

## **Durham E-Theses**

# The interpretation of magnetic anomalies North of the dartmoor granite

Green, Frances W.

How to cite:

Green, Frances W. (1979) The interpretation of magnetic anomalies North of the dartmoor granite, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/9149/

Use policy

 $The full-text\ may\ be\ used\ and/or\ reproduced,\ and\ given\ to\ third\ parties\ in\ any\ format\ or\ medium,\ without\ prior\ permission\ or\ charge,\ for\ personal\ research\ or\ study,\ educational,\ or\ not-for-profit\ purposes\ provided\ that:$ 

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in Durham E-Theses
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the full Durham E-Theses policy for further details.

Academic Support Office, The Palatine Centre, Durham University, Stockton Road, Durham, DH1 3LE e-mail: e-theses.admin@durham.ac.uk Tel: +44 0191 334 6107 http://etheses.dur.ac.uk

## "THE INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC ANOMALIES NORTH OF THE DARTMOOR GRANITE"

# This thesis is submitted for the degree of Master of Science

1979

Frances W. Green, B.Sc. Graduate Society University of Durham

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author. No quotation from it should be published without his prior written consent and information derived from it should be acknowledged.



ABSTRACT

The large negative mainetic Okchampton Anomaly, northwest of the Dartmoor granite, and rock samples from the same locality, were studied to determine the druce of the magnetic disturbances in this area. Fineralogical exemination revealed that the ferromagnetic mineral pyrrhotite (Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>) has been develored in rocks within, and probably beyond, the metamorphic surcols. Magnetic measurements of the rock samples suggested that the Carboniferous sediments, notably shales, have a mean Q value of 3.57, and that the direction of magnetisation is near horizontal and reversed.

Nodels made to define the profiles across the Okehampton Anomaly suggested that the causal body was composite, dipped north at 30° and had an undulating surface. This could be interpreted as faulted and folded Lower Carboniferous rocks which disappear north from the exposed Meldon inlier under overlying Upper Carboniferous sediments. The 30° dip of the slabs of magnetised rock is the same as the dip of the edge of the Permo=Carboniferous gravits from which mineralising fluids emanated to deposit pyrnotite in the Lower Carboniferous sediments. The directions of magnetisation used in the models correspond to typical early Permian directions suggesting that the magnetic properties of the rock could be attributed to pyrnotite emplaced at the time of the granite intrusion.

Comparison with other magnetic anomalies found around the northern edge of the Dartmoor granite, where it abits against Carboniferous sediments, showed that this explanation is tenable elsowhere. It was also noted that where pyroclastics are interbedded with the Carboniferous sediments the anomaly was the most pronounced. This was attributed to the abundant supply of sulphur and iron in the volcanics which could be a source for pyrchotite development.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

.

			<u>Page</u>
Abstract			i
List of C	ontents		ii
List of H	Figures		v
List of T	lables		vi
Acknowled	lgements		vii
Chapter ]	L: INTRO	DUCTION AND PREVIOUS WORK	1
	1.1	Introduction	<b>1</b> ''
	1.2	The Ceneral Geology of the Field Area	3
		(i) Igneous Rocks	10 ·
	1.3	Metamorphism, mineral- isation and magnetisation of the Culm Neasures at Meldon	12
ه	1.4	Structure	17
	1.5	Previous geophysical investigation	23
Chapter ;	2: DATA	ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION	32
	<b>5</b> •J	Introduction	32
	2.2	Data Acquisition	32
	2.3	Data Reduction	34

· · ·

.

. .

		-	Page
Chapter 3:	THE THE	MAGNETIC FROPERTIES OF ROCK SAMPLES	39
	3.1	Introduction	39
	3.2	Spinner Magnetometer	41
	3.3	Susceptibility Bridge	45
	3.4	Initial Data Assimilation	45
	3.5	The Results	46
	3.6	Petrographic Description of the rock samples	55
		(i) Rocks from sites outside the meta- morphic aureole	56
		(ii) Rocks from Melden Quarry	57
	3.7	Discussion of the results of the magnetic measure- ments and the mineral- ogical investigation	59
Chapter 4:	INTE	RPRETATION	67
	4.1	Introduction	67
	4.2	Depth Estimates	67
	4.3	The Aeromagnetic Map and Geology	68
	դ.դ	The Profiles and Geology	70
		(i) The Okehampton Anomaly	. 70
,		(ii) The local magnetic disturbances	79
	4.5	Discussion of the Observations	81
		(i) Local cognetic dis- turbances	81
_		(ii) Okehompton Anomaly	82

.

•

.

.

iii.

.

	4.6	Graph	ical Interpretation	82
	4.7	Compu	ter Modelling	87
		(i)	Introduction	87
		(ii)	Mathematical Limitations	88
		(iii)	Geological Limitations	89
		(iv)	The models	92
		(v)	The directions of magnetisation	99
	4.8	Asses	sment of the models	100
	4.9	Concl	usions	108
Chapter 5:	DISC	USSION	OF THE RESULTS	1111
	5.1	Intro	duction	111
	5.2	The m	odels	111
	5.3	Compa elsew	rison with anomalies here in Cornubia	115
	5.4	Concl	usions	120
References				I
Appendix A:	Der	ivatio	ns	v
Appendix B:	Lis	tings	of Computer Programs	X J.
Appendix C:	Dat aer	a desc ial pr	ribing the ground and ofiles	XVI

.

.

.

.

.

## LIST OF FIGURES

•

•

•

		Page
1.1	Geological Map of Devon, and overlay showing the Aeromagnetic Map	2
1.2	Geological Map of N-W Dartmoor	5
1.3	Geological Cross-Sections through the Moldon Inlier	18
1.4	Possible structures in the Okehampton area	19
2.1	The corrected and uncorrected ground profile along Line 3	36
3.1	Map of Meidon Quarry	40
3.2	Spinner Magnetometer	43
3.3	Susceptibility Bridge	44
3.4) 3.5)	Stereographic Projections showing the directions of magnetisation of rock samples	53,54
4.1	Geological Map of N-W Dartmoor showing ground profiles, and overlay showing the Aeromagnetic map	69
4.2) 4.7)	Magnetic Profiles and cross-sections of near surface geology	71-77
4.8) 4.14)	Observed and calculated Profiles and 4 the models 4.9-	•8:90 4-14:93-98
5.1	Magnetic Profile and geological cross- section along a line down the north- west side of the Dartmoor granite	117

۷.

#### LIST OF TABLES

.

Page

vi.

.'		
1.1	Lithostratigraphic sequence of rocks in the Meldon Area	6
1.2	Measurements of magnetic susceptibility of rock samples taken from the Okehampton area	27
3.1	Results of measurements made on samples collected in October 1977 from Meldon Quarry	47
3.2	Results of measurements made on semples collected in April 1978 from Meldon Quarry	48
3•3	Table of remanent and resultant magnetic directions for samples taken in April 1978	49

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgements often appear as a list of indigestible names and I fear that this passage will be the same as so many people have given me help and encouragement.

In particular I want to thank my supervisor, Professor E. H. P. Bott, who offered me much constructive criticism, especially in the final stages of this work. The other members of the Geology Department at Durham University to whom I should like to extend my thanks are Mr. Rov Phillips, Dr. G. K. Westbrook, and Dr. G. A. L. Johnson, together with fellow students Tom Armstrong, Karl Gunnarsson and Alan Nunns. Dr. Brian Lander in the Computing Department kindly helped me digitise the data from Hartland Magnetic Observatory which was provided for me by Mr. Allen. Other data took the form of rock samples mostly collected from Meldon and Aggetts Quarries, Okehampton, Devon, with the generous permission of the managers. The magnetic properties of these samples were measured at the School of Physics, Newcastle University, with the assistance of Dr. Don Tarling with whom I also discussed various of my ideas.

vii.

I feel indebted to Drs. Scrivenor and Beer at the Institute of Geological Sciences in Exeter who gave up a great deal of their time to explain the vagaries of the mineralisation in the north-west Dartmoor area, and to Dr. Dave Sanderson at Queen's University, Belfast, who made the geologic structure of this region more comprehensible.

Like all research this project required financial backing and I should like to thank Conoco and my parents for providing this support.

viii.

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION AND PREVIOUS WORK

#### 1.1 Introduction

The area of study is a locality in the south-west of England where there is an extensive magnetic anomaly lying just to the north of the Dartmoor and Bodmin Moor granites. This anomaly occurs over the southern half of the mid Devon syncline where carboniferous rocks are exposed (see Fig. 1.1). The anomaly is elongate with its long axis running approximately west-east. Its southern margin, like the southern limit of the carboniferous rocks, is deflected northwards by the two granite masses: Bodmin Moor granite to the west and the larger Dartmoor granite to the east. As the overlay to Fig. 1.1 shows, large local positive anomalies are found along this southern boundary and even larger negative anomalies flank them to the south. One of these pairs of anomalies is studied here. It is that found north-west of the Dartmoor grante, and centred 2 km. south-west of Okehampton (see Fig. 1.1).

The Okehampton anomaly, as it is called, was first located by Bott, Day and Masson-Smith (1958) and it was





PART OF THE ORDANCE SURVEY AEROMAGNETIC MAP (1.325,000) FOR GREAT BRITAIN - MID DEVON AND EAST CORNWALL - PRODUCED FOR THE I.G.S.

Contours at 503 intervals Stippled enclosures are negative anomalies Shaded area is a positive anomaly



2.

Fig.1.1.

· | | THE GEOLOGY OF MID DEVON AND EAST CORNWALL - showing towns and collection sites (after Edmonds et al, 1975) fully defined during the period 1958-59 when the 1:625,000 aeromagnetic map of south-west England was being made for the Geological Survey. As the overlay to Fig. 1.1 shows it is a negative anomaly roughly 6 km. by 1.5 km. in size, and peaking at about 2.5 km. from the granite contact. The positive peak, which flanks it approximately 2 km. to the north, is smaller in amplitude. The axes of both anomalies run approximately parallel to the granite contact, and, in this aerial survey, the peak to peak amplitude of these two related anomalies was measured as 550%. As Fig. 1.1 shows the negative Okehampton Anomaly occurs partly over the Lower Carboniferous inlier found in that locality.

This thesis describes the work done to determine a possible explanation of this major anomaly and the minor disturbances to its south-west in terms of the geology and mineralogy of the area. Four traverses were made using a proton precession magnetometer. Rock samples were taken from various sites in the area (see Fig. 1.1), and their magnetic and mineralogical properties were studied.

#### 1.2 The general geology of the field area

The regional setting of the field area is shown on Fig. 1.1; namely the region south-west of Okehampton in which sites 1, 2 and 3 are found (site 4 is beyond the main study area). It is located on the southern

flank of the mid Devon syncline where the older rocks are exposed as inliers in the Upper Culm Measure sediments (Culm Measure is a local term synonymous with Carboniferous). There are a series of these inliers along the southern margin of the Culm Measures. They comprise rocks of Upper Devonian and Lower Carboniferous age. The inlier, known as the Meldon inlier, and situated 2 km. south-west of Okehampton, is of special interest here as it roughly coincides with the Okehampton Anomaly. The Meldon inlier is shown in more detail in Fig. 1.2. It is the northernmost inlier, running from the Sticklepath fault, through Meldon, to Bridestowe.

Even though early workers (De la Beche, 1839, Sedgwick and Murchison, 1840, Ussher, 1893, 1900, 1901) made great contributions to the study of the Culm Measures and introduced their own classifications, the lithostratigraphic succession used here will be that described by Dearman and Butcher (1959), but the nomenclature is that used by the I.G.S. (Edmonds et al, 1968)(see Table 1.1).

The oldest of these formations is the Meldon Slate-with-lenticles Formation. This formation is a member of the Transition Series which straddles the boundary between Devonian and Carboniferous being part Famennian and part Tournaisian in age. The formation consists of alternations of dark brown slates and



Fig. 1.2. Geology of north-west Dartmoor (Dearman and Butcher, 1959)



thinly bedded or lenticular coarse green siltstones.

Overlying these rocks are the Meldon Slate-and-Quartzite and Meldon Chert Formations which are both Lower Carboniferous in age; the latter being the younger.

The Meldon Slate-and-Quartzite Formation can be divided into five main units, listed below, with the youngest bed at the top:-

70'	(22 m.)	interlaminated shales and Quartzites
200'	(62 m.)	volcanics
130'	(40 m.)	massively bedded black shales
451	(14 m.)	thinly bedded white and brown slaty quartzites

85' (26 m.) black chiastolite shales Of particular note is the volcanic group, as it forms a prominent horizon and is known to be magnetic (Beer and Fenning, 1976). It consists of pyroclastic rocks, notably tuffs and spilitic agglomerates. They were all laid down under marine conditions and may be graded or cross laminated. The volcanic group decreases in thickness in all directions from the Meldon centre.

There are three divisions in the Meldon Chert Formation making up a total thickness of 240' (74 m.). The list below puts the youngest rock at the top:-

20'	(6 m.)	limestones
120'	(37 m.)	interbedded black shales and radiolarian cherts
100'	(31 m.)	strata limestones

The strata limestones can pass laterally into cherts and the radiolarian cherts into black calcareous shales. This suggests that the various rocks are lenticular deposits as does the fact that there is a sporadic distribution of limestone in the area.

The inlier is surrounded by rocks which are Upper Carboniferous in age. They are the shales and turbidite sandstones of the Crackington Formation. They are fairly uniform in composition, although zones of iron nodules have been found. One such zone was exposed during house constructions near the A30 at Bridestowe (site 1 on Fig. 1.1). As Table 1.1 shows there is a gap in the stratigraphic sequence between these rocks and the underlying Lower Carboniferous and Transition Series sediments which make up the Meldon inlier.

Fig. 1.2 shows a more detailed map of northwest Dartmoor, compiled by Dearman and Butcher (1959). The field area is in the north-west quarter and the Meldon inlier is that stretching from the Sticklepath fault west, through Meldon, to Bridestowe. Further south there are two other inliers; the Lydford inlier and the Petertavy inlier. The stratigraphy of these inliers is similar to that of the Meldon inlier, except that volcanics can be found in horizons other than the Slate-and-Quartzite Formation. As Fig. 1.2 shows, volcanics are found in the Chert Formation near Petertavy. The outcrop at Meldon is the most complicated but this is a structural feature and not related to any major differences in lithology. In fact, all the inliers of Transition Series and Lower Carboniferous rocks which outcrop along the southern margin of the Culm Measures (see Fig. 1.1) have a similar lithology. Edmonds (1974) compared several inliers and noted the following sequence:-

Stratigraphic Age	General name of formation in the region N-W of the Dartmoor granite	Rock Type	
Middle and Upper Visean	Chert Formation	Shale and cherts (limestones, volcanics)	
Tournai <b>s</b> ian- Visean	Slate-and- Quartzite Formation	Shales (volcanics, quartzites)	
Femennian- Tournaisian	Slate-with- Lenticles Formation	Shales (siltstones and siliceous, earthy or calcareous lenses)	
The rocks in brackets are not ubiquitous			

The names of the three formations change from inlier to inlier. Usually only the place name changes such as the Meldon Chert Formation becoming the Watervale Chert Formation when it is exposed in the Lydford inlier (see Fig. 1.2), and, similarly, rocks of comparable age, 9'.

exposed north of the Bodmin Moor granite are known as rocks of the Fire Beacon Chert Formation.

The table above shows that the predominant rock type is shale and that the sediments become more calcareous up the sequence. It also shows that volcanics are found throughout the Upper Tournaisian and Visean.

#### 1.2(i) Igneous Rocks

The most prominent igneous rock in the Meldon district is the Dartmoor granite which is the largest and most eastern batholith of a chain which stretches across Devon and Cornwall. It consists of two main types of granite; a highly porphyritic variety referred to as giant granite (Brammall and Harwood, 1923), 'G' (Osman, 1924) or big feldspar granite (Edmonds et al, 1968), and a non porphyritic rock known as blue granite (Brammall and Harwood, 1923), 'GI' (Osmond, 1924) or poorly megacrystic granite (Edmonds et al, 1968).

The blue granite is a medium grained, hypidiomorphic quartz-feldspar-biotite rock which contains scattered crystals of feldspar and quartz which make up less than 5% of the rock and are normally less than 25 mm. in length. This granite is overlain by the big feldspar granite which is considered to be a marginal facies and shows abundant evidence of contamination by country rocks. It has a similar composition to the underlying granite but the feldspar

megacrysts are better developed, being over 25 mm. in length and taking up more than 5% of the rock. In the Okehampton area 70% of the granite is the big feldspar variety. Fine grained variants of this rock occur as minor intrusions as do a variety of aplites. These cut across both types of granite and belong to a distinct and later period of intrusive activity.

Many authors, of whom Ussher (1888) was the first, suggested that the Dartmoor granite was an asymmetrical laccolith with a flat body intruded northwards from a feeder further south. The gravity survey, conducted by Bott, Day and Masson-Smith (1958), also suggested this mode of intrusion. Interpretations based on this gravity survey indicated that the granite contact is steep along its southern, eastern and northern contacts while there is a more gentle dip along the western Field evidence shows that the contact dips margin. outwards at  $20^{\circ}$ - $30^{\circ}$  in the Okehampton region, and becomes steeper to the east (Edmonds et al, 1968). Field evidence also indicates that the granite is post Culm Measure but pre Permian red beds (Edmonds et al, 1968). This was confirmed using K-Ar age dating (Miller and Mohr, 1964) which put its age of intrusion at about 280 M.Y., which is early Permian.

Dolerites and volcanics are the other igneous rocks found in the area. The pyroclastics, marked as volcanics on Fig. 1.2, mainly comprise the tuffs and

agglomerates of Shale-and-Quartzite Formation, although they can be found in association with Chert Formation rocks in the Petertavy inlier (see Fig. 1.2). The dolerites are only found in the Lower Culm Measure rocks in the Meldon district while, further south, near Petertavy, they are found as intrusions in Upper Culm Measure rocks.

#### 1.3 <u>Metamorphism, mineralisation and magnetism</u> of the Culm Measures at Meldon

Fig. 1.2 shows that the Meldon inlier, as well as the eastern ends of those inliers further south, is within the metamorphic aureole of the Dartmoor granite, which has a maximum width of 2 km. (Geological Survey Map No. 324) although Dearman and Butcher (1959) believe that it is as narrow as 1500 ft. (462 m.).

The thermal metamorphism of the Dartmoor granite caused an internal rearrangement of constituent elements of the country rock in the presence of hydrous fluids derived from the magma. However these changes are often masked by metasomatic changes which probably occurred later (Dearman and Butcher, 1959). There is evidence of this metasomatic change in both the granite and country rocks. Stone and Austin (1961) noted that K-feldspar megacrysts were developed across the boundaries of aplites and xenoliths in the granite and suggested that this was a result of late stage potash metasomatism. In the country rock examples of late

stage metasomatism include the development of biotite (potash metasomatism) in some dolerites, and tourmaline and aximite (boron metasomatism) in some sedimentary hornfelses (Edmonds et al, 1968).

The degree of thermal metamorphism decreases away from the granite so at the outer edge of the metamorphic aureole, which corresponds to the northern contact of the Meldon inlier, the sediments only show a mild induration. Nearer to the granite they become harder and spotting occurs, while very close to the granite hornfelses are developed. These hornfelses are mainly restricted to the Upper Culm Measure sediments which outcrop between the granite and the Meldon inlier, but they do occur in the inlier itself.

Altered argillaceous rocks give rise to quartzbiotite-cordierite hornfelses in the Upper Culm Measure rocks found between the granite and the inlier. Biotite continues to be developed in shales, located further from the granite and which are not hornfelsed. The shales of the Transition Series (Slate-with-lenticles Formation) can form brown biotite rich bands which simulate calc-flinta. True calc-flintas, or fine grained calc-silicate wornfelses, are the alteration product of cherts and calcareous siltstones, primarily from the Chert Formation (Dearman and Butcher, 1959).

Little or no biotite is developed in the sandstones and siltstones, but muscovite and quartz with

some chlorite, andalusite or cordierite may be found. The sandstones and siltstones of the Crackington Formation rocks adjacent to the granite are the most affected and boron metasomatism, giving rise to the development of tourmaline, has occurred in these rocks.

The cherts and limestones of the Chert Formation have been the most affected by thermal metamorphism and metasomatism. As has been mentioned above, calc-flintas are a common alteration product, though pure limestone near the southern margin of the inlier has been converted to marble (Dearman and Butcher, 1959). Pyrrhotite is a common accessory constituent and probably resulted from the alteration of pyrite originally contained in these rocks (Edmonds et al, 1968). Pyrrhotite is also found as an accessory mineral in the calc-silicate assemblages which are believed to be the alteration product of cherts. Edmonds et al (1968) suggest that during metamorphism, calcium, aluminium, iron and magnesium tended to migrate from calcareous and argillaceous horizons into the cherty bands interbedded However the samples studied by Cornwell with them. (1967) and Beer and Fenning (1976) show that pyrrhotite development is not restricted to the Chert Formation cherts and limestones as it was found in shales and It is not uncommon for this mineral to be sandstones. developed in thermally metamorphosed black shales or other rocks rich in iron and sulphur (Harbord, 1962),

but it seems that the pyrrhotite development in these shales is largely the result of metasomatism rather than the thermal metamorphic alteration of detrital iron sulphide, such as has occurred in the cherts. This metalliferous metasomatism within the metamorphic aureole was followed by later hydrothermal veining in both granite and country rock for a distance of up to 4.5 miles (7 km.) from the granite contact (Edmonds et al, 1968).

From the point of view of the present study the development of pyrrhotite is important as it is a magnetic mineral. Beer and Fenning (1976) noted that the rocks exposed along the margins of the inlier tended to have a significant magnetisation. As Fig. 1.2 shows the outermost rocks largely comprise those of the Chert Formation and it has been shown (Edmonds et al, 1968) that the magnetic mineral pyrrhotite is often developed as an accessory mineral in the metamorphosed cherts and limestones of this formation. Another group of rocks near to the margins of the Meldon inlier are the volcanics of the Slate-and-Quartzite Formation. These form a pronounced magnetic horizon, but this is probably the result of a high magnetite content, though pyrrhotite does occur (Edmonds et al, 1968).

Even though the volcanics and rocks of the Chert Formation form prominent magnetic horizons the widespread distribution of pyrrhotite ensures that many of the rocks within 7 km. of the granite contact have a

significant magnetisation. The following extract from the Okehampton Memoir (Edmonds et al, 1968) describes the distribution of this mineral:-

"Of the metalliferous minerals seen at the surface, pyrhotite is the most widespread and also the most abundant. It occurs chiefly in finely disseminated form in calc-silicate, limestone, sandstone and argillaceous or silty argillaceous beds. Locally it may account for up to 5 or 6 per cent of these rock types. In the harder chert, dolerite and volcanic horizons, the mineral is more commonly developed in veins and along joints. Disseminated crystals of pyrrhotite do appear in these rocks, however, and in dolerites this sulphide locally replaces ilmenite."

