of Malta and Gozo at that time was about 22,000 (6). At the opening of the campaign the people of Malta gathered within the protective wails of Senglea, Birgu and Mdina. Two Commissioners were appointed to find out the number and condition of the civilians and soldiers that had taken refuge or were based in Senglea and Birgu and they reported that 17,000 within the walls had the means to buy bread, but 7,000 could not afford any and were supplied free. A further 4,000 sheltered in Mina but fared better as supplies were more plentiful and both cattle and fodder were available (7). These details show that the population of ivalta was then over 28, 000 and the Gozitans must have numbered between 2,000 and 3,000。

Casualties suffered during the Siege were heavy and Zabarella concludes that about 6,000 men under arms and 2,000 civilians and slaves died either from wounds or disease (8). Bosio says that after the Siege the civilian population had been reduced to $20_{n} 000$ souls (9): the total population in that time fell from 31, 000 to around 23,000 .

Note (d). the reconstruction of Ciantaris population estimates for 1760 .

Only two detailed estimates of population survived the years between 1632 and 1807\% individually, each was inadequate of these. In 1.741 an estimate was made by a member of the Order for Grand Master Despuig. Despite an obvious overstatement of the total population there remains, nevertheless, a valuable statement of the numbers and origins, by villages; of men
 supplement Ciantar's figures for 1760, which contain no estimates of the population of Valletta and the Three Cities.

Apart from a period of plague which hit the Islands in 1675 and caused the deaths of 11,300 persons (10), the available data suggests that the increase of population proceeded unifarmly in rural Malta if the estimates for 1632: 1760 and 1807 are compared. If the same rate of growth is accepted for the urban areas of Valletta and the Three Cities then the population of the latter would have been about 30,000 in 1760.

| Area | 1632 | 1741 <br> militia | 1760 | 1807 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Malta | 29,278 | 7,458 | 37,500 | 42,003 |
| Urban: Malta | 16,195 | 5,851 | 29,300 | 38,222 |
| Total | 45,468 | 13,309 | 66,800 | 80,225 |

Secondly; if the same rate of recruitment to the militia were operative in urban: and rural Malta; then the 5,800 men recruited in the urban area would be equivalent to a population of 29,300 there. With such close correlation between the two figures the population of Malta in 1760 has been assumed to be about 67,000. Note (e). Trends from $180 \%$ to 1842.

In 1807 there seems to have been an extraordinarily large expatriate population. They totalled 22,000 and it was said in. the contemporary accounts that in the 6 or 7 years preceding the plague of 1813, houses were fitted up like ships and several large vessels were converted into floating hotels (II)。

The plague of 1813 caused the loss of nearly 4,700 lives but of these fewer than 100 victims were from Gozo.

## References.

 2. see Appendix $F_{\text {, table }}$.
3. E. Re Leopardi, Filelita Historica", Vol.2, no.2, pol23.
4. Bo.sio (1594), vol. III\% pe605.

5．Zarmit T．（1926）＂pol31。
6．Erescott（1861），p．27。
7．Cassar Pa PMelita Fistoricar，vol．l，no．3．po．138。
8．ibid，p．197．
9．ibid，po205．quoting Bosic，op．cita， IIIn $_{n}$ p．776． 10．${ }^{\text {eD Documenti su Halta e Gozo }}$ ，1843，p． 176 ．

11．Census 1881，p．iii．

## APPENDIX C. The lost villages of Malta.

In the following table a large number of sites are identified which may have been the locations of villages at some time in the past, but have since been abandoned. Miost of them disappeared before the sixteenth century but some survived until late in the eighteenth century. The evidence of villages on these sites is based on a detailed examination of the Six Inch G.S.G.S. maps of Malta which were compiled from a ground survey of 1895 and contain information often obscured in recent years by the secondary growth of the suburbs.

The following features have been used in the identification of sites $\mathrm{t}=$

1. Church or chapel (75 sites). Where there is now anly a church or a chapel in an isolated position there was not necessarily a nucleated settlement in the neighbourhood and in fact chapels serving a locality of dispersed settlement were often situated in a lonely position of a prominent hill or rise. Some of the best examples of these are on the southern and eastern edges of the Rabat plateau. Often though, they are related to the features listed below and strengthen the impression that there may have been a hamlet at the site in question.
2. Roads (25). Concentrations of roads which resemble the ground plans of modern villages but are not accompanied by any signs of modern utility are important indications of former
nucleated settlements. (cf. Figs. 59 and 60).
3. Cisterns (15). The distribution of wells and cisterns shows many cases of remarkable concentrations which often suggest their former use as sources of domestic water supply. The aquifers are normally near the surface over most of the island and water is drawn for field irrigation from widely scattered wells and cisterns.
4. Field patterns (18). As a rule these show an almost geometrical regularity, with an open pattern in the flatter land, but they are modified by the contours and terracing on less regular surfaces. Characteristically, the field boundaries are rectilinear and any subdivisions by fragmentation imitate the parental pattern. Only those areas which contain fields that are a) strikingly irregular and small b) sometimes semi-circular or oval and c) grouped in a typical cluster contrasting with the surrounding pattern, are indicated below.
5. 'Hal' (12). The prefix 'Hal' is an indisputable indication of a village on the site at some earlier date. The word is derived from an Arabic root (Rahal), used also in Sicilian dialect (Casal) for a village or hamlet.

Some of the sites listed are still inhabited. Where this is so it is indicated in the table and attention should be paid to them as typesamples of the once predominant settlement form.

All map: references refer to the map in the end-pocket of this volume (Two-inch map of Malta, Fifth Edition, GowoGose: grid references based on the Universal Transverse Rercator projection)。



| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Sh.Lucia <br> S. Christu <br> Tal Karmu <br> St. Clement <br> S. Domenico <br> Sta. Maria Silch. <br> Sta, Nicola <br> Ste.Micola <br> S. Antonio <br> Tas Silch <br> S. Paulo | $\begin{aligned} & 560684 \\ & 564681 \\ & 570700 \\ & 579687 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Joined to Zejtum by aodern |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 584710 \\ & 587699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 605702 | I |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 592675 | $\pi$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 597669 | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |  |  | 4 Convent |
|  | 607669 | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) Ted DauI Hal Tmien | $\begin{aligned} & 598697 \\ & 593682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |  | x | $x$ | A compact modern hanlet of 15-20 households. |
| S. Geetano | 601682 | x | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  |
| 111) Bulebel il Xbir Maraisi Has Said | 572691 <br> 578667 <br> 591702 |  | $x$ | $\frac{x}{x}$ | I |  |  |
| Has Said | 591702 |  |  |  |  | $x$ | Inhabited but aiges of rocent rural depopulation here. |

## APPENDIX D. Comparative analysis of the Censuses of the Maltese Islands, 1861 to 1948.

The accompanying analysis classifies the information contained in the Censuses as follows :Section (1): Fundamental Tables.
I. Tatal Population.
II. Civilian Population.
III. Non-oivilian Fopulation.
the tables referring to the Civilian Population are sub-classified as follows:-

II A. Summary tables.
B. Detail tables.
i) distribution and increase.
ii.) age.
iii) marital status.
iv) accupations.
v) birthplace, residence and movement, nationality.
vi) education and lenguage.
vii) religion.

廿iii) dwellings。
ix) vital statistics and health.
x) asylums and penal institutions.
xi) MaItese abroad.

Section (2). Special Tables, of non-typical material.
a) Census of 1861 .
i) mortality, vital statistics。
ii) Judicial courts.
iii) miscellaneous.
b) Census of 1948.
i) accupations.
ii) maternity.
iii) birthplace。
iv) education.
v) households and dwellings.
c) Censuses of 1891 and 1901.
i) agriculture and livestock.

In the tables of the Comparative Analysis the following format has been adopted :-

Section (I).
column 1. description of subject.
2-10. Census and table number.

- the contents of the tables are then defined according to the type of analysis made, which can vary as follows :column 1l-15. by elements of the population. 16-17. by sex and age groups.

18-22. by IsIand, district and locality. 23. comparative tables.

Section (2).




Cosparative anawsis of casisssess 1861-1948


APPENTXX E. Statistical tables from official published and

## unpublished sources.

Population estimates-
Table I. Estimates of population of towns and villages, 1530-1828. Censuses of population.

Table 2. Civil population, by birthplace and nationality, 1842-1948.
.3. Population by localities: 1842 to I956.
4. Population, by sex, for districts of Malta and Gozo, 1842 to 1956.
5. Intercensal increases of population. 1842 to 1956.
6. Sex and Age-structure of the population, 1861 to 1948。
7. Marital statusn 1948 。

8a. Changes in estimated population of localities, 1941-1957
b. Population, by Localities, 195\%

## Vital Statistics.

Table 9. Births, deaths and marriages, 1826 to 1956. 10. Births, Malta and Gozo, 1921 to 1956.
11. Deaths, lialta and Gozo, 1921 to 1956.
12. Birth and Death rates, Malta and Gozo, 1921 to 1956.
13. Marriage rates "IValta and Gozo, 1936 to 1956.
14. Vital Statistics, Malta by localities for 1891/1901, 1921 to 1931, 1952 to 1955.
15. Births 1948 to 1956, by localities.
16. Deaths 1948 to 1956, by localities.

## Migration．

Table 17．Passports issued in lialta during the period 1881 to $19 \mathbb{R}$ 18．Destinations of migrants from Nalta，1881，1897，1911． 1915。

19．Emigrants by localities，1881，1911．1922／23 to 1929／30． 20．Passenger movements（a）Arrivals and Departures 1871 to 1901，（b）net annual movement， 1871 to 1901，（c．）net annual movement， 1901 to 1957．

21．Migration Statistics，1911／12 to 1939／40。
22．Wigration Statistics， 1945 to 195\％．
23．Emigrants by localities， 1948 to 1956。
24．Passage assistance， 1948 to 1956.
25．Nomination of migrants， 1952 to 1956 。
26．Age and sex of migrants， 1951 to 1956．

## Internal Movement．

Table 27．Persons born and resident in lalala，by localities in 1891：1901，1911．1948．

28．Internal net movements in Malta（1891，1901，1911，1948） 29．Percentage population living in the place of their birth in 1891，190In 191I， 1948.

30．Net internal movement between localities 1949 to 1956．

## Rural dispersed population．

Table 31．Dispersed population in Malta in 1891，1901，1931，1948， 1957（by 1957 Iocality groups）．


| Bb | Birzebbuga | Gs | Ghasri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gd Gudja | Gb | Gharb |  |
| Gx Ghaxaq | SL | San Lawrenz |  |
| Zq Zurrieq | Ski | Sannat and Munxar |  |
| Sa Safi | X | Xaghra |  |
| Kk Kirkop | Xk | Xewkija |  |
| Mq Mqabba | Nd | Nadur |  |
| Qr Grendi | QI | GaIa |  |
|  |  | GM | Ghajnsielem and Pigarr |

3. Goiza.

Vt Victoria
Kc Kercem
Zg. Zebbug

LOCALITY CODE.
(APPENDIX E). (used in Tables 15, 16 and 23).

MAITA.

| 1 | Attard | 23 | Mosta | 45 Zurrieq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Balzan | 24 | Mqabba |  |
| 3 | Birkirkara | 25 | Msida | GOZO. |
| 4 | Birzebbuga | 26 | Naxxar | 61 Ghajnsielem |
| 5 | Cospicua | 27 | Pawla | 62 Gharb |
| 6 | Dingli | 28 | Pieta | 63 Ghasri |
| 7 | Floriana | 29 | Gormi | 64 Kercem |
| 8 | Gharghur | 30 | Qrendi | 68 Nadur |
| 9 | Ghaxaq | 31 | Rabat | 69 Qala |
| 10 | Gudja | 32 | Safi | 70 San Lawrenz |
| 11 | Gzira | 33 | St. Julians | 71 Sannat |
| 12 | Hamrun | 34 | St. Paul's Bay | 73 Victoria |
| 13 | Kalkara | 35 | Santa Venera | 74 Xaghra |
| 14 | Kirkop | 36 | Senglea | 75 Xewkija |
| 15 | Lija | 37 | Siggiewi | 77 Zebbug |
| 16 | Luqa | 38 | Sliema |  |
| 17 | Marsa | 39 | Tarxien |  |
| 18 | Marsascala | 40 | Valletta |  |
| 19 | Marsaxlokk | 41 | Vittoriosa |  |
| 20 | Mdina | 42 | Zabbar |  |
| 21 | Mellieha | 43 | Zebbug |  |
| 22 | Mgarr | 44 | Zejtun |  |

## Population Estimates:

Hotes on the following estimates are found in Appendix Bo.

