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ABSTRACT

A Conceptual Framework for Classroom Acting Behaviour
Gavin Bolton, University of Durham

This study has a dual purpose. (1) It is an historical record of how key figures in the teaching of drama in schools have perceived the acting behaviour of their pupils throughout this century. (2) It presents an argument for reformulating the basis upon which assumptions about different kinds of acting behaviour have been built. Such a revised conceptual framework for classroom acting behaviour is offered as a conclusion to the evidence directly drawn from the historical account.

The study depends to a large extent (apart from a small number of sound and video tapes) on the publications of those exponents of drama education who have given detailed accounts of their own classroom practice. As acting behaviour is not an aspect of their work to which pioneers in the field have given much explicit attention, the general approach to this part of the study has relied heavily on inference.

Many of the concepts commonly associated with classroom dramatic activity have been re-examined, resulting in a redefining of acting behaviour in terms of what are perceived as its central components. Some of the previous attempts at a classification of acting behaviours are challenged and an alternative basis for classification is offered. It is hoped that the study will be of value to teachers in so far as it succeeds in countering some of the past conceptual contradictions and provides a firmer base-line for their practice.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks are due to Jack Gilliland and Mike Fleming who have given me encouragement and supportive criticism. I am also grateful to Peter Slade and Dorothy Heathcote who were kind enough to read the relevant parts of the text and to give advice.
The copyright of this thesis rests with the author. No quotation from it should be published without his prior written consent and information derived from it should be acknowledged.
## CONTENTS

**Introduction**  
Page 1.

**SECTION ONE: Finlay-Johnson and Caldwell Cook**

**Chapter One: Harriet Finlay-Johnson**  
Page 11
- A comparison with Caldwell Cook  
Page 11
- Dramatic activity in the classroom at the beginning of the century  
Page 14
- Introduction to Finlay-Johnson  
Page 20
- Finlay-Johnson's Dramatic Method  
Page 21
- Edmond Holmes, HMI  
Page 25
- Acting behaviour in Finlay-Johnson's classroom  
Page 30
- A summary of the method  
Page 37
- Generalisations about Acting behaviour  
Page 38

**Chapter Two: Henry Caldwell Cook**  
Page 44
- An introduction  
Page 44
- An analysis of *The Play Way*  
Page 45
- Characteristics of acting behaviour  
Page 59
- Generalisations about acting behaviour  
Page 68

**SECTION TWO: TRENDS BETWEEN WORLD-WARS**

**Chapter Three: Acting as Play**  
Page 76
- Play as a metaphor  
Page 77
- Inferences about acting from Nunn's publication  
Page 86
- Play as part of classroom practice  
Page 88
- Isaacs' classification of make-believe behaviour  
Page 90
- Inferences about acting from Isaacs' concept of 'imaginative play'  
Page 92
- *Dramatic Work with Children* by E.M. Langdon  
Page 97
- Inferences about acting from Langdon's publication  
Page 109

**Chapter Four: Acting as Amateur Drama**  
Page 113
- *The Amateur Actor* by Frances Mackenzie  
Page 115
- The influence of the amateur movement on the school classroom  
Page 118
- Training in Acting - 'Practising emotion'  
Page 120
- Training in Acting - 'Characterisation'  
Page 124
- Training in Acting - 'Mime'  
Page 125
- Training in Acting - 'Improvisation'  
Page 129
- The publications of Robert G. Newton  
Page 130
- A summary  
Page 135
INTRODUCTION
A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR CLASSROOM ACTING BEHAVIOUR

INTRODUCTION

A. Background to the selection of the topic

When John Allen1 HMI, in 1979, argued that drama in schools is "basically and essentially no different from drama anywhere else", he was drawing attention to the Aristotelian view of drama as 'Mimesis' which Allen translates as "an act of recreation". What is interesting about his argument is not that he had uncovered something profound, but rather that as an Inspector with special responsibility for Drama he should feel obliged to remind educationists of what might be thought of as self-evident. The development of drama education in this country has been such that, whereas early pioneers would have taken Allen's assertion as axiomatic, by the second half of this century leading drama educationists appeared to be more interested in persuading teachers that differences between one kind of practice and another were critically more important than any common ground. I know this to be the case, for my early teaching career seemed to coincide with increasing rivalry among fashionable trends2. By the time I read John Allen's book I saw his statement as a timely reminder to people who were in danger of forgetting what was 'basic and essential' to the subject we taught. In the same year, 1979, I had published my first attempt at a theoretical model which purported to embrace the idea of a generic base for all dramatic activities while acknowledging different orientations. I introduced the notion of a continuum of intentions, at the extremes of which theatre and make-believe play pursued their contrasted ends while sharing

---

1 Allen, John (1979) Drama in Schools: Its Theory and Practice Heinemann Educational Books London, P. 119. We shall see later in this thesis that John Allen, almost throughout his career, had been concerned with the damage brought about by the dichotomy between the drama of theatre and drama in school. (See, particularly, Pages 284-286 below).

2 In 1971 I read a paper to the 'Clifton Conference' entitled "Drama and Theatre in Education: a Survey", in which I lightheartedly recalled my early drama teaching days during which I tried to cope with conflicting philosophies by becoming a compulsive 'course attender'. [in Drama & Theatre in Education by Dodd, Nigel & Hickson, Winifred {Eds} (1971) Heinemann London]
common ground in the middle. Much of that 'middle ground', I claimed, represented dramatic activities typically found in our classrooms.

However, I was not so ready to accept David Hornbrook's assertion which came ten years later. He wrote:

It is my contention that conceptually there is nothing which differentiates the child acting in the classroom from the actor on the stage of the theatre.

This appeared to challenge the very basis of progressive drama education, for deeply embedded in my own professional theory and practice was the assumption that what actors do on stage is fundamentally different from what children do in the classroom: the artifice required of the professional actor in projecting to the back of the auditorium for a long run of a play seemed so far removed from my expectations of pupils' classroom role-play that, in a review of his book, I dismissed his position as untenable.

It nevertheless remained as an issue at the back of my mind, causing me to consider, in particular, whether the kind of language employed by various leaders in the field, to describe acting in their classrooms, revealed the extent to which their conceptual understanding of acting behaviour matched Hornbrook's. In a brief scan of major publications spanning this century, I discovered that exponents of drama education had little to say on the subject. Various pioneers in classroom drama appear to have been urged to communicate their innovatory philosophy, methodology or teacher-techniques and consequent educational or aesthetic outcomes, but that concern did not spread to acting itself. The same could be said for

---

research papers. For the most part, acting behaviour has been taken for granted, not seen as something of interest per se.