In summary it seems that pyrrhotite can be developed in one of two ways; as the thermally altered product of detrital sulphides or metasomatically emplaced as a disseminated or vein mineral. It is confined to the metamorphic surcels unless it is formed as a vein mineral when it can be found at distances as great as 7 km. from the granite contact. The significant values for the magnetisation measured in some of the Culm Measure rocks within the metamorphic aureole of the Dartmoor granite have been attributed to this mineral (Cornwell, 1967, Edmonds et al, 1968, Beer and Fenning, 1976) though it is less likely to be responsible for the magnetic properties of the volcanics and dolerites. In fact, the behaviour of the dolerites in the area is anomalous as they have a mean magnetisation less than that for dolerites found elsewhere (Creer, 1966).

#### 1.4 <u>Structure</u>

The structure in the Meldon district is illustrated in Fig. 1.3. This shows that the Meldon inlier is essentially a faulted anticlinal structure, which has a complementary syncline to the south. The fold (Fig. 1.3(a)), or folds where several have been developed (Fig. 1.3(b)) have straight limbs and turn sharply. The normal and inverted limbs of these recumbent folds dip north at  $30^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  respectively. The axial plane faces south and dips at  $45^{\circ}$ . This structure of zig-zag folds is further complicated with various types of faulting.

The faulted anticline, or anticlines, which make up the Meldon inlier, are some of the many recumbent folds which form part of the southern flank of the mid-Devon syncline. As will be discussed later, several explanations of their formation have been suggested, such as variation in strain from north to south, or that these folds are superimposed on a major overfold formed before the granite was intruded.

The smaller folds are overturned and dip north. They are found in a belt which stretches from just east of the Sticklepath fault west-south-west to the coast at Efford Cliff (SX 2200 1060)(Sanderson and Dearman, 1973). The amplitude and wavelength of the major fold at Meldon has been shown (Dearman, 1969) to be of the same order of magnitude as the folds at Sticklepath and Efford Cliff.





(b) Profile roughly coincides with part of Line 5

	Crackington Formation
	Chert Formation
¥ <u>7,¥</u> ¥	volcanics 🖌 Slate-and-Quartzite Formation
	Slate-with-Lenticles Formation
巡	Granite Dolerite
	Fault

<u>Fig. 1.3</u> showing cross-sections through the Meldon inlier - marked on the map on Fig. 1.2 as (a) AA' and (b) BB' (after Dearman and Butcher, 1959).

18.



(a) Thrust model (after Edmonds et al, 1968)



(b) Northward progression into Mid-Devon Syncline



Fig. 1.4 Diagrams showing the possible sructure in the Okehampton region.

	<u>Half wave length</u>	<u>amplitude</u>
Meldon	1.5 km.	0.5 km.
Sticklepath	2.5 km.	0.5 km.
Efford Cliff	variable (mean ~2.5 km.)	0.5 km.

The easterly trending ridges of the Chert Formation between Dartmoor and Launceston (see Fig. 1.2) have similar periodicity, though no estimates of the amplitude had been made in 1969.

Further south, in a zone which stretches from Lydford to Tintagel (Fig. 1.1) the folds have a different style (Sanderson and Dearman, 1973). They still trend approximately west-east but they are recumbent rather than overturned. This change in fold inclination can be explained (Edmonds et al, 1968) in terms of a major overfold. This overfold was caused when basement rocks were thrust northwards under the southern limb of the mid-Devon syncline. As Fig. 1.4(a) shows, the Culm Measure rocks were overturned on this basement slab and minor folds were developed within them. Larger folds were developed in the Lower Carboniferous rocks than in the overlying incompetent Upper Culm Measure Crackington Formation. The northsouth change in dip of the axial planes from recumbent to overturned is then explained, since their inclination is determined by the dip of the major fold on which they are superimposed. Fig. 1.4(a) illustrates this point.

However, Sanderson and Dearman (1973) suggest that this explanation is not tenable, as the transition from upright to recumbent folding can be explained in terms of strain increase from north to south. And Selwood and McCourt (1973), even though they proffer no explanation of how the Meldon anticline formed, argue that "the change from overturned to close recumbent folds observed west of Dartmoor need not be associated with underthrusting or any special mechanism: it need only signal the passage into a synclinal fold zone". This suggests that the Meldon folds form part of the southern flank of the mid-Devon syncline. As it dips more steeply to the north, so do the axial planes of the smaller folds (see Fig. 1.4(b)).

Either of these interpretations could be used to explain the geology between Bridestowe and Tavistock (see Fig. 1.2). The ridges of the Chert Formation rocks are the remains of synclines separated by anticlines which bring the older Slate-with-Lenticles Formation to be exposed (Dearman, 1969). These folds have wavelengths of the same order of magnitude as those in the zone which runs from the Sticklepath fault to the west Devon coast (Dearman, 1969).

It is worth noting that both structural interpretations suggest that a series of recumbent folds in the Lower Culm Measures continue northwards under the Crackington Formation rocks and become progressively deeper. Also, if they possess the same characteristics

as those exposed at the surface, they will have a half wave-length of 2.5 km. and an amplitude of 0.5 km.

However, to describe the structure of the Carboniferous rocks north and west of the Dartmoor granite in terms of folding alone is to oversimplify the situation. In the Meldon area three types of faulting have been observed (Dearman and Butcher, 1959):-

- (i) strike faults along the bedding
- (ii) normal faults parallel to the steep inverted bedding
- (iii) reverse faults following the angle of
  the bedding in the normal limbs of
  the folds

These faults have elongated the anticline (Dearman, 1968) giving the rocks a structure like a pack of once vertical playing cards which have been tilted to the south and slipped. The faults tend to dip at angles of  $30^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  to the north since they follow the bedding of the normal and inverted limbs. Fig. 1.3 illustrates the way in which the faults alter the apparent shape of the anticline so that it appears to dip less steeply to the north. The normal faulting could provide another mechanism by which Lower Carboniferous rock can be found at progressively greater depths to the north under the Crackington Formation. Alternatively, reverse faulting such as that shown in Fig. 1.3(b) may ensure that Lower Carboniferous rocks are kept near to the surface. In summary, then, the structure in the Meldon district can be described in terms of a faulted anticline flanked to the south by a syncline which is truncated by the granite (see Fig. 1.3). It is believed (Dearman and Butcher, 1959, Freshey and Taylor, 1971, Sanderson and Dearman, 1973, Hobson and Sanderson, 1975) that the rocks which form the Meldon inlier continue north under the Crackington Formation rocks as well as to the south where they outcrop as inliers (see Fig. 1.2). The concealed Lower Carboniferous rocks which continue north may form a series of ever deepening folds (see Fig. 1.4) though faulting, such as that seen at Meldon, may bring certain rocks up near the surface, or place others at greater depths.

#### 1.5 Previous geophysical investigation.

The first relevant geophysical work to be done in the Cornubian peninsula was carried out in 1936 when Bullard and Jolly made a number of pendulum gravity measurements in Devon and Cornwall using a pendulum gravimeter. Then, in 1958, Bott, Day and Masson-Smith used a Worden gravimeter to make a comprehensive gravity map of Cornubia. This shows a belt of negative anomalies which follows the line of the granite chain. The gravity lows are more marked over the exposed granites but their persistence in the intervening areas suggests that the granites are connected at depth - an idea first put forward by Murchison and Sedgewick in 1840 to explain the continuity of mineralisation between batholiths.

The Dartmoor granite is the largest and most eastern batholith. On the basis of a gravity interpretation its northern contact is thought to dip at an angle in the range 40-75° and descend to a depth of at least 10 km. This is for a density contrast of 0.16 g.cm.<sup>-3</sup> between the granite and the denser Culm Measures (Bott, Day and Masson-Smith, 1958). In the Okehampton area the contact is thought to dip at 20-30° near the surface (Edmonds et al, 1968). The gravity map shows that the negative anomaly caused by the granite has a gently sloping northern edge and that no other gravity disturbances have been detected in the area.

As well as conducting a gravity survey Bott, Day and Masson-Smith (1958) made some exploratory magnetic traverses using vertical field magnetometers. The main feature observed was that the magnetic field north of the line of granites was much higher than that over the granite or the rocks to its south. Along the course delineating the northern limit of the gravity low very large local negative magnetic anomalies were found, flanked to their north by a belt of positive magnetic anomalies. It was suggested that the main positive anomaly (see overlay to Fig.1.1) was caused by highly polarised rocks beneath the Carboniferous sediments which dwindle northwards, or basement metamorphics brought nearer to the surface as a result of Hercynian thrusting in this region. No suggestions

were put forward as to the cause of the local negative anomalies.

The fact that the junction between the gravity lows and gravity highs roughly coincides with the line of granites supports the idea that the cupolas are connected at depth. It also suggests that the magnetic features found along this line are associated with the contact between the granite and the more magnetic country rock to the north. The broad regional change from persistent low to persistent high (see overlay to Fig. 1.1) suggests that the polarisation contrasts go down to great depths. No comparable contrast is found south of the granite, indicating that the magnetic properties of the rocks found in south Devon are similar to the granite.

In 1965 the Geological Survey published an aeromagnetic map of Cornubia (scale 1:625,000). An airbowrne fluxgate magnetometer had been used. This instrument measures the total magnetic field so the survey was the first total field survey of the area. No large scale interpretation was done but the survey did confirm the findings of Bott, Day and Masson-Smith (1958) and showed the magnetic anomalies in greater detail and extent. Part of this map is shown on the overlay to Fig. 1.1.

Using this aeromagnetic data and supplementary ground measurements, made by the Geophysical Department of the Geological Survey in 1963/64, using a proton
precession magnetometer, an interpretation of the anomalies encircling the northern edge of the Dartmoor granite was attempted (Edmonds et al, 1968). The conclusion reached was that concealed, but near surface bodies, probably igneous intrusions, situated directly under the anomaly, 2.5 km. to the north of the granite contact, caused the large negative anomalies. The Okehampton Anomaly was found to have a peak to peak anomaly of 5508. Using the graphical method of Bruckshaw and Kunaratnam (1963), this body was estimated at being at a depth of 150-250 feet (46-81 m.), but no estimation of the width was recorded. From the fact that the negative anomaly is positioned south of the positive peak it was assumed that the causal body was reversely magnetised. The I.G.S. found that more definitive interpretation proved difficult as most methods then available assumed that magnetisation arose purely from induction in the earth's field.

To accompany this work the Geological Survey (Edmonds et al, 1968) measured the susceptibility of a number of rock samples taken from the Meldon area. The results are shown in Table 1.2. It was noted that the values of the susceptibility of the granite were low and uniform, thus accounting for the low magnetic field, and lack of relief on the aeromagnetic map, across the granite exposure. By contrast, the measurements made for the samples of Culm Measure rocks taken

# Table 1.2

# Showing the localities and susceptibilities of a number of rock samples taken by the Institute of Geological Sciences in order to measure their magnetic properties (Edmonds et al, 1968)

Rock Type	Locality	Number of specimens	Range of susceptibility (K x 10 <sup>-6</sup> units)		
Granite	Dartmoor	Dartmoor 13			
Albite- dolerite	Sourton Tors (near Bridestowe)	2	54		
	Meldon	2	110-23 <sup>1</sup> +		
Pyrrhotite- bearing calc-silicate hornfels	Meldon	1	13500		
Carboniferous (Culm Measure) sediments from within the meta- morphic aureole	Various	16	7-380		

from the metamorphic aureole, were varied, ranging from 7-380 x  $10^{-6}$  c.g.s. units. On closer examination it proved that those samples with the highest susceptibility contained the magnetic iron sulphide pyrrhotite. This mineral was also present in the sample with the highest susceptibility: the pyrrhotitebearing calc-silicate hornfels. The twelve samples of Carboniferous sediment which did not contain pyrrhotite had a range of susceptibility of 7-39 x  $10^{-6}$ c.g.s. ( $88-490 \times 10^{-6}$ S.I. units). Apart from the pyrrhotite bearing calcsilicate hornfels the only other rocks with high susceptibility were some of the albite dolerites.

The Geological Survey (Fenning, in Edmonds et al, 1968) concluded that the uniform nature and large areal extent of the anomalies made the possibility of their arising from mineralisation within the Culm Measure rocks extremely unlikely. He suggested that the major anomalies were caused by igneous rock such as dolerite, with the smaller features, detected only on the ground traverses, being due either to pyrrhotite mineralisation or to the dolerite intrusions in the Lower Carboniferous.

More detailed work was done on the magnetic properties of the Carboniferous rocks outcropping at Meldon (Cornwell, 1967) and at Sourton Tors, south of Bridestowe (Beer and Fenning, 1967). A similar conclusion was reached in both areas, that the large local magnetic anomalies were caused by pyrrhotite which had developed in the rocks as a result of late stage

metasomatic activity associated with the intrusion of the Dartmoor granite. Cornwell (1967) noted that even though there was considerable scatter in the direction of the magnetisation of these rocks its mean was comparable with that measured in early Permian rocks found elsewhere, again suggesting that the pyrrhotite mineralisation was the same age as the granite. Beer and Fenning (1976) describe both vein and disseminated pyrrhotite in their samples suggesting that it has developed in more than one way. The disseminated crystals could be the product of thermal metamorphism of detrital sulphides or a result of metasomatism. The vein mineral is definitely metasomatic and was probably a late stage hydrothermal emplacement (see Edmonds et al, 1968, p. 131).

Work was also done on the magnetic properties of igneous rocks of Carboniferous age which outcrop in south-west England. Creer (1966) noted that dolerites of this age tended to have a lower magnetisation, often reduced by one order of magnitude, than that for dolerites found elsewhere. The magnetisation of a highly magnetic rock such as dolerite mainly comprises the remanent component so the unusually low value for the magnetisation is probably a result of a much reduced remanent magnetisation. This was thought to have been caused by a widespread remagnetisation during the early Permian (Chalmalaun and Creer, 1964, Creer, 1966).

More recently, in 1969, the I.G.S. put down a borehole at Wilsey Down, located on Fig. 1.1 (borehole log unpublished and a brief account in the Boscastle and Holsworthy Memoir, McKeown et al, 1973). The object of doing this was to confirm the stratigraphy and structure deduced from surface outcrops and to discover the source of the strong negative magnetic anomaly located in that area. As the overlay to Fig. 1.1 shows, this negative anomaly is similar to the one situated at Okehampton, so the conclusions reached here may help in interpreting the Okehampton Anomaly. Beneath 250 ft. (78 m.) of shales, siltstones and turbidite sandstones of the Crackington Formation the borehole encountered shales and limestones similar to those of the Meldon Chert Formation. These beds were pyrrhotite enriched between 250 and 850 ft. (78 and 262 m.) and were thought to be the cause of at least part of the magnetic anomaly. The pyrrhotite found in the Wilsey Down borehole differs from that found by the Geological Survey (1968, 1976) or Cornwell (1967) in the Meldon samples in that it was bedded and found outside the metamorphic aureole. The pyrrhotite is thought (Beer and Scrivenor, personal communication) to have developed when the sediments were still unconsolidated. It is found in the shales but is always near a calcareous horizon (unpublished I.G.S. borehole log). Greenstone bands and tuffs are found throughout the calcareous sequence, and it has been suggested (Scrivenor, personal

communication) that these igneous rocks could have been contemporary volcanics whose solutions provided the Fe, S and possibly Ni for the pyrrhotite development.

The geophysical work to date falls into two schools of thought; that the magnetic anomalies are caused by igneous bodies such as dolerites or that mineralisation, in the form of pyrrhotite development, is the main contributing factor. However, there does seem to be one point of agreement in that the short wavelength anomalies only detectable along ground traverses, and superimposed on the major anomalies, are thought to be caused by near surface mineralisation, largely confined to the metamorphic aureole.

#### CHAPTER 2

#### DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION

#### 2.1 <u>Introduction</u>

This chapter describes the acquisition and reduction of data. These include the ground magnetic readings defining six profiles across magnetic anomalies northwest of the Dartmoor granite. Rock samples for determination of magnetic and mineralogical properties were also collected but their treatment will be considered in Chapter 3.

The magnetic anomaly of particular interest is that defined on the 1:250,000 aeromagnetic map (see overlay to Fig. 4.1) as the Okehampton Anomaly - named after the principal town in that area.

#### 2.2 Data Acquisition

The data for the ground traverses were collected in October 1977. Six traverses were made across or near to the Okehampton anomaly. They ran along lines joining the following pairs of grid references:

	line	1:	SX	2535	0870		SX	2516	0905
•	line	2;	SX	2558	0871		SX	2531	0922
	line	3:	SX	2579	0864	<b></b>	SX	2520	0953
	line	4:	SX	2599 <sup>.</sup>	0860		SX	2544	0930

line 5: SX 2589 0900 -- SX 2552 0969 line 6: SX 2600 0930 -- SX 2565 0970

The instrument used to make the measurements was a GeoMetrics portable precession magnetometer model G-816, which measures the total field intensity read out in gamma on a digital display.

Position fixing in the field was done in one of two ways. As 1:10,560 Ordnance Survey maps were used, it was possible, when near buildings, or in fields, to locate position relative to suitable land marks, estimating distances by pacing. This was impossible on Dartmoor so continual back bearings were made to locate ' position.

The time at which each reading was taken was recorded, and the height was estimated from the contour map. A local base station was established near Meldon village at SX 2565 0923. Since sections from different lines were often measured in the same day a base station reading was obtained before and after each set of readings was made. When it took an entire day to measure a section it was not always possible to take a base station reading during the day. Continuous reading magnetometers are installed at Hartland Magnetic Observatory, near Bideford, 40 km. north-east of the area (see Fig. 1.1), and the records from these instruments were obtained so that corrections for diurnal variation could be made.

#### 2.3 Data Reduction

The field data were processed to remove the effects of diurnal variation. The I.G.R.F. was calculated for the area, and was then subtracted from the field data and the residual taken to be the anomaly. This was done using the program INTERPOL (see Appendix B) which calls the subroutine I.G.R.F. This subroutine was compiled by Dr. G.K. Westbrook at Durham University from a program supplied by the I.G.S. (ref. I.A.G.A. study group, 1976). The I.G.R.F. value was computed for certain points along each traverse and the values for intervening points were calculated by linear interpolation.

In order to calculate the diurnal variation the results from Hartland Magnetic Observatory were studied. These were digitised using a digitising table and the results fed into (CREAROPH (see Appendix 8). This program calculates the mean value of both the vortical and horizontal components of the magnetic field, as measured at Hartland, and subtracts this value from each reading to produce the vertical and horizontal anomalies. Interpolating between these values dZ and dH were calculated for each point in time at which a reading was taken in the field. The total anomaly, dF, was then calculated: dF = dH.cosI + dZ.cosI, where I is the angle of inclination of the earth's magnetic field. GF was then subtracted from the 1.6.4.F. corrected anomaly which had been computed using INTERPOL. Fig. 2.1 shows the plot of a profile before and after corrections for diurnal variation were made.

Figs. 4.2 to 4.7 show that the topography is rugged and can vary over a range as great as 421.6 m. (line 4). 34

The original intention had been to upward continue the ground data to a height of 500 ft. (167 m.) so that they could be directly compared with profiles along the some lines taken from the unpublished 1:25,000 I.G.S. aeromagnetic map, flown at that height. As this was not possible the ground profiles were compared with the aerial data. Figs. 4.2 to 4.7 show both types of profile as well as the terrain and geology.

Even though the ground and aerial profiles display similar features they differ in two respects. Firstly the main peaks and troughs on the ground profiles have greater amplitudes, as would be expected, but, superimposed on these are large amplitude magnetic disturbances which have too short a wavelength to enable them to be detected from the air. The fact that the two types of profile are similar, except that greater detail is displayed on the around traverses, shows that they describe the same features, and that the major anomaly shown on the ground traverses is the Okehampton Anomaly. 35



#### CHAPTER 3

#### THE MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF THE ROCK SAMPLES

#### 3.1 Introduction

Rock samples for determination of the magnetic and mineralogical properties were taken from four different localities; at Meldon Quarry (SX 2570 0925) and from three sites outside the metamorphic aureole - Aggetts Quarry (SX 2594 0961), a building site near the A30 (SX 2519 0900) and near Meldon village (SX 2562 0928). Samples of shale and sandstone of the Upper Carboniferous Grackington Formation were taken from the three sites outside the metamorphic aureole (Fig. 1.1). The samples from Meldon Quarry consist of a variety of Lower Carboniferous shales, cherts and meta-igneous rocks (notably baked tuffs) from the Slate-with-Lenticles, Slate-and-Quartzite and Chert Formations.

A preliminary sampling was carried out in October 1977, and a more specific collection was made in April 1978, when further samples were collected from Meldon Quarry. The sites from which the second set of samples were taken are shown in Fig. 3.1. The sampling method required that the orientation of the rocks relative to Fig. 3.1 <sup>T</sup>able listing the sites in Meldon Quarry at which samples were taken in April 1978

# Collection Site

#### Sample Number

New Stone Area Stone Area 1 Stone Area 2 Stone Area 4 M601, M602, M603 M800 M101, M102, M103, M104 M901, M904, M905

· · · · · ·



Fig. 3.1 Map showing the collection sites in Meldon Quarry

the magnetic north, and to the dip of the strata, was determined. When the samples had been suitably marked with orientated arrows they were removed, using a hammer.

The samples were prepared at the Physics Department, Newcastle University, by drilling one or more 1" cores from each sample. These cores were then cut into 1" lengths. When a sample was too small to permit coring, a specimen was cut as near as possible to a 1" cube. Some of the 1977 collection were undersized, and the variation in specimen size produced errors when the magnetic measurements were made.

The measurement of the magnetic properties was also done at Newcastle under the supervision of Dr. D. H. Tarling. The magnetic susceptibility was measured using a susceptibility bridge (Collinson, Molyneux and Stone, 1963) and the intensity and direction of remanent magnetisation with a Digico spinner magnetometer (Molyneux, 1971). Measurements were made on up to three cores, or cubes, from each rock sample.

#### 3.2 Spinner magnetometer

The Digico spinner magnetometer is a computerised system in which a spinner magnetometer is connected to a 'Digico micro 16' computer (Molyneux, 1971). The instrument employs the principle that a magnetic moment rotating within a coil about an axis in the plane of the coil will produce an alternating e.m.f. in a pick-up

coil. Because the instrument can only measure the magnetic moment perpendicular to the axis of rotation, the specimen core is placed in six different orientations. These are shown below. The arrow indicates magnetic north and it is drawn on the top side of the specimen.



The readings, taken when the specimen is in each of these positions, are stored in the computer, which is programmed to calculate the intensity and direction of magnetisation of the specimen. The results are printed out at the keyboard terminal.

