Durham E-Theses

The electrification of water drops on freezing or belting at terminal velocity in air

Rogers, L. N.

How to cite:

Rogers, L. N. (1967) *The electrification of water drops on freezing or belting at terminal velocity in air*, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/8738/

THE ELECTRIFICATION OF WATER DROPS

ON FREEZING OR MELTING AT TERMINAL

VELOCITY IN AIR.

by

L. N. ROGERS, B.Sc.

Presented in Candidature for the

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the University of Durham.

September, 1967.

THE ELECTRIFICATION OF WATER DROPS ON FREEZING OR MELTING AT TERMINAL VELOCITY IN AIR.

ABSTRACT.

Measurements on the electrification on freezing of individual water drops of diameter between 3 and 5 mm supported by an air stream have shown that the freezing behaviour of the drops is temperature-dependent. Above $-10°$ C the freezing progressed uniformly throughout the drop from a single point. Below $-10°$ C the outer surface of the drop froze rapidly with the exception of a small area at the top of the drop. Freezing then progressed uniformly from the base of the drop upwards. No shattering or electrification of the drops was observed during their freezing. These results are contrasted with those of previous workers who observed the freezing of drops suspended on fibres.

Measurements of the electrification of ice spheres supported by an air stream have indicated that the sign of the charge acquired by the spheres due to riming is temperature-dependent. When the sphere is rimed by droplets at temperatures above $-10°$ C it acquires a negative charge, and when the riming droplets are at temperatures below $-10°$ C the ice sphere acquires a

positive charge.   An explanation is proposed for this effect in terms of the observed freezing behaviour of individual water drops.   It is suggested that this effect could lead to thunderstorm electrification of the observed polarity.

Measurements on the electrification of melting ice spheres supported by an air stream indicated that the sign of the charge acquired by the spheres is dependent on whether or not water is flung off from the sphere during melting.   If no water was flung off the charge was positive, while if water was flung off the charge was negative.   This may explain the discrepancies between the laboratory and field measurements of MacCready and Proudfit.

CONTENTS

CONTENTS (continued)

CONTENTS   (continued)

CONTENTS   (continued)

CHAPTER 1.

THE THUNDERSTORM.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In attempting to portray the various aspects of
a thunderstorm one is necessarily cautious in presenting
a picture of a typical thunderstorm.   This is because
of the variation of the characteristics of thunderstorms
over a wide range.   In attempting to explain the
electrification of a thunderstorm the theorist should
seek a mechanism which will cover the whole range of
storms.

2. METEOROLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL ASPECTS OF A TYPICAL THUNDERSTORM.

The most intensive study of cumulonimbus clouds
which developed into thunderstorms has been described
by Byers and Braham (1949).   Radar and aircraft
measurements showed a cumulonimbus cloud to consist of
one or more identifiable units or cells, which were
local regions of pronounced vertical velocity,
precipitation and electrical activity.   These cells
range in size from 0.5 km to 10 km in horizontal extent.
Küttner (1950) has suggested the existence of sub-cells

of about 100 m horizontal diameter.   Each cell has
a life cycle which may be divided into three stages,
these being growth, maturity and decay.   During the
mature stage of the cell, which lasts from 15 to 20
minutes, there is a strong central updraught, typically
of 10 m sec^{-1} but sometimes as high as 50 m sec^{-1} ,
which may display a pulse-like nature (Workman and
Holzer 1942).   The columnar updraught is surrounded
by a strong downdraught.   Intense precipitation and
electrical activity occur during the mature stage.
Hail falls from the regions of the cell where the
updraught is too weak to support it and may reach the
ground either as hail or rain.   In tropical thunder-
storms very large hailstones may be formed by continued
recirculation through the cell; elsewhere, however,
the usual form is as small hail and soft hail with
rounded or conical shapes and from 4 to 6 mm across.
Precipitation as hail is usually intense and may reach
5 cm hr^{-1} (Latham & Mason 1961).   During the later
stages of the mature period the hail may give way to
snow.

Also characteristic of a typical thunderstorm
are its great vertical depth and the very wide temperature
range spanned.   The bases are usually warmer than 0° C

and the tops usually extend beyond the -34° C. isotherm (Jones 1950) and may occasionally extend into the tropopause. The cloud top is characterised by an anvil-shaped mass of ice crystals which has the diffuse outline of cirrus cloud.

Lightning activity has been reported from clouds which have a different physical appearance from the above picture and whose tops were warmer than 0° C (Moore 1965). Although detailed observations of this type of activity are lacking the many reported cases suggest that it is a real effect.

The particular sizes and concentrations of the cloud particles found in a thundercloud are characteristic and they have been involved in various theories proposed to explain the electrification of the cloud. The size spectra of ice crystals in thunderclouds was measured by Jones (1960) who found the most common to be between 80 and 175μ in diameter and present in concentrations of 10^5 m^{-3}. Larger crystals were observed up to 5 mm., but the concentration fell off rapidly with increasing size and the larger crystals were only found near the 0° C isotherm. The droplet size spectra is less well documented, probably because of the greater difficulties involved in sampling, but the larger drop sizes are more

numerous than in other types of cloud.   Latham and
Mason (1961b) quote the Meteorological Research
Flight findings that droplets of diameter greater than
$50\,\mu$ are present in concentrations of at least 10^6 m^{-3}.

3.   ELECTRICAL ASPECTS OF A TYPICAL THUNDERSTORM.

Electrostatic measurements at the ground do not
give a unique picture of the distribution of charge in
a thundercloud and so the distribution of charge is
difficult to determine.   However, the results of
different workers using different techniques (see
Chalmers (1956), Mason (1957)) have shown that the
electric charge in a typical thunderstorm may be
represented as a simple dipole with the positive charge
uppermost.   Often a region of smaller positive charge
exists beneath the main negative charge and is usually
below the 0° C isotherm.   It is not yet known whether
this small charge is a feature of all thunderstorms.
The magnitude of the charges neutralised by lightning
discharges have been calculated from the change in
electric moment caused by the discharge.   The change
in electric moment was deduced from potential gradient
measurements at the ground.   Pierce (1955) gives a
value of 100 C km for the average electric moment change.

due to a discharge.   For a charge separation of 5 km
this gives the magnitude of the segregated charges as
20 C.   In order to explain the charging current in the
cloud in terms of gravitational separation of unsegregated
charge it can be shown that approximately 1000 C of
unsegregated positive and negative charges must exist
in the region between the segregated charges.   The
expression relating the charge being separated after
a discharge to the relative velocity V of the charge
carriers has been calculated as 8000/V C.

When a lightning discharge occurs the potential
gradient inside the cloud falls to zero and then
immediately begins to recover in an exponential manner
with a time constant of approximately 7 seconds.
Wormell (1953) showed that this was consistent with
the picture of a constant charging current and a
dissipating current which is proportional to the charge
separated.   It is the constant current which theories
of charge separation attempt to account for. Wormell
found the value of this current to be 3A for a typical
storm.   The wide variation in the parameters of thunder-
storms may be seen from the observations of Vonnegut
and Moore (1958).   They observed storms with from 10
to 20 discharges per second which means that the charging

current must have been of the order of 100A.

In addition to the internal currents present in a thundercloud there are also external currents. There is a flow of positive ions to the base of the cloud due to point discharge at the ground.   Chalmers (1953) has calculated this to be less than 0.5A. There is also a flow of current which carries positive charge from the top of the cloud to the ionosphere. Gish and Wait (1950) found this current to have an average value of 0.5A while Stergis, Rein and Kangas (1957) measured it as 1.3A.

It has been stated above that the electrical activity of a thunderstorm cell occurs at the same stage of its life-cycle as the intense convection and the growth of precipitation particles large enough to give radar returns.   It is of great importance that the relationship with time of these phenomena be more precisely expressed.   The early radar work of Workman and Reynolds (1949) indicated that the electrical activity did not occur until several minutes after the first precipitation echo was observed.   This, in turn indicated that the electrical activity was dependent on the formation of precipitation.   However, Moore (1965) has observed the appearance of electrical activity before that of detectable precipitation and this result throws doubt

on the temporal relationship of the growth of hail
and the onset of electrical activity. However, this
issue which is of importance in the formulation of
any theory of charge separation will not be settled
conclusively without many more observations.

4. THEORIES OF THE ELECTRIFICATION OF THUNDERSTORMS.

The following list gives the names of some of those
who have suggested possible mechanisms of cloud and
thundercloud electrification during this century.

Gerdien	1905	Rossman	1948
Simpson	1909	Workman & Reynolds	1948
Elster & Geitel	1913	Wall	1948
Wilson	1929	Vonnegut	1955
Gunn	1935	Wilson	1956
Simpson & Scrase	1937	Reynolds, Brooke & Gourley	1957
Findeisen	1940	Latham & Mason	1961
Frenkel	1944	Sartor	1961
Dinger & Gunn	1946	Magono & Takahashi	1963
Grenet	1947	Reiter	1965

The eventual success of any theory of thunderstorm
electrification depends on its being applicable to all
aspects of all thunderstorms. Many early theories have

been abandoned because they were based on ideas which subsequent experimentation has shown to be incorrect, or to give insufficient electrification. However, many of the suggested mechanisms have not as yet been fully evaluated including some of the earliest theories. It is clear that several of these mechanisms will give rise to electrification in clouds but it is not certain whether any one mechanism will suffice or whether the electrification is due to a variety of mechanisms acting in concert.

Charge production mechanisms in clouds may be separated into three classes depending upon the origin of the positive and negative charges:

(1) Classical, in which charge is generated by the interaction of initially neutral cloud particles.

(2) Influence, in which positive and negative charges are already available as atmospheric ions.

(3) Convection, in which the origin of the charge is outside the cloud.

A combination of these processes is also possible. The charge may be separated by electrical or gravitational forces or, as suggested by Chalmers (1965), by a centrifugal force. Most current theories favour classical generation and gravitational separation in which

charges are generated by the interaction of cloud particles of different sizes which are then separated because of the different fall-speeds of the particles. Some of the theories which have aroused considerable interest and controversy in recent years are described below.

(a) Simpson's Theory

Simpson (1909) found that the breaking of a water drop in a strong vertical air jet produced electrification of the resulting drops. The electrification was found to be sensitive to the presence of impurities in the water, but, for distilled water, the large fragments of the broken drops carried positive charges, while the surrounding air acquired a net negative charge. The charge produced was found to be of the order of 20×10^{-3} e.s.u. cm^{-3}, and to be independent of any initial charge on the drop before breaking. Simpson argued that the shattering of water drops in a thundercloud would give rise to large positively charged drops and a corresponding negative charge in the air. The negative charge would then be acquired by small cloud droplets and the charge would then be separated gravitationally. However, this would give rise to a charge distribution of opposite polarity to that observed.

Further, Mason (1957) calculates that the rate of building up of charge by this process is two orders of magnitude smaller than the required value.

(b) <u>Wilson's Theory (1929)</u>

In his 1929 theory Wilson suggested that the weak atmospheric electric field was capable of influencing the sign and growth of the electric field in a thunder- cloud.   It is an influence theory and, like other influence theories, has fallen into disregard as it is unable to account for the required rate of charge separation in a thundercloud in the light of the available data.   The principle of the theory is that water drops falling in the atmospheric electric field will be polarised by the field so that if their lower surfaces have a positive charge they will selectively capture negative ions from any cloud of ions or charged droplets through which they fall.   These drops will fall to the bottom of the cloud thus augmenting the existing electric field.   This will lead to further falling drops acquiring a greater negative charge and thus the electric field will be built up in this manner.   The theory of the ion capture process has been worked out by Whipple and Chalmers (1944), and Chalmers (1947) has shown that a similar process applies to falling ice particles.

There are, however, limitations to the theory.   In order to acquire a net negative charge the drops must be moving downwards faster than the downward moving positive ions.   This is no longer true for small ions in electric fields of over 50,000 Vm^{-1} although selective capture of large ions and charged cloud droplets is possible in all fields less than the break-down field.   Wormell (1953) pointed out that the maximum possible rate of charging by this process cannot exceed the rate at which ions are produced in the lower atmosphere.   This sets the maximum rate of charging at 6 C km^{-3} hr^{-1} as compared with the rate of 50C km^{-3} hr^{-1} considered to be necessary by Mason (1953).   Further , Mason (1953) points out that unless the conductivity of the air in a thundercloud is unusually high the drops would only acquire a fraction of their maximum possible charge while in the thundercloud.   There has apparently been no measurement of the conductivity inside a thunder-cloud as yet.   If such measurements showed the conductivity to be unusually high then the source of the charges would have to be some process such as point discharge.   Current opinion however is that the conductivity is not sufficiently high for the Wilson process to be considered as the major source of electrification although it may well be

of importance in determining the charge carried by precipitation which has fallen through the region of dense space charge below the cloud.

(c) The Dinger-Gunn Effect.

As described in chapter 6 the experiments of Dinger and Gunn have shown that when samples of ice containing air bubbles melt in an airflow charge generation occurs so that the melt water becomes positively charged, and an equal and opposite charge is carried away by the air.   Dinger and Gunn found the quantity of charge separated to be 1.25 e.s.u. for each gram of ice melted. They suggested that this mechanism might be of importance in the electrification of thunderclouds in regions where hailstones are melting.   As regards the main dipole charge of the cloud this mechanism is unsatisfactory as it would produce charges of the opposite sign to that observed.   Further, while it is difficult to estimate the value of a charging current due to melting hailstones it may be calculated that the maximum possible charge produced in this way in a cloud containing 2 g m^{-3} of solid precipitation elements would be 0.8 C km^{-3}, much less than the required concentration of 20 C km^{-3}. However, it is possible that the mechanism contributes to the lower positive charge of the thundercloud.

(d)   The Workman - Reynolds Effect.

The setting-up of large potential differences
across the ice-water boundary of freezing dilute
aqueous solutions was discovered by Costa Ribeiro in
1945.   This effect has been extensively investigated by
Workman and Reynolds(1948, 1950).   They measured the
freezing potentials developed by water and dilute aqueous
solutions, and found that potential differences of up
to several hundreds of volts could be obtained, the
magnitude and sign of the potential difference depending
on the impurity in solution and its concentration.
Measurements on samples of carefully purified water
showed that only small potential differences were set up.
It is not certain whether or not a freezing potential
exists for absolutely pure water.   The mechanism of this
effect will be considered further in chapter 2.   Workman
and Reynolds have applied their results to a theory of
thunderstorm electrification in which the wet hailstone
is the charge generator.   They argue that if a graupel
particle falls through a cloud of supercooled water
droplets and collects them at a sufficient rate to
maintain a liquid film on their surface then only a small
portion of any droplets collected will be frozen, the
remainder being flung off from the top of the hailstone

in the form of small drops.   They suggest that the contaminants present will be such that the ice becomes negatively charged and that the droplets flung off will carry away a positive charge.   The hailstone is thus left with a net negative charge.   As the hailstone falls further through the cloud it will eventually start melting.   At this point in the hailstone's life, the water drops which impinge on it will share the electric charge of the hailstone and eventually will reduce its charge to zero.   The main advantages claimed for this theory by the authors are that it explains the centre of negative charge being at the -10° C isotherm, and that the quantities of charge separated are more than ample, up to 9×10^{4} e.s.u. cm^{-3} of water frozen for a solution of sodium chloride.

There have been a number of objections to this theory.   Reynolds (1954) has pointed out that it is probable that glazing of hail, that is the formation of a liquid film on the hail, probably does not occur in the thundercloud at temperatures below -15° C, whereas charge separation certainly occurs at lower temperatures than this.   Further, Reynolds found that an ice surface showed charging only in the presence of water drops and ice crystals.   Also no charge separation has been

observed on the glazing of an ice-coated probe by
Reynolds et al (1957), Latham and Mason (1961), Magono
and Takahashi (1963) or Church (1966).   Apart from these
results the charge generation mechanism is sensitive to
the type and quantity of impurity present, and for it to
be successful in producing the electrification of a
thunderstorm it would seem to require an ideal
concentration of impurities.

(e)   Convection Theories.

Grenet (1947) suggested that a convection process
was responsible for cloud electrification and this was
supported in greater detail by Vonnegut (1955).
Vonnegut put forward several arguments against
precipitation being the principal charge generating
mechanism.   The major ones are that there is no relation-
ship between rate of precipitation and intensity of
electrification, that the charge measured on precipitation
from thunderclouds is very small and usually of the wrong
sign, and that the violent updraught would interfere with
any orderly charge separation.   In Vonnegut's theory the
charges are transported in the updraughts and downdraughts
of the storm.   He suggests a process in which positive
space charge from the lower regions of the atmosphere
is carried by the updraught to the top of the cloud where

it attracts negative ions from the ionosphere. These
negative ions are captured by cloud particles and move
downwards in the downdraught. At the base of the cloud
this negative charge attracts more positive space charge
and, if the potential gradient becomes sufficiently
large, ions from point discharge at the ground. These
positive charges are then carried to the top of the
cloud and the process continues until large potential
gradients are built up.

Wilson (1956) proposed a theory which was a
combination of his earlier influence theory and a
convection theory. He suggests that the process
suggested in his 1929 theory first builds up the charge
separation in the cloud. Positive ions from point
discharge then attach themselves to cloud droplets which
rise to the top of the cloud and attract negative ions
down from the ionosphere. These negative ions attach
themselves to precipitation particles and fall to the
base of the cloud to form the main negative charge.

The outstanding difficulty of both these theories
is the question of why the ions of the right sign attach
themselves preferentially to one type of cloud particle
rather than to the other. However, a final decision
on both of these theories will have to await the results
of further investigations into the movement of air masses

in thunderclouds.

