

Durham E-Theses

Mulla Sadra and the mind-body problem: A critical assessment of Sadra's approach to the dichotomy of soul and spirit

Daftari, Abdulaziz

How to cite:

Daftari, Abdulaziz (2010) Mulla Sadra and the mind-body problem: A critical assessment of Sadra's approach to the dichotomy of soul and spirit, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/506/

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- ullet a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in Durham E-Theses
- $\bullet \;$ the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the full Durham E-Theses policy for further details.

Mulla Sadra and the mind-body problem: A critical assessment of Sadra's approach to the dichotomy of soul and spirit

By:

Abdulaziz Daftari

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

2010

University of Durham United Kingdom

Statement of Copyright

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author. No quotation from it should be published without prior written consent from Abdulaziz Daftari and information derived from it should be acknowledged.

Declaration

I, the author of this thesis, declare that none of the materials in this thesis have been submitted in support of an application for any other degree qualification in this or any other university.

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my wife and children who have supported me throughout the years of my study, especially in the years that I was working on this thesis.

Acknowledgements

My special thanks and gratitude go to my supervisor, Dr Colin Paul Turner (Durham University) who painstakingly guided me through all my work with his suggestions and critical comments.

Many thanks are due to the staff at Durham University library who always provided me with the best resources.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my family and friends back at home who never hesitated to provide assistance.

Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to my wife who has always been beside me showing great patience and sharing my concerns throughout these years.

Abstract

The presence of some ambiguity about the two terms of soul and spirit, which up until now has not been considered, has been investigated in this research. This ambiguity has created problems in different fields of study such as philosophy, psychology and commentaries of religious texts. This is because the two words are usually used synonymously.

The main aim of this research is to investigate whether the human being has an independent spirit in addition to the body and the soul or not. In other words it is attempting to establish if man is a tripartite existence made of body, soul and spirit.

In order to attain this aim the ideas of various philosophers are discussed on different subjects regarding the soul with particular attention to the philosophical system introduced in the seventeenth century AD by the Muslim philosopher, Sadr al-din Shirazi. This consisted of two other philosophical systems in Islamic philosophy, peripatetic (*mashā'*) and illuminative (*'ishrāq*) combined with mystical and religious teachings. His idea about the soul was set as the basis for the arguments regarding the soul and the ideas of other philosophers were compared to it.

This research explores the principles that form Sadra's beliefs about the soul. One of Sadra's principles, the fundamentality of existence, is explained. This principle aims to prove the reality of the external world and the soul as one of these realities. The topics of motion and time and the views of philosophers about these are cited. In addition, another Sadra's important principles, transsubstantial motion, is mentioned and explained and it is suggested that the difference between Sadra's and other philosophers' ideas about the soul lies in accepting or rejecting motion in substance. According to Sadra, the soul which is material at the beginning of its creation moves towards immateriality by trans-substantial motion.

Then philosophers' ideas about the soul are mentioned as well as their disagreements regarding different issues such as immateriality, motion, origination of the soul etc. In addition, the problem that Sadra's philosophical system faces in regard to the soul is discussed in particular since it is the main aim of this research.

It is concluded that Sadra's idea is more complete than the other ideas regarding the soul. The existence of the soul found a better justification in this idea. The problem of dualism of the soul and body with which other philosophers were faced has been resolved. Meanwhile the problem of this philosophical idea which sees the soul to be the same as the spirit was investigated and it was stated that this problem has caused many diversities of opinion between philosophers in subjects related to the soul. The propounded solution for all the cases was to accept the dichotomy of the soul and spirit. Religious texts have been classified, analysed and used to support this idea and by using different evidences it was confirmed that the soul and the spirit are two independent substances and that the human being is a tripartite existence.

Table of Contents

St	tatement of Copyright			
De	eclaration	II		
De	edication	III		
Ac	cknowledgements	IV		
	bstract	V		
Ta	able of Contents	VI		
	ntroduction	1		
	. Chapter One: A Brief Review of Mulla Sadra and his Philosopl			
	System	20		
	1.1. Introduction	20		
	1.2. His life	21		
	1.2.1. His Children	22		
	1.2.2. His Intellectual life	23		
	1.2.3. His students	27		
	1.2.4. The Main Influential Scholars and Sources	28		
	1.2.5. His belief in Quran and traditions	31		
	1.2.6. His power of intuition	32		
	1.3. His Personality and Situation	32		
	1.4. Foundations and Basic Principles of Sadra's Philosophy	34		
	1.5. Mulla Sadra's Works	36		
	1.5.1. Philosophical texts	37		
	1.5.2. Philosophical descriptions and comments	39		
	1.5.3. Philosophical treatises	39		
	1.5.4. Commentaries on Quran and the Traditions	42		
	1.5.5. Logic	43		
	1.5.6. Theological works			
	1.5.7. Mystical and Ethical Works	45		
	1.5.8. Literary Works	46		
	1.5.9. Other Works	46		
	1.5.10. Mulla Sadra's Most Important Books in the Order that they	Were		
	Written	48		
	1.6. Conclusion	49		
2.	Chapter Two: Fundamentality of Existence	50		
	2.1. Introduction	50		
	2.2. An important point	52		

	2.3. Existence (wujūd)	54
	2.3.1. The first meaning of existence: having external correspond	ence
	(miṣdāq)	56
	2.3.2. The second meaning of existence	57
	2.4. Quiddity <i>(māhīyya)</i>	58
	2.5. The terms fundamental (aṣīl) and subjective (i'tibārī)	58
	2.6. The terms essential (bil-dhāt) and accidental (bil-'araḍ)	
	2.7. An overview of the notion of the fundamentality of existence	
	2.8. Arguments for fundamentality of existence	
	2.9. Some ramifications of the fundamentality of existence	
	2.9.1. The gradation of being (Tashkīk-i wujūd)	69
	2.9.2. Motion in Substance (trans-substantial motion)	70
	2.9.3. The question of the soul	71
	2.9.4. Other issues connected with the fundamentality of existence	71
_	2.10. Conclusion	72
3.	Chapter three: Motion	74
	3.1. Introduction	74
	3.2. Constancy and change	75
	3.2.1. Philosophers who believed in absolute constancy	75
	3.2.2. Philosophers who Believed in Change	
	3.2.3. Aristotle's Thoughts on Constancy and Change	
	3.2.4. Change in Accident ('araḍ) and Change in Substance (jawhar)	
	3.2.5. Thoughts on Constancy and Change in Islamic Philosophy	78
	3.3. Motion	80
	3.3.1. Introduction	80
	3.3.2. Time or Flowing Extension	80
	3.3.3. Stable and flowing extensions	82 85
	3.3.4.1. Issues Unrelated to Time	85
	3.3.4.2. Issues Related to Time	86
	3.3.4.3. The terms instant ('ān), instantaneous ('ānī) and tem	
	(zamānī)	88
	3.4. Kinds of Change	88
	3.4.1. Instantaneous change	89
	3.4.2. Gradual temporal change adapted to time (traversed movement)	90
	3.4.3. Temporal change not adapted to time (medial movement)	91
	3.4.4. Generation and annihilation	92
	3.5. Ideas of Muslim Philosophers on the Three Kinds of Movement	93
	3.5.1. Ibn Sina (Avicenna)	93
	3.5.2. Abul Hassan Bahmanyār	94
	3.5.3. Fakhr al-Din al-Razī	95
	3.5.4. Mir Dāmād	96
	3.5.5. Mulla Sadra	97
	3.5.5.1. Mulla Sadra's Thoughts on Generation and Annihilation	97
	3.6. To which Category does Motion Belong	98
	3.7. Prerequisites of motion (lawāzim-i ḥarika)	100
	3.7.1. Beginning <i>(mahda'</i>)	101

	3.7.2. Extremity <i>(montahā)</i>	101
	3.7.3. Time <i>(zamān)</i>	102
	3.7.4. Object of Motion (mawḍū' yā mutaharrik)	105
	3.7.4.1. The first argument	105
	3.7.4.2. The second argument	107
	3.7.4.3. The third argument	107
	3.7.4.4. The Body (jism) is the Object of Motion	110
	3.7.5. Motion agent <i>(fā'il)</i>	111
	3.7.5.1. The division of motion as compared with the mover (muḥa	arrik)
		111
	3.7.6. Distance	112
	3.7.6.1. Instantaneous and flowing unit of distance	112
	3.7.6.2. Unity of Distance, Motion and Time in the External World .	116
	3.7.6.3. The Meaning of Motion in Substance	117
	3.8. Conclusion	117
4.	Chapter Four: Trans-Substantial Motion	119
	4.1. Introduction	119
	4.2. Trans-substantial motion	120
	4.2.1. A perspective of trans-substantial motion	120
	4.2.2. More details about the subject	125
	4.3. Considering the object of motion <i>(mawdū'-i ḥarika)</i> in more detail	126
	4.3.1. The main problem which caused the denial of motion in substa	
	422 TI II I I C II II II I I I	127
	4.3.2. The object in the role of a substance which does not need a loc	
	(mahall-i mustaghnī)	128
	4.3.3. The object in the role of matter <i>(mādda)</i> or recipient <i>(qābil)</i> to ac	-
	motion	129 133
	4.3.4. The object in the role of preserver of unity4.3.5. Conclusion	136
	4.3.6. Pointing out a difficulty regarding motion in substance by Ibn	
	and the response given by Mulla Sadra	
	4.4. Arguments on Trans-substantial Motion	140
	4.4.1. The argument that substance is adapted to time	140
	4.4.2. The argument that substance is the cause of accidents	140
	4.4.3. The argument that there are not two fabrications (ja'l)	141
	4.4.4. The argument that accidents personify the substance	142
	4.4.5. The argument that generation and annihilation is false	142
	4.4.6. The argument that proves specific forms have finality	143
	4.4.7. The argument that the essential features of some substances	can
	change over time	143
	4.5. Conclusion: Generalization of motion to all nature (tabi'a)	144
	4.6. The relation between the ever-changing (mutaghayyir) and	the
	permanent (thabit)	146
	4.6.1. Introduction	146
	4.6.2. Stating the problem	146
	4.6.2.1. The rule which says "the cause of that which is changing	must
	also be changing"	147

		4.6.2.2.	-	e fabrication (ja1-i basīt) and composite fabrication	_	
		4622			148	
		4.6.2.3.		rule "essential issue does not need to be made		
		4624		ental issue needs to be made"	152	
		4.6.2.4.		nation of the problem	152	
		4.6.2.5.		solutions of the problem by philosophers before		
		4.6.2.6.		olutions of Suhriwardī and his followers	153	
		4.6.2.7.		Sadra's main solution	156	
				ivine agent and natural agent	159	
				on into stable and flowing	160	
	4.7.				165	
5.	Chap			lering issues of the soul and the spirit	167	
	5.1.				167	
	5.2.	The ideas	of the	classical Western philosophers regarding the soul	170	
				about the soul	170	
	5.2			ea about the soul	171	
		5.2.2.1.		elation between the soul and the body according	_	
				tle	172	
				a about the soul	174	
	5.3.		-	relationship in contemporary philosophy and psych		
	5 3				175 176	
					176	
5.3.2. Idea of Marxism						
5.3.4. Gestalt's idea						
				mologists' idea	177 177	
				hers' ideas about the soul	178	
				philosophers' definitions of the soul	178	
		5.4.1.1.	Ibn Si	na's definition	178	
		5.4.1.2.	Tabāta	abā'i's definition	179	
		5.4.1.3.		Sadra's definition	179	
	5.4			ideas about the soul	181	
				Itetic and Ibn Sina's idea	181	
		5.4.2.2.	Suppo	orters of the notion of metempsychosis <i>(tanāsuk)</i>		
		5.4.2.3.	Mulla	Sadra and many Gnostics' idea	181 181	
	5.4			between the Soul and the Body	187	
				s problem regarding the differentiation between the	_	
	٥.			pirit	190	
	5.5.			t which philosophers have differing opinions	192	
	5.5			materiality or materiality	192	
		5.5.1.1.		philosophers' ideas about the soul and its immater	riality	
					193	
		5.5.1.		Plato's idea	193	
		5.5.1.	1.2.	Islamic Peripatetic philosophers' idea like Ibn Sina		
				Bahmanyar	194	
				IX		

	5.5.1	1.1.3. The summary of Mulla Sadra's idea 1	.94
	5.5.1	1.1.4. Tabātabā'i's idea 1	L95
	5.5.1.2.	Arguments about the immateriality of the soul	.96
	5.5.1.3.	The reasons to prove the materiality of the soul (i.e. the sou	ıl is
			.99
	5.5.2. Origi	ination or pre-eternity of the soul	202
	5.5.2.1.		02
	5.5.2.2.	Believers in metempsychosis's idea (Tanāsukhīyya) 2	202
	5.5.2.3.	Nazzām al-dīn Herawī's idea 2	203
	5.5.2.4.	Plato's idea 2	203
	5.5.2.5.	Some of the philosophers and theologians' idea 2	203
	5.5.2.6.	The majority of philosophers and theologians before M	ulla
		Sadra, like Ibn Sina	203
	5.5.2.7.	Mulla Sadra's idea 2	204
	5.5.3. Unity	y or multiplicity of the soul 2	80
	5.5.3.1.	Plato's notion	208
	5.5.3.2.	The idea of Muslim Peripatetics such as Ibn Sina and	his
			208
	5.5.3.3.		208
		· ·	.09
	5.5.4.1.	1 /	11
	5.5.4.2.	35	212
	5.5.5.	Evidences to support the differentiation	
	5.5.5.1.		
	5.5.5.2.		
		1 /	216
_		1	217
6.	Chapter six:	: The traditional evidences about the soul and the sp	
	C 1 Trabus du		222
			22
			222
		ration of the Quranic verses on the soul and the spirit 2	
		5	224
	6.2.2. Real		25
		realm of Divine Command	
		meaning of the spirit that was breathed into man	
		sidering the verses about the soul	
		•	32
			33
	6.2.8. The	first evidence: The meaning of Adam's proportionment (taswi	
	 		234
	6.2.9. The	second evidence: Human being is an existence with two spi	
	6 2 10 T		239 oro
		The third evidence: the subject of the angels' prostration before	
			44
		A supplementary to the third evidence: A discussion on human	47
	II	fetime and primary humans2	Ή/

6.2.12. The fourth evidence: The attribution of divine rewards a punishments only to the soul	
6.2.13. Two supplements for the fourth evidence	
6.2.14. The fifth evidence: The human spirit is an independent substa	
0.2.14. The first evidence. The number spirit is an independent substa	
6.2.15. Conclusion	
6.3. Considering the Traditions about the soul and the spirit	
6.3.1. Introduction	
6.3.2. Traditions which clearly say that every human is made of three pa	
body, soul and spirit2	
6.3.3. The Traditions which hold that man has several spirits	
6.3.4. The traditions which hold that man has an inner guidance	
6.3.5. The traditions which state that the human being has an inw	
guidance	
6.3.6. Traditions which explain the intellect and its functions	
6.3.7. Traditions concerning sincerity in action	
6.3.8. The conclusion of these three groups of traditions	
6.4. Whether the different kinds of spirit mentioned previously are separ	
substances or not	
6.4.1. The Holy Spirit <i>(rūḥ al-qudus)</i>	
6.4.2. The spirit of faith <i>(rūḥ al-'īmān)</i>	
6.4.3. The spirit of life (rūḥ al-ḥayāt), the spirit of power (rūḥ al-qowwa)	
the spirit of lust (rūḥ al-shahwa)	
6.5. The divine trust ('amānat-i 'ilāhī)	
6.6. Conclusion	
eneral Conclusion2	
uestions for future research2	
bliography2	