The basic design of the spinner magnetometer (Fig. 3.2) relies on the fact that the current produced is proportional to the speed of specimen rotation and its magnetic moment. The speed of rotation is measured in the photocell unit. The rotating disc has a slot which permits light to pass when it comes between the lamp and the photocells. When this occurs the electronic signal generated in the flux gates by the magnetic specimen passes to the computer. This is repeated many times so that a mean value of the wave form from the rock can be gathered. The amplitude and phase of this



FIG 32 BLOCK DIAGRAM OF COMPUTERISED SPINNER MAGNETOMETER. (ofter Molyneux, 1971)



2 SECONDARY WINDING

FIG 3:3 BLOCK DIAGRAM OF A SUSCEPTIBILITY BRIDGE (Design : Collinson, Molyneux & Stone, 1963 Diagram : Tarling, 1971) wave form, as compared with the photocell output, is a measure of the intensity and direction of magnetisation for the sample in that orientation.

The results for each orientation of a specimen are printed out, as is the final computation of the specimen's remanent magnetisation, measured as magnetic moment per unit volume.

#### 3.3 <u>Susceptibility Bridge</u>

The susceptibility bridge (Collinson, Molyneux and Stone, 1963), shown in Fig. 3.3, consists of two split ferrite rings, both of which are surrounded by a primary coil carrying an alternating current. This produces an alternating magnetic field across the gap in the rings. A standard sample, placed in one of these gaps, alters the magnetic field and the new alternating field is picked up as a current by the secondary winding about that ring. The current in the primary winding is then altered so that the outputs from both secondary windings balance. This standard sample is then removed and a rock specimen emplaced. It unbalances the secondary circuit by an amount proportional to its susceptibility.

The specimen can be tested for anistotropic susceptibility by altering its orientation in the gap. The great advantage of this instrument is that measurements can be taken quickly.

#### 3.4 Initial Data Assimilation

Before the data could be studied, certain computations had to be made. These included the calculation

# /UNCORRECTED PROFILE

1000.00

750.00

500:00

PNOMAL Y

# -500.00 DIURNAL VARIATION 150.00 200.00 50.00 100.00 250.00 450.00

450.00 200.00 350.00 50.00 100.00 300.00 400.00 50,00 250.00



(X10<sup>-1</sup>) 500.00 550.00

500.00 550.00 600.00 DISTANCE-METRES (X10<sup>-1</sup>)

# FIG.2.1 : THE CORRECTED AND UNCORRECTED GROUND PROFILE ALONG LINE 3 850,00 700,00 750.00 800.00 900.00 950.00 1000.00 1050.00 GAM 650.D0 70D.00 750.DÓ 850.00 1100.00 800.00 900.00 950,00 050.00

of the mean vector for certain sets of results. Whereas the mean value of the susceptibility could be calculated as a straight arithmetic mean, the mean remanent field could not. Its mean value was calculated by dividing the remanent field for each specimen into its x, y and z components, taking their means and from them computing the mean vector . A full description of the technique is given in Appendix A.

#### 3.5 The results

Magnetic measurements were only made on the samples from Meldon Quarry. The results are listed in Tables 3.1 and 3.2.

Table 3.1 lists the measurements of those rocks collected in October 1977. They are divided into their various lithologies. The results in Table 3.1 cannot be taken as more than a general guide since the nonstandard specimen sizes introduced errors. Also field orientation of the samples was not sufficiently accurate to enable the direction of the remanent magnetisation to be measured to acceptable accuracy. This meant that the weighted mean value for the remanent field could not be calculated.

With the exception of two specimens, Ml and M22, all the rocks in Table 3.1 have a Q value of less than 1. The mean value of the magnetic susceptibility for the various lithologies was calculated and it was found that the shales possessed the highest susceptibility.

Specimen Name	Intensity of Remanent Magnetisation e.m.u./cx 10 <sup>-0</sup> A.M1 x 10-3		Susceptibi C.g.s.	lity x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	. ð	Rock Type
M M1 M2 M10 M21	0.38 252.86 0.65 0.07 0.90	0.38 252.86 0.65 0.07 0.90	180.9 88.9 22.2 191.2 54.6	) ) ) mean ) = 107.56 )	0.004 5.95 0.06 0.0008 0.03	CHERT
M4 M12 M15 M17 M20 M22	27.85 0.41 12.64 34.35 0.06 430.98	27.85 0.41 12.64 34.35 0.06 430.98	322.0 310.5 868.3 222.3 158.1 750.4	) ) mean ) = 438.6 )	0.18 0.03 0.03 0.32 0.0008 1.2	SHALE
M7 M8 M9 M11 M13 M14 M16 M18	0.25 0.04 3.16 0.04 0.05 0.30 0.01 20.02	0.25 0.04 3.16 0.04 0.05 0.30 0.01 20.02	84.3 103.5 355.7 144.5 359.6 195.0 189.7 205.7	) ) mean ) = 204.76 ) )	0.006 0.008 0.019 0.0006 0.0003 0.003 0.01 0.22	META- IGNECUS

.

Table 3.1: Showing the results of the measurements made on samples collected in October 1977 from Meldon Quarry

47

# Table 3.2: Showing the results of the measurements made on samples collected in April 1978 from Meldon Quarry

···· .	Specimen		Reman		Magnetic		
	Name	Direc	tion	Inten	sity	Suscentibility	ନ୍
		Declination	Inclination	e.m.u./c.c.x 10-0	A.M1 x 10-3	<u>x 10<sup>-0</sup> c.g.s.</u>	
New ( Area ( (	Mó01.3 M601.2.2 M602.2 M603.3.2 M603.2.2 M603.1.1	61.2 319.1 359.5 169.85 178.45 166.2	-10.25 +31.35 + 0.85 -55.7 -44.7 -46.4	0.131 0.278 9.842 3.505 3.302 5.809	0.131 0.278 9.842 3.505 3.302 5.809	27.65 37.36 13.04 12.24 12.12 12.19	0.01 0.02 1.5 0.6 0.6 1.0
Stone ( Area ( 1 (	M800.1.1 M800.2.2 M800.2.1	102.2 113.75 121.3	-41.5 -39.4 -45.3	1296.227 996.881 1381.7	1296. 227 996. 881 1381. 7	556.97 416.04 519.70	4.9 5.0 5.6
( Stone ( Area ( 3 ( (	M102.1 M103.2.2 M103.1.2 M103.2.1 M104.1.1 M104.1.2 M101.1.1 M101.3	55.15 73.6 213.95 91.7 165.5 174.55 83.45 69.9	+17.7 -12.85 +31.2 -15.45 -63.05 -68.25 +44.55 +52.05	0.1 237.151 0.162 45.274 108.233 880.64 805.733 879.295	0.1 237.151 0.162 45.274 108.233 880.64 805.733 879.295	7.70 31.75 9.14 13.43 86.61 135.57 274.62 330.03	0.03 15.6 0.04 2.1 2.6 13.6 6.1 5.6
( Stone ( Area ( 4 (	M901.2 M901.1 M904.1 M904.28 M905.2.2 M905.3.2 M905.3.1	140.95 93.5 281.85 326.65 3.55 37.7 176.85	+14.6 +70.25 - 8.5 + 5.15 + 5.95 +31.8 +79.7	0.092 0.156 4.663 26.786 0.546 0.132 0.035	0.092 0.156 4.668 26.786 0.546 0.132 0.035	3.37 3.69 34.14 35.09 32.62 37.7 36.46	0.06 0.1 0.3 1.5 0.04 0.01 0.002

7

48

										•		
	•											
	Table 3.	3: S	howing	the d	<u>irectio</u>	n and	<u>intensi</u> †	v of	the rem	anent_	magnetisat	ion
	and the	resul	tant ma	gnetiszti	sation fru	nd byre	solving	the r	emanent	and i	nduced	
	magnetisations.	The d	<u>ata ref</u>	ers to	o the s	amples	collect	ed at	Meldon	in Ar	oril 1978	

	Specimen		Resultant Magnetisation (Remanent and Induced)					
	Name	Declination	Inclination	Intensity x 10 <sup>-6</sup> e.m.u./c.c.	Dec.	Inc.	Int. x 10- e.m.u./c.c.	
	M601.3 M601.2.2 M602.2 M603.3.2 M603.2.2 M603.1.1	61.2 319.1 359.5 169.85 178.45 166.2	-10.25 31.35 0.85 -55.7 -44.7 -46.4	0.131 0.278 9.842 3.505 3.302 5.809	0.20 10.82 357.54 351.40 176.73 160.51	64.5 65.39 25.23 5.84 87.8 33.7	. 10.84 14.9 2.49 0.25 2.97 0.61	
· · · ·	M800.1.1 M800.2.2 M800.2.1	102.2 113.75 121.3	-41.5 -39.4 -45.3	1296.227 996.881 1381.7	96.01 108.42 116.46	-33.4 -31.82 -39.78	339.44 238.22 483.74	
	M102.1 M103.2.2 M103.1.2 M103.2.1 M104.1.1 M104.1.2 M101.1.1 ×101.3	55.15 73.6 213.95 91.7 165.5 174.55 83.45 69.9	17.7 -12.85 31.2 -15.45 -63.05 -68.25 44.55 52.05	0.1 237.151 0.162 45.274 108.233 880.64 805.733 879.295	353.29 72.08 346.72 76.51 158.74 174.94 78.09 63.06	65.51 - 9.51 67.46 7.97 -60.68 -68.46 50.05 56.74	3.08 6.43 7.75 70.80 51.29 705.97 705.97 724.89 699.85	
	M9C1.2 M9O1.1 M9O4.1 M9O4.2 M9O5.2.2 M9O5.3.2 M9O5.3.1	140.95 93.5 281.85 326.65 3.55 37.7 176.85	14.6 70.25 - 8.5 5.15 5.95 31.8 79.7	0.092 0.156 4.663 26.786 0.546 0.132 0.035	174.64 173.48 134.85 151.25 168.82 170.80 170.13	66.68 68.18 60.57 28.09 63.97 65.68 65.86	· 1.37 1.64 12.33 8.32 12.80 15.01 14.5	
	Weighted Mean	105	-29	15.0	97	15	13	

64

The mean shale value (438.6) is twice that of the metaigneous rocks (204.75) and three times that of the cherts (107.56). By inspection the intensity of the remanent magnetisation of the shales seems to be at least one order of magnitude greater than either the igneous rocks or the cherts, suggesting that the shales are the greatest contributor to the magnetisation of the Lower Culm Measure rocks. Noting this, only shales were collected in April 1978 when the second set of rock samples were taken.

When the further samples were collected in April 1978 directional measurements could be made, since these samples were accurately orientated. Errors due to varying specimens size were eliminated as large samples were taken. Table 3.2 lists the magnetic properties of these shales. As this table shows they vary both in intensity and direction. Eleven of the 24 specimens had a Q value greater than unity and the weighted mean value of Q for all the April 1978 specimens is 3.57. This was calculated using the method described in Appendix A. The weighted mean remanent field for all the specimens is given by:-

> Intensity =  $190 \times 10^{-3} A.m^{-1}$ Inclination =  $-29^{\circ}$ Declination =  $105^{\circ}$

The resultant of the induced and remanent components of the magnetisation was calculated for each specimen, and

the weighted mean of these resultant fields is:-

Intensity =  $164 \times 10^{-3} \text{ A.m.}^{-1}$ Inclination =  $+15^{\circ}$ 

Declination =  $97^{\circ}$ 

These data are listed in Table 3.3.

Table 3.2 illustrates the extent to which the magnetic properties vary within a rock sample. For example, the Q value for MlO3 ranges from 0.0 to 15.6. Similarly the direction of its remanent magnetisation is variable, with the direction ranging from  $73.6^{\circ}$  to  $213.95^{\circ}$  and inclinations of  $-15.45^{\circ}$ ,  $-12.85^{\circ}$  and  $+31.2^{\circ}$ . This variation meant that each specimen had to be treated separately; so, for instance, MlO3 had to be considered as three samples rather than as three specimens of the same sample.

The directions of the remanent magnetisation, defined by the declination and inclination, were plotted on a stereographic projection (Fig. 3.4(a)). This diagram shows that there is no significant variation between the collection sites. It also indicates that when the remanent magnetisation has a northerly azimuth it is likely to dip down and when the magnetisation has a south-easterly azimuth it tends to dip upwards. Most of the results plot in the eastern section of the diagram.

A similar plot was drawn to show the direction of total magnetisation, that is, the resultant of the induced and remanent components (Fig. 4.4(b)). These

directions are less scattered than those for the remanent field and a greater number have a positive inclination. The declinations tend to be NNW or SE. None plotted in the south-west sector of the diagram. Despite their comparative clustering about the NNW-SE axis the plots have a low precision parameter, K = 1.85. This low value suggests that the results are well scattered since K = 0 indicates a perfectly random distribution and  $K = \infty$  identical directions. When K is less than 10 the reliability of statistical estimates becomes uncertain. Such estimates include  $\propto_{95}$  which is a measure of the accuracy,  $heta_{63}$  (the circular standard deviation) which is a measure of the scatter of directions about that mean, and c.s.e. (the circular standard error). For all the 1978 results the mean direction of the total rock magnetisation is  $97^{\circ}$ ,  $+15^{\circ}$  (declination, inclination). For the total rock magnetisation:-

> k = 1.85  $\alpha_{95} = 31.7^{\circ}$   $\theta_{63} = 59.55^{\circ}$ c.s.e. = 13°

> > (for calculations see Appendix A)

The large values of  $\varkappa_{95}$  and  $\varTheta_{63}$  also suggest scatter.

When N, the number of samples, or K are small the other statistical parameters become unreliable (Tarling, 1971, pg. 79). In this case K = 1.85 and the value of  $\sqrt{95}$  which is normally similar to that of c.s.e. is twice



Fig. 3.4 Stereographic projections showing the directions of (a) Remanent magnetisation and (b) Total magnetisation (the resultant of the induced and remanent components) for samples taken from Meldon Quarry in April 1978.



<u>Fig. 3.5</u> Stereographic projections showing the directions of (a) Remanent and (b) Total Magnetisation for the Meldon Shale samples which have a Q value greater than 1.

that amount. This suggests that the statistical analysis done here is unreliable.

Fig. 3.5 shows stereographic projections on which the directions of remanent and total magnetisation for samples with Q values greater than 1 are plotted. These show the same trends as are described for the total rock, except that the results are more clustered in the eastern quadrat.

In conclusion, the results show that the directions of the remanent and total magnetisations are well scattered although there is some clustering about a roughly NNW-SE axis, especially for the total magnetisation. The degree of scatter does not significantly decrease when the directions for only those specimens with a Qvalue greater than 1 are plotted. The mean remanent and total magnetisations are both reversed and near horizontal; at 105°, -29° and 97°, +15° respectively, with a maximum error of 30°.

#### 3.6 <u>Petrographic Description of the rock samples</u>

Polished sections of seven samples from Meldon Quarry and six from outside the metamorphic aureole were studied with the assistance of Mr. Roy Phillips. The object was to determine which minerals were responsible for the pronounced magnetic properties of some shales from Meldon Quarry, and to see if rocks from outside the metamorphic aureole contained similar minerals.

#### 3.6.(i) <u>Rocks from sites outside the</u> <u>metamorphic aureole</u>

The shale and sandstone samples came from three different sites within the Crackington Formation (see Fig. 1.1);

Site 4: Aggetts Quarry (SX 2594 0961) Al, A2

Site 1: Building site near A30 (SX 2519 0900) S1

Site 2: Near Meldon village (SX 2562 0928)

H1, H2, H3

H1 and H2 are silty shales containing no coloured minerals except for a brownish tinge in some bands. The sandstone, H3, was similarly featureless.

The sandstone, Al, and the shale, A2, from Aggetts Quarry north of Okehampton contained no detrital or disseminated sulphides, though a veinlet was observed in Al. It mainly contained goethite ( $\ll$  Fe0.0H), the normal alteration product of pyrite.

Sl was more interesting because it is an iron nodule found in Crackington Formation shale. Pyrite crystals were seen in the centre of the nodule but they had been weathered to goethite around the perimeter.

From the point of view of the magnetic properties of the rock it is interesting that there are no ferromagnetic minerals in the rocks of the Crackington Formation outside the metamorphic aureole. Pyrite is sometimes present though often weathered to goethite. There are no indications that it has been thermally altered to, say, pyrrhotite. This confirms the findings

of others (Edmonds et al, 1968) that pyrrhotite is only found exposed at the surface in the Upper Culm Measures inside the metamorphic aureole. Beyond it pyrite, or its weathered derivative, is found. It is worth noting that pyrrhotite is believed to exist at depth beyond the metamorphic aureole, to a distance of 7 km. from the granite contact (Edmonds et al, 1968) and it has been found in bedded form in Lower Culm Measure rocks in the Wilsey Down borehole (Freshney in McKeown et al, 1973).

#### 3.6.(ii) <u>Rocks from Meldon Quarry</u>

Polished sections of the following seven samples were made from rocks collected in October 1977: M2, M4, M12, M15, M17, M20, M22. The ferromagnetic mineral pyrrhotite was present in all seven samples, and, except for the rare occurrence of magnetite, it was the only magnetic mineral present. In all the specimens it was found disseminated through the ground mass. In this form it is probably thermally altered detrital pyrite. Other iron ores found as small crystals in the shales are also likely to have been altered from detrital minerals. However, the magnetite (M17) mentioned above is one of the rare minerals to have remained unchanged; similarly the pyrite in M4.

There is a second form of pyrrhotite present in some samples. Here it is a vein mineral often associated with chalcopyrite and pentlandite. The crystals tend

to be larger than those in the ground mass and were probably hydrothermally emplaced rather than being the alteration product of pre-existing minerals.

The veinlets are similar to those described by the I.G.S. (Beer and Fenning, 1976) in the boreholes at Sourton Tors, but they differ in detail. In their work no chalcopyrite was found in contact with the pyrrhotite; yet these intergrowths are common in M15, M17, M20 and M22. Another feature peculiar to the samples studied here is that the pyrrhotite contains exsolved pentlandite. This mineral is a Ni, Fe sulphide and it is exsolved from pyrrhotite as this mineral cools down through 300°C and can no longer contain much nickel in the lattice. The presence of pentlandite, then, indicates two things; firstly, that the pyrrhotite must have been at a temperature greater than 300°C, and, secondly, that it must have come from a source other than detrital pyrite which contains insufficient nickel. This indicates that the Meldon shales were near to a supply of nickel, such as from the volcanic tuffs with which some shales were deposited. By contrast the source for the Sourton Tors pyrrhotite was the detrital pyrite, in the shales of the Crackington Formation, which had been altered to pyrrhotite by the hydrothermal fluids associated with the granite intrusion.

In conclusion, therefore, it seems that two types of pyrrhotite have been developed in the Meldon shales,

probably as a result of those shales being metamorphosed by the Dartmoor granite. There is an almost ubiquitous development of disseminated pyrrhotite probably derived from detrital pyrite. The second form is the vein mineral, found in association with chalcopyrite and exsolved pentlandite. These vein minerals are believed to have derived their nickel from volcanic horizons, and been transported by hot solutions emanating from the granite.

#### 3.7 <u>Discussion of the results of the magnetic</u> <u>measurements and the mineralogical</u> <u>investigation</u>

The results of the magnetic measurements indicate that sedimentary rocks, notably shales, have the most pronounced magnetic properties, and the mineralogical examination indicates that pyrrhotite is the mineral responsible for the ferromagnetic character of the This confirms the findings of the I.G.S. (Edmonds rock. et al, 1968, Beer and Fenning, 1976) that pyrrhotite bearing sediments have pronounced magnetic properties. Of the three lithologies studied the shales were the most magnetic and the igneous rocks less so. The magnetic susceptibilities listed in the Okehampton Memoir (Edmonds et al, 1968) also suggests that the sedimentary rocks can be more magnetic than the igneous rocks (see Table 1.2). The susceptibility for the non-hornfelsed Culm Measures ranges from 7-380 x  $10^{-6}$ (16 samples) whereas the igneous rocks (including

dolerite) cover the range 7-234 x  $10^{-6}$  (20 samples) (c.s. units). Creer (1966) noted that the dolerites and volcanics of S. W. England have a magnetic intensity at least one order of magnitude less than those from elsewhere; the Whin Sill, for instance, at 2-4 x  $10^{-3}$  A.m.<sup>-1</sup>. He suggested that this is because they have been remagnetised, probably when they were heated by the granite. However, it has been suggested (Creer and Chalmanaun, 1964) that there was widespread remagnetisation of the Laurasian continent during the early Permian, and this could have affected the igneous rocks.

Table 3.2 lists the magnetic properties of the samples taken in April 1978. It is apparent that there is considerable scatter between samples and within samples. This agrees with the findings of Cornwell (1967) who explained the variation in magnetic direction in terms of the anisotropic nature of pyrrhotite, and the variation in magnetic intensity to the sporadic distribution of this mineral. Pyrrhotite forms a Fe-S solid solution of which  $Fe_7S_8$  is the most abundant composition. All forms display magnetic anisotropy and when they freeze in a magnetic direction it will be aligned somewhere on the great circle between the direction of maximum susceptibility and the ambient field. It is note-worthy that the maximum susceptibility of the most

common form of pyrrhotite, Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, is the greatest for all forms. One result, however, which does not fit in with this picture is the tests for anisotropy done using the susceptibility bridge. When the specimen was rotated in the gap no appreciable change in reading was noted. However, this may be explained in terms of the random distribution of pyrrhotite crystals within each rock specimen.

When Cornwell (1967) plotted the directions of the remanent magnetisation for his Meldon samples on a stereographic net he found that rocks from Stone Area 1 and Stone Area 2 fell in the western half of his diagram whereas S.E. declinations were recorded for rocks from Stone Area 4. By contrast, the results shown here in Fig. 3.4 show no areal distribution, but are scattered throughout the eastern quadrants and are almost totally absent from the south-western area.

The weighted mean value for the remanent magnetisation was computed as  $105^{\circ}$ ,  $-29^{\circ}$ . This does not agree well with Cornwell's result of  $189^{\circ}$ ,  $-21^{\circ}$  except to confirm that the overall remanent magnetisation for the Lower Culm Measures in the Meldon area is reversed and near horizontal.

The shales collected in April 1978 have a mean susceptibility of 13 x  $10^{-3}$  (c.g.s.) which is an order of magnitude greater than that for dolerite suggesting that the shales are capable of producing the magnetic
disturbances observed near Okehampton. The direction of the total magnetisation, taking account of both the remanent and induced components, is  $97^{\circ}$ ,  $15^{\circ}$ , which is a near horizontal east pointing field and would be expected to produce a negative anomaly, flanked to the north by a positive anomaly, similar to the Okehampton anomaly.

Before discussing the results of the mineralogical study it is worth considering the findings of the Wilsey Down borehole (unpublished I.G.S. log). This borehole was situated at SX 1797 8890 (220 090 on Fig. 1.1) north of the Bodmin Moor granite and beyond its metamorphic aureole. The Carboniferous rocks exposed at the surface on Wilsey Down contain pyrite, not pyrrhotite (Scrivenor, personal communication). In the borehole, beneath the drift, rocks of the Crackington Formation were encountered, below them Lower Carboniferous, Devonian and Lower Carboniferous again. In the Lower Carboniferous Fire Beacon Chert Formation, found beneath the Crackington Formation rocks, and equivalent to the Meldon Chert Formation bedded pyrrhotite was found. It was common at depths of 250 ft. (78 m.) and 850 ft. (262 m.).

