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INTRODUCTION

In August 1978, I was shown several limestone areas near Carnforth, North Lancashire. Of these, Gait Barrows, a newly-created Nature Reserve, was especially impressive, for both its massive pavements and varied flora. Many water-filled or well-vegetated solution-hollows occupied the former, and amongst the latter were some heathery patches of scrub, which seemed anomalous in such a base-rich setting.

Notes and memories of that visit prompted these studies. The original aim was to relate the apparently acidophilous scrub to the solution-hollows, but early in the research it became clear that the two habitats were not causally linked, as was first thought. The study thereafter evolved into a concerted investigation of the solution-hollows. The five Chapters of Part I embrace their physical and chemical qualities, and the phytosociological analysis of the inhabiting plants. Part II incorporates a discussion of the geological processes responsible for the precise character of the Gait Barrows pavement, and a consideration of some postulated patterns of botanical development.
CHAPTER 1

THE SETTING FOR THIS STUDY

1:1 Geographical Position

Gait Barrows, a 'grade one' site of 70 hectares' extent in North Lancashire, was acquired by the Nature Conservancy Council in 1977 and designated as its 'Silver Jubilee' National Nature Reserve. (Photograph 1). It lies in the centre of a broadly triangular region of distinctive topography, which Oldfield (1960) has christened "Lowland Lonsdale". This area projects bluntly into the North-Eastern part of Morecambe Bay, and is bordered to the North-West by the Kent Estuary. Its Eastern, and longest, edge corresponds to a wide, glaciated trough presently occupied by the section of the M6 motorway immediately North of Carnforth.

The precise location of Gait Barrows (National Grid Reference — SD 4777 - 4877) in relation to these environs is depicted on the accompanying MAP 1. Arnside, a small coastal resort, is about three kilometres distant; Silverdale is the nearest substantial settlement; and the County boundary with Cumbria (formerly Westmorland) passes less than one kilometre to the North of the Reserve.
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1 : 2 Site Description

1 : 2 : 1 Lowland Lonsdale: Topography

Lowland Lonsdale consists of a geological fretwork which is closely reflected in its contrasting and broken relief. Upstanding Carboniferous Limestone ridges, which reach 160 metres (525') above sea-level at Arnside Knott and Warton Crag (see MAP 1), alternate with fault-governed and glacially-excavated valleys. Much of these drift-filled and low-lying tracts is ill-drained, and dominated by open meres, reedswamp, or similar aquatic vegetation, as at the Leighton Moss R.S.P.B. Reserve. Whereas the limestone exposures are frequently well-wooded, the lower slopes and reclaimed valley-floors are mainly devoted to pastoral farming.

1 : 2 : 2 Gait Barrows Reserve: General Description

Gait Barrows National Nature Reserve, as MAP 2 shows, also has a similar, but smaller-scale, variety of environments. Its Southern part is occupied by the open water — fen — alder carr sequence of Little Hawes Water, plus the base-rich grassland of neighbouring meadows. The Northern half, as Ordnance Survey Sheet 97 suggests, is predominantly wooded (see Section 1 : 3 : 3 below). However, this portion of the Reserve also includes relatively bare limestone, in the form of massive pavement (see Section 1 : 3 : 4 below), which, despite its limited extent (12 ha), constitutes "the most important feature" (Ratcliffe, 1977) of Gait Barrows. The same
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account of the Reserve defines the pavement as "probably the finest example in Britain of this extremely local habitat". (Ratcliffe, 1977, op. cit.)

1:2:3 Gait Barrows Reserve: Conservation Value

The Gait Barrows limestone pavement is not well known, partly because it was until 1977 in private ownership, and partly because the surrounding woodland almost completely screens the interior of the Reserve. Precise references to the area, in both geological and botanical literature, are few. Ward & Evans (1976) visited Gait Barrows during a concensus of all Carboniferous Limestone regions of Britain. They applied a floristic index to pavements, whereby their conservation value was assessed in respect of species-richness in general and occurrence (ranked on a scale of abundance) of plants of sparse distribution in particular. Of 537 individual pavements examined, Gait Barrows gained by Ward & Evans' reckoning a total score of 475, well above the second-placed site, Hutton Roof Crags, a complex of 13 pavements situated in Cumbria, just to the East of Lowland Lonsdale. Moreover, five out of six species in Ward & Evans' 'Group A' plant-list, which they regard as "most dependent on the pavement habitat for the maintenance of important populations", are found at Gait Barrows.

Thus this Reserve is of outstanding interest, as well as of the highest-priority conservation value. Justification for intensive research there requires no further
elaboration. Indeed, it is salutary to note that Ward & Evans based their floristic index on the gryke-inhabitants only (S. D. Ward, pers. comm.). A substantially greater diversity accrues if the vegetation of solution-hollows, disturbed areas, and woodland (see Section 1:3:3) is also taken into consideration.

1:3 The Physical Nature of Gait Barrows Pavements

1:3:1 Altitude

One of the distinctions of Gait Barrows is its "unusually low elevation" (Ratcliffe, 1977) of between 25 and 40 metres (about 80' to 130') above sea-level. In this, it differs conspicuously from not only almost all of Lowland Lonsdale's other Carboniferous Limestone outcrops, (see Section 1:2:1), but also the more extensive and better-known pavements of Craven and Ingleborough in Yorkshire, which are at heights above 335 metres (1100'). Ward & Evans (1976) stress the importance of low altitude, and vegetation components associated with this, in contributing to Gait Barrows' premier position as a site for conservation.

1:3:2 The Limestone

The limestone rock comprising the Gait Barrows pavement is of Lower Carboniferous age. No exact horizon can be attributed to these strata, however, as geological documentation of the site is minimal. In appearance, the rock is compact and
resistant; seemingly without macro-fossils; of a generally 
pale coloration and homogeneous texture; and massively and 
more-or-less horizontally bedded. Further details of the 
Gait Barrows geology are given in Chapter 6.

1 : 3 : 3 Habitat-divisions of the Limestone Areas

Locally-based commercial enterprise has removed con­
siderable quantities of limestone peripheral to the now-sur­
viving pavements. Such limestone was more accessible, and 
also presumably more picturesquely sculptured by weathering, 
than the rock comprising the more central areas of the site, 
and was marketed as 'water-worn' rockery-material. Similar 
exploitation has occurred elsewhere, for example at Farleton 
Knott, Cumbria (Ratcliffe, 1977) and Ingleborough, Yorkshire 
(Goldie, 1973). Excavation is done by machinery which takes 
off the top, and botanically vital, limestone bed. Lateral 
damage to pavement-surfaces is thus rapid and irrevocable. 
Depredation of this sort led to strong concern for the future 
of the pavement, and the eventual purchase of the Gait Barrows 
National Nature Reserve.

The resultant stripped portions of the pavement are 
still very obvious as broken, rubbly areas — a form of horiz­
ontal scree — generally lightly vegetated and noticeably one 
'step' below the relict pavement in its reduced extent. (See 
Diagram 1 below). Several broad roadways created by and for 
the now-defunct quarrying operations (Photograph 2) divide the 
remnants of the Gait Barrows pavements into three discrete parts, 
of which the central one is the study-area investigated in this 
project.
Parts of the Reserve from which limestone has been extracted merge into a second type of habitat, where fairly well-grown mixed woodland has developed. With increasing distance from the main pavements, the limestone supporting this vegetation becomes progressively fragmented and buried under leaf-litter and drift-derived soils. These three habitats - 'classic' pavement; lower-level, man-made surfaces of shattered rock; and dense woodland - form a quasi-concentric pattern, as suggested by MAP 2, although, of course, detailed examination of the Reserve reveals many discrepancies in this morphological and vegetational zoning.

In the following Section, only the study-area of the central pavement is described. Its features are plotted on MAP 3.
The Morphology of the Central Pavement

The Northern part of the central section of pavement is flat or at most very gently undulating. South of the line A ——— B on MAP 3, this horizontality is marred by a slight flexure in the bedding of the rocks which tilts the pavements perceptibly towards the Little Hawes Water embayment (MAP 2). Much of the Southern area — though more noticeably so on the Eastern pavement — has a different morphology characterised by runnels (Photograph 3) rather than solution-hollows, a change which is in response to the increased slope.

Much of the actual bedrock-surface is exceedingly smooth; many hours of kneeling on the limestone in relative comfort testifies to this! The only common disfiguration is provided by frequent and intersecting mineral-veins of secondary in-filling material, whose chemistry, though dominantly calcitic \(^1\), permits a greater resistance to weathering than does the host-rock. Such veins therefore protrude above the general pavement-level by a few millimetres. Diagram 2, below, and Photographs 4 (foreground), 8, 9 and 10 show this feature. The main mineral-vein attains 10 centimetres in thickness, but most examples are minor features. Their relationship to solution-hollows is discussed in Section 6 : 2.

A further distinction of the central areas of the Gait Barrows pavement is the noticeable paucity of longitudinal fissures known as 'grykes'. In marked contrast to many better-known and more typical pavements, such as those above Malham Cove, Yorkshire, it is possible at Gait

---

1 See Appendix A.
Barrows to take several positive strides across uninterrupted limestone, and the customary rectilinear pattern of dissection is largely absent. (Photograph 4). Such a condition of the surface of the pavement is termed 'massive'. Towards the woodland edges surrounding the pavements, this quality degenerates as gryke-frequency increases, as described earlier in Section 1:3:3. (See also Photograph 5).

The few existing grykes are characteristically deeply etched into the bedrock, with vertical sides, modest width, and in some cases irregular 'beaded-edged' outline.\(^2\) They are concentrated into two or three chief systems, (Photograph 6), the major one cutting diagonally across the Northern part of the pavement at a bearing of 340°. This is marked on MAP 3. Towards such fissures the pavement-level becomes slightly bowed, as Diagram 2 shows. Some of the grykes, especially at the rare intersections of more pronounced forms, have developed into genuine pot-holes. (Photograph 7).

Whereas grykes and pot-holes are endowed with vertical outlets for drainage and fine in-washed material (cf. Ivimey-Cooke, 1965), runnels and solution-hollows are more truly superficial features of the limestone-surface, penetrating less than the depth of one bedding-plane, and being invariably floored with solid rock. Runnels are long, trough-like depressions, often aligned down-slope, which sooner or later transmit lateral drainage to some vertical exit such as a gryke. Solution-hollows are usually perfectly enclosed, a character which is demonstrated on many of the photographs, though a number of the Gait Barrows forms are projected into

\(^2\) Appendix B lists dimensions of grykes.
The line $A - B$ divides the horizontal from slightly tilted strata (see text).

This map shows the study-area (central pavement $- C$), flanked by similar massive pavements to East $E$ and West $W$. 
3 CENTRAL PAVEMENT
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[Diagram showing paths, perimeter of massive pavement, standing stones, main gryke-system, and patches of scrub]
runnels such that the dual-feature resembles a tadpole in overall shape. Hollow '20', on Photograph 8, is an example. The relationship between runnels and solution-hollows, therefore, is closer than that between these features and either grykes or pot-holes. Both runnels and solution-hollows customarily contain some form of accumulated substrate and/or standing water, with associated organic material.

The principal subject of this investigation is the vegetation of the solution-hollows of the central pavement at Gait Barrows, and their physical parameters and the main phytosociological data are dealt with in Chapters 3 and 4 respectively. The possible origin and evolution of these features, in both geological and botanical terms, is examined in Part II.

Diagram 2

PAVEMENT MORPHOLOGY

![Diagram of pavement morphology showing mineral vein protruding, cross-section of runnel or hollow, bowed effect, bedding-plane, and major but infrequent gryke.](image-url)
The Northern part of Gait Barrows consists of exposed Carboniferous Limestone. Much, however, is heavily dissected and densely wooded. Peripheral parts of the bare and open pavement have been destroyed by quarrying for water-worn limestone. The remaining pavement is divided into three sections, of which the central one, displaying an unusually coherent surface of hard, smooth, massive and generally flat-bedded lithology, is the study-area for this project. The pavement-morphology comprises infrequent but well developed grykes; occasional pot-holes; runnels, more commonly found on the more inclined strata; and solution-hollows. These last-named feature are the main subject of the investigations described in subsequent Chapters.
(N.B. The statistical- and computer-techniques are described in the appropriate places in ensuing Chapters.)

2.1 Dates of Visits

A reconnaissance visit to Gait Barrows was made between May 2nd and May 6th. The main field-work was done from May 15th to June 2nd, followed by a third stay (June 18th – 22nd) when most of the final results were obtained. Some observations were added on July 5th and 6th, a brief overnight stop at the site. In Tables, dates are converted to the numerical form, i.e. '6/7/81'.

2.2 The Sample of 100 Sites

2.2.1 Choice of Sites

Field-work began with the designation of 100 solution-hollows comprising the sample studied. These are hereafter referred to as 'sites' or simply 'hollows'. Selection was random in that no preference was exercised except to fulfil the following criteria:

(a) hollows were taken from as comprehensive an area of the central pavement as possible, including surfaces East and West of the main gryke-system and North (61 sites) and South (39 sites) of the flexure (line A – B on MAP 3) separating the horizontal and tilted beds;
(b) an equal number of water-filled, i.e. 'pools-in-hollows', and silt-containing, well-vegetated, sites was chosen. These will subsequently be referred to as 'aquatic' and 'terrestrial' sites respectively, and contrasting examples of these two types occur on Photographs 9 and 10.

The hollows which do not form part of the sample of 100 were missed purely by accident, are relatively few, and generally representative of the whole population, so that their exclusion has not materially affected results. Sites outside the sample proper are occasionally mentioned in the text, for comparative purposes.

Many of the sample were later tested by auguring or probing, to ensure that they were truly solution-hollows and not choked pot-holes. One site was rejected and a near-by hollow substituted. However, without inflicting unwarranted disturbance on well-vegetated sites, it is impossible to check that all of them are genuinely limestone-based. A similar ambiguity concerns long, narrow, open-ended hollows, which are, at least, transitional between true hollows and runnels.

2.2.2 Marking of Sites

Sites were firstly marked with chalk numbers. These survived long enough for the selected sample to be finalised, and were then reiterated by discreet symbols about three centimetres in size, printed on the limestone with black 'felt-tip' pen; such a marking is visible on Photograph 8. Vegetated sites were numbered from '1' to '50', whilst water-filled
hollows were accorded letters from both UPPER- and lower-case alphabets. Eight sites shared both characteristics, and were therefore designated by both a number and a letter, for instance '7H' and '19e'. This necessitated the use of additional symbols, such as '&'. In the following accounts, site-codes are always put in single inverted commas, as in the foregoing examples. For lists of sites, inverted commas are placed only before the first- and after the last-named code.

In case the printed symbols were somehow obscured or erased, a detailed dossier of the locations of all the 100 hollows was compiled. From this it may be feasible to reconstruct the sample with total accuracy for further study.

2.3 The Physical Dimensions of Sites

2.3.1 Length and Width Measurements

The length and width of each site was assessed with a metal tape-measure. As often and as nearly as possible, the two axes intersected at 90° and approximately over the centre of the hollow, but some sites such as '18d', shown in the foreground of Photograph 10, were too asymmetrical to permit this. Runnels extending from the hollows were not included in these measurements.

2.3.2 Depth Measurements

'Depths-to-bedrock' were measured by means of a thin, cylindrical, metal probe, calibrated in centimetres, placed vertically in the depression and read off against a stiff,
wooden bar which rested on the limestone 'plateau' each side of the hollow. Diagram 3, below, demonstrates the method.