And yet its presence as a phenomenon has featured implicitly in almost every publication on drama education, for any innovation in philosophy or methodology inevitably had bearing on the writer's expectations of and perceptions of acting behaviour. Apart from dropping in an adjective such as 'natural' or 'sincere' or 'accurate' or 'imaginative' to describe the expected quality of such behaviour, acting was rarely discussed in more detail. Indeed many writers in the second half of the century, because of the association of 'acting' with theatricality, avoided using the word 'acting', alternatively favouring 'acting out', 'living through' or 'improvisation'.

There are signs, towards the end of the century, however, that the term 'acting' may once more become part of a teacher's vocabulary. Andy Kempe, for instance, in his 'scrapbooks' for use by senior pupils, whilst avoiding inviting the pupils to 'act', does refer to them as 'actors'. Michael Fleming, conscious of the danger of its carrying inappropriate overtones, nevertheless appears to be recommending that a return to its usage in an educational context be given serious consideration.

B. What this thesis is about

---

5 The most recent research publications in the field [See Taylor, Philip (Ed 1996) Researching Drama and Arts Education Falmer Press London and Somers, John (Ed 1996) Research in Drama Education Vol 1 No 1 Carfax Publishers, Abingdon, on the whole confine their attention to research methodology, the content of particular examples of drama or an analysis of teacher behaviour.


8 See Drama in the Curriculum by John Somers (1994) Cassell London

9 See, for example, A South African Scrapbook by Andy Kempe & Rick Holroyd (1994) Hodder & Stoughton London

10 Fleming, Michael (1994) Starting Drama David Fulton London
The purpose of this thesis is indeed to give the term 'acting' serious consideration (not with the intention of finally concluding whether or not it should become part of a drama teacher's vocabulary, although such a recommendation might, incidentally, emerge). I shall investigate, using publications written during the century, how the concept of acting appears to have changed during that period and, further, I shall use drama exponents' implicit or explicit perceptions of acting behaviour as a basis for formulating a conceptual framework.

Each chapter of this thesis, therefore, will present an account of a pioneer or a trend in drama education with a view to eliciting the underlying assumptions about acting behaviour the relevant publications betray. Thus the scope of each account of the theory and practice of a particular drama trend or pioneer will be limited, and to some extent determined, by my ultimate objective. Other scholars, to whom I shall draw due attention throughout the thesis, have written histories of classroom drama in Great Britain; indeed assessment of the work of the five pioneers I have selected has appeared and continues to appear in many publications and university theses throughout the world. No one, as far as I am aware, has yet examined acting behaviour.

Although it is my concluding chapter that will offer what I have called 'a conceptual framework for classroom acting behaviour', each of the preceding chapters will provide, cumulatively, the foundation for such a framework. Always with that goal in mind, I shall within each chapter begin to open up issues relating to acting, in anticipation of a discussion to be developed more fully later in the thesis.

C. An historical account of classroom drama through (1) pioneers and (2) trends
By selecting from a combination of pioneers and trends, the major publications of the century will be analysed from four perspectives:

(1) It will be necessary, briefly, to place both the pioneer and the identified trend in a historical context, so that innovations may be understood against an educational or theatrical background.

(2) A sufficient account of the methodology of each pioneer or trend will be given for the reader to visualise the prescribed dramatic activity.

(3) Inferences will be drawn from the publications about the various authors' assumptions about classroom acting behaviour. (It has been pointed out above that very few writers have discussed acting explicitly).

(4) Where appropriate, a discourse will be opened up on some aspect of acting behaviour, which, while deriving from the publication(s) under review, will embrace both the relevant writings of subsequent authors and my own theoretical position, thus anticipating the conceptual framework of the concluding chapter.

C1. The Pioneers in Drama Education

This thesis describes the work of the five British pioneers of classroom drama. They may all be acknowledged as 'pioneers' on the grounds that each has in some fundamental way changed our conception of classroom practice. This factor alone might be sufficient to qualify an exponent of drama education as a pioneer, but other aspects reinforced the selection of the five names. 'Pioneers' are so defined because they fulfil the criteria that they:

a. brought about a radical change in conception of classroom practice;

b. introduced innovatory praxis, backing up new methods in their own skilled practice with a theoretical exposition;

c. provided a published account from which a reader might base his/her understanding of the innovatory praxis;
d. became a figure of sufficient interest, either contemporaneously or retrospectively, for others in the field to publish their own account of that figure's contribution to drama education;

e. devoted a career to promoting classroom drama.

On the basis of the above criteria, the 'pioneers' in this country number but five: Harriet Finlay-Johnson (1871 - 1956); Henry Caldwell Cook (1886 - 1937); Peter Slade (1910 - ); Brian Way (1923 - ); and Dorothy Heathcote (1926 - ). That is not to suggest that there were or are no other major figures in the field of Drama Education. For example, an outstanding innovator earlier this century was Marjorie Hourd, but she fails to meet criteria (d) and (e), in that her contribution to drama teaching has not been sufficiently acknowledged, and her interest in classroom drama was but part of a greater concern with creative writing. Contributors to the field such as E. M. Langdon advanced a new theory without revolutionising practice; while E.J. Burton and Maisie Cobbie inspired progressive practice, but added little theoretically. Figures such as Ken Robinson and Robert Witkin were better known for their extensive theoretical input than for innovatory practice.

There are, of course, many contemporary leaders in the field to whom it will be necessary to refer, but it seems improbable that any of these gifted practitioners will ever be regarded retrospectively as 'pioneers', for they tend to attribute the source of their inspiration in part to their mentors. There are, of course, leaders in the field from abroad whose publications and practice have made their unique mark, but this thesis is confined, in the main, to the British scene.

The main source of information about the pioneers' work will be their own publications, and published comments from others. Where appropriate, connections will be made with the educational or political climate of the times. A broad outline will be given of changes in classroom drama practice throughout the 20th century, with a view to determining where each pioneer stood in relation to its overall development.
There seem to be a number of questions that may usefully be borne in mind as we approach the work of the pioneers:

1. Does the writer make any explicit comment on acting behaviour? Does s/he promote a particular theory about drama, affecting our perception of acting behaviour?

2. What conclusions may be drawn from any assumptions or expectations about acting *per se* that the writer implicitly holds?

3. What are the pupils' expectations of acting?

4. Does the writer adhere to an educational ideology that affects how acting is perceived?

5. Does the contemporary *general educational climate* affect how acting is perceived by the pioneer?

6. Is there a tradition, theatrical or educational, affecting how acting is perceived at the time of writing?

7. Does the author's writing have any bearing on my own theoretical position, particularly relating to a revision of my 1979 model? (referred to above)

8. Is it appropriate, with a conceptual framework for acting behaviour in mind, to begin to evolve strands of that framework as an extension of our discussion of the pioneer's publications?