This discovery of pyrrhotite was unexpected in view of its absence elsewhere beyond the aureole. Its form also differs from that found in the Meldon samples, described earlier in the chapter, in which the pyrrhotite was disseminated or concentrated in small veins. These forms are probably a direct result of contact metamorphism when the

Dartmoor granite was intruded. Detrital pyrite was altered to pyrrhotite, and enough Fe and S was dissolved in hot solutions emanating from the granite to produce vein pyrrhotite. By contrast, the bedded pyrrhotite found in the Wilsey Down borehole is thought (Beer, personal communication) to have been formed when the shales were still wet and they reacted with volcanic solutions rich in iron and sulphur. There are a number of greenstone, ash and tuff horizons in the Fire Beacon Chert Formation and these are throught to have been injected into the unconsolidated sediments and been the source of both the iron and sulphur and the hot solutions which transported these elements. Even though no pentlandite has been recorded it is thought that it is mineralogically possible for it to exist as these volcanic solutions are likely to be rich in nickel. The Fire Beacon Chert Formation contains a variety of rock types but the pyrrhotite is always developed in the shale horizons.

As in the Wilsey Down case it was the shale samples from Meldon which contained pyrrhotite. In these samples pyrrhotite was found disseminated through the shale horizons or concentrated in veins. The former is probably thermally altered detrital pyrite, and could only be developed within the metamorphic aureole of the Dartmoor granite. The vein pyrrhotite was deposited by hot solutions rich in iron and sulphur. These solutions probably emanated

from the granite but the elements which make up the pyrrhotite could not have come solely from detrital pyrite as it contains insufficient Ni to produce exsolved pentlandite such as that described in the polished sections. It seems likely that the nickel and much of the Fe and S came from the volcanic horizons in the Lower Culm Measures. If this were the case they could have provided the hot solutions which mobilised the Fe, Ni and S instead of solutions from the granite. This would then provide another mechanism by which pyrrhotite could be developed beyond the metamorphic aureole. Solution movement of this kind would be greatly facilitated by the complex fault system (Dearman and Butcher, 1959). The development of pyrrhotite in shales would depend on the ease with which a metasomatising fluid could get from an area rich in S, Fe, and Ni, such as a volcanic horizon, to the host rock. As a result the distribution of ferromagnetic rock seems sporadic.

From what has been described it seems that there are two possible modes of pyrrhotite emplacement outside the metamorphic aureole; bedded pyrrhotite such as at Wilsey Down, or the vein mineral similar to that seen within the aureole at Meldon and believed (Edmonds et al, 1968) to extend beyond it. Edmonds et al (1968). suggest that the injection temperature of the Dartmoor granite was 600-700°C and that vein pyrrhotite could exist up to a distance of 4% miles (7 km) from the granite contact. In the Meldon district pyrrhotite is found, at the surface, exclusively in the metamorphic surgole. However, this may be explained by the lithology. The outer limit of the metamorphic aureole coincides with the northernmost extreme of the Lower Culm Measure outcrop, so pyrrhotite mineralisation is contained within the Lower Carboniferous rocks and those Upper Culm Measures near to the granite where mineralising fluids were most active. It seems likely that further from the granite batholith hydrothermal fluids may only leave their mark in the more chemically active Lower Carboniferous rocks. Alternatively, bedded pyrhotite may be found at depth in the older Culm Measures.

65/6

The occurrence of pyrrhotite in the Meldon samples confirms the findings of Cornwall (1967) and the I.G.S. (Beer and Fenning, 1976) that near surface developments of this iron sulphide in the metamorphic aureole are responsible for the large high frequency anomalies measured on ground traverses.

In conclusion, it seems that pyrrhotite developed in the Lower Culm Measures could be found beyond the metamorphic aureole, and as Freshney (Boscastle and Holsworthy Memoir, 1973) suggests in his Wilsey Down borehole report:-

"A line of aeromagnetic anomalies of near-surface origin extends westwards (from Wilsoy Down) to the coast at Boscastle, and eastwards towards Okehampton. These anomalies are probably due mainly to the presence of pyrrhotite ... this mineral is largely confined to the Fire Beacon Chert Formation ... it breaks surface (at Tregeare Down, SX 2250 0864) and the Meldon Chert Formation crops out thence almost continuously to Drewsteignton."

## CHAPTER 4

#### INTERPRETATION

## 4.1 Introduction

The interpretation of the magnetic anomalies was done in three steps. **Rough** depth estimates were made using Peter's Length and Solokov Length (Åm, 1972). Then, having shown that both the Okehampton Anomaly and the local disturbances are near surface, the aeromagnetic map and profiles were compared with the known geology to see if any deductions could be made about the cousal body or bodies.

Attempts were made to assess the dimensions of the body, and its direction of magnetisation, using the graphical methods of Bruckshaw and Kunaratnam (1963) and Åm (1972), but they were not wholly successful. Finally computer models were devised and their feasibility assessed in the light of known geology.

### 4.2 Depth Estimates

An estimate of the depth to a body (if new vertical) causing the Okehampton Anomaly can be obtained by direct measurement from the drawn profile. Peter's Longth (PL) and Solokov Length (SL) are two such parameters (Åm, 1972). Peter's Length is the horizontal distance between two points on the anomaly at which the tangent has half the maximum slope and the Solokov Length is the horizontal length of the inflection tangent as it rises from the minimum to the maximum value of the anomaly. These lengths are based on the mathematical expression for the vertical component of the anomalous field produced by a vertically magnetised dyke with vertical sides extending to infinity. The relationship between these lengths and the depth to body, depends on the ratio of the depth, z, to the width, t, of the body. For t/z = 2.2, PL = 1.6zand SL = 2z. For a greater value of t/z the measured lengths are a larger multiple of the depth.

Measurements made on the traverses along lines 3, 4 and 5 gave the depth to the body cousing the Okehampton Anomaly to be in the range 41-128 m. This is the same order of magnitude as the depth estimate of 46-81m. made by the I.G.S. (Edmonds et al, 1968) and this shows that the body is near surface, so study of the surface geology may have direct relevance to assessing the nature of the body. Also, when a body is near surface, the areal extent of the magnetic anomaly is often comparable to the size of the body.

### 4.3 The Apromagnetic Map and Geology

The overlay to Fig. 4.1 is part of the unpublished 1:25,000 aeromagnetic map made for the I.G.S. The

Key for Fig. 4.1

Crackington f mainly shale Upper Formation some sandstone (Meldon Chert ] mainly chert Carboniferous Formation and limestone Lower ( mainly cnert Meldon Slate and Quartzite Formation mainly tuff

Transition Series (Devonian/ Carboniferous) { Meldon Slate with Lenticles Formation { slaty hornfolses and silty guartzite

Igneous Rocks:

Dolerite

Granite

(nomenclature after Edmonds et al., 1968)



Fill 4.1 Clapfified Coolegy of north-west Dartmoon showing the Englation Profiles. "geningical map effor Doerman & Putcher, 1997]

Korolasynettie Rop - -

clongate negative anomaly centred at 565 930 and marked 0.A. is the Okehampton Anomaly. It is roughly 6 km. by 1.5 km. in size and it peaks at about 2.5 km. north east of the granite contact. The positive peak, 2 km. to its north, is smaller in amplitude. The peak to peak amplitude is 550~ Km.

The anomaly lies along the boundary between an area of magnetic high to the north-west and magnetic low to the south-east, and it is clearly caused by a reversely magnetised body as the negative peak occurs to the south of the positive peak and is the larger of the two peaks.

The axes of both anomalies run approximately parallel with the granite contact. The axis of the negative anomaly is almost coincident with the northern boundary of the Meldon inlier and the outer limit of the metamorphic aureole. Consequently the negative anomaly falls over rocks of both Upper and Lower Culm Measure age, some of which have been thermally metamorphosed by the Dartmoor granite. By contrast, the positive peak occurs only over rocks of the Upper Culm Measure Crackington Formation which show no signs of thermal alteration.

## 1.4 The Profiles and Geology

4.4(i) The Okehamiton Anomaly

Figs. 4.2 to 4.7 show the ground and serial profiles with cross sections of near surface geology along lines 1 to 6. Of these, lines 1 and 2 measure small disturbances

The following Figures (Figs. 4.2 - 4.7) show the ground and aerial profiles along Lines 1 - 6 as well as cross-sections of the nearsurface geology taken from the Okehampton Geology Map No.324 and Dearman and Butcher (1959). The geographical location of the profiles is shown in Fig. 4.1.

The symbols used are as follows:-

uck Upper Culm Measures (Upper Carboniferous)

Lower Culm Measures (Upper Carboniferous) ... rocks of Transition Series age may be included among these.



w" outer limit of the metamorphic aureole







-.

.: 74:







south-west of the Okchampton Anomaly while lines 3,4,5 and 6 transect this major anomaly. With the exception of line 3 all the lines which define the Okehampton Anomaly show that the negative anomaly occurs over rocks of both Lower and Upper Culm Measure age. The major minimum along line 3 occurs approximately 2 km. north of the Meldon inlier, but this profile should be treated separately as it occurs along the Prewley fault (see Fig. 1.2) and so may be anomalous.

By studying lines 4,5 and 6 a number of inferences concerning the body causing the Okehampton Anomaly can be **made**. The causal body is reversely magnetised. Lines 4 and 6 suggest that it is a composite body as there are a series of steps in the overall anomaly (see Figs. 4.5 and 4.7). Each step marks the approximate position of a particular body. The width of the near surface causal body or bodies can also be estimated as it is comparable to the distance between the negative feature and the positive feature flanking it to the north. So, the body is of the order of 2 km. in width along lines 4,5 and 6 and 1 km. for line 3.

It is apparent that the causal body, or bodies, stretch from the Lower Culm Measure sediments north into the Crackington Formation rocks. However, Dearman and Butcher (1959) have shown that the Lower Culm Measure rocks continue north beneath these later sediments at a shallow depth (see Fig. 1.3). This could suggest that

the causal body is entirely Lower Culm Measure in age, and that it continues beneath the Upper Culm Measure sediments north of the Meldon inlier.

4.4.(ii) The local magnetic disturbances

The large local magnetic disturbances detectable only along the ground traverses all occur over Lower and Upper Culm Measure rocks, and are confined to the metamorphic aureole.

Fig. 4.1 shows a geological map of the area on which the ground profiles have been superimposed. From this it is possible to determine the relationship between the profiles and the outcropping rocks.

- Line 1: The cluster of short wavelength anomalies located between 500 m. and 1000m. from the southern end of the line fall over shales and sendstones of the Crackington Formation. The negative peak at 1800 m. occurs over shales and tuffs of the Meldon Slate-and-Quartzite Formation, and rocks of the Meldon Chert Formation.
- Line 2: The series of peaks and troughs situated between 2000 m. and 4000 m. from the southern end of line 2 occur over a variety of rock types; tuffs and shales of the Meldon Slateand-Quartzite Formation, Chert Formation sediments, dolerite and Upper Culm Measure shales of the Grackington Formation.

- Line 3: Line 3 is situated just east of the Prewley fault (see Fig. 1.2). The short wavelength magnetic disturbances occur over Lower Carboniferous shales and cherts as well as shales of the Upper Carboniferous Crackington Formation.
- Line 4: Short wavelength anomalies are found over rocks of the Lower Carboniferous Slate-and-Quartzite and Chert Formations. They are also found over shales of the Crackington Formation where it is exposed between the granite and the inlier. There is a gap in the profile, shown as a dotted line, in which no readings were taken. The aeromagnetic profile suggests that, at this point, this profile should define the southern side of the negative anomaly of which the minimum is situated on the northern side of the gap.
- Line 5: The cluster of large amplitude short wavelength anomalies are found above the Crackington Formation sediments between the inlier and the granite. Small anomalies are also superimposed on the major Okehampton anomaly.
- Line 6: The negative and positive peaks which occur at 1700 m. and 2200 m. from the southern end of this line occur over sediments of the Crackington Formation.

The observations described above suggest that the distribution of the short wavelength anomalies is not lithologically controlled as these disturbances occur over a variety of rock types. These include chert, limestone and tuff from Lower Carboniferous horizons and shale from both the Lower and Upper Culm Measures. There is only one occurrence of a high amplitude disturbance in association with dolerite (line 2) even though dolerite is exposed throughout the inlier. This suggests that the usually marked magnetic properties of this rock may have been reduced here.

### 4.5 Discussion of the observations

This discussion can be divided into two parts: an analysis of the short wavelength anomalies detectable only on the ground traverses, and an interpretation of the Okehampton Anomaly.

#### 4.5(i) Local Magnetic Disturbances

Figs. 4.2 to 4.7 show that the short wavelength anomalies occur only over rocks which are contained within the metamorphic aureole. These local anomalies are sporadically distributed over a variety of rock types, suggesting that the ferromagnetic mineral, or minerals, which cause them are not contained in specific lithologies but have been emplaced in rocks near to the granite contact. There observations agree with the findings of Cornwell (1967) and Beer and Fenning (1976) who suggested

that the iron sulphide, pyrrhotite, is the major ferromagnetic mineral and that it was metasomatically emplaced during a late stage in the intrusion of the granite. High concentrations of this mineral near to the surface, such as in the samples described in Chapter 3, could produce large local anomalies like those detected along the ground traverses. The sporadic distribution of these magnetic disturbances could then be explained in terms of the hydrothermal emplacement of pyrrhotite, described in Chapters 1 and 3.

## 4.5.(ii) Okehampton Anomaly

Only a limited amount of information about the body causing the Okehampton Anomaly can be inferred from the ground profiles. Depth estimates (lines 3,4,85) suggest that the body is as shallow as 41-128 m. so the surface geology should give some information about its nature. The major negative anomaly runs approximately parallel with the granite contact and straddles the boundary of the metamorphic aureole (see Fig. 4.1). The positive peak lies well beyond this aureole. If the body is of the same nature as the exposed rock it comprises Culm Measure sediments which have only been metamorphosed along their southern edge but which could have been effected by hydrothermal solutions emanating from the granite.

## 4.6 Graphical Interpretation

Numerous attempts were made to interpret the profiles made along lines 3,4,5 and 6 using the graphical methods

of Bruckshaw and Kunaratnam (1963) and Am (1972). The other two profiles were too complex to permit interpretation using these methods.

These methods provide values for the width and depth to top surface of the causal body and the value of the parameter i, defined below. i is known to have a value between  $180-270^{\circ}$  as the negative anomaly lies south of, and is larger than, the positive peak (Bruckshaw and Kunaratnam, 1963). Now,  $i = \phi + \psi - \theta$ , where  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  are angles in the plane of the profile which describe the inclination of the earth's magnetic field ( $\psi$ ), the inclination of the magnetisation of the body ( $\phi$ ), and the dip of the body ( $\theta$ ). Values for  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are known so it is possible to calculate  $\theta$ . It was hoped that the traverses were lined up perpendicular to the strike of the body as they lie perpendicular to the long axis of the magnetic anomaly, so that the value of  $\theta$  should correspond to the dip of the body.

The values of the declination and inclination of the earth's magnetic field for the epoch 1977.8 were taken from U.S. Admiralty Charts (3rd edition, 1966) and were calculated as Intensity = 0.478 Ce, Declination =  $352^{\circ}$  and Inclination =  $66^{\circ}$ . As the traverses did not run north-south but 330° the declination relative to the profile and hence the dip of the field in the plane of the profile had to be calculated using the following formulae:-

$$D' = D-\alpha$$
  
tan  $\phi' = \tan 1/\cos D'$ 

where:

D = declination relative to geographic north

I = inclination

- d = declination of profile relative to
  geographic north
- D' = declination relative to profile

 $\phi$  = inclination in plane of profile

These formulae were also used to define the possible directions of magnetisation of the causal body using the measurements of Cornwell (1967) and those described in this thesis (see Chapter 3). The table below lists the results of the calculations.

	Earth's field	Results described in this thesis	Cornwell's results
D	352 <sup>0</sup>	97° 10	189° c
·I	66 <sup>0</sup>	15° -	29° -21° m
D'	220	127° Is	35° 219° P
ø	802	156° 1	80° 206° P

A number of problems were encountered when parameters taken from the drawn profiles were plotted on the standard curves (Bruckshow and Kunarataan, 1963, Ås., 1972). Firstly a problem arose because the measurements were taken from the ground profiles. The depth to body has already been

shown to be 41-128 m. and its width is thought to be This gives a width-depth ratio (t/z) of the 1-2 km. order of 20. However the standard curves of Åm (1972) and Bruckshaw and Kunaratnam (1963) only go up to a t/zvalue of 10 and 15 respectively, so the data could not be plotted. To get around this problem the aerial profiles were studied as the depth to body would be increased by the flying height of 500 ft. (167 m.), and t/z would fall into the permitted range. But even the aerial profiles could not be successfully interpreted. There is thought to be a twofold reason for this. Both graphical interpretation methods assume that the causal body can be approximated to a dyke like body with infinite sides while the body causing the Okehampton Anomaly is composite and tapers to east and west.

The other problem is the background field. As has already been mentioned the Okehampton Anomaly marks the boundary between a region of high to the north and one of low magnetic field to the south. This means that a sloping regional field exists in the area of the anomaly. It was impossible to gauge its true value as the traverses were insufficiently long to show it clearly. Sc, as the regional field could not be removed the anomaly could not be studied in isolation.

Despite repeated attempts to plot the measured parameters only one set of results was obtained and even these were unreliable. They are listed below:-

LINE	i	t./z	Z	t;	θı	θII
3	202 <sup>0</sup>	5	59 m.	1130 n. <b>.</b>	45°	81+0
ե	194 <sup>0</sup>	3.2	1'22 m.	925 m.	53°	92 <sup>0</sup>
5	189 <sup>0</sup>	6	42 ш.	1254 m.	58 <sup>°O</sup>	97 <sup>c</sup>

where:-

z = depth from surface to top of body t = width of body  $\Theta_I$  and  $\Theta_{II} = dips$  of body in plane of profile for two directions of magnetisation:-(I) values measured here (total)

(II) Cornwell's results (remanent)

Of these the results for lines 4 and 5 proved to be incorrect when they were tested using the computer (see next section). The **dimensions for** line 3, however, gave a reasonable fit.

# 4.7 Computer Modelling

### 4.7(i) Introduction

A number of computer models were made to describe the possible geological structures in the Meldon area which could cause the observed magnetic disturbances. Models were only made to explain the aeromagnetic profiles (taken from the unpublished 1:25,000 map) as the high frequency anomalies observed along the ground traverses were considered too complex to interpret quantitatively. The magnetic properties of the rock samples from Meldon Quarry, described in Chapter 3, were used to indicate the likely direction of magnetisation (the resultant of the induced and remanent components) of the causal body. The geologic structure of the Meldon district is fairly well known (Dearman and Butcher, 1959, Edmonds et al, 1968, Sanderson and Dearman, 1973, Hobson and Sanderson, 1975) so the feasibility of the various models could be assessed.

# 4.7(ii) <u>Mathematical limitations</u>

The modelling was done using MAGN, a program compiled by M. H. P. Bott and stored at Durham University, and the output plotted using MAGPLOT (see Appendix B). MAGN computes the anomaly produced by a two dimensional body, or bodies, situated in the plane of the profile.

The value of the vertical and horizontal anomalies at a given point is given by the formulae:-

$$\Delta Z = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{0}}_{2\Pi} \sin\beta \left[ J_{X} \cdot \left( \ln\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right) \sin\beta + \alpha \cos\beta \right) + J_{Z} \cdot \left( \ln\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right) \cos\beta - \alpha \sin\beta \right) \right]$$
$$\Delta H' = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{0}}_{2\Pi} \sin\beta \left[ J_{X} \cdot \left( \alpha \sin\beta - \ln\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right) \cos\beta \right) + J_{Z} \cdot \left( \ln\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right) \cdot \sin\beta + \alpha \cos\beta \right) \right]$$

where  $M_{\circ}$  is the permeability of free space and Jx, Jz,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_1$  are as shown in the diagram below.



Information about the direction of the magnetisation of the body is fed into MAGN in a specific form; as declination relative to the profile (D') and the dip (I).

However many combinations of D' and I can produce the same value of  $\oint$  where  $\oint$  is defined as  $\oint = \tan^{-1} (\tan I/\cos D')$  or  $\oint = \tan^{-1} (J_Z/J_X)$ . So, the models obtained using MAGN only indicate one of the possible directions of magnetisation of the body. It is therefore more realistic to consider the direction of magnetisation in terms of  $\oiint$ , and then discuss the possible combinations of 1 and D' which could provide the required value of  $\oint$ .

Another effect of operating in two dimensions is that the value for the intensity of magnetisation used in the model is  $J_{x,z}$ , the resultant of the x and z components of the actual intensity (J). The true value is calculated using the formula:-

$$J = (J_{x,z}) / (\cos^2 D \cdot \cos^2 I + \sin^2 I)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The first models attempted were those devised using the data obtained by graphical methods. The models for lines 4 and 5 gave a magnetic anomaly which bore no relationship to the observed disturbance but the model for line 3 was successful (see Fig. 4.8). Bodies dipping north at both 45° and 84° produced an adequate fit along line 3, but for reasons that will be described later a dip of 45° was considered more likely.

## 4.7(iii) <u>Geological Limitations</u>

Because the graphical interpretation gave little guidance for devising the computer models the geology of the area had to be studied in the hope that limitations



on the size and shape of the causal body might be found.

The depth and width of the causal bodies could be estimated; the depth using graphical methods, and the width by measuring the distance between successive peaks on the aerial profiles. By doing this it was estimated, for example, that there were three bodies crossing line 6 and that they were about 700 m. in width and at a depth of 41-128 m.

The interpretation of lines 1 and 2 was considered separately from Lines 3,4,5 and 6 since the latter traversed the major Okehampton Anomaly while lines 1 and 2 covered minor disturbances to its south-west. It was explained earlier in this chapter that pyrrhotite mineralisation within the metamorphic aureole was probably responsible for the short wavelength anomalies located on the ground traverses. It was thought that pyrrhotite minoralisation might also explain the magnetic disturbances detected on the aerial profiles. Since the hydrothermal solutions emanated from the granite during the late stages of its intrusion the causel body, or bodies, are likely to dip at the same angle as the granite contact which is thought to dip north at 20-30° (Edmonds et al, 1968).

Pyrrhotite mineralisation, both within and beyond the metamorphic aureole, may also be a contributing factor causing the Okehampton Anomaly but the dip of the strata must be taken into consideration as well. In Chapter 3 it was suggested that pyrrhotite developed

outside the aurcole might be concentrated in the Lower Culm Measure rocks as they are a more suitable host for mineralisation than the overlying Crackington Formation sediments. Fig. 1.3 shows cross-sections through the Meldon inlier along lines 3 and 5. These show that although individual beds may dip at angles between  $20^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  the Lower Culm Measure rocks, en masse, dip north at  $30^{\circ}$ . So, both the dip of the strata and the development of mineralisation parallel to the granite contact suggests that the causal body, or bodies, should dip north at approximately  $30^{\circ}$ (see p.84).