![Diagram 3: Depth-Sounding](image)

**Diagram 3**  
**DEPTH-SOUNDING**

Levels of silt and water were taken by the same procedure. Depth-of-water is a very variable phenomenon, subject to recent weather conditions, inter alia, and in a quantified sense has been largely discounted in these studies. The importance of water-levels in general is discussed elsewhere, in Sections 4:3 and 5:4, for example.

In theory, depth-measurements obtained by this method are maxima for each site, ascertained after thorough testing to locate deepest points. Diagram 3 (above) shows the trial-probes (1 and 2) before the actual reading is accepted at the third attempt. With vegetated sites, and even many pools-in-hollows, however, such determinations cannot be standardized entirely, as the base is partially concealed. Some hollows have abruptly deeper, but very narrow, areas, not easily detected. Several alleged maxima were therefore probably under-
recorded. On the other hand, existence of these miniature chasms confers an exaggerated impression to overall depths. Clearly, discrepancies in the initial estimate of depth-to-bedrock are reflected in the other depth-statistics. Since the depth of the site may be very influential in botanical terms, this unreliability is a pity. Further measurements to improve accuracy were ruled out by lack of time, though four sites were subjected to a fuller survey whereby a more detailed profile of the base of those hollows could be drawn. (See Section 3:3:3).

2:4 Chemical Assessments

2:4:1 pH - Measurements

A portable 'PYE UNICAM 293' meter with detachable electrode was used to take pH-measurements of the water in the solution-hollows. Table I summarises this field-work. Drying-out later in the season curtailed sampling, and inserting the electrode, especially uncapped, into inadequate depths of water, or into sludgy conditions, often elicited artificially-reduced pH-readings. Growing familiarity with the meter allowed false or doubtful determinations to be recognized, and the sites involved were re-tested. Some water-samples were checked independently by Mr. Leslie Rose. Thus, particularly for the two main sets of data (numbers 3 and 4 in the Table below), reasonable confidence obtains as to their accuracy. Readings are distinguished to two decimal places, i.e. to 0.05 of a pH-unit.
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time of day</th>
<th>Total Sites Tested</th>
<th>Total no. of rdgs.</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/5/81</td>
<td>12:00-13:30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Equipment-trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15/5/81</td>
<td>11:30-15:40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>High values recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30/5/81</td>
<td>10:30-15:30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Major tests of all sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18/6/81</td>
<td>09:00-11:30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21/6/81</td>
<td>07:00-09:00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Early morning sampling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* out of 55 hollows which were at one time wet enough to be considered 'aquatic' in nature.

The procedure outlined below for pH-testing evolved during the early sampling-occasions, and was specifically adhered to during the main sessions.

(a) Preparation (actually on the limestone pavement)

i/ test water-temperature of pools with ordinary thermometer;

ii/ adjust 'Unicam' meter accordingly;

iii/ test 'Unicam' battery;

iv/ switch to 'stand-by' for recommended warming-up period;

v/ couple electrode to meter;

vi/ draw appropriate columns in field-work notebook, ready for entries of time-of-day, temperature, pH-value.

(b) Testing pH-meter

The meter was buffered at each set of tests, using 7.0 and 9.2 solutions prepared in the laboratory beforehand.
(c) Sampling (for any given site)
   i/ place thermometer in water;
   ii/ insert electrode so that bulb immersed, and apparatus balanced and steady;
   iii/ switch 'Unicam' meter from 'stand-by' to 'recording pH', and activate stop-watch;
   iv/ record temperature (and remove thermometer to next site);
   v/ check duration of 30 seconds from stop-watch, read off pH-value from now-stabilized indicator-needle;
   vi/ record pH in notebook, and switch back to 'stand-by';
   vii/ rinse electrode thoroughly with distilled water; and
   viii/ transfer meter and accessories to next solution-hollow.

2.4.2 Soil - samples

   Using an improvised sampler — a 15-mm diameter cork-borer with a rod-and-plunger adaptation to eject the soil — two soil-cores were collected from the hollows for laboratory analysis. The small size and fragility of the sites precluded more intensive soil-sampling.

2.5 Phytosociological Methods

   Sites were treated as units irrespective of size.
   The dichotomy of 'aquatic' and 'terrestrial' sites (Section 2.2.1) was accepted as a subjective but obvious and valid categorization, and both groups of hollows were given identical phytosociological treatment.

   For inclusion in the phytosociological data, terrestrial plants had to be rooted in the soil trapped on the base of the hollow. Plants growing from rocky crevices on the sides were discounted, because this rupestral environment mimics that of
the grykes rather than being a true component of the solution-hollows habitat. Thus eliminated were a very small number of species and occurrences, such as scattered ferns (for example *Asplenium ruta-muraria*) and patches of liverwort.

Lichens have not been considered at all in this study, except incidentally in the discussion concerning the formation of solution-hollows and early colonization, in Chapters 6 and 7. Mosses, however, are included (cf. Zotov, 1941; Ivimey-Cooke, 1965). Scientific names are according to Watson (1968). Mr. M. J. Wigginton kindly confirmed the identifications.

Algae tenanting aquatic sites were classified as either *Nostoc* sp. or 'filamentous green algae', which was represented in both suspended and settled-out material. As different genera could not be defined in the field (see full details in Appendix C), the composite term 'filamentous green algae', abbreviated to 'fga', is used throughout, and is regarded for phytosociological purposes as a single species. Section 5:1, below, deals further with algae.

Nomenclature and taxonomic order for vascular plants follow Clapham, Tutin & Warburg (1981), whose 'Excursion Flora' was used as the definitive text for identification; sources such as McDintock & Fitter (1965) were also referred to. Of the higher plants, most were already known, and less familiar, or later-emerging, species were checked as vegetation developed, the chief objective being to have all plants named, and comprehensive lists made, before the actual phytosociological analysis was done. Local botanists provided assistance with
some difficult plant-groups. By this method, the eventual phytosociological values were assessed efficiently, without delays posed by problems of identification. Conventionally, some plants were reserved to generic status only, since they were difficult to ascribe to species-level, or were possible hybrids. These include *Salix* sp. and *Hieracium* sp. All scientific names are underlined, as in the foregoing examples.

The 'Domin' scale of cover-abundance, (see, e.g. Kershaw, 1973; Chapman, 1976), was used for phytosociological values. Sites were assessed in late May, and again on June 19th and 20th, this second analysis providing the more comprehensive and standardized results. The symbol \( \chi \) denotes one or two plants only; the other extreme, i.e. cover-value 10, was represented by a few instances of 'fga'. A very small number of impersistent species, such as *Erophila verna*, which disappeared from hollows before June 19th but had previously been listed, were accorded a nominal cover-value of 1. Sapling trees (such as *Fraxinus excelsior* or *Pinus sylvestris*) were also given a nominal value, of 2, unless their foliage was deemed sufficiently spreading and thick to influence a greater proportion of the site.

2 : 6  

**Documentation**

Information gained in the field was written in a notebook on site, and later transcribed to one or (usually) both of two sets of documents:

(a) file-index cards, each side of which — representing a single solution-hollow — was divided into compartments according to a master-plan, so that all data
relevant to that site were located in a brief space;

(b) charts of systematic facts, such as pH-measurements or phytosociological cover-values, so that a ready comparison of phenomena could be made, either from site to site, or over a period of time, if successive sampling was carried out.

During fine, calm weather, cards and charts were sometimes directly amended and added to in the field.
CHAPTER 3

THE PHYSICAL AND BOTANICAL CHARACTERISTICS
OF THE GAIT BARROWS SOLUTION-HOLLows

3:1 Physical Characteristics

3:1:1 General Morphology of the Hollows

Detailed morphological studies of the solution-hollows of a limestone pavement have not been found in the literature. The most thorough qualitative description is by Sweeting (1973), with which the more summary accounts of other authors (such as Zotov, 1941, and Ivimey-Cooke, 1965) broadly agree as to the hollows' physical attributes, including contained water and/or accumulated sediments. (See Appendix D for definitions quoted from other sources).

As the photographs of Gait Barrows indicate, a wide range of forms may occur on the same pavement. A catalogue of various types is given in Section 3:3:2, Table VII. Some hollows, such as 'U' and '25', exceed the largest diameter (60 centimetres) mentioned by Sweeting in her earlier work (in Dury, 1966), and approach the maximum dimensions given in Sweeting (1973).

The distribution of hollows over the pavement-surface is also a variable phenomenon. At Gait Barrows, there is in many cases ample feature-less limestone between neighbouring
sites — some data concerning proximity are given in Appendix 'E(iv)' — whereas at Sulber, North Yorkshire (Ward & Evans, unpublished material, 1975) and in New Zealand (Zotov, 1941), hollows are closely packed together, with only narrow, arete-like partitions of fretted rock separating adjacent pits.

3 : 1 : 2 Surface Dimensions

Solution-hollows on Gait Barrows vary from almost perfectly circular shapes (e.g. 'F' = 35 x 34 cms; '17' = 63 x 61 cms) to narrow, more-or-less rectangular trenches (e.g. 'j' = 160 x 22 cms; '15' = 160 x 17 cms). The mean length of all sites is 85·14 cms, with a standard deviation of 41·36; the mean width of all sites is 44·10 cms, with a standard deviation of 21·88. Further statistics are given in Appendix E.

Surface-areas of the sampled sites were calculated by the formula \( \pi r_1 r_2 \), where:
\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 &= \text{half the length (long axis), and} \\
    r_2 &= \text{half the width (short axis).}
\end{align*}
\]
(See Section 2 : 3 : 1).

Such a measurement presumes a perfect ellipse, which is often an over-simplification. Sites of a far-from-oval shape, such as '18d' (Photograph 10) were assessed individually to avoid serious discrepancies. Minor irregularities of outline probably constitute compensating errors. Hollow 'J' (shown on Photograph 12) is the most extensive, approaching two square metres in area. Four other sites exceed one square metre.
At the other extreme, only seven hollows are smaller than 1,000 square centimetres.

This generally large size, compared, for example, to Sweeting's figures (1966), probably reflects the open and uninterrupted quality of the Gait Barrows limestone pavement. Ivimey-Cooke's study (1965) also embraced a wide variety of forms, including "variously-shaped concavities several square metres in extent", from which it may be inferred that the Burren solution-hollows possibly share similar morphological characteristics with those of Gait Barrows.

Surface-dimensions for aquatic and terrestrial sites were averaged separately, to see whether the data for these two main categories of hollow differed significantly. The measurements of length and width were adopted in this comparison, as they are more accurate than computations of area. Sites which have both aquatic and terrestrial characteristics were incorporated into both groups. For this reason, the samples are more than 50, and the means are greater than the overall mean quoted earlier in this Section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>Means and Standard Deviations (SD) of Surface Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aquatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>88.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of mean *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width</td>
<td>45.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of mean *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* formula from Bailey (1979).*
Such similar mean values, attended by large standard deviations (see Table II), suggest no significance between the differences of the two sets of data. From the sums of the 'variances of means' for length (63.09) and width (14.00) the standard deviations were worked out:

for length, 7.94; for width, 3.74;

following which, values for 'd' are 0.241 and 0.351 respectively. Both are far from significant. As expected, there is no real difference in surface-dimensions between aquatic and terrestrial parts of the sample.

3 : 1 : 3 Depths of Hollows

Depth-to-bedrock data, especially for vegetated sites, were difficult to obtain accurately. (See Section 2 : 3 : 2). Under-estimation is likely in some cases; in others, chance sampling of deep-weathered cracks exaggerates the general base-level of the hollows. Consequently, depth-measurements have been grouped into 5-centimetre interval classes, and are plotted on Graph 1 in the two main categories, aquatic and terrestrial. 'Dual-sites', such as '7H' and '19e', were allocated according to the precise position of the maximum depth-probe. More often than not, this occurred under vegetation rather than in standing water.

The aquatic sites show a more concise and symmetrical distribution, with over half of them (51%) having depths in a modal class 10 - 15 centimetres. Shallower hollows imply lesser depths of water, and sites in the first two classes, i.e. forming the left-hand side of the graph, such as 'U' or 'b', were noticeably liable to dry out quickly. In contrast,
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relatively deep areas, exemplified by 'X' and 'ca', were characteristically full of water.

The terrestrial histogram on Graph 1 is displaced further to the right, favouring in particular the two classes comprising depths 15 - 25 centimetres (53% of individual sites), but with ten hollows even deeper than this. Site '31' registered a depth-to-bedrock of 50 cms!

These figures (actual, not percentages) were submitted to $\chi^2$-testing thus:

**TABLE III**  Depth of Hollows by Classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes of depth-to-bedrock, 5-cm intervals</th>
<th>0-5</th>
<th>5.1-10</th>
<th>10.1-15</th>
<th>15.1-20</th>
<th>20.1-25</th>
<th>25.1-30</th>
<th>above 30.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Occurrence</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N.B. The last three classes have been combined to give a large enough sample, and the first class, 0 - 5 cms, asterisked above, is ignored because of its single entry.)

From the figures listed in Table III, the calculated value of $\chi^2$, for five degrees of freedom, is 10.320. This is approaching 95% significance. The $\chi^2$-value thus strongly suggests that the observed differences in the distributions
of depths-to-bedrock between aquatic and terrestrial hollows is significant rather than a matter of chance.

The biological significance of this is hard to fathom, partly because one cannot tell whether extra depth in terrestrial hollows precedes, or is consequent upon, the processes of terrestrialization. It is probable that already deep pits collect and retain solid particles more effectively, leading to an accumulation which is itself deep and stable enough for higher plants. This argument is expounded further in Chapter 7. However, such a hollow will still be water-logged as well. It may support emergent aquatic vegetation, but not be a truly terrestrial site. The counter-argument is that the addition of organic acids from soil and plant-life accelerates solution at the base of the hollow, in which case increased depth can be interpreted as more of a result than a cause of such developments.
The number of plant-species recorded from the sampled solution-hollows is 83. This total is made up of a variety of growth-forms and taxonomic groups, as shown in Table IV. 'Woody species', it should be noted, are seldom well-developed, and Salix saplings in particular are usually less than 20 centimetres in height and still pliant.

**TABLE IV**  Groups of Plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No of spp.</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>woody species</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferns</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forbs</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grasses, sedges</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and rushes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>algae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>83</strong></td>
<td><strong>99.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dicotyledonous forbs (43; 51.8%) are themselves very varied, 18 families being represented, and no genus having more than three species. A full species-list for the solution-hollows is given in Appendix F.

Five descriptive terms, used principally in the next two Sections, and in Chapter 4, are defined below:
(1) frequency: number of occurrences.

(2) dominance: preponderance of given species within a site, or group of sites, compared to other species; this implies a relatively (or very) high value on the Domin scale. The term 'dominance' is not applied in its functional sense of a species having major influence within its community.

(3) constancy: degree of presence of a species within all sites of a stipulated group, recorded as a percentage measurement, i.e. frequency of species/total number of sites in group.

(4) fidelity: measure of exclusiveness of a species to a particular species-assemblage.

(5) species-richness: simply the number of species within any site, as a measure of diversity irrespective of scale (cf Krebs, 1978).