Answers to the above questions may help identify factors affecting classroom acting behaviour, implicitly or explicitly promoted by each pioneer. It would, however, be limiting and tedious to see the questions as a formula to be applied systematically. Their relevance will vary with each exponent, whose work will dictate just what needs to be asked.

**C.2. Trends between the World-Wars in Drama Education**

Following publications by the first two pioneers, in 1911 and 1917 respectively, there is a gap of 37 years before the first book by the third pioneer is published. Those 37 years saw considerable changes in drama practice which cannot be attached to one particular innovator. To do justice in this thesis to that period
which, while lacking any one hugely influential figure, nevertheless saw an increase in the popularity of drama, I have elected to follow developments in ideas as reflected by both ideology and methodology. Although the publications I shall examine in this connection are interesting in their own right, they have been chosen as illustrative of a trend in attitude to acting behaviour rather than as illuminative of an author's work.

During that inter-War period drama in the classroom came to mean many different things to different people. It was against this background of conflicting claims for the subject that the three remaining pioneers took up their work in the second half of the century. Understanding these later pioneers in part depends on identifying the extent to which each embraced, modified, rejected or demolished the pre-World-War Two trends.

To speak of historical 'trends' presupposes a ready label to facilitate communication. Writers of the history of education of that period collected a number of such labels - 'progressive'; 'child-centred'; 'learning by doing' etc. Each drama trend may be indicated by the image of acting it readily portrays. A visitor to a school during the pre-World-War Two period might observe one or more of the following kind of classroom 'acting behaviours':

1. children engaged in make-believe play
2. children doing 'acting exercises'
3. children acting to improve their speech
4. children acting to understand literature
5. children expressing themselves through movement
6. children performing for others.

These different activities, often pursued in the name of drama during this period, will provide the basis for the four chapters within the second section of this five-section thesis: Acting as Play will be the title covering (1) above; Acting as Amateur Drama will cover (2) & (6); Acting in the English Classroom will include (3) & (4) and Acting as Movement will cover (5).
In my endeavour to find a label or image associated with the different trends, it is not intended to pre-empt any further discussion. Instead of investigating whether or not there were differences in acting behaviours and what they might be, my 'labels' seem to assume a particular kind of acting behaviour as 'given'. To take an example, it was commonly accepted during the 1920s and 1930s that it was the responsibility of the teacher of Secondary English to use dramatic reading aloud with actions as a vehicle for the improvement of speech. Consequently it seems reasonable to assume that such a narrow objective affected the kind of acting behaviour involved. The section of the thesis on trends will therefore attempt to look 'behind the labels', as it were, looking for implications that might have bearing on a more coherent conceptual framework, and, where it seems appropriate, contributory strands to that framework will be identified and elaborated upon within the relevant chapter.

It should be pointed out that this study is not a series of discrete analyses following a strict chronological order. Rather, it is a cumulative account of the work of the pioneers anticipating future development while retrospectively throwing light on earlier exponents. The thesis will not present a straightforward development of drama education. Indeed, because the choice of examples from classroom practice of particular teachers will be determined by their appositeness in advancing arguments for the final conceptual framework rather than for their relative status in an historical survey, the treatment of exponents' practice will necessarily be uneven. Outside the pioneers, each contributor's work will be selected as illustrative of a particular method or theory. Some notable drama teachers, such as Maisie Cobby, Tom Stabler, John Fines, David Davis and Jonathon Neelands, who would normally claim a place in an historical account may appear to be neglected.
The reader should be forewarned of another kind of unevenness. My own personal experience of the work of contemporary pioneers and others will inevitably colour my approach to the second half of the thesis. To continue the tone of academic detachment attempted for early writers throughout the thesis would be, I believe, to deprive the account of a rich seam of observation.

D. Impetus for writing this thesis

I now see the continuum I presented in my 1979 publication as inadequate and my dismissal of Hornbrook's argument as precipitate. I hope that this historical analysis of classroom practice in drama will provide the basis for a more considered overview of acting behaviour, which the final chapter will attempt to present.
PART ONE

HARRIET FINLAY-JOHNSON
AND
HENRY CALDWELL COOK
SECTION ONE: Chapter One

Harriet Finlay-Johnson (1871 - 1956)

This chapter will begin with a brief comparison of the first two pioneers, its broad brush strokes indicating their contrasted school situations, their not dissimilar aspirations and the political climate they shared. An account will follow giving some evidence of the occasional appearance of other classroom dramatic activity in both the private and public sector in the early days of Finlay-Johnson's and Cook's teaching. The educationist, J.J. Findlay, will be cited as an example of a progressive thinker recognising an educational potential in drama. The chapter begins with an examination of Harriet Finlay-Johnson's practice by eliciting from publications how both she and her advocate, Edmond Holmes, justified the use of dramatisation. An analysis follows of the different kinds of acting behaviours emerging from Finlay-Johnson's published account of her practice. Consideration is finally given to the kind of generalisations about acting that may usefully be drawn from Finlay-Johnson's dramatic method, as a first step towards a conceptual framework for classroom drama.

A. A biographical comparison between Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Henry Caldwell Cook

They taught the same age group, but in conspicuously contrasted circumstances. Whereas Harriet Finlay-Johnson was Head-teacher of a village elementary school in East Sussex, class-teaching with the 8-13 age group, Henry Caldwell Cook entered the private sector and was appointed as English Master to teach the 'junior' forms (ages 11-14) at the Perse School, a prestigious, residential, boys-only, independent school in Cambridge.
Both pioneers wrote their books retrospectively, Finlay-Johnson\(^1\) early in her retirement after thirteen years at Sompting School, Cook early in his recruitment to the trenches ("somewhat uncongenial employment", as he describes it\(^2\)) after a mere three years as a teacher. Whereas the former publication is described by its author as methodological, which, for the most part, it is, Cook's book, on the other hand, is an educational treatise in which he writes broadly about principles of 'progressive' teaching of which his particular practice is seen by many as illustrative. They both wrote passionately about drama in their classrooms and, within the differing demands of the whole curriculum of the Elementary School compared with the specialised requirements of the English teacher's classroom, they gave it equal prominence.

Their dreams

There is no record of whether they read each other's writings\(^3\). Each would no doubt have responded sympathetically to the somewhat romantic tone of the other's style. It is a romantic tone adopted under the darkening clouds of war. Finlay-Johnson prophetically asks\(^4\): "Am I quite wrong when I say that childhood should be a time for absorbing big stores of sunshine for possible future dark times?", not realising that within four to five years many of the boys in her class would be killed in the Great War. Cook, in the spring of 1914\(^5\), wrote with poignant foreboding:

\(^{1}\)Miss Finlay-Johnson became Mrs. Weller, on marrying a member of her adult Shakespeare Group.