(f) The Theory of Reynolds, Brook and Gourley.

From a laboratory investigation into the electrifica-
tion due to the collisions of ice crystals with simulated
hailstones in the presence of cloud droplets. Reynolds,
Brook and Gourley (1957) estimated that the mean charge
separated by a rebounding ice crystal was 5×10^{-4} e.s.u.,
leaving the hailstone negatively charged. They applied
this result to a model thundercloud containing a crystal
concentration of 10^4 m^{-3} and a liquid water concentration
of 1 gm m^{-3} and showed that hail in concentrations of
10 g m^{-3} falling at 10 m sec^{-1} relative to the ice
crystals was capable of producing a discharge of 20 C
in a thundercloud cell of 1 km diameter in about 14
minutes. In a thundercloud of volume 50 km^3 the process
would separate sufficient charge to account for the
observed rate of repetition of lightning discharges.

The experimental techniques used in this investigation
appear to be subject to considerable error, and confirma-
tion is desirable. Latham and Mason (1961) performed
further experiments of this type and found the charge
separated to be five orders of magnitude less than
that found by Reynolds et al., while Church (1966) found
it to be two orders of magnitude less. This spread in
the observed values of the charge separation means that

before the charge produced by the collision of ice
crystals and hailstones can be evaluated as a prime
source of charge in a thundercloud further experimentation
is necessary.

(g) The Latham-Mason Theory.

Latham and Mason (1961) estimated the charge separated
by the shattering of supercooled water drops freezing
onto hailstones and concluded that the electrification
produced by the shattering of droplets in the range
$40 - 100\mu$ would be sufficient to separate charge at
the rate of $1 \text{ C km}^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$.   This is sufficient for
the average thunderstorm but does not account for the
separation of charge in violent thunderstorms which
may be up to 100 times greater.   This difficulty cannot
be easily resolved by scaling up either the droplet or
hailstone concentrations.   This theory will be considered
in more detail in chapter 2.

(h) Sartor's Theory.

Sartor (1961) has proposed an inductive theory of
electrification.   He considers that particles in a
cloud are polarised by the electric field and that when
they collide without coalescence, or pass within a
short distance of each other, charge is generated and
then separated by the action of gravity.   This theory

is a more general form of the theories of Elster and
Geitel (1913) who considered the charge separation
between polarised water droplets which collided without
coalescence, and of Müller-Hillebrand (1954) who
considered the charge separation between ice crystals
and hailstones polarised in an electric field.   It
is also similar to Wilson's influence theory (1929).
Sartor showed that the larger polarised cloud particles
would become negatively charged, and that if they grew
by absorbing smaller charged cloud particles both their
charge and their fall speed would be enhanced, thus
causing a rapid building up of the field.   He considered
that ice particles would be more important than water
drops as their greater rate of growth would outweigh
their smaller fall speeds.   He also showed that this
mechanism would cause the electric field to increase
exponentially and calculated the rate of charge separation
for various droplet separation efficiencies. The droplet
separation efficiency is the fraction of droplets which
do not coalesce after colliding.   He found that for
a separation efficiency of 0.1 the electric field in
a thundercloud would increase by a factor of 1000 in
10 minutes.   Recent measurements on the charge
separation between model ice crystals which do not

touch (Latham, Mystrom and Sartor, 1965) have shown
that the charge separation between ice particles is
probably greater than that between water drops as
appreciable quantities of charge can be separated
between ice crystals separated by distances of the order
of their dimensions.

Sartor's theory is a powerful one but more information
concerning the interaction of ice crystals and water drops
in electric fields is required before its application
to thunderstorm electrification can be more than
speculation.

(i) Reiter's Theory

Reiter (1965) has discovered a mechanism which, it
is claimed, will separate charge in a thundercloud at
the rate of 15 C km^{-3} min^{-1}. Although this is 15 times
greater than the value considered to be sufficient to
produce the main charge by Latham and Mason (1961) he
puts it forward as a subsidiary charge separation
mechanism. Reiter has shown that appreciable quantities
of nitrate ions are produced in clouds, mainly by silent
electrical discharges, and that the greater the degree
of atmospheric instability the greater the numbers of
ions produced. Laboratory experiments showed that when
ice particles grown by sublimation broke away from a
cold plate they carried away 10 - 50 times as much

charge when they were allowed to grow in an atmosphere containing nitrous gases than when they were grown in an atmosphere of ordinary air. Reiter applied these results to thunderclouds, and suggested that some form of atmospheric feedback occurred in which the charge separation mechanism was the fragmentation of crystal dendrites and needles and splinters from the surface of hailstones in a nitrous atmosphere. It was suggested that these charged particles would somehow be segregated in a way which would increase the field and also the nitrate ion concentration, thus increasing the charge separated by the fragmentation processes.

This theory gives rise to a number of questions. Although it explains why the electrification is more intense in a thundercloud than in other clouds because of the greater degree of atmospheric instability associated with thunderclouds, it does not explain why, once the charge separation has commenced in any small cloud, the electrification does not build up to thundercloud levels. It does not explain how the charged particles are separated so as to enhance the field and no evidence is given as to the amount of ice splintering which occurs in thunderclouds. There is also the question of how valid the laboratory results are when applied to the conditions in a thundercloud. These issues merit further investigation.

CHAPTER 2

SOME THEORIES OF THE ELECTRIFICATION OF ICE AND WATER.

1. INTRODUCTION.

As can be seen from chapter 1 many of the proposed theories of charge generation in thunderclouds depend on the electrification of ice and water. In this chapter the electrical properties of ice are considered in terms of its physical structure, and comparisons are made with the behaviour of water.

2. THE STRUCTURE OF ICE AND WATER.

(a) The structure of ice.

Apart from some high-pressure forms, about which little is known, only three ice structures are known to exist:

(1) the normal hexagonal structure.

(2) a cubic structure.

(3) an amorphous structure.

At normal pressures the hexagonal form is stable over the temperature range encountered in the atmosphere, and is the only form which is found in atmospheric ice particles. The structure of ice was first analysed by Barnes (1929). Bernal and Fowler (1933) discovered the importance of the

hydrogen bond in determining the structure of ice and put forward three suggestions as to the nature of the hydrogen bonding:

(1)   the hydrogen atoms be on the lines connecting neighbouring oxygen atoms;

(2)   there is only one hydrogen atom on each such linkage thus forming hydrogen bonds;

(3)   each oxygen atom has two hydrogen atoms at a short distance and hence water molecules are preserved.

These three statements are usually referred to as the Bernal-Fowler rules.   Pauling (1935) pointed out that an ordered arrangement of hydrogen atoms according to the Bernal-Fowler rules would be inconsistent with the finite zero-point entropy observed by Giauque and Ashley (1933). He explained this by considering that the hydrogen atoms were able to move between different equilibrium positions on the lines joining the oxygen atoms.   This model is well supported by X-ray and neutron diffraction studies.   The oxygen atoms have been found to be separated by $2.76\overset{o}{A}$, and the hydrogen atoms to be on the lines joining the oxygen atoms and at a distance of $1\overset{o}{A}$ from them.   The hydrogen atoms have been found to alternate between the two possible equilibrium positions on each bond, and to spend half of the time in each.   This is illustrated in Fig.1.

Fig.1 The Structure of Ice.

(b) The structure of water.

The structure of water is still the subject of debate,
Bernal and Fowler (1933) suggested that water has a
tetrahedrally hydrogen-bonded structure between 0° C and
200° C, and that between 4° C and 200° C the lattice
structure is similar to that of quartz, while below 4° C
the structure is similar to that of the tridymite form of
silica.   Pauling proposed a pentagonal dodecahedron
structure in which hydrogen bonding is of prime importance,
and van Panthaleon van Eck et al (1958) have suggested a
tetrahedral structure, similar to that of tin, in which the
bonding is of the Van der Waals' type.   The degree of order
in water is determined by a statistical process which is
dependent on temperature, increasing with decreasing
temperature.

3. CHARGE TRANSPORT IN ICE AND WATER.

(a) Charge transport in ice.

Measurements on the electrical conductivity of ice
have shown it to be slightly greater than 10^{-9} Ω^{-1} cm^{-1}
(Gränicher et al, 1957).   Decroly et al (1957) have shown
the static conductivity to be purely ionic.   Gränicher (1958)
points out that the ionic conductivity can be explained if
ionised states exist in the lattice, the ions being the

hydroxonium ion, H_3O^+, and the hydroxyl ion, OH^-. The manner in which an H_3O^+ ion diffuses through the lattice is illustrated in Fig. 2. The generation and diffusion of ions proceed by the translational motion of protons from one molecule to its neighbour. Reference to Fig. 2 shows that the diffusion of an H_3O^+ ion leads to a re-orientation of molecule β so that further diffusion of ion-states is not possible. The OH^- ions diffuse in a similar manner. The existence of ion-states alone cannot account for the observed time-independence of the conductivity, as the re-orientation caused by ion diffusion would tend to decrease the conductivity with time. In order to explain the time-independence of the conductivity it is necessary to postulate the existence of lattice defects whose diffusion through the lattice re-orientates the molecules so as to allow the further diffusion of ion-states. These are the Bjerrum defects which are generated by rotational movement of a proton in its own molecule, thus violating the third Bernal-Fowler rule. Each such jump generates one doubly occupied bond, or D- defect, and one vacant bond, or L- defect. The manner in which D- defects diffuse through the lattice is shown in Fig. 3. The L- defects diffuse in a similar manner. Reference to Fig. 3 shows that the diffusion of D- defects re-orientates molecule β so as to allow further diffusion of ion-states. As the re-orientation occurs in

Fig.2 Successive Steps in the Diffusion of a H_3O^+ Ion;
$\vec{S}$ = Ion Displacement.

Fig.3. Successive Steps in the Diffusion of a D-defect.

a time which is short compared with the arrival of protons
at molecule α , the ion state diffusion process is
governed by the rate of tunnelling of protons along the
hydrogen bonds.   This is high, thus explaining why the
mobility of protons is higher in ice than in water.

Table 1 gives comparative figures for the properties
of ion-states and Bjerrum defects.   It may be seen that
the Bjerrum defects are more numerous, but that their
mobility ratio is approximately unity.   Exact mobility
ratios have not been measured for either ion-states or
Bjerrum defects.   The value for the ion-states is that
found by Eigen and de Maeyer (1958).

Table 1.   Comparative values of the properties of
ion-states and Bjerrum defects in pure ice at -10° C.

Property	Ion-states	Bjerrum defects.
Energy of formation	1.2 ± 0.1 eV	0.68 ± 0.04 eV
Concentration of defects	8×10^{10} cm^{-3}	7×10^{15} cm^{-3}
Transition probability	6×10^{13} sec^{-1}	2×10^{11} sec^{-1}
Mobility	$\mu^+ = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm sec^{-1} per V cm^{-1}	$\mu^L = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ cm sec^{-1} per V cm^{-1}
Mobility ratio	$\mu^+/\mu^- = 10 \text{ to } 100$	$\mu^L/\mu^D \geqslant 1$
Activation energy of diffusion	0 (tunnelling)	0.235 ± 0.01 eV.

(b) Charge transport in water.

Charge transport in water is by means of the diffusion of the H_3O^+ and OH^- ions. The mobilities of these ions have been found experimentally at 25° C as follows

H_3O^+	36.2×10^{-4}	cm sec^{-1} per Vcm^{-1}
OH^-	19.8×10^{-4}	cm sec^{-1} per V cm^{-1}

These values are high as compared with other univalent ions in water, the mobilities of which range from 4.0×10^{-4} cm sec^{-1} per V cm^{-1} for Li^+ to 7.9×10^{-4} cm sec^{-1} per V cm^{-1} for Cl^- , and this suggests the existence of some special transport mechanism.

The theories which have been proposed over the last 30 years all assume the existence of proton jumping from molecule to molecule, as suggested by Hückel (1928). The most satisfactory theory to date is that of Gierer and Wirtz (1949), which predicts values of the ionic mobilities in good agreement with experiment, although later work has shown it not to be completely correct. Figure 4 shows how H_3O^+ and OH^- ion-states are transferred by proton jumping. Gierer and Wirtz proposed two alternative mechanisms by which the rate of ion-state diffusion is determined. Eigen and de Maeyer (1958) considered both mechanisms in the light of the experimental evidence,

Fig.4. Transfer of "Excess" and "Defect" Proton in the Hydrogen Bond in Water.

and favoured the rate of formation of hydrogen bonds as being the determining factor.

4. ELECTRIFICATION PROCESSES IN ICE AND WATER.

(a) Charge separation in ice.

The various mechanisms for separating electric charge in solids have been considered in detail by Loeb (1958). Most of the mechanisms have no direct relevance to the study of ice as they depend on electrolytic effects and contact potential effects between dissimilar materials. The three mechanisms which seem most likely to lead to electrification in ice are piezoelectric and pyroelectric effects, and effects involving ionic conduction.

Piezoelectric effects are observed when externally applied stresses cause a material to become polarised. The most recent measurements of the piezoelectric effect in ice appear to be those of Teichmann and Schmidt (1965), who found the effect to be zero within the limits of their experimental accuracy. Pyroelectric effects have been found only in crystals which exhibit piezoelectricity and are detected as a charge separation produced by local heating. It is doubtful whether a true pyroelectric effect exists in the absence of stress. Mason and Owston (1952) attempted to detect both piezoelectric and pyro-

electric effects in ice crystals grown from the vapour but were unable to do so. Mason (1957) has pointed out that the molecular structure of ice and the randomised motion of the dipoles militate against the lattices' having a resultant dipole moment.

Henry (1952) suggested a temperature gradient effect as an explanation of the charge separation resulting from the asymmetric rubbing of two pieces of identical insulating material. Under these conditions of contact the two pieces of material acquire equal and opposite quantities of charge. A formal theory of the temperature gradient effect in ice was put forward by Latham and Mason (1961) and this will now be considered in detail.

The temperature gradient theory for ice.

A charge separation mechanism due to the presence of temperature gradients in ice was first suggested by Findeisen (1940). A formal theory was proposed by Latham and Mason (1961 A). The mechanism is analogous to that of the Thomson effect in metal, except that the charge carriers are ions rather than free electrons. While it is unlikely that the positive charges are carried by free protons, but rather by H_3O^+ ions, the theory is developed in terms of the H^+ and OH^- ions. Essentially the mechanism depends on two facts:

(1)   the concentrations of H^+ and OH^- ions in ice rise quite rapidly with temperature;

(2)   the mobility of the H^+ ion is at least ten times greater than that of the OH^- ion.

Latham and Mason considered the charge separated under the influence of a steady temperature gradient, and also the charge separated by the transient contact of two pieces of ice at different temperatures.

(i)   <u>The separation of charge due to a uniform temperature gradient.</u>

When a temperature gradient is set up in ice it will be accompanied by the setting up of a concentration gradient of ions of both signs. The more rapid initial diffusion of the H^+ ions down the concentration gradient will lead to a separation of charge with a net excess of positive charge at the colder end of the ice. The space charge set up in the ice due to this separation will produce an internal electric field which will tend to oppose further separation of charge. Latham and Mason point out that there might be a contribution due to the Bjerrum defects, but that as the ratio of their mobilities is approximately unity they have considered the charge separation to be due solely to the differential migration of ions. After some time a steady state is reached in which the effects of the concentration gradient and the internal electric field are

balanced so that there is no net flow of current in the ice. Under these conditions, and assuming the charge separated to be concentrated on the ends of the ice, Latham and Mason were able to show that the predicted charge separation would be

$$\sigma = \frac{\mathcal{E}k}{8\pi e}\left(\frac{\mu^+/\mu^- - 1}{\mu^+/\mu^- + 1}\right)\left\{\frac{\phi}{kT} + 1\right\}\frac{dT}{dx}$$

where σ is the surface density of charge on the ends of the ice, $\mathcal{E}$ the static permittivity of ice, k Boltzmann's constant, e the electronic charge, μ^+/μ^- the mobility ratio for the H^+ and OH^- ions, ϕ the activation energy for dissociation in ice, T the absolute temperature, and dT/dx the temperature gradient. On substitution of values the charge density is given by

$$\sigma = 4.95 \times 10^{-5}\ (dT/dx)\ \text{e.s.u. cm}^{-2}$$

(ii) **The separation of charge due to transient ice - ice contact.**

When two pieces of ice, initially at different temperatures T_1, T_2, are brought into contact, a temperature gradient will be set up across the boundary plane ($x = 0$). After time t the temperature gradient will be given by

$$-\left(\frac{dT}{dx}\right)_{x=0} = \frac{(T_1 - T_2)}{2(\pi K T)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where K is the thermal diffusivity of ice. From this equation it may be seen that the initial temperature

gradient is very high, and so, therefore, is the net flow of current across the plane of contact. The rate at which charge separation proceeds is determined by the rate of diffusion of charge against the increasing internal electric field. The temperature gradient decreases rapidly and soon reaches the magnitude at which the flow of current due to it is equal and opposite to that due to the internal field. At this time the charge separation is at a maximum, and then decreases with time. Using a similar argument to that for uniform temperature gradients, Latham and Mason were able to deduce the variation of charge separated with time, and to show that the maximum separation occurs after a contact time of 8.5×10^{-3} seconds, and has the value

$$\sigma = 3.05 \times 10^{-3} \ (T_1 - T_2) \ \text{e.s.u.} \ \text{cm}^{-2}$$

The variation with time of the charge separated is shown in Fig. 5.