3 : 2 : 2 Botanical Contrasts

The solution-hollows differ botanically from other (sub-)habitats of the limestone pavement. These distinctions have not been systematically or quantitatively measured, but the following Table indicates some of the more obvious contrasts of abundance and absence. The eight species listed are frequent in and/or characteristic of the various environments of the limestone complex, namely:

grykes and pot-holes (G);
rough, stony surfaces (see Section 1 : 3 : 3), produced by excavation (X);
and three patches of scrub, mentioned in the Introduction and marked on MAP 3 (S).
The more densely-wooded parts of the Reserve, rather distant from the central pavement and correspondingly further removed in floral composition (see Section 1 : 3 : 3), are excluded from this consideration. The last (right-hand) column in Table V gives a qualitative statement of the species' status in their respective habitats.

**TABLE V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helianthemum nummularium</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>large patches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum androsaemum</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>very typical of deep grykes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum montanum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>scattered but common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxifraga tridactylites</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>widespread and quite common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus praecox</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>frequent over bare scree, and in grassy areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calluna vulgaris</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>notable quantities within limited area of this habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium cannabinum</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>quite numerous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epipactis atrorubens</td>
<td>G, X</td>
<td>sporadic but characteristic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of these eight species occurs anywhere in the 100 sampled hollows. Several Pteridophyta, for example Phyllitis scolopendrium and Polystichum aculeatum, could equally validly have been selected as gryke-loving, hollow-avoiding species. A number of plants do transcend the habitat-divisions. For instance, Teucrium scorodonia and Geranium robertianum occur in 10 and 20 hollows respectively, and are plentiful elsewhere at Gait Barrows; Hypericum montanum occupies six of the sampled sites, and has also invaded large tracts of the rubbly, quarried limestone; in similar places, Sedum acre, a frequent constituent of the solution-hollows, seems equally well-adapted; and, lastly,
Potentilla erecta, though found in four of the more richly-vegetated sites, is a rather more constant member of grassy patches and scrub-communities peripheral to the pavement.

Nonetheless, the exclusivity of certain species to certain parts of the limestone is a valid concept, and could be supported by a second list of species characteristic of the hollows but scarce or absent elsewhere. In this category most of the aquatic plants would be placed, since only the solution-hollows provide standing water, or at least prevalingly moist conditions. Thus the flora of this habitat is complementary to, rather than merely a microcosmic image of, the pavement vegetation as a whole, and contributes an extra botanical dimension to the wealth of Gait Barrows as a Reserve.

3 : 2 : 3 Inter-site Variation

Floristic appearance varies considerably from site to site. At one extreme there are water-filled hollows with no emergent vegetation, exemplified by the large pool 'J' on Photograph 12. The other extreme is represented by hollows packed with sediments and sustaining a variety of herbaceous plants (Photograph 13), in the form of low-growing mats, or taller mounds of grasses and sedges. Such a complex gradation of assemblages requires the simplification afforded by multi-variate data-analysis, and so the phytosociological details were fed into the computer-program TWINSPLAN (Hill, 1979), whose results are discussed in Chapter 4. As ensuing
comments in this Chapter frequently refer to 'aquatic' versus 'terrestrial' sites, suffice to say here that TWINSPAN ratified this major division of habitats, though agreement with the original allocations of individual sites was not perfect. Table VI shows the precise categories, and anticipates the detailed treatment in Chapter 4.

**TABLE VI**

**Summary of TWINSPAN Categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>species</th>
<th>sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aquatic</td>
<td>8 *</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terrestrial</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>83</strong></td>
<td><strong>99</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* In fact TWINSPAN separates only four species as truly aquatic. For practical convenience, another four species, related ecologically or taxonomically, are included here; and a fifth addition, Salix sp., could also be made.)*

Graph 2 shows the frequency with which each of the 83 species occurs. The conventional 'reversed J-shaped curve' (Raunkiaer, 1928) is crudely apparent, with 29% of the species being recorded only once, and a long 'tail' of plants which are found in over 15 sites. Six out of the nine (including Salix sp.) aquatic types are members of this tail, and four of them are the most frequent of all species. These are: the two algae; the moss Fissidens sp.; and Juncus articulatus, the overall 'winner', occupying 56% of all hollows.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Sites</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>47</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Species</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This reflects not so much a preponderance of aquatic sites as a relative paucity of aquatic species. If a new hollow were artificially created in the limestone-surface, and kept full of water, it would be comparatively easy to predict what its ultimate plant-life would be. A new site equipped with soil and left to develop terrestrial vegetation would draw its eventual tenants from a much wider range of species, and forecasting the outcome would be consequently much more speculative.

Graph 3 is a histogram of species-richness per site plotted against frequency of occurrence. Thus one may read, for example, that a single hollow, (actually 'b'), has no inhabitants, whereas the maximum vegetational diversity of 18 species occurs twice; these richly-endowed sites are '7H' and '32'.

The aquatic sites are clearly less diversely vegetated, 35 out of 37 such hollows (94.5%) contain only four, or fewer, plant-species. (The remaining two aquatic sites are 'E', five spp., and 'P', with seven spp.) Moreover, only nine (out of 62; 14.5%) terrestrial hollows share this low-order diversity. The contrast is evident, considerable, and complementary to the relative differences in numbers of aquatic and terrestrial species.

Three separate peaks of frequency are defined on Graph 3; in other respects, patterns are amorphous. The
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first peak, at class (species-richness) 2, emphatically represents the aquatic type of vegetation. Indeed, four of the five apparently mis-placed terrestrial sites are so designated only because TWINSPAN puts them in the 'terrestrial' category. Their original allocation was within the aquatic half of the sample, as their codes, 'C, F, G, and Y' indicate.

It was expected that a single peak further along the graph would similarly express the modal frequency of species-richness for the genuinely terrestrial hollows of individually higher indices of diversity. In fact, twin maxima appear, at classes 9 and 13. The adjacent and intervening frequencies are sufficiently low to draw attention to the preferred values, and to suggest that they are real and not merely accidental. A proposed explanation is deferred until the following Chapter, where a far more detailed analysis of the plants constituting these sites is possible using the TWINSPAN results.
3 : 3 Relationships between Physical and Botanical Characteristics

3 : 3 : 1 Surface-area v. Species-richness

Surface-areas (see Section 3 : 1 : 2) of all sites were plotted against their species-richness scores. Graph 4 is a scatter-gram of these values. No relationship at all (correlation co-efficient = -0.058) exists between these two factors in the aquatic half of the sample, where the species-diversity is normally low and quite independent of the size of the hollow. The correlation co-efficient is negative on account of the extra-large sites with negligible variety of plants; some of these hollows, such as 'J' and 'U', are so extensive that the scale of the graph cannot accommodate them in their proper positions.

For terrestrial sites, however, the correlation co-efficient is 0.437, and with the large sample (51), this is highly significant. Smaller sites, therefore, are unlikely to support as great a variety of terrestrial plant-species as are the more extensive hollows, and the highest scores of 18, 18 and 17 species (for sites '7H, 32 and 31' respectively) are in surface-areas of more than 5000 square centimetres, amongst the top 15% of the sample as measured by this parameter. Intuitively, however, it is felt that other physical factors besides surface-area influence the botanical characteristics of a site, so that the conclusion derived from this statistically significant correlation must be restrained. Surface-area is by no means an entire or deterministic explanation of variable species-richness.
**SURFACE-AREA related to SPECIES-RICHNESS**
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Amongst the diversity of forms exhibited by the Gait Barrows solution-hollows are several genera of sites which are distinctive in outline and depth. Whilst it is unrealistic to equate absolutely botanical characteristics and morphology, some of these genera are consistently associated with certain types of vegetation. Table VII is a summary of these relationships.

The sites described in Table VII constitute a minority only of the total sample studied, so the correlations are of limited applicability. The remainder of the hollows, mostly more conventional in shape and size but by no means uniform in appearance, express the full range of hydrological and floristic options, from pools with only algal contents to dry areas of varied vegetation. Chapter 4 examines the detailed patterns revealed by the TWINSKAN results.

"Double-basin" Hollows

Particularly as water-levels diminished, it became evident that the limestone base of some sites consisted of a concentric 'hollow-within-a-hollow' form. An un-named depression near 'G' shows this modified profile, and can be seen in Photogam 14. The vestiges of moisture necessarily collect in the lower, usually central, areas of such 'double-basins', which were also observed to be the foci of sediments and vegetation. Silts accumulating here are more sheltered, and remain damp for longer periods. Thus, for
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquatic or Terrestrial</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Associated Vegetation</th>
<th>Photographs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>large, flat, shallow; oval but irregular outline; rather fretted, undercut edges; water always present, but not deep; 'swimming-pool' type.</td>
<td>J, 0, 4, 6</td>
<td>Nostoc dominant, in spheroidal aggregations.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>neat, oval shape; amongst smallest sites; relatively deep (i.e. depth approaching width in dimension).</td>
<td>I, O, g, u.</td>
<td>'fga'-rich; little else, but Juncus articulatus or similar emergent plants may be there.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/T</td>
<td></td>
<td>regularly oval shape; smooth and sheer sides; water generally deep but well below rim of hollow; 'bath-like'.</td>
<td>P 19e 31 46r</td>
<td>mixed aquatic species + some terrestrial development, in form, often, of mound with coarse vegetation; total appearance resembles garden-pond.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/T</td>
<td></td>
<td>runnel-type, i.e. long, narrow, moderately deep, with exit; but usually half-choked with sediment.</td>
<td>t 41 44</td>
<td>some aquatics + mosses downstream of terrestrial mound of tufted species such as grasses, especially Festuca rubra.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic or Terrestrial</td>
<td>Type Description</td>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>Associated Vegetation</td>
<td>Photographs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>underneath dimensions problematic, as sites totally filled with soil; no room for standing water; size average; outline variable, from round to irregular.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>all terrestrial plants, generally weedy, + mosses, forming slightly domed mat, spreading to surrounding limestone; rich in species.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>round; very open and exposed, and dry out rapidly.</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>only <em>Sedum acre</em> on shallow,</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>infirm sediments.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
two reasons, they create a more permanent and stable substrate, whereas dry, exposed material readily crumbles to fragments capable of being removed by wind. Even where soils are plastered over the whole floor of the hollow, the 'double-basin' sites are likely to have at least some area with a greater depth of sediment, and are therefore preferentially endowed to receive and sustain colonizing plants.

Very many of the aquatic hollows have some emergent vegetation, frequently tufts of *Juncus articulatus*, but sometimes mounds of species such as *Carex lepidocarpa*, as in '48w'. (Photographs 9 and 10 portray examples of such sites.) This vegetation normally occupies not the shallower margins of the pool but the deeper middle, and is often entirely surrounded by a moat of clear water. The details of the base-sections of the hollows remain obscured, however, in these circumstances.

A sufficient number of the 'double-basin' type of profile was recorded from the more visible sites (15 out of 54; 27.8%) to suggest that this shape is a fairly common feature. Several hollows outside the sampled 100 also demonstrate this profile. Recurrence of this pattern of centrally-positioned plant-life and encircling water implies that 'double-basins' confer an advantage in terms of the establishment of higher plant-species.

On June 21st, four hollows, 'C, F, 0 and o' were selected for detailed depth-measurements. (See Section 2 : 3 : 2). The profiles obtained from the two first-named sites are reproduced on Graphs 5a and 5b. The 'double-basin'
form is clearly shown, as is the close correspondence of trapped organic matter ('F') and plant-life (*Fissidens*, in 'C') to the deeper, inner parts of the base-section.
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CHAPTER 4

PHYTOSOCIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

4.1 The TWINSPAN Program

The main body of phytosociological data collected on the Gait Barrows pavement (see Section 2.5) was transferred to computer data-sheets and thence to punched cards, and processed by the TWINSPAN program (Hill, 1979). This is a FORTRAN program primarily designed for detailed phytosociological descriptions where populations of species are related to a number of sampled sites. The classification of the 100 solution-hollows making up the sample in this case is done first, and the arrangement of species is based on the resultant order of sites, so that ecological relationships are emphasised. For these reasons, TWINSPAN is a very relevant program to the research undertaken at Gait Barrows.

(* TWINSPAN = Two-way Indicator Species Analysis)

4.2 The Primary Division of Samples

The primary division, or "crude dichotomy" (Hill, 1979), effected by TWINSPAN creates two unequal groups of sites, those occupying the left-hand area of the Table1, comprising 62 hollows; and those to the right, which contains 37 hollows. These two groups are dealt with below, in Sections 4.4 and 4.3 respectively. One site ('b') had no species in it at all, and

1 A copy of this table of results is attached to this thesis.
has been ignored by the TWINSPLAN algorithm. For completeness' sake, it should perhaps be placed at the extreme right-hand end of the table.

4 : 3 The Aquatic Sites

The 37 sites constituting the right-hand part of the table of samples are clearly those characterised by 'aquatic' species of plants almost exclusively, with algal representatives often dominant. Only three of these sites have Domin-scale cover-values of less than 5 for filamentous green algae ('fga') and/or Nostoc sp. (See Section 2 : 5). Juncus articulatus occurs in almost half of these sites (17 out of 37; 45.9%), often abundantly. However, these three species ('fga', Nostoc and Juncus articulatus) also occur fairly widely in sites on the left-hand side of the TWINSPLAN table, and of at least equal importance, therefore, in distinguishing the two parts of the basic dichotomy is the almost complete absence, in the 'aquatic' sites, of genuinely terrestrial plant-species. The only records are for Sedum acre in two hollows ('h' and 'i'), and Poa annua and Cratoneuron filicinum, once each, at minimal cover-abundance. In fact the relatively small total of twelve species embraces the entire flora of these aquatic hollows.

The right-hand side of the table can thus be summarised as: generally wet hollows, such that the flora is nearly completely aquatic in preference; species-poor, the mean of 38 sites being 2.26, and the maximum diversity (in 'P') being only seven species; and with a corresponding tendency towards
obvious dominance, especially by algae. All these sites have 'letter' codes, thus reinforcing the prima facie division of the sample established at the outset of the study. (See Sections 2:2:1 and 2:5). Several aquatic sites are shown in the photographs and both 'fga' (hollow 'm') and tufts of *Juncus articulatus* (hollow 'n') can be seen on print number 9.

The main sub-division of the aquatic sites clearly adopts an algal criterion. Group 'a1' comprises 11 sites, the home of *Nostoc*, which is present in all these hollows, and achieves high cover-values in eight of them (72.7%). Other species — *Juncus articulatus*, for instance — are few in number, subordinate in cover-value terms, and incorporate no truly terrestrial types apart from one occurrence of *Sedum acre* (site 'i', cover-value 5). Sites 'J, N and s' contain much 'fga' as well as *Nostoc*, and are thus separately categorized as 'a1 left', a trio of mixed algal components and even fewer contributions from additional species than in the principle 'a1 right' samples.

Category 'b1' comprises the remaining 26 aquatic hollows, with characteristically low species-diversity, the mean being 2.35 species, and the total number of species represented being 12. This category is dominated by 'fga'. Only two sites, 'M' and 'y', have a Domin-value of less than 5. Relatively little *Nostoc* exists here, (8 sites; 30.8%), and those pools with high cover-values for *Nostoc* are placed in a third sub-category, 'b1 left', in which *Juncus articulatus*
is 100% constant, and often co-dominant with 'fga'.

In fact the splitting produced by TWINSPLAN at levels 2 and 3 for sites already accepted as definitely aquatic is governed simply by the permutations of presence — usually, as it happens, this means abundance — of the three chief aquatic plants, namely 'fga', Nostoc, and Juncus articulatus.