\(^{2}\)This quotation from Cook is in Selleck, R.J.W. (1972) English Primary Education and the Progressives, 1914-1939 Routledge & Kegan Paul London, P. 40-41

\(^{3}\)It is possible that they met at conferences in the early 'twenties. Tim Cox (1970) in The Development of Drama in Education 1902 - 1944 unpublished M.Ed thesis University of Durham records that Finlay-Johnson contributed to the 'Eighth Conference of the New Ideals in Education' in 1920 and Caldwell Cook attended the British Drama League's conference, 'Drama' in the same year.

\(^{4}\)Finlay-Johnson, Harriet (1910) The Dramatic Method of Teaching Nisbet London, P. 27

\(^{5}\)Cook, Henry Caldwell (1917) The Play Way: An Essay in Educational Method William Heinemann London P. 21
None but a visitation of wrath seems possible today. Let us build a fane, and therein, over the consecrated altar, shall the unknown god be declared. The sun shines all over the earth, but no flowers grow on the cinder-heap which is kept arid by the daily piling up of ashes.

The Progressive movement in Education, of which our two pioneers were among the early representatives, was born under a shadow and out of a dream of better things. From France, Cook writes in 1915 in the *Conference of New Ideals in Education*:

> Whenever I have spoken seriously with a man or woman I have told them of my dream. Even the invigoration of a frosty morning, or the enchantment of the moon at night, have always made me think: Here is gone by another morning or another evening which might have made some occasion of good hap in the Play School. The one thing upon which my heart is fixed is to make this dream come true in this our England.

As the earliest pioneers in England of classroom dramatisation, it is not surprising that their writing about the value of drama in education is passionate, optimistic, romantic and often sentimental, as though entry into the wonderland that drama permitted gave credence or expression to their deepest aspirations.

On the surface, at least, this faith in the 'Romance' is in keeping with the visions of other 'Progressive' reformers. Selleck suggests that the whole Progressive movement in education is characterised by a search for something even deeper than its appeal to 'freedom' and 'individuality', that it represented a search for an alternative to ugliness, moral corruption and industrialism, a search for the means to escape from the reality of war.

---

6 *Report of the Conference of New Ideals (1915)* P.193
8 Selleck, R.J.W. (1972) *ibid* P. 87-92
9 Selleck draws attention to the choice of the country-side for the location of most experimental schools. He cites, on Page 88, Edmund Holmes' introduction to Egeria's school, nestling 'at the foot of a long range of hills; and if you will climb the slope that rises.....you will see in the distance the gleaming waters of one of the many seas that wash our shores.' The arts in education were beginning to be seen as offering a chance of such an escape. Selleck also quotes from
Perhaps the practice of drama has never entirely lost its escapist sense of other-worldliness, of 'such stuff as dreams are made on'. Its mystery and amorality beckons and repels\textsuperscript{10}. To introduce drama into one's classroom, it might be thought, is a daring thing to do, opening up the wickedness of theatre or the forbidden territory of the subconscious. Educationists may offer a rationale for justifying or denying the inclusion of Drama in the curriculum, but the true explanation may lie in their more gut-level response to its mysteries. However, any serious examination attempted by this thesis of publications on drama teaching may only respond to published arguments and may not indulge in wondering whether such arguments merely disguise the fears or appetites of the exponents.

B. \textbf{Dramatic activity in the classroom at the beginning of the century}

Harriet Finlay-Johnson, perhaps more than any other pioneer in classroom drama, can claim the right to that title, on the grounds that she appeared to have no model to follow or surpass, no tradition to keep or break. She was the first in the field, or at least the first whose classroom drama practice was to be recorded.

A small number of publications at the beginning of the century make passing reference to classroom dramatic activity. It seems that such activity was to be found in either the kindergarten or the public school. Brief references to the latter seem to be generally favourable in tone, whereas dramatic activity in the kindergarten occasionally comes in for criticism.

\textbf{The independent sector}

\footnotesize{Professor Findlay who in the 1920 \textit{Conference of Educational Associations Annual} wrote of drama as an art 'to which many in this distracted world, overturned by war, are looking for salvation.' [Selleck, R.J.W. (1972) \textit{ibid} P. 90]\textsuperscript{10} Philip Coggin writes: "From the days of Plato it has been a constant criticism of the stage that the portrayal of wickedness and evil must inevitably lead to their encouragement. And though the dramatist has always argued that he showed virtue and vice in their true colours, it has always been a difficult argument to answer." P. 249 [Coggin, Philip A. (1956) \textit{Drama and Education: An Historical survey from Ancient Greece to the present day} Thames & Hudson London]}
The reading aloud of Shakespeare had found a sufficiently firm place in the independent sector for James Welton in 1906\textsuperscript{11} to offer teachers a procedural model: that the play should be read three times, focusing respectively on (1) the story, (2) the characters and (3) the language, and then the scenes "may be acted or at least recited"\textsuperscript{12}

Another form of dramatisation to be found in public schools was the practice, introduced by classics masters such as W.H.D. Rouse of the Perse School\textsuperscript{13} who encouraged the direct speaking of Greek and Latin dialogue. According to Coggin and others\textsuperscript{14}, the long-held suspicion of public performances of plays was suspended by some schools when it came to performing classical texts.

In a private preparatory school in Weymouth, its headmistress, Miss E.M. Gilpin, headmistress from 1898 to 1934\textsuperscript{15} had no reservations about the performance of plays, claiming, in 1920, that "everyone has something of an actor in him". In addressing the Drama League sponsored conference she boldly prophesies\textsuperscript{16}:

---

\textsuperscript{11}Welton, James (1906) \textit{Principles and Methods of Teaching} University Tutorial Press London
\textsuperscript{12}Welton, J. (1906) \textit{ibid} P162. Interestingly, on the previous page he casts doubt on the value of boys actually seeing performances of Shakespearean plays because "...doubtless it tends to limit the imagination of the pupils to the actors' ideas of the characters." (P. 161)
\textsuperscript{13}See for example Beacock, D.A. (1943) \textit{The Playway English for Today} for a brief account of Rouse's work
\textsuperscript{14}The story of the 19th/early 20th centuries' resistance to the putting on plays in Schools and Universities is recorded by Vail Motter [1929] \textit{The School Drama in England}, Longmans, London, by Philip Coggin [1956] \textit{ibid}, and by K.M. Lobb [1956] in \textit{The Drama in School and Church} George G. Harrap & Co. Ltd., London]. Whereas during the early part of the 19th century public performance in schools was banned on moral grounds, the resistance from Universities took the form of academic prejudice.
\textsuperscript{15}Both Tim Cox (1970 \textit{op cit}, P. 244) and David Bowgett [\textit{Teachers' Perceptions as to the Nature and Practice of Primary Classroom Drama} (1996) unpublished Ph.D thesis, University of Leeds, Pp 87-90] record Miss Gilpin's interest in Drama, but do not indicate when she introduced it into her school. It is conceivable that the two south coast schools, one private and the other state, pursued similar experimentation simultaneously. On the other hand, Harriet Finlay-Johnson's book came out in 1910, whereas E.M. Gilpin's published paper did not appear until 1920 ["The Dramatic Sense as a Factor in Education", a paper read before the Conference of Educational Associations, Drama League Session, at University College, January 1920, in \textit{Drama} July 1920 P. 177]. There is, nevertheless, an unmistakable similarity between the approaches of these two headteachers.
\textsuperscript{16}Gilpin, E. M. (1920) \textit{ibid} P. 177
Chapter 1: Finlay-Johnson

The Day of the emancipation of the teaching of Drawing has come, that of the teaching of Music has dawned, and I hope that of the teaching of Drama in schools is at hand.