Latham and Mason investigated the charge separation experimentally and found good agreement with the theoretically predicted values, except in cases where part of the ice was warmer than -7° C. They related this with the variation of the electrical conductivity of ice with temperature as found by Bradley (1957). When they allowed for this variation in the theory, the experimental and theoretical results agreed closely. They also obtained evidence that the development of proportionately larger

Fig 5. The Charge Separated Across the Contact Plane
of Two Pieces of Ice of Initially Different Temperature
as a Function of their Time of Contact.

potentials near 0° C occurred mainly in the surface layers of the ice.

Jaccard (1963) took into account the effect of the Bjerrum defects on the thermoelectric power of ice, and allowed for the fact that the effective charge carried by each defect is less than the unit electronic charge. He showed that the predicted value would agree with that of Latham and Mason if the mobility ratio of the Bjerrum defects is 1.2.

Latham (1964), considering the charge separation due to non-uniform temperature gradients, suggests that the charge separated will be greater by a factor of two than the charge separated under conditions of uniform temperature gradient.

(b) Charge separation in water.

The principal ways in which a separation of charge may occur in liquids are spraying, splashing and bubbling. In contrast to charge separation phenomena in ice, these effects in water are due to the presence of impurities and the existence of an electrical double layer at the liquid-air interface. Loeb (1958) gives a detailed account of charge separation phenomena in liquids.

(c) <u>Charge separation across a solid- liquid interface.</u>

As stated in chapter 1, Workman and Reynolds discovered a charge separation effect across the ice - water interface of freezing dilute aqueous solutions.   The potentials developed across the interface were found to depend on the type and concentration of the impurity in solution, and were only observed while freezing was progressing.   Workman and Reynolds were able to show that the effect was ionic in nature by measuring the pH of the melted ice and the liquid solution after samples were partially frozen.   The pH values of the frozen and non-frozen fractions were found to differ, thus showing that the ions of the impurity were being segregated.   In general they found that the negative ions were incorporated into the ice structure although the NH_4^+ ion, which is isomorphous with the hydronium ion, was also incorporated into the structure.   When ammonium fluoride was present the F^- ion was found to have a position of preference as it is strondly electro-negative and similar to the OH^- ion in structure.   On the other hand the NO_3^- and CH_3COO^- ions were rejected by the ice on the freezing of solutions of the ammonium salts.

This phenomenon appears to be related to that of the rectifying action of semi-conductor materials, and the ice was in fact found to possess a slight rectifying action.

It also seems likely that the effect only occurs in the presence of impurities and not for pure water. Workman and Reynolds speculate as to a possible explanation by considering the water to pass through a transitional "colloidal" state on freezing as reported by Errera (1927). They suggest that it is reasonable to assume that the associated colloidal particles in the process of formation would avoid an increment in potential energy by incorporating ions of compatible form into their structure to form non-polar electrical domains rather than polarised ones. They suggest that the observed lack of any polarisation properties of ice might be due to collective action of the above type at the growing crystal face in such a way that the resonance pattern of the crystal units would be modified. They were able to obtain some evidence that the effect is related to a structural change on freezing by predicting similar effects for other compounds which undergo marked changes in bond structure on freezing. They investigated this in the case of phenyl salicylate and observed an effect which they believed to be similar to that occurring on the freezing of water.

(d) <u>Charge separation across a solid-vapour interface.</u>

When a specimen of ice evaporates in a current of dry air its outer surface will become colder than its

interior.   Applying the temperature gradient theory the outer surface should thus become positively charged. Evaporation of the outer surface would lead to a positive space charge in the airstream and a negative charge on the residual ice.   Latham and Stow (1965) have investigated this effect and their observations will be discussed in chapter 6.

(e) <u>Charge separation across a liquid-vapour interface.</u>

Reshetov (1961) has suggested the existence of a mechanism by which water drops become charged by evaporation or condensation.   He suggests that at the water-air interface a number of water molecules dissociate to form an ionic vapour of H^+ and OH^- ions.   If the water drops are evaporating then the H^+ ions are preferentially re-absorbed and the drops acquire a positive charge.   If they are growing by condensation then the OH^- ions are absorbed and the drops acquire a negative charge.   There is as yet no direct experimental evidence to support this theory.

CHAPTER 3.

THE ELECTRIFICATION ON FREEZING OF SINGLE WATER DROPS.

1. INTRODUCTION.

It can be seen from chapter 1 that several of the proposed mechanisms of electrification in a thundercloud depend upon electrical effects associated with the freezing of water. This has led to several investigations into the electrification associated with the freezing of single water-drops. These investigations are described below.

2. THE PHYSICAL BEHAVIOUR OF WATER DROPS ON FREEZING.

The formation of spicules on the surface of freezing water was first investigated in the 1920's. In 1948 a mechanism for their formation was proposed by Dorsey. He suggested that as a volume of water freezes from the surface inwards, the pressure exerted on the liquid water becomes sufficiently high for it to cause a rupture in the ice surface. A jet of water is then ejected and freezes on the outside, the water continuing to be ejected along the tube formed until the pressure is equalised. The tube then freezes completely to form a spicule. Blanchard (1951) investigated the growth of spicules on freezing drops, of diameter 8 mm, which were freely supported

in a vertical wind tunnel. By observing the rate of spicule growth he was able to verify the mechanism suggested by Dorsey.

Blanchard (1955) carried out further investigations into the freezing of water drops of diameter 8 mm, which were freely supported in a vertical wind tunnel. He found that the manner in which supercooled water drops froze depended on their temperature at the time of freezing. At temperatures above -4 or -5° C a shell of clear ice first formed on the bottom of the drop and then grew over the whole surface. The drop then froze inwards. On occasions, examination of a freezing drop showed the growth of thin planes of ice from the base of the drop, up through its interior, which accompanied the initial freezing. At temperatures below -5° C the freezing occurred nearly simultaneously over the entire surface of the drop, causing the drop to become opaque. Blanchard suggested that the opacity of the ice was due to the presence of small air bubbles which were formed as the air was forced out of solution as the water froze.

The production of ice crystals by the bursting of freezing water drops was observed by Mason (1956), and also by Langham and Mason (1958) who suggested that this might be an important mechanism in the ice-nucleus economy of supercooled clouds. In order to obtain further inform-

ation Mason and Maybank (1960) carried out a detailed
investigation into the splintering produced by the burst-
ing of the drops on freezing.   Drops having diameters in
the range 30μ to 1 mm were suspended on fibres in a small
refrigerated cell, the temperature and humidity of the cell
being controlled.   The drops were allowed to supercool to
a particular temperature and then freezing was initiated by
nucleation of the drop with either silver iodide crystals
or small ice particles.   The number of splinters ejected
and the manner in which freezing progressed were carefully
observed.   They found that the manner in which the drops
froze was dependent upon their degree of supercooling before
nucleation.   Drops which were supercooled to about -15° C
were observed to freeze in two stages.   The first stage
was the rapid formation of an opaque outer shell of ice
containing numerous tiny air bubbles, accompanied by a
rapid rise in the temperature of the drop to 0° C.   During
the second stage the liquid interior of the drop gradually
froze.   During this stage liquid water from the drop
interior seeped through the ice shell and froze on the
surface.   When drops were frozen at temperatures only a
degree or so below 0° C a thin, transparent film of ice was
observed to spread slowly over the surface of the drop.
When the entire surface of the drop was frozen the freezing
then progressed towards the interior of the drop, often

with the formation of spicules. Observation of a number
of drops showed that the frequency of spicule formation,
shattering and splinter production decreases rapidly as
the nucleation temperature is lowered, but is independent
of drop size over the range investigated. Mason and
Maybank suggested that this was due to the variation in
the solubility of air in water with temperature. The
solubility of air in water increases from 37 mg litre^{-1} at
0° C to 46 mg litre^{-1} at - 10° C. They suggested that the
rapid increase in temperature of a freezing drop when
nucleated at -15° C causes air to be forced out of solution
and trapped in the ice as air bubbles. As further freez-
ing of the drop progresses the pressure excess due to the
freezing of the liquid interior is accommodated by compres-
sion of the air bubbles. At higher nucleation temperatures
the ice shell forms more slowly and the dissolved air which
is forced out of solution is lost to the atmosphere. The
ice shell is thus transparent and mechanically strong.
The excess internal pressure can thus only be relieved by
the rupture of the ice shell, and, therefore, by the product-
ion of spicules and ice splinters. They were able to
confirm this by observing the freezing of de-aerated drops.
Drops nucleated at temperatures just below 0° C showed no
difference in their behavious from that of aerated drops.
However, de-aerated drops nucleated at -13° C showed

greatly enhanced splinter production when compared with aerated drops. Mason and Maybank also found that the presence of impurities had no effect on the freezing behaviour of the drops except in the case of a 0.2 N solution of sodium chloride. Drops of this solution showed no distortion and produced no spicules and only a few ice crystals when nucleated at either -12° C or 0° C. This was put down to the fact that such a solution was observed to increase in overall volume by only one half of the amount associated with a similar volume of pure water when frozen.

3. THE ELECTRIFICATION OF WATER DROPS ON FREEZING.

(a) The work of Mason and Maybank (1960).

Following their investigation of the physical aspects of the freezing of single water drops, Mason and Maybank investigated the electrification caused by the freezing. The majority of their measurements were made on 1 mm diameter drops of doubly - distilled and de-ionised water. The drops were suspended from a fine, insulating fibre of polythene in the centre of a refrigerated cell, and between two parallel brass electrodes across which a steady potential difference was maintained. The drops were observed with a microscope while freezing, and any electrification of the drop was detected by observing the movement of the

drops between the electrodes.   The smallest detectable charge was 5×10^{-5} e.s.u.

Mason and Maybank found that no detectable charge was produced unless freezing was followed by shattering of the drops.   They also found that shattering always produced a charge.   They divided their results into two categories;

(1) those in which more than half of the original mass of the drop remained on the fibre after shattering; this they termed major residues.

(2) those in which less than half of the original mass of the drop remained on the fibre after shattering; this they termed minor residues.

Under the same conditions of freezing the major residues became negatively charged on average, while the minor residues became positively charged.   The overall maximum charge observed was $- 7.2 \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u., the smallest $- 0.11 \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u.   The overall average charge for 83 drops was $- 0.86 \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u.   The most frequent shattering and the greatest electrification was observed with 1 mm diameter drops nucleated near 0° C.   Under similar conditions of freezing they found that drops of 0.35 mm produced charges smaller by a factor of two than drops of 1 mm diameter.   However, insufficient data were obtained to establish any variation of electrification with size.   They also found

that drops of sodium chloride solution, frozen under conditions similar to those for pure water drops, ruptured less frequently and for those that did rupture the charging decreased with increasing concentration of salt; both shattering and electrification were totally inhibited when the concentration of sodium chloride exceeded 10^{-2} N.

Mason and Maybank suggested that the electrification was caused by charge separation along the temperature gradients produced in the ice shell during freezing according to the Latham- Mason theory. During the freezing of the drops a temperature gradient is set up across the ice shell as the outer surface attains the ambient temperature while the inner surface is maintained at 0° C as it freezes. Thus the outer surface of the ice will become positively charged, while the inner surface will become negatively charged. Any splintering of the outer surface of the ice shell under these conditions will carry away a positive charge, leaving the residue with a negative charge. If a major part of the drop is blown off the minor residue may be left with either a positive or a negative charge. On the basis of the temperature gradient theory Mason and Maybank calculated that the maximum charge separation for 1 mm diameter drops of pure water would be about 10^{-4} e.s.u. This is an order of magnitude less than some of their observed results. However, they point out that the effects

of trace contaminants and frictional effects from the shattering of the ice are not known.

(b) The work of Kachurin and Bekryaev.

Kachurin and Bekryaev (1960) investigated the electrification on freezing of drops with diameters between 0.2 and 2 mm diameter over the temperature range -3 to -20° C. The drops were suspended on a fine wire eyelet in a temperature - controlled cell. The drops were at the focus of a microscope and the freezing of the drops recorded by filming through the microscope. The charge produced on the freezing drops was measured by connection of the supporting wire eyelet to either an electrometer or an oscilloscope. They found that significant electrification occurred only when shattering of the drops occurred on freezing. A reproduction of a typical oscillogram obtained by Kachurin and Bekryaev is shown in Fig. 6. From the film of the freezing they were able to show that the sharp positive increases (peaks 2, 3 and 4) were associated with the breaking off of negatively charged ice crystals, while the smooth negative increase in charging was associated with the ejection of streams of positively charged microscopic water drops. The first charge peak on the oscillogram was always positive, apparently being associated with the breaking off of a number of small ice crystals on the formation of fissures in the freezing ice shell.

Charge $\times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u.

-1   0   1

0.05sec

1

2

3

4

Fig.6 Oscillogram of the Charge on a Freezing Water Drop.

Electrometer measurements of the net charges on the drop
residues showed them to be less than the maximum charges
on the fragments thrown off. The average value of the
charge on 70 drops was - 9.0 x 10^{-13} C, or - 2.7 x 10^{-3} e.s.u.
They did not observe any variation of the charge with vary-
ing drop size.

(c) The work of Evans and Hutchinson

Evans and Hutchinson (1963) investigated the elect-
rification on freezing of water drops having diameters
between 1 and 1.5 mm. The drops were suspended on an
insulating fibre, made of "Durofix" adhesive, in a refrig-
erated cell in which a constant vertical temperature gradient
was maintained. The drops were allowed to supercool to
about - 2° C and then nucleated by a cloud of small ice
crystals produced by the introduction of a small piece of
solid carbon dioxide into the cell. After nucleation the
drops were rapidly lowered to the - 15° C level of the cell.
The freezing was then observed, and when complete the charge
on the drop residue was measured by raising it into a
Faraday cylinder which was connected to a vibrating reed
electrometer. The charges detected ranged from + 11.3 x
10^{-3} e.s.u. to - 25.0 x 10^{-3} e.s.u., the average charge
value being - 1.1 x 10^{-3} e.s.u. The freezing of the drops
was observed to be similar to that observed by Mason and

Maybank for drops nucleated at temperatures near 0° C.

Evans and Hutchinson divided their results into three classes according to the way in which fragmentation of the drops occurred.

(1) when the drop split in two, one portion being thrown off.

(2) when the spicule formed broke and was ejected almost immediately after formation.

(3) when the spicule was broken and ejected with the final freezing of the drop.

They suggested that in case (1) there would be a small quantity of liquid water at the centre of the drop when fragmentation occurred, in (2) the drop would be mainly liquid with liquid inside the spicule, and in (3) the spicule would contain little or no water.   They considered whether the magnitude and sign of the observed charges was explicable in terms of the temperature gradient theory of Latham and Mason.   Using the value of 5×10^{-5} dT/dx e.s.u. cm^{-2} calculated by Latham and Mason, they found that the maximum charge possible for an average drop would be Q max. $= 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u.   However, of the 44 charges measured, 33 exceeded Q max, 13 by a factor of five or more.

Evans and Hutchinson consider that the signs of the charges observed by them were, on the whole, explicable in terms of the temperature gradient theory.   The charges

observed for drops which split were preponderantly
negative. This would be expected on the basis of the
temperature gradient theory, which assigns a positive
charge to the outer surface of the ice, if an excess of
the outer surface was thrown off. In cases where only
the spicule is broken off, equal areas of the inner and
outer surfaces would presumably be ejected, and thus the
theory would predict an equal frequency of positive and
negative charges. They reported 11 cases of positive
charge and 18 of negative charge. In cases where the
spicules were ejected with the final freezing of the ice
it would be expected that the cold outer part would carry
away positive charge, leaving a negative residue. In
fact, 5 of the 6 residues of this type were positively
charged. They also pointed out that the signs of the
charges could also be explained in terms of the Workman -
Reynolds effect. Referring to their classification of the
types of freezing, methods (1) and (2) could involve the
ejection of liquid water, leaving an excess of negative
charge, while in method (3) the ejected particles would
probably be mainly solid, leaving the positively charged
liquid in the residue.

(d)   The work of Stott and Hutchinson.

Following on the work of Evans and Hutchinson, further
observations of the electrification of freezing drops were
carried out by Stott and Hutchinson (1965).   The apparatus
used was similar in its essentials to that used by Evans
and Hutchinson, and the experimental procedure was also
similar, except that after shattering the drops were allowed
to melt by raising them above the 0° C level of the refrig-
erated cell.   The mass of the residue was then found by
measurement of the size of the melted drop.   The drops were
formed from singly distilled water.   The drop sizes were in
the range 0.9 to 1.7 mm diameter, the average value being
1.3 mm.   A total of 633 drops was observed, of which 118
shattered.   In all but a few cases the drops were nucleated
at $- 1^o$ C and then frozen at an ambient temperature level of
$- 15^o$ C.   The behaviour of the drops on freezing was found
to vary from drop to drop.   However, it was found that the
types of freezing behaviour could be separated into quite
well defined classes.   After the first film of ice was seen
to spread over the surface of the drop and begin to thicken,
small irregularities developed on the surface of the drop.
They suggest that this was due to water seeping through
cracks in the ice shell and then freezing onto the surface.
After this, one or more spicules or bulges were formed in
all cases.   The spicules were observed to be oval in

cross-section, suggesting that they had been formed by
the ejection and subsequent freezing of water from a crack
in the ice shell as predicted by Dorsey.   Stott and
Hutchinson classified the spicules as being of two types.
A "smooth spicule" always grew in a smooth, even way;
after some seconds small bubbles formed inside it at the
ice-water boundary, and moved into the drop or to the end
of the spicule; finally a series of cracks spread through
the drop and the water within froze quickly.   Any shatter-
ing of the drop was observed to take place either just after
the spicule was formed or while the cracks were spreading
through the drop centre.   "Bubbly drop spicules" were
different in that as soon as the spicule began to grow,
small air bubbles were released at the ice-water boundary
in the main part of the drop.   These spicules grew slowly
with no cracking, and only a few broke.   Presumably the
air bubbles accommodated the pressure increase.   On
occasion a drop developed a bulge which did not grow into
a spicule.   As the growth of these bulges resembled that
of bubbly drop spicules they were classified as "bubbly
drop bulges".   The drops were also classified according
to the manner in which breaking occurred.   A central
break was one in which the drop broke through the centre.
"Liquid" and "solid spicule breaks were those in which the
spicule was broken off when it was still partly liquid, or
all ice respectively.   "Bubble" breaks were those in which

spicules containing air bubbles were broken off. These classifications are shown in Fig. 7.