Table VII summarises the combinations. None of the 37 pools has sufficient quantities of other species to allow groupings based on wider criteria. Further comment on the precise distribution of, say, Fissidens or Tortella tortuosa, which are scattered throughout the aquatic categories, is deemed unprofitable.

**TABLE VIII**

**Summary of TWINSPLAN Division of Aquatic Sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>fga</th>
<th>Nostoc</th>
<th>Juncus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>'a₁ right'</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>'a₁ left'</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>'b₁ right'</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>'b₁ left'</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures in brackets, at the left of the Table, are the number of sites in each sub-division. A tick (✓) means 100% constancy of that species within that grouping, whereas ' + ' indicates scattered presence only.

Group 'b₁ left' includes several sites with marginally greater diversity of vegetation than in other aquatic hollows,
and the highest-scoring ('P', with seven species) member is actually placed immediately next to the crucial boundary with the terrestrial part of the sample, as if TWINSpan were sympathetic to 'P's attempt to graduate to the terrestrial side by virtue of its accumulated solid material and relatively varied floristics!

It must be stressed that this analysis of the aquatic sample, as described above, is an artefact of seasonality, for algae, especially 'fga', are prone to short-term fluctuations which would affect the precise allocation of aquatic sites to the available sub-categories. The terrestrial sites, in more ways than one, offer more solid substance for discussion, and the details are set out in the following Section.

4.4 The Terrestrial Sites

The left-hand part of the TWINSpan table comprises the terrestrial sites. The first sub-division splits off only two hollows, numbers '1' and '49', from the other 60 sites. Hollows '1' and '49', are both dominated by Sesleria albicans, and only one other species and three other species respectively occur in these two sites, all at very low levels of abundance. In some ways these hollows are pocket limestone grasslands, or at least representative of that fragment of calcareous meadow occupied by Sesleria tufts. The very low (for terrestrial sites) species-richness scores are in part a result of this Sesleria dominance, and also attributable to the relatively small surface-area of '1' and '49' (see Section 3:3:1). Sesleria albicans is found in a few other sites (code-numbers '2, 6, 21 and 32'), but less
overwhelmingly and as a fellow-member with at least five and as many as 17 other plant-species; thus these sites are manifestly more varied, and aptly segregated from '1' and '49'. Only one other site, coded '10', among the genuinely terrestrial group is as species-poor as these two 'grasslands'.

The remaining 60 terrestrial samples are sub-divided at level 3 roughly equally into the following two categories:

'a', with 26 sites (43·3% of terrestrial group);
'b', with 34 sites (56·7% of terrestrial group).

The characteristics of group 'a' are:

i/ species-rich hollows, the mean being 8·89 species, and the maximum score 18 (in '7H'); sites 'G' and 'U' are exceptions to this generalization (see Section 3:3:2, and Table VII).

ii/ containing a large proportion of the total terrestrial flora (43 out of 75; 57·3%), including....

iii/ an abundance of relatively low-growing, 'common', 'weedy' or even man-influenced types, such as Cardamine hirsuta, Veronica spp., Plantago major and Poa annua, which, if occurring together, create a 'farm-yard' effect.

iv/ recurrent Sedum acre, (20 out of 26; 76·9%), though usually at moderate cover-value only, and Geranium robertianum (16 out of 26 sites; 61·5%).

v/ strong representation of the mosses Bryum pseudotriquetrum and Cratoneuron filicinum, with combined occurrence in 22 out of the 26 hollows (84·6%).

vi/ only a scattering of properly aquatic species; for example, algae are restricted to three sites only (codes '7H, G and z'), and then only at low levels

1 These divisions, remember, are at level 3; the 'a1' and 'b1' aquatic groupings (Section 4:3) are defined at level 2.
of abundance; other 'semi'-aquatics (see Section (4 : 5) occur more commonly and occasionally with high cover-values, but in association with several, more terrestrial, species, and thus never truly dominantly.

vii/ the inclusion within these 26 sites of all those defined in Table VII, Section 3 : 3 : 2 as physiognomically 'flatter', where accumulated silt and decaying mossy material has filled the hollows to the level of the surrounding pavement. This deficient relief is emphasised by the low-growing habit of the plants concerned, often members of the group described under point iii/ above. Such sites look less exciting, which is perhaps why none of them is featured in the photographic record, fully representative though this was meant to be.

Category 'b' of the terrestrial sample is characterised by a number of features as set out below, some of which are in marked contrast to the definitive elements of category 'a' sites:

i/ high species-richness, again, the mean for these 34 sites being 8·65 species, the maximum again 18 species (in hollow '32'), and the proportion of the total terrestrial flora being even greater than for 'a', viz. 60 out of 75 (80·0%).

ii/ four exceptions to this generally varied vegetation are sites 'C, D, F and Y', which have achieved mention already in Section 3 : 2 : 3. (See also the Graph 2). TWINSPAN evidently regards them as terrestrial because algae are virtually absent. It is also true that three of these hollows had only transient pools, (see Section 5 : 3). If, therefore, these entries are removed from the TWINSPAN ordination, this part of the table of
results becomes more coherent, and the mean species-richness improves to 9.47.

iii/ low-growing forbs are relatively poorly represented. Only *Prunella vulgaris* occurs more frequently in category 'b' than in category 'a', and *Poa annua*, for example, is reduced to two occurrences only, at cover-values 6 in site '17' and 8 in site '18'.

iv/ *Sedum acre* and *Geranium robertianum*, both characteristic species of group 'a' sites, are limited here to a minimal presence in only three and four hollows respectively, always at low cover-values.

v/ aquatic and semi-aquatic species are, however, well represented; in contrast to category 'a' sites, either 'fga' or *Nostoc* contributes to the flora of 14 out of 34 hollows (41.2%) and *Juncus articulatus* occurs, usually at moderate cover-values, in 24 out of 34 hollows (70.6%). The moss *Fissidens sp.* is even more characteristic of 'b' sites, being found in 28 (82.4%).

vi/ more importantly, category 'b' sites are endowed with a much greater proportion of taller, leafier plants, such as *Ranunculus spp.*, *Filipendula vulgaris*, *Succisa pratensis*, sedges (e.g. *Carex flacca*), and grasses (*Gramineae*). Though several species of this growth-habit, like *Typha latifolia* and *Polygonatum odoratum*, occur in only a single site, the overall impression is of more profuse, robust and erect vegetation, and, by implication, the exclusion of lower-growing herbs. In particular of the grasses, *Festuca rubra* is almost confined to 'b' hollows, and *Molinia caerulea* is even more partial. Table IX summarises these occurrences:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IX</th>
<th>'a' sites</th>
<th>'b' sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>occurrences</td>
<td>cover-values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. rubra</td>
<td>4 medium</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. caerulea</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>mostly high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vii / the physiognomic cross-section of a typical class 'b' hollow is shown in Diagram 4, below. These sites are generally deeper and moister than the category 'a' sites. (See the equivalent point vii/ above).

**Diagram 4**
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viii/ trees which contribute to the hollows' flora are generally computer-placed in this second category ('b'). The chief exception is *Salix sp.*, which, like other semi-aquatics, is represented in both 'a' and 'b' sites.

Table X summarises the TWINSPLAN divisions of terrestrial sites. Overlap inevitably arises to thwart the perfection of these distinctions. The mosses *Bryum pseudotriquetrum* and *Cratoneuron filicinum* are probably the two most catholic species, acting seemingly as either early colonists or gap-fillers, and in whichever role being less selective as to their fellow-inhabitants.

The generalisations which make up Table X, below, are further elaborated in the final summary, Table XIV.
TABLE X  
Summary of Terrestrial Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 'a'</th>
<th>Category 'b'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 sites</td>
<td>4 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species-poor, Sesleria-dominated grassland</td>
<td>aberrant sites (Fissidens = Juncus) i.e. 'C,D,F,Y'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 sites</td>
<td>30 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species-rich, flat, dry, weedy areas</td>
<td>species-rich, damper sites, leafier, tufted growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 sites</td>
<td>4 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species-rich, flat, dry, weedy areas</td>
<td>aberrant sites (Fissidens = Juncus) i.e. 'C,D,F,Y'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5  Analysis of Species

The TWINSPAN operation orders species on the basis of the arrangement of sample-sites already produced. In this investigation, the chief plant-division is created towards the end of the species-list, such that four species are separated from the remaining 79. Of these four, three — 'fga', Nostoc, and Juncus articulatus — have already gained prominence in the foregoing description. (Section 4.3 in particular deals with this group.) They are the truly aquatic members of the truly aquatic sites, and their precise distribution is adopted by TWINSPAN as its criterion for detailed classification of these water-filled hollows. (See Table VIII). They clearly form a recurrent (but not obligate — only nine sites throughout the whole sample have all three species) association, though all readily occur elsewhere, particularly in the terrestrial 'b' group; and Juncus articulatus especially contributes to the vegetation of non-aquatic hollows, albeit at lesser abundances. Juncus articulatus is in fact the single most frequently-occurring plant-species, being found in 47% of the total sample.
The fourth species of this primary division is *Epilobium parviflorum*, of sparse occurrence (7% of sites) at low cover-values (often single specimens only) scattered across the TWINSPLAN table.

A secondary demarcation is shown in the same general area of the species-list, and suggests affinities between a further set of four species, viz:

*Danthonia decumbens*,

*Fissidens sp.*, 2

*Tortella tortuosa*,

and *Pseudoscleropodium purum*.

These species can be regarded as semi-aquatic in preference. For example, *Danthonia decumbens*, a nationally widespread grass, is described (Hubbard, 1976) as growing "usually in somewhat moist or wet places". As mentioned earlier (see Section 3 : 2 : 3), a ninth plant, *Salix sp.*, which is next on the TWINSPLAN list, could be added to these semi-aquatics.

The remaining 74 species are thus designated as terrestrial. Two categories, divided at level 3, separate, broadly speaking, the relatively low-growing, mat-forming weeds (36 species, top part of TWINSPLAN table), from the more erect, leafier, and often tufted forbs. The dichotomy is not strict, for *Dactylorhiza fuchsii* and *Inula conyza*, for example, are placed anomalously in the upper part of the table, whereas *Cerastium fontanum* occurs below the dividing-line. However, in all such cases of apparent mis-placing, cover-values of plants involved are usually minimal; occurrences are few; and the list-position is usually very near

2 *Fissidens adianthoides* was the only member of this genus to be identified, and possibly the only one present.
the crucial boundary anyway. As for the analysis of the samples described earlier (Section 4:4), the overall impression holds true, emphasised and confirmed by the allocation of all the tree-species (except *Pinus sylvestris*) to the lower list, whilst the three common terrestrial mosses, (*Bryum pseudotriquetrum*, *Mnium undulatum* and *Cratoneuron filicinum*) contribute to the category of low-growing forms.

Plant-species in the second half of the TWINSPLAN list are almost entirely confined to, and characteristic of, terrestrial sites category 'b', until, of course, one reaches the minority of semi-aquatic and aquatic species at the very bottom of the table. The occurrences of plants from this second half of the terrestrial species-list in the sites of terrestrial group 'a' are relatively few, and are catalogued below, in Table XI.

**TABLE XI  Occurrences of Lower-list Species in Group 'a' Sites.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Cover-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum montanum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>'50'</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>'14'</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>'22'</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerastium fontanum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>'37'</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotus corniculatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>'22'</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galium sterneri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>'42'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centaurea nigra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>'78'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festuca rubra</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>'3'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>'20'</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>'42'</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>'50'</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Festuca rubra's apparent infidelity, however, is
substantially offset by its 33% constancy, and high level of dominance, in terrestrial category 'b', where it frequently associates with two other tall species, as Table XII shows.

**TABLE XII** Recurrent Association of Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Site - numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Festuca rubra</em></td>
<td>41  44  27  16  39  t  32  21  61  62  2  43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carex flacca</em></td>
<td>8  8  9  -  4  8  7  8  8  6  9  4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Succisa pratensis</em></td>
<td>8  5  -  7  5  -  2  4  -  -  -  -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7  3  6  6  4  6  2  x  2  -  -  -  1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The entries in the columns above are Domin-scale cover-values for each species per site. The figures below are the species-richness scores for each of the sites.

The independent occurrences of the three species on the Gait Barrows pavement were:

- **Festuca rubra** — four other sites (see Table XI),
- **Carex flacca** — two other sites,
- **Succisa pratensis** — eight other sites.

In other words, they are not merely excessively common plants which are bound to coincide, but form a true association. Moreover, the arrangement of samples on Table XII suggests that the *Festuca — Carex flacca — Succisa* partnership may inhibit species-richness, which declines in the hollows concerned as the *Festuca*-dominated association strengthens.

A second interesting association, involving far too
few sites to be considered significant, concerns the two species whose occurrences, with cover-values, are plotted on Table XIII.

### TABLE XIII  Another Association of Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Site - numbers</th>
<th>ii) independent occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesleria albicans</td>
<td>'2' '21' '62'</td>
<td>'1' '49' '32' '19e'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus excelsior</td>
<td>4 4 4 4</td>
<td>9 9 1 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(saplings)</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
<td>- - 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is tempting to see here a microcosm of the transition between calcareous grassland and ash-rich limestone woodland!
## TABLE XIV  
**Summary of TWINSPLAN Results**

This Table shows the proportions of various groups of plants, expressed as percentages, occurring in various categories of sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>terrestrial</th>
<th>aquatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'grass-</td>
<td>b1 left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>land'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>terrestrial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low-growing, weedy</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annuals</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taller forbs,</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grasses, etc.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aquatic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'semi'-aquatic</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plant-species.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true aquatics.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Format based on Huntley & Birks, 1979).

- **-**  
  - ≤ 2%

- .  
  - 2.1 - 10%

- .  
  - 10.1 - 25%

- .  
  - 25.1 - 50%

- .  
  - >50%

---

The left-hand column briefly defines groups of species. The different-sized circles denote the occurrence of species within these groups, expressed as a percentage of the total possible occurrences within each category of sites.
This Chapter, as the title suggests, deals only with aquatic sites.

5.1 The Algal Presence

55% of the sampled solution-hollows on the Gait Barrows pavement support algal life. This figure includes all the so-called 'aquatic sites', and where water-levels are maintained persistently, algal quantities are normally high; of 37 such hollows, all but three (8.1%) have Domin-scale cover-values of 8 or above.

Visually, the algal material occurs in four different expressions:

i/ dense, free-floating strands ('algal scum');

ii/ similarly bright, pale green furry growths, presumably attached, clothing sediments on the base of the hollow;

iii/ dark olive-green, globular masses;

iv/ thick, rubbery, leaf-like forms of a similar colour to those described in iii/ above.

The latter two categories are both ascribed to the genus *Nostoc*, which forms "large, macroscopic, mucilaginous colonies" (Bold & Wynne, 1978). The spheroidal aggregations, iii/, are heterocysts, and very typical constituents of many Gait Barrows pools such as those occupying sites 'J' (Photograph 12) and 'S'. The other two categories, i/ and ii/, despite their
different appearance, were found under microscopic analysis to consist of the same genera, mainly Spirogyra and Zygnema, and are therefore both included under the heading 'filamentous green algae' ('fga'). (See Section 2:5). A list of algal components of 'fga' is given in Appendix C.