## 4.7(iv) The Models

The models were devised with arbitrary values of  $\phi$ and intensity of magnetisation. The magnetisation was of the order of 1 A.m.<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\phi$  was expected to fall in the range 156-206° as these were the values achieved experimentally.

The models for lines 1 and 2 consisted of blocks of variously magnetised rock, all dipping 30°N, whose top surfaces were at ground level (see Figs. 4.9 and 4.10).

The model chosen for line 3 is an amended version of that achieved using the dimensions obtained by graphical means. The single body dips north at  $45^{\circ}$  and has a rounded top (see Fig. 4.11).

The profiles along lines 4,5 and 6 (see Figs. 4.12, 4.13 and 4.14) can be obtained with models which consist of slabs of variously magnetised rock, dipping 30° to the

The foliowing figures (Figs. 4.9 to 4.14) show the observed and calculated profiles, and the models along lines 1 to 6 (located on Fig. 4.1). The geological interpretation of these models is also illustrated.

The symbols used are as follows:-

GEOLOGY

××	
. /	

granite

Upper Carboniferous rocks

Lower Carboniferous and Transition Series rocks

outer limit of metamorphic aureole

#### MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

j

 $J_{x,z}$ , the magnetisation of the body in the plane of the profile

ø

the inclination of the magnetisation in the plane of the profile

N 30°W ω GEOLOGY The region shown in profile is all within th metamorphic aurgole MAGNETIC PROFILES 6 DISTANCE - KM exposed Lower Carboniferous rocks Ø= 170° 134.4% Fig. 4.9; LINE 7 observed caiculated \$30°E +2007 ? anomaly – gamma - Idbiad 1 202աղ










north, at different depths. A greater variation in magnetic intensity could produce the same effect as varying the depths of the bodies but for reasons that will be explained later the depth variation was preferred.

# 4.7(v) The directions of magnetisation

The models described above are for arbitrary values of  $\phi$ , the dip of the resultant magnetisation in the plane of the profile. Now, many directions of magnetisation can produce a given value of  $\phi$  , so the question to ask is whether the values of  $\phi$  used in successful models could be obtained by resolving likely directions of magnetisation. It is known that the Dartmoor granite was intruded during the early Permian (Miller and Mohr, 1964) and it is believed that the magnetic properties of the Lower Culm Measure rocks can be attributed to pyrrhotite emplaced at this time. Creer (1966) suggested that a typical value for the early European Permian could be  $D_{ep} = 189^{\circ}$ ,  $I_{ep} = -9^{\circ}$ . Measurements made here suggest that the dip of the remanent magnetisation is greater as  $I_{mean} = -29^{\circ}$ . Cornwell (1967) measured rocks from the same locality and found a mean inclination of -21°. Cornwell's results gave the same value for the declination, 1890, as Grear but the results described in Chapter 3 suggested that D = 105°. But, because of the small size of the sample described in this thesis, these results were unreliable and are thought to be erroneous. Consequently a declination of 189° was considered wore likely to be

correct. This value corresponds to a declination (D'<sub>EP</sub>) of 219<sup>0</sup> relative to the profile.

The directions of magnetisation used in the computer models comprise the remanent and induced components. In order to compare the direction of the remanent component with that of the early Permian field it is necessary to calculate the dip  $(I_R^{EP})$  of the remanent component in the vertical plane along  $D_{EP} = 189$  ( $D_{EP}' = 219^{\circ}$ ). Now the mean Q value for the fieldon samples is 3.57 (see page 50) so  $J_R = 3.57$   $J_I$  and hence:-

$$D_{RX} = 5.57. D_{I}. \cos I_{R}^{EP}. \cos 219^{\circ}$$

$$J_{RY} = 3.57.J_1.\cos I_R^{EP}.\sin 219^{\circ}$$

 $J_{RZ} = 3.57.J_{I}.sinI_{R}^{EP}$ 

and:=  $J_{IX} = J_{I} \cdot \cos I_{E} \cdot \sin D_{E}$   $J_{IY} = J_{I} \cdot \cos I_{E} \cdot \sin D_{E}$   $J_{IZ} = J_{I} \cdot \sin I_{E}$  $J_{IZ} = J_{I} \cdot \sin I_{E}$ 

The dip of the magnetisation of the body in the plane of the profile is defined by p.

$$\tan \beta = \frac{357 \cdot \sin I_R^{LP} + \sin 66}{357 (\cos I_R^{EP} \cdot \cos 219^{\circ}) + \cos 66^{\circ} \cdot \cos 22^{\circ}}$$

From this it was possible to calculate the dip  $(I_R^{EP})$  of the remanent component in the direction of the early Permian field for the values of  $\beta$  used in the models.

Line	ø	IR		
1	170°	-7°		
2	180°	-15°		
3	167°	- 5°		
4	185°	-18°		
5	160°	0°		
6,	160°	0•		

The values for the dip  $(I_R^{EP})$  of the remanent component in the direction of the early Permian field shown in the table

SO :

above are near horizontal and reversed. They compare well with typical inclinations for the early European Permian suggesting that the remanent magnetisations of rocks in the Meldon district are early Permian.

## 4.8 Assessment of the models

Graphical interpretations of the body causing the Okehampton Anomaly were unsatisfactory except to give a rough estimate of its size and shape. The direct methods, using Peter's and Solokov's lengths, assume that the body is near vertical, which was later shown not to be the case. Bruckshaw and Kunaratnam's method is based on the characteristics of an infinitely deep parallel-sided, uniformly magnetised dyke, treated in isolation, and as the causal body tapers to west and east and is situated in an area with a sloping regional field the method failed. However, the method did show that i lies between 180° and 270°, where  $i = \emptyset + V - \Theta$ . V is known as it is the dip of the carth's field in the plane of the profile and 0, the dip of the body in the same plane, is assumed to be 30°. From these the dip,  $m{ extsf{/}}$ , of the magnetisation of the body falls in the range 130° - 220°. The computer models were devised for arbitary values of Ø within this range.

The values of  $\not$  which gave the best fit were used to calculate the inclination of the remanent magnetisation along the direction of the early Permian field (D = 169°). The results fall in the range  $0^{\circ} \rightarrow -18^{\circ}$ . This agrees well with the direction of a typical early Permian field as defined by Creer (1966) (D = 189°, I = -9°) and Cornwall (1967) (D = 189°, I = -21°).



So far in this chapter it has been assumed that the magnetic properties of the rock causing the Okohampton Anomaly can be attributed to pyrrhotite mineralisation. However, before discussing this and the models in more detail, it is worth considering the other possibilities, if only to show that they are not feasible. These are the Carboniferous dolerites, Lower Carboniferous volcanics and buried Devonian spillites.

It has been suggested (Edmonds et al, 1968) that material giving rise to the Okehampton Anomaly is probably of basic igneous nature, such as dolerite. However, the ground profiles shown on Fig. 4.1 suggest that this assumption may be incorrect. Several dolerite intrusions outcrov among the older rocks in the inlicr but they show no associated magnetic disturbance, except along line 2. This indicates that the typically strongly magnetic doleritic rock is behaving abnormally in this area, and has a low magnetisation. In Chapter 1 it was explained that pyrrhotite has been known to develop in dolerite (Edmonds et al, 1968) and this ferromagnetic mineral could reduce the remanent magnetisation of the dolerite by imposing a secondary field with a different direction. Creer (1966) noted that the dolerites found in Devon and Cornwall have a much reduced remancht magnetisation which can be an order of magnitude less than that for dolerites elsewhere. From the observations of Creer (1966) and those made here it seems unlikely

that dolerite causes the Okehampton Anomaly. Also, the large width of the proposed causal body (see Figs. 4.11 to 4.14) makes the possibility of its being a dolerite intrusion unlikely.

An alternative form for the causal body could be volcanic rock such as the pyroclastics exposed at Meldon, or Devonian spillites. The pyroclastics are about 60 m. thick in the Meldon area (see Chapter 1) and they thin away from this centre so it is unlikely that there would be a sufficient thickness of volcanics to cause the Okehampton Anomaly. Devonian spillites are not exposed in the Okehampton area but they are found in association with Lower Carboniferous and Upper Devonian rocks near Tintagel (located on Fig. 1.1) where they reach a thickness of 500 m. (Freshney et al, 1972). However they are not known to cause any magnetic anomalies (Sanderson, personal communication).

The models devised to explain the profiles along lines 1 and 2 describe bands of variously magnetised rock running parallel with the granite contact. Extending these bodies to an infinite depth made little effect on the profiles **so it was decided that the body could be treated as** near surface material. This would fit in with the assumption that the anomalies are caused by pyrrhotite enriched Lower and Upper Culm Measure sediments which have magnetic properties similar to those measured in samples from Aeldon Querry (see Chapter 3); that is, a

near horizontal reversed field. The values for the intensity of magnetisation are larger than those measured here but this could be a result of weathering and consequent loss of ferromagnetic minerals in surface samples from Meldon.

Pyrrbotite is an unstable ferromagnetic iron sulphide which is readily weathered to iron oxide or hydroxide, so it is unlikely to exist in significant quantities in the weathered zone which is thought to go down to about 100 m. (Scrivenor, personal communication). Consequently rock at depth is likely to contain more pyrrhotite and hence have a higher value of magnetisation than the sediments at the surface. The bodies in the models for lines 1 and 2 do approach the surface so the int-nsity of magnetisation probably represents the mean value for the depleted and pyrrhotite enriched zones.

Of the four lines which cross the Okehampton Anomaly only the model for line 5 suggests that there are bands of both Lower and Upper Culm Measure sediments with significant magnetisation within the metamorphic aureole of the granite. Along line 5 the magnetic rock abuts against the granite while there is a zone of nonmagnetic material next to the granite along lines 3,4, and 6. However it should be noted that the rock next to the granite in model 5 is normally magnetised suggesting that its magnetic direction is largely induced. These observations fit in with those of Beer and Fenning (1976) who noted that pyrchotite is absent from coarse hermfclases

close to the granite and there is no evidence of much magnetite in these rocks. This would explain the absence of a strong remanent component. It has also been suggested (Beer and Scrivenor, personal communication) that any pyrrhotite, introduced by early hydrothermal solutions, is likely to be metamorphosed or redistributed near to the granite contact. The resulting mixture of remanent magnetic directions could produce rock with a high susceptibility but low remanent magnetisation, hence the significant induced component in the body adjacent to the granite along line 5.

It was suggested earlier in this chapter that pyrrhotite mineralisation at the outer edge and beyond the metamorphic aureole is largely confined to the Lower Culm Measure sediments. It is therefore important to assess the models for lines 3,4,5 and 6 in the light of known geology to see if they represent possible structures for Lower Culm Measure sediments.

In Chapter 1 the work of Dearman and Butcher (1959), Edmonds et al (1968), Freshney and Taylor (1971), Sanderson and Dearman (1973), and Hobson and Sanderson (1975) on the structure of the Meldon area was discussed and certain points considered. Firstly, it is feasible that further folds of Lower Cula Measure and Transition Series rocks exist under the Crackington Formation sediments to the north of the Meldon inlier, suggesting that these older roliments could form a dyke-like anticline existing just north of the exposed inlier. In this

area the fold limbs dip north at  $30^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  and the axial planes dip at approximately  $45^{\circ}$  in the same direction. The other important structural consideration is the number of faults observed cutting these older rocks. The cross-sections shown in Fig. 1.3 which roughly coincide with parts of lines 3 and 5 show how these faults alter the shape of the inlier and provide a means by which blocks of Lower Culm Measure rock can be moved nearer to, or further from, the surface. These faults, be they normal or reversed, dip north at  $30^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$ .

So, either faulting or folding could determine the shape of the Lower Culm Measure sediments. This suggests that if the body representing these sediments were the nose of a fold it would dip north at  $45^{\circ}$  while if it were fault bounded it would dip at  $30^{\circ}$  or  $60^{\circ}$ . However the cross-sections on Fig. 1.3 suggest that both faulting and folding play a part in determining the structure so it is important to assess the models in the light of both these considerations.

It was because of these structural considerations that the graphically derived dip of 45° for the causal body for line 3 was believed to be correct. This suggested that the body was the nose of a fold so the model was modified accordingly and a better fit was achieved. Fig. 4.11 shows the improved model and the northward extrapolation of the Culm Measure sediments from the Meldon inlier to the proposed fold nose.

The model for line 6 also indicates that folding has occurred. If the bodies are taken to be slabs of Lower Carboniferous or older rock which have been enriched with pyrrhotite then the undulating top surface of the magnetised rock probably represents gentle folding of these older sediments.

The models for lines h and 5 both suggest that the highly magnetic rock exists as slabs, dipping  $30^{\circ}$ N, and which deepen slightly to the north. Inspection of line h shows that the majority of bodies, including the normally magnetised slab fall within an area known to contain Lower Culm Measure sediments. It is easy to conceive of the most northerly slab as being a tectonic slab of the same rock type. The dip of the interface between it and the body immediately to its south could then be interpreted as a fault plane dipping north at  $30^{\circ}$ . By contrast the model for line 5 is difficult to explain as the bulk of the magnetised rock exists to the north or south of the inlier while most of the exposed Lower Culm Measure sediments appear to be non-magnetic.

It was mentioned earlier that pyrrhotite is easily weathered and that this radically alters the magnetic properties of the rock containing this mineral. This could mean that the undulations in topography attributed to folding and faulting may be an effect of weathering. However it seems unlikely that the weathered zone would deepen by 150 a. in an equal distance (see line 5: Fig. 4.13), or go down to 250 m., so the suppositions about the structure are likely to be true.

# 4.9 <u>Conclusions</u>

This chapter effectively covers three aspects of the magnetic disturbances in the Okehampton area. Firstly there is the regional field which changes from high in the north-east to low in the south-west. Straddling the boundary between these two regions there is the major Okehampton Anowaly. Then, superimposed on this anomaly and found to its south over the metamorphic aureole of the Dartmoor granite there are short wavelength anomalies detectable only along the ground traverses.

Even though no evidence to support this hypothesis is set out in this thesis it seems likely that the regional field is caused by the juxtaposition of nonmagnetic rocks to the south - the granite and Devonian shales and limestones (see Fig. 1.1) - and the Culm Measure sediments to the north, some of which have been shown to possess a significant magnetisation.

Of the possible causes of the Okehampton Anomaly only pyrrhotite mineralisation seems likely. There is an insufficient thickness of Lower Culm Measure volcanics to cause such a large anomaly and both Devonian spillites, which are likely to exist at depth under the Culm Measures, and dolerite do not possess a significant magnetisation. Therefore the Okehampton Anomaly is believed to be exacted by magnetic Culm Measure sediments. More specifically it is thought that the ferromagnetic mineral pyrrhotite developed in the hower Carboniferous

sediments is responsible for the significant magnetic properties of these rocks.

There are three modes of pyrrhotite development in Culm Measure sediments: thermal metamorphic alteration, metasomatism and the penecontemporaneous bedded mineral. It seems likely that the latter two modes of emplacement are responsible for the pyrrhotite in the Lower Culm Measure sediments which cause the Okehampton Anomaly. Of these the hydrothermally emplaced vein mineral seems more likely although there is probably some bedded pyrrhotite as well. It is also likely that, like the bedded mineral, much of the Fe, S and Ni contained in the veins was derived from volcanic sources.

The models have shown that penecontemporaneous bedded pyrrhotite or hydrothermally emplaced vein pyrrhotite may be confined to Lower Culm Measure sediments beyond the metamorphic surcole, and that these sediments continue north under the rocks of the Crackington Formation. The structure of the older sediments is defined by folding and faulting. Along some lines (lines 3 and 6) folding appears to be a more important consideration whereas along lines 4 and 5 the structure appears to be dominated by faults. Fig. 1.3 shows two dissimilar geological cross-sections through the kelpen inlice. These confirm that the structure can alter in the very short distance between lines in the moment indicated by the models.

The directions of magnetisation used in the modelling correspond to the early Permian, at which time the pyrrhotite is believed to have been emplaced. The intensity of magnetisation (c.  $1 \ A.m.^{-1}$ ) used in devising the models is greater than that measured in the field (see Chapter 3) but the samples came from near the surface and are therefore likely to be weathered and have a lower magnetisation than the unaltered rocks at depth.

Finally, the mineralisation hypothesis concurs with an observation made by the I.G.S. (Fenning in Edmonds et al, 1968) that the body causing the Okehampton Anomaly has a disseminated nature because there is no associated gravity anomaly.

The third type of pyrrhotite development, and that which is confined to the metamorphic surcele of the granite is the thermally altered detrited sulphides, notably pyrite. Near surface developments of this and the vein mineral are thought to be responsible for the . short wavelength anomalies detected on the ground traverses across the metamorphic surcele of the granite. This agrees with the findings of Cornwell (1967), Edmonds et al (1968) and Beer and Fenning (1976).

#### CHAFTER 5

#### DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

#### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter attempts to tie together the results obtained in the previous chapters, and, in particular, to assess the merits of the interpretation described in Chapter 4. Also, because the Okehampton Anomaly is one of many similar anomalies which stretch across Cornubia it is important that it is not treated in isolation but compared with these other magnetic disturbances which may have comparable origins.

## 5.2 The Models

Chapter 3 describes the study of the rock samples taken from various sites in the area. Measurement of their magnetic properties revealed that the directions of **total** magnetisation are scattered with a mean of  $D = 97^{\circ}$ ,  $I = 15^{\circ}$  and a maximum error of  $30^{\circ}$ . However the small size of the sample (24) makes these results unreliable. The results also revealed that the rock type with the most significant magnetisation was shale and that the shale samples had a mean Q value of **3.57** (see **page 50**).

Study of the mineralogy showed that the magnetic properties of the samples could be attributed to the ferromagnetic mineral, pyrrhotite. It was present in two forms; disseminated and as a vein mineral. It is thought that the disseminated form is the thermal alteration product of detrital sulphides, notably pyrite, and is restricted to the metamorphic aureole of the granite. The vein mineral is believed to have been hydrothermally emplaced by fluids emanating from the granite which could travel distances as great as 7 km. from the granite contact.

The models obtained in the previous chapter indicate that both the Okehampton Anomaly and the smaller disturbances to its south-west are caused by composite bodies running parallel with the granite contact and dipping north at about 30°. The directions of magnetisation used in the models were resolved along a typical early Permian declination of 189° (Creer, 1966, Cornwell, 1967) and near horizontal inclinations were obtained, indicating that the bodies had an early Permian direction of magnetisation. The Dartmoor granite was intruded at this time (~ 280 M.Y., Miller and Mohr, 1964) so it seems likely that the remanent field of the causal body was obtained at the time of the granite intrusion.

The possible choses of the Okehampton Anomaly are believed to be delerites, Lower Carboniferous volcanies, Devonian spillites or pyrrhotite mineralisation. The ground traverses showed that only one exposed delerite

had an associated magnetic anomaly, confirming the idea that dolerites have an unusually low magnetisation in The volcanics, although magnetic, are of this area. insufficient thickness to cause the anomaly and the spillites do not possess a sufficiently high magnetisation. Pyrrhotite mineralisation was therefore thought to cause the Okehampton Anomaly and the local disturbances including the short wavelength anomalies. Near surface developments of both vein and disseminated pyrrhotite within the metamorphic aureole are thought to cause the short wavelength anomalies. These types of mineralisation are also thought to cause the small disturbances such as those detected along lines 1 and 2 which would explain why the slabs of magnetised rock run parallel with the granite contact. Vein pyrrhotite can be developed beyond the metamorphic aureole and is thought to be a significant contributor to the Okehampton Anomaly. However evidence north of the Bodmin Moor granite, in a borehole at Wilsey Down, suggests that penecontemporaneous bedded pyrrhotite can exist in Lower Carboniferous sediments interbedded with volcanics from which it was probably derived. Although there is no evidence of bedded pyrrhotite in the Okehampton region it could contribute towards the observed anomaly. However, the early Permian direction of magnetisation suggests that the vein mineral is more likely to be the main cause as it was emplaced at the time of the Dartmoor granite.

Beyond the metauorphic aureole the vein pyrrhotite is thought to have been preferentially emplaced in the Lower Carboniferous sediments so the models shown in Figs. 4.11 to 4.14 indicate the structure of the buried Lower Carboniferous rocks. The causal body is 1-2 km. wide and dips north at  $30^{\circ}$  (or  $45^{\circ}$  in the case of line 3). The surface topography of lines 3 and 6, which traverse the western and eastern ends of the Okehampton Anomaly, indicate that these rocks are folded. Lines 4 and 5, which cross the centre of the anomaly, indicate that the body deepens slightly to the north, possibly as a series of tectonic slices. Fig. 1.3, taken from the work of Dearman and Butcher (1959), indicates that, even over distances as small as that between lines 3 and 5, the structure of the Meldon inlier can alter appreciably. This indicates that the differences in structure suggested by the models are geologically possible. There is one important point to consider at this juncture. Pyrrhotite is easily weathered and this can radically reduce the magnetisation of the rock. It is possible thet variations in surface topography of the body could be attributed to the effects of weathering. However the weathered zone is thought (Scrivenor, personal communication) to go down to a depth of 100 m. so structures with a top surface as deep as 250 m. (see line 6, Fig. 4.14) are unlikely to have been produced by weathering. Of course, the variation in depths of the

bodies could be substituted with a greater variation in magnetic intensity from slab to slab, but this approach, was not chosen because the intention was to produce models which indicated a possible continuation of Lower Culm Measure rocks from the Weldon inlier north under the Crackington Formation sediments.

The effect of weathering also explains the discrepancy between the values for the magnetisation used in the models and those measured in samples from Meldon quarry (mean =  $0.164 \times A.m^{-1}$ ).

### 5.3 Comparison with anomalies elsewhere in Cornubia

As has been mentioned previously the Okehampton Anomaly is not unique but is one of many similar anomalies which stretch across Cornubia following the southern margin of the extensive magnetic high (see overlay to Fig. 1.1). This occurs over the region where Culm Measure rocks are exposed at the centre of the mid Devon syncline (see Fig. 1.1). The major anomalies are at Okehampton, Crockernwell and Tintagel, but there are others, such as that at Lydford (see Fig. 1.1). They all occur near the southern boundary of the Culm Measure rocks where both Lower and Upper Carboniferous sediments are exposed, and near to exposed or sub-surface granite. No comparable magnetic anomalies are found north of the mid Devon syncline even though Lower and Upper Culm Measure sediments are found there. The older sediments are similar to those found in inliers along the southern

margin of the syncline except that both volcanics and dolerites are absent (Edmonds, 1974).