The Kindergarten
Margaret MacMillan (1901), the distinguished Scottish educator, is perhaps the first British advocate of play opportunities in the infant classroom. She is not visualising a mimetic context as much as, in the spirit of Dr Montessori's innovative work in Italy, a freely experimental one, providing an opportunity for "brain and limb" to learn control "as a whole". She makes no reference to any kind of dramatic actions.

H.L. Withers, on the other hand, in a lecture to the Froebel Society in 1901, in which Withers' address is recorded under the title: "The Distinction between Work and Play", refers disparagingly to 'action-plays' to be found in kindergartens. While seeing the value of 'biological play', as Karl Groos called make-believe play, for the pre-school child, Withers goes on (P.258):

But I never saw a child yet, left to itself, act the part of a daisy, or a tree with the leaves rustling, or any other vegetable or inanimate objects which it is supposed to represent in many 'action-plays.' These are not instinctive, self-expressive games; they are not play, they are not work. The words in which they are written are often inexcusably feeble and drivelling, and the music with which they are accompanied is sometimes not even tenth-rate. A good many of them want 'reforming altogether.'

Withers' (1904) complaint is against the kind of drilled actions to rhyming scripts (written by the teacher or other adults) modelled on Froebelian practice. N.J.R. Crompton designates these action rhymes as the first British instance of classroom dramatic activity and traces the source of some of the material referred

---

17 Macmillan, Margaret (1901) Early Childhood Swan Sonnenschein & Co, Ltd London P. 32
18 See The Teaching of History and other Papers edited by J.H. Fowler (1904) Manchester at the University Press
19 Groos, Karl (1899) The Play of Man Appleton Press
to in a publication by an infant teacher, Mabel Bloomer\textsuperscript{21}, headmistress of Hyndburn Park Council School, Accrington, directly to Froebel, whose action songs, \textit{Mutter und Kose Lieder}, were produced in Germany in 1843 and translated into English by Frances and Emily Lord in 1886. Crompton (1978) sees Froebel "as the spiritual father of drama-in-education"\textsuperscript{22} and he confesses that "it is tempting to see \textit{Mutter-und Kose Lieder} as the ultimate source..."\textsuperscript{23}. For Crompton, Harriet Finlay-Johnson's unique achievement was in extending the principles of Froebelian practice from the infant to the junior school, but, as we shall see, teacher-directed 'action songs' were not to Finlay-Johnson's taste. She writes\textsuperscript{24}

Why not continue the principle of the Kindergarten game in the school for older scholars? I did so, but with this difference: instead of letting the teacher originate or conduct the play, I demanded that ... the play must be the child's own.

We shall see that her progressive view of pupil autonomy created a 'game' for the older children that made immeasurably greater demands than the Froebelian 'action-songs' and broadened the scope of the playing opportunities advocated by Margaret Macmillan.

J.J. Findlay, in 1902, early in his long career, when he was headmaster of The Cardiff Intermediate School for Boys\textsuperscript{25}, regretted, somewhat wistfully, that "the drama plays a very small part in modern life," but confirms that it "survives in the Greek and Latin plays performed in a few schools." He then adds: "and it has been revived in the imitative Games of the Kindergarten", referring to the kind of 'action-game' disparaged by Withers (above). He is not happy with the term 'games'. He explains (P. 82): "The term 'Play' ought, etymologically, to be confined

\begin{footnotes}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Bloomer, Mabel (1911) \textit{A Year in the Infant School} London
\item Crompton, J.N.R. (1978) ibid P. 74
\item Crompton. J.N.R (1978) ibid P. 75
\item Finlay-Johnson H. (1911) \textit{The Dramatic Method of Teaching} Nisbet. Self-Help Series London P. 19
\item Findlay, J.J. (1902) \textit{Principles of Class Teaching} Macmillan and Co Ltd London P. 79
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotes}
to this kind of recreation in opposition to play 'in the ordinary playground sense of the word'. Delight in imitation," he claims, "is manifested at a very early age, not merely in the modes of instinctive reflex imitation, copying, but as a conscious pleasure in deliberately imitating the actions and ways of others. 'The Drama' [as he stiffly terms it] "is the final outcome of this form of recreation; its value in teaching is recognised by Froebelians in the 'Kindergarten-Spiele". This German expression, Findlay claims, has been "carelessly translated" as 'Games' by English teachers, but the correct rendering should be 'Plays". Findlay seems to be arguing then that on the grounds of their imitative function, the 'action games' or 'action rhymes' of the Kindergarten should more properly qualify as 'plays', and thus be seen as a first stage towards the art of Drama.

It seems likely that Professor Findlay (1902) was the first British educationalist to refer to Drama as a classroom activity. Interestingly, he is not sure in which chapter of his book he should discuss it. Having placed it under 'The Art of Language', he has second thoughts: "We have classified the drama with Language, because it is so largely a matter of speech: but, as acting, it may more properly be transferred to the next group, [which Findlay calls 'The Arts of Representation'] because it aims at natural representation". This uncertainty expressed by Findlay in 1902 of where to place Drama in a curricular hierarchy marks the beginning of a century-long dispute.

The pages of Section III of J.J. Findlay's Principles of Class Teaching are rich in practical advice as to how various school subjects might be taught, but that advice is not extended to practicalities of dealing with 'The Drama', which does not get a mention. Nor does dramatic activity of any kind appear in the sample lessons, taught by his wife and others, or in schemes of work included as appendices. It seems reasonable to surmise that for Findlay, at the time of writing his book, the

26Findlay. J.J. (1902)  ibid Pp 76-79
only available evidence of classroom drama was the 'action games' of the
kindergarten, and that news of experimentation by a Miss Finlay-Johnson on the
south-east coast would be unlikely to reach Cardiff or indeed any where outside
Sussex for many years to come. That details of how to practise 'action games' did
not appear in his book, may simply be that he had no further advice to give on such
limited material.