5.2 Observed High pH-Values

Many pools-in-hollows, as well as containing abundant algae, provided unusually high pH-values. During trials with the pH-meter at the beginning of May, site 'P' produced a reading of 9.35, and site 'S' registered 9.50. The 'official' pH-sampling on May 15th gave maxima of 10.25 and 10.35 for the water in hollow 'X' (Photograph 16), tested respectively at about mid-day and in late afternoon. Initial scepticism as to the veracity of these results was dispelled by immediately checking the meter against the 9.2 buffer-solution, with satisfactory correspondence; and later by having water from 'X' independently tested. (See Section 2:4:1).

Such high pH-values are unusual in nature, but not without precedent. Williams (1966) reported a mean pH-value (118 samples) for pools on limestone pavements of 7.8, but some of his individual readings exceeded pH 10. Indeed, slightly lower but comparable pH-values, shown in Appendix G, were registered with the same apparatus to that used at Gait Barrows in mere and drainage-ditches — respectively much larger, and flowing, bodies of water — on Leighton Moss Reserve (see MAP 1), about 2 kilometres from Gait
Barrows. This wetland receives base-enriched drainage from surrounding Carboniferous Limestone. Apparently reservoirs attain values as high as \(9.8\) after treatment with sodium bicarbonate \(\text{Na(HCO}_3\text{)}\). (Water Board employee, pers. comm.) Thomas (1930) reported maxima of pH 12 in the admittedly exceptional conditions of pools containing rain-washed lime-waste.

Observations at Gait Barrows suggested a possible correlation between algal abundance and high pH-values. This hypothesis is supported by the comment (Ratcliffe, 1977) that on Cousa Downs Reserve in Cornwall, pH-assays reached 10 in "shallow pools when the aquatics are photosynthesising". 'Juncus Pool 1', mentioned in Appendix G, also had a noticeable algal scum.

5:3 The Relationship between Algae and pH.

To test this presumed relationship, Kendall's Rank Correlation was applied, scoring ranked pH-values of 32 sites determined on May 30th — if several readings were taken at one pool, a mean-value was calculated — against Domin-scale rankings for 'fga' (not \text{Nostoc}) assessed on May 24th for the same sites. Since many 'fga' rankings were equal, as a result of general prolificity and therefore repeated cover-abundance figures of 8, 9 and even 10, a modified formula recommended by Siegel (1956) was used. The computed value for \(T_E\) (correlation co-efficient) is:

\[ 0.246; \]
and for t: 1.962, which, for infinite degrees of freedom, is significant to 95% level. The probability of chance accounting for the alleged correlation would be even less if the fourth-ranked site in terms of high pH-value ('p', mean pH = 9.575) had been accorded, as well, a high value for algal presence. In fact, 'fga' were not recorded here. This is almost certainly an error.

The conclusion is, therefore, that a strong positive correlation exists between abnormally high pH-values and algal abundance in the Gait Barrows solution-hollows. Algae are known to tolerate extreme environments in general (see, for instance, Alexopoulos & Bold, 1967) and high pH's specifically (Trainor, 1978). Conversely, there is also evidence that algae actively modify the chemistry of their surroundings. Hutchens (1948) noted that in laboratory cultures of Chilomonas of initial pH 6.0, increases had taken place thus:

after 48 hours, pH = 7.5;
after 72 hours, pH = 8.3.

The main agency by which alkalinity increases is "preferential absorption of particular constituents" (Fogg, 1972) of the culture-medium during photosynthesis by the algae present. Possibly the Gait Barrows pools undergo a similar process. Since they are generally small, relatively undisturbed, and isolated from other biochemical effects, the comparison to laboratory conditions is perhaps not far-fetched.
The mechanism of pH-change as described in the literature is accentuated when CO$_2$ is in short supply. Under these circumstances, "the utilization of bicarbonate in photosynthesis may result in the pH of media rising as high as 11 or more". (Fogg, 1972, op. cit.) In alkaline waters (such as those of Gait Barrows pools) "little if any free carbon dioxide exists" (Trainor, 1978), and bicarbonate is plentiful. Conditions in the hollows thus seem correct for the following postulated series of events:

1. algal development and photosynthesis;
2. depletion of already deficient CO$_2$;
3. dependence thenceforth on calcium bicarbonate in pool-water;
4. consequent raising of pH, to the high values recorded at Gait Barrows;
and 5. precipitation of carbonates.

A soft, greyish, mealy deposit irregularly covering the limestone walls of some hollows testifies to phase (5). A further stage — of drastically diminished algal presence — was noted on July 5th, (see Section 5: 4: 1), and may be analogous to Hutchens' (1948) discovery that above certain pH-levels, algae died. Whether the effect at Gait Barrows was an algal response to intolerably high alkalinity or merely a seasonal phenomenon irrespective of water-chemistry, is not known. Unfortunately, it was not possible to take pH-readings on this last occasion to see if pH-values had dropped in accordance with declining algae, and so the argument that pH-values vary directly with fluctuations in
algal activity could not be further substantiated.

These conclusions remain somewhat tentative; two months' observations are scarcely sufficient to secure an absolute conviction that algae are responsible for high pH-values. Nonetheless, the main observations at Gait Barrows fit the pattern outlined above, and supporting evidence is also offered by the relationship of pH-values to times-of-day. Many of the higher measurements were made during the hotter parts of fine days, such as May 30th, when algal photosynthesis is encouraged by warm, sunny conditions. Early morning pH-readings (June 21st) were rather lower than corresponding values at the same sites but taken at a later hour (on June 18th), a change which perhaps reflects algal inactivity overnight. More systematic experiments, however, are needed to elucidate and confirm the hypotheses described in this Section, incorporating a set of control-data to counter the effect of falling water-levels. These not only debilitate algal life, but make accurate pH-testing much more difficult.

The association between algae and pH established in this Section applies, strictly speaking, to one point only in time, namely late May. The next Section examines trends shown by the two phenomena during the study-period, and also refers to a potentially influential third condition, the drying-out of pools.
Temporal Variations

Algal Performance

As part of the main phytosociological programme, algal cover-values were recorded on two dates, May 24th and June 20th. These dates are hereafter abbreviated to '1' and '2'.

The trends affecting aquatic sites, 35 of which can be directly compared from '1' to '2', are graphed below. Actual numbers of sites are given in the relevant columns. These categories of change are largely independent of whether Nostoc or 'fga' comprised the algae. Some sites of 'unchanged status' had, in fact, small increases of either Nostoc or 'fga'.

**GRAPH 6  ALGAL PERFORMANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of sites (°)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Algal trends

A = considerable increase;
B = slight increase;
C = unchanged;
D = slight decrease;
E = considerable decrease.

(numbers = sites)
balanced by an equivalent decline in the other, and sampling-
error possibly accounts for much of the apparent fluctuations
involving a small plus or minus in cover-values between '1'
and '2'.

The truly aquatic sites are divided by TWINS PAN partly
on the basis of their algal components (see Section 4 : 3).
Sub-group 'a1 right', comprising 8 hollows, has Nostoc, usually
attaining cover-values of 7 or more, but no 'fga'. Most of
these sites ceased to be pools by date '2', and it may be that
the occurrence of Nostoc is associated with this phenomenon.
18 'terrestrial' sites (according to TWINS PAN — they may in
the first place have been designated as aquatic) also had some
algal constituents, almost always at low cover-values. Nostoc
was more characteristic of these sites than was 'fga', (13 out
of 18 (72.2%) compared to 8 out of 18 (44.4%)), customarily
occupying marginal pools surrounding other vegetation, or the
runnel-type exits of some hollows (see Section 1 : 3 : 4),
where drainage dampened the bedrock. This prevalence of
Nostoc probably reflects the greater propensity of 'terres-
trial' sites to dry out, and the 11 hollows which demonstrated
unchanged algal-values in the sampling-period were either ab-
normally deep and consequently retentive of water, such as
'46r', or inhabited by Nostoc only, which remained even after
serious desiccation, as in site '8 B'. Of the two sites which
showed a marked decline, i.e. 'C' and 'D', both suffered nearly
complete loss of water. The other five terrestrial sites reg-
istered slight alterations of algal abundance. Minor increases
in three sites (16.7%) were in each case from nil to very little,
and are probably not so much real developments as the result of more careful examination of the pools.

Between the two dates in question, major changes in algal-frequencies are relatively few, and the only discernible pattern is that *Nostoc* spp. are more typical than 'fga' of areas prone to desiccation. Under the duress of this condition, (and/or low CO₂ (see Section 5:3) and high pH-values), relative survival capacities of different algae may become important in the maintenance of algal populations. For example, blue-greens such as *Nostoc* thrive in low-CO₂ environments (Trainor, 1978), and some species of *Zygnema* endure drying-out and respond quickly to subsequent wetting (Bold & Wynne, 1978).

A brief return to Gait Barrows on July 5th showed that the 'fga' quantities had much reduced during the intervening fortnight between visits. Some pools seemed to have been entirely swept clear of suspended material. Graph 7 below summarises a rapid survey of 'fga' cover-values done early the following morning (July 6th) for 25 aquatic sites. Field-notes of that occasion refer to "dead, sludgy material" inhabiting the pools, presumably the remnants of algae. Necessarily, few terrestrial sites ever had 'fga'-levels conducive to vast decreases, but hollows '18d, t and z' subscribed to the general trend in falling from Domin-scale values of 4 or 5 to nil or X.

As Graph 7 shows, some aquatic sites more efficiently retained their 'fga', and this is difficult to explain. Many
of these hollows belong to TWINS-SPAN category 'b1 right', but this is only to be expected, because this sub-division was (initially) characterised by dominant 'fga', and, in any case, other members of the same group, such as 'T' and 'c_b', suffered sharp declines in their algal content.

Desiccation during the interval between June 20th and July 6th cannot be discounted. Weather-conditions in North Lancashire are not known for this period; severe evaporation is considered unlikely, but drying-out of some sites may have occurred. Water-levels prevalent in early July were, however, totally changed by a heavy and prolonged downpour on July 5th,
just before the pools were examined in what was obviously a freshly replenished state, with the remaining floating algae visibly diluted and most hollows newly full of clear water.

A more tenable explanation of the declining algal presence is simply that the algal scums are a seasonal phenomenon in the Gait Barrows solution-hollows, and that the July 6th observations coincided with the main dying-back. Some sites had progressed further in this event than others. Moreover, it may be that the high pH-values, recorded up to June 18th, are inimical to 'fga', and contributed to their decline. (See Section 5 : 3). _Nostoc_ aggregations, however, are much more permanent, surviving desiccation and being conspicuous in some pools even in early February, during the preliminary visit to the Gait Barrows pavement.

5 : 4 : 2 pH-values

The five sessions of pH-testing, from May 4th to June 21st, afford comparisons of values at different times during the field-work season. No equivalent phytosociological data exist for the earliest dates, and it is therefore not possible to say whether algae proliferated during the fortnight when pH-values reached their zenith. Table XV shows the trends in pH between the main sampling-dates:

- May 15th, i.e. '2',
- May 30th, i.e. '3',
- June 18th, i.e. '4'.

(These numbers correspond to those listed in Table I, Section 2 : 4 : 1).
TABLE XV  pH-trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>increasing pH-value</th>
<th>decreasing pH-value</th>
<th>static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>from '2' to '3'.</td>
<td>(i) 21/28 75%</td>
<td>(i) 5/28 18%</td>
<td>(i) 2/28 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from '3' to '4'.</td>
<td>(i) 6/27 22%</td>
<td>(i) 19/27 70%</td>
<td>(i) 2/27 7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column (i) in each category of pH-behaviour shows the actual number of sites out of those available for comparison, and (ii) shows the percentage of sites in each category.

Thus, most sites (75%) reached their maximum pH by the end of May (date '3'); in so doing, five pools (out of 21; 24%) increased by more than one full pH-unit. Of the five (18%) whose values decreased during the same period, two exhibited only very marginal declines (> 0.05 pH-units).

Contrastingly, a very similar proportion of pH-values (70%) dropped between the next two sessions ('3' and '4'), and of the six sites where against-the-trend increases were noted, two were again only marginal (> 0.10 pH-units).

It has already been postulated, but by no means fully tested, that algae may be responsible for pH-variations. Another possible cause, which also varies temporally, is loss of water from the pools, a condition which becomes more prevalent as spring- and early summer-temperatures produce evaporation. Drought ultimately renders pH-testing impossible. Even in the so-called aquatic sites, the number sampled fell
from 43 on May 30th to 25 on June 18th. It is therefore difficult to establish a correlation between approaching aridity and progressively reduced pH-values, but some evidence for such a relationship can be derived.

Six sites — 'C, F, G, Q, ZB, and 18d' — gave pH-values on May 30th, but dried out thereafter. The mean of the measurements from these six sites is a markedly low 7.85. Pools-in-hollows 'x, V, y, and R' had pH-values respectively of 7.55, 8.10, 8.40, and 8.90 (mean = 8.24) on May 15th, when appended comments in field-notes were, for example, "depth barely sufficient", and "electrode hardly immersed". The two mean values stated above should be viewed in the light of the overall mean pH-value for aquatic sites, which is 8.62, from 97 measurements taken throughout the study-period.

In contrast, hollows with persistent water-levels — not necessarily of great depth, but tending not to dry out — maintain relatively high pH-values. A selection of such pools is listed in Table XVI, below, with mean pH-measurements taken, unless otherwise stated, during the last chief sampling-session, June 18th (date '49'), when the effects of desiccation were noted in other sites, despite recent fairly wet weather (76.4 mm of rain in the preceding fortnight). 1

There is, therefore, a suggested correlation between relatively low water-levels, due to evaporation and/or drainage, and pH-values lower than the mean; and stable water-levels and pH's higher than the norm. TWINSPLAN allocates

1 Rainfall figures for Leighton Moss nearby, courtesy of Mr. John Wilson.
the six sites of low pH and early-season aridity to the 'terrestrial' groupings, and amongst the aquatic sites some with fairly rapid desiccation properties are placed together, such as 'j, i and o' in sub-group 'a1 right', as if to reinforce the argument that depth and duration of water-levels play an important role in the life-history of the hollows. It is, however, difficult to 'prove', or attach significance to, the proposed association. The main handicap is the lack of quantified measurements of persistence of water-levels. As with some other parts of the study described in this Chapter, progress towards more conclusive information can be made only with a systematic programme of monitoring pH-values and related phenomena, as a separate research-topic in its own right.
5 : 5

Site '46r'

Many visitors to Gait Barrows 'know' the hollow now designated as site '46r'. It is readily distinguished even at a distance by its tall and evidently flourishing specimens of Typha latifolia, an unusual plant for limestone pavement. Site '46r' is a large, deep hollow, but water is often restricted to the base of the vegetation. Consequently, pH-assays refer to the immediate environment of Typha's roots. These values are consistently the lowest of all pH-surveys done, as the following details show:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>date</th>
<th>pH-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/5/81</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/6/81</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/6/81</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Either the occurrence of Typha latifolia in this site is a response to abnormally low alkalinity (by Gait Barrows' standards), or else the plant itself is altering the chemistry of its own surroundings.
The method of soil-sampling is explained in Section 2: 4: 2. In the event, only two soil-cores were obtained, partly because of the risk of damaging sites, and it was hoped that these would offer some hint of chemical conditions. The details, inadequate to provide a full section in this Chapter, are given in Appendix H; some implications of the data are discussed in Section 7: 5 of Part II.
SUMMARY of CHAPTER 5

A positive correlation exists between high pH-values and algal abundance in the pools occupying solution-hollows on the pavement of Gait Barrows. Algal photosynthesis is proposed as the modus operandi whereby the relationship occurs, and the chemical processes documented for laboratory conditions may be enacted in the limestone pools. No evidence, however, was found for fluctuations in parallel of detailed pH-values and algal abundances. The effect of varying water-levels is probably superimposed on the pH-algal relationship. One site shares the distinctions of relatively low pH-values and an unusual plant-species, _Typha latifolia_.