The models described in the previous section suggest that the magnetic anomalies are caused by pyrrhotite enriched Lower Carboniferous sediments, and that this mineral was deposited by fluids which primarily originated from the granite. If this is so it would explain why no magnetic anomalies are found in association with Lower Culm Measure rocks north of the mid Devon syncline where there are no granites. It was also suggested that the pyroclastics interbedded with Lower Culm Measure sediments may have been a source for the Fe and S required for pyrrhotite mineralisation. So the absence of magnetic disturbances north of the major syncline may be attributed to the lack of volcanics in that area.

Previous workers (Edmonds et al, 1968) have suggested that dolerite intrusions cause the anomalies at Okehampton, Crockernwell and elsewhere, and that "the uniform nature and large areal extent of the anomalies makes the possibility of their arising from mineralisation with the Culm Measure rocks unlikely" (Edmonds et al, 1968). The body defined in the models (see Figs. 4.11 to 4.14) has a magnetic intensity of the same order of magnitude as that for normal dolerite, but dolerites throughout Cornubia have unusually low magnetic intensities (Creer, 1966). Also, the models have shown that the body causing the Okehampton Anomaly

L



Fig. 5.1. Magnetic Profile and Geological cross-section along a line down the north-west side of the Dartmoor granite (cross-section after Hohson and Sanderson, 1975, profile from unpublished 1:25,000 aeromagnetic map)

117

is not uniform but made up of many slabs of variously magnetised rock, and the large areal extent can also be explained in terms of mineralisation as the hydrothermal solutions emanating from the granite can travel distances as great as 7 km. and hence deposit minerals, notably pyrrhotite, over a wide area. The absence of dolerite north of the mid Devon syncline is therefore unlikely to explain the lack of magnetic disturbances.

Study of Fig.1.1 and the accompanying overlay suggests that the major anomalies south of the mid Devon syncline are found near to the inliers of the Lower Culm Measure rocks. This is particularly obvious at Tintagel, Okehampton and Crockernwell but smaller magnetic disturbances occur over other inliers such as those down the western side of the Dartmoor granite. It is worth looking at this area in more detail as it is located just south of the main area of study. Hobson and Sanderson (1975) studied the structure of the Carboniferous sediments situated west of this granite. A cross-section running north-south through Lydford is illustrated in Fig. 5.1 as is the magnetic profile along the same line, taken from the unpublished I.G.S. 1:25,000 aeromagnetic map. This profile shows that negative disturbances, similar to the Okehampton Anomaly, are found just north of the Lydford and Petertavy inliers. In Chapter 1 it was explained that these inliers are the anticlinal noses of folded Lower

Culm Measure and Transition Series rocks. The folds change from being overturned in the Okehampton region to recumbent further south, and they dip north at shallow angles. It is therefore possible that the hypothesis put forward to explain the Okehampton Anomaly may hold true in this area; that reversely magnetised pyrrhotite enriched Lower Culm Measure rocks, continuing north at depth from the exposed inlier, cause the observed magnetic disturbances. If this is the case the question to ask is why the amplitude of the observed anomaly is greater in some areas than others.

It has already been pointed out (see Chapter 1) that the volcanics interbedded with the Lower Carboniferous sediments thin away from the Meldon centre (Dearman and Butcher, 1959), and it is believed that volcanics may be a significant source for pyrrhotite. In Chapter 3 the petrology of the rock samples from Meldon Quarry was described. It was noted that the vein pyrrhotite often contained exsolved crystals of the nickel iron sulphide, pentlandite. This suggests that hydrothermal solutions which deposited the pyrrhotite contained a high concentration of nickel. Such concentrations could not be obtained from the carbonate, argillaceous and arenaceous sediments found in the area, so it seems that the source was probably the volcanic rocks. So, where the pyroclastics are thickest the magnetic disturbances will be the strongest. This supposition concurs with observations made in the north Dartmoor region.

Unlike the Meldon inlier the inlier of Lower Culm Measure rocks situated east of the Sticklepath fault (see Fig. 1.1) contains no pyroclastic rocks except at its eastern end. The Okehampton and Crockernwell Anomalies are situated over the Meldon inlier and the eastern end of this inlier respectively (see overlay to Fig. 1.1). No magnetic anomaly is observed over the area where no volcanics are exposed. Fig. 1.2 shows that volcanics are found with Lower Carboniferous sediments north-west of the Dartmoor granite and Fig. 5.1 shows that magnetic anomalies are associated with these sediments.

#### <u>Conclusions</u>

Evidence outlined in this thesis suggests that the Okehampton Anomaly is caused by pyrrhotite enriched Lower Culm Measure rocks which dip north at approximately 30°, under overlying Upper Carboniferous sediments of the Crackington Formation. This ferromagnetic mineral was mainly developed as a result of metasomatic activity during the late stages of the granite intrusion. As the models confirm, the remanent field therefore has an early Permian direction. Small amounts of bedded pyrrhotite may also be present but its early Carboniferous direction of magnetisation is unlikely to have much effect on the overall anomaly. It has been suggested, however, that the volcanics associated with this bedded pyrrhotite

were an important source for Fe and S, thus explaining the correlation between major anomalies and areas, like, the Meldon inlier, where Lower Carboniferous pyroclastics are exposed.

The table below summarises the results.

#### Major anomalies Minor anomalies

Vein pyrrhotite preferentially deposited in Lower Carboniferous sediments (Early Permian direction of magnetisation).

Some bedded pyrrhotite (Lower Carboniferous direction of magnetisation). Vein and disseminated pyrrhotite within the metamorphic aureole (early Permian direction of magnetisation).

Very small contributions from dolerite (Lower and Upper Carboniferous directions of magnetisation) and volcanics (Lower Carboniferous direction of magnetisation).

#### REFERENCES

- Am, K., 1972. "The arbitrarily magnetised dyke: interpretation by characteristics", Geoexploration 10, 63-69
- Beer, K. E. and Fenning, P. J., 1976. "Geophysical anomalies and mineralisation at Sourton Tors, Okehampton, Devon", N.E.R.C. Report No. 76/1 H.M.S.O.
- Bott, M. H. P., Day, A. A. and Masson-Smith, D., 1958. "The geological interpretation of gravity and magnetic surveys in Devon and Cornwall", Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond., <u>251</u>, 161-191
- Brammall, A. and Harwood, H. G., 1923. "The Dartmoor granite: its mineralogy, structure and petrology", Miner. Mag. 20, 39-53
- Bruckshaw, K. M. and Kunaratnam, K., 1963. "The interpretation of magnetic anomalies due to dykes", Geophys. Pros. <u>11</u>, 509-522
- Chamalaun, F. M. and Creer, K. M., 1964. "Thermal demagnetism studies on the O.R.S. of the Anglo-Welsh Curvette", J. Geophys. Res. <u>69</u>, 1604-1616
- Collinson, D. W., Molyneux, L. and Stone, D. B., 1963. "A total and an isotropic magnetic meter", J. Sci. Instrum. <u>40</u>, 310-312
- Cornwell, J. D., 1967. "The magnetisation of Lower Carboniferous rocks from the N-W border of the Dartmoor granite, Devonshire", Geophys. J.R. Astr. Soc. <u>12</u>, 381-403
- Creer, K. M., 1966. "Palaeomagnetic studies on basic dykes and sills from S. W. England", Geophys. J.R. Astr. Soc. <u>11</u>, 415-422
- Dearman, W. R., 1968. "Tectonics and granite emplacement on the N-W margin of Dartmoor, Devonshire", Trans. R. Geol. Soc. Corn. 20, 45-64

I:

- Dearman, W. R., 1969. "On the association of upright and recumbent folds on the southern margin of the Carboniferous synclinorium of Devonshire and N. Cornwall", Proc. Ussher Soc. 2, 115-121
- Dearman, W. R. and Butcher, N. E., 1959. "The geology of the Devonian and Carboniferous Rocks of the north-west border of the Dartmoor granite, Devonshire", Proc. Geol. Assoc. 70, 51-92
- Dearman, W. R. and El Sharkawi, M. A. H., 1965. "The Shape of the mineral deposits in the Lower Culm Measures of north-west Dartmoor", Trans. Roy. Geol. Soc. Corn. <u>19</u>, 286-296
- De la Beche, 1839. "Report on the geology of Cornwall, Devon and West Somerset", Mem. Geol. Surv.
- Edmonds, E. A., 1974. "Classification of the Carboniferous rocks of S.W. England", I.G.S. Report No. 74/13
- Edmonds, E. A., et al, 1968. "Geology of the country around Okehampton", N.E.R.C. Publication, H.M.S.O.
- Edmonds, E. A., et al, 1975. "British Regional Geology: South-West England", 4th Edition, N.E.R.C. Publication. H.M.S.O.
- Dobrin, M. B., 1976. "Introduction to Geophysical Prospecting", 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Freshney, E. C., 1969. "Preliminary report on the Wilsey Down borehole, North Cornwall", Proc. Ussher Soc.2, 107-108
- Freshney, E. C. and Taylor, R. T., 1971. "The structure of Mid-Devon and north Cornwall", Proc. Ussher Soc. 2, 241-248
- Freshney, E. C., et al, 1972. "Geology of the coast between Tintagel and Bude", Pub. H.M.S.O.
- Green, F. W., 1977. "The magnetic anomalies of northwest Dartmoor", B.Sc. dissertation, Durham
- Harbord, N. H., 1962. "Mineralogy of Yoredale Series Rocks in Upper Teesdale with special reference to clay minerals", Ph.D. Thesis, Durham
- Hobson, D. M. and Sanderson, D. J., 1975. "Major early folds at the southern margin of the Culm synclinorium". Jl. Geol. Soc. Lond. <u>131</u>, 337-352

II.

- IAGA Division 1 Study Group, 1976. "International Geomagnetic Reference Field, 1975", Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc. <u>44</u>, 733-734
- McKeown et al, 1973. "Geology of the country around Boscastle and Holsworthy", Pub. H.M.S.O.
- Miller, J. A. and Mohr, P. A., 1964. "Potassium-argon measurements on the granite and some associated rocks from south-west England", Geol. J. <u>4</u>, 105-126
- Molyneux, L., 1971. "A complete result magnetometer for measuring the remanent magnetisation of rocks", Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc. <u>24</u>, 429-433
- Ordnance Survey Maps: 1" Okehampton Sheet No. 191 (1st series; 1:50,000), 6" (1:10,560) SX59, SE, SW, NE, NW and SX58, NE, NW, Geology Map No. 324: Okehampton
- Osman, C. W., 1924. "The geology of the northern border of Dartmoor between Whiddon Down and Butterdon Down", Quart. J. Geol. Soc. <u>80</u>, 315-337
- Sanderson, D. J. and Dearman, W. R., 1973. "Structural zones of the variscan fold belt in S.W. England, the location and development", Jl. Geol. Soc. Lond. <u>129</u>, 527-536
- Sedgwick, A. and Murchison, R. I., 1840. "On the physical structure of Devonshire, and on subdivisions and geological relations of its older stratified deposits, Trans. Geol. Soc. <u>5</u>, 633-705
- Selwood, E. B. and McCourt, S., 1973. "The Bridford Thrust", Proc. Ussher Soc. 2, 529-535
- Stone, M. and Austin, W. G. C., 1961. "The metasomatic origin of the potash feldspar megacrysts in the granites of south-west England", J. Geol. <u>69</u>, 464-472
- Tarling, D. H., 1971. "Principles and Applications of Palaeomagnetism", Pub. Chapman and Hall
- U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office, Chart 1700 (Magnetic Inclination), Chart 1703 (Total Intensity of the Earth's Magnetic Field), Chart 1706 (Magnetic Variation), Pub. U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office (3rd Edition) 1966

- Ussher, W. A. E., 1888. "The granite of Dartmoor", Parts 1 and 2, Trans. Devon. Assoc. 20, 141-157
- Ussher, W. A. E., 1892. "The British Culm Measures", Proc. Somerset Arch. N.H. Soc. <u>38</u>, 111-219
- Ussher, W. A. E., 1900. "The Devonian, Carboniferous and New Red rocks of west Somerset, Devon and Cornwall", Proc. Somerset Arch. N.H. Soc. <u>46</u>, 1-64
- Ussher, W. A. E., 1901, "The Culm Measure types of Great Britain", Trans. Inst. Mining Eng. <u>20</u>, 360-391

Winkler, H. G. F., 1967. "Petrogenesis of Metamorphic Rocks", 2nd edition, Pub. Springer-Verlag N.Y. Inc.

# APPENDIX A

v.

# Derivations

To calculate the mean value of the magnetic field (i) from a set of dissimilar fields Each field is divided into its components = JcosIcosD Jx = JcosIsinD Jу Jz = JsinI such that J = intensity of magnetisation I = inclination ) as shown below = declination D



The mean value for Jx, Jy and Jz is calculated. The characteristics of the mean field can then be computed:-

$$\tan(D_{mean}) = \frac{J \ y \ mean}{J \ x \ mean}$$
$$\tan(I_{mean}) = \frac{J \ z \ mean}{\frac{J \ z \ mean}{J \ y \ mean}} \times \sin(D \ mean)$$

$$\frac{J_{mean}}{sin(I_{mean})} = \frac{J_{Z_{mean}}}{sin(I_{mean})}$$

By using this method the summation of the fields is correctly weighted.

Table Ai lists the components of the remanent, induced and resultant fields. The remanent field components were calculated using experimental data (Table 3.2), the induced fields were computed using the information listed below and the resultant the sum of the above.

The Earth's Magnetic field at Okehampton 1977-8

Intensity	0.47	78 Oe		
Declination	8°W	i.e. +352°		
Inclination	66 <sup>0</sup>			
(Ref: U.S.	Naval	Oceanographic	Office	charts)

#### (ii) Fisherian Statistics

As magnetisation is a vector it can be expressed in cartesian co-ordinates by its direction cosines:

 $\underline{x} = cosDsinI$  ) I = Inclination  $\underline{y} = sinDcosI$  ) where  $\underline{z} = sinI$  ) D = Declination

(see Table Aii)

The length of the mean magnetic direction can then be calculated:-

$$R = \sqrt{((\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})^2 + (\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})^2 + (\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})^2)}$$

From this the precision parameter, K. can be estimated.

 $K \approx k = \frac{N-1}{N-R}$  where N = no. of samples

VII

The cone of confidence  $\alpha_{95}$  and the circular standard deviation,  $\theta_{63}$ , can be computed.

$$\alpha_{95} = \cos^{-1} 1 - \frac{N-R}{R} \left\{ \left( p \frac{-1}{N-1} - 1 \right) \right\}$$

where  $X_{95}$  is the angle of the cone in which there is a 95% probality that the true direction lies. 1.e. P = 0.05

$$\theta_{63} = \frac{81}{K}$$

A circle of radius  $\theta_{63}$  degrees about the mean will encompass 63% of the data points.

The larger K and the smaller  $lpha_{95}$  and  $heta_{63}$  the less random will be the results.

The circular standard error (c.s.e) is also a measure of the degree of scatter. Like  $\Theta_{63}$  to which it is related by the formula shown below, the larger its value the more scattered the results.

c.s.e. = 
$$\theta_{63} \cdot N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Ref. Tarling, 1971

Table Ai: Showing the x, v, z components of the remanent (R), induced (I)

•••

and resultant (R+I) magnetisation of rocks from Meldon Quarry (all values in **e.m.u.** unless otherwise stated)

Sample	Remanent (x 10 <sup>-6</sup> )			Resul	ltant (x 10	;-6)	Induced $(x \ 10^{-6})$		
Name	$\underline{J}\mathbf{x}^{R}$	<u>J</u> y <sup>R</sup>	$\underline{J}z^{R}$	$J_{x}^{R+1}$	$\underline{J}y^{R+I}$	$\underline{J}z^{R+I}$	$\underline{J}x^{I}$	JyI	$\underline{J}z^{\underline{I}}$
M601.3	0.062	0.113	- 0.02	5.63	0.02	12.01	5.57	- 0.93	12.03
M601.2.2	0.18	- 0.16	0.15	7.38	1.41	16.4	7.20	- 1.25	16.25
M602.2	9.84	- 0.086	0.15	12.35	- 0.53	5.82	2.51	- 0.44	5.67
M603.3.2	- 1.95	0.348	- 2.90	0.41	- 0.062	2.42	2.36	- 0.41	5.32
M603.2.2	- 2.35	0.064	- 2.3	- 0.02	- 0.35	2.94	2.33.	- 0.41	5.27
M603.1.1	- 3.89	0.955	- 4.21	- 1.54	0.545	1.09	2.35	- 0.41	5.30
M800.1.1	-205.12	948.90	-858.9	- 97.83	930.26	-616.62	107.29	-18.64	242.28
M800.2.2	-310.27	705.05	-632.8	-230.13	691.13	-451.82	80.14	-13.92	180.98
M800.2.1	-504.87	830.48	-982.10	-404.76	813.09	-756.03	100.11	-17.39	226.07
M102.1	0.054	0.078	0.03	1.53	- 0.18	3.38	1.49	- C.26	3.35
M103.2.2	65.27	227.49	- 53.12	71.38	22 <b>6.4</b> 3	- 38.31	6.11	- 1.06	13.91
M103.1.2	- 0.124	- 0.077	0.08	1.64	- 0.387	4.06	1.76	- 0.31	3.98
M103.2.1	- 1.295	43.62	- 12.06	1.30	43.17	- 6.22	2.59	- 0.45	5.94
M104.1.1	- 47.49	12.28	- 96.5	- 30.81	11.99	- 58.82	16.68	- 0.29	37.63
M104.1.2	-324.9	30.97	-817.95	-298.79	26.43	-758.98	26.11	- 4.54	58.97
M101.1.1	65.51	570.43	565.24	118.41	561.24	634.7	52.9	- 9.19	119.46
M101.3	185.86	501.83	693.37	249.44	490.84	836.95	63.58	-10.99	143.58
M901.2	- 0.007	0.056	0.02	0.64	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & 0.06 \\ - & 0.08 \\ - & 5.66 \\ - & 15.88 \\ - & 1.32 \\ - & 1.19 \\ - & 1.22 \end{array}$	1.49	0.65	- 0.12	1.47
M901.1	- 0.003	0.053	0.15	0.70		1.76	0.71	- 0.13	1.61
M904.1	- 0.95	- 4.52	- 0.69	5.63		14.16	6.58	- 1.14	14.85
M904.2	22.27	- 14.66	2.41	28.94		17.67	6.76	- 1.22	15.26
M905.2.2	0.54	0.0 <b>33</b>	0.06	6.83		14.25	6.29	- 1.35	14.19
M905.3.2	0.089	0.069	0.07	7.35		16.47	7.26	- 1.26	16.40
M905.3.1	- 0.006	0.0003	0.03	7.01		15.89	7.02	- 1.22	15.86
Mean	- 44.0	160.4	- 91.7	- 19.3	156.8	- 43.2	21.5	- 3.64	48.56
Whence the declination (D), Inclination (I) and mean magnetisation (J) can be calculated:									
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$									

X

Table Aii:	Showing	direction	cosines	$(\underline{x}, \underline{v}, \underline{z})$	of	the	remanent	and	resultent	magnetisati	ions
------------	---------	-----------	---------	---	----	-----	----------	-----	-----------	-------------	------

		Remanent		Resultant				
Nаше	<u>×</u>	<u>y</u>	<u>Z</u>	<u>∧ x</u>	<u>У</u>	<u>z</u>		
M601.3	0.4741	0.8623	0.1779	0.4305	0.0015	• 0.9026		
M601.2.2	0.6455	-0.5592	0.5203	0.4090	0.0782	0.9092		
M602.2	0.9999	-0.0087	0.0148	0.9038	-0.0388	0.4262		
M603.3.2	0. <b>5547</b>	0.0993	-0.8261	0.9836	-0.1488	0.1018		
M603.2.2	-0.7105	0.0192	-0.7034	-0.0383	0.0022	0.9993		
M603.1.1	-0.6697	0.1645	-0.7242	-0.7843	0.0278	0.5548		
M800.1.1	-0.1583	0.7320	0.6626	-0.0874	0.8303	-0.5505		
M800.2.2	-0.3112	0.7072	0.6347	-0.2685	0.8062	-0.5273		
M800.2.1	-0.3654	0.6010	0.7108	-0.3424	0.6830	-0.6393		
M102.1	0.5451	0.7818	0.3040	0.4119	-0.0485	0.9099		
M103.2.2	0.2753	0.9593	-0.224	0.3035	0.9384	-0.1652		
M103.1.2	-0.7095	-0.4777	0.5180	0.3731	-0.0331	0.9236		
M103.2.1	-0.0286	0.9634	-0.2663	0.2310	0.9630	0.1337		
M104.1.1	-0.4388	0.1131	-0.8914	-0.4563	0.1776	-0.8719		
M104.1.2	-0.3639	0.0352	-0.9283	-0.3657	0.0324	-0.9302		
M101.1.1	0.0813	0.7080	0.7015	0.1325	0.6283	0.7667		
M101.2	0.2113	0.5775	0.7885	0.2485	0.4889	0.8362		
M901.1	-0.7515	0.6097	0.2521	-0.3941	0.0370	. 0.9183		
M901.1	-0.0206	0.3373	0.9412	-0.3693	0.0422	0.9274		
M904.1	0.2031	-0.9679	-0.1478	-0.3465	0.3484	0.8710		
M904.2	0.8316	-0.5475	0.0898	-0.7735	0.3073	0.4709		
M905.2.2	0.9927	0.0616	0.1037	-0.4305	0.0851	0.8986		
M905.3.2	0.6725	0.5197	0.5269	-0.4065	0.0658	0.9113		
M905.3.1	-0.1785	0.0098	0.9839	-0.4029	0.0269	0.9125		

2

×
APPENDIX B

### Listings of Computer Programs

124 \* \* \* \* 1 NTEKPUL ¥ \* PREGRAMME IS CALCULATE IGRE VALUES AT CERTAIN SPECIFIED PUINTS ALLNG THE TRAVERSE. INTERPICATING RESULTS GIVES IGRE VALUES AT INTERVENCING PUINTS . ANGHALLY REALING GENERATED BY SUBTRACTING IGRE VALUE FROM FIELD DATA KEGO TO CALCULATE IGRE VALUE READ IN ON UNIT AALT=ALTITUDE ALAT=LATITUDE ALCHG=LUNGITUDE DIST=DISTANCE ALUNG TRAVERSE FRUM ORIGIN FIELD DATA READ IN UNIT 2 FD=DISTANCE ALUNG TKAVERSE FREM ORIGIN FA=VALUE LF MAGNETIC FIELD AS RECORDED LINENSILN ADIF(20), DUIF(20), CUNST(20), CLA(300) CIMENSIUN FO(300), FA(300), DFD(300), CA(300), ANUM(300) CIMENSIUN AALT(20), ALAT(20), ALUNG(20), DIST(20), A(20), D(20) LIMENSION A READ(4,92)N HURMAT(13) 92 93 L(1) = UISF(1)I = I + I RCAE(4, 93) AAET(I), AEAT(I), AEUNG(I), DISI(I) II (AAET(I) = ET = 0 = 0)GE = IU = I7 CLEAT = 90 = 0 - AEAI(I) ELENG = 360 = 0 - AEUNG(I) AET = AAET(I) CAET = AAET(I)14. ALT=AALT(1) CALL 1GRF(1977.8,1,ALT,COLAT,ELUNG,X,Y,Z,F) A(1)=F D(1)=DIST(1) ADIF(1)=A(1)-A(1-1) LDIF(1)=D(1)-D(1-1) LDISI(1)=ADIF(1)/DCIF(1)READ(2,92)M M=1 M=1 $M=1 \\ KEAD(2,91)FL(M), rA(M) \\ FLKMAT(ro.2,2X, [5.0] \\ IF(FD(M).OT.D(1))GL TD \\ DFD(M)=FL(M)-D(1-1) \\ CA(M)=CUNST(1)*UFD(M) \\ CA(M)=A(1-1)+CCA(M) \\ ANUM(M)=FA(N)-CA(M) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N)-CA(M) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N)-FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M)=FA(N) \\ MUM(M$ 100 91 WKITE(7,6)M,FD(M),ANUM(M) FURMAT(1H ,13,1X;F3.2,2X,F12.2) M=M+1 Gu TL 100 STLP 6 17 LND GF FILE

IN FIELD

OUTPUT ON UNIT

IURE VALUES AT INTERVENCING

\*\* F. .. GREEN 1977

FILLU AS RECURDED

14

XII

\*\*

6

4

XIII \* \* \* \* \* \* CRCANLM \* \* \* \* \* PROGRAMME TO CALCULATE DZ AND DH (DIURNAL VARIATION) FROM DASE STATION DATA , HENCE TO CALCULATE DE, DH & CH FOR THE FIELD DATA. SUBTRACTING OF FROM THE FILLD DATA CORRECTS FOR DIURNAL EFFECTS .\*\* F.W.GKEEN 1978 \*\* FIELD DATA READ IN ON UNIT B METRO. OF DATA PULLITS XX=DISTANCE FROM DRIGIN OF EACH TRAVERSE AATMAGNETIC ADUMACY TI=TIME (MINUTES) STATION DATA REAU IN UNITS 4 6 5 BASE MH=NU. LF PUINTS DESCRIBING THE HERIZUNTAL COMPONENT HX=X CO-ORDINATE (RELATED TO TIME) HY=Y CO-ORDINATE (RELATED TO DH) 5: UNIT HZ=HD. OF POINTS ZX=X UJ-ORDINATE ZY=Y CU-ORDINATE OF PUINTS DESCRIBING THE VERTICAL COMPONENT H-GROINATE (RELATED TO TIME) H-GROINATE (RELATED TO DZ) GUTPET EN UNIT 6 LIMENSION X(300),A(300),T(300),HX(200),HY(200),2X(200),2Y(200) LIMENSION HSUM(200),ZSUM(200),DH(200),DZ(200), XX(300),A4(300) DIMENSION CHX(200),CZX(200),ZT(200),HT(200),TT(300),CCA(300) DIMENSION CHX(200),DH(300),DUL(300),DUH(300),DHUIF(200),CCA(300) DIMENSION CHX5TH(200),CCHST2(200),HTDIF(200),ZTCIF(200),CT(300) CIMENSION AN(300),UCA(300) LIMENSIUM LUM LIMENSIUM AN( READ(3,33) AL КЕАЦ(4,33) КЕАЦ(5,33) ГСКНАТ (13) 14H M\_ 33 KEAD(4,45) NA(1),HY(1) FLEMAT(12X,14.0,2X,F4.0) 150%(1)=HY(1) Cu 10 1=2,AH 43 KEAD(+,4), END=101 HX(I),HY(I) HSUM(I)=HSUM(I-1)+HY(I) 10 LUNT INUL Continue init\_Ai=Histor(en)/Mn Lu 11 I=1,AH Un(i)=(HY(I)-HhEANJ\*0.424 Unx(I)=HX(I)\*0.2 Cun11(Un HEAD(5,+5) ZX(I),ZY(I) ZSUM(1)=ZY(I) Lu (Z (=1,2)/ 11 UL 12 1=c,12 NEAD(5,42,END=12) = 2X(1),2Y(1) ZSUM(1)=2SUM(1-1)+2Y(1)  $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text{SUM}(x) = 2 \text{SUM}(1 - 1) + 2 \text{Y}(1) \\ & \text{UNTIAUE} \\ & \text{ZMFAN} = 2 \text{SUM}(m_2) / M_2 \\ & \text{DU} = 13 \quad 1 = 1, M_2 \\ & \text{DU} = 13 \quad 1 = 1, M_2 \\ & \text{DU} = 1, M_2 \\ & \text{CAL}(1) = 2 \text{X}(1) - 2 \text{MEAN} + 3 \text{C} + 3 \text{C} \\ & \text{CAL}(1) = 2 \text{X}(1) - 2 \text{MEAN} + 3 \text{C} \\ & \text{CLAT}(1) = 2 \text{X}(1) - 2 \text{MEAN} + 3 \text{C} \\ & \text{CLAT}(1) = 2 \text{X}(1) - 2 \text{MEAN} + 3 \text{C} \\ & \text{CLAT}(1) = 2 \text{X}(1) - 2 \text{MEAN} + 3 \text{C} \\ & \text{CLAT}(1) = 2 \text{ME} \\ & \text{NEAD}(3, 34, \text{END} = 90) \quad \text{XX}(1), \text{TT}(1), \text{AA}(1) \\ & \text{FURMAT}(1) \text{FU} \\ & \text{CLAT}(1) \text{FU} \end{aligned}$ 12 13 **4**ذ 90 - CRMAILIA, FO.2,12X, F/.2,4X, FO.2 CCHTHUE IF (1)(ML) .GE. 1T(1)) GG TO 91 UO 90 J=1, ML I=ML-J+1 1(I)=TT(J) ALI)=AALJ 98 CUNTINUE GE TU 93 91 DE 97 J=1,0L I = J∃(\_)=[T(J)  $\begin{array}{c} 1(1) = 11(J) \\ A(1) = AA(J) \\ C_{i}(T) = AA(J) \\ C_{i}(T) = CZ(1) = 1(1) \\ 1ZF = CZ(1) = 1(1) \\ TH = CHX(1) = T(1) \\ ZT(1) = T(1) \\ HT(1) = T(1) \\ HT(1) = T(1) \\ LU = 14 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 19 \\ 15 \end{array}$ 57 53

• ••

č

с С

• ... •

```
ТA
                        20013=02x(13-12)

CONTINUE

00-15-1=2,Mh

10-(THF) 10,10,10

H1(1)=CHX(1)+Thr

H1(1)=CHX(1)-Thr
       ī4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                XIV
       16
      18
                          (JNTINUL
DEF(1)=JH(1)*J.4016+JZ(1)*0.9157
(A(1)=A(1)-JJF(1)
       ĪŠ
                           Ň=2
                         DU 23 I=2,ML

IF (T(I) .LL. T(I-I)) GU TU I

IF (T(I) .LL. T(I-I)) GU TU 53

GU TU 59
100
      <u>ن</u>4
                         k = k + 1
      53
                           17 (R. JT. M2) 60 16 55
60 TO 54
                     GU TÚ 54

L_DIF(K) = D_Z(K) - D_Z(K-1)

ZTDIF(K) = ZT(K) - ZT(K-1)

IF(ZTDIF(K) = ZT(K) - ZT(K-1)

IF(ZTDIF(K) = UDIF(K) / ZTDIF(K)

GU TU 79

UDNSTZ(K) = 0.0

UDZ(1) = (T(IJ - ZT(K)) * CUNSTZ(K) + DZ(K-1))

UDZ(1) = UDZ(1-1)

UTO ZO

IF(L = LE = ML) GU TU 1

CUNTINUL

J=2
                           ناټ
      55
       77
       74
            1
     55
     23
40
                        J=2
LU 24 1=2.ML
IF (T(1) .LU.
IF (HT(J) .LT.
                        60
                                                                                                                                                                            ) GC TO 2
GC TC 30
                                                                                                                            T(1-1))
T(1))
                         Ì⊦
Ģu
     31
                          Gu Tu 32
J=J+1
       30
                          ....
     32
                           \overline{U} \overline
                           GL TU 30
CUNSTI(J)=0.0
                           66
       10
                           \mathsf{COH}(J) \doteq \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{T}(J) - \mathsf{H}(J)) * \mathsf{COHSTH}(J) + \mathsf{OH}(J-1))
      ບປ
                        Ż
      50
24
       44
                           CA(I) = A(A) - UUF (A)
                           CUNTINUL
       51
                                          (11(4L) .0E. 11(1)) Gu
_8+_1=1,0L
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   ΤU
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          99
                             11-
                           しし
                            J=HL-1+1
                           \frac{U_{i}}{U_{i}} = \frac{U_{i}}{U_{i}} = \frac{U_{i}}{U_{i}} = \frac{U_{i}}{U_{i}}
                             AR(J)=A(I)
                           <del>بة</del> ت
        59
                                           86 I=1,ML
                            Ľυ
                             J=1
                             ČLĀ(J)=LA(I)
                             ιŤ(J)=T(j)
                            AN(J)=A(I)
LUNTINUL
        ხს
                           DL 30 I=1,ML

ULA(1)=AN(1)-ULA(1)

NETTE(0,71) XX(I),UT(1);ULA(I),AN(I),DUA(1)

IUEMAT(IA,13.2,1X,F5.0,2X,F6.2,2X,F0.2,2X,F7.2)
        31
        71
                            LUNTINUC
         d B
                                                        XF = AL
                             LALL
                             LALL
                              LÄLL
                              CALL
                               CALL
                             LALL
                             LALL
                               LIAN
                              LALL
                               LHL
                              LHLL
                               LALL
                              LALL
                               LALL
                               LÄLL
                                รโบคี
                                LIND
```

C C C				r se												ı
			* * *													
	•	TO ANU #PI	PLOT ( MUDEL	DBSER	VED	AND	CAL	ϲυͺ	ATE	) AN	IOM/	LIE	S.T	OPOGE	<b>КАРН</b> У	'. <b>•</b>
č				UULU						<b>≠</b> F	• W •	GRE	EN	1979	<b>\$</b>	•
		INP INP INP	PUT ON PUT, UN PUT ON	UNIT UNIT UNIT	3:4:5:	FIE Dat Dat	LD A D A F	DAT ESCI Rom	A RIBI MAC	I NG GN	AEF	R.I AL	PR	OF ILE		
. <b>C</b>		DIMENS	SION F	(300	),FA	(300	).c	X ( 3	00)	CA(	300	)),E	3×(1	00)•E	3Z (]1 0	<u>, o</u> ;
•			TNCMD	SET	ERR	MSG=	OFF	••1	4}						••••	-
•	33	FORMAT READ(4	(1X,I) (33)	3) 4					•						•	•
		DO 10 READ(3	I=1.N 3.34)	4X(I)	,H'(I	)					-	,		•	: <b>.</b> .	-
	34 10	FORMAT	1 ( 20X . I NUE	-8.2,	5X•F	5.1)	· •				•					•
. ·		REWIND	) 3 I=1.M		•				•							-
	35	READ(4	4.35) F	=X(I) 3•2•4	,FA(	1)			. ·							
•	11	CONTIN		)=100	) .	• • • •		•							,	
	4	READ (S	5.4.ENI (/)	0=100	)							•			••••	-
	7	READ(S	5.5.ER	2=6)	8X(K	) <u>,</u> BZ	(K)						•		• ••	
	5	FORMAT	「(1X+F) _	3.3,3	X,F8	•3)		•	• .		·· •;				•••	
	6	GO TO READ(S	7 5.9.ENI	)=100	)						• •					_
	8	FORMAT J=1									•••					•
	99 9	FORMA	5,9,EN [(1x.f	)=20, 10.0,	ERR=	20) F20.	CX(	J) •	CA( -	1)			•			
•		J=J+1 GD TO	99								•				•	
	. 20	KK=K-1 DO 13	l I=1•K	ĸ											~	
	13	BBZ(I CONTIN	)=-82( NUE	1)								•				
		CALL F	PAXIS( PAXIS(	1.0,6 1.0,6	•0•"	DIST	ANC	E-K -GA	M ¶ • • • MMA	-11.	•11· 3•4	•0•( •5•	).0, 90.0	0.0,3	l • 0 • 1 20 • 0 •	•
	•	+1000.0 Call F	0.1.0) Paxis(	1.0,4	.0."	км∙.	2.1	1.0	.0.	0.0	. Ö .	1.0	·1 • 0	)		
		CALL F	PAXIS( PSYMB()	1.0.1	•0•	HE I 0	ыт 2,3,	KM 0.0	••, ,-1	11,4 )	4 • Q	90	• • • -	3.0.1	.0,1	• •
		CALL F	PSYMB( PSYMB(	2.25	10.0	,-0.	2,'	CAL	CUL	ATEI ED!	) • • ( • 0 • (	0.0 0.8	• 10 <sub>.</sub> )		• • •	
• .		CALL F	PSYMB(	1.9.9	.8,- 0.2	-0.25	5,97 25,97	•0•	0 .0.	1)	•	-	· -		•	
		CALL F	PLIDES	(0.0, HX(1)	1000 H(1	) • 0 • 0	) • 0 •	100	0.0 1.0	•1•( )	4 و 0	•0)	•			• .
		CALL I	PETOFS PLINE(	(0.0 FX(1)	1000	• 0 • -	-200	0.0	•10	00. 0)	0 • 1	•0•	6.0)			·
•		CALL	PLINE( PLINES	CX (1)	+ CA	1).	J-1.	1,1	,3,	1.0	)	.0.	)			
	:	CALL	PLINE (	BX(1	.882	(1)	KK	1.0	• <b>O</b> •	1.0	)					
	100	STOP													•	
FILE			÷		•										•	
	FILE	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	C C F N C C TO C ANU C C ANU C C ANU C C ANU C C ANU C C ANU C C C C ANU C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	C * * * * MAGPLOI C * * * * C TO PLOT ( AND MUDEL C *PLOTSYS C INPUT ON C INPUT ON C INPUT ON C INPUT ON C INPUT ON C INPUT ON C DIMENSION F) +H(300).HX(30 CALL FINCMO READ(3.33) N 33 FORMAT(1X,13 READ(4.33) N 33 FORMAT(1X,13 READ(4.33) N 34 FORMAT(20X,1 10 CONTINUE REWIND 3 DO 10 I=1.M READ(3.34) N 34 FORMAT(20X,1 10 CONTINUE REWIND 3 DO 11 I=1.M READ(3.34) N 35 FORMAT(1X,F3 10 CONTINUE READ(5.4.END 4 FORMAT(20X,F3 11 CONTINUE READ(5.4.END 4 FORMAT(1X,F3 5 FORMAT(1X,F3 5 FORMAT(1X,F3 K=1 7 READ(5.5,FRM 5 FORMAT(1X,F3 READ(5.5,FRM 5 FO	C * * * * C * MAGPLOT * * * * * C TO PLOT OBSER AND MUDELS C *PLOTSYS USED C INPUT ON UNIT C INTINUE C ALL PAXIS(1.0.4 C ALL PSYMB(2.25) C ALL PSYMB(2.25) C ALL PLINE(FX(1) C A	C * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	C **** C * MAGPLOT * * * ** C TO PLOT OBSERVED AND C AND MUDELS C *PLOTSYS USED C INPUT ON UNIT 3 : FIE C INPUT ON UNIT 4 : DAT C INPUT ON UNIT 5 : DAT C INTIVE READ(3.34) HX(I).H(I) 35 FORMAT(1X.F8.2.4X.F8.2) 11 CONTINUE READ(5.4.END=100) 4 FORMAT(1X.F8.2.4X.F8.2) 11 CONTINUE READ(5.5.ERR=6) BX(K).BZ 5 FORMAT(1X.F8.3.3X.F8.3) K=K+1 G IT 0 7 6 READ(5.9.END=100) 8 FORMAT(1X.F10.0.12X.F20. J=11 G IT 0 7 6 READ(5.9.END=20.ERR=20) 9 FORMAT(1X.F10.0.12X.F20. J=11 G IT 0 99 20 KK=K=1 D I3 I=1.KK BBZ(I)=-BZ(I) 13 CONTINUE C ALL PAXIS(1.0.6.0.*DIST C ALL PAXIS(1.0.6.0.*AND *1000.0.1.0] C ALL PAXIS(1.0.10+EIC C ALL PAXIS(1.0.10+EIC C ALL PSYMB(2.25.100.00.2 C ALL PSYMB(2.25.100.30.2 C ALL PLINE(FX(I), HAI), M C ALL PLINE(FX(I), HAI), M C ALL PLINE(FX(I), HAI), M C ALL PLINE(FX(I), HAI), M C ALL PLINE(FX(I), HBZ(I),	C * * * * C * * * * C TO PLOT OBSERVED AND CAL AND MODELS C *PLOTSYS USED C INPUT ON UNIT 3 : FIELD C INPUT ON UNIT 4 : DATA D INPUT ON UNIT 5 : DATA F C DIMENSION FX(300),FA(300),C +H(300),HX(300),BB2(300) CAL FTNCMO('SET ERRMSG=OFF READ(3,33) N 33 FORMAT(1X,13) READ(4,33,34) HX(1),H(1) 34 FORMAT(1X,13) READ(3,34) HX(1),H(1) 34 FORMAT(20X,F8.2,5X,F5.1) 10 CONTINUE REWIND 3 DO 11 I=1,M READ(3,34) HX(1),FA(1) 35 FORMAT(1X,F8.2,4X,F8.2) 11 CONTINUE REWIND 3 DO 11 I=1,M READ(5,5,ERR=6) BX(K),BZ(K) 5 FORMAT(1X,F8.3,3X,F8.3) K=K+1 C READ(5,9,END=100) 4 FORMAT(1X,F10.0,12X,F20.0) J=1 99 READ(5,9,END=20,ERR=20) CX( 9 FORMAT(1X,F10.0,0,0,0,0,0) 4 FORMAT(1X,F10.0,0,0,0,0,0) 4 FORMAT(1X,F10.0,0,0,0,0,0,0) 4 FORMAT(1X,F10.0,0,0,0,0,0,0) 5 CALL PAXIS(1.0,6.0,'ANOMALY *1000.0,1.0) CALL PAXIS(1.0,6.0,'ANOMALY *1000.0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	C * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	C **** C **** C **** C TO PLOT OBSERVED AND CALCULATE( AND MDDELS C AND MDDELS C *PLOTSYS USED C INPUT ON UNIT 3 : FIELD DATA INPUT ON UNIT 5 : DATA DESCRIA INPUT ON UNIT 5 : DATA FROM MAC C INPUT ON UNIT 5 : DATA FROM MAC C INTACL STATES STAT	<pre>C</pre>	<pre>C</pre>	<pre>C</pre>	<pre>C</pre>	<pre>C</pre>	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

;

1

.

.

•

•

D .

j.

•

.

. ••

.

(

•

XV

.

.

#### APPENDIX C

# Data describing the ground and aerial profiles

# Data describing the ground traverse along line 1

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly:
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
	77 $90b$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $894$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $890$ $77$ $1047$ $77$ $1047$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ $1044$ $77$ <t< td=""><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c} 0 &amp; 0 \\ 349 &amp; 00 \\ 380 &amp; 00 \\ 390 &amp; 00 \\ 410 &amp; 0</math></td><td></td><td>44444444444444444444444444444444444444</td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c} 112456659002732901424566390134555744567765550011425530444444\\ -2233061235400273290134555445222533555455902645557655546557655541555541555541555546557755554655775555455554</math></td></t<>	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 349 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 380 & 00 \\ 390 & 00 \\ 410 & 0$		44444444444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{c} 112456659002732901424566390134555744567765550011425530444444\\ -2233061235400273290134555445222533555455902645557655546557655541555541555541555546557755554655775555455554$

XVII.

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origim-metres	metres	gamma	gamud
	77 $1026$ $77$ $1025$ $77$ $1025$ $77$ $1025$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1024$ $77$ $1027$ $77$ $1016$ $77$ $1015$ $77$ $1016$ $77$ $1016$ $77$ $1017$ $77$ $1016$ $77$ $1016$ $77$ $1007$ $77$ $1006$ $77$ $1007$ $77$ $1006$ $77$ $1007$	$\begin{array}{l} 50\\ 4& 5\\ 7& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0\\ 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& 0& $	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{l} 4555566666677000000000000000000000000000$

. Line 1 contd.

1

$ \begin{array}{c} c c (\lambda_{1}) + 0 c (\lambda_{2}) + 0 c (\lambda_{$	<b>38.1</b> 2011年110月11日10月11日1000日の100月10日の100日の100日の11000日の1111日からの1000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000	<b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>Antipactor</b> <b>A</b>	ゆやべの「Tアダダサササダのやしとなりアダサダダのややからりしてアアアダダダムの「TTアダダササダダウム」というない、「TTアダダダム、10000000000000000000000000000000000	
--	---	--------------------------------------	--	---	--

.

Line 1 contd.

÷

XIX

Line 1 contd.

.

.

۱	е	T.	co	nt	a	•	
				_	_		

Date T	ime D:	istance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
min	utes oj	rigin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	$\begin{array}{c} 705 \cdot 00\\ 711 \cdot 00\\$		44444444444444444444444444444444444444	7703570310955245775110455162440854094056427466507650222675 222455245010445755062245765222951604427465527562225 2224554452004467555062245444516298100444154455275622261 222455225555444655222951604451227652225522255220215 2224554455207555062246510552392155200442140105225637560222615 22245522555522211

:

÷

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
$\begin{array}{c} 17 & 10 & 77 \\ 17 & 10 & 77 \\ 20 & 10 & 77 \\$	99980007290705301009233379140927940277001059 0007290705301009233379140900000000007773	$\begin{array}{c} 995.00\\ 1010.00\\ 1050.00\\ 1050.00\\ 1050.00\\ 1050.00\\ 1050.00\\ 100.00\\ 1720.00\\ 1720.00\\ 1725.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1740.00\\ 1815.00\\ 1815.00\\ 1815.00\\ 1815.00\\ 285.00\\ 1815.00\\ 285.00\\ 295.$	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	$\begin{array}{c} +7000000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\begin{array}{c} -90.15\\ -90.01\\ -90.02\\ -92.92\\ -100.155\\ -92.92\\ -1007.94\\ -97.95\\ -1007.95\\ -1007.95\\ -1007.95\\ -1007.95\\ -1007.95\\ -1109.05\\ -1007.95\\ -$

XXI;

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma.	gamma.
	5579040565555555555555555555555555555555555	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ 70.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 340.00 \\ 400.00 \\ 510.00 \\ 510.00 \\ 500.00 \\ 500.00 \\ 100$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	44474444444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{c} 17795755523420554552342024555254447545507755525555555555555547577779575555555555$

XXII.

.