Estinates of population of town and villages
1530-1828
Table 1.

|  | 1530 | 1575 | 1632 | $(1741)^{1}$ | 1760 | 1807 | 1828 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 29659 | - | 52900 | 15032 | $\cdots$ | 93054 | 114230 |
| iinlta | 25000 | - | 49900 | 13309 | 66800 | 80225 | 98618 |
| Gozo | 4659 | - | 3000 | 1723 | $\because$ | 12829 | 15618 |
| Malta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V |  |  | 10744 | 3287 | $16500^{2 .}$ | 24546 | 27297 |
| C |  |  | 2770 | 901 | $4500^{2}$ | 6224 | 94,29 |
| S |  |  | 4050 | 950 | $4800^{2}$ | 4152 | 51.62 |
| Vi | 4000 |  | 3063 | 713 | $3500{ }^{2 .}$ | 3300 | 4566 |
| Bk | 4000 |  | 2500 | 603 | 3253 | 3810 | 4,991 |
| Qo | 2000 |  | 3327 | 1000 | 3726 | 3186 | 4:260 |
| Pa |  |  | 170 |  |  |  | $4+7$ |
| Za | 2500 | 300 | 787 | 345 | 2287 | 2542 | 3363 |
| T | 2000 | 500 | 690 | 216 | 900 | 910 | 884. |
| ind | See $\mathrm{Vi}_{i}$ | 800 | 2621 | 626 | 3115 | 3731 | 5538 |
| D | 1000 |  | 338 |  | 501 | 180 |  |
| Sg | 1500 |  | 1784 | 361 | 1788 | 2715 | 32 C 2 |
| Mo ) | 4000 | 580 | 1578 | 349 | 2126 | 3003 | 344.1 |
| N |  |  | 2085 | 242 | 1947 | 3020 | 2933 |
| Gg ) |  | 408 | 1200 | 193 | 795 | 949 | 1120 |
| L , | See Bk | 400 | 1184 | 242 | 978 | 882 | 1225 |
| Ba ? |  | 300 | 584 | 137 | 491 | 444 | 646 |
| A |  | 665 | 1376 | 179 | 870 | 731 | 957 |
| Zb | 2000 | 1200 | 2074 | 802 | 4000 | 4026 | 5001 |
| Lq | See T | 650 | 1082 | 304 | 1248 | 836 | 1235 |

Table I contd

|  | 1530 | 1575 | 1632 | (1741) | 1760 | 1807 | 1828 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zt | Bee Za |  | 1222 | 536 | 3529 | 4024 | 5113 |
| Gd | See T | 4 CO | 357 | 172 | 587 | 200 | 978 |
| GX | See $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ |  | 352 | 161 | 1009 | 1003 | 1166 |
| Zq | 2000 | 1015 | 1973 | 723 | 2490 | 3016 | 3191 |
| Sa. |  |  | 238 | 14) | 162 | 178 |  |
|  | See T |  | 373 | 59 | 270 | 300 | 574 |
| Mq |  |  | 354 | 164 | 708 | 703 | 842 |
| Qr | See Zq | 270 | 1024 |  | 682 | 224 | 1007 |
| GOZO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vt | 1794 |  | 1160 | 850 |  | 5100 | 5903 |
| Zg | 285 |  | 185 | 90 |  | 768 | 936 |
| Gb | 540 |  | 345 | 140 |  | 1459 | 1776 |
| Sir | 320 |  | 205 | 100 |  | 869 | 1058 |
| X | 545 |  | 350 | 163 |  | 2469 | 1788 |
| X2: | 510 |  | 325 | 200 |  | 1364 | 1660 |
| Nd. | 665 |  | 430 | 180 |  | 1800 | 2192 |
| GM |  |  |  |  |  |  | 305 |

istimates are of the lialtese pozulation only, except in 1632, when 4450 members of the Onder of St. John are included in Valletta, Cospicua, Senglea, and Vittoriosa. INotes: I. The number of nen available to forn a i.ilitia.
2. Estimatea from size of lualta in 174 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Sources: } & I 530 \\
& \text { I575 ège VoI. I, p. I5I (aiter aosie, } \\
& \text { J.U.P. ...H. VoI. 2, no. I, p. } 24 \\
& \text { (o土ter Duzina) }
\end{array}
$$

1632 anci 1760 Ciantor. Book I. Chapter $\varepsilon$.

| 1741 | Census 1881, p. 2. (from a <br> contemporary account) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1807 | Almanacco 1807. |
| 1828 | Hiàege Vól. 1, p. 151. |

## Censuses of Fopulation:

All the following tables refer to the Civil population only. Excepting tables 7 and $8 a$ they are comparative tables covering aspects of the statistics for which Census information is available during the whole of the period in which Censuses have been published. To them are added the 1956 estimates provided by the Central Office of Statistics. Estimates of the population of localities since 1941 and at the 1957 Census are given in tables 8 a and 8 b .

For reference to the Censuses in detail the individual Census volumes must be consulted. The range of information contained in them is shown in Appendix D.

Civil Population by birthplace and nationality
Table 2.

| Year | Total | Maltese |  |  | British | Foreign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maltarm } \\ \text { and Gozo } \\ \text { and } \end{gathered}$ | in ${ }_{\text {abroad }}$ | born abroad |  |
| 1842 | 113,864 | 111, 865 | - | - | 1,161 | 838 |
| 1851 | 122,693 | 120,484 | - | - | 1,301 | 908 |
| 1861 | 132,956 | 130,548 | - | - | 1,274 | 1,134 |
| 1871 | 140,883 | 139,034 | - | - | 849 | 1,000 |
| 1881 | 149,782 | 147,209 | - | - | 1,508 | 1.065 |
| 1891 | 165,037 | 161,671 | 159,960 | 1,711 | 1,643 | 1,723 |
| 1901 | 184,742 | 180,529 | 177,994 | 2,535 | 1,850 | 2,363 |
| 1911 | 211,564 | 207,269 | 204,761 | 2,508 | 2,438 | 1,857 |
| 1921 | 212,258 | 208,682 | 206,805 | 1,877 | 2,278 | 1,298 |
| 1931 | 241,621 | 235,159 | 233,035 | 2,124 | 5,514 | 948 |
| 1948 | 305,991 | 298,063 | 295, 185 | 2,878 | 7,469 | 459 |

Notes: ii) Before 1891, all British persons born in the Islands were considered Maltese.
iii) As from 1891, all persons born in the Islands are considered Maltese.
i) As from 1931, the Civil population includes the families of Services' personnel stationed in the Islands.
iv) Before 1891, and in 1948, the Foreign population includes a small proportion of Foreigners born in the Islands.
Source: Censuses of the Maltese Islands, 1842 to 1948.


| 0049 | 6，5ES | LS己れ | $\cdot+^{609 \varepsilon}$ | ＋2S\％ | ¢98 | 88દع | 2SOE | 9062 | L6LZ | ع®92 | 98S己 | бәтธx． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0082 | 8サれて | 968T | .$^{6295}$ | S9LT | 8TST | L己＋T | H¢टL | E6IT | 002T | 6501 | 406 | bexeyn |
| 00LT | 9872 | E82T | ${ }^{+}{ }^{\text {L9TL }}$ | OLZT | $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau T$ | 99LT | 980T | ¢86 | 2E6 | OT6 | 206 888 |  |
| 00TS | 6عE¢ | ＋2LT | ${ }^{+}+6$ TCL |  |  | ，${ }^{\text {，}}$ |  |  |  |  | 888 | esinqqəz．TG |
| 00\＆［ | T\＆${ }_{\text {L }}$ | 628 | －$+^{\text {T6L }}$ | $G T L$ | －${ }^{9+\text { P }}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\circ}$（ $\mathcal{C}$ L乙） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0081T | 086TL | TEL8 | TOLL | 0908 | ${ }^{+}+$HEzL |  | T609 | 0LLS | T6＋5 | 88Tك | 8G」れ | unq¢ə\％ |
| 00T！ | $8 T \varepsilon+$ | 6507 | L09E | $5+68$ | 0L9E | －こLट己 | ${ }_{7} 8 \mathrm{~L}$ | 82LT | 265T | 6＋7T | 8セとT | ebnt |
| 00T8 | $\varepsilon 6+2$ | 9545 | T9EG | 0965 | ك\％ | SOES | 9267 | 4867 | ＋887 | ＋06＋7 | 087 | sinqqer |
| 0072 | 0872 | ＋GEट | 8502 | 2SO2 | LE8T | 809 T | 89ET | 6921 | 6EटL | 866 | 048 | pxe27y |
| 00ヶて | LE9己 | T99］ | $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau$ | E92T | 960T | L90T | 588 | T¢8 | 299 | 689 | LS5 | －g |
| 00عट | 0，66T | S6LT | こT9L | ¢28T | 2695 | $9 \mathcal{S} 5$ | OTHL | LLTL | $T L E T$ | ＋80T | 260T | e¢TT |
| 006T | 069T | ع87T | $\angle 己 E \tau$ | 己TGT | LLET | 882T | 89己I | 062I | 002T | 9TIT | L60T |  |
| 0007 | 688每 | 6łટを | 9882 | ${ }^{\circ} L^{602 E}$ | －$\varepsilon^{6} \chi^{6 己+\varepsilon}$ | ${ }^{+} \mathrm{F} 8 \mathrm{EE}$ | LTOE | 9282 | 89L己 | 8092 | G9LZ | acxicit |
| 00Tع | ¢ | 6LLT | G89L | ．$\tau^{\text {己®0T }}$ | －$\varepsilon^{G 8 T}$ | $\therefore H_{+}(00 L)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Seg s，tnod ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{FS}$ |
| 0074 | 6＋6＋ | 86TE | Lع9己 | ${ }^{-} T^{G 692}$ | ${ }^{\circ} z^{L G E 己}$ | － 968 L | 9T9L | 6己t！ | SL6 | 549 | LOT | ఆपə TITər！ |
| 0092 | 98TL | TS2S | 9987 | E8LS | 6297 | LSCT | S987 | L86E | 8．88\％ | ＋2S¢ | 98\＆ع | casay |
| 000S | E8SH | $L \varepsilon ¢ \varepsilon$ | ĢEE | 6ટ¢์ | G92E | 2L6己 | 0782 | $5+82$ | TH92 | 895己 | 2592 |  |
| 00Lt | 6981 | 8ちこT | L80T | E96 | 408 | टT9 | T97 | STS | 765 | 907 | 己己れ | TT\％ิuT¢ |
| 00こ己 | 8โ己己 | L29］ | TLCT | L901 | ${ }^{2} 2^{S+2}$ | $H_{0}{ }^{\circ}(6 \varepsilon \tau)$ |  |  |  |  |  | xutst |
| 0047t | Eoszt | 0506 | －$\varepsilon^{5864}$ | HT＋8 | ${ }^{\circ} \tau^{\text {ITL }}$ | －$\underbrace{604}$ | 2ST9 | L9T9 | 9765 | \} $362+$ | $0 \% 6 \%$ | 7Bqus |
|  | $78 \varepsilon[$ | 286 | －$\varepsilon^{978}$ | 287 | ＋$\%$ | TEE |  |  |  | \} 8TS | TST | BUTpry |
| ed ${ }^{\text {des }}$ | 2097 | 4ヵ2 | 9482 | 0282 | 5902 | LE6T | 285T | $3+5 T$ | S92T | G60T | LT6 | $\overline{\text { TJint }}$ |
| 0049 | ここโ6 | 866ع | 7652 | ＋9L己 | ＋int | SSOT | ＋26 | 409 | －c9Lれ |  |  | sucti |
| 00LET | 9ZLTL | ع008 | ＋${ }_{\text {＋}}$ | 2TOL | $0 ¢ L 5$ | \％＇6\％ | S8T7 | $86{ }^{7}$ | L己Eか | L3LE | 762E | xuacioz |
| 0022 | 8902 | 6685 | 3695 | T67 | －$L^{3 S T L}$ | $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tau}(L 0 J)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0xumiov |
| 9562 | $3+6$ | TE6T | T26 | TT6T | T06T | TST］ | ［33T | TL3T | T93T | T， 3 \％ | 2\％3T |  |



Population, by sex, for Districts of Walta and Gozo. 1842-1956.
a) Maltese Islands Table 4.

| Year | Malta |  | Gozo |  | Total |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | M | F | Ii | F |  |  |
| 1842 | 47724 | 51798 | 6809 | 7533 | 54533 | 59331 |
| 51 | 52675 | 55355 | 6978 | 7685 | 59653 | 63040 |
| 61 | 57683 | 59814 | 7488 | 7971 | 65171 | 67785 |
| 71 | 60567 | 62925 | 8493 | 8898 | 69060 | 71823 |
| 81 | 65027 | 67102 | 8403 | 9250 | 73430 | 76352 |
| 91 | 72448 | 74036 | 8868 | 9685 | 81316 | 83721 |
| 1901 | 82506 | 82446 | 9488 | 10302 | 91994 | 92748 |
| 11 | 94458 | 94411 | 11143 | 11552 | 105601 | 105963 |
| 21 | 92127 | 97570 | 10618 | 11943 | 102745 | 109513 |
| 31 | 106169 | 111615 | 11288 | 12549 | 117457 | 124164 |
| 48 | 137453 | 140858 | 13212 | 14468 | 150665 | 155326 |
| 56 | 140155 | 148298 | 13222 | 14564 | 153377 | 162862 |

b) Districts of Maltá.

Table 4 contd.

| Year | Urban ${ }^{\text {K }}$ |  | Suburban |  | Iiral |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M |  | $F$ | H |
| 1842 | 24228 | 26173 | 6966 | 7422 | 16530 | 18203 |
| 51 | 25949 | 27294 | 8521 | 8758 | 18205 | 19303 |
| 61 | 27645 | 28710 | 10253 | 10261 | 19785 | 20843 |
| 71 | 27683 | 29424 | 11786 | 11630 | 21098 | 21871 |
| 81 | 27943 | 29197 | 15202 | 15330 | 21882 | 22575 |
| 91 | 27923 | 29292 | 19824 | 19744 | 24701 | 25000 |
| 1901 | 27216 | 27573 | 27279 | 27280 | 28011 | 27593 |
| 11. | 27146 | 28222 | 34865 | 34523 | 32447 | 31666 |
| 21 | 25393 | 28070 | 36008 | 38270 | 30726 | 31230 |
| 31 | 26533 | 28906 | 44626 | 47628 | 35010 | 35081 |
| 48 | 17511 | 17623 | 71793 | 74487 | 48149 | 48748 |
| 56 | 41800 | 147000 | 99600 |  |  |  |

\% includes lialtese on vessels in harbour.