His introduction to the notion of some kind of dramatic activity leading to Drama
as part of the curriculum could be said to be more visionary than realistic, although
he had actually seen some experimentation in an American school\textsuperscript{27}. Based at the
University of Chicago, John Dewey was at that time beginning to take interest in
the idea of appointing specialists in each art to the Experimental School, specialists
"who are making the same combination of artistic skill with insight into
Education\textsuperscript{28}."] Findlay writes: "...much may be expected in time from Professor
Dewey's School in Chicago..."\textsuperscript{29}. Dewey may have given Findlay a vision of
possibilities.

It seems that the first authoritative publication\textsuperscript{30} to recognise the possibility of
dramatising as a legitimate part of classroom activities was a Board of Education
document, published in 1905, three years after Findlay's seminal text. It should be
pointed out that there is one reference only to dramatic activity in some 100
densely printed pages, not enough to warrant its inclusion in its detailed index. It

\textsuperscript{27}Frances W. Parker School, Chicago, founded in 1901 and named after America's pioneer in
'child-centred' education
\textsuperscript{28}Findlay, J.J. (1902) ibid P. 203, Footnote 1.
\textsuperscript{29}Unfortunately, according to Findlay, in Foundations of Education Vol1 The Practice of
Education (1930) ULP P. 237, the experiment in the arts ceased when Dewey left to take up an
appointment at Columbia University, New York in 1905. This was not entirely accurate, as John
Merrill \[Merrill, J & Fleming M (1930). Playmaking and Plays: The Dramatic Impulse and its
Educative Use in the Elementary and Secondary Schools NY\] was appointed in 1909 as the first
'Head of the Department of Literature and Dramatics'.
\textsuperscript{30}Board of Education (1905) Suggestions for the consideration of Teachers and Others concerned
in the Work of Public Elementary Schools HMSO
occurs in the section of 'Teaching English' dealing with 'Practice in Speaking English'. Part of this practice was to include:

Simple rhymes and games of a dramatic cast, which are at first a form of (verse or prose) repetition, but might possibly (in connection with the paragraph below) be developed into something more spontaneous if classroom conditions allow.

Stories told first to the class by the teacher, afterwards retold by individual children. These should proceed from the simple nursery tale of the recurrent type to freer forms of fairy story, in all of which, however, there is a certain reiteration which seems essential to this form of literature and is a great aid to reproduction. With the older infants an attempt might be made to dramatise these.

A hint, here, of 'something more spontaneous' in the kindergarten. There is no reference to the use of dramatisation in the upper end of the elementary school, and yet that is the age-group with which the first pioneer of this study evolved her dramatic methods. Indeed Miss Finlay-Johnson had started her experimentation eight years earlier.

C. Introduction to Harriet Finlay-Johnson - adulation and notoriety

Miss Finlay-Johnson was appointed Head Teacher of Little Sompting School, near Worthing, Sussex, in 1897 and stayed until she married in 1910. The attention her work received is so bound up with its publicisation by the Chief Inspector for Schools, Edmond Holmes, that it is not possible to give an account of its methods without constant reference to his interpretation of them. She wrote her book, _The Dramatic Method of Teaching_, at his persuasion, in 1911, a year after her retirement. He became a regular visitor to her school a few years after her

---

31 It is interesting to note that Oral English was, according to this 1905 Board of Education publication, to have priority in the kindergarten and infant school over Learning to Read
32 Board of Education (1905) ibid P. 30 included in _The Companion to the Red Code_ (1908)
33 A detailed account of her influence on the school and on the local village is given in a well-researched, unpublished M.Ed. dissertation at the University of Newcastle, School of Education by Mary Bowmaker, entitled _Harriet Finlay-Johnson 1871-1956_.

National Union of Teachers. Educational Supply Association London
appointment. His books, published after his enforced resignation in the same year as her retirement, attempted to give a theoretical frame to her approach. He used Finlay-Johnson's methods as a model in the 'What Might Be' half of his book, labelling her his 'Egeria'. The result was that a school that had attracted a pilgrimage of admiring visitors during Miss Finlay-Johnson's period of headship became a centre for destructively motivated investigation (for instance, the charge that Miss Johnson had 'faked' exercise books was part of the vicious rumours spread about her work) after she left.

One can only muse on whether the practice of dramatisation as a method of teaching would have become popularised much earlier in this country if Miss Johnson's teaching had not accidentally come within the firing-line of a minor educational scandal. On the other hand, Sompting School and its headteacher's innovatory methods may never have reached public notice if Holmes had not drawn attention to them, for Holmes was a key figure in the 'New Education', and is identified with what became known as 'The Progressive Movement'.

D. Harriet Finlay-Johnson's Dramatic Method: as justified by her own and Edmond Holmes' publications

D1. Finlay-Johnson's justification for the method: "arousing a keen desire to know"

---

35He had managed to enrage the National Union of Teachers with his undiluted attack in his first book on Elementary Education. He claimed afterwards that he had intended attacking the system, not the teachers who were part of it. For an account of this 'turbulent inspector' see: "Utopia Reconsidered: Edmond Holmes, Harriet Johnson and the School at Sompting" by M.T. Hyndman in Sussex Archaeological Collection 118 (1980) pp 351 - 357.
36Holmes, Edmond (1914) P. 339
37Admiration for her work was sustained from some quarters. For instance, Norman MacNunn, who was later to become head of Tiptree Hall, a 'Community of war orphans', remarked of Harriet Finlay-Johnson's work: "I must here burn a little incense to what I consider to be one of the most interesting and convincing educational experiments ever carried out." [MacNunn, N. (1914) A Path to Freedom in the School Bell London
38Selleck, R.J.W. (1972) op cit. marks the beginning of the movement with a precise date: May 1911, the publication month of What is and What Might Be.
Miss Johnson believed that young 'scholars', as she called them, would learn if and when they want to learn. She discovered that such a desire for knowledge can be aroused by dramatisation:

It has always been an axiom in matters of school method that one of the first essentials in teaching any subject should be "first arouse the desire to know". When our scholars began to dramatise their lessons, they at once developed a keen desire to know many things which hitherto had been matters of pure indifference to them. For instance, after their initial performance of scenes from "Ivanhoe", they soon began to study the book closely to supply deficiencies in dialogue, and when the dialogue was rendered according to the book it had to be memorised (voluntarily), and this led to searching questions after meanings and allusions - some of which the elder scholars soon learned to find in the dictionary.

Her book records many examples of teaching literature, but also History, Geography, Shakespeare, Grammar and Spelling, Arithmetic and Nature Study (a subject she at first saw as the centre of all education until, in the latter years of her thirteen at Little Sompting, it was superseded by dramatisation as the core activity). Dramatisation, Finlay-Johnson claims, provides the means of 'arousing a keen desire to know'; it was to be an incentive (this author's choice of word) to learning. Even the most unpalatable subject-matters laid down by the school authorities could be taught through dramatisation, concealing, as Miss Johnson puts it "the powder in the jam." Such a functional use of an art form was contrary to the generally accepted Kantian position of 'disinterestedness' as a necessary feature of artistic response.

---

39 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid pp. 36-7 It seems likely that Finlay-Johnson was the first teacher to apply the term 'dramatisation' (or dramatization, as OED prefers) to education: its dictionary definition is 'conversion into dramatic form'
40 Later writers, notably, Dorothy Heathcote and Cecily O'Neill, were to use the word 'lure' and 'pretext' respectively to describe the means of arresting pupils' attention in the dramatic theme.
41 Finlay-Johnson, Harriet (1911) ibid P. 42.
42 J.J. Findlay (1902), for example, sees the purpose of education in the arts as cultural, not epistemological. Findlay writes: "We have to recognise that in many Fine Arts (Music, Painting, the Drama) the aim of the teaching, as part of liberal education, has to be limited to the needs of the amateur, who can contemplate and appreciate, with benefit to his own culture, far beyond his possibilities of performance. This consideration is of great ethical and aesthetical importance, and needs detailed treatment in working out the Special method of teaching each fine art." [P. 369
Harriet Finlay-Johnson's approach, however, involved much more than sweetening medicine, for it embraces some of the features that later characterised the Progressive movement: 'integrated knowledge'; 'activity-method'; 'pupil-autonomy' - and 'dramatisation' gradually and uniquely became Finlay-Johnson's means of achieving such goals:

(1) when she writes: "Children...have a wonderful faculty for teaching other children and learning from them"\textsuperscript{43}, she is seeing this mutual learning in the context of 'preparing a play';

(2) when she writes of making children "self-reliant, mainly self-taught, and self-developing"\textsuperscript{44}, she is seeing these maturing attributes in the context of 'preparing the play';

(3) when she speaks of developing in her pupils a "habit of mind" in approaching "thoroughly" any acquisition of knowledge or skill, she is seeing this seeking after high standards in learning in the context of researching for 'the play'.

(4) Likewise the incentive of 'getting our play ready' allows her to revolutionise the traditional 'teacher-pupil' relationship. The teacher is to be regarded as 'fellow-worker' and 'friend'\textsuperscript{45}:

> There could be plenty of liberty without licence, because the teacher, being a companion to and fellow-worker with the scholars, had a strong moral hold on them, and shared the citizen's right of holding an opinion - being heard, therefore, not as "absolute monarch" but on the same grounds as the children themselves.

The educational goals to be reached through dramatisation according to Finlay-Johnson can be summarised from the above paragraphs as follows:

Children will be 'keen to know'.
Children teach and learn from each other.
Children will become self-reliant and mainly self-taught
Children will acquire an habitual 'thoroughness' in approaching knowledge or skills.
Children are to see the teacher as 'companion' and 'fellow-worker'.

\textsuperscript{Footnote 1]}
\textsuperscript{43}Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 44
\textsuperscript{44}Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 50
\textsuperscript{45}Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 22
Chapter 1: Finlay-Johnson

The first four of these goals are related directly to the acquisition of knowledge. According to Finlay-Johnson, dramatisation creates a motivation to learn and a responsibility towards learning, a shared responsibility. The notion of children teaching each other, however, is not a characteristic that the Progressive Movement in Education entirely supported, for many saw the New Education in terms of an individual's independence rather than his/her dependence on a group.

It may seem remarkable that with no model to follow, this village school-teacher, so early in the century, should have discovered the effectiveness of dramatisation for learning and be able to describe that effectiveness in terms of motivation, responsibility and co-operation. What she does not do is suggest that the knowledge acquired through drama is necessarily qualitatively different. She seems rather to suggest, in keeping with the traditional view of knowledge as a static commodity to be transmitted or discovered rather than created, that the pupils know 'more' as a result of dramatisation; she does not go so far as to claim that they know 'better'. It is later writers, such as Dorothy Heathcote, who propound a theory that a change in the kind of knowing is brought about by 'contextualising' knowledge in this way. Finlay-Johnson does, however, place an emphasis on the importance of setting the drama in a suitable simulated environment and is anxious for her pupils to understand 'the fitness of things', making connections they

---

46 Indeed, a feature of the progressive movement was an avoidance of inter-pupil activity (See Selleck, R.J.W. [1972] English Primary Education and the Progressives 1914-1939 P. 51). A typical conference speech at the time came from Lillian De Lissa, Principal of Adelaide Kindergarten Training College, Australia. Delivered at the first Montessori Conference held at East Renton in 1914, it argued: "The psychology of a group is quite different from the psychology of an individual, and the teachers should be concerned with individuals, for it is they who make the strength of the nation". (From Report of the Montessori Conference, 1914 P. 51).

perhaps could not have made without the concrete experience of the dramatic location. The following extract illustrates this point vividly:

That the children were set thinking for themselves by means of playing their own version of Shakespeare's "Henry V." is proved by the fact that on the next "Unseen Reader" morning, following the first performance of "Henry V.", there was a great rush for historical works of all kinds, and very shortly we heard remarks as "Why, it was my son, Henry VI., who caused Joan of Arc's death! (from the boy who had impersonated Henry V.). "Yes, and when Jack died ("Jack" was Henry V. for the nonce!) "Katherine married Owen Tudor, and that's where the Tudor line came from," said another. "How do you know that?" said I. "I traced it on this table," was the reply. I looked at the book shown me. It was opened at a genealogical table! Fancy that studied voluntarily by an ordinary boy!

Miss Finlay-Johnson goes on to describe how another pupil volunteered that he had found a "fine piece of poetry all about it" and offered "Ballads of English History" for others to see. She goes on to affirm: "I quote this incident as showing that the Dramatic Method of teaching shows, or rather leads to, the right way of using the text-book [her italics], as a book of reference, voluntarily approached, rather than a book the contents of which have to be committed to memory in stated doses."

D2. Edmond Holmes' justification of Miss Finlay-Johnson's method: "self-realisation"

Holmes devoted his book, What is and What Might Be to a study of Finlay Johnson's methods without referring to her by name. He frames his account of the work of Sompting School in rather quaint terms: the school becomes 'Utopia' and its headteacher, 'Egeria'. Thus he writes: "In Utopia 'acting' is a vital part of the school life of every class, and every subject that admits of dramatic treatment is systematically dramatised. His book is a diatribe against traditional state education and in moving from the first half, entitled 'What Is or The Path of

---

48 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 110
49 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 110-111
50 Holmes, Edmund (1911) op cit P. 174
Mechanical Obedience' to the second half 'What Might Be or The Path of Self-Realisation', he offers a picture of the 'ideal'.

Holmes' book inspired many young progressives, but also created controversy. As Selleck explains: "...successful attempts were made to discover who Egeria was and where her school was situated; whispers went around that it was not the utopia Holmes had claimed and Holmes indignantly answered them." He sought to defend his first book by writing a second.

In In Defence of What Might Be (1914), Holmes gives a succinct explanation of what led him to describe Miss Finlay-Johnson's work, which for him epitomises the 'growth' theory of education:

Neither Rousseau's nor Froebel's exposition of it (the growth theory of education) had quite satisfied me. Rousseau, as it seemed to me, left too much to Nature and too little to the teacher. Froebel, as it seemed to me, left too little to Nature and too much to the teacher. As my visits to "Utopia" had convinced me that "Egeria" had managed to adjust, almost to a nicety, the respective claims of nature and the teacher, I thought it might be well if I were to describe her school and her work, and try to interpret her philosophy of education.

Such an interpretation, following his credo outlined in What is and What Might Be, is undertaken in the light of Holmes' leanings towards a combination of Darwinian

---

52 Even this defence did not entirely silence his critics. For example, M.W. Keatinge (1916), in his Studies in Education [A & C Black London], wrote dismissively of Holmes in a footnote to Pages 151-152:

What is and What Might Be, 1911, by Edmond Holmes Written by an ex-chief inspector of schools. Its contents suggest that its author has never taught and that he is not conversant with modern psychology. Some of his instincts are admirable, but without it he continually states half truths as if they were unconditionally true. The book will testify to the fact that the authority responsible for the condition of our elementary schools over a period of years was (1) fully conscious that they were gravely defective; (2) unable to discover the true cause of their defectiveness; or (3) to remedy it.

53 Holmes, E. (1914) ibid P. 2
and Indian philosophy in respect of the concept of growth. He sees the work at Sompting School as harnessing six parallel instinctive desires in a child

1) to talk and listen
2) to act (in the dramatic sense of the word):
3) to draw, paint and model:
4) to dance and sing:
5) to know the why of things:
6) to construct things.

He describes these as the 'communicative', 'dramatic', 'artistic', 'musical', 'inquisitive', and 'constructive' instincts. He goes on to explain that "Two of these are sympathetic; two are aesthetic; two are scientific. The realisation of these instincts leads to the development of corresponding 'faculties', the 'sympathetic' claiming sovereignty over the others: "....training their imaginative sympathy - a sovereign faculty which of all faculties is perhaps the most emancipative and expansive..."

Implicit in Holmes' theoretical gloss is the notion of dramatisation (along with other communicative activities) as 'sympathetic', which implies that a participant's attention is directed outwards. Holmes puts it: "...teaching them to identify themselves, if only for a moment, with other human beings...leading them into the path of tolerance, of compassion, of charity, of sympathy." For Holmes acting fulfils the emancipative requirement of growth, an "escape from self". This, as we shall see, is in marked contrast to some later play theorists and drama educators who see the purpose of dramatisation as 'finding oneself'.

---

54 In 1908 Holmes had anonymously published *The Creed of Buddha*. Selleck suggests that "poet, philosopher, mystic and school inspector came together in *What is and What Might Be*" [Selleck R.J.W. (1972) op cit P. 25]
55 Holmes, E. (1911) op cit P. 165
56 Holmes, E. (1911) op cit P. 170
57 Holmes, E. (1911) op cit P. 175-176
59 Holmes, E. (1911) op cit P. 192
60 Burton, Bruce (1991) in his *Act of Learning* Longman Cheshire, Sydney, divides the drama
denying and indeed valuing 'self-expression', nevertheless embraces a notion of 'self-realisation'. According to Holmes it is the teacher's function to assist in this complex evolutionary process:

We are setting the teacher a mighty task. He must help the child to realise his individual self by subordinating it to both his wider selves; to realise his communal self by subordinating it to his ideal self; to realise his ideal self by cultivating both his lesser selves for its sake. In other words, he must help the child transform and expand his individual self by losing himself, on the one hand, in social sympathy and service, on the other hand, in the search for beauty and truth.

Holmes gives apparently unqualified support to dramatisation. He writes,

"However rude and simple the histrionic efforts of the children may be, they are doing two things for the actors. They are giving them a living interest in the various subjects that are dramatised, and, by teaching them to identify themselves, if only for a moment, with other human beings, they are leading them into the path of tolerance, of compassion, of charity, of sympathy..." There can be no doubt about the genuineness of this support, but one is left feeling that his use of 'Egeria's' achievements in dramatisation as illustrative of his own 'growth' philosophy overrides any interest in promoting dramatisation as such, rather than as an educational tool.

education pioneers into those who "perceive the drama process as a valuable learning experience about oneself" and those who "choose to use it as an educational tool to stimulate learning about specific fields of human experience." P. 52

For a limited edition of her book (there is a copy in Worthing library) Holmes wrote a Foreword which includes the following 'growth' orientated summary:

Miss Finlay-Johnson's philosophy of education is based, it seems, on the following fundamental assumptions:

(1) That the function of education is to foster growth;
(2) that the business of growing must be done by the growing child, and cannot be done for him by his teacher or any other person;
(3) that the whole being of the child - mental, moral, and spiritual, as well as physical - making a strong and spontaneous effort to grow...
(4) that what I may call play instincts indicate the lines along which the child is struggling to grow, and along which, it may be presumed, Nature wishes his growth to be directed;
(5) that the teacher, in his attempt to foster the growth of the child, ought to follow those lines - in other words, that the school life of the child ought to be, in the main, a life of play, but play...
as evidence of a methodology worthy in itself of close attention. That in the latter years of her thirteen at Sompting, Miss Johnson turned more and more to drama, eclipsing, as Hyndman has pointed out her earlier interest in Nature Study as a base-line, seemed not to be significant to Holmes. It can only be concluded that his advocacy was not, at heart, a promotion of drama as a method. That this is the case is perhaps also partly borne out by his 1914 defence which barely mentions Drama, an omission which further suggests that critics of his 1911 publication did not see Drama as significantly part of their complaint against either his book or the educational system it advocated. This was not because they approved of Drama but because the critics of the School tended to have paid their visits after its notorious headteacher had left it and thus did not actually see any drama. Perhaps for this reason Holmes did not feel driven to defend its usage. A further example of Holmes's ambivalence is revealed in the Foreword referred to in Note 63 above, for he manages to write a three-page eulogy of Finlay-Johnson's methods, prefacing a book bearing the term 'Dramatic Methodology' in its title, without once referring to Drama.

One can only conclude that his support for 'acting' in the classroom was more apparent than real, