

Durham E-Theses

The Effectiveness in Measuring Character Development Outcomes in Singapore Schools Through the Character Development Award

TEO, WILSON

How to cite:

TEO, WILSON (2010) The Effectiveness in Measuring Character Development Outcomes in Singapore Schools Through the Character Development Award , Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: $\frac{http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/704/}{http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/704/}$

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in Durham E-Theses
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the full Durham E-Theses policy for further details.

ABSTRACT

The Effectiveness in Measuring Character Development Outcomes in Singapore Schools Through the Character Development Award

Wilson Teo Kek Woon

In 2006, Singapore's Ministry of Education started recognising schools for their effort in using effective character development programmes in producing holistic students who are both competent in their academic studies and possess good moral character. Although the history of character education in schools started in 1959, it is only in the recent years that formal awards with a set of evaluation criteria are used to recognise schools for their high quality character development programmes. Literature review shows that measuring the effectiveness of character development outcomes is a constant issue among critics of character education to validate the claim. The latest empirical research findings have given a strong indication that the outcomes of character development can be measured as long as the constructs of character are properly defined.

The objective of the research is to examine if the current awarding process and criteria used for schools are accurately measuring the character development outcomes of schools. The quantitative research instrument used

is the Collective Responsibility for Excellence and Ethics version 2.7 Short designed by Khemelkov and Davidson (2008a). The instrument is designed to capture the inputs and outputs of the key stakeholders of students, teachers and parents in the school community towards character development. A total of 1266 students, 210 staff and 396 parents were involved in the research across five schools with different awards.

The findings highlighted the need for a constant review of the evaluation criteria used by awarding body to evaluate schools that are involved in character education. It is also imperative for schools that are involved in character education to have well defined constructs for character. These constructs will determine the outcomes to be measured, and hence, the character development programmes' effectiveness. Through the findings, the study also made recommendations for policy makers and educators on areas that require careful consideration when implementing character development programmes.

Keywords: quantitative research, character education, character development outcomes, character development award

THE EFFECTIVENESS IN MEASURING CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT OUTCOMES IN SINGAPORE SCHOOLS THROUGH THE CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Wilson Teo Kek Woon

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment for the degree of Doctor of Education

School of Education

Durham University

2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Table of Contents	iv
Appendices	viii
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	X
List of Abbreviations	хi
Declaration	xii
Statement of Copyright	xii
Acknowledgement	xiii
Dedication	XV
Chapter 1: Introduction 1.1 Background	1 10 14 15 17 18 19 20
Chapter 2: Literature Review 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definitions and Standardisation of Terms 2.2.1 Definition by Singapore Ministry of Education 2.2.2 Definitions by Experts in Character Education 2.3 Theories of Moral and Character Education 2.3.1 Two Approaches: Moral Education versus Character Education 2.3.2 Traditional Character Education 2.3.3 Rational Moral Education	22 23 26 26 32 33 34 38
2.3.4 Integrative Approaches	56 46 51 57 57

2.5.2 Social and emotional curriculum 2.5.3 Academic curriculum integration 2.5.4 Summary of character development content 2.6 Review of Effective Character Development Implementation	58 61 61
Strategies	63 63 66 68 70 72
2.6.6 Summary of Effective Character Development	73
Implementation Strategies	74
Chapter 3: The Survey Instrument	
3.1 Introduction	76
3.2 The Instrument Overview	77
3.2.1 CREE Student Survey	78
3.2.1.1 School Climate (Items 18 to 22, 34 to 39)	79
3.2.1.2 Ethical Learning Community (Items 23 to 33)	80
3.2.1.3 Experiences of Learning the Strengths of Character (Items 40 to 70)	80
3.2.1.4 Student's Character (Items 1 to 17)	81
	81
3.2.2 CREE Staff Survey	
(Items 48 to 70)	82
3.2.2.2 Assessment of the Ethical Learning Community (Items 18 to 28)	83
3.2.2.3 Teaching the Strengths of Character	
(Items 29 to 47)	83
3.2.2.4 Assessment of Student's Character	
(Items 1 to 17)	84
3.2.3 CREE Parent Survey	85
3.2.3.1 School-Family Partnership (Items 13 to 30)	85
(Items 1 to 12)	86
3.2.3.3 School Focus on Excellence and Ethics	
(Items 31 to 50)	87
3.2.4 Summary of the Major Constructs	87
Chapter 4: Research Methodology	
4.1 Introduction	89
4.2 Research Questions	90
4.3 Research Procedures	91
	94
4.4 Participants	94