Of the 118 drops which were observed to shatter, 91 were found to have measurable charges. The charges ranged from -17×10^{-3} e.s.u. to $+25 \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u. Stott and Hutchinson considered whether or not the observed charges were consistent with those to be expected on the basis of the temperature gradient theory. As pointed out by Evans and Hutchinson it would be expected that the different types of break as classified by them would have preferred signs of charge. Stott and Hutchinson found no evidence that the different types of residue had any preferred sign except in the case of bubbles, which produced only small charges. Stott and Hutchinson also calculated the maximum possible charge separation due to the temperature gradient effect. In order to allow for the enhancement of the charge due to part of the ice being warmer than -7° C, and to the non-uniformity of the temperature gradient, they applied the correction factor of 6 proposed by Latham (1964). The predicted charge separation was thus equal to 0.3×10^{-3} dT/dx e.s.u. cm^{-2}. Taking their average drop diameter as 1.3 mm, and the average thickness of the ice shell as 0.3 mm at the time of breaking, they calculated the maximum charge separated to be 5×10^{-3} e.s.u. A similar calculation for the separation of charge for an average spicule

Central

      a   b   c

Liquid Spicule

      a   b

Solid Spicule

      a   b

Bubble

      a

Liquid Water   - - - - - Line of Break

Fig. 7. Classification of the Types of Drop Break After Stott and Hutchinson.

of length 1.5 mm, average outside radius of cross-section 0.06 mm and thickness 0.03 mm, showed the maximum charge separated to be 6×10^{-3} e.s.u. Of the observed charges 13 were larger than this, and when allowance was made for the fact that only a proportion of the maximum charge would be removed on the ejected particles, it was seen that the theory as applied could not account for quite a number of the observed charges. They then investigated whether there was any tendency for positive residues to be produced by solid spicule breaks, and negative residues by liquid spicule breaks. They found the average charge produced on the residue to be $+(3.5 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u. for 19 cases of solid spicule breaks, and $-(1.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u. for 29 cases of liquid spicule breaks. When the results of Evans and Hutchinson were combined with those of Stott and Hutchinson the average values were $+(3.4 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u. (25 drops) and $-(1.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u. (49 drops) respectively. These differences are apparently significant. Stott and Hutchinson considered these results in view of the Kachurin and Bekryaev results, and suggested that a liquid spicule would tend to lose more water than ice on breaking, thus ejecting a net positive charge, while a solid spicule would eject an excess of negatively charged ice on breaking. They point out that this would be in agreement with the Workman - Reynolds theory as regards the signs of the charges.

4. APPLICATION OF FREEZING DROP RESULTS TO THUNDERCLOUD ELECTRIFICATION.

Mason and Maybank considered the quantity of charge which could be generated in a thunderstorm by the freezing of all the raindrops present. They considered the total rainfall to be 2.5 cm for a storm of area 10 km^2, the mean raindrop mass being 10 g and the average charge produced by freezing being 10^{-3} e.s.u., and calculated the maximum charge produced to be 8.3 coulombs. This is much less than the charge of 1000 C which is estimated to be present. They suggested, however, that the electrification accompanying the riming of soft hail might be caused by the splintering of cloud droplets on freezing.

The other investigators have not applied their observations to thundercloud electrification. However the value of the charges observed by them could only account for an increase in the charge production due to freezing raindrops by a factor of, at best, 5 times that calculated by Mason and Maybank.

There are doubts as to the validity of any attempt to apply the results of the laboratory investigations to the electrification of thunderclouds. In all cases it is uncertain whether of not the presence of the supporting fibre affected the behaviour of the drops. This is of particular importance in the work of Kachurin and Bekryaew

where the heat conducted down the supporting wire would
certainly have altered the local temperature gradients
in the drop. Also, in all cases, the drops were frozen
in stagnant air and it is possible that the freezing
behaviour, and thus the electrification, may be consider-
ably different for drops falling at their terminal velocity
in air.

The results of Evans and Hutchinson and of Stott and
Hutchinson may be questioned because of their use of solid
carbon dioxide as a nucleating agent, which would give rise
to excessive concentrations of the gas in their refrigerated
cells. Dinger and Gunn (1946) found that the presence of
excess carbon dioxide could neutralise the charging produced
on the melting of ice. Also, Latham and Mason (1961)
found that the presence of excess carbon dioxide could
affect the charge separation due to a temperature gradient.

Further, their results would seem to be of only limited
application in considering the thunderstorm electrification
insofar as all of their observations were made on drops
which were nucleated at -1 or -2° C, and it is not certain
that the majority of raindrops or cloud drops are nucleated
at such a high temperature. Certainly the majority of
cloud droplets nucleated by accretion on a hailstone will be
nucleated at lower temperatures than this.

5. THE PURPOSE OF THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION.

It was the purpose of the present investigation to observe the freezing of single drops which were freely supported, and to observe whether the freezing behaviour was different from that observed with suspended drops. It was also hoped to obtain further information concerning the effect of freezing behaviour on the electrification.

CHAPTER 4.

APPARATUS FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF SINGLE DROPS.

1. INTRODUCTION.

As stated in chapter 3 it was the purpose of this investigation to observe the electrification on freezing of drops which were supported without contact with anything solid.

2. CHOICE OF SUPPORTING SYSTEM.

Two ways of freely supporting water drops were considered:

(a) by electrostatic suspension similar to that used in Millikan's experiment.

(b) by means of a wind tunnel.

The electrostatic support system was rejected for two reasons:

(i) any variation in the position of the drop on freezing could be due to either a change of mass, due to shattering, or to a change in charge, and the effects would be indistinguishable.

(ii) in order to support a drop of 1 mm diameter carrying a charge of 10^{-3} e.s.u. the supporting electric field would have to be of the order of 150 Vcm^{-1}. Observations made in an electric field of this value would not necessarily

be applicable to the freezing of drops in lower electric fields, and also, the effect of the initial charge on the drop would be difficult to determine.

For these reasons it was decided that supporting the drops by means of some kind of vertical wind tunnel would be most suitable. Two types of wind tunnel were considered:

(a) one with uniform cross-sectional area as used by Blanchard (1951), for example.

(b) one with specially contoured cross-sectional area as used by Kinzer and Gunn (1951).

Blanchard observed that when water drops supported in a wind tunnel froze rapidly they became unstable and oscillated out of the supporting air flow horizontally. As symmetrical objects supported in a wind tunnel of the type used by Kinzer and Gunn are constrained to remain on the axis of the airflow it was decided to use this type.

3.   THE SUPPORT TUBE.

Initial tests were carried out using a tapered glass tube which was drawn by hand. This tube varied in internal diameter from 2 to 6 mm over a length of approximately 4 cm. Drops of diameter about 4 mm were inserted into the tube by means of a hypodermic syringe and supported on the airflow through the tube. When the air flowing was cooled the drops were observed to begin freezing. However, when approximately a third of the drop being supported was frozen

it became unstable and oscillated from side to side till
it struck the tube wall. It was thought that this loss
of stability might be due in some measure to irregularities
in the taper of the tube. Because of this it was decided
to construct tubes of a more uniform taper. In order to
do this a hexagonal carbon mandril was made and several
glass tubes drawn with its use. These tubes were tapered
from an internal diameter of 3 mm up to 6 mm over a length
of 9 cm. At the narrow end of the bore the tube was flared
out to form a 5 cm length of 5 mm bore straight tubing for
connection of the air supply. One of these tubes is shown
in Fig.8. Observations of the freezing of drops using these
tubes showed that the drops were mechanically more stable in
these tubes. However, the taper of the tubes was still not
completely uniform owing to irregularities in the carbon
mandril. For this reason, and also to aid in the measure-
ment of charge as discussed later, tubes were machined out
of brass with the angle of taper closely controlled. It
was first necessary to make a special tapered reaming tool.
This tool was made by machining a steel rod until it had the
required angle of taper and dimensions. Slightly less than
half of the tapered section of the rod was then ground away
so as to leave it with a D-shaped cross-section. This was
hardened and used to ream out the tubes. The internal dim-
ensions of these tubes were the same as those of the glass

Fig. 8. A Coloured Water Drop Supported in a Glass Support Tube.

tubes, the lower end being machined so as to allow for the
connection of the air supply.   This is illustrated in
Fig. 9.   These tubes were found to be satisfactory in use.
However, the freezing drops were still observed to become
unstable when approximately one third of their volume had
frozen.   This was thought to be due to the loss of fluidity
of the drop, and will be discussed further in Chapter 5.

4.   THE AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM.

Initially the air supply was provided by a blower fan
having a 3" diameter impeller and a $\frac{3}{4}$" diameter outlet
nozzle.   However, as discussed later, it was found necess-
ary to use a small bore cooling system and this exerted too
great a resistance to the air flow for the fan to overcome.
Because of this cylinders of compressed air were used.
However, difficulties arose in their use.   The cylinders
were supplied containing air at a pressure of 2500 p s i
and the flow was regulated by means of a reducing valve,
British Oxygen type S120.OG, by which the output pressure
could be varied over the range 0 to 100 p s i.   In use the
output pressure was set at approximately 5 p s i.   Under
these conditions the air flow was found to be subject to
random fluctuations of up to 15%.   It was thought probable
that these fluctuations were due to the inadequacies of the
regulating valve when operating under these conditions.   In

Brass

0   5cm

Fig. 9. Diagram of a Brass Support Tube.

order to overcome the fluctuations a length of 1mm bore glass tube approximately 5 cm long was inserted in the air line immediately following the regulating valve to act as a choke. It was then necessary to increase the output pressure to approximately 40 p s i in order to obtain the required flow rate. The flow rate was found to be constant and continuously variable over the range 0 to 15 ℓ min^{-1}.

Measurements of the space charge present in the air supply were carried out using the space charge collector described by Groom (1966). Under the conditions where the air flow was fluctuating what appeared to be pulses of space charge were observed which corresponded to the fluctuation in the flow rate. When the air flow was stable this was not observed. It was found that these apparent space charge pulses were probably due to piezolectric effects in the space charge collector, caused by the pressure fluctuations of the airflow.

It was also found that quantities of water condensed out of the air following its adiabatic expansion on leaving the air cylinder. In order to avoid the transmission of this water through the cooling system it was frozen out in a water trap.

After the above precautions had been taken the air cylinders were found to be a satisfactory source of the air supply. Later in the course of the experiment a small air

compressor, Broom and Wade Handyair 3, became available
and this was then used as the air supply. The glass
capillary was left in the airline to remove fluctuations
due to the compressor action, and the space charge collector
was also kept in the airline to filter out oil and dust
particles from the compressor. The flow rate was again
regulated by the reducing valve, and was measured by a
Rotameter flowmeter which covered the range 0 to 10 ℓ min^{-1}.

5. THE DROP PRODUCER.

It was intended to investigate the behaviour of drops
of diameter 1 mm so as to allow comparison of the results
with those of previous workers. A drop producer was accord-
ingly constructed similar to that of Levvy (1947). In this
apparatus drops of the required size are formed on the tip
of a hypodermic needle and then detached by an airflow which
is co-axial with the needle. The drop producer worked sat-
isfactorily over the range of drop sizes 0.5 to 3 mm diameter.
However, it was not found possible to balance the air flow
through the support tube with that around the needle so as
to float the drops on the supporting air stream. Kinzer
and Gunn (1951) produced drops by means of a fine glass
capillary which was sealed into the top of their support
tube. They made observations on the drops by allowing them
to fall out of the bottom of the tube into a collector.
In the present investigation the lower end of the support
tube was connected to the air supply and so the top of the

tube had to be left open to allow for removal of the drops. Because of this the drops were introduced into the air stream by hand, using a hypodermic syringe with a No.20 gauge needle. This limited the size of the drops to between 3 and 5 mm diameter.

6. THE TEMPERATURE CONTROL APPARATUS.

In view of the proposed use of the blower fan as the source of the air supply in the early stages of the investigation several attempts were made to design heat exchangers which would satisfactorily cool the air stream to -20° C while presenting little resistance to the air. These attempts were not succesful and so will not be discussed further. It was decided that it would be necessary to use a heat exchanger with a high resistance to the air and, as already stated, it was for this reason that cylinders of compressed air were used as the source of the air supply. Helices were constructed of 8 mm bore glass having 10 turns in a length of 10", the radius of the coils being 1". Two of these helices were connected in series and submersed in methylated spirits maintained at a temperature between -35° C and -40° C in a refrigerated tank. Using this arrangement of heat exchangers it was found that the air could be cooled to -23° C at a flow rate of 10 ℓ min^{-1}. As stated earlier considerable quantities of water were present in the air supply from the cylinders and this water

was found to freeze in the heat exchangers and block them.
To overcome this problem the air was passed through a water
vapour trap before passing through the heat exchangers.
Liquid nitrogen was used to cool the vapour trap but it was
found that oxygen and carbon dioxide were frozen out of the
air as well as the water. Because of this the water trap
was cooled in the tank of methylated spirits. Small quant-
ities of water were found to pass through the trap and then
freeze in the heat exchangers. However, these quantities
were found to block the heat exchangers only after several
hours, and the heat exchangers were cleared of all ice at
the beginning of each day's observations to prevent block-
ages developing. As the degree of cooling produced by
this arrangement was not variable the air was passed over a
small electric heating coil after passing through the heat
exchangers. The air temperature was adjusted by varying
the supply of current to the coil by means of an Ironstone
variable transformer, the temperature being measured by
copper-constantan thermocouples.

7. CHARGE MEASUREMENT.

As all the drops whose freezing was observed during
testing of the support tube had become unstable and collided
with the wall of the support tube it was decided to use the
support tube as the charge collector. This is one reason

for preferring the use of the electrically conducting material brass. This arrangement had the advantage that any change in the charge of the drop could be observed continuously. The arrangement of the support tube is shown in Fig. 10. The support tube was supported vertically in polytetrafluorethylene mounts which insulated it from earth. The tube was closely surrounded by an earthed brass cylinder and enclosed in an earthed aluminium box. The tube was connected to the input of an Ekco vibrating reed electrometer, type N616B. The electrometer output was connected to a fast-writing pen recorder, the Watanabe Mini-writer 201-L. In use the electrometer input was short-circuited during insertion of drops into the tube, and then the $10^{10}\,\Omega$ input resistor was selected. The observed noise-level was seen to fluctuate up to 0.5 mV while using the $10^{10}\,\Omega$ input resistor. The quantity of charge was found by measuring the pulse produced on the recorder output and instrument noise-level set the minimum detectable charge as 0.15×10^{-3} e.s.u.

8. THE LAYOUT OF THE APPARATUS.

The layout of the apparatus is shown in Fig.11. The support tube was mounted inside the refrigerated cell on an earthed aluminium plate above the methylated spirits. The lead from the support tube to the electrometer head

Brass

P.T.F.E.

Perspex

To Electrometer

Fig.10. The Support Tube and Charge Collector

Fig.11. Diagrammatic Experimental Arrangement

Head Unit

Electrometer

Methylated Spirits

Flowmeter

Space Charge Collector

Capillary Tube

From Air Compressor

unit was rigidly fastened along its length so as to min-
imise the effect of piezoelectric effects due to vibration.
For the same reason the head unit was mounted on a rigid
freestanding rack.   A low-power telescope was mounted above
the support tube for observation of the drops.   A tape-
recorder was also mounted on the rack so that observations
on the drops could be recorded.   The compressor unit was
sited outside the laboratory so as not to take in any excess
carbon dioxide due to the occasional use of cardice in the
laboratory.

CHAPTER 5.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE AND RESULTS.

1.   EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE.

Basically the experimental procedure was to float a
drop in the support tube at a particular temperature and
then to observe the time lapse before the commencement of
freezing, the physical behaviour of the drop on freezing
and its electrification.

Before the drop was introduced into the support tube
the flow rate of the air supply was set at the desired value
as found previously by experiment.   The temperature of the
air was then adjusted by means of the heating coil, no ob-
servations being taken until the temperature had stabilised.
When the air flow conditions were steady the thermocouple
junction was removed from the mouth of the support tube and
a drop introduced by hand, using the hypodermic syringe.
Once the drop was floating freely in the airflow a stopwatch
was started and the electrometer input setting changed from
the "short circuit" to the 10^{10} Ω setting.   The size and
freezing behaviour of the drop were observed through the
low-power telescope and the observations spoken into the tape-
recorder microphone.   At the end of each observation the
air flow conditions were checked.   The drop residue was then

removed from the wind tunnel ready for the next observation.
Successive observations were identified on the tape-recorder
and the pen-recorder by numbers.   The following information
was obtained for each drop:-

air temperature;   drop size;   the time for which the drop
was supported before freezing commenced;   the physical
behaviour of the drop on freezing;   and its electrification.