Typha latifolia.
PART II
CHAPTER 6

GEOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

6:1 Limestone Pavements

6:1:1 Introduction

Limestone 'pavements' consist of more-or-less horizontal surfaces of bare rock in the form of 'clints', which are analogous to paving-slabs, separated by 'grykes', which are joints widened by solution. Pavements are "of almost infinite morphological variety" (Sweeting (in Dury), 1966), and have thus aroused considerable interest and controversy. It is particularly true of the Morecambe Bay limestones that "no two outcrops of pavement are exactly alike" (Sweeting, 1966, op. cit.), and therefore elucidation of their precise origin is even more difficult, a problem exacerbated by the sparsity of geological research on such sites as Gait Barrows.

6:1:2 The Origin of Pavements

The present character and appearance of any limestone pavement such as Gait Barrows is a function of two main sets of events, viz:

i/ the original creation of a stripped limestone platform; and
ii/ the subsequent, and usually current, modifying processes which act on such a surface.
Williams (1966) has very adequately summarised the arguments concerning the first stage. Moisley (1955) has stressed the importance of recurrent, impermeable shale-bands ("laminae"), each of which protects the directly under-lying limestone stratum, until glacial exhumation selectively exposes such strata in the form of wide platforms. This accounts for the 'step-and-stair relief' of many Karst areas, including those of North-West Yorkshire. The Morecambe Bay limestone topography is not so arranged, however, much of it being somewhat chaotic as a result of faulting (see, for example, Sweeting (in Herak & Stringfield) 1972, or Moseley, 1973). It is impossible to judge whether the Gait Barrows pavement specifically owes its origin to over-lying shales. The surroundings offer little geological evidence of the calibre of that easily deduced from the vertical exposures of much of the limestone further East, and the general geological relationships are rendered uncertain by the absence of Geological Survey maps of this part of the country.

Parry's (1960) explanation for the occurrence of pavements relied on late-Glacial snow-accumulation to initiate active solutional stripping in certain localities. His research, again, was done in the rather different terrain of North-West Yorkshire, and his main hypothesis has negligible relevance to Gait Barrows, where both low altitude and lack of containing relief preclude durable snow-cover.
Pigott (1962) recognized that 'pavementation' — the term used by Parry — coincides with intensive glacial erosion. Pigott suggested that moving ice removed weathered debris from the limestone surface, and produced a freshly smoothed platform, often synonymous with a bedding-plane. Gait Barrows lies in an obviously glaciated region, and it is logical to postulate that this particular pavement was glacially scoured as the ice progressed Southwards and seawards. Erratics of Silurian grit from further North confirm this. Perched limestone blocks (see MAP 3), which Sweeting (1966) calls 'pseudo-erratics', also occur on the Gait Barrows pavement.

Exactly how deeply glacial action penetrates is largely speculative. Really severe scouring might remove so much rock that the new surface is totally free of evidence of previous incision, and the pavement so caused would be virtually without grykes, like that at Gait Barrows. Such intensive glacial modification may apply to low-lying sites such as Gait Barrows, and may thus obviate arguments arising from the structural nature of the limestone (see Section 6 : 1 : 4).

Once bare limestone surfaces have been produced, several factors subsequently influence the detailed quality of the pavements, and indeed continue to govern present processes.
Lithology

Carboniferous Limestones are generally compact and resistant, and are much more conducive to the formation of Karst features than, say, the relatively light-weight and incompetent oolites and chalks. Within Carboniferous Limestone facies, however, lithological variations "are probably the most important cause of contrast between one pavement and another" (Sweeting (in Dury), 1966).

The Gait Barrows limestone is an extremely pure form. Spectrophotometric analysis of two specimens of rock submitted on July 5th determined 99% calcite; no insoluble residue was detected. Gait Barrows limestone consists of comminuted fossiliferous material (a mixture of brachiopods, echinoids, lamellibranchs and others) identifiable only in thin-section (Mr. D. Schofield, pers. comm.). Such a coarse-grained, highly-calcitic — by virtue both of organic content and cementing matrix — rock is designated as 'biosparite' (Dr. H. Goldie, pers. comm.), and is probably similar to the lithology of the Arnside Knott area (MAP 1) as described by Sweeting (in Herak & Stringfield, 1972).

These sparry limestones are "relatively impermeable and insoluble" (Sweeting & Sweeting, 1969), and also less affected by frost-action, which is probably minimal at Gait Barrows in any case, than other limestones. Grykes in such limestones are typically infrequent and usually narrow. For these reasons, massive, sparry limestone beds correspond to the "most extensive" (Parry, 1960) and "more conspicuous"
(Sweeting (in Waltham), 1974) developments of pavement.
Moreover, because of their reluctance to weather, this type
of limestone generates smooth surfaces, for which the Gait
Barrows pavement is notable (see Section 1:3:4), especi-
ally in contrast to Hutton Roof, whose limestone is "pseudo-
brecciated" (Sweeting (in Hersk & Stringfield), 1972), and of
a very rugged micro-topography.

In many of their characteristics, therefore, the Gait
Barrows pavements are generically and morphologically similar
to the better-known massive examples of the Burren, in Western
Ireland, and Scar Close on Ingleborough, North Yorkshire, where
"uninterrupted and unbroken clint-surfaces of over 200 square
yards (170 square metres) occur" (Sweeting (in Dury), 1966).

Lithological character also has a specific effect on
the aquatic properties of solution-hollows, for, according to
Jennings (1971), "in thick beds lacking porosity, solution-
hollows ...... can hold water until it is entirely lost by
evaporation".

6:1:4 Structure

Structural weaknesses within the limestone permit
entry by rain-water, and thus the onset of "enlargement of
voids" (Jennings, 1971) and steadily increasing permeability
which lead to classic Karst scenery and drainage. Of these
structural weaknesses, joints "are the most important single
factor" (Jennings, 1971), in that solution-widening of joints
produces the pattern of grykes which typifies limestone pave-
ment. "The frequency and orientation of grykes is controlled by the jointing" (Jones, 1965). Joints are particularly important in massive-bedded facies, such as the Gait Barrows limestone, because bedding-planes in such conditions offer fewer opportunities for solution; and major joints, which penetrate several strata, are more crucial than minor joints whose depth terminates within a single bed of limestone.

Horizontality of limestone strata aids the formation of pavements, though this (Sweeting (in Waltham), 1974) is not essential. (Indeed, Parry (1960) uses the lack of absolute correlation between bedding-planes, i.e., structure, and landform to illustrate that erosional elements, too, are of some importance.) Gait Barrows, however, is an example of generally flat pavement, and it is probably significant that the more dissected areas of open limestone correspond to the slightly inclined beds of the Southern portion of the pavement (see Section 1: 3: 4; Photograph 3).

6: 1: 5 Drift-cover

Jones (1965) argued that superficial features of a limestone pavement are formed under a drift-cover. Although it is true that biological action from peat-derived waters accentuates solution, and that some pavements are emerging from areas of 'bygone drift', authorities such as Sweeting and Williams assert that sub-aerial chemical weathering has been sufficient, even in the post-Glacial era, to fashion the present appearance of pavements. The latter states (1966)
that rain-water is powerful enough "without the addition of soil-acids of biological origin". Certain (calcareous) drift may preserve rather than destroy pavements.

It would nonetheless be interesting to know the post-Glacial history of Gait Barrows, especially as the solution-hollows discussed next (Section 6:2) are either residual, if Jones' ideas (1965) are correct, or more recent and still undergoing formation, if one accepts the more generally-held beliefs. Solution under a drift-cover is said to be uniform rather than discriminately channelled, and features produced in this way are distinctively rounded instead of "fretted and rough" (Williams, 1966). Gait Barrows, in both these respects, offers circumstantial evidence of having been buried, for its pavement is extremely smooth, and edges to grykes and runnels are usually not sharp. (Small areas of jagged and pocked limestone in the solution-hollows are interpreted in Section 6:2:2.) Furthermore, some of the local woodland grows over drift, and neighbouring pastures show only sporadic limestone outcrops penetrating the drift-cover.

6:1:6 Stage

Geological features reflect the stage of development they have reached within a sequence or cycle of events, and limestone pavements are no exception to this general truth. Parry (1960) believes that most limestone surfaces were once more perfect, and are now subject to a "process of fairly rapid decay with the accumulation of debris on the surface, and the formation of shallow grykes" (Parry, 1960). Gait
Barrows displays neither of these developments, and looks very fresh; in 'age' as well as appearance, therefore, it may compare with Scar Close, in contrast to which Goldie (1973) considers the much-dissected Malham pavements "physiographically older". Encroaching vegetation reduces the visible area of pavement, and this may be happening at Gait Barrows as peripheral woodland spreads.

6 : 2  Solution - Hollows

6 : 2 : 1 Terminology

Solution-hollows are well distributed in areas of Karst topography — they are, for example, "quite common in Yorkshire" (Williams, 1966), and Zotov's (1941) study concerned those of Mount Cass, New Zealand — but they are not among the best-known of limestone features, and different authors have adopted different names. The classic, Slavonic term is 'kamenitza', variously spelt; Williams (1966) confirms that these are "roughly equivalent" to solution-hollows; Sweeting (1966) uses the name 'clint-hollows'; Zotov (1941) referred to them as 'solution-cups'; and Jennings (1971) applies the phrase "solution-pans, (Amer. 'tinajitas', etched pot-holes)".
Jennings (1971) classifies solution-hollows under the heading 'Minor Solution Forms ....... developed on partly-covered Karst', which is a valid description for the Gait Barrows examples in that despite their small scale they contribute importantly to the vegetation of an otherwise largely abiotic surface.¹ Jennings points out that such partial cover may represent an early stage of colonization or a relict condition after removal of a previous vegetation-cover. The status of the Gait Barrows solution-hollows in this respect is further discussed in Chapter 7.

However they are labelled, these hollows comprise a distinctive, though variable, genus of limestone feature, intermediate in scale between the tiny effects of rain-pitting, or solution by persistent but local dripping (for instance, from perched blocks (Jones, 1965) or by spray (Williams, 1966)), and the much larger swallow-hole or doline-type depressions, where advanced solution, sometimes aided by general collapse, creates a real 'land-form' of markedly greater extent.

6 : 2 : 2 The Origin and Development of Solution-Hollows

The occurrence (and precise distribution) of solution-hollows requires the massive and horizontal style of limestone pavement. Where the clint-surface is less intact, by virtue of excessive solution, hollows are few or absent. In particular, co-existence between hollows and grykes is ipso facto rare, since the more extensively that grykes are developed, the greater is the reduction of flat, bare limestone on which

¹ Lichens are of course present on even the most open expanse of Gait Barrows pavement.
hollows are almost invariably sited. (cf. Williams, 1966: "The density of runnels depends largely upon the frequency of grykes . . . . ").

Gait Barrows demonstrates this negative association. Where, as near the woodland edge, the limestone is more generously dissected by grykes, hollows are relatively few, and of those which are found in such situations, many, such as 'N', or '40q', are long and narrow, occupying residual ledges between grykes. Thus, the availability of an appropriate surface-area is an essential factor, and this itself depends on the precise conditions of lithology, structure and process, as discussed in Section 6.1.

The chief mechanism for hollow-formation is in situ solution, as it is for almost all superficial limestone features. Williams (1966) confirmed this by measuring MgCO₃ and CaCO₃ parts-per-million in water from pools on the limestone pavements of the Burren, Western Ireland. The vastly higher values compared with those of rain-water "can only be accounted for by corrosion of the limestone" (Williams, 1966). Sweeting (in Dury, 1966) asserts that water dissolves CaCO₃ until "the solution becomes saturated or even super-saturated", and that the duration of the pool-in-hollow correlates with the amount of CaCO₃ taken up. The fact that solution by rain-water is sufficient to create hollows suggests that these features are active rather than residual, and formed in open air rather than under closed cover. This further implies that the Gait Barrows pavement may still be evolving, and that the situation examined in 1981 will not necessarily be identical in years to come.
Minute topographic variations on the pavement may be expected to influence the precise distribution of superficial features, but at Gait Barrows it is not possible to distinguish many embryonic hollows where rain-water accumulates. Lithological irregularities, also, may enable differential chemical weathering to take place, whereby solution-hollows are created, although Ivimey-Cooke (1965) claims that "flaws in the rock, such as isolated chert fragments, do not generally develop into solution-cups". Sweeting (in Dury, 1966) suggests that hollows "are often aligned in series in the directions of jointing", but at Gait Barrows the paucity of visible structures within the limestone, at least as indicated by opened grykes, renders this correlation tentative. Nevertheless, the incidence of long axes of the solution-hollows (approximately North-South) accords fairly well with one main trend of major grykes (Parry, 1960); and some hollows, for example 'I' and 'z', have revealed the existence of latent joints\(^2\), which also correspond to their long axes.

Networks of mineral-veins (see Section 1 : 3 : 4, and the relevant photographs) traverse the limestone, and over half the hollows examined (53%) are crossed by these. The frequency of veins allied to the abundance and size of solution-hollows makes this coincidence not remarkable. Moreover, seldom do veins apparently dictate the major axes of the hollows; occasionally they terminate the excavated area as if inhibiting rather than encouraging solution-processes; and some sites, such as 'D' and 'T', are notably by-passed by conspicuous veins lying only a

\(^2\) latent joints = "thin and hair-like, only apparent when the rock is broken up" (Jennings, 1971).
short distance away. The following Table, extracted from field-notes, shows the variable and inconsistent relationship between solution-hollows and mineral-veins.

**TABLE XVIII Solution-hollows v. Mineral-veins**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>vein cuts across one edge</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>two veins but not axially placed</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>largish vein across middle</td>
<td>possible focus of solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca</td>
<td>major vein truncates end of hollow</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18d</td>
<td>veins govern odd shape (Photograph 10)</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>many veins dissect this runnel-type site</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48w</td>
<td>vein goes through hollow, but at wrong angle</td>
<td>accidental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>cluster or network of veins</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>several veins</td>
<td>very significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>veritable artery, 10 centimetres in thickness, crosses this hollow</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The interpretation 'significant', above, means that it seems probable that the existence of the vein had some effect on the origin or the morphology of the site involved.