## Intercensal Increases of Population. 1842-1956.

Table 5.

| Period | Intercensal Increase |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MioI. | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | G. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1842-51 \\ & 9 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{aligned}$ | 8829 | 8508 | 321 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1851-61 \\ & 10.6 \mathrm{grs} . \end{aligned}$ | 10263 | 9467 | 796 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.50 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1861-71 \\ & 9.5 \text { yrs. } \end{aligned}$ | 7927 | 5995 | 1932 | 0.61 | 0.53 | 1.25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1871-81 \\ & 9.9 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{aligned}$ | 8899 | 8637 | 262 | 0.62 | 0.69 | 0.15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1881-91 \\ & 10 \mathrm{grs} . \end{aligned}$ | 15255 | 14355 | 900 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.50 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1891-1901 \\ & 10 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{aligned}$ | 19705 | 18468 | 1237 | 1.13 | 1.19 | 0.65 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1901-11 \\ & 10 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{aligned}$ | 26822 | 23917 | 2905 | 1.37 | 1.36 | 1.38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1911-21 \\ & 9.9 \text { yrs. } \end{aligned}$ | 694 | 828 | -134 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 00.06 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1921-31 \\ & 10 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{aligned}$ | 28259 | 26983 | 1276 | 1.25 | 1.33 | 0.55 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1931-48 \\ & 17.1 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{aligned}$ | 64370 | 60527 | 3843 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 0.88 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1948=56 \\ & 8.5 \text { yrs. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 10248 | 10142 | 106 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.04 |

Sex and Age Structure of the Population 1861-1948
Kaltese Islands
Table 6.

|  | Total | M | F | Total | II | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1861 |  |  |  | 1911 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 44006 | 22538 | 21468 | 71131 | 36256 | 34875 |
| 15-64 | 80745 | 38745 | 42000 | 129767 | 64123 | 65644 |
| $65+$ | 8205 | 3888 | 4317 | 10666 | 5222 | 54421 |
| Total | IB2956 | 65171 | 67785 | 211564 | 105601 | 105963 |
| 1871 |  |  |  | 1921 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 44026 | 22433 | 21593 | 67420 | 34433 | 32987 |
| 15-64 | 88520 | 42562 | 45958 | 132867 | 62403 | 70464 |
| $65+$ | 8337 | 4065 | 4272 | 11971 | 5909 | 6062 |
| Total | 140883 | 69060 | 71823 | 212258 | 102745 | 109513 |
| 1881 |  |  |  | 1931 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 46018 | 23371 | 22647 | 77495 | 39334 | 38161 |
| 15-64 | 93166 | 45023 | 48143 | 150081 | 71307 | 78774 |
| $65+$ | 10598 | 5036 | 5562 | 14045 | 6816 | 7229 |
| Total | 149782 | 73430 | 76352 | 241621 | 117457 | 124164 |
| 1891 |  |  |  | 1948 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 54238 | 27658 | 26580 | 106602 | 54.064 | 52538 |
| 15-64 | 99734 | 48498 | 51236 | 182573 | 88706 | 93867 |
| $65+$ | 11065 | 5160 | 5905 | 16816 | 7895 | 8921 |
| Total | 165037 | 81316 | 83721 | 305991 | 150665 | 155326 |
| 1901 |  |  |  | 1956 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 62952 | 31982 | 30970 | 114700 | 59300 | 55400 |
| 15-64 | 111984 | 55294 | 56690 | 176700 | 82100 | 94600 |
| $65+$ | 9806 | 4718 | 5088 | 22600 | 10500 | $1210^{0}$ |
| Total | 184742 | 91994 | 92748 | 314000 | 151900 | 162100 |

Table 6 contd.

|  | Total | iin | F | Total | i. | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1861 |  |  |  | 1911 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 38794 | 19811 | 18983 | 63458 | 32345 | 31113 |
| 15-64 | 71613 | 34531 | 37082 | 116125 | 57563 | 58562 |
| $65+$ | 7090 | 3341 | 3749 | 9286 | 4550 | 4736 |
| Total | 117.497 | 57683 | 59814 | 188869 | 94458 | 94411 |
| 1871 |  |  |  | 1921 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 38427 | 19635 | 18792 | 59981 | 30641 | 29340 |
| 15-64 | 78183 | 37592 | 40591 | 119339 | 56394 | 62945 |
| $65+$ | 6882 | 3340 | 3542 | 10377 | 5092 | 5285 |
| Total | 123492 | 60567 | 62925 | 189697 | 92127 | 97570 |
| 1881 |  |  |  | 1931 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 40524 | 20589 | 19935 | 70267 | 35653 | 34614 |
| 15-64 | 82666 | 40250 | 42416 | 135333 | 64608 | 707.25 |
| $65+$ | 8939 | 4188 | 4751 | 12184 | 5908 | 6276 |
| Total | 132129 | 65027 | 67102 | $\therefore 217784$ | 106169 | 111615 |
| 1891 |  |  |  | 1948 |  |  |
| Under 15 | 48159 | 24521 | 23638 | $974 \% 56$ | 49361 | 48095 |
| 15-64 | 88779 | 43494 | 45285 | 166434 | 81394 | 85040 |
| $65+$ | 9546 | 4433 | 5113 | 14421 | 6698 | 7723 |
|  | 146484 | 72448 | 74036 | 278311 | 137453 | 140858 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 15 | 56196 | 28572 | 27624 |  |  |  |
| 15-64 | 100296 | 49863 | 50433 | $\therefore$ |  |  |
| 65 + | -8460 | 4071 | 4389 |  |  |  |
| Total | 164952 | 82506 | 82446 |  |  |  |

Sex and Age Structure of the Population 2861 194 8
Gozo
Table 6 contd.

|  | Total | $\underline{1}$ | F |  | Total | ii | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1861 |  |  |  | 1911 |  |  |  |
| Under 15 | 5222 | 2727 | 2485 |  | 7673 | 3911 | 3762 |
| 15-64 | 9132 | 4214 | 4918 |  | 13642 | 6560 | 7082 |
| $65+$ | 1115 | 54.7 | 568 |  | 1380 | 672 | 708 |
| Total | 15459 | 7488 | 7971 |  | 22695 | 11143 | 11552 |
| 1871 |  |  |  | 1921 |  |  |  |
| Under 15 | 5599 | 2798 | 2801 |  | 7439 | 3792 | 3647 |
| 15-64 | 10337 | 4970 | 5367 |  | 13528 | 6009 | 7519 |
| $65+$ | 1455 | 725 | 730 |  | 1594 | 817 | 777 |
| Total | 17391 | 8493 | 8898 |  | 22561 | 10618 | 119\%3 |
| 1881 |  |  |  | 1931 |  |  |  |
| Under 15 | 5494 | 2782 | 2712 |  | 7228 | 3681 | 3547 |
| 15-64 | 10500 | 4773 | 5727 |  | 14748 | 6699 | COL, 9 |
| $65+$ | 1659 | 848 | 811 |  | 1861 | 508 | 053 |
| Totol | 17653 | 8403 | 9250 |  | 23837 | 11288 | 12549 |
| 1891 |  |  |  | 1948 |  |  |  |
| Under 15 | 6079 | 3137 | 2942 |  | 9146 | 4703 | 4.444 |
| 15064 | 10955 | 5004 | 5951 |  | 16139 | 7312 | 8827 |
| $65+$ | 1519 | 727 | 792 |  | 2395 | 1197 | 1198 |
| Total | 18553 | 8868 | 9685 |  | 27680 | 13212 | 14468 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 15 | 6756 | 3410 | 3346 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-64 | 11688 | 5431 | 6257 |  |  |  |  |
| $65+$ | 1346 | 647 | 699 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19790 | 9488 | 10302 |  |  |  |  |

Gerital Status. 1248.
Tumber of merried mothers anc totol merriec women jnanens age groups, elso showing the number of chilciren Sora to ecin group of hothers.

Table 7。

| Age Group | iharried wothers | Total married woilen | 6ilcre: <br> bura elive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Haltese Islands. |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 5230 | 7058 | 11251 |
| 25-34 | 13188 | 14616 | 51030 |
| 35-44 | 11939 | 13392 | 73501 |
| 45 | 16125 | 18179 | ?18617 |
|  | 4.4482 | 53245 | 254807 |
| 2. Malta |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 4941 | 6673 | 10607 |
| 25-34 | 12279 | 13605 | 4.7255 |
| 35-44 | 10970 | 12311 | 67639 |
| 45 | 14294 | 16143 | 104832 |
|  | 4.24 .84 | 4.8732 | 23C435 |
| 3, Gozo. |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 289 | 385 | 64.4. |
| 25-34 | 909 | 1011 | 3793 |
| 35-44 | 969 | 1081 | 6342 |
| 45 | 1831 | 2 C 30 | 13583 |
|  | 3998 | 4.513 | 24.52 |



Table 8a contd.
Notes
(a) Figures for years 1941 to 1947, and 1949 to 1956 are estimated from the rationing records. Figures for years 1948 and 1957 are Census figures.
(b) A small adjustment has been made in the 1948 figures between Sliema and the Marsa/Msida Group and between Valletta and Hamrun to compensate for probable differences between census localities and rationing records.
(c) The estimates for 1949-1952 have been adjusted to rectify anomalies probably due to service families living in groups 1B, 4 and 6 being included in the rationing figures for Valletta N.A.A.F.I.
(d) The figure for the Census years (1948 and 1957) in colum 10 are lower than the estimates for the years before and after them, probably due to the inclusion in the estimate of temporary patients in institutions.

Source: Census 1957, Table P.C.

Fopulation by localities, 1957. Table 8b。

| Locality | Fopulation | Locality | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maltese Islands | 319,620 | Rural |  |
| Malta | 292,019 | Mdina | 823 |
| G0zo | 27.601 | Rabat | 12,792 |
| MALTA Urban |  | Mgarr | 2,16.7 |
| Valletta | 18,202 | Dingli | 2,041 |
| Floriana | 5,811 | Siggiewi | 5,055 |
| Corpicua | 9,09.5 | Mosta | 7.377 |
| Senglea | 5,065 | Hellieha | 4,290 |
| Vittoriosa | 4,242 | St. Paul 's Bay | 3,040 |
| Suburban |  | Naxxar | 4,688 |
| Hamrun: | 16,895 | Gharghur | I,813 |
| Santa Venera | 5,246 | Lija | 2.119 |
| Marsa. | 10,672 | Balzan | 2,734 |
| Birkirkara | 16:987 | Attard | 2,663 |
| Msida | $6258 \%$ | Zebbug | 7,969 |
| Qormi | 14:869 | Iuqa | 5,382 |
| Prwla | II, 424 | Zejtun | 11,665 |
| Sliema | 23:399 | Lrarsaxlokk | 1.469 |
| Czira | 8,545 | Birzebbuga | 5,297 |
| Fieta | 4.076 | Gudja | 1,712 |
| Kalkara | 2.101 | Ghaxaq: | 2,830 |
| Zabbar | 11.893 | Zurrieq | 6,837 |
| st. Julians | 8,285 | Safi | 709 |
| Tarxien | 7.706 | Kirkop | 1,204 |


| Locality | Population |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rural contd. |  |
| Mqabba | 2,088 |
| Gozo |  |
| Victoria | 6,357 |
| Kercem | 1,272 |
| Zebbug | 1,199 |
| Ghasri | 4,269 |
| Gharb | 428 |
| San Lawrenz | 1,656 |
| Sannat and Munxar | 4,056 |
| Xaghra | 3,281 |
| Xewkija | 4,136 |
| Nadur | 1,616 |
| Gala | 300 |

Source: Census 1957, Table F.IIIb.

## Vital Statistics.

Tables 9 to 14 are drawn from the Blue Books of Statistics and the annual reports of the Medical and Health Department (ar from its predecessor). Tables 15 and 16 include previously unpublished information provided by the Central Office of Statistics。

Before 1881 all rates of births, deaths and marriages are based on January populations. After that time they are based on mean annual populations.

# Vital Statistics 1826-1956 

a) 1826-1880 pialtese population

Table 9。

| YEAR | TOTALS |  |  |  |  | Rates per 1000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ ¢ ه A A |  |  |
| 1826 | 106040 | 3940 | 2580 | 1360 | 706 | 37.2 | 24.3 | 12.8 | 6.7 |
| 27 | 107870 | 3740 | 2090 | 1650 | 633 | 34.7 | 19.4 | 15.3 | 5.9 |
| 28 | 109990 | 3670 | 2910 | 760 | 684 | 33.4 | 26.5 | 6.9 | 6.2 |
| 29 | 111550 | 3700 | 2560 | 1140 | 720 | 33.2 | 23.0 | 10.2 | 6.4 |
| 30 | 113260 | 3472 | 3416 | 56 | 760 | 30.7 | 30.2 | 0.5 | 6.7 |
| 31 | 113900 | 4078 | 2875 | 1203 | 775 | 35.8 | 25.2 | 10.5 | 6.0 |
| 32 | 115360 | 3714 | 2729 | 985 | 700 | 32.2 | 23.7 | 8.5 | 6.1 |
| 33 | 118100 | 3799 | 3549 | 250 | 838 | 32.2 | 30.1 | 2.1 | 7.1 |
| 34 | 117520 | 3820 | 3025 | 795 | 862 | 32.5 | 25.7 | 6.8 | 7.3 |
| 35 | 116880 | 3945 | 2769 | 1176 | 897 | 33.7 | 23.7 | 10.1 | 7.7 |
| 36 | 117710 | 4087 | 3115 | 97.2 | 918 | 34.7 | 26.5 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
| 37 | 117530 | 4187 | 8241 | $-4054$ | 949 | 35.6 | 70.1 | -34.5 | E.1 |
| 38 | 111540 | 4237 | 3338 | 899 | 1337 | 38.0 | 29.9 | E.1 | 12.0 |
| 39 | 110500 | 4315 | 2768 | 1547 | 977 | 39.0 | 25.0 | 14.0 | $\varepsilon .8$ |
| 40 | 112110 | 4280 | 2812 | 14.68 | 919 | 38.2 | 25.1 | 13. 1 | 8.2 |
| Average | 115100 | 4046 | 3522 | 524 | 917 | 35.2 | 30.6 | 4.6 | 8.0 |
| 1841 | 113730 | 4325 | 3123 | 1202 | 977 | 38.0 | 27.5 | 10.6 | 8.6 |
| 42 | 112500 | 4645 | 3349 | 1296 | 979 | 41.3 | 29.8 | 11.5 | 8.7 |
| 43 | 113340 | 4846 | 3199 | 1647 | 1018 | 42.8 | 28.2 | 14.5 | 9.0 |