2.   CALCULATIONS.

The temperatures quoted in Appendix 1 are the dry-bulb
temperatures of the airflow, and before considering the
results of the investigation it is necessary to find the
temperature of the drops on freezing.   Kinzer and Gunn
(1951) have shown that an evaporating water drop will in
time attain the wet-bulb temperature of the surrounding air-
stream.   To find the temperature of the drops on freezing
it is necessary to know their thermal relaxation-times and
the wet-bulb temperature of the air.   The initial temper-
ature of the drops on insertion into the support tube is
uncertain as they were cooled during their growth on the
hypodermic needle.   Experience showed that they were prob-
ably at around 0° C as they tended to freeze on the needle
if their growth was slower than usual.   Because of the
uncertainty in the temperature only approximate calculations
have been made of the drop temperatures on freezing.

CALCULATION OF THE DROP TEMPERATURES ON FREEZING.

(i)   The wet-bulb temperature of the air.

The lowest temperature attained by the air was -22° C
and at this temperature the air was fully saturated.
Extrapolation of the values of the weight of water present
in unit volume of air given by Kaye and Laby (1958) show
that approximately 1 gm m^{-3} of water is present.   As the
volume of the air changes by less than 1% over the temper-
ature range investigated this may be taken as the amount of
water present over the temperature range.   The relative
humidity of the air at various temperatures is then as shown
in Table 2.

TABLE 2.   Relative humidity of the air at various dry-bulb
           temperatures.

Dry bulb temperature	Relative humidity
0° C	20%
-5° C	26%
-10° C	44%
-15° C	50%
-20° C	100%

The wet-bulb temperature of the air is found by extrapolation from the values of the variation of wet-bulb depression with relative humidity given by Kaye and Laby. The dry and wet-bulb temperatures of the airflow are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Dry and wet-bulb air temperatures.

Dry-bulb temperature	Wet-bulb temperature.
0° C	-5° C
-5° C	-8° C
-10° C	-12° C
-15° C	-16° C
-20° C	-20° C

(ii) The thermal relaxation-times of the drops.

Kinzer and Gunn (1951) have shown that the thermal relaxation-time of a freely falling water drop varies with size in approximately the manner shown in Fig.12, and that it decreases with decreasing humidity. Reference to Fig. 12 shows that the relaxation-time varies from about 4 to 7 seconds over the drop range of drop diameters from 3 to 5 mm. Approximate values are shown in Table 4.

Fig.12. Variation of the Thermal
Relaxation-Time of Evaporating
Waterdrops with Size.

TABLE 4.   Thermal relaxation-times of drops.

Drop diameter	Relaxation-time
3 mm	4 sec.
3.5 mm	4.8 sec.
4.0 mm	5 sec.
4.5 mm	6 sec.
5.0 mm	7 sec.

The calculated temperatures of the drops on freezing are shown in Appendix II.

3.   RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION.

Observations were made on approximately 40 drops during testing of the apparatus, and detailed observations were made on 150 drops.   The detailed observations are given in Appendix 1 and the calculated temperatures of the drops on freezing in Appendix II.   Of these 150 drops Nos. 1-50 were of tap water, Nos.51-100 of de-ionised water, and Nos.101-150 of melted snow.   The observations on the physical behaviour of the drops and their electrification will be considered separately.

(a)   The physical behaviour of the drops.

During the testing of the apparatus observations were

made on drops freezing at temperatures just below 0° C. The manner in which the drops froze was seen to depend on whether or not they were rotating in the airflow about a horizontal axis. When the drops were rotating fine ice crystals were seen to grow into the drop from the surface and to be uniformly spaced over the surface. When approximately half of the bulk of the drop had frozen it became unstable in the airstream and oscillated into the wall of the wind tunnel. If the drops were not rotating then ice crystals were observed to grow up through the drop from the base. This was followed by progressive freezing of the base from the drop upwards. Again, when half-frozen the drop became unstable and collided with the wall of the tube.

The observations given in Appendix 1 were all carried out on drops which were floated in the brass support tube. The observations cover drops in the diameter range 3 mm to 5 mm diameter, and the range of air temperature from -5° C to 22° C. No variation in the behaviour of the drops was observed over this range of sizes, or between the different types of drops. It was found that the manner in which the drops froze could be classified according to the temperature of the drops when freezing commenced.

These two classes are:

(1) drops freezing at temperatures above -10° C:

(2) drops freezing at temperatures below -10° C.

When the drops froze at temperatures above -10° C
the freezing was observed to progress uniformly from the base
of the drop upwards. When one-third of the drop was frozen
it became unstable in the airstream and oscillated until it
collided with the wall of the wind tunnel. The liquid
portion of the drop then spread over the tunnel wall and
froze onto it. When the drops froze at temperatures below
-10° C a thin shell of ice froze rapidly over the surface of
the drop except for a small, approximately circular area at
the top of the drop. This area was typically 1 mm in dia-
meter. A few tenths of a second after the formation of
this shell the drop became unstable and moved horizontally
until it hit the wall of the wind tunnel and adhered to it.
The freezing of the drop then progressed uniformly from the
base upwards. When approximately half of the drop had froz-
en air bubbles were seen to be forced out of solution. As
the freezing continued chains of air bubbles were seen to be
trapped in the ice, the bubbles being of the order of 0.2 mm
in diameter. These chains of bubbles followed the curvature
of the surface of the drop. In the final stages of freezing
water was extruded through the hole in the ice shell. This
water froze to form a bulge, giving the frozen drop the ap-
pearance of an onion. Close examination of this bulge showed
it to contain trapped air bubbles, although where the base of

the bulge joined the main part of the drop the ice was generally transparent. On occasions a very thin layer of ice formed over the hole in the shell before the extrusion of any water. This layer of ice was almost immediately broken by the extrusion of water, with no visible ejection of ice splinters or water drops. Freezing then continued as before.

It was noted that none of the drops which were observed while floating in the brass support tube appeared to rotate at all.

(b)   The electrification of the drops.

None of the 150 drops whose electrification was observed were found to have any charge within the limits of measurement of the apparatus.

4.   DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS.

It was stated in Chapter 4 that all of the drops which were observed during freezing became unstable in the airstream before freezing was complete and hit the wall of the support tube. Blanchard (1955) quotes McDonald as pointing out that the centre of gravity of a falling water drop is above the upward acting centre of pressure for the aerodynamic forces on the drop. The drop remains in equilibrium by adjusting its shape continuously so as to prevent the above couple from acting. However, when the drop can no

longer change its shape, due to the formation of ice, this couple begins to act and causes the drop to oscillate in the airstream.   It seems likely that this is the reason for the drop instability in the present investigation. This would seem to be borne out by the observation that some of the drops were seen to change shape in an oscillatory manner when first introduced into the supporting airstream. These oscillations died out after a few seconds and the drops became stable.   It seems that these oscillations were due to the drop changing shape so as to prevent the above couple from acting.

The physical behaviour of the drops on freezing is somewhat different from that observed by previous workers. As stated in chapter 3 previous investigations have shown that the freezing of drops at temperatures near to 0° C commenced with the slow growth of a shell of clear ice over the surface of the drop.   This shell then thickened.   In the present investigation the formation of this shell of ice was not observed, the freezing being seen to progress from the base of the drop and uniformly throughout it.

Blanchard (1955) found that for drops at temperatures below -5° C the freezing commenced by the very rapid formation of an opaque ice shell over the entire surface of the drop.   Freezing then progressed by the thickening of the ice shell.   Mason and Maybank(1960) observed a similar pattern of freezing behaviour for drops at temperatures of about

-15°C. In the present investigation the ice shell did not cover the entire surface of the drop, and after its formation freezing progressed uniformly from the base of the drop.

It seems probable that the differences in behaviour of the drops in this investigation as compared with the behaviour of drops on fibres is due to the different heat transfer conditions. Suspended drops will lose heat mainly by conduction to the surrounding air while drops which are supported by an airflow will lose heat by conduction and also by evaporation. Further, the heat loss from a suspended drop will be uniform over its surface while that from a freely supported drop will depend on the pattern of the surrounding airflow. The growth of ice from the base of the drop upwards is explicable if the rate of transfer of heat is greatest at the base of the drop. Similarly, the existence of the unfrozen portion of the drop's surface is explicable if the rate of heat transfer is least at this point. Spilhaus(1947) has determined the pressure distribution around a freely falling sphere and found it to be as shown in Fig.13. It can be seen from Fig.13 that the flow streamlines around the drop are concentrated at the base and sides while the top of the drop is in a region of stagnant air. Applying this picture to a water drop it is seen that the rate of heat transfer, which depends on the local air motion, is greatest at the base of the drop and least at the top. It should also be noted that convection currents will exist in the drop and these will also

————— Stream Line
------- Isobar

Fig.13. Pressure Distribution
around a Sphere.

keep the top surface warmer than the remainder.

It is difficult to see why the behaviour of the drops was different in this investigation from that of Blanchard. The most probable explanation would seem to lie in the different airflows around the drops, and thus in the different conditions of heat transfer.

It is not known why the freezing behaviour of the drops changes in such a marked way with temperature. It is unlikely that the nucleating agent exerts any effect as the nuclei in this experiment were ice crystal fragments blown off the deposit on the walls of the heat exchanger and thus presumably always of a similar nature.

The results of the investigation into the electrification of the drops are significantly different from those of previous workers, as no electrification was found within the limits of detection of $\pm$ 0.15 x 10^{-3} e.s.u. Frequent tests were made to ensure that the charge measuring apparatus was functioning correctly and these are described in Appendix III. As previous workers have shown that electrification occurs only when the drop shatters or splinters on freezing this is understandable as no breaking of the drops was observed. Before considering the results further it is necessary to see if they could have arisen by chance. Previous workers have found that the overall probability of a drop breaking on freezing is about 0.2. Assuming this

to be the probability a χ^2 test may be carried out on the results. From this test it is found that to better than the 0.1% confidence level the results could not have arisen by chance. Thus the difference of these results from previous ones may be taken to be significant.

In applying these results to the electrification of thunderclouds it must be remembered that after the initial stages of freezing the drops were no longer freely supported and it is possible that the final stages of freezing might be significantly different if they occurred while the drops were still freely falling. This would particularly be expected in the case of drops freezing at below -10° C when rotation of the freezing drop might lead to the entire surface freezing. This would lead to splintering of the drop as the freezing progressed. It should also be remembered that these results hold for drops much larger than the cloud drops present in a thundercloud. However, if these results are taken to be applicable to cloud drops in a thundercloud two conclusions may be drawn.

First, it seems unlikely that drops freezing at above -10° C would contribute to the ice-nucleus economy of the cloud, unless rotation of the drops caused the formation of a complete ice shell before the centre of the drops froze.

Secondly, it may be speculated that the electrification of riming hailstones could be temperature dependent. Drops which impinged on the hailstone at temperatures above -10° C

would freeze slowly and the liquid water might spread over the surface of the hailstone. Further freezing would lead to the formation of glaze ice. Drops which impinged at temperatures below $-10°$ C would maintain their spherical shape because of the rapid formation of the covering ice shell. If the entire surface of the drop was frozen over subsequent freezing would lead to breaking and electrification. This would give rise to an opaque rimed surface. It is interesting to note that this might be the cause of the negative charge centre of the thundercloud being at the $-10°$ C if the different types of freezing produced electrification of different signs. This will be discussed again later.

CHAPTER 6.

THE ELECTRIFICATION OF HAIL.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Because of the importance of the role of solid precipitation in many of the theories of thunderstorm electrification, many workers have investigated the electrification of hail by various methods. This chapter reviews these investigations.

2. THE ELECTRIFICATION PRODUCED ON MELTING.

The electrification produced on melting is of importance for ice crystals and snowflakes as well as for hailstones.

Dinger and Gunn (1949) found that when samples of pure ice were melted in an airstream the water acquired a positive charge of 1.25 e.s.u. gm^{-1} of water melted, while an equal and opposite charge was carried away in the airstream. The charge was found to be sensitive to the presence of impurities, small quantities of which neutralised the charging. They also found that the presence of dissolved gases was essential for the charging to occur and suggested that the charging was connected with the cataphoresis of the gas bubbles when they were released from solution.

Mathews and Mason (1963) repeated Dinger and Gunn's experiment and also observed the electrification on melting using two other experimental arrangements. In no case did they observe any charge. Dinger (1964) suggested that this was because the charge effect is sensitive to the presence of carbon dioxide, and large quantities of the gas were present during the Mathews and Mason experiments.

Kikuchi (1965) performed experiments on the melting of natural snow crystals and on samples of ice containing various concentrations of air bubbles. The snow crystals were found to become positively charged on melting. The water was also found to become positively charged when the ice samples melted, and it was found that the quantity of charge acquired by the water was proportional to the concentration of air bubbles over a wide range. Kikuchi concluded that the air bubbles were responsible for the charging.

Magono and Kikuchi (1963, 1965) studied the melting of both natural and artificial snow crystals and found that they acquired a positive charge on melting. The charge increased with the size and complexity of the snowflake and they concluded that the charge was due to the release of air bubbles trapped in the ice. On average, a snow crystal melting to form a drop of diameter 150 μ acquired an average charge of 2×10^{-4} e.s.u. If this result is generally applicable to snow, then the process might be a powerful

generator of charge in snowstorms.

MacCready and Proudfit (1965A) made measurements on the charges of hydrometeors in and below thunderclouds. They found that the charges on graupel and hail within the supercooled regions of the cloud were generally large and positive. Below the cloud the positive charge was found to decrease steadily until the hydrometeors were at the $0^{o}C$ level. The charge then remained constant until the hydrometeors were between the $\pm 2^{o}$ and $+8^{o}$ C level when the charge became abruptly negative. This change in the sign of the charge was found to correlate with the final melting of the hydrometeors and MacCready and Proudfit concluded that a strong charging mechanism is associated with the melting of hydrometeors. It should be noted that these results give a sign reversal which is opposite to that found by previous workers.

Latham and Stow (1965 C) showed that the steady reduction of the positive charge at temperatures below 0° C could be explained in terms of charge generation due to the evaporation of the ice particles.

MacCready and Proudfit (1965 B) performed laboratory experiments on the charge associated with melting for comparison with their field measurements. Spheres and cubes of ice were melted in an airstream and the charge measured in three different ways. They found certain consistent features of the charging. The sample always acquired a

positive charge of the order of 0.1 e.s.u. and the acquisition of the charge occurred mainly during the later stages of the melting.   Results on natural hail samples were found to be generally similar though more erratic. MacCready and Proudfit concluded that their results supported the observations of Dinger and Gunn although they did not find the charging to be as sensitive to impurities as Dinger and Gunn had found.   They also found that the rate of melting of the samples affected the magnitude of the charge and suggested that this might be the cause of the difference in the results of Dinger and Gunn, and of Mathews and Mason.   They further suggested that the difference between their field and laboratory measurements might be due to the different ambient conditions.

3.   ELECTRIFICATION ASSOCIATED WITH TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS
        IN ICE.

Findeisen (1940) grew a fine rimed deposit on an iced surface maintained at -60° C and observed that the deposit acquired a charge.   He suggested that the sign of the charge was determined by the direction of temperature gradient in the ice surface and that a compensating charge was carried away on small ice splinters which were ejected from the surface.   In a later investigation (1943) he showed that the splinters did carry this charge.

Reynolds, Brook and Gourley (1957) investigated the electrification resulting from the asymmetric rubbing of ice-coated rods. When the two rods were both coated with pure ice the warmer one was found to acquire a negative charge. However, when one of the specimens was made of a 10^{-4} N solution of sodium chloride it became negative although it was as much as 25° C colder than the specimen of pure ice. They attributed this reversal of sign to the formation of a liquid layer due to the rubbing, followed by selective ion absorption on refreezing of the layer.

Brook (1958) measured the electrification resulting from the transient contact of pieces of ice under conditions when rubbing and frictional contact were minimised. He found the sign of the charge to be related to that of the temperature gradient in the same manner as found by Reynolds, Brook and Gourley although the magnitude of the charge was of an order of magnitude less. Brook suggested that as the conductivity of ice increased with increasing temperature and increasing contamination suggesting a temperature-controlled rate-process, then the conduction process could be described in terms of an effective proton-gas temperature. Two samples of ice in contact at different temperatures would then give rise to an e.m.f. which would cause the transfer of protons from the warm to the cold ice. As this mechanism did not fully explain the results obtained

with salty ice he also suggested the existence of a "second-order pyroelectric effect."

Latham and Mason (1961 A) put forward a formal theory of the charge separation due to temperature gradients as described in chapter 2. They confirmed the theoretical results experimentally.

There have been a number of recent publications verifying the existence of a charge separation effect due to temperature gradients. The most convincing experiment is probably that of Latham (1964) in which charge separation was observed in an ice crystal which was in contact solely with a suspending fibre, spurious effects thus being eliminated as far as possible.

4.   ELECTRIFICATION ASSOCIATED WITH HAIL

(a)   Collisions between ice crystals and hailstones.