Using physical parameters by themselves, it is difficult to arrive at a coherent explanation for solution-hollows' being etched into the limestone-surface at the precise spots where they occur. Considering the diversity of forms which Gait Barrows exhibits (see Chapter 3), perhaps a single, over-riding cause is not to be expected.
Authorities generally agree that biotic factors play an important part in the development of solution-hollows. Zotov (1941) believes that small-scale corrosion is initiated under miniature mats of mosses, such as Tortella phaea, which was found in almost all the solution-cups he studied. Amongst the mosses which occur in the Gait Barrows hollows are Tortella tortuosa, related to Zotov’s T. phaea, (in 25% of the sites), and Fissidens sp.; both of these are mentioned, as well, by Ivimey-Cooke (1965), who considers them as important in the ‘pioneer phase’ of the development of solution-hollows in the Burren and on Hutton Roof respectively. Mats or mounds are a conspicuous vegetational feature of the hollows at Gait Barrows, and often, especially when Bryum pseudotriquetrum (22% occurrence) is a component species, exhibit the storeyed arrangement of:

- leafy shoots,
- decayed matter,
- rhizoids,

on the base of the site, which Zotov (1941) thought was instrumental in producing acidic drainage and peaty substrate, below which solution of the limestone took place. If such mats are the initiators of the hollows, however, the earliest stages of development should be apparent. Accumulations of mosses on the Gait Barrows pavement seem to be in already well-established solution-hollows, and the mossy growths on the smooth, upper pavement, i.e. directly on unaffected clint-surfaces, prove to be unfixed and unstable. For example, small patches of Tortula ruralis and Bryum argenteum on the central pavement
had been moved, presumably by wind-action, so as to obscure the code-number '15' printed on the nearby limestone, and loose aggregates of moss are frequently blown into hollows. (Personal observations, May 24th).

It seems equally correct, therefore, to regard species of mosses as among the early colonists in a favourable habitat provided by other agencies. Once hollows exist, it is no doubt true that they act as "foci for the accumulation of lichens and moss-spores" (Sweeting, in Dury, 1966). She considers that such vegetation "tends to increase the CO₂-content of the water, and the hollow deepens". Jennings (1971) comments that not only are CO₂-values enhanced by the organic matter, but that the "fine clastics may seal off the flattest, lowest parts of the bottom" so that limestone-solution is concentrated "on the steep to over-hanging sides of the pan", i.e. where CO₂-renewal coincides with least protection of the rock. Such an interpretation accords with observations at Gait Barrows insofar as some precipitous edges of the hollows show an irregular, fretted appearance at the junction of rock and water. As water-levels drop, so a zone of pocked and friable limestone emerges, in some instances — site 'M' demonstrates this well — covered with a pinkish-grey scummy deposit of weathered CaCO₃ (see Section 5 : 3), indicating that chemical action has been both pronounced and recent.

Quite probably, the basal attack described by Sweeting (1966) and the "rock-air-water line" focus of Jennings (1971) are complementary, or at least alternative, processes, and not mutually incompatible.
Rates of solution of limestone are difficult to determine, and local factors have considerable effect. Two statements, however, may shed helpful light on the development of Gait Barrows solution-hollows. Firstly, Zotov (1941) believes that the 'solution-cups' he studied had formed since the deforestation of the area, and therefore over a period of a few hundred years. Such a rate of solution would destroy the pavement in the geologically near-future. More relevantly, Sweeting (in Waltham, 1974) describes a 20-year vigilance over a defined portion of limestone pavement in Yorkshire, where "small solution-hollows have developed during the period of observation that did not exist at the beginning of the experiment".

Not all geological processes are imperceptibly slow. The writer has witnessed recognizable reduction of limestone coastal features from marine erosion in as little a time as five years. It will be interesting to see how long Gait Barrows retains its present character of intact pavement.
The Gait Barrows pavement is a glacio-Karstic feature, i.e. its formation has been dependent on erosion by moving ice, followed by chemical weathering (solution) of the limestone surface, either sub-aerially or under a drift-cover. No sign of this is visible, but circumstantial evidence, and certain details of micro-morphology, imply that the pavements are in fact exhumed.

The high ratio of clints to grykes which characterises the Gait Barrows pavement is a function of several inter-related factors: the sparry nature of the rock; its horizontal bedding; and its relative freedom from major joints. Massive pavement of this type lends itself to the formation of solution-hollows which Gait Barrows has in some abundance, but the incipience of these minor solutional forms is hard to determine. Early in their history, they collect not only standing water but also sediments and plant-colonists such as lichens, mosses and algae; some authorities believe that this biotic material actively instigates the process of formation.
CHAPTER 7

PATTERNS OF BOTANICAL DEVELOPMENT

7:1 Introduction

The geological processes discussed in Chapter 6 produce a palimpsest on which post-Glacial events are successively inscribed. Subsequent developments at Gait Barrows have been the generation of a cover of natural vegetation, and also the imprint of man, including recent spoliation of the pavements, as recorded in Section 1:3:3.

7:2 The Early Vegetational History

The limestone tracts of Lowland Lonsdale have experienced a conventional floristic history (Oldfield, 1960). Pioneer plants included Juniperus and Betula; both are still present at Gait Barrows. Bare outcrops of limestone in Pollen Zone IV were colonized by Corylus, which grew in grykes. Ulmus glabra (probably subsp. montana) partially replaced this in Zone VIa, and was itself superseded by Pinus, which reached its maximum in Pollen Zone VI b, actually "growing on the solid limestone" North of Hawes Water (Oldfield, 1960, op. cit.), i.e. in the very near vicinity of Gait Barrows.

Incomplete though the evidence is bound to be, one may entertain visions of the pavements about 5 000 B.C. as being well-vegetated, and, moreover, regard present specimens
of *Juniperus* and *Pinus* as having a long and true pedigree (Oldfield, 1965). Nowadays, the woodland parts of the Gait Barrows Reserve, though of an obviously secondary nature, contain representatives of other long-established species which once contributed importantly to British forest-lineage, such as hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*) and small-leaved lime (*Tilia cordata*). The latter species is usually a relict of uncleared land (Pigott & Huntley, 1980). The central pavement at Gait Barrows has about 20 species of woody plants, most of which probably have their ancestral roots in history as firmly as their physical roots are in limestone! The total assemblage is suggestive of a lengthy and varied tree-cover.

Vegetation change resulting from deforestation by man, rather than solely for climatic reasons, is now a well-explored argument (e.g. Iversen, 1956; Turner, 1962; Pennington, 1974). Oldfield's (1963) conclusions for Lowland Lonsdale are that a fluctuation of conditions took place, dependent upon human incursions, for pastoral land-usage and later 'Landnam' cultivation, and retreats. Oldfield reconstructs a continuing alternation of woodland cover and farmland reclamation through more recent, i.e. post-Roman, times. Much of this history is related to ownership by the influential Furness Abbey.

Details for Gait Barrows specifically are so far lacking. Lousley (1950) commented that "the wooded pavements of Middlebarrow, Gait Barrows, and Cringlebarrow have crowded, well-grown trees, which form a continuous canopy". If this
is literally true, it may mean that the present open pavement has been revealed in the last half-century. Observations by Sweeting (in Waltham, 1974) that new solution-hollows appeared during a 20-year study of Yorkshire limestone (see Section 6:2:2) also suggests that the vegetational development of the Gait Barrows sites may have taken place within living memory. Further research on a local basis might elicit the relevant facts.

7:3 The Origin of Plants

The 100 solution-hollows studied at Gait Barrows contain a total of 83 vascular plant-species. Why such a large variety? Calcicolous species in Britain much outnumber calcifuges (Grime, 1979, inter alia). The Arnside district of North Lancashire is botanically richer than any other 10-km. square of its latitude (54° 10' North), and comparable to famous areas in, for example, Kent (Information Board, at Leighton Moss Reserve). Petty (1902) listed 400 species for 'Silverdale' alone. Within the immediate vicinity of the Gait Barrows pavements today is a wide variety of habitats, both natural and man-made. Amongst these are the wet-land environments of Leighton Moss (MAP 1) and Hawes Water (MAP 2). It can readily be envisaged that the anomalous Typha latifolia plants in site '46r' originated as either wind-borne or bird-carried pappus from one or other of these localities. Wildfowl such as mallard (Anas platyrhynchos) and shelduck (Tadorna tadorna), as well as gulls (Laridae), flight over Gait Barrows daily.
The "reservoir of suitable species" (Grime, 1979) available to colonize new habitat is, therefore, large, and there is little problem in explaining the occurrence of any particular plant, calcicole, arable weed, or true aquatic, in the total list gathered from the Gait Barrows solution-hollows. Local availability, plus the dispersal powers of reproductive units, allows the sites' potential for varied flora to be realised. This potential is in part a function of the precise habitat-characteristics; that at least some of the hollows are wet for some of the time grants opportunity to a different range of plants for successful colonization. The moisture-bearing capacity is a fundamental reason for the solution-hollows' flora being not simply a mirror-image of the general vegetational composition of limestone pavement, as has been mentioned already in Section 3:2:2. The hollows are thus validly a member of the "clearly defined minor habitats" recognized by Ivimey-Cooke and Proctor (1966) in the "complex mosaic of fragmentary patches" constituting limestone pavement.

7:4 Aquatic v. Terrestrial

The distinction of aquatic and terrestrial sites is intrinsic to this study. TWINSpan results broadly reiterate the prima facie division. Divergences between the original, subjective dichotomy and the TWINSpan allocations are explicable in vegetational terms — TWINSpan uses vegetational criteria, and thus moves sites such as 'C, Q, and Y' with no dominance of aquatic vegetation, algae in particular,
from aquatic to terrestrial categories, and treats sites of mixed character (for example, '7H, 19e, 46r and 48w') as terrestrial.

For the moment, let us consider 'aquatic' and 'terrestrial' as purely physical conditions irrespective of floristic composition. 'Aquatic-ness' is a temporary state; sooner or later (most) pools evaporate and hollows dry out. This may happen seasonally. The duration of the Gait Barrows investigation was inherently too brief for this to be fully witnessed. Thus, 'aquatic' is a valid concept within the limits of this study, but less so over the total life-history of any site. As if to confirm the transience of so-called aquatic sites, TWINSPAN sub-divides these on the very ephemeral criterion of algal abundance and distribution.

Another expression of their impermanence is that all hollows, including the now-terrestrial sites, begin in an essentially aquatic state. "Water collects in pools and basins, and as a result of solution by stagnant water such basins are enlarged" (Sweeting, 1973). The embryonic stages depend on weather-conditions; the persistence of standing water reflects the balance of rainfall and evaporation, creating a wet-dry-wet sequence. Eventually increased depth-to-bedrock permits increased permanence of water, particularly on limestone of weak porosity (Jennings, 1971), which is perhaps the case at Gait Barrows. It is probably at this stage that lateral extension and the production of sheer sides occurs.
Slightly worrying is the apparent lack of hollows at Gait Barrows in the earliest phase of formation. One would expect them to be manifest particularly after showers, when rain-water lodges briefly in miniature topographic depressions. Site 'b' is the nearest approximation amongst the sampled 100. It is shallow (4.4 cms. depth-to-bedrock), desiccates quickly, and, significantly, has no plant-species at all.

There is, though, absolutely no evidence that the terrestrial condition is anything other than secondary, i.e. that terrestrial developments require a relatively 'mature' environment in the form of an already well-excavated hollow. Thus, aquatic status is not temporary merely in the sense that the hollows may fairly easily dry out, but also in that many sites lose their original identity and become, ultimately, terrestrial. The direction of change is, however, one-way only, and it is hard to envisage advanced terrestrial sites returning along the path they have already travelled. Many Gait Barrows hollows, including those subjectively classified as owing an allegiance to both states, and others transferred by TWINS PAN from aquatic to terrestrial, suggest a position between the two extremes. The rate of transition, and whether it is enacted by continuous and gradual evolution, or by a more irregular development, is beyond the scope of this discussion.

The terrestrial condition, in purely physical terms, implies a solid substrate. Gait Barrows limestone is not as clean as it looks; a hand placed on dampened rock picks up copious quantities of black specks. This material is washed or blown into hollows, and accumulates there. Depressions
consisting of a 'double-basin' profile are especially efficient at trapping such silt (see Section 3 : 3 : 3, including Graphs 5a and 5b). Site 'b', mentioned earlier, has as yet negligible silt-content.

A clear picture emerges, therefore, that the Gait Barrows solution-hollows are susceptible to change, and not simply static features of ever-lasting characteristics. It may be repeated here that they are active rather than residual, a conclusion reached already (Section 6 : 2 : 2) on geological grounds, and certainly not just the long-abiding remnants of a former, more widespread, vegetation. The modes of alteration in floristic terms are outlined in the next Section.

7 : 5  Vegetational Developments

Previous writers (Ivimey-Cooke, 1965; Sweeting (in Waltham), 1974) affirm the importance in both corrosion and colonization processes of rock-hugging lichens, which minutely fragment the limestone-surface and also provide an organic veneer. Lichens encrust rock irrespective of topography, however, and their presence in well-formed solution-hollows has not been studied in this investigation. Probably they are less important than algae and saxicolous mosses. Of the former, *Nostoc* 's ability to survive desiccation, its N-fixing capacity, and relatively bulky biomass, are all valuable to the early stages of terrestrialization. The role of mosses has been stressed by Zotov (1941) and Sweeting (1966) in particular. At Gait Barrows, several species are abundant, and
the part they play in the early processes is discussed in Section 6:2:2.

In terms of succession, *Fissidens* seems especially important. Not only does it occur in 45% of the sites, but its dominance in otherwise poorly-vegetated hollows, and persistence (usually in lesser quantities) where a larger variety of plants has become established, suggests that *Fissidens* is a bridge between primitively aquatic and progressively terrestrial characteristics. Its leafy form, when decayed, contributes to the developing depth of substrate. Site 'C', shown on Graph 5a, (Section 3:3:3), demonstrates the manner in which *Fissidens* growths direct the terrestrialization process.

The autochthonous accretion of what is by now an organic soil absolves the hollow from dependence on the accidental arrival of wind-blown material. Milestone though this may be in the physical development of the site, it does not automatically confer terrestrial status in vegetational terms. Hollows which are large enough, such as 'P' and 'X', or simply very deep, like 'I', (see Section 3:3:2, Table VII), retain their pools, and despite captured sediments and home-produced humus, vegetation is still of an aquatic type. Algae may well remain dominant. Of vascular species, *Juncus articulatus* emerges very commonly from silt in water-filled hollows. Its tufts often form single-species stands, and create vegetational islands encircled by clear water (see Section 3:3:3, and Photographs 9 and 10); often, this is the limit of development in truly aquatic sites.
Elsewhere, *Juncus articulatus* seems to have been the starting-point of more terrestrial growths, and hollows where this progress has taken place, perhaps by virtue of accelerated build-up of solid substrate, become — both visually and according to TWINSpan — terrestrial. Usually these sites are allocated to TWINSpan terrestrial category 'b'. Some low cover-values of *Juncus articulatus*, for example in '19e' and '23', might be interpreted as relict populations gradually being overwhelmed by species of drier habitats, such as *Festuca rubra* and *Molinia caerulea*. Longer-term studies are needed to confirm this, but it may well be that one pathway from aquatic to terrestrial character, in vegetational terms, is by means of *Juncus articulatus*. If this is so, it is obviously more typical of deeper sites where retained moisture is prevalent, and a transition involving a vegetational factor is necessary.