F Still-births excluded
rable 9 contd。

| YEAR | TOTALS |  |  |  |  | RATES per 1000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢f ¢ d A |  |  |
| 1844 | 114260 | 5010 | 354 | 1466 | 891 | 43.8 | 31.0 | 12.8 | 7.8 |
| 45 | 115300 | 4955 | 2903 | 2052 | 840 | 43.0 | 25.2 | 17.8 | 7.3 |
| 46 | 116010 | 4674 | 3452 | 1222 | 714 | 40.3 | 29.8 | 10.5 | 6.1 |
| 47 | 115690 | 4285 | 4330 | -45 | 776 | 37.0 | 37.4 | -0.4 | 6.7 |
| 48 | 115810 | 4915 | 3106 | 1809 | 868 | 42.4 | 26.8 | 15.6 | 7.4 |
| 49 | 117990 | 4715 | 2605 | 2110 | 893 | 40.0 | 22.1 | 17.9 | 7.6 |
| 50 | 120270 | 4869 | 5331 | -462 | 979 | 40.5 | 4.4 .3 | -3.8 | 8.1 |
| Average | 115500 | 4724 | 3494 | 1230 | 894 | 40.9 | 30.2 | 10.7 | $7 \cdot 7$ |
| 1851 | 120660 | 4644 | 2472 | 2172 | 1201 | 38.5 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 10.0 |
| 52 | 122550 | 4783 | 2658 | 2125 | 852 | 39.0 | 21.7 | 17.3 | 7.0 |
| 53 | 123870 | 4331 | 2922 | 1409 | 732 | 35.0 | 23.6 | 11.4 | 5.9 |
| 54 | 124670 | 4614 | 3890 | 724 | 688 | 37.0 | 31.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| 55 | 124590 | 4759 | 3235 | 1524 | 803 | 38.2 | 26.0 | 12.2 | 6.4 |
| 56 | 121510 | 4391 | 3116 | 1275 | 899 | 36.1 | 25.6 | 10.5 | 7.4 |
| 57 | 123340 | 4560 | 2520 | 2040 | 1067 | 37.0 | 20.4 | 16.5 | 8.7 |
| 58 | 126740 | 4485 | 2399 | 2086 | 894 | 35.4 | 18.9 | 16.5 | 7.1 |
| 59 | 128320 | 4921 | 3021 | 1900 | 807 | 38.4 | 290.5 | 14.8 | 6.3 |
| 60 | 129810 | 4524 | 2812 | 1712 | 800 | 34.9 | 21.7 | 13.2 | 6.2 |
| Average | 124400 | 4601 | 2905 | 1697 | 874 | 37.0 | 23.3 | 13.6 | 7.1 |
| 1861 | 131120 | 4659 | 3416 | 1243 | 827 | 35.5 | 26.1 | 9.5 | 6.3 |
| 62 | 131610 | 4082 | 2746 | 1336 | 730 | 31.1 | 20.9 | 10.2 | 5.5 |

Table 9 contd.

b) 1881-1956 Total Civil Population

| YEAR | tutais |  |  |  |  | RATE'S per 1000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1881 | 150054 | 5477 | 4149 | 1328 | 985 | 36.5 | 27.7 | 8.8 | 6.6 |
| 82 | 151449 | 5382 | 3677 | 1705 |  | 35.5 | 24.3 | 11.2 |  |
| 83 | 153194 | 5659 | 3632 | 2027. | 973 | 37.0 | 23.7 | 13.3 | 6.4 |
| 84 | 155296 | 5690 | 3271 | 2419 | 965 | 36.8 | 21.1 | 15.6 | 6.2 |
| 85 | 156614 | 5772 | 5313 | 459 | 941 | 36.9 | 34.0 | 2.9 | 6.0 |
| 86 | 157780 | 6165 | 4050 | 2115 | 1058 | 39.1 | 25.7 | 13.4 | 6.7 |
| 87 | 159441 | 6025 | 4577 | 1448 | 846 | 37.8 | 28.7 | 9.1 | 5.3 |
| 88 | 160916 | 6004 | 4260 | 1744 | 1009 | 37.3 | 26.5 | 10.8 | 6.3 |
| 89 | 162380 | 6091 | 4664 | 1427 | 1064 | 37.5 | 28.7 | 8.8 | 6.6 |
| 90 | 163869 | 5959 | 4147 | 1812 | 1118 | 36.4 | 25.3 | 11.1 | 6.8 |
| Average | 157099 | 5822 | 4174 | 1648 | 984 | 37.1 | 26.6 | 10.5 | ¢. 3 |
| 1891 | 165633 | 5589 | 4262 | 1327 | 1245 | 33.6 | 25.6 | 8.0 | 7.5 |
| 92: | 166192 | 6308 | 5472 | 836 | 1086 | 38.0 | 33.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| 93\% | 168696 | 6156 | 4940 | 1216 | 1067 | 36.5 | 29.3 | 7.2 | 6.3 |
| 94 | 170912 | 6439 | 4279 | 21.60 | 1016 | 37.7 | 25.0 | 12.7 | 5.9 |
| 95 | 173656 | 6578 | 4306 | 2272 | 1008 | 38.0 | 24.8 | 13.1 | 5.8 |
| 96 | 177743 | 6176 | 4642 | 1534 | . 1022 | 34.8 | 26.1 | 8.6 | 5.8 |
| 97 | 178\%24 | 6635 | 5263 | 1372 | 1051 | 36.9 | 29.3 | 7.6 | 5.9 |
| 98 | 181938 | 6648 | 4648 | 2000 | 1154 | 36.6 | 25.6 | 11.0 | 6.3 |
| 99 | 1884300 | 6375 | 4707 | 1668 | 1210 | 34.6 | 25.5 | 9.0 | 6.6 |
| 1900 | 186562 | 6851 | 5053 | 1798 | 1169 | 36.8 | 27.1 | 9.7 | 3.3 |
| Average | 177535 | 6376 | 4757 | 1618 0 | 1103 | 35.9 | 26.8 | 9.1 | 6.2 |

Table 9 contd.

| YEAR | TOTALS |  |  |  |  | RaTIS per 1000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - ${ }_{\text {He }}^{0}$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { ¢ }}$ |  | ¢ |
| 1901/2 | 187785 | 6996 | 4783 | 2213 |  | 37.3 | 25.5 | 11.8 |  |
| 2/3 | 190305 | 7188 | 4840 | 2348 | 1536 | 37.8 | 25.4 | 12.4 | E.9 |
| $3 / 4$ | 193815 | 7135 | 4819 | 2316 | 1408 | 36.8 | 24.9 | 11.9 | 7.6 |
| 4/5 | 197674 | 7858 | 5134 | 2724 | 1519 | 39.8 | 26.0 | 13.8 | 7.7 |
| 5/6 | 201117 | 8017 | 5231 | 2786 | 1517 | 39.9 | 25.0 | 13.9 | 7.5 |
| 6/7 | 202844 | 7519 | 5345 | 2174 | 1343 | 37.1 | 29.4 | 10.7 | 6.6 |
| 7/8 | 204750 | 7943 | 4850 | 3093 | 1220 | 38.8 | 23.7 | 15.1 | O.C |
| 8/9 | 207299 | 7847 | 4991 | 2856 | 1105 | 37.9 | 24.1 | 13.8 | 5.3 |
| 9/10 | 209700 | 7531 | 4872 | 2659 | 988 | 35.9 | 23.2 | 12.7 | 4.7 |
| 10/11 | 212917 | 7428 | 4570 | 2858 | 1076 | 34.2 | 22.5 | 13.4 | 5.0 |
| Average | 200821 | 7546 | 4.94 | 2603 | 1301 | 36.2 | 23.7 | 12.5 | 6.5 |
| 1911/12 | 213752 | 6957 | 5259 | 1698 | 1016 | 32.6 | 24.7 | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| 12/13 | 214356 | 6691 | 4289 | 2402 | 1029 | 31.2 | 20.0 | 11.2 | 4.8 |
| 13/14 | 214592 | 6918 | 5122 | 1796 | 1235 | 32.3 | 23.9 | ع. 4 | 5.7 |
| 14/15 | 214968 | 6710 | 4568 | 2142 | 1118 | 31.2 | 21.2 | 10.0 | 5.82 |
| 15/16 | 215258 | 64.78 | 5116 | 1362 | 1483 | 30.1 | 23.8 | 6.3 | 6.9 |
| 16Y17 | 214826 | 6413 | 5002 | 1411 | 1724 | 29.8 | 23.2 | 6.6 | ع. 0 |
| 17/18 | 214415 | 6337 | 5878 | 459 | 1496 | 29.5 | 27.4 | 2.1 | 7.0 |
| 18/19 | 213679 | 6398 | 5929 | 469 | 1642 | 30.0 | 27.8 | 2.2 | 7.7 |
| 19/20 | 212771 | 6787 | 4586 | 2201 | 2038 | 31.9 | 21.6 | 10.3 | 9.6 |
| 20/21 | 212806 | 7813 | 4584 | 3229 | 1637 | 36.7 | 21.53 | 15.2 | 7.7 |
| Average | 214142 | 6750 | 5033 | 1717 | 1442 | 31.6 | 23.5 | $\varepsilon .0$ | 6.7 |

Table 9 contd.

| YEAR | TOMAIS |  |  |  |  | RATES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{+}{G} \\ & \stackrel{y}{H} \\ & \stackrel{H}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ |  |   <br> $\sim$ 0 <br> -  <br> 0  <br> 0  |  |
| 1921 | 214212 | 7688 | 4833 | 2855 | 1306 | 35.9 | 22.6 | 13.3 | 6.1 |
| 22 | 217286 | 7958 | 5189 | 2769 | 1291 | 36.7 | 23.9 | 12.9 | 6.0 |
| 23 | 220220 | 7600 | 4916 | 2684 | 1333 | 34.5 | 22.4 | 12.2 | 6.1 |
| 24 | 223132 | 7729 | 5181 | 2548 | 1361 | 34.6 | 23.2 | 11.4 | 6.1 |
| 25 | 226346 | 7504 | 5012 | 2492 | 1494 | 33.1 | 22.1 | 11.0 | 6.6 |
| 26 | 229150 | 7488 | 4781 | 2707 | 1510 | 32.7 | 20.9 | 11.8 | 6.6 |
| 27 | 231434 | 7467 | 5449 | 2018 | 1355 | 32.3 | 23.6 | 8.7 | 5.9 |
| 28 | 233644 | 7327 | 5060 | 2267 | 1437 | 31.4 | 21.7 | 9.7 | 6.2 |
| 29 | 236395 | 7743 | 5059 | 2684 | 1598 | 32.7 | 21.4 | 11.4 | 6.8 |
| 30 | $\underline{238939}$ | 8008 | 5600 | 2408 | 1548 | 33.5 | 23.4 | 10.1 | 6.5 |
| Average | 227075 | 7651 | 5108 | 2543 | 1423 | 33.7 | 22.5 | 11.2 | 6.3 |
| 1931 | 242410 | 7804 | 5564 | 2240 | 1546 | 32.2 | 23.0 | 9.2 | 6.4 |
| 32 | 245951 | 7989 | 5152 | 2837 | 1560 | 32.5 | 20.9 | 11.5 | 6.3 |
| 33 | 249703 | 8321 | 5091 | 3230 | 1643 | 33.3 | 20.4 | 12.9 | 6.6 |
| 34 | 253101 | 8544 | 5702 | 2842 | 1688 | 33.8 | 22.5 | 11.2 | 6.7 |
| 35 | 255092 | 8701 | 6018 | 2683 | 1597 | 34.1 | 23.61 | 10.5 | 6.3 |
| 36 | 258420 | 8875 | 4617 | 4258 | 1878 | 34.3 | 17.9 | 16.4 | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 37 | 262519 | 8879 | 5304 | 3575 | 1806 | 33.8 | 20.2 | 13.6 | 6.9 |
| 38 | 265609 | 8704 | 5399 | 3305 | 1778 | 32.8 | 20.3 | 12.4 | 6.7 |
| 39 | 268071 | 8930 | 5385 | 3545 | 1990 | 33.3 | 20.1 | 13.2 | 7.4 |
| 40 | 268953 | 8808 | 6144 | 2664 | 1820 | 32.7 | 22.8 | 2.2 | 6.8 |
| Average | 256983 | 8556 | 5438 | 3118 | 1731 | 33.3 | 21.2 | 12.1 | 6.7 |
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| YEAR | TOTALS |  |  |  |  | RATES per 1000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\xrightarrow{0}$ |
| 1941 | 269595 | 7352 | 6444 | 908 | 2236 | 27.3 | 23.9 | 3.4 | 8.3 |
| 42 | 268763 | 6768 | 8603 | -1835 | 2016 | 25.2 | 32.0 | -6.8 | 7.5 |
| 43 | 269143 | 8452 | 5578 | 2874 | 2667 | 31.4 | 20.7 | 10.7 | 9.9 |
| 44 | 274192 | 10963 | 3700 | 7263 | 2733 | 40.0 | 13.5 | 26.5 | 10.0 |
| 45 | 281505 | 10998 | 4016 | 6982 | 2323 | 39.1 | 14.3 | 24.8 | 8.3 |
| 46 | 289866 | 11304 | 4050 | 7254 | 2131 | 39.0 | 14.0 | 25.0 | 7.4 |
| 47 | 298902 | 11612 | 3838 | 7774 | 1826 | 38.8 | 12.8 | 26.0 | 6.1 |
| 48 | 306143 | 11029 | 3737 | 7292 | 1964 | 36.0 | 12.2 | 23.8 | 6.4 |
| 49 | 310326 | 10.590 | 3326 | 7264 | 1806 | 34.1 | 10.7 | 23.4 | 5.8 |
| 50 | 312585 | 10281 | 3224 | 7057 | 1747 | 32.9 | 10.3 | 22.6 | 5.6 |
| Average | 288102 | 9935 | 4652 | 5283 | 2145 | 34.5 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 7.5 |
| 1951 | 313547 | 9511 | 3476 | 6035 | 1906 | 30.3 | 111.1 | 19.2 | 6.1 |
| 52 | 314705 | 9226 | 3365 | 5861 | 1844 | 29.3 | 10.7 | 18.6 | 5.9 |
| 53 | 318689 | 8977 | 2848 | 6129 | 2045 | 28.2 | 8.9 | 19.2 | 6.4 |
| 54 | 318283 | 8991 | 3071 | 5902 | 2138 | 28.2 | 9.6 | 18.6 | 6.7 |
| 55 | 314954 | 8560 | 2683 | 5877 | 2206 | 27.2 | 8.5 | 18.7 | 7.0 |
| 56 | 315097 | 8418 | 2918 | 5500 | 2017 | 26.7 | 9.3 | 17.5 | 6.4 |
| Aver age | 315387 | 5368 | 1836 | 3532 | 2026 | 28.3 | 9.7 | 18.6 | 6.4 |