Reynolds, Brook and Gourley (1957) investigated the charging produced when an ice-coated sphere moved through a cloud of supercooled water drops and ice crystals. The experiment was performed in a large cold chamber which was maintained at -25° C. A water vapour source was present in the chamber and produced a cloud of supercooled drops of about $5\,\mu$ diameter. This cloud could be seeded in several ways to produce ice crystals of up to $100\,\mu$ in size. Two 4 mm diameter ice coated spheres were mounted on the

ends of a rotating rod and connected to an electrometer. The spheres were moved through the cloud of drops and ice crystals at a speed similar to that of hailstones of the same size falling through the atmosphere, and the rate of charging observed. The relative concentrations of drops and ice crystals in the cloud was varied and it was found that little or no charging occurred when the cloud was composed entirely of drops or crystals. Positive charging was found to be associated with a high concentration of crystals compared to drops, and negative charging with a high concentration of drops compared to crystals. When the cloud conditions were set to favour positive charging, negative charging could be obtained by warming the ice-coated spheres or by adding sodium chloride smoke to the cloud. Reynolds, Brook and Gourley explained the negative charging as being due to a temperature difference effect in which the spheres were warmed by the latent heat released from the drops freezing onto them and became negatively charged by the collisions of the colder ice crystals. They measured a charging rate of 2 e.s.u. $\sec^{-1}$ for a crystal concentration of 10^7 m^{-3} and thus obtained an estimate of 5×10^{-4} e.s.u. for the charge separated per crystal collision. This estimate formed the basis of the thunderstorm theory described in Chapter 1.

Latham and Mason (1961 B) investigated the electrific-

ation of an iced probe by ice crystal collisions in the absence of liquid water. The apparatus consisted of an ice-coated insulated rod which was connected to an electrometer. Ice crystals were drawn past the probe in an air stream. The temperatures of the ice surface and of the crystals were varied, and so was the speed at which the crystals were drawn past the probe. The size of the crystals and the number impacting on the rod were measured by making plastic castings on a Formvar-coated rod of similar diameter. Although the crystals were not of uniform size it was found possible to vary their average size between 20 and 50μ. The rate of charging of the ice surface was found to be dependent on the temperature difference between the ice crystals and the surface. Variation of the impact velocity of the crystal over the range 1 to 30 m sec^{-1} produced no systematic variation in the charging. For a temperature difference of 5° C they found that the average charge separated per collision for 20μ size crystals was 5×10^{-9} e.s.u., which is five orders of magnitude less than the value found by Reynolds, Brook and Gourley. When the ice coating on the rod was contaminated with 3.6 mgℓ^{-1} of sodium chloride, which is the concentration normally found in clouds, the effect on the charging was equivalent to raising the temperature of the ice surface by 2° C.

Magono and Takahashi (1963) passed a stream of ice

crystals of about 0.5 mm diameter past an iced probe.
The temperatures of the probe and the crystals could be
varied. Under these conditions no considerable charging
was observed. They then passed both ice crystals and drops
of about 5μ diameter past the probe and observed the charg-
ing. Their results agreed on the whole with those of
Reynolds, Brook and Gourley. Magono and Takahashi then
investigated the effect of the nature of the ice surface on
the charging and found that the probe became negatively charg-
ed by collision with ice crystals if the surface was freshly
rimed. They found that this effect could enhance the charg-
ing by up to a factor of 6 as compared with a glazed surface.
However, it is not certain that these results are applicable
to the smaller ice crystals present in thunderclouds.

Church (1966) investigated the electrification due to
ice crystal collisions by drawing supercooled drops and ice
crystals past a rotating probe which consisted of 4 ice-
coated spheres of 4 mm diameter on opposite ends of two rods
which were connected to an electrometer. The results were
generally similar to those of Reynolds, Brook and Gourley
except that the charge separated per crystal collision was
found to be 10^{-6} e.s.u.

(b) Collisions between supercooled water drops and hailstones.

Several workers have measured the charge produced when
supercooled water drops encounter an ice surface, and have

generally found that the ice surface acquired a negative charge.

As an exception to this Findeisen (1940) found that the ice surface acquired a positive charge as soon as the drops began to freeze. The charge was reduced if the ice surface became smooth and glassy, or wet which happened when the drops froze slowly. A natural supercooled cloud gave rather larger charging than an artificial spray and Findeisen suggested that this was due to the more rapid freezing of the smaller cloud drops. Kramer (1948) repeated Findeisen's work in greater detail and found that the ice surface acquired a negative charge which was proportional to the impact velocity of the drops. Lueder (1951AB) performed experiments in natural supercooled clouds on a mountain top and found that the growing rime deposit acquired a negative charge, positive charge presumably being carried by the parts of the drops which were flung off without freezing. Meinhold (1951) measured the electric field strength at the surface of an aircraft flying through a supercooled cumulus congestus cloud and thus found the rate of charging of the aircraft due to riming. The aircraft acquired a negative charge at a rate of 5×10^{-12} C cm^{-2} sec^{-1}. Weickmann and aufm Kampe (1950) sprayed supercooled water drops of between 5 and 100 μ diameter onto a 5 mm diameter metal rod. They found the charging to be insensitive to dissolved salts

and proportional to the impact velocity of the drops.
At a velocity of 15 m sec^{-1} the charging rate attained a
value of 5×10^{-12} C cm^{-2} sec^{-1} in good agreement with
Meinhold's results. However, they later suggested that
the charging might have been seriously affected by elect-
rification associated with the production of the spray.
Reynolds, Brook and Gourley (1957) found that charging occ-
urred only in the presence of ice crystals. Latham and
Mason (1961 B) measured the electrification by drawing
supercooled drops in the diameter range 40 to 100 μ in an
air stream past a stationary ice-coated sphere which was
connected to an electrometer. For impact velocities be-
tween 5 and 15 m sec^{-1} the drops were found to produce ice
splinters when they impinged on the probe. The probe
acquired a negative charge which was proportional to the
number of splinters produced. On average Latham and Mason
found that each drop produced 12 splinters and caused a
charge separation of 4×10^{-6} e.s.u. This formed the basis
of the theory of thunderstorm electrification discussed in
Chapter 1. Magono and Takahashi (1963) found that charging
was produced only in the presence of ice crystals. They
also found that the sign of the charge was dependent on the
temperature of the probe and the riming rate. Church (1966)
found that riming by supercooled water drops produced no
charge unless ice crystals were present or the drops were
freezing when they impinged on the probe.

5. ELECTRIFICATION BY THE EVAPORATION OF ICE.

As stated in Chapter 2 an evaporating ice specimen will develop a temperature gradient across its surface and this will lead to the specimen becoming negatively charged as the outer surface is stripped off. Latham and Stow (1965 A) measured the electric current produced when an ice-coated copper sphere of diameter 3.4 cm was exposed to a stream of chilled nitrogen. The sphere was maintained at a temperature of -20° C while the temperature of the nitrogen was varied between 0° C and -40° C. For nitrogen temperatures above -10° C the sphere became positively charged while below -10° C it was always negatively charged. In a separate experiment the variation of the temperature gradient in the ice surface with the temperature of the nitrogen was found. Using these results they showed that the current due to the evaporation increased smoothly with the temperature gradient in the ice surface. The maximum rate of charging of the sphere was found to be 4×10^{-4} e.s.u. sec^{-1}. For a hailstone of diameter 4 mm the rate of charging would be about two orders of magnitude less. If hailstones are the generators of the electrification of thunderclouds they must on average each be charging at a rate of at least 10^{-3} e.s.u. sec^{-1}, which is greater than the charging produced by evaporation. While this charging is therefore not sufficient to account for thunderstorm

electrification it may be a source of positive space charge in dry air blowing over snow, or in dry air through which snow and hail is falling.

6. OTHER SOURCES OF ELECTRIFICATION.

The Workman - Reynolds effect has been described in Chapters 1 and 2. As stated then it is sensitive to the presence of impurities and no charging has been observed due to the impaction of drops on a wet surface by other workers.

The Wilson process of selective ion capture is probably of importance in determing the sign of charge on precipitation reaching the ground.

7. FIELD MEASUREMENTS.

Field measurements of the charge on hail will only be of significance to thunderstorm electrification if the conditions in the cloud from which the hail has fallen are known. Usually these conditions are not known. The discrepancies between the few results which have been obtained are probably due to the different conditions under which observations were made.

Küttner (1950) measured the charge on hail inside clouds on the Zugspitze and found it to be nearly always positive. Moore (1965) found that the charge on hail collected at the ground varied from minute to minute and was usually of the same sign as the point discharge ions being produced by the

high potential gradient. MacCready and Proudfit (1965) measured the charge on individual hailstones in and below thunderclouds. At temperatures well below 0° C they observed charges of a few e.s.u., while at temperatures near 0° C the hailstones had a smaller positive charge. When the hailstones melted the charge became negative. The positive charges are consistent with the results of Küttner. Moore's results may be interpreted in terms of the Wilson selective ion capture process, but it is not known whether this had any effects on the results of Küttner, and of MacCready and Proudfit.

8.   SUMMARY.

The laboratory experiments described in this chapter are open to doubt as far as their applicability to natural conditions is concerned. In all cases the airflow around the ice specimens, and thus the rate of heat transfer, is probably unlike that occurring in natural conditions. Also, in most of the experiments the charge was measured by being leaked away to earth through an electrometer and thus the effect of the building up of charge on the specimens was not found. The experiments of Magono and Kikuchi (1963, 1965), and of Kikuchi (1965) most closely approach natural conditions. However, their results are of doubtful validity insofar as the snowflakes were melted rapidly while MacCready

and Proudfit found that the rate of melting affected
the electrification produced.

For these reasons it was decided to investigate the
electrification of ice specimens which were freely supported
in a stream of air.

CHAPTER 7.

APPARATUS FOR FREELY SUSPENDING ICE.

1.   AIR NOZZLE.

It was found that solid bodies could be suspended by
the airflow issuing from a nozzle even when their shape
departed considerably from spherical.   The most consistent
results were obtained when the nozzle diameter was less than
a quarter of the diameter of the object being supported.
Although there was found to be a lower limit to the nozzle
diameter for any particular size of body it was wished to
support, the actual size of nozzle used was not found to be
critical over a wide range.   Attempts were made to support
bodies of from 4 mm to 3 cm in diameter and it was found
that bodies over 6 or 7 mm could be stably supported on a
nozzle of diameter 3/32".   Larger bodies were supported on
a nozzle of diameter 1/4".   It was found that this means
of support was so stable that bodies could be supported by
the airflow at angles of up to 45° to the vertical.   When
4 mm diameter ball bearings were placed in the airstream
from a 1/8" diameter nozzle they were observed to be supp-
orted for a period of 1 or 2 seconds before becoming unstable.
It seems likely that it would be possible to support small
objects of this size by using a smaller nozzle;   unfortun-
ately there was not sufficient time available to verify this.

Fig. 14. The Air Nozzle Supporting a Plasticine Sphere.

Accordingly a nozzle of diameter 3/32" in a 1/2" diameter brass rod was used to support spheres of ice of about 15 mm diameter.

2.   THE ICE MOULDS.

While it was not necessary for the ice particles to be spherical in order to be supported in the airflow it was decided that measurement would more conveniently be made on spherical particles of uniform size. It was found that hollow glass balls of the type used for Christmas decorations made satisfactory moulds. They could be easily obtained and varied in diameter by only 1 mm either side of 15 mm. To make the ice spheres the balls were filled with water through the hole already in them and then placed in a refrigerator. After the water had frozen the glass shell was broken away. Care was taken to make sure that all the glass was removed from the ice sphere.

3.   THE CHARGE COLLECTOR.

Initially measurements on the charge acquired by the ice spheres after a period of suspension in the supporting air stream were made by blowing the sphere into a cylindrical charge collector which was connected to the electrometer used in the previous investigation. The sphere was blown out of the supporting air stream by a short burst of air from a secondary nozzle. This worked satisfactorily

except that considerable skill was required to blow the sphere into the charge collector, and on average only half of the spheres were caught. Because of this the charge collector was changed. The air nozzle was mounted in the base of a cylindrical can of diameter 6.5 cm and height 3 cm and was electrically connected to it. The charge collector and the nozzle were insulated from earth and connected to the input of the electrometer. The charge on the spheres was measured by cutting off the air supply and allowing the sphere to fall back into the charge collector. The charge collector and nozzle were mounted on an earthed metal plate inside a refrigerated cell. The walls of the cell were of stainless steel and were earthed so as to shield the charge collector from extraneous fields. The electrometer was used with the 10^{10} Ω input resistor selected and the indicator unit set for 1000 mV full scale deflection. Tests showed the noise level of the charge collector system to be of the order of 50 mV under these conditions of operation. This instrument noise set the minimum charge measurable as 15×10^{-3} e.s.u. The output from the electrometer was connected to the Watanabe pen recorder.

4. THE AIR SUPPLY AND COOLING SYSTEMS.

The air supply and cooling apparatus were as described in Chapter 4 except that the length of capillary tubing was removed from the air supply line. The temperature of

the air stream at the outlet nozzle was measured by means of a thermocouple and it was found that the lowest temperature attainable was -14° C. It was also found that large numbers of small water drops were produced in the air flow and these were utilised in the investigation into the electrification associated with the riming of the ice spheres.

The general layout of the apparatus was similar to that described in Chapter 4 except that the air nozzle and charge collector replaced the support tube.

CHAPTER 8.

RESULTS.

1. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD.

Measurements were made of the electrification assoc-
iated with the riming and melting of the ice spheres.
The experimental method was similar in both investigations.
The air flow was set to the value required to support the
ice sphere about 8 cm above the charge collector as found
by experiment. Then the temperature of the airflow was
adjusted to the required value and allowed to stabilise.
The electrometer input selector was set on the "short
circuit" input and then an ice sphere was introduced into
the airflow, metal forceps being used to handle it.
The $10^{10}\Omega$ input resistor was selected on the electrometer.
After the sphere had been supported for a known length of
time, usually about 20 sec, the air supply was cut off and
the sphere allowed to fall back into the charge collector,

2. ELECTRIFICATION ASSOCIATED WITH RIMING OF THE ICE SPHERES

Measurements were made of the electrification due to
riming of the ice spheres of diameter 15 mm at air temperatures
-7° C, -10° C, -12° C and -13° C. The spheres were rimed
by accretion of the droplets already present in the support-

ing air stream as mentioned in Chapter 7. The size of
the droplets was found by allowing them to impinge on a
Formvar-coated glass plate. The size of the droplets
was found to vary between 5 and 100μ with the mean value
being about 25μ. The number of drops impinging on the
plate could only be found very approximately but appeared
to be of the order of 10^4 sec^{-1}. No ice crystals were
observed in the air stream but it is not certain that there
were none present as crystals smaller than 5μ would not
have been detected. In order to find the percentage of
drops present in the airstream which collided with the ice
sphere being suspended, droplets which had been coloured
with potassium permangate were sprayed into the air stream.
Those droplets which did not collide with the ice sphere
were collected on a piece of blotting paper which was
situated in the air stream above the sphere. Tests in
the absence of any ice sphere showed this to be a satis-
factory means of collecting the coloured droplets. When
an ice sphere was being supported in the air stream no
discolouration of the blotting paper was observed indicat-
ing that all the droplets were colliding with the sphere.
Measurements were made under otherwise similar conditions
both on the same sphere repeatedly, and on a series of
freshly made spheres, in order to see if any effect due
to ageing of the sphere surface could be detected. No

Current e.s.u.

Time sec

1. 10^{10} Ω Input Selected
2. Charge on Sphere.

Fig.15. Recorder Trace of a Charge Measurement on a
Riming Ice Sphere.

such effect was found, but it was decided to use a freshly made sphere for each measurement so as to eliminate this effect if it existed. In order to make the measurements as nearly identical as possible each sphere was heated by means of the radiation from an electric light bulb until the surface was covered by a thin layer of liquid water, and then introduced into the air stream. The layer of liquid quickly froze when the sphere was supported in the air stream.

The results of the measurements are shown in Table 5 and a typical recorder trace is shown in Fig.15.

TABLE. 5. Electrification of 15 mm diameter ice spheres after riming for 20 sec.

No.	Air temperature ^{o}C	Charge e.s.u.
1	- 7	-15
2	- 7	- 3
3	- 7	-12
4	- 7	-14
5	-10	-12
6	-10	- 6
7	-10	-12
8	-10	-14
9	-10	-15

No.	Air temperature °C	Charge e.s.u.
10	-10	-18
11	-10	- 4
12	-10	- 6
13	-10	-10
14	-10	-13
15	-12	+12
16	-12	+ 9
17	-12	+10
18	-12	+12
19	-12	+ 3
20	-12	+ 7
21	-12	+39
22	-13	+ 3
23	-13	+ 7
24	-13	+ 5

The large charge of +39 e.s.u. measured on sphere
No.21 is thought to be spurious as this sphere was observed

to rime considerably more than the others. It is thought that this was due to the presence of a cloud of droplets in the refrigerated cell which was produced by the operator's breath. Although an insufficient number of results was obtained to allow the drawing of any conclusions as to the variation in magnitude of the electrification with temperature, there appears to be a definite change in the sign of the charge at between -10° and -12° C. To find whether this sign reversal was dependent on the air temperature or the temperature of the spheres, measurements were made on spheres which were at different temperatures when introduced into the air stream. The sign reversal was still found to take place at about -10° C indicating that the temperature of the air stream is the determining factor.

A possible explanation of this sign reversal is based on the observation of the change in the freezing behaviour of single drops reported in Chapter 5. As the droplets which rimed the spheres were produced by condensation in the air stream, and being small would have short thermal relaxation-times, it is reasonable to expect that they would be at the temperature of the air stream when they collided with the ice spheres. Drops which were nucleated at temperatures above -10° C by collision with the ice spheres would be expected to freeze slowly from the point of impact and some of the liquid portion of the drop would

splash off the sphere and be carried away in the airstream. Charge could thus be separated either by the effect of the splashing or by the development of a Workman-Reynolds potential across the freezing face of the drops. Drops which collided with the sphere at temperatures below -10° C would be expected to freeze to form a covering ice shell. Further freezing of the drops would then lead to their breaking and ejecting charged ice splinters.

While this explanation appears to be feasible it raises several questions. Magono and Takahashi (1963) found that the sign of the charge acquired by a riming iced probe depended on the temperature of the probe and the riming rate. They found that for a rate of riming equal to that of the hailstone in a thundercloud the sign of the charge acquired by the hailstone would change from negative to positive as the hailstone fell past the -10° C level. This sign reversal is of opposite polarity to that found in this investigation. The explanation of this effect given by Magono and Takahashi depends on collisions between ice crystals and the probe, the riming due to supercooled drops being of importance only in determining the nature of the probe surface. The difference in polarity of the sign reversal and the apparent absence of ice crystals in the present investigation indicate that different charge generating mechanisms were operating in the two cases.

The negative charge acquired by the ice spheres when rimed by droplets at temperatures above -10° C agrees with the findings of previous workers as to the charge acquired by a riming surface, except for the results of Findeisen. The positive charge acquired when the spheres were rimed by droplets at temperatures below -10° C is of opposite sign to that observed by most previous workers and it is difficult to explain the origin of this charge.

While the accretion rate was not accurately measured it is of interest to calculate an approximate value of the charge separated per droplet collision. As already stated nearly all of the droplets present in the air stream collided with the ice spheres. Thus, if there are assumed to be 10^4 collisions per sec as stated in Chapter 7, after 20 sec there would have been 2×10^5 collisions. Taking 10 e.s.u. as the charge acquired by the ice sphere in this time the average charge per collision is 5×10^{-5} e.s.u. This is of the same order of magnitude as the values found by Reynolds, Brook and Gourley (1957), and by Church (1966).

Because of the small number of results and the uncertainty in the rate of accretion care must be taken in applying these results to the electrification of thunderclouds. However, if a hailstone falling in a thundercloud were accreting droplets in a similar manner, the results indicate that at levels above the -10° C isotherm it would acquire

a positive charge by riming. The negatively charged
particles flung off would be carried up towards the top
of the cloud in the updraught. When the hailstone fell
below the -10° C level, riming would cause it to acquire
negative charge and its net charge would eventually become
negative. Again, the positively charged particles flung
off would be carried up to the top of the cloud in the
updraught. If the charges carried to the top of the cloud
are of equal magnitude for both signs of charge then this
would give rise to a positive charge above the -10° C
level and a negative one beneath it. The measurements of
MacCready and Proudfit have shown that the charge carried
by hailstones at the -8° C level are generally positive.
If some mechanism operates at the -8° C level which reverses
the polarity of the charge on the hailstones then the above
theory would account for the concentration of the negative
charge near the -10° C level.

3. ELECTRIFICATION ASSOCIATED WITH THE MELTING OF ICE.

Measurements were made of the charge acquired by ice
spheres of diameter 15 mm when they melted in an air stream
at a temperature of 18° C. The spheres were supported in
the air stream for a known length of time and their behav-
iour during melting observed. The degree of melting was
not measured except as to whether or not it had progressed

to the point where visible drops of water were being flung off. The results of the experiment are shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6. <u>Electrification associated with melting of ice spheres of diameter 15 mm.</u>

No.	Charge e.s.u.	Degree of melting.
1	+ 5	1
2	+ 6	1
3	+ 8	1
4	- 6	2
5	- 9	2
6	- 4	2
7	- 3	2

The figures 1 and 2 in the third column of Table 6 indicate:

1) that the sphere was covered by a film of liquid water but no visible drops had been flung off.

2) that visible drops of water had been flung off from the sphere.

Although the number of results is too small to allow of definite conclusions being drawn they indicate that the charge on the spheres depends on whether or not water

had been thrown off. The spheres were opaque and
apparently contained large numbers of air bubbles. A
possible explanation of the results is that so long as
no water was flung off the charge mechanism was that of
air bubble release as observed by previous workers. When
water was flung off the dominant charge mechanism was that
of the breaking of the water surface. This is consistent
with the proposed explanation of the charging due to riming
at drop temperatures above -10° C.

Again caution must be observed in applying these
results to thundercloud electrification, both because of
the small number of results and because of the large size
of the ice spheres. The maximum stable size of raindrop
in the atmosphere is about 5 mm. In the turbulent cond-
itions of a thundercloud this limiting size may well be
less. Also, as described in Section 4 of this chapter,
melting ice spheres were observed to rotate rapidly about
a vertical axis after the formation of a liquid film on
their surface. If this is true of hailstones then the
disruptive forces due to this spinning may lower the maximum
stable size of raindrop. If these factors reduce the
maximum stable size of raindrop to about 3 mm then an
explanation may be found for the results of MacCready and
Proudfit. In their laboratory experiment no water would
be flung off from the ice sample and thus the charge
acquired by the sample would be positive as they found.

In their field measurement they observed the charge
on melting hailstones of about 4 mm diameter.   If the
raindrops resulting from the melting of the hailstones
are taken to be unstable for sizes above 4 mm diameter
then it would be expected that the melting hailstone would
be stable until the completion of melting.   If this is
the case then until melting was complete no water would be
flung off and the charge on the hailstone would be positive.
On the completion of melting the raindrop would be unstable
and water would be flung off;   thus the raindrop would
acquire a negative charge.   This is consistent with the
observations of MacCready and Proudfit.

4.   THE MELTING BEHAVIOUR OF THE ICE SPHERES.

The melting behaviour of spheres of diameter 15 mm
and 40 cm was observed.   It was similar for both sizes of
sphere.   As long as the surface of the spheres was dry
they remained stationary in the air stream without rotating.
Melting commenced by the growth of a film of water which
spread from the base of the sphere over its entire surface.
As the melting progressed further the sphere began to
rotate rapidly about a vertical axis.   The rate of rotation
was measured with a stroboscope and found to be of the order
of 300 to 400 revolutions per minute.   Further melting of
the sphere led to the formation of a ring of water about
the horizontal equator of the sphere.   Drops of water of

about 2 mm diameter were then flung off from this ring
and carried away in the air stream. This behaviour is
similar to that observed by Blanchard (1953) for the melting
of ice specimens suspended in an air stream by means of
fibres. Blanchard did not observe the spinning of the
specimens but this was probably due to the effect of the
suspending fibre. He observed that the drops flung off
from the ring of water passed over the top of the specimen
before being carried away in the air stream. This was
occasionally observed in the present experiment but usually
the drops did not do this. This is probably due to the
spinning motion of the spheres which tended to fling the
drops off in a nearly horizontal direction.

CHAPTER 9.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK.

Experiments on the freezing and electrification of individual water drops suspended by an air stream have shown considerable differences in the behaviour of these drops as compared with drops which were supported on a fibre in stagnant air conditions. The freezing behaviour of the drops has been shown to be temperature-dependent, a marked change in behaviour occurring for temperatures above and below -10° C. The experiments have also shown that no electrification was produced by the freezing of these drops within the experimental limit of $\pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$ e.s.u. As these observations were made under conditions more closely resembling the conditions in a thundercloud than previously they throw doubt on the applicability of previous results to thunderstorm electrification. These discrepancies also raise the question as to how far the present laboratory results may be applied to electrification in thunderclouds.

Experiments on the electrification of ice spheres supported in an air stream have shown the existence of what would appear to be a temperature-dependent mechanism of charging due to riming. Riming by the accretion of droplets warmer than -10° C leads to the acquisition of a negative charge by the ice spheres, while riming by the

accretion of droplets colder than -10° C leads to the
acquisition of a positive charge. It is suggested that
an explanation of this effect lies in the temperature -
dependence of the freezing behaviour of drops mentioned
above. It is also suggested that this mechanism could
give rise to thundercloud electrification of the observed
polarity, and also the observed concentration of negative
charge near the -10° C level. The experiments have also
shown that the electrification of a melting hailstone appears
to depend on whether or not water is flung off during
melting. If water is not flung off then the hailstone
acquires a positive charge, if water is flung off the hail-
stone becomes negatively charged and it is thought that
this can explain the difference between the field and
laboratory measurements of MacCready and Proudfit.

The melting behaviour of ice supported by an air
stream has been shown to be as found by Blanchard except
that the ice spheres were observed to rotate rapidly about
a vertical axis when their surfaces became wet. It is
proposed that this would reduce the maximum size of stable
raindrop resulting from the melting of hailstones.

1.   SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK.

The differences in the results of the present invest-
igations as compared with previous experiments under less
natural conditions of support throw doubt on the applic-

ability of laboratory results to thundercloud electrif-
ication. The results underline the need in future work
to have the experimental conditions more nearly resemble
those in the atmosphere.

APPENDIX I

OBSERVATIONS.

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
1	3.0	- 8.6	16	2
2	3.0	-17.1	12	2
3	3.0	-17.1	14	2
4	3.0	-19.0	12	2
5	3.5	- 4.8	15	1
6	3.5	- 4.8	17	1
7	3.5	- 7.6	15	1
8	3.5	- 7.6	17	1
9	3.5	- 8.6	12	2
10	3.5	- 8.6	14	2
11	3.5	- 8.6	15	2
12	3.5	-10.5	10	2
13	3.5	-10.5	21	2
14	3.5	-13.2	14	2
15	3.5	-14.4	14	2
16	3.5	-17.1	12	2
17	4.0	- 4.8	22	1
18	4.0	- 4.8	25	1
19	4.0	- 5.8	16	1
20	4.0	- 5.8	16	1

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
21	4.0	– 7.6	12	1
22	4.0	– 7.6	18	1
23	4.0	– 8.6	18	2
24	4.0	–10.5	15	2
25	4.0	–13.2	13	2
26	4.0	–13.2	15	2
27	4.0	–14.4	12	2
28	4.0	–14.4	16	2
29	4.0	–17.1	12	2
30	4.0	–17.1	12	2
31	4.0	–17.1	14	2
32	4.0	–19.0	10	2
33	4.0	–19.0	13	2
34	4.5	– 4.8	17	1
35	4.5	– 5.8	16	1
36	4.5	– 5.8	18	1
37	4.5	– 8.6	15	1
38	4.5	– 8.6	20	2
39	4.5	– 8.6	21	2
40	4.5	–10.5	13	2
41	4.5	–13.2	12	2
42	4.5	–19.0	10	2

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
43	4.5	-19.0	14	2
44	5.0	- 5.8	23	1
45	5.0	- 8.6	18	2
46	5.0	-10.5	15	2
47	5.0	-13.2	14	2
48	5.0	-17.6	12	2
49	5.0	-17.6	12	2
50	5.0	-17.6	11	2
51	3.0	- 5.3	14	1
52	3.0	- 5.3	15	1
53	3.0	- 5.3	19	1
54	3.0	-10.7	16	2
55	3.0	-13.5	15	2
56	3.0	-13.5	17	2
57	3.5	- 5.3	13	1
58	3.5	- 7.9	13	1
59	3.5	- 7.9	16	2
60	3.5	- 9.3	16	2
61	3.5	-13.5	14	2
62	3.5	-17.6	13	2
63	4.0	- 5.3	15	1
64	4.0	- 7.9	14	1
65	4.0	- 7.9	14	1

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
66	4.0	− 7.9	15	2
67	4.0	− 8.2	13	1
68	4.0	− 8.2	15	2
69	4.0	− 8.2	15	2
70	4.0	− 9.3	12	1
71	4.0	− 9.3	14	1
72	4.0	− 9.3	14	2
73	4.0	− 9.3	17	2
74	4.0	−10.7	14	2
75	4.0	−10.7	15	2
76	4.0	−13.5	12	2
77	4.0	−13.5	12	2
78	4.0	−13.5	13	2
79	4.0	−13.5	16	2
80	4.0	−15.2	11	2
81	4.0	−15.2	12	2
82	4.0	−17.6	10	2
83	4.0	−17.6	10	2
84	4.0	−17.6	12	2
85	4.0	−19.3	13	2
86	4.0	−19.3	13	2
87	4.5	− 5.3	14	1
88	4.5	− 5.3	17	1

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
89	4.5	- 7.9	15	1
90	4.5	- 7.9	15	1
91	4.5	- 9.3	14	2
92	4.5	-10.7	12	2
93	4.5	-15.2	12	2
94	4.5	-17.6	11	2
95	5.0	- 5.3	19	1
96	5.0	- 7.9	15	1
97	5.0	- 7.9	17	1
98	5.0	- 7.9	17	1
99	5.0	-13.5	14	2
100	5.0	-19.3	13	2
101	3.0	- 4.7	19	1
102	3.0	- 4.7	15	1
103	3.0	- 7.3	16	2
104	3.0	- 9.6	13	2
105	3.0	- 9.6	13	2
106	3.0	-13.1	12	2
107	3.0	-13.1	14	2
108	3.5	- 4.7	14	1
109	3.5	- 4.7	17	1
110	3.5	- 5.4	15	1

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
111	3.5	- 5.4	15	1
112	3.5	- 7.3	12	1
113	3.5	- 7.3	13	1
114	3.5	- 7.3	16	2
115	3.5	- 9.6	14	2
116	3.5	-13.1	14	2
117	3.5	-18.7	12	2
118	4.0	- 5.4	17	1
119	4.0	- 5.4	17	1
120	4.0	- 7.3	13	1
121	4.0	- 7.3	14	2
122	4.0	- 7.3	16	2
123	4.0	- 9.6	11	2
124	4.0	- 9.6	11	2
125	4.0	- 9.6	13	2
126	4.0	-13.1	12	2
127	4.0	-13.1	14	2
128	4.0	-15.1	10	2
129	4.0	-15.1	10	2
130	4.0	-15.1	11	2
131	4.0	-15.1	13	2
132	4.0	-18.7	9	2
133	4.0	-18.7	10	2

No.	Drop diameter mm	Air temperature °C	Time before freezing sec	Freezing behaviour
134	4.0	-18.7	10	2
135	4.0	-18.7	11	2
136	4.0	-18.7	11	2
137	4.5	- 4.7	14	1
138	4.5	- 4.7	16	1
139	4.5	- 7.3	14	1
140	4.5	- 9.6	13	2
141	4.5	- 9.6	15	2
142	4.5	-13.1	13	2
143	4.5	-15.1	11	2
144	4.5	-15.1	11	2
145	4.5	-18.7	9	2
146	4.5	-18.7	10	2
147	5.0	- 7.3	15	1
148	5.0	-13.1	12	2
149	5.0	-13.1	14	2
150	5.0	-18.7	10	2

APPENDIX II

CALCULATED DROP TEMPERATURE ON FREEZING.

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
1	3.0	- 8.6	-11	-11
2	3.0	-17.1	-17	-16
3	3.0	-17.1	-17	-16
4	3.0	-19.0	-19	-18
5	3.5	- 4.8	- 8	- 8
6	3.5	- 4.8	- 8	- 8
7	3.5	- 7.6	-10	- 9
8	3.5	- 7.6	-10	-10
9	3.5	- 8.6	-11	-10
10	3.5	- 8.6	-11	-10
11	3.5	- 8.6	-11	-10
12	3.5	-10.5	-12	-10
13	3.5	-10.5	-12	-12
14	3.5	-13.2	-14	-13
15	3.5	-14.4	-15	-14
16	3.5	-17.1	-17	-16
17	4.0	- 4.8	- 8	- 8
18	4.0	- 4.8	- 8	- 8
19	4.0	- 5.8	- 8	- 8
20	4.0	- 5.8	- 8	- 8

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
21	4.0	- 7.6	-10	- 9
22	4.0	- 7.6	-10	-10
23	4.0	- 8.6	-11	-11
24	4.0	-10.5	-12	-11
25	4.0	-13.2	-14	-13
26	4.0	-13.2	-14	-13
27	4.0	-14.4	-15	-14
28	4.0	-14.4	-15	-14
29	4.0	-17.1	-17	-15
30	4.0	-17.1	-17	-15
31	4.0	-17.1	-17	-16
32	4.0	-19.0	-19	-18
33	4.0	-19.0	-19	-18
34	4.5	- 4.8	- 8	- 8
35	4.5	- 5.8	- 8	- 8
36	4.5	- 5.8	- 8	- 8
37	4.5	- 8.6	-11	-10
38	4.5	- 8.6	-11	-11
39	4.5	- 8.6	-11	-11
40	4.5	-10.5	-12	-11
41	4.5	-13.2	-14	-12
42	4.5	-19.0	-19	-15

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
43	4.5	-19.0	-19	-17
44	5.0	- 5.8	- 8	- 8
45	5.0	- 8.6	-11	-10
46	5.0	-10.5	-12	-11
47	5.0	-13.2	-14	-12
48	5.0	-17.6	-18	-16
49	5.0	-17.6	-18	-16
50	5.0	-19.0	-19	-16
51	3.0	- 5.3	- 8	- 8
52	3.0	- 5.3	- 8	- 8
53	3.0	- 5.3	- 8	- 8
54	3.0	-10.7	-12	-12
55	3.0	-13.5	-14	-14
56	3.0	-13.5	-14	-14
57	3.5	- 5.3	- 8	- 7
58	3.5	- 7.9	-10	- 9
59	3.5	- 7.9	-10	-10
60	3.5	-19.3	-11	-11
61	3.5	-13.5	-14	-13
62	3.5	-17.6	-18	-17
63	4.0	- 5.3	- 8	- 8
64	4.0	- 7.9	-10	- 9
65	4.0	- 7.9	-10	- 9