4.4.2 Profile of Participants	
4.4.2.1 Students	
4.4.2.1.1 Outstanding Development Award School	
4.4.2.1.2 Development Award Schools	
4.4.2.1.3 No Award School	_
4.4.2.2 Staff	
4.4.2.2.1 Outstanding Development Award School	
4.4.2.2.2 Development Award Schools	
4.4.2.2.3 No Award School	
4.4.2.3 Parents	
4.4.2.3.1 Outstanding Development Award School	
4.4.2.3.2 Development Award Schools	
4.4.2.3.3 No Award School	•
4.5 Validity and Reliability	
4.5.1 Internal Reliability of Research Instrument	
4.5.2 Internal Validity of the Study	
4.6 Data Analysis	•
4.6.1 Reliability Test	•
4.6.2 Correlation Test	
4.6.3 Analysis of Variance	
4.7 Research Limitations	
4.7.1 Different Period in Receiving of Awards	
4.7.2 Access to Stakeholders	
4.7.3 Different Population Size	
4.8 Ethical Considerations	
4.8.1 Permission For The Conduct of Research	
4.8.2 Anonymous Questionnaires	
4.9 Summary	
·	
Chapter 5: Analysis of Data	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Results of Student Survey	
5.2.1 Overall Means of Student Survey	
5.2.2 Reliability Test of Student Survey Items	
5.2.3 Correlation Test	
5.2.4 Analysis of Variance Test	
5.3 Results of Staff Survey	
5.3.1 Overall Means of Staff Survey	
5.3.2 Reliability Test of Staff Survey Items	
5.3.3 Analysis of Variance Test	•
5.4 Results of Parent Survey	
5.4.1 Overall Means of Parent Survey	
5.4.2 Reliability Test of Parent Survey Items	
5.4.3 Analysis of Variance Test	
5.5 Research Questions Revisited	
5.5.1 Research Question 1	

5.5.2 Research Question 2	138
5.5.3 Research Question 3	140
5.5.4 Research Question 4	142
5.5.5 Research Question 5	144
5.6 Summary	145
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations	
6.1 Introduction	146
6.2 Hypotheses of Research Revisited	146
6.3 Evaluating the Hypotheses	148
6.3.1 Evaluating Hypothesis 1	148
6.3.1.1 Student Survey	148
6.3.1.2 Staff Survey	150
6.3.1.3 Parent Survey	151
6.3.1.4 Conclusion for Hypothesis 1	153
6.3.2 Evaluating Hypothesis 2	153
6.3.2.1 Student Survey	153
6.3.2.2 Staff Survey	154
6.3.2.3 Parent Survey	154
6.3.2.4 Conclusion for Hypothesis 2	155
6.3.3 Summary of the Hypotheses	155
6.4 Implications for Policy Makers	156
6.4.1 Evaluation Critéria	156
6.4.2 Definition of Character	159
6.4.3 The Evaluation Process	161
6.5 Implications on Character Development Theories	163
6.5.1 Measurability of Character Development Outcomes	163
6.5.2 Effectiveness of Character Education	165
6.5.3 School Culture for Character Education	166
6.6 Recommendations for Educators and Implementers	168
6.6.1 Establishing a Working Definition for Character	168
6.6.2 Communicate the Desired Outcomes	169
6.6.3 Professional Staff Development	170
6.6.4 School-Wide Approach	171
6.6.5 Parental Involvement	171
6.6.6 New Media Technologies	172
6.7 Possible Improvements to the Research Study	174
6.7.1 Partnership with SMOE	174
6.7.2 Longitudinal Research Study	175
6.7.3 Usage of Other Survey Instruments	176
6.8 Summary	177
References	179
	_

APPENDICES

Appendix A: 4 KEYS for Developing Performance Character and Moral Character	196
Appendix B: The Eleven Principles of Effective Character Education	197
Appendix C: CREE Student Survey	204
Appendix D: CREE Staff Survey	208
Appendix E: CREE Parent Survey	212
Appendix F: Request for Approval to Collect Data from Schools Form	216
Appendix G: Letter of Approval 1	218
Appendix H: Letter of Approval 2	219
Appendix I: Letter to Conduct Research in School	220

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Summary of CREE Major Constructs	88
Table 4.1: Breakdown by Student, Staff & Parent	96
Table 4.2: ODA School's Students by Gender and Year	97
Table 4.3: DA Schools' Students by Gender and Year	98
Table 4.4: NA School's Students by Gender and Year	99
Table 4.5: Breakdown of Staff by Gender and Award	101
Table 4.6: Breakdown of Parents by Award & Ethnicity	103
Table 4.7: Reliability and Factor Analysis of CREE Student Survey for American High School	106
Table 4.8: Reliability and Factor Analysis of CREE Staff Survey for American High School	107
Table 5.1: Mean of Constructs for Student Survey by Award	119
Table 5.2: Reliability Test for Student Survey Constructs	121
Table 5.3: Pearson Correlation Test for Student Survey Constructs	122
Table 5.4: Mean of Constructs for Staff Survey by Award	126
Table 5.5: Reliability Test for Staff Survey Constructs	128
Table 5.6: Mean of Constructs for Parent Survey by Award	132
Table 5.7: Reliability Test for Parent Survey Constructs	133
Table 5.8: Statistical Significant Difference Between Students of ODA and DA Schools	134
Table 5.9: Statistical Significant Difference Between Students of DA and NA Schools	135
Table 5.10: Statistical Significant Difference Between Students of DA and NA Schools	137
Table 5.11: Statistical Significant Difference Between Staff of Schools	139

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1: Breakdown by Students, Staff and Parents	96
Figure 4.2: Students by Awards	97
Figure 4.3: ODA School's Students by Gender and Year	98
Figure 4.4: DA Schools' Students by Gender and Year	99
Figure 4.5: NA School's Students by Gender and Year	100
Figure 4.6: Breakdown of Staff by Gender and Award	101
Figure 4.7: Breakdown of Parents by Award and Ethnicity	103
Figure 5.1: Mean of Constructs for Student Survey by Award	120
Figure 5.2: Mean of Constructs for Staff Survey by Award	127
Figure 5.3: Mean of Constructs for Parent Survey by Award	132

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CREE Collective Responsibility for Excellence and Ethics

DA Development Award

NA No Award

ODA Outstanding Development Award

SMOE Singapore Ministry of Education

DECLARATION

No part of the material offered in this thesis has previously been submitted by me for a degree in this or in any other University.

STATEMENT OF COPYRIGHT

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author. No quotation from it should be published in any format, including electronic and the Internet, without the author's prior written consent. All information derived from this thesis must be acknowledged appropriately.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

There are various groups of people whom I would like to express my gratitude to during the last five years of my doctoral research study.

The first group of people will be to my Board of Directors and Senior Pastor of Trinity Christian Centre, Rev. Dominic Yeo. It was out of their relentless belief in me that gave birth to the opportunity for me to undertake my doctoral education five years ago. I appreciate their trust, belief and investment in me.

The second group of people will be to my two outstanding supervisors, Dr. Julie Rattray and Dr. Richard Remedios. Without their constant advice and readily available help, I would not have completed my dissertation at such speed.

The third group of people will be to my colleagues in TCA College, Singapore.

They constantly cheered and prayed for me besides allowing me to vent my frustrations and concerns throughout my dissertation.

The fourth group of people will be the leadership of the various schools who allowed me to conduct my research work. Without their permission and trust, I would not have been able to collect the data for my research work.

Finally, it will be to God the Father, Son and Holy Spirit. It is you who called me into the ministry, provided the divine connections and supplied all the necessary resources to complete this part of my academic journey. All glory and honour to my Lord Jesus Christ.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this study to my family.

To my mom, Yong Ah Hang, who showed me what true character was when she raised my siblings and me as a single parent.

To my two beautiful daughters, Caelyn and Aryn, who gave me the needed and most welcomed distractions during this academic endeavour. May both of you grow to become true women of character in your generation.

To my best friend and beautiful wife, Evelyn, who constantly supported me and never doubted that I could complete this academic journey. I am grateful to God for allowing me to have you to walk this journey with me.