In shallow sites, however, the main physical attribute of a terrestrial condition, namely soil, is reinforced at an earlier stage by drought or drainage, and allows a truly terrestrial flora to develop. At Gait Barrows, one plant more than any other species seems instrumental in effecting the beginnings of terrestrial succession in shallow sites. *Sedum acre* is the most frequently-occurring dry-land species in the sampled sites (see Section 4:4). In some instances, e.g. site '24', it is very dominant; often it partners saxicolous mosses mentioned earlier; and in two depauperate hollows, 'G' and 'U' (see Section 3:3:2, Table VII; and Section 4:4), *Sedum acre* is virtually the only inhabitant. Its ecological suitability as a primary colonist in such circumstances is
mainly tolerance of the drought typical of "shallow soils .... developed particularly on basic or calcareous rocks" (Harder et al., 1967); resistance to rabbit-grazing (Tansley, 1939) may also be a useful asset. Sedum acre probably helps to stabilize the substrate within the hollows, although loose, wind-blown fragments of the plant are not uncommon. It seems, too, to withstand at least temporary saturation when its surroundings are flooded by rain, and in fact Sedum acre occupies two sites which are classified as aquatic (see Section 4: 3). It is equally characteristic of the newly-exposed, rubble-strewn limestone of the quarried zone. A congener, Sedum telephium, is also present in the Gait Barrows solution-hollows, (nine sites), but is not regarded as exhibiting the same pioneering spirit.

Another stonecrop, Sedum album, is, however, a comparably early invader of open limestone in Gotland (Denmark) (Gimingham et al., 1966). This species, like S. acre at Gait Barrows, is associated with other primary colonizers, especially Tortella tortuosa, and also with "an accompanying accumulation of a skeletal soil". This lichens - mosses - succulents pattern noted by Gimingham and his co-workers is the first phase of a "dynamic sequence representing the early stages in a colonization succession". The roles performed by the two species of Sedum in their respective localities are very similar, and each introduces a more complex, varied vegetation which supersedes the Sedum-phase.

Following this, however, it is difficult to give a synoptic account of vegetational development. Morphology
of the hollows, and even detailed topography of silt- and humus-accumulations, influence vegetational change. Sites with little relief, where sediments and/or vegetation mats have choked the basin to pavement-level, drain spontaneously outwards, aridity is even more prevalent, and plants are more exposed. Under such circumstances, the inhabiting flora tends to comprise lower-growing species whose life-cycle is annual, and whose seed-production is relatively rapid and early. *Poa annua* in several sites, for instance, had died by July 5th. Such hollows are generally placed by TWINSPAN in its terrestrial category 'a', and have been described as 'physiognomically flatter' sites (see Sections 3 : 3 : 2 (including Table VII) and 4 : 4).

Deeper, more sheltered, partially water-filled sites (terrestrial category 'b') give rise to a very contrasting flora, dominated in general by a mound of taller and tufted species, surrounded and in-filled by the relict moisture-loving community, particularly mosses. This type is also treated in Section 4 : 4, and Diagram 4 attempts to portray such a hollow. The accumulation of sediments, and later, and more importantly, decayed plant-material, may create a pronounced feature whose topmost zone is quite possibly above the normal levels of both standing water in the surrounding hollow and saturated soil, so that leaching of minerals is accentuated. The chemical analysis referred to in Appendix H suggests downward removal of calcium (but not other bases), or at least the failure of this element to penetrate upwards by capillarity.
The phenomenon of increasing calcium with depth is especially marked in the soil-core extracted from site '19e', which is tenanted by a group of plants, Molinia caerulea, Carex pulicaris and Carex hostiana, all at cover-values of 5 or more, characteristic of slightly drier and nearer-to-neutral conditions. One hollow does not, of course, make for a hypothesis, but as it happens two neighbouring sites, uncoded and outside the sampled 100, have precisely the same tussocky appearance and component species. Moreover, their exact disposition is very similar in all three vegetation mounds, with Carex hostiana forming the outside of the assemblage, and Molinia caerulea occupying the innermost, highest area. Possibly this arrangement reflects some detailed, intra-site conditions.

Species-richness is likely to be adversely affected by the development of mounds of coarse, tufted vegetation. This has already been demonstrated (Section 4:5) with respect to Festuca-dominated sites. Reduced diversity is seen as a physical response, because of the shading and competition at root-level exerted by grasses and some robust forbs, rather than a problem of soil-chemistry, for hollow '32', which also shows relative calcium-deficiency in the upper part of its soil (see Appendix H), nonetheless has a very high species-richness score. The tendency towards acidic conditions in '32', though it does not inhibit diversity of flora, may be responsible for the occurrence of one particular species, Potentilla erecta, which is associated more closely with acid-to-neutral chemistry of the substrate rather than a lime-rich environment.
Although acidification within the solution-hollows is a trend which merits at least brief consideration, the present evidence suggests that such effects are limited in importance. The statement made in the Introduction (p. ix) to this study, that there is no real link between the sites investigated and the patches of "apparently acidophilous scrub", remains valid.

One special pattern, recognized by TWINSPAN even though it applies to only two sites, is the establishment of *Sesleria albicans* in company with a dearth of other species (see Section 4.4). Reasons for the assumption of a dominant status by this particular grass have been put forward by Mrs. Jean Dixon (pers. comm.), who is currently studying *Sesleria albicans* at Bradford University. Early investment in root-development, rather than above-ground growth, enables *Sesleria* to survive drought at a stage when other plants might succumb. It is, however, tolerant of a moderate amount of temporary swamping, such as the Gait Barrows solution-hollows experience. Seeds, which are heavy, disperse only very locally; though a relatively small number is produced, germination has been found to reach 96%, and the result of these biological traits is the concentration of *Sesleria*-seedlings around the parent plant. Strong tillering and culm-production from established specimens will eventually over-shadow and crowd out potential competitors, and prevent new colonists. The chief disadvantage of *Sesleria albicans* is its rather slow start in above-ground development. Presumably this
is not a severe drawback in relatively shallow, open sites, where seasonal desiccation can be relied on to suppress the less deeply-rooted species while Sesleria albicans gains a secure footing.

The foregoing paragraphs endeavour to explain some of the more obvious patterns of floristic development in the Gait Barrows solution-hollows. The precise composition of well-vegetated sites, however, is almost impossible to summarise or predict. The three richest hollows, '7H, 31 and 32', encompass in toto 40 different plant-species. This is 48.2% of the available floral diversity. Only eleven of these species are shared between two of the sites, and no species occurs in all three sites. Though their starting-points may have been similar, and the initial routes by which their terrestrialization progressed also probably parallel, their destinations, so far as this study is concerned, have been remarkably different. It seems that once a substantial depth of substrate is available, without surfeit of moisture, the membership-subscription to join the terrestrial hollow dramatically drops, many more species can 'afford' to belong to the growing community, providing that they have the residence-qualification of calcicoly, and exactly which plants do turn up becomes more a matter of accident than design.
SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

The characteristics of this phytosociological study of solution-hollows on the Gait Barrows limestone pavement are that it is:

i/ floristic, concerning plant-species composition, rather than functional;

ii/ synecological, rather than autecological;

iii/ non-destructive;

iv/ intensive in scale, dealing with small, enclosed and more-or-less self-contained areas on a whole-site basis, i.e. not sampled by quadrats, transects, or other partial method;

and v/ subjected to multi-variate ordination of both samples and species by means of TWINSPLAN computer-program.

The Gait Barrows solution-hollows are numerous, and morphologically both well-developed and varied. Their occurrence is entirely consonant with the complex of lithological and structural qualities of the Gait Barrows limestone, and the massive style of pavement consequently engendered. The exact initiation of solution-hollows, however, is difficult to determine. Once instigated, though, processes of vertical and lateral corrosion are responsible for their enlargement. Perhaps the chief historical puzzle at Gait Barrows concerns a putative drift-cover. Since this would have been an important link between geology, topography and the developing vegetation, its absence from the present pavement constitutes a 'missing link'.

The present plant-life of the solution-hollows is, however, not a relict of former more widespread vegetation; nor is it closely related to other extant floral communities in other limestone sub-habitats such as the grykes. The first stage — water-filled sites — entails temporarily dominant algae, with whose abundance unusually high pH-values are associated. A variety of events — accretion of sediments, especially in the lower part of a 'double-basin' profile; accumulation of both living and dead Nostoc and mosses, particularly Fissidens; growth of emergent vegetation, notably Juncus articulatus; or drying out and subsequent colonization by Sedum acre — instigates the transition from the at least seasonally aquatic state to an at least partially terrestrial condition. This dimorphism is a fundamental property of the sites investigated at Gait Barrows, though some hollows probably retain their pools permanently, because they are unusually deep, and other sites merely destroy the pavement-surface without contributing a diverse flora.

Following the early stages of development, the sequence is less easy to define. Morphological characteristics affect the precise degree and expression of the process of terrestrialization, and the visual extremes are represented by tussocky, species-poor Sesleria- or Festuca-dominated mounds and much more varied, herbaceous sites. Species-availability is high, fidelity is minimal, pattern concerns physiognomy and growth-form rather than actual floristic composition, and prediction in terms of the occurrence of species is virtually impossible.
APPENDIX A

Chemical Analysis of Vein-Material

The results set out below were obtained from photospectro­metry of samples from the main vein at Gait Barrows:

(a) c. 85% calcite (CaCO₃);
(b) 5 - 7% dolomite (CaCO₃, MgCO₃);
(c) 4 - 5% quartz (SiO₂);
(d) 3 - 4% magnesite (MgCO₃);
(e) trace rhodocrosite (MnCO₃).

\[ \text{c. 100\%} \]

The analysis was kindly done by Mr. R. G. Hardy of the Department of Geology, Durham University.

These results correspond closely to those determined by Mr. Leslie Rose (pers. comm.), who treated vein-material to acid-digestion which left a residue of 4% sludge, presumably the equivalent of the quartz in the table above. This, with the dolomite which may contain minute quantities of iron, may be the ingredient allowing for greater resistance of the mineral-veins compared to the surrounding limestone.
APPENDIX B

Dimensions of Grykes on Central Pavement

The measurements of gryke-width and gryke-depth, in centimetres, are recorded below. They were taken from 40 localities, found at random in the relatively few genuine grykes of Gait Barrows' central pavement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>width</th>
<th>depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mean of 40</td>
<td>21.425</td>
<td>106.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>less</td>
<td>c.175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimension</td>
<td>than</td>
<td>located</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>located</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equivalent mean values for grykes determined during morphometric studies of the Ingleborough (North Yorkshire) limestone (Goldie, 1973) are:

width 20.42 cms, with Standard Deviation of 10.08;

depth 107.00 cms, with Standard Deviation of 49.0.

This similarity of mean values is further evidence that the Gait Barrows pavements are morphologically of the same style as the better-known Yorkshire examples such as Scar Close (see Section 6:1:3).
Identifications of Algae

Samples of pool-water from the Gait Barrows solution-hollows were brought back for laboratory examination. Mr. Graham Patterson kindly undertook the microscopic identification of genera of algae; the table below describes the appearance in the field and the genera represented in eight sites:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Macro-characters</th>
<th>Genera represented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>Nostoc weed + 'fga'</td>
<td>Nostoc sp.; Zygnema sp.;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Nostoc spheroids</td>
<td>Nostoc sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>'fga' of usual form</td>
<td>Spirogyra sp., 90%, + some Oedogonium sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>rather paler, more net-like or web-like form</td>
<td>Zygnema sp., 80%, + Closterium sp., 10% + Pediastrum sp., 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>as for 'm', above</td>
<td>Oedogonium sp. mainly Zygnema sp., with Cosmarium sp. and Oedogonium sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>ditto</td>
<td>Closterium sp., + Oedogonium sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, V</td>
<td>green sludge coating muddy substrate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The samples were collected on June 22nd and identified the following day.
APPENDIX D

Definitions of 'Kamenitzas' (Solution-hollows)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Quotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zotov, 1941</td>
<td>&quot;undrained circular pits&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeting, 1966</td>
<td>&quot;shallow and pan-like, with steep rims and flat bases&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, 1966</td>
<td>&quot;small cup- or saucer-shaped depressions&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennings, 1971</td>
<td>&quot;basin- or dish-shaped depressions&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hersak &amp; Stringfield, 1972</td>
<td>&quot;small, shallow dish-like depressions&quot;.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Herak & Stringfield (1972) continue ...... "on consolidated calcareous blocks (solution-hollows) are a clear expression of marginal corrosion due to a lack of vertical joints which might enable the sinking of water, and thus corrosion in a vertical direction."

This seems an admirable summary of the situation which obtains at Gait Barrows.
APPENDIX E

Physical Dimensions of Sites

Ei/ Long axes

Greatest = site 'N' = 225 cms;
Smallest = site 'R' = 28 cms.

Eii/ Short axes

Widest = site 'U' = 130 cms;
Narrowest = sites 'O', 'R' = 16 cms.

Eiii/ Distances between sites

Nearest neighbours = sites '45&A' and '&B' = 14 cms apart;

Furthest distant = site 'O' in the central part of the pavement and several hollows at radius of approximately 7 metres.

Eiv/ Surface areas

Most extensive = site 'J' = almost two square metres, = 19637.5 sq. cms;
Least extensive = site 'R' = 351.9 sq. cms.
### APPENDIX F

**Full List of Plant Species**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asplenium trichomanes</td>
<td>Centaurium erythraea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus sylvestris</td>
<td>Veronica officinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxus baccata</td>
<td>V. serpyllifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranunculus acris</td>
<td>V. arvensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. bulbosus</td>
<td>Euphrasia officinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erophila verna</td>
<td>Prunella vulgaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardamine hirsuta</td>
<td>Teucrium scorodonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola riviniana</td>
<td>Plantago major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum montanum</td>
<td>Galium sterneri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerastium fontanum</td>
<td>Succisa pratensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagina procumbens</td>
<td>Senecio jacobaea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arenaria serpyllifolia</td>
<td>Inula conyza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linum catharticum</td>
<td>Solidago virgaurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranium robertianum</td>
<td>Cirsium vulgare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifolium repens</td>
<td>Centaurea nigra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotus corniculatus</td>
<td>Serratula tinctoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipendula vulgaris</td>
<td>Leontodon autumnalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus sp.</td>
<td>Mycelis muralis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentilla erecta</td>
<td>Hieracium sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragaria vesca</td>
<td>Taraxacum sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus monogyna</td>
<td>Polygonatum odoratum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus aucuparia</td>
<td>Convallaria majalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedum telephium</td>
<td>Juncus articulatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. acre</td>
<td>J. subnodulosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilobium parviflorum</td>
<td>Dactylorhiza fuchsii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. montanum</td>
<td>Typha latifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. ciliatum</td>
<td>Carex hostiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex sp.</td>
<td>C. lepidocarpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula pendula</td>
<td>C. flacca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corylus avellana</td>
<td>C. pulicaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix sp.</td>
<td>Festuca rubra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus excelsior</td>
<td>F. ovina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full List of Plant Species (continued)

Lolium perenne,  Polytrichum sp.,
Poa annua,     Fissidens sp.,
Briza media,   Tortula ruralis,
Sesleria albicans,  Tortella tortuosa,
Holcus lanatus,  Bryum pseudotriquetrum,
Agrostis stolonifera,  B. argenteum,
Danthonia decumbens,  Mnium undulatum,
Molinia caerulea,  Cratoneuron filicinum,
Pseudoscleropodium purum,

Nostoc sp.,
'filamentous green algae'.
# APPENDIX G

## pH-values at Leighton Moss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>date</th>
<th>site 1</th>
<th>site 2</th>
<th>site 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'Juncus Pool', one</td>
<td>'Juncus Pool', two</td>
<td>Causeway Dyke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/5/81</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/5/81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Soil - Chemistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Na</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'32' upper</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'32' middle</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'32' lower</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'32' base</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'19e' upper</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'19e' middle</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'19e' lower</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All units are parts-per-million.
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