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
66	4.0	− 7.9	−10	−10
67	4.0	− 8.2	−10	− 9
68	4.0	− 8.2	−10	−10
69	4.0	− 8.2	−10	−10
70	4.0	− 9.3	−11	−10
71	4.0	− 9.3	−11	−10
72	4.0	− 9.3	−11	−10
73	4.0	− 9.3	−11	−11
74	4.0	−10.7	−12	−11
75	4.0	−10.7	−12	−12
76	4.0	−13.5	−14	−13
77	4.0	−13.5	−14	−13
78	4.0	−13.5	−14	−13
79	4.0	−13.5	−14	−14
80	4.0	−15.2	−16	−14
81	4.0	−15.2	−16	−14
82	4.0	−17.6	−18	−16
83	4.0	−17.6	−18	−16
84	4.0	−17.6	−18	−16
85	4.0	−19.3	−19	−18
86	4.0	−19.3	−19	−18
87	4.5	5.3	− 8	− 7
88	4.5	5.3	− 8	− 8

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
89	4.5	- 7.9	-10	- 9
90	4.5	- 7.9	-10	- 9
91	4.5	- 9.3	-11	-10
92	4.5	-10.7	-12	-11
93	4.5	-15.2	-16	-14
94	4.5	-17.6	-18	-14
95	5.0	- 5.3	- 8	- 8
96	5.0	- 7.9	-10	- 9
97	5.0	- 7.9	-10	- 9
98	5.0	- 7.9	-10	- 9
99	5.0	-13.5	-14	-12
100	5.0	-19.3	-19	-16
101	3.0	- 4.7	- 6	- 8
102	3.0	- 4.7	- 8	- 8
103	3.0	- 7.3	-10	-10
104	3.0	- 9.6	-12	-12
105	3.0	- 9.6	-12	-12
106	3.0	-13.1	-14	-14
107	3.0	-13.1	-14	-14
108	3.5	- 4.7	- 8	- 8
109	3.5	- 4.7	- 8	- 8
110	3.5	- 5.4	- 9	- 9
111	3.5	- 5.4	- 9	- 9

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
112	3.5	- 7.3	-10	- 9
113	3.5	- 7.3	-10	-10
114	3.5	- 7.3	-10	-10
115	3.5	- 9.6	-12	-12
116	3.5	-13.1	-14	-14
117	3.5	-18.7	-19	-17
118	4.0	- 5.4	- 9	- 9
119	4.0	- 5.4	- 9	- 9
120	4.0	- 7.3	-10	- 9
121	4.0	- 7.3	-10	-10
122	4.0	- 7.3	-10	-10
123	4.0	- 9.6	-12	-11
124	4.0	- 9.6	-12	-11
125	4.0	- 9.6	-12	-11
126	4.0	-13.1	-14	-13
127	4.0	-13.1	-14	-13
128	4.0	-15.1	-16	-13
129	4.0	-15.1	-16	-13
130	4.0	-15.1	-16	-13
131	4.0	-15.1	-16	-14
132	4.0	-18.7	-19	-15
133	4.0	-18.7	-19	-15
134	4.0	-18.7	-19	-15

No.	Drop diameter mm	Dry-bulb temperature °C	Wet-bulb temperature °C	Drop temperature °C
135	4.0	-18.7	-19	-16
136	4.0	-18.7	-19	-16
137	4.5	- 4.7	- 8	- 7
138	4.5	- 4.7	- 8	- 8
139	4.5	- 7.3	-10	- 9
140	4.5	- 9.6	-12	-11
141	4.5	- 9.6	-12	-11
142	4.5	-13.1	-14	-12
143	4.5	-15.1	-16	-13
144	4.5	-15.1	-16	-13
145	4.5	-18.7	-19	-15
146	4.5	-18.7	-19	-15
147	5.0	- 7.3	-10	- 9
148	5.0	-13.1	-14	-12
149	5.0	-13.1	-14	-12
150	5.0	-18.7	-19	-15

APPENDIX III

THE TESTING OF THE CHARGE COLLECTOR.

The charge collector described in Chapter 4 was tested by using it to measure known charges on water drops. Charged water drops were produced by applying a potential to a water dropper. The charge on the drops was varied by varying the applied potential, and measured by collecting the drops in a nearly completely closed charge collector. The charge collector described in Chapter 4 was tested twice in this way during the taking of the observations reported in Chapter 5. It was also tested before and after each measurement by bringing a charged body, usually the operator's hand, near to it and observing that the electrometer registered a deflection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

REFERENCES.

BERNAL, J.D. and FOWLER, R.H. 1933 Theory of water and ionic solution, with particular reference to hydrogen and hydroxyl ions.
J. Chem. Phys. $\underline{1}$ p.515.

BLANCHARD, D.C. 1951 A verification of the Balley-Dorsey theory of spicule formation on sleet pellets.
J. Met. $\underline{8}$, p.268.

BLANCHARD, D.C. 1955 The supercooling, freezing and melting of giant water-drops at terminal velocity in air.
Artificial Stimulation Of Rain.
Pergamon Press, London. p.233

BRADLEY, R.S. 1957 Trnas. Faraday soc. $\underline{53}$, p.687.

BROOK, M. 1958 Laboratory studies of charge separation during ice - ice contact.
Recent Advances in Atmospheric Electricity.
Pergamon Press, 1958.

BYERS, H.R. and BRAHAM, R.R. 1949 Thunderstorm structure and circulation.
J. Met. $\underline{5}$, p.71.

CHALMERS, J.A. 1947 The capture of ions by ice particles.
Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. $\underline{73}$ p.324.

CHALMERS, J.A. 1965 Charge generation in thunderstorms.
Problems of Atmospheric and Space Electricity. p.304.

CHURCH, C.R. 1966 The electrification of hail.
Ph.D. Thesis, University of Durham.

DECROLY et al.   1957   Helv. Phys. Acta. <u>30</u>, p.466.

DINGER, J.E. and   1949   Electrical effects associated
GUNN, R.   with a change of state of
                            water.
                            Terrest. Mag. Atmos. Elect.
                            <u>51</u>, p.477.

DORSEY, N.E.   1948   The freezing of supercooled
                            water.
                            Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. <u>38</u>,
                            p.247.

EIGEN, M. and   1958   Self-dissociation and protonic
DE MAEYER, L.   charge transport in water
                            and ice.
                            Proc. Roy. Soc. A,<u>247</u>, p.505.

ELSTER, J. and
GEITEL, H.   1913   Zur influenztheorie der
                            niederschlagselektrizitat.
                            Phys. Z. <u>14</u>, p.1287.

ERRERA, J.   1927   Colloidal state and cohesion
                            at the time of solidification.
                            Trans. Faraday soc. <u>24</u>. p.162.

EVANS, D.G. and   1963   The electrification of freezing
HUTCHINSON, W.C.A.H.   water drops and of colliding
                            ice particles.
                            Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. <u>89</u> p.370.

FINDEISEN, W.   1940   Uber die Entatehung der
                            Gewitterelektrizitat.
                            Met. Z. <u>57</u>, p.201.

FINDEISEN, W. and   1943   Untersuchungen uber die
FINDEISEN, E.   eisplitterbildung an ried-
                            schicten.
                            Met. Z. <u>60</u> p.145.

FRENKEL, J.   1944   A theory of the fundamental
                            phenomena of atmospheric
                            electricity.
                            J. Phys. U.S.S.R. <u>8</u>, p.285.

GERDIEN, H.   1905   Die electrizitatchaushalt der
                            erde unde der unteren schicten
                            der atmosphare.
                            Phys. Z. <u>6</u> p.647.

GIAUQUE, W.F. and ASHLEY, M.   1933   Molecular rotation in ice at $10^{o}K$. Free energy of formation and entropy of water. Phys. Rev. 43, p.81.

GIERER, A. and WIRTZ, K.   1949   Ann. Phys. LPZ. 6, p.257.

GISH, O.H. and WAIT, G.H.   1950   Thunderstorms and the earths general electrification. J. Geophys. Res. 55, p.473.

GRANICHER et al.   1957   Dielectric relaxation and the electrical conductivity of ice crystals. Disc. Faraday Soc. 23, p.50.

GRANICHER, H.   1958   Lattice disorder and physical properties connected with the hydrogen arrangement in ice crystals. Proc. Roy. Soc. A.247, p.453.

GRENET, G.   1947   Essai d'explication de la charge electrique des nuages d'orages. Ann. Geophys. 3, p.306.

GROOM, K.N.   1966   Disturbed weather measurements in atmospheric electricity using an instrumented van. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Durham.

GUNN, R.   1935   The electricity of rain and thunderstorms. Terr. Magn. Atmos. Elect.

HENRY, P;S.M.   1952   Brit. J. App. Phys. Supplement 2 ps 31.

HUCKEL, E.   1928   Z. Elektrochem 34, p.596.

JACCARD, C.   1963   Thermoelectric effects in ice crystals. Physik. Der Kondens. Materie 2, p.143.

JONES, R.F.   1950   The temperatures at the tops of radar echoes associated with various cloud systems. Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 76, p.329.

JONES, R.F.   1960   Size-distribution of ice
                                       crystals in cummulonimbus clouds.
                                       Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 86, p.187.

KACHURIN, L.G. and   1960   Investigation of the electrif-
BEKRYAEV.   ication of crystallizing water.
                                       Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, No.1
                                       p.57.

KAYE, G.W.C. and   Tables of physical and chemical
LABY, T.H.   constants.
                                       Longmans Press, London, 1958.

KIKUCHI   1965   International Conference on
                                       Cloud.   Physics. p.338.

KINZER, G. D. and   1951   The evaporation, temperature
GUNN, R.   and thermal relaxation-time
                                       of freely falling waterdrops.
                                       J. Met. 8, p.71.

KRAMER, C.   1948   Electrische ladingen acan
                                       berjpte oppervlakken.
                                       Neth. Met. Inst. A, 54, No.1

KUTTNER.   1950   The electrical and meteorolog-
                                       ical conditions inside thunder-
                                       clouds.
                                       J. Met. 7, p.322.

LANGHAM, E.J. and   1958   The heterogeneous nucleation
MASON, B.J.   of supercooled water.
                                       Proc. Roy. Soc. A.247, p.493.

LATHAM, J.   1964   Charge transfer associated with
                                       temperature gradient in ice
                                       crystals grown in a diffusion
                                       chamber.
                                       Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 90, p.266.

LATHAM, J.   1964   The electrification of freezing
                                       water drops.
                                       Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 90 p.209.

LATHAM, J. and   1961A   Electric charge transfer
MASON, B.J.   associated with temperature
                                       gradients in ice.
                                       Proc. Roy. Soc. A,260 p.523.

LATHAM, J. and
MASON, B.J.

1961B

Generation of electric charge
associated with the formation
of soft hail in thunderclouds.
Proc. Roy. Soc. A,260 p.537.

LATHAM, J. and
STOW, C.D.

1965A

Electrification associated
with the evaporation of ice.
J. Atmos. Sci. 22 p.320.

LATHAM, J and
STOW, C.D.

1965B

The influence of impact velocity
and ice specimen geometry on
the charge transfer associated
with temperature gradients in
ice.
Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 91, p.462.

LATHAM, J. and
STOW, C.D.

1965C

Observations of hydrometeor
charge evolution in thunder-
storms.
Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 91,p.539.

LOEB, L.B.

1958

Static Electrification.
Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

LUEDER, H.

1951A

Ein neuer elektrische effekt
der Eisbildung durch Vergraup-
elung in naturlicken unterkuhl-
ten nebeln.
Z. Angew. Phys. 1, p.247.

LUEDER, H.

1951B

Vergraupelungoelektrisierung
als eine ursache der
gewitterelektrizitat.
A. Angew. Phys. 1 p.288.

MAC CREADY,P.B. and
PROUDFIT, A.

1965A

Observations of hydrometeor
charge evolution in thunder-
storms.
Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 91 p.44.

MAC CREADY, P.B. and
PROUDFIT, A.

1965B

Self-charging of melting ice.
Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 91 p.54.

MAGONO, C. and
KIKUCHI, K.

1963

On the positive electrification
of snow crystals in the process
of their melting.
J. Met. Soc. Japan. 41, p.270.

MAGONO, C. and
KIKUCHI, K.   1965   On the positive electrification
                                    of snow crystals in the process
                                    of their melting (II).
                                    J. Met. Soc. Japan. 43, p.331.

MAGONO, C and
TAKAHASHI, T.   1963   Experimental studies on the
                                    mechanism of electrification
                                    of graupel pellets.
                                    J. Met. Soc. Japan. 41, p.197.

MASON, B.J.   1953   A critical examination of
                                    theories of charge generation
                                    in thunderstorms.
                                    Tellus 5 p.446.

MASON, B.J.   1956   The nucleation of supercooled
                                    water clouds.
                                    Sci. Prog. 175 p.494.

MASON, B.J.   The Physics of Clouds.
                                    Oxford University Press, 1957.

MASON, B.J. and   1952   Ice crystals of spiral form
OWSTON, P.G.   grown from the vapour.
                                    Phil. Mag. 43 p.911.

MASON, B.J. and   1960   The fragmentation and electrif-
MAYBANK, J.   ication of freezing water drops.
                                    Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 86 p.176.

MATHEWS, B.J. and   1963   Electrification accompanying
MASON B.J.   melting of ice and snow.
                                    Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 89 p.376.

MEINHOLD, H.   1951   Die elektrische ladung eines
                                    flugzenges bei verlisung in
                                    quellwolken.
                                    Ge ofis. pur. appl. 19 p.176.

MOORE, C.B.   1965   Charge generation in thunder-
                                    storms.
                                    Problems of Atmospheric and
                                    Space Electricity p.255.

MULLER-HILLEBRAND, D.   1954   Charge generation in thunder-
                                    storms by collision of ice
                                    crystals with graupel falling
                                    through a vertical electrical
                                    field.
                                    Tellus 6 p.367.

PAULING, L.   1935   Structure and entropy of ice
                                   and of other crystals.
                                   J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 57 p.2680.

PIERCE, E.T.   1955   Electrostatic field-charges
                                   due to lightning discharges.
                                   Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 81 p.211.

RESHETOV, V.D.   1961   On the mechanism of electricity
                                   generation in thunderstorms.
                                   N.A.S.A. Technical Trans.
                                   F-9656.

REYNOLDS, S.E.   1954   Compendium of thunderstorm
                                   electricity.
                                   New. Mex. Inst. Mining Tech.,
                                   p.77.

REYNOLDS, S.E.
BROOK, M. and   1957   J. Met. 14 P.426.
GOURLEY, R.F.

ROSSMAN, F.   1948   Vom ursprung der gewitterele-
                                   ktrizitat.
                                   Met. Rosch. 1 p.193

SARTOR, J.O.   1961   Calculations on cloud electrif-
                                   ication based on a general
                                   charge-separation mechanism.
                                   J. Geophys. Res. 66, p.831,3070.

SIMPSON, G.C.   1909   On the electricity of rain and
                                   its origin in thunderstorms.
                                   Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. 67, p.99.

SIMPSON, G.C. and   1937   Distribution of electricity in
SCRASE, F.J.   thunderclouds.
                                   Proc. Roy. Soc. A,161 p.309.

SPILHAUS, A.F.   1947   Raindrop size, shape and
                                   falling speed.
                                   J. Met. 5 p.108.

STERGIS, G.G.,   1957   Electric field measurements
REIN, G.C. and   above thunderstorms.
KANGAS. T.   J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 11 p.83.

STOTT, D. and HUTCHINSON, W.C.A.H.	1965	The electrification of freezing water drops. Quart. J.R. Met.Soc. $\underline{91}$, p.80.
TEICHMANN, I. and SCHMIDT, G.	1965	Untersuchungen uber den reziproken piezoeffekt des eises. Phys. Status Solidi, p. K 145.
VAN PANTHALEON VAN ECK et. al.	1958	A tentative interpretation of the results of recent X-ray and infra-red studies of liquid water and $H_2O + D_2O$ mixtures. Proc. Roy. Soc. A.$\underline{247}$ p.472.
VONNEGUT, B.	1955	Possible mechanism for the formation of thunderstorm electricity. Wentworth Conference, p.169.
VONNEGUT, B. and MOORE, C.B.	1958	Preliminary attemps to influence convective electrification in cumulus clouds by the introduction of space charge into the lower atmosphere. Recent Advances in Atmospheric Electricity, Pergamon Press.
WALL, E.	1948	Das gewitter. Wetter U. Klima. $\underline{1}$ pp.7, 65, 193.
WEICKMANN, H.K. and AVFM KAMPE, H.J.	1950	Preliminary experimental results concerning charge generation in thunderstorms concurrent with the formation of hailstones. J. Met. $\underline{7}$, p.404.
WILSON, C.T.R.	1929	Some thunderstorm problems. J. Franklin Inst. $\underline{208}$, p.1.
WILSON, C.T.R.	1956	A theory of thunder cloud electricity. Proc. Roy. Soc. A,$\underline{236}$ p.297.
WHIPPLE, F.J.W. and CHALMERS, J.A.	1944	On Wilson's theory of the collection of charge by falling drops. Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. $\underline{70}$ p.103.

WORKMAN, E.J. and HOLZER, R.E.	1942	The electrical structure of thunderstorms. N.A.C.A., Washington, Tech. Note No.850.
WORKMAN, E.J. and REYNOLDS, S.E.	1948	A suggested mechanism for the generation of thunderstorm electricity. Phys. Rev. $\underline{74}$. p. 709.
WORKMAN, E.J. and REYNOLDS, S.E.	1949	Electrical activity as related to thunderstorm cell growth. Bull. Amer. Met. Soc. $\underline{30}$ p.142
WORKMAN, E.J. and REYNOLDS, S.E.	1950	Electrical phenomena occurring during the freezing of dilute aqueous solutions and their possible relationship to thunderstorm electricity. Phys. Rev. $\underline{78}$ p.254.
WORMELL, T.W.	1953	Atmospheric electricity; some recent trends and problems. Quart. J.R. Met. Soc. $\underline{79}$ p.3.