Remmer	Common	sərtəm	вэттэт-піціто	səjnurm	
<pre>% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000	、つぐぐぐサキぐぐっ アモモロ O C C C P P P S S S F F F C Z P T T O Z P P S C Z T T O O C C P P Z J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	
57777777777777777777777777777777777777		0.00 0.00	00 • 4297 02 • 9297 00 • 9297 00 • 9297 00 • 9297 00 • 93997 00 • 93997 00 • 93997 00 • 93997 00 • 9397 00 • 9397 00 • 9397 00 • 9397 00 • 9397 00 • 9397	T 0 0 5 6 0 9 1 9 1 9 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1	

i

XXIII.

.

•

.

.

Date	9	Time minutes	Distance from origin-metres	Height metres	Reading gamma	Anomaly gamma
11111111111111111111111111111111111111	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	シッテシアシッシングシングシングシングラングシングラングシングラングシングラングシングラングラングラングラングラングラングラングラングラングラングラングラング	$\begin{array}{c} 2 3 3 4 \cdot 0 0 \\ 2 3 3 2 \cdot 4 0 \\ 2 3 3 2 \cdot 0 0 \\ 2 3 3 2 \cdot 0 0 \\ 2 3 3 2 \cdot 0 0 \\ 2 3 5 0 \cdot 3 0 0 \\ 2 3 5 0 \cdot 3 0 0 \\ 2 3 5 0 \cdot 3 0 0 \\ 2 3 5 0 \cdot 3 0 0 \\ 2 3 5 0 \cdot 2 0 0 \\ 2 5 5 0 \cdot 0 0 \\ 2 5 5 0 \cdot 0 0 \\ 2 5 0 \\ 2 5 0 0 \\ 2 5 0 \\ 2 5 0 0 \\ 2 5 0 \\ 2 5 0 0 \\ 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 &$	24.554 367.554 367.557 12.517.57 12.517.57 35.00 12.517.57
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	597 598 598 598 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	2925.00 2924.40 2925.80 2927.20 2930.00 2930.00 2931.40 2932.00 2934.20 2939.60 2939.60 2934.20 2941.20 2941.20 2944.60 2944.60 2944.60 2944.60 2944.60 2944.60	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1012 1013 1014 1015 1015 1015 1017 1017 1010 1019	2955.20 2920.00 2920.00 2929.20 2974.00 2902.20 3011.20 3011.20 301.20 30.20 302.20	390.0 397.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 300.0 300.00000000	4/3/7 4/225 4/255 4/254 4/257 4/257 4/257 4/257 4/257 4/257 4/257	5,25 57,55 27,55 20,55 22,45 19,45 19,45 19,10 27,19 27,19 27,19 27,10 5,01

•

## Line 2 cont d.

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
	9001225412345676961444556637669911776900112233445567660235577777777777777777777777777777777777	$\begin{array}{c} 3055.20\\ 3005.20\\ 3005.20\\ 3005.20\\ 3005.20\\ 3007.20\\ 3007.20\\ 3007.20\\ 3105.00\\ 3105.00\\ 31105.00\\ 31174.00\\ 31174.00\\ 31174.00\\ 31155.00\\ 31155.00\\$	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	50004000000000000000000000000000000000

XXV.

#### Line 2 contd.

Date	Time minutes	Distance from origin -metres	Height metres	Reading gamma	Anomaly gamma
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	700 702 737 730 7390 7093 7093 7093 7093 7093 7	3390.00 5445.00 355.00 355.00 3005.00 3740.00 5760.00 3790.00 5970.00 4010.00	330.0 320.0 307.0 234.0 235.0 237.0 227.0 227.0 225.0 225.0 225.0 225.0 253.0	4 15 16 15 16 4 7 05 5 7 5 5 7 4 7 7 5 5 6 0 7 7 5 6 0 9 4 7 7 5 6 0 9 4 7 7 4 0 9 4 7 7 4 0 9 4 7 7 5 6 0 5 9 5 0 5 9 5 0 5 9 5 0 5 9 9 0 0 9 9 0 5 9 9 0 0 9 9 0 5 9 9 0 0 9 9 0 9 9 0 0 9 9 0 9 9 0 9 9 0 9 9 0 0 9 9 9 0 9 0 9 9 0 9 9 0 9 9 9 0 9 9 0 9 9 9 0 9 9 9 9 0 9	-2.2.00 $-103.91$ $-164.42$ $-202.75$ $-401.15$ $-450.34$ $-254.57$ $-152.00$ $-91.05$ $-59.00$ $-510.35$ $-200.55$
• •					
	· · ·	· · · · ·		-	
•					
÷					
				· ·	
'					
	·	:			
•					• 
	· .	•		· .	

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
00000000000000000000000000000000000000		$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 170 & 00 \\ 170 & 00 \\ 170 & 00 \\ 170 & 00 \\ 180 & 0$	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	12201450777502447000951937224419303219220009597022577637914900 

ł

ł

XXVII.

Line 3 contd.

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
		$\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{c}$

• • •

2

Line 3 contd.

	Date	Time minutes	Distance from origin-metres	Height metres	Reading gamma	Anomaly gamma ,
-	-		እ. <b>ፈረፍረ</b> (ነገ	202 0	47 1:4	-467 14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			$ \begin{array}{c} 4294.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4295.00\\ 4305.00\\ 4305.00\\ 4305.00\\ 4307.00\\ 4307.00\\ 4307.00\\ 4307.00\\ 4311.00\\ 4351.00\\ 50.4317.00\\ 50.4317.00\\ 50.4357.00\\ 50.00\\ 50.4357.00\\ 50.00\\ 50.00\\ 50.4357.00\\ 50.0$	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	444+4444444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{c} -4 \\ -5 \\ -5 \\ -5 \\ -5 \\ -5 \\ -5 \\ -5 \\$

Line 3 contd.

٠

Date		Time	Distance Trom	Height	Reading	Anomaly
		minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
		minutes minutes 777777777777777777777777777777777777	$\begin{array}{c} \text{bischeres} \\ \text{origin-metres} \\ \text{origin-metres} \\ \begin{array}{c} 4 & 4394.00 \\ 4 & 4394.50 \\ 3 & 4395.00 \\ 3 & 4395.00 \\ 9 & 4395.00 \\ 7 & 4395.00 \\ 7 & 4395.00 \\ 7 & 4395.00 \\ 7 & 4395.00 \\ 7 & 4400.00 \\ 5 & 4400.00 \\ 5 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4405.00 \\ 5 & 4407.00 \\ 1 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4407.00 \\ 1 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4407.00 \\ 1 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4407.00 \\ 1 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4407.00 \\ 1 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 405.00 \\ 5 & 4400.00 \\ 1 & 4405.00 \\ 4 & 4412.00 \\ 4 & 4412.00 \\ 4 & 4412.00 \\ 4 & 4412.00 \\ 4 & 4412.00 \\ 5 & 5340.00 \\ 4 & 4520.00 \\ 4 & 4520.00 \\ 5 & 5340.00 \\ 6$	netres 000000000000000000000000000000000000	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	gamma 33332-50001 12200332-500001 122005300001 122005300001 122005300001 12200500001 12200500001 12200500001 122005000000000000000000000000000000000

descripting the ground traverse along line 4

'IXXX

. . . .

Data

.

Date	T: min	ime utes	Dista: origin	nce from -metres	Height	t Rea 5 gas	ding mma	Anomaly gamma
				•.				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77 77 77 77	639 639 640	534 535 535	7.00 2.00 7.00	392.0 291.0 390.0	4/17 4776 4770	5 2 7	-41.00 -34.00 -29.71
	77 77	540 540 44	5307 530 530	2.00 7.00 7.00	0.900 0.000 0.700	4775	ز ز د	-25.14 -25.77
$\begin{array}{ccc} 11 & 10 \\ 11 & 10 \\ \end{array}$	77 77	041 042	57	7.00 2.00	300.U 202.U	4777 4777	ა ყ	-20.02
	77 77 77	842 843 843	533 535, 540	7.00 L.00 2.00	304.0	4775	5	-51.00 -50.51
	77	049 044	541. 541.	2.00	302.0 301.0	4775	د د	-64.02
14 10 14 10	17 77 77	050 057	544 544	U.UU 5.JU	360.0	4702 4775	5	CC・00 マロ・ビビー
14 10 14 10 14 10		010 010 110	545	5.00	ن 77. ن 75.0	4774	1 4	-61.25 -50.75
14 10 14 10	77. 77.	000 001	547 547	5.00 J.JO	0.575 0.371.0	4114 4112	<b>い</b>	-46.13
14 10 14 10 14 10	17 77 77	552 563 564	548 548	0.00 5.00	300.0	4709 4709	サ シ シ	-103.00
14 10 14 10 14 10	77 77	005	549 549 549	0.J0 2.C0	357.U 352.U	4770	ら り な	-102.41
14 10 14 10 14 10	17 77 77	555 555	- 550) 551	5.JU J.JU	344.J	4772	້ວ ບ	-75.04 -75.04
14 10 14 10 14 10	77 77 77	070 071 273	551 5520 5520	5.00	41.0 543.0	4775 4774 4774	2 0	-76.58
14 IU 14 IU	77 77	374 376	554( 555)	0.00 0.00 0.00	540.0 541.0	4775	υ ε	-54.59
14 10 14 10 16 10	77 77 77	と77 と7す さ86	550 5571	0.00 0.00	- 349.0 - 320.0 - 352.0	4775 4775 4775	່ 7 ບ	ーッタックイ ージン・イム ージン・イム
$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77 77	300 300 301	500	0.00 0.00	2220 22300 2520	4776	23	-35.75
14 10 14 10 14 10	77 77 77 77	502 809 	501) 502	し。こし し。こし し、こし	0.02E 0.02E	4774	3 U	-34.50 -02.23
$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77 77	091 092	505	0.00 0.00	301.0 301.0	4771	ý Q	-01.05 -05.42
$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77 17 77	593 094 895	500 507	し。JU し。JU し、JU	204.0 200.0 301.0	4770 4770 4771	7 '2 5	-54.33 -92.54 -52.75
14 10 14 10		696 097	515		369.0	4775 4774		-05.04
14 10 14 10 14 10	$\frac{77}{77}$	びずし ゲレエ として	572) 572) 572)	0.00 0.00 0.00	ن. ۱۲۵ ۱۵، ۱۳۵ ۱۵، ۱۳۵	4//3 4/30 4//3	U.2 5	
14 10 14 13	17 11	504 504	574		310.0	4130	4	1.02
14 10 14 10 14 10	77 77 77	905 966 967	575 576 576	0.20 2.40 9.20	372.0	4777 4777 4770	۲ ح	-22 - 21 -25 - 16 -21 - 65
14 IU 14 IU	17 11	9.J7 9.J2	575	40 40 90	300.0 300.0	4701	7 3	-17.00
14 IU 14 IU	() 77	910 911	503. 504 i	と。40 し。50	ういら・い ろいう・0	4 ( ひつ ち ( ひう	ን 4	ンビ・タイ ミン・チン

٠, .

Line 4 contd.

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
	$\begin{array}{c} 777\\ 913\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914$	$\begin{array}{l} 565.40\\ 5675.60\\ 5675.60\\ 5675.60\\ 5972.50\\ 5972.50\\ 5972.50\\ 5972.50\\ 5972.50\\ 5972.50\\ 5972.50\\ 5955.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5005.50\\ 5105.50\\ 5125.5$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	, $6271199321357926601064656999036665665665666791659166679129592660106465699903667656916651666279956601667466569990366765667656676566765667656676566765667$

XXXIV

• • • •

:

### Line 4 contd.

•

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
300100777777777777777777777777777777777		origin-metres 7295.00 7301.50 7304.00 7309.00 7319.00 7355.00 7375.00 7450.00 7450.00 7550.00 7550.00 7565.00 7565.00 7570.00 805.00 7570.00 8290.00 8400.0	000000000000000000000000000000000000	gama 444777777777777777777777777777777777	gamma -5.37.300 -4.15.300 -4.00.351 -5.37.300 -4.17.400.351 -3.2900.024 -1.02.021.222 -2.221.222 -2.2200.004 -1.025.00.554 -2.205.00.555 -2.

Data describing the ground traverse along Line 5

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Date	Time minutes	Distance from origin-metres	Height metres	Reading gamma	Anomaly gamma
$-1(r_{1})(r_{1})(r_{2}) = -1(r_{2})(r_{1})(r_{2})(r_{1})(r_{2})$		777795001347785001000000000000000000000000000000000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 95.00\\ 155.00\\ 210.00\\ 295.00\\ 360.00\\ 430.00\\ 430.00\\ 430.00\\ 430.00\\ 430.00\\ 510.00\\ 510.00\\ 510.00\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1055.50\\ 1112.00\\ 1137.00\\ 1137.00\\ 1137.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1505.00\\ 1555.$	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\begin{array}{l} 444444444444444444444444444444444444$	$\begin{array}{c} -461.\\ -722.\\ -464.\\ -465.\\ -464.\\ -44.\\ -44.\\ -44.\\ -44.\\ -44.\\ -44.\\ -44.\\ -4.\\ -$

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

Line 5 contd.

.

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
$\begin{array}{c} 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 777$	975975779797979797979797999975999975999999	1540.00 $1541.00$ $1541.00$ $1542.00$ $1542.00$ $1542.00$ $1542.00$ $1544.00$ $1544.00$ $1544.00$ $1544.00$ $1545.00$ $1545.00$ $1545.00$ $1545.00$ $1555.00$ $1555.00$ $1556.00$ $15571.00$ $15572.00$ $15572.00$ $15582$	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	7566135766500590146678530740684644444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{c} -220 \cdot 11 \\ -22077 \cdot 100 \\ -22077 \cdot 100 \\ -2201 \cdot 433 \\ -2201 \cdot 433 \\ -2201 \cdot 433 \\ -2201 \cdot 443 \\ -201 \cdot 444 \\ -201 \cdot 443 \\ -201 \cdot 444 \\ -201 \cdot 444$

!<u>.</u>:

۰.

Line 5 contd.

Date	)ate Time Distance from		Date Time Distance from Height Readi		Reading	ding Anomaly	
	minutes origin-metres		minutes origin-metres metres gamm		gamma	mma gamma	
Date         Opened and an an an and an	Time minutes 77 994 995 77 995 77 995 77 995 77 995 77 995 77 995 77 995 1000 995 1000 995 77 995 77 995 1000 995 77 995 1000 995 77 995 1000 995 77 1000 92 77 77 1000 92 77 77 1000 92 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	Distance from origin-metres 1776.00 1796.00 1815.00 1815.00 1856.00 1856.00 1966.00 2046.00 2150.00 2550.00 2405.00 2405.00 2405.00 2405.00 2405.00 2405.00 2405.00 2405.00 2550.00 3030.00 3030.00 3030.00 3555.00 3550.00 3500.00 3500.00 3500.00 3500.0	Heirs 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Reading gamma $925129997095679997777777777777777777777777777$	Anomaly gamma -753.197 -133.107 -1334.0759 -1354.0759 -1458.5917 -15558.977 -15558.977 -15558.977 -2255.199 -22255.007 -22255.007 -22255.007 -22255.007 -22255.007 -22255.007 -22255.007 -22255.007 -2245.007 -2255.000 -2552.000 -2		

LU LU

{

• • .

### Data describing the traverse along Line 6

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma
11111111111111111111111111111111111111	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 100 & 00 \\ 200 & 00 \\ 350 & 00 \\ 350 & 00 \\ 580 & 00 \\ 580 & 00 \\ 580 & 00 \\ 580 & 00 \\ 580 & 00 \\ 580 & 00 \\ 590 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 1050 & 00 \\ 200$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	$\begin{array}{c} 34\\ -32\\ 32\\ -32\\ 32\\ -32\\ 32\\ -32\\ 32\\ -32\\ -$

Line 6 contd.

Date	Time	Distance from	Height	Reading	Anomaly	
	minutes	origin-metres	metres	gamma	gamma	
11111111111111111111111111111111111111	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 471 5 \cdot 00 \\ 472 0 \cdot 00 \\ 473 5 \cdot 00 \\ 473 5 \cdot 00 \\ 473 5 \cdot 00 \\ 474 5 \cdot 00 \\ 451 0 \cdot 00 \\ 451 0 \cdot 00 \\ 451 0 \cdot 00 \\ 452 0 \cdot 00 \\ 453 0 \cdot 00 \\ 501 0 \cdot 00 \\ 504 0 \cdot 00 \\ 504 0 \cdot 00 \\ 515 0 \cdot 00 \\ 538 2 \cdot 00 \\ 548 0 \cdot 00 \\ 554 0 \cdot 00 \\ 554 0 \cdot 00 \\ 554 0 \cdot 00 \\ 555 0 \cdot 00 $	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	5764490007917945121355449453071511052005200701719310 2514820792478150235575005200009999990011111111111111111111111	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5$	

. . ;

	•	
04444444444444444444444444444444444444	Distance from origin-metres 0.0 102.4 256.0 448.0 563.2 665.6 716.8 742.4 768.0 793.6 832.0 644.8 832.0 644.8 833.2 921.6 972.8 998.4 1024.0 1049.6 1049.6 1049.6 1049.6 1049.6 1049.6 1049.6 1241.6 1252.8 1318.4 1356.8 1433.6 1561.6 1702.4 1779.2 1830.4 1868.6 1894.4 1920.0 1945.6 1596.8 2086.4 2176.0 2252.8 2432.0 2508.8 2585.6 2739.2 2841.6 2931.2 3123.2 3430.4	Anomaly gamma -140 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -120 -100 -200 -100 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -200 -100 -200 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100 -200 -100

NE

LINE 2 Data describing the aerial traverse.

2

	Dist orig	ance from in-metres	Anomaly gamma	· . ·	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
123456769012345678901224567890123456789012345678901234567890122456789012245678901234567890123456789012	FILE	0.0 1546.40 1546.40 1222222222222222222222222222222222222	$\begin{array}{c} -38\\ -50\\ -50\\ -50\\ -60\\ -70\\ -30\\ -100\\ -150\\ -150\\ -150\\ -150\\ -150\\ -150\\ -150\\ -150\\ -160\\ -780\\ -780\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1200\\ -1100\\ -1100\\ -1100\\ -1100\\ -1150\\ -1700\\ -1500\\ -55\end{array}$		
	J			•	· · ·

ENE

2

N.

	Distance origin-me	from.	Anomaly gamma
12345678501234567850123456785012345678501 11111111222222288883333335440 ND	80 1979 28200 2820 2820 2820 2820 2820 2820 2820 2820 2820 2820 2		$\begin{array}{c} -420\\ -300\\ -400\\ -300\\ -500\\ -5500\\ -5500\\ -5500\\ -5500\\ -5500\\ -1100\\ -11100\\ -11200\\ -11000\\ -11200\\ -1100\\ -1100\\ -1100\\ -11000\\ -11000\\ -11000\\ -1100\\ -1100\\ -110$

::

XXXXII

#### LINE 4 Data describing the aerial traverse

END

ь 1 1

Distance from	Anoraly	an 1 11.	····	• • • • • • • • • •
origin-metres	gamma			· · ·
209.2	-49			
4053 -2	-30	•	ъ	
5333-2 5334-4	- 50			
5440.4 5525.2	-70			
5570.4	-90 -100			· · ·
5678-8	-110			
5766.4 5806.8	-130		•	. ·
5832.4	-150 ·			
5934-8 5973-2	-140 -130		· .	
6024 •4 6114 •0	-120			
0242.0	-120	· .	•	
6370.0	-130 -140			
0421.2	-150 -200			
6562.0 6613.2	-250 00 د-			· · · ·
6677.2 6754.0	-350 -400			
6850.4 6958.8	-400 -350	•		· · ·
7010.0 7085.8	-300 -250			
7112.4	-240 -230			
7240.4 7368.4	-220 -210		<b></b> .	
7496.4 7550.0	-210			
7654-8	-210		· ·	
8034.0	-150			
6290.0 8443 6	-50			• •
8546 .U 8622 -R	50 100		• •	
8661.2	110			· ·
8840-4	130			
8891.6 9006.8	150 ·			
9314.0 9749.2	170	•	•	
9902-8 10018-0	150			
10146.0	130	•		
10517.2	110			
LE				· · ·
				· · · · · ·
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

**h** .

XXXXIII

.....

#### LINE 5 Data describing the aerial traverse

				·
		Dis ori	tance from gin-metres	Anomaly gamma
ENC	<b>フݡ᠀ᡥ₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₺₺₺₺₺₺₺₺₺₺</b> ₺₺₳₺₽₺₺₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢₢	FILE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8\\ 0.8\\ 0.0\\ 0.5\\ 0.0\\ 0.5\\ 0.0\\ 0.5\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$	

•

XXXXIV

. . .

1 0.0 - 30 3 1300-4 - 40 4 1695-2 - 30 6 1654-0 - 50 7 1777-2 - 40 8 1654-0 - 50 9 1905-2 - 60 9 1905-2 - 60 10 2053-8 - 70 11 2136-8 - 40 13 2554-6 - 100 14 2266-0 - 110 15 2046-4 - 120 16 2442-8 - 150 16 2442-8 - 150 16 2442-8 - 150 20 2570-4 - 170 21 2670-4 - 100 22 23 2647-0 - 200 24 2570-4 - 100 25 2614-0 - 200 24 2570-4 - 150 25 2614-0 - 200 24 2570-4 - 100 25 3210-0 - 230 26 2442-0 - 300 27 3210-0 - 200 31 310-0 - 150 32 32657-8 - 100 34 5458-8 - 100 34 5468-8 - 100 34 3468-8 - 100 34 5468-8 - 100 35 4458-8 - 100 34 5468-8 - 100 34 5468-8 - 100 34 5468-8 - 100 35 55 50 56 5642-8 220 57 55570-8 300 46 4022-8 200 56 5642-8 220 57 5591-8 100 56 5642-8 220 57 5591-8 100 58 5732-4 100 59 561-8 130 59 561-8 130 50 561-8 100 50 561-8 1		• •	Distance from origin-metres	Anoma. <sup>T</sup> y	<b>I.</b>	· ·		 	·
60         6270.0         120           67         6410.8         110           68         6602.0         100           65         6820.4         90           70         7166.0         80		\$\$\$99999555555555555555555555555555555	Distance from origin-metres 0.0 932.4 1380.4 1495.6 1521.2 1649.2 1777.2 1654.00 1905.2 2053.8 2186.8 2263.6 2514.6 2564.6 2564.6 2545.2 2570.8 2596.4 2622.0 2647.6 2750.0 2814.0 2942.0 3647.6 2750.0 2814.0 3513.0 3513.0 3513.0 3594.8 3658.8 3761.2 3812.4 3978.8 4286.0 46874.8 4721.2 4798.0 45770.6 5207.6 5200.6 5200.6 5200.6 5	Anome.Ty gamma: -30 -30 -400 -400 -400 -400 -400 -400 -400 -400 -400 -400 -1400 -1200					
	NC.	65 60 67 68 70 0F F	6167.6 6270.0 6410.8 6602.3 6820.4 7166.0 ILE	140 130 120 110 100 90 80		cham Univ SCIENCE	ersia		•