※ Still-births excluded

Births. تiealta end Gozo. 1921-56. Table 10.

|  | Maltese Isjands |  |  | Malta |  |  | Gozo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total | Male | Female | Total | riale | Female | Total | iisle | Fenale |
| 1921 | 7688 |  |  | 6953 |  |  | 735 |  |  |
| 2 | 7958 | 4006 | 3952 | 7165 |  |  | 793 |  |  |
| 3 | 7600 |  |  | 6903 |  |  | 697 |  |  |
| 4 | 7729 | 4070 | 3659 | 7017 |  |  | 712 |  |  |
| 5 | 7504 | 3885 | 3619 | 6840 |  |  | 664 |  |  |
| 6 | 7488 | 3816 | 3672 | 6772 |  |  | 716 |  |  |
| 7 | 7467 | 3865 | 3602 | 6790 |  |  | 677 |  |  |
| 8 | 7327 | 3777 | 3550 | 6787 |  |  | 540 |  |  |
| 9 | 7743 |  |  | 7081 |  |  | 662 |  |  |
| 30 | 8008 | 4060 | 3948 | 7278 |  |  | 730 |  |  |
| 1 | 7804 | 3952 | 3852 | 7079 |  |  | 725 |  |  |
| 2. | 7989 | 4151 | 3838 | 7245 |  |  | 74 |  |  |
| 3 | 8321 | 4295 | 4026 | 7605 |  |  | 716 |  |  |
| 4 | 8544 | 4409 | 4135 | 7853 |  |  | 691 |  |  |
| 5. | 8701 | 4511 | 4190 | 7984 |  |  | 717 |  |  |
| 6 | 8875 | 4680 | 4195 | 8110 | 4271 | 3839 | 765 | 409 | 356 |
| 7 | 8879 | 4594 | 4285 | 8206 | 4223 | 3983 | 673 | 371 | 302 |
| 8 | 8704 | 4508 | 4196 | 7966 | 4112 | 3854 | 738 | 396 | 342 |
| 9 | 8930 | 4580 | 4350 | 8186 | 4178 | 4008 | 744 | 402 | 342 |
| 40 | 8808 | 4579 | 4229 | 8031 | 4165 | 3866 | 777 | 414 | 363 |
| 1 | 7352 | 3806 | 3546 | 6618 | 3425 | 3193 | 734 | 381 | 353 |
| 2 | 6768 | 3508 | 3260 | 6089 | 3137 | 2952 | 679 | 371 | 308 |

rable 10 contd.

|  | ihaltese Islonds |  |  | ialta |  |  | Gozo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total | Fiale | Female | Total | Fiale | Female | Total | i:ale | Peimale |
| 1943 | 8452 | 4377 | 4075 | 7667 | 3973 | 3694 | 785 | 404 | 381 |
| 4 | 10963 | 5710 | 5253 | 10110 | 5243 | 4868 | 853 | 468 | 385 |
| 5 | 10998 | 5745 | 5249 | 10038 | 5244 | 4794 | 960 | 505 | 455 |
| 6 | 11304 | 5817 | 5487 | 10372 | 5332 | 5040 | 932 | 485 | 4.7 |
| 7 | 11612 | 5984 | 5628 | 10615 | 5484 | 5131 | 997 | 500 | 497 |
| 8 | $\frac{11029}{50}$ | 5635 | 5394 | 10117 | 5135 | 4982 | 912 | 500 | 412 |
| pre Census | 5067 5962 | $\begin{aligned} & 2607 \\ & 3028 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2460 \\ 2934 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4636 \\ & 5481 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2384 \\ & 2751 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2252 \\ 2730 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}431 \\ 481 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 223 <br> 277 | 202 |
| 9 | 10590 | 5538 | 5052 | 9694 | 5029 | 4665 | 896 | 509 | 387 |
| 50 | 10281 | 5273 | 5008 | 9389 | 4822 | 4567 | 892 | 451 | 441 |
| 1 | 9511 | 4811 | 4700 | 8717 | 4400 | 4317 | 794 | 411 | 383 |
| 2 | 9226 | 4839 | 4387 | 8501 | 4448 | 4053 | 725 | 391 | 334 |
| 3 | 8977 | 4661 | 4316 | 8302 | 4316 | 3986 | 675 | 345 | 330 |
| 4 | 8991 | 4636 | 4355 | 8287 | 4273 | 4014 | 704 | 363 | 341 |
| 5 | 8560 | 4460 | 4100 | 7899 | 4118 | 3781 | 661 | 343 | 319 |
| 6 | 8418 | 4415 | 4003 | 7794 | 4090 | 3704 | 624 | 325 | 299 |

Sources: 1921-1936 Fublic Health Department Reports。 1937-1956 Redical and Health Department Reports.

Deaths. kialto and Gozo. 1921-56.
Table II。

|  | Waltese Islands |  |  | Hazta |  |  | Gozo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total | Male | Female | Total | Hale | Female | Total | inio | Feimale |
| 1921 | 4833 |  |  | 4397 |  |  | 436 |  |  |
| 2 | 5189 | 2644 | 2545 | 4756 | 2443 | 2313 | 433 | 201 | 232 |
| 3 | 4916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5181 | 2676 | 2505 | 4686 | 2422 | 2264 | 495 | 254 | 24.1 |
| 5 | 5012 | 2643 | 2369 | 4556 | 2403 | 2153 | 456 | 240 | 216 |
| 6 | 4781 | 2486 | 2295 | 4351 | 2268 | 2083 | 430 | 218 | 212 |
| 7 | 5449 | 2820 | 2269 | 4962 | 2563 | 2399 | 487 | 257 | 230 |
| 8 | 5060 | 2666 | 2394 | 4560 | 2405 | 2155 | 500 | 261 | 239 |
| 9 | 5059 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 5600 | 2909 | 2691 | 5183 | 2704 | 2161 | 417 | 207 | 210 |
| 1 | 5564 | 2866 | 2698 | 5036 | 2592 | 2444 | 528 | 274 | 254 |
| 2. | 5152 | 2653 | 2499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 5091 | 2664 | 2427 | 4560 | 2400 | 2160 | 531 | 264 | 267 |
| 4 | 5702 | 2994 | 2708 | 5192 | 2745 | 2447 | 510 | 249 | 261 |
| 5 | 6018 | 3176 | 2842 | 5478 | 2901 | 2577 | 540 | 275 | 265 |
| 6 | 4617 | 2430 | 2187 | 4197 | 2227 | 1970 | 420 | 203 | 217 |
| 7 | 5304 | 2781 | 2523 | 4830 | 2531 | 2299 | 474 | 250 | 224 |
| 8 | 5399 | 2740 | 2659 | 4858 | 2475 | 2383 | 54.1 | 265 | 276 |
| 9 | 5385 | 2810 | 2575 | 4847 | 2524 | 2323 | 538 | 286 | 252 |
| 40 | 6144 | 3267 | 2877 | 5570 | 2975 | 2595 | 574 | 292 | 282 |
| 1 | 6444 | 3389 | 3055 | 5786 | 3057 | 2729 | 658 | 332 | 326 |
| 2 | 8603 | 4671 | 3932 | 7817 | 4252 | 3565 | 786 | 419 | 367 |
| 3 | 5578 | 2893 | 2585 | 4995 | 2611 | 2384 | 583 | 282 | 301 |

Table Il contd。

|  | lialtese Islands |  |  | ifalta |  |  | Gozo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total 1 | iiale | Female |
| 1944 | 3700 | 1940 | 1760 | 3263 | 1713 | 1550 | 437 | 227 | 210 |
| 5 | 4016 | 2038 | 1978 | 3584 | 1837 | 1747 | 432 | 201 | 231 |
| 6 | 4050 | 2108 | 1942 | 3719 | 1950 | 1769 | 331 | 158 | 173 |
| 7 | 3838 | 1981 | 1857 | 3467 | 1806 | 1661 | 371 | 175 | 196 |
|  | 3737 | 1957 | 1780 | 3331 | 1763 | 1568 | 406 | 194 | 212 |
|  | 1614 | 870 | 744 | 1451 | 791 | 660 | 163 | 79 | 84 |
|  | $\underline{2123}$ | 1087 | 1036 | 1890 | 972 | 908 | 243 | 115 | 128 |
| 1949 | 3326 | 1724 | 1602 | 2989 | 1560 | 1429 | 337 | 164 | 173 |
| 50 | 3224 | 1633 | 1591 | 2921 | 1495 | 1426 | 303 | 138 | 165 |
| 1 | 3476 | 1833 | 1643 | 3128 | 1650 | 1478 | 348 | 183 | 165 |
| 2 | 3365 | 1756 | 1609 | 3006 | 1568 | 1438 | 359 | 188 | 171 |
| 3 | 2848 | 1467 | 1381 | 2564. | 1333 | 1231 | 284 | 134 | 150 |
| 4 | 3071 | 1651 | 1420 | 2772 | 1487 | 1285 | 299 | 164 | 135 |
| 5 | 2683 | 1385 | 1298 | 24.17 | 1239 | 1178 | 266 | 146 | 120 |
| 6 | 2918 | 1538 | 1380 | 2621 | 1380 | 1241 | 297 | 158 | 139 |

Source: see Table 10.

Birth and Death Rotes. Malta and Gozo. 1921-1956
Table 12.

| Year | Birth Rate |  |  | Death Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1. | G | Totel | ii | G |
| 1921 | 35.69 | 36.11 | 32.04 | 22.43 | 22.84 | 19.01 |
| 2 | 36.41 | 36.72 | 33.85 | 23.74 | 24.37 | 14.21 |
| 3 | 34.5 |  |  | 22.3 |  |  |
| 4 | 34.64 | 35.21 | 29.91 | 23.22 | 23.51 | 20.79 |
| 5 | 33.31 | 33.97 | 27.73 | 22.25 | 22:63 | 19.04 |
| 6 | 32.92 | 33.29 | 29.74 | 21.02 | 21.39 | 17.86 |
| 7. | 32.66 | 33.21 | 28.02 | 23.83 | 24.22 | 20.16 |
| 8 | 31.77 | 32.86 | 22.40 | 21.94 | 22.08 | 20.74 |
| 9 | 32. 2.5 |  |  | 21.72 |  |  |
| 30 | 34.15 | 34.62 | 30.05 | 23.88 | 24.66 | 17.17 |
| 1 | 32.82 | 33.23 | 29.28 | 23.40 | 23.64 | 21.32 |
| 2 | 32.30 |  |  | 20.76 |  |  |
| 3 | 33.04 | 33.49 | 28.88 | 20.21 | 20.08 | 21.42 |
| 4 | 33.48 | 34.12 | 27.57 | 22.34 | 22.56 | 20.35 |
| 5 | 33.96 | 34.43 | 28.38 | 23.49 | 23.72 | 21.37 |
| 6 | 33.85 | 34.2 | 29.78 | 17.61 | 17.74 | 16.35 |
| 7 | 33.54 | 34.33 | 26.19 | 20.04 | 20.21 | 18.44 |
| 8 | 32. 39 | 32.79 | 28.62 | 20.09 | 20.00 | 20.98 |
| 9 | 33.08 | 33. 58 | 28.40 | 19.95 | 19.88 | 20.53 |
| 40 | 32.53 | 33.26 | 26.52 | 22.69 | 23.06 | 19.59 |
| 1 | 27.09 | 27.34 | 25.02 | 23.74 | 23.90 | 22.43 |
| 2 | 25.15 | 25.38 | 23.23 | 31.97 | 32.58 | 26.89 |
| 3 | 31.06 | 31.59 | 26.67 | 20.49 | 20.57 | 19.81 |

Table 12 contd.

| Year | Birth Rate |  |  | Denth Rote |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | ii | G | Total | Ri | G |  |
| 1944 | 39.26 | 40.54 | 28.58 | 13.25 | 13.08 | 14.64 |  |
| 5 | 38.37 | 39.18 | 31.61 | 14.01 | 13.85 | 14.22 |  |
| 6 | 38.29 | 39.25 | 30.10 | 13.72 | 14.07 | 10.69 |  |
| 7 | 38.2 | 38.9 | 31.9 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 11.9 |  |
| 8 | 36.0 | 36.3 | 32.9 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 14.7 |  |
| 9 | 34.0 | 34.3 | 31.9 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 12.0 |  |
| 50 | 32.9 | 33.1 | 31.8 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.8 |  |
| 1 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 28.1 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 12.3 |  |
| 2 | 29.3 | 29.7 | 25.6 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 12.6 |  |
| 3 | 28.3 | 28.7 | 23.9 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 10.0 |  |
| 4 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 24.9 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 10.6 |  |
| 5 | 27.2 | 27.6 | 23.9 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 9.6 |  |
| 6 | 26.8 | 27.2 | 22.7 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 10.8 |  |

Sources: see Table 10.

Marriage Rate. iralta and Gozo. 1936-56
Table 13.

| Year | Total | II | G | Year:. | Total | M | $G$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1936 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 11.2 | 1946 | 14.4 .2 | 14.78 | 11.36 |
| 1937 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 1947 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 8.2 |
| 1938 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 1948 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.0 |
| 1939 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 12.2 | 1949 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| 1940 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 8.4 | 1950 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 10.5 |
| 1941 | 16.4 | 17.0 | 11.4 | 1951 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 11.2 |
| 1842 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 10.0 | 1952 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 9.9 |
| 1943 | 19.60 | 20.12 | 14.62 | 1953 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 10.5 |
| 1944 | 19.58 | 20.06 | 15.54 | 1954 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 10.0 |
| 1945 | 16.20 | 16.92 | 10.20 | 1955 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 15.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 1956 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 11.6 |

Source: see Table 10.

Vital Statistics. ivalta: by localities.
Showing mean annual rates of Births, Deaths, and ITatural Increase for the following periods:-
table 14.
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|  | 1891/1901 |  |  | 1921/1931 |  |  | 1952/55 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | D | NoI. | B | D | IN.I. | B | D | Fir.I. |
| Mo | 52.0 | 34.6 | 17.4 | 34.6 | 19.6 | 15.0 | 28.4 | 10.0 | 18.4 |
| ife | 43.7 | 20.7 | 23.0 | 38.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 28.3 | 8.1 | 20.2 |
| SP |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.9 | 6.2 | 22.7 |
| $\mathbb{N}$ | 34.8 | 25.9 | 8.9 | 29.8 | 20.7 | 9.1 | 25.3 | 10.7 | 14.6 |
| Gg | 35.6 | 23.8 | 11.8 | 31.4 | 21.3 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 8.7 | 15.3 |
| L ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.5 | 14.8 | $\varepsilon .7$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba})$ | 29.6 | 27.2 | 2.4 | 16.9 | 20.8 | $-3.9$ | 27.0 | 8.3 | 18.7 |
| A) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.2 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Zb | 40.5 | 33.8 | 6.7 | 32.6 | 25.8 | 6.8 | 27.4 | 9.7 | 16.7 |
| Lq | 37.6 | 26.1 | 11.5 | 25.8 | 30.6 | -4.8 | 30.6 | $9 \cdot 7$ | 20.9 |
| Zt | 40.0 | 30.4 | 9.6 | 35.9 | 26.0 | 9.9 | 25.6 | 9.8 | 15.8 |
| Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.3 | 8.9 | 25.4 |
| Bb |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42.7 | 7.4 | 35.3 |
| Gd | 39.1 | $33 \cdot 3$ | 5.7 | 42.6 | 28.0 | 24.6 | 32.6 | 8.8 | 23.8 |
| Gx | 50.4 | 36.5 | 13.9 | 72.5 | 51.8 | 20.7 | 32.1 | 9.4 | 22.7 |
| Zq | 41.0 | 30.8 | 10.2 | 44.3 | 28.6 | 15.7 | 32.9 | 12.2 | 20.7 |
| Sa |  | - Zq. |  |  | see Z |  |  | Zq。 |  |
| Kk | 42.4 | 31.2 | 11.2 | 48.1 | 35.4 | 12.1 | 33.9 | 9.3 | 24.6 |
| Mq | 38.2 | 27.2 | 11.0 | 36.5 | 23.9 | 12.6 | 29.1 | 10.0 | 19.1 |
| Qr | 29.0 | 18.8 | 10.2 | 34.4 | 25.0 | 9.4 | 26.6 | 9.8 | 16.8 |

Source. The rates are calculated from the following data: 1891-1901 - Census 1901, p. XXII. 1921-1931 - Census 1931 p. 7 Table 8. 1952-1955 - Statistical Abstracts 1952-1955.

Births 1948-1956, by localities.
Table 15.

| Locality | Total Births |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bircis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | inalte | ese | 3rit | ish |
|  | 148 | 149 | 150 | 151 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 | '56 | 155 | 156 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 29 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 59 | 59 | 71 | 49 | 43 | 14 | 22 |
| 3 | 370 | 582 | 546 | 490 | 550 | 509 | 539 | 442 | 453 | 43 | 42 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 238 | 225 | 202 | 112 | $=23$ | 61 | 72 |
| 5 | 139 | 461 | 513 | 2.11 | 261 | 275 | 277 | 239 | 271 | 14 | 13 |
| 6 | 17 | 43 | 44 | 46 | 44 | 48 | 45 | 51 | 30 |  |  |
| 7 | 111 | 173 | 141 | 126 | 153 | 150 | 150 | 141 | 159 | 20 | 23 |
| 8 | 35 | 61 | 52 | 52 | 45 | 48 | 51 | 39 | 38 | 3 |  |
| 9 | 174 | 326 | 293 | 269 | 82 | 82 | 94 | 73 | 90 | 3 | 1 |
| 10 | 33 | 70 | 63 | 58 | 63 | 50 | 54 | 41 | 56 |  | 2 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 263 | 257 | 301 | 172 | 196 | 124 | 152 |
| 12 | 639 | 1205 | 1062 | 933 | 472 | 489 | 448 | 408 | 396 | 17 | 26 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 70 | 79 | 94 | 55 | 52 | 14 | 14 |
| 14 | 32 |  |  | 33 | 42 | 37 | 43 | 26 | 36 |  |  |
| 15 | 92 | 176 | 149 | 158 | 56 | 52 | 53 | 51 | 57 | 4 | 1 |
| 16 | 67 | 148 | 157 | 120 | 150 | 128 | 138 | 103 | 104 | 33 | 26 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | 407 | 364 | 347 | 327 | 310 | 4 | 11 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 23 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 2 | 2 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 49 | 52 | 43 | 35 | 40 | 2 | 1 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 4 |
| 21 | 127 | 197 | 140 | 138 | 120 | 136 | 114 | 127 | 115 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | 77 | 77 | 65 | 60 | 53 |  |  |
| 23 | 243 | 438 | 452 | 390 | 233 | 232 | 197 | 176 | 168 | 18 | 22 |

Table 15 contd.

| 24 |  | 64 | 81 | 83 | 67 | 62 | 59 | 58 | 50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 19 | 301 | 309 | 267 | 225 | 215 | 223 | 147 | 181 | 44 | 47 |
| 26 | 9 | 127 | 127 | 108 | 117 | 94 | 106 | 83 | 104 | 3 | 2 |
| 27 | 42 | 676 | 602 | 578 | 387 | 391 | 388 | 278 | 227 | 135 | 122 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  | 76 | 55 | 83 | 61 | 60 | 16 | 14 |
| 29 | 35 | 588 | 577 | 573 | 496 | 493 | 491 | 474 | 447 | 4 | 6 |
| 30 | 4 |  |  | 59 | 62 | 70 | 57 | 46 | 49 |  |  |
| 31 | 43 | 72 | 816 | 923 | 382 | 408 | 356 | 314 | 296 | 28 | 25 |
| 32 | 1 |  |  | 9 | 18 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 16 |  | 1 |
| 33 | 14 |  |  | 187 | 238 | 262 | 251 | 175 | 163 | 80 | 83 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  | 95 | 92 | 98 | 92 | 65 | 7 | 3 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 49 | 59 | 46 | 54 |  | 1 |
| 36 | 6 |  |  | 113 | 158 | 170 | 190 | 126 | 136 | 46 | 32 |
| 37 | 10 | 14 | 160 | 167 | 158 | 133 | 120 | 111 | 120 | 1 | 2 |
| 38 | 5 | 119 | 1031 | 706 | 631 | 592 | 590 | 388 | 371 | 189 | 187 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 205 | 176 | 205 | 151 | 159 | 18 | 22 |
| 40 | 32 | 53 | 578 | 499 | 461 | 466 | 469 | 444 | 408 | 27 | 14 |
| 41 | 10 |  |  | 135 | 109 | 106 | 137 | 109 | 116 | 21 | 16 |
| 42 | 26 | 40 | 431 | 376 | 307 | 329 | 287 | 279 | 245 | 8 | 9 |
| 43 | 15 | 25 | 250 | 275 | 245 | 205 | 174 | 194 | 193 |  |  |
| 44 | 28 | 45 | 439 | 405 | 294 | 292 | 315 | 295 | 255 | 7 | 7 |
| 45 | 15 | 33 | 386 | 222 | 213 | 210 | 227 | 208 | 203 |  | 1 |
| Malta Gozo | 58 | 969 | $\begin{array}{r} 9389 \\ 892 \end{array}$ | 8709 796 | $\begin{array}{r} 8501 \\ 725 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8303 \\ 675 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8287 \\ 704 \end{array}$ | 6878 661 | $\begin{array}{r} 6764 \\ 625 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10151 | 1029 |
| Total | 630 | 1059 | 10281 | 9505 | 9226 | 8978 | 8991 | 7539 | 7.389 | :1015 | 1029 |

Deaths 1948 - 1956, by localities.
Table 16.


Table 16 contd.


## Migration。

Tables 17 and 18 were compiled from unpublished records collected at the Passport Office, Valletta, by members of the Department of Geography, Durham (PoK. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and J.C.D。) . Table 19 also incorperates some of this material.

Statistics of passenger movements are derived from composite sources annotated under each part of table 20 . Information for Tables 21 and 26 was abtained from theDepartment of Emigration and the Central Office of Statistics.

Count of the number of pessponts (inclucins renewals, iasucc. in ielte during the period 1861 to 1921.

Table 17.

| 1881-1572 | 1891-542 | 1901-435 | 1911- 071 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1882-2224 | 1892-610 | 1902-378 | 1912-1965 |
| 1883-791 | 1893-487 | 1903-532 | $1913-2371$ |
| 1884-585 | 1894-473 | 1904-375 | 1914-979 |
| 1885-660 | 1895-539 | 1905-4.10 | 1915-2009 |
| 1886-700 | 1896-380 | 1906-4.09 | 1916-3293 |
| д887-500 | 1897-4.3 | 1907 - 481 | $1917-1203$ |
| 1888-837 | 1898-405 | 1908-4.16 | 1818-504 |
| 1889-548 | 1899-438 | 1909-512 | 1915-345 |
| 1890-523 | 1900-536 | 1910-570 | 19EC - SSE |
| 8940 | 4913 | 4518 | 23611 |
|  |  |  | 1021-1514: |


| Destination | 1881 |  | 1897 |  | 1911 |  | 1915 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C. M. F. | T. | C. M. F. | T. | C. M. F. | T. | T. |
| Egypt | $\begin{array}{llll}45 & 178 & 48\end{array}$ | 271 | $14 \begin{array}{lll}14 & 72\end{array}$ | 101 | $\begin{array}{lll}16 & 133 & 14\end{array}$ | 163 | 314 |
| Tunisia | $\begin{array}{llll}28 & 351 & 26\end{array}$ | 405 | 2394 | 45 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 63 | 152 |
| Algeria | $\begin{array}{llll}80 & 374 & 58\end{array}$ | 512 | - 25 | 25 | 5441 | 50 | 33 |
| Tripoli | $\begin{array}{lll}15 & 45 & 18\end{array}$ | 78 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 15 & 4\end{array}$ | 20 | 765 | 77 | 34 |
| Gibraltar | $\begin{array}{lll}19 & 214 & 18\end{array}$ | 251 | - 42 | 42 | - 151 | 16 | 14 |
| Cyprus | 331 | 7 | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Turkey | - 231 | 24 | $3 \quad 23 \cdot 9$ | 35 | - 122 | 14 | - |
| U.X. | - 163 | 19 | - 8 | 8 | - 201 | 21 | 366 |
| U.S.A. | $3 \quad 6 \quad 2$ | 11 | - 91 | 10 | 626213. | 281 | 12 |
| France | 153 | 9 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 14 | - | - | 280 |
| Russia | - 11 - | 11 | - 21 | 21 | - 31 | 4 | - |
| Italy | $10 \quad 131 \quad 33$ | 174 | $\begin{array}{lll}12 & 83 & 35\end{array}$ | 130 | - 639 | 72 | 349 |
| South America | - - - | - | - - - | - | - 101 | 11 | - |
| Canada | - - - | - | - - - | - | - 302 | 32 | 5 |
| Australia | - - - | - | - - - | - | 31162 | 121 | 158 |
| Greece | - - - | - | - 7 | 7 | - - | - | 71 |
| India | - - - | - | - I | 1 | - 1 | 1 | 32 |
| Others | - 132 | 15 | 253 | 10 | - 41 | 5 | 90 |
| TOTAL: | 2041370213 | 1787 | 3535678 | 469 | $38 \quad 839 \quad 54$ | 931 | 1910 |

C. Children under 15 years.
M. Males over 15 years.
F. Females over 15 years. T. Total.

Table 19

| Locality | $1881^{1}$ | $1911{ }^{\text {. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1922 / 23^{3} \\ & 1929 / 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAlletta | 337 | 110 | 131 |
| Floriana | 56 | 13 | 22 |
| Cospicua | 206 | 30 | 79 |
| Senglea | 100 | 36 | 61 |
| Vittoriosa | 65 | 20 | 26 |
| Hamrun | 33 | 72 | 163 |
| Marsa | - | - | 51 |
| Birkirkara | 55 | 43 | 127 |
| Msida | 39 | 18 | 62 |
| Qormi | 78 | 23 | 112 |
| Pawla | 38 | 16 | 38 |
| Sli ema | 29 | 92 | 139 |
| Kalkara | - | - | 6 |
| Zabbar | 45 | 19 | 58 |
| St. Julians | 9 | 9 | 41 |
| Tarxien | - | - | 39 |
| Rabat | 96 | 27 | 369 |
| Mgarr | - | - | 30 |
| Dingli | 1 | 2 | 32 |
| Siggiewi | 11 | 4 | 17 |
| Mosta | 26 | 170 | 344 |
| Mellieha | 4 | 16 | 196 |
| St. Paul's Bay | - | - | 85 |
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Sources: $1 \& 2$ compiled from the records of the Passport Office,
3. Migrants to Canada and Australia only.

Dept. of Emigration statistics.

## 

(a) Arrivals and Depritures 1871-100I. (Civilian orly) Table 20.

| Period | Arrivols |  |  |  | Dopontues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | B | F | T |  | B | F | T |
| 1871-80 | 36000 | 16339 | 47040 | 99379 | 37038 | 15769 | 46684 | 984,91 |
| 1281-91 |  |  |  | 112362 |  |  |  | 114102 |
| 1891-1901 | 42696 | 25883 | 65942 | 134521 | 40369 | 26157 | 65556 | 132062 |

Sources: 1871-80 po viii Censusl881; 1881-91 po ix, Census 1891; 1891-1901 p. xi Census 1901.
(b) Annual 1:et iovement. 1871-1901.

| Year | Naltese | Total | Year | Total | Year | Linlesc | Tocta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1871 | -836 | -909 | $1801^{1 .}$ | -1197 | $18.11^{3 \circ}$ | 646 | 107? |
| 1872 | -517 | -417 | 1882 | -1374 | 1892 | -230 | $-7 \div ?$ |
| 1873 | -835 | -809 | 1883 | 51 | 1893 | -62 | $=1065$ |
| 1874 | -176 | -158 | 1884 | 75 | 1894 | 233 | 60.7 |
| 1875 | -134 | -107 | 1885 | -1022 | 1895 | 214 | $5 ?$ |
| 1876 | 591 | 759 | 1886 | 328 | 1896 | 491 | 563 |
| 1877 | 422 | 770 | 1887 | -705 | 1897 | 532 | 574 |
| 1878 | 330 | 1990 | 1888 | -133 | 1898 | 203 | 465 |
| 1879 | 80 | -181 | 1889 | 969 | 1899 | ICC | $23 ?$ |
| 1880 | 37 | 741 | 1890 | 701 | 1900 | -31 | 187 |
|  |  |  | $1891{ }^{2}$ | 567 | $1901{ }^{4 .}$ | 2.31 | 611 |

1. from 4th Lay; 2. until 3rā April; 3. frov 6til Aroil;
2. until 3lst ierch.

Sources: as in (a).
(c) Annual Net Movement 1901/2-1951.
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| Year | Total | Year | TotaI | Year | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1901 / 2$ | 345 |  |  | 1941 | -304 |
| $1902 / 3$ | 2020 | 1922 | 304 | 1942 | -434 |
| $1903 / 4$ | 1439 | 1923 | -1134 | 1943 | 157 |
| $1904 / 5$ | 2340 | 1924 | 480 | 1944 | -197 |
| $1905 / 6$ | 139 | 1925 | -338 | 1945 | 707 |
| $1906 / 7$ | -544 | 1926 | -509 | 1946 | 1815 |
| $1907 / 8$ | 192 | 1927 | -883 | 1947 | 1214 |
| $1908 / 9$ | 58 | 1928 | -229 | 1948 | -1764 |
| $1909 / 10$ | 332 | 1929 | -465 | 1949 | -3553 |
| $1910 / 11$ | 250 | 1930 | -786 | 1950 | -7104 |
| $1911 / 12$ | -1141 | 1931 | 1073 | 1951 | -5610 |
| $1912 / 13$ | -1751 | 1932 | 1223 | 1952 | -1811 |
| $1913 / 14$ | -1977 | 1933 | 540 | 1953 | -2201 |
| $1914 / 15$ | -1207 | 1934 | 511 | 1954 | -10507 |
| $1915 / 16$ | -1716 | 1935 | -1728 | 1955 | -7919 |
| $1916 / 17$ | -1922 | 1936 | 1767 | 1956 | -3018 |
| $1917 / 18$ | -769 | 1937 | -1077 | 1957 | -1568 |
| $1918 / 19$ | -1631 | 1938 | 700 |  |  |
| $1919 / 20$ | -2856 | 1949 | -2301 |  |  |
| $1920 / 21$ | -2503 | 1940 | -1821 |  |  |
| 19040 |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: 1901/2 to 1910/11, Blue Books. 1911/12 - 1920/21, Various, see notes to table 21. 1921-1937 . Medical and Health Department Reports. 1938-1957 Customs and Fort Department Reports.
Igration Stetistics, 1.911/12 to 1939/40.

rable 21 contd.
Hoteso statistice for 1ghl/l2 to $1915 / 26$ ore from returns sent to
the inistry of Labour, London. (isee ienenczi po ).
1910-1918 sumary, anc 1g1E-20 to 1939 140 , are fron the Depertricnt; of Brigrotion Reports.
1918-1920; - from the Armistice, to 3lst iarch 1920.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ounnos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7T9 | \％29L G82¢ |  | 8 | ＜ | 262 | 807 | 096 | 6TT | 6をL | L60T | 982T | LG6T |
| LTSLS | 57769297159 | G6Z | $\Sigma 99$ | £TL | 986G | £乌 | とでわた | $2 £ 6$ | 8699 | こGこて | 26998 | $\begin{gathered} 9 G \\ -G 76 \tau \end{gathered}$ |
| 70\％7 | 882 267m |  | $L$ | LZ | 2LZ | 795 | L9TT | 779 | そ8乏 | EそT | 722z | 9 |
| 9775 | L98 2006 |  | 乙 | OTL | 992 | $\mathcal{G}$ LE | 2L8T | 69T | Gて7 | L9Z | てけけ9 | $G$ |
| L6T70T | OG6 LHTLT |  | $G 乙$ | 9＜L | $66 乙$ |  | 069T | 69T | $\varepsilon 96$ | OサZ | $0<78$ | 7 |
| ع8GE | $676 \quad 2 ¢ G+7$ |  | $\tau$ | 己\＆T | $\Sigma 89$ | T92 | 20＜T | LST | OLL | 687 | 9LET | 5 |
| と¢¢7 | 60＠T ट†¢G | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | ᄃ9 | ع6ZT | $\varepsilon 6 T$ | 00こL | LTT | 089 | 909 | T9L己 | 乙 |
| ここてL | OLT 2692 |  | 7 T | $\varepsilon 9$ | Tع8 | 07 | 7とこt | ＋7\％ | L09T | ことट | 9007 | T |
| 0 0208 | ᄃ67 20G8 | 2 | LL | H | こてOT | $\varepsilon<L$ | 8عOT | GET | $\varepsilon 98$ | 6£2 | ع9GG | 0G6T |
| 092G | 80T 89¢G | $\tau$ | ¢G | 8T | 20¢ | L乙 | LETL | $G \mathcal{L}$ | 8G己 | $\angle Z$ | 8T9¢ | 6 |
| 6TOL | T¢T OSTE | 6 | 2S | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 759 | 8L | 578 | 切 | 6TL | $\varepsilon T$ | 088 | 8 |
| ごたて | サOE 9サウて | 8てT | 99T | $G \mathcal{L}$ | TGร | 8TT | 9¢GT | 89 | LZ |  | 998 | $L$ |
| 966 | こ8乙 8L己T | ZST | 乙乌己 | 乙己 | $\angle 9$ | $\varepsilon L$ | $0<8$ |  | $\varepsilon$ |  | 98 | 9 |
| GOZ | GOZ |  | 99 |  | T |  | 8と |  |  |  |  | G76T |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { woxs ow } \\ \text { reqow } \end{gathered}$ | \|uox | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OW} \\ \text { प70 } \end{gathered}$ | Mox sə pe |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \overline{4} \\ 8 p \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WOXIS } \\ & \text { BTLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OW} \\ \text { qsnv } \end{gathered}$ | エBEス |

## Emigrants 1948-1956, by localities.

Table 23.

| Iocality | 48 | 149 | 150 | 51 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 | 156 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16 | 29 | 35 | 34 | 22 | 9 | 20 | 31 | 9 |
| 2 | 10 | 45 | 81 | 84 | 42 | 32 | 74 | 45 | 20 |
| 3 | 86 | 220 | 414 | 413 | 307 | 192 | 624 | 559 | 295 |
| 4 | 54 | 122 | 185 | 122 | 109 | 91 | 365 | 188 | 111 |
| 5 | 22 | 74 | 134 | 137 | 153 | 92 | 279 | 235 | 121 |
| 6 | 1 | 13 | 39 | 17 | 14 | 5 | 18 | 25 | 5 |
| 7 | 29 | 59 | 84 | 120 | 66 | 58 | 177 | 101 | 69 |
| 8 |  | 18 | 30 | 46 | 2 | 4 | 47 | 30 | 11 |
| 9 | 10 | 30 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 16 | 53 | 72 | 23 |
| 10 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 26 | 29 | 5 |
| 11 | 107 | 282 | 436 | 301 | 220 | 207 | 425 | 339 | 208 |
| 12 | 124 | 399 | 674 | 692 | 469 | 335 | 740 | 680 | 332 |
| 13 | 4 | 26 | 34 | 48 | 19 | 32 | 67 | 101 | 15 |
| 14 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 54 | 4 | 5 |
| 15 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 47 | 28 | 24 |
| 16 | 4 | 25 | 31 | 26 | 45 | 40 | 175 | 153 | 51 |
| 17 | 77 | 240 | 315 | 426 | 285 | 175 | 540 | 445 | 225 |
| 18 | 9 | 28 | 39 | 41 | 17 | 11 | 39 | 11 | 10 |
| 19 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 58 | 58 | 11 |
| 20 |  | 5 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 13 |
| 21 | 35 | 151 | 205 | 135 | 93 | 78 | 142 | 156 | 127 |
| 22 | 12 | 35 | 36 | 45 | 34 | 10 | 105 | 69 | 32 |
| 23 | 59 | 176 | 338 | 174 | 134 | 194 | 322 | 243 | 155 |

Table 23 contd.

| 24 | 1 | 11 | 16 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 48 | 28 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 52 | 102 | 251 | 191 | 183 | 106 | 362 | 256 | 96 |
| 26 | 11 | 44 | 70 | 90 | 61 | 81 | 179 | 88 | 24 |
| 27 | 186 | 391 | 653 | 377 | 349 | 301 | 621 | 519 | 277 |
| 28 | 18 | 62 | 94 | 97 | 45 | 36 | 73 | 98 | 41 |
| 29 | 43 | 114 | 224 | 267 | 145 | 171 | 549 | 278 | 157 |
| 30 |  | 10 | 42 | 29 | 5 | 16 | 93 | 25 | 18 |
| 31 | 49 | 161 | 391 | 246 | 147 | 125 | 410 | 315 | 168 |
| 32 |  | 6 |  | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 14 |  |
| 33 | 62 | 148 | 238 | 246 | 153 | 135 | 326 | 226 | 116 |
| 34 | 21 | 105 | 205 | 183 | 86 | 49 | 208 | 74 | 45 |
| 35 | 1 | 11 | 27 | 36 | 18 | 5 | 29 | 41 | 10 |
| 36 | 36 | 73 | 88 | 126 | 103 | 73 | 118 | 99 | 119 |
| 37 | 4 | 8 | 28 | 37 | 38 | 8 | 72 | 50 | 35 |
| 38 | 171 | 513 | 851 | 638 | 487 | 392 | 782 | 715 | 384 |
| 39 | 57 | 120 | 229 | 162 | 110 | 85 | 251 | 169 | 68 |
| 40 | 116 | 321 | 474 | 445 | 294 | 321 | 567 | 534 | 260 |
| 41 | 46 | 46 | 100 | 68 | 73 | 38 | 165 | 114 | 97 |
| 42 | 34 | 115 | 175 | 209 | 142 | 100 | 444 | 323 | 102 |
| 43 | 29 | 70 | 76 | 92 | 31 | 50 | 121 | 111 | 53 |
| 44 | 59 | 106 | 187 | 176 | 98 | 99 | 406 | 294 | 119 |
| 45 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 67 | 14 | 9 | 68 | 44 | 42 |
| Ma.lta | 1669 | 4547 | 7656 | 6745 | 4692 | 3825 | 10243 | 8021 | 4114 |
| Gozo | 144 | 617 | 620 | 894 | 641 | 474 | 1088 | 674 | 371 |
| not spec. | 4 | 204 | 227 | 53 | 12 | 233 | 116 | 312 | 3 |
| Total | 1817 | 5368 | 8503 | 7692 | 5345 | 4532 | 11447 | 9007 | 4488 |


| Year |  | Australia |  |  | Canada | U U. $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | c |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | Amount (£) | 32573 | - |  | 22116 | 590 | 342 | £55621 |
|  | Persons | 659 | - |  | 664 | 64 | 8 | 1395 |
| 1949 | Amount (£) | 155564 | - | 7295 | 7706 | 1149 | 248 | £171962 |
|  | Persons | 3128 | - | 254 | 252 | 130 | 17 | 3781 |
| 1950 | Amount(£) | 221484 | - | 12647 | 33922 | 1921 | 21 | £269995 |
|  | Persons | 4442 | - | 508 | 781 | 221 | 1 | 5953 |
| 1951 | Amount (f) | 117045 | - | 32773 | 74246 | 3960 | 240 | £228264 |
|  | Persons | 2532 | - | 1433 | 1478 | 419 | 5 | 5867 |
| 1952 | Amount (£) | 74728 | - | 9547 | 26612 | 3237 | 330 | £114454 |
|  | Persons | 1681 | - | 366 | 600 | 354 | 8 | 3009 |
| 1953 | Amount(£) | 53642 | 22032 | 6355 | 27854 | 4662 | 501 | £114866 |
|  | Persons | 1057 | - | 104 | 649 | 508 | 12 | 2330 |
| 1954 | Amount ( $£$ ) | 282918 | 177561 | 228054 | 45621 | 7811 | 1769 | £743134 |
|  | Persons | 5652 | - | 2421 | 852 | 849 | 19 | 9793 |
| 1955 | Amount(£) | 100150 | 73514 | 364853 | 20345 | 7345 | 408 | £566615 |
|  | Persons | 2280 |  | 3854 | 360 | 840 | 4 | 7338 |
| 1956 | Amount(£) | 51633 | 37917 | 137924 | 16367 | 8497 | 731 | £249469 |
|  | Persons | 1135 |  | 1442 | 293 | 571 | 12 | 3453 |
| 1957 | Amount (£) |  |  |  |  |  |  | £155172 |
|  | Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2422 |

A. Assisted by the Government of Australia and Malta under the agreement.
B. Additional assistance by Malta Government to nominated migrants.
C. Assisted by Malta Government only.

Source: Reports of the Department of Emigration.

| Year | Australia | Canada | U.K. | U.S.A. | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 1973 | 495 | 1176 | 1275 | . 8 | 4927 |
| B. | 44 | 159 |  |  |  | 203 |
| C | 41 |  |  |  |  | 41 |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 203 | 26 | 24 | 18 |  | 171 |
| Total | 2161 | 680 | 1200 | 1293 | 8 | 5342 |
| 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 1108 | 527 | 1679 | 635 | 1 | 3950 |
| B |  | 173 |  |  |  | 173 |
| C | 67 |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 201 | 70 | 23 | 48 |  | 342 |
| Total | 1376 | 770 | 1702 | 683 | 1 | 4532 |
| 1954 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 7785 | 572 | 498 | 195 |  | 9050 |
| B | 210 | 322 |  |  |  | 532 |
| C | 43 |  |  |  |  | 43 |
| D |  |  | 1176 |  |  | 1176 |
| E | 432 | 69 | 16 | 104 |  | 621 |
| Total | 8470 | 963 | 1690 | 299 |  | 11422 |

Table 25 contd.

|  | Australia | Canada | U.K. | U.S.A. | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1955}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 6039 | 369 | 374 | 187 | - | 6971 |
| B: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 59 |  |  |  |  | 59 |
| D |  |  | 1492 |  |  | 1492 |
| E | 344 | 56 | 6 | 79 |  | 485 |
| Total | 6442 | 425 | 1872 | 266 |  | 9007 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 2516 | 31.9 | 350 | 211 | 1 | 3397 |
| B | 94 |  |  | I | 6 | 101 |
| C | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| D |  | 55 | 811 |  |  | 866 |
| E | 101 | 9 |  | 5 |  | 115 |
| Total | 2724 | 383 | 1161 | 217 | 7 | 4492 |

A. Fersonal nomination.
B. Government nomination:
C. Child-migrant scheme。
D. Independent migrant.
E. Ferson returning to adopted country.

Source: Department of Emigration Reports.

Age and sex of migrants, 1951-56
Table 26

| Age | Australia |  | United Kingdom |  | United States | Canada |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| $0-4$ | 1806 | 1734 | 243 | 182 | 233 | 265 | 292 | 266 |
| $5-9$ | 1862 | 1685 | 228 | 198 | 265 | 243 | 232 | 209 |
| $10-14$ | 1149 | 1050 | 136 | 120 | 125 | 126 | 114 | 108 |
| $15-19$ | 3067 | 1186 | 1202 | 449 | 199 | 155 | 643 | 351 |
| $20-24$ | 2366 | 1367 | 1666 | 525 | 180 | 218 | 850 | 284 |
| $25-29$ | 1394 | 928 | 945 | 335 | 259 | 201 | 419 | 206 |
| $30-34$ | 992 | 707 | 575 | 194 | 254 | 130 | 274 | 142 |
| $35-39$ | 604 | 452 | 327 | 144 | 144 | 86 | 138 | 65 |
| $40-44$ | 524 | 371 | 323 | 120 | 98 | 76 | 88 | 48 |
| $45-49$ | 455 | 290 | 230 | 119 | 73 | 51 | 56 | 31 |
| $50-54$ | 235 | 206 | 146 | 103 | 48 | 36 | 26 | 31 |
| $55-59$ | 122 | 91 | 101 | 64 | 30 | 33 | 20 | 24 |
| $60+$ | 96 | 92 | 90 | 94 | 27 | 34 | 19 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 14672 | 10159 | 6212 | 2647 | 1935 | 1654 | 3171 | 1791 |

Source: Department of Emigration Reports.

Internal Movement.
All the statistics in tables 27 and 29 are based on information in the Censuses. Table 30 is derived from the records of the Food Rationing Office (unpublished).


Table 28.
Ma.lta. Internal Movement. Birthplace and Residence . . by localities



Table 28 contd.

|  | 1891 |  | 1901 |  | 1911 |  | 1948 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iocality | + | - | + | - | + | - | + | - |
| Rabat | 272 |  |  | 215 |  | 9 |  | 50 |
| Mgarr |  |  | 726 |  | 923 |  | 654 |  |
| Dingli |  | 69 | 18 |  |  | 7 | 354 |  |
| Siggiewi |  | 113 |  | 132 |  | 104 |  | 227 |
| Mosta |  | 1320 |  | 1545 |  | 1677 |  | 1455 |
| Mellieha | 349 |  | 251 |  |  | 218 |  | 553 |
| St. Paul's Bay |  |  | 179 |  | 958 |  | 1900 |  |
| Naxxar |  | 66 |  | 212 |  | 841 |  | 89 |
| Gharghur |  | 197 |  | 266 |  | 226 |  | 377 |
| Lija |  | 132 |  | 129 |  | 111 |  | 116 |
| Balzan | 323 |  | 209 |  | 259 |  | 930 |  |
| Attard | 374 |  | 616 |  | 724 |  | 983 |  |
| Zebbug |  | 663 |  | 956 |  | 866 |  | 841 |
| Iuqa |  | 78 | 1124 |  | 1059 |  | 479 |  |
| Zejtun |  | 413 |  | 646 |  | 934 |  | 109 |
| Marsaxlokk |  |  | 237 |  | 336 |  | 446 |  |
| Birzebbuga |  |  |  |  |  |  | 833 |  |
| Gudja |  | 25 |  | 67 |  | 72 |  | 220 |
| Ghaxaq |  | 114 |  | 162 |  | 171 |  | 291 |
| Zurrieq: |  | 275 |  | 316 |  | 101 |  | 102- |
| Safi |  | 37 |  | 33 |  | 68 | 90 |  |
| Kirkop |  | 49 |  | 84 | : | 71 |  | 180 |
| Mqabba |  | 80 |  | 81 |  | 140 |  | 197 |
| Qrendi | 9 |  |  | 107 |  | 99 |  | 115 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | \% |  |  | , |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Malta. Internal Movement. Birthplace and Residence by localities.

Percentage living in the place of their birth.
Table 29.


Table 29 contd.

| Iocality | 1891 | 1901 | 1911 | 1948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabat | 83 | 85 | 87 | 76 |
| Mgarr | - | 90 | 93 | 89 |
| Dingli | 73 | 74 | 86 | 83 |
| Siggiewi | 86 | 86 | 87 | 85 |
| Mosta | 69 | 67 | 72 | 72 |
| Mellieha | 85 | 86 | 85 | 81 |
| St. Paul's Bay | - | - | 62 | 83 |
| Naxxar | 74 | 74 | 72 | 75 |
| Gharghur | 80 | 78 | 81 | 75 |
| Lija | 70 | 74 | 74 | 65 |
| Balzan | 69 | 68 | 67 | 63 |
| Attard | 70 | 71 | 73 | 72 |
| Zebbug | 80 | 78 | 80 | 79 |
| Luqa | 82 | 84 | 86 | 76 |
| Zejtun | 86 | 83 | 83 | 82 |
| Marsaxlokk | - | 96 | 72 | 87 |
| Birzebbuga | - | - | - | 86 |
| Gudja | 83 | 79 | 82 | 76 |
| Ghaxaq | 80 | 78 | 81 | 77 |
| Zurrieq | 84 | 83 | 85 | 76 |
| Safi | 76 | 77 | 75 | 86 |
| Kirkop | 77 | 75 | 79 | 75 |
| Mqabba | 79 | 79 | 79 | 81 |
| Qrendi | 85 | 82 | 83 | 81 |

Net Internal Movement, 1949 to 1956, between localities.

Table 30.

| Iocality | 1949 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valletta | -193 | -6 | 7 | -109 | -21 | -96 | -62 | -138 | -618 |
| Floriana | 63 | 96 | 113 | 149 | 82 | 73 | 36 | -4 | 608 |
| Cospicua | 322 | 339 | 231 | 144 | 291 | 264 | 275 | 221 | 2087 |
| Senglea: | 121 | 203 | 201 | 198 | 220 | 215 | 167 | 245 | 1570 |
| V@ttoriosa | -11 | 32 | 72 | 190 | 142 | 186 | 113 | 153 | 877 |
| Hamrun | -223 | 25 | 2 | 163 | -263 | 30 | -209 | 107 | -368 |
| Santa Venera |  |  | Se | Hamr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marsa | 102: | -38 | -85 | 24 | 230 | -69 | 141 | $-88$ | 217 |
| Birkirkara: | -60 | -90 | 67 | -52 | 32 | - | -145 | -27 | -339 |
| Msida: | 36 | 59 | -14 | -54 | -51 | -38 | -40 | 32 | -70 |
| Qormi | -226 | -214 | -103 | -88 | -66 | -107 | -146 | -92 | -1042 |
| Pewla | 199 | 181 | -35 | $\div 115$ | -66 | 6 | 178 | 146 | 486 |
| Sliema- | 467 | 141 | 109 | -296 | -255 | -48 | -64 | 69 | 123 |
| Gzira | 191 | 231 | 37 | -45 | -17 | -62 | 35 | 370 | 740 |
| Pieta | - | - | 3 | -18 | 35 | 12 | 209 | 19 | 260 |
| Kalkara | 28 | 7 | $-17$ | -11 | -61 | -17 | 22 | 21 | - 28 |
| Zabbar | -76 | 30 | -922 | -60 | -71 | -37 | -43 | 93 | -2.56 |
| St. Julians | -5 | 52 | 29 | -61 | -9 | -90 | 65 | 130 | 111 |
| Tarxien |  |  |  | ee Pa | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Rabat | -85 | -123 | -70 | -37 | -113 | -63 | -29 | -61 | -581 |
| Mgarr | -11 | -7 | 2 | 16 | -11 | 10 | -7 | -14 | -22 |
| Dingli | -6 | 8 | -18 | -5 | -7 | -10 | -13 | 18 | -33 |
| Siggiewi | -22 | -21 | 3 | -3 | -13 | -12 | -25 | -20 | -113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 30 contd.

| Locality | 1949 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mosta | 40 | 49 | -12 | -91 | 23 | 25 | 52 | 150 | 236 |
| Mellieha | -14 | 17 | 2 | -1 | 37 | -15 | -37 | -44 | -55 |
| St. Paul's Bay | -5 | -47 | 6 | -37 | -5 | -83 | -27 | 25 | -173 |
| Naxxar | -37 | -24 | -12 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 30 | -22 | -23 |
| Gharghur | -12 | 3 | -21 | -15 | -1 | -11 | -19 | 7 | -69 |
| Iija | 9 | -26 | -2 | -4 | -11 | -18 | -43 | 0 | -95 |
| Balzan | -16 | 31 | -19 | 11 | 40 | 43 | 97 | -28 | 149 |
| Attard | -32 | -34 | 13 | 24 | 5 | 7 | -37 | -2 | -56 |
| Zebbug | -45 | 9 | -12 | -33 | -46 | -58 | -57 | -17 | -259 |
| Iuqa | 27 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 33 | 18 | 42 | 143 |
| Zejtun | -147 | -109 | -68 | -58 | 107 | -49 | -86 | -36 | -660 |
| Marsaxlokk | -12 | 14 | -11 | -6 | -9 | -19 | -2 | 8 | -46 |
| Birzebbuga | -74 | -34 | 12 | -56 | 14 | 9 | -36 | 110 | -55 |
| Gudja | 5 | -3 | -3 | 23 | -7 | -21 | -14 | -7 | -27 |
| Ghaxaq | 24 | -4 | 1 | -3 | 0 | -12 | 15 | 23 | 44 |
| Zurrieq: | -7 | -22 | -10 | -16 | 3 | -12 | -18 | -2 | -84 |
| Safi | 8 | -5 | 5 | -12 | -12 | -9 | 3 | -1 | -23 |
| Kirkop | -3 | 3 | 20 | -24 | $2:$ | -19 | 3 | 11 | -7 |
| Mqabba | -3 | -21 | -12 | 18 | -13 | -30 | -12 | -1 | -74 |
| Qrendi | -25 | 4 | -3 | -1 | -6 | -29 | -28 | -8 | -96 |
| Iotal | 292 | 717 | 317 | -341 | -147 | -80 | 234 | 1388 | 2380 |

Source: Fortnightly returns of the Food Rationing Office, Valletta.

## RuraI Dispersed Population.

Added to information in the Censuses of 1801 and 1901 are the results of an analysis of the Enumerators ${ }^{\text {P }}$ books relating to the Censuses of 1931 and 1948. This material is compared with the 1957 Census analysis of nucleated and dispersed populationa

Malta. Rural Dispersed Population.
(by 1957 locality groups)
Table 31.


Table 31 contd.

| Iocality | 1891 | 1901 | 1931 | 1948 | 1957 | Area in 1957 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zejtun | 446 | 375 | 911 | 1298 | 1490 | 3.551 |  |
| Qrendi |  | 15 | 7 | 21 | 49 | 2.531 |  |
| Zurrieq: a. | 293 | 403 | 345 | 351 | 511 | 3.786 |  |
| Kirkop |  | 6 | 14 | 32 |  | 0.672 |  |
| Mqabba | 5 | 1 | 60 | 10 | 53 | 1.180 |  |
| Western | 1477 | 1505 | 2771 | 3477 | 3150 | 22.797 |  |
| Maina |  |  | 233 | 339 |  | 2.447 |  |
| Rabat | 1331 | 1257 | 2042 | 2255 | 2301 | 7.816 |  |
| Dingli | 69 | 169 | 290 | 571 | 643 | 3.610 |  |
| Siggiewi | 47 | 65 | 160 | 198 | 183 | 6.079 |  |
| Zebbug | 30 | 14 | 46 | 14 | 23 | 2.945 |  |
| Northern | 1957 | 1907 | 3706 | 3897 | 4715 | 29.132 |  |
| Mellieha | 732 | 787 | 570 | 286 | 1205 | 7.736 |  |
| Mgarr | 506 | 477 | 1155 | 1283 | 1491 | 5.918 |  |
| Gharghur | 60 | 43 | 169 | 167 | 230 | 1.982 |  |
| Naxxar | 585 | 443 | 408 | 527 | 796 | 6.360 |  |
| Mosta | 74 | 95 | 215 | 116 | 157 | 1.634 |  |
| St. Paul's Bay |  | 62 | 1189 | 1518 | 836 | 5.502 |  |
| Total | 5250 | 6183 | 11994 | 14184 | 25388 | 86.303 |  |

notes. a. includes Safi.
Dispersed settlement taken from Census analysés in 1931 and 1948 excludes Bubaqra and Nigret (Zurrieq), but includes Burmarrad, Zebbieh, Mtarfa, Nigret (Rabat), Xghajra, Msierah, Fgura and other small hamlets. In 1957 all settlements outside the cillages which give their aame to the localities are included.

Sources: 1891 Census, Table 10 showing isolated farmhouses.

1901 Census, Table 10 showing isolated farmhouses.
1931 Census enumerators' books for subdistricts of localities.
1948 Census enumerators' books for subdistricts of localities.

1957 Census, Table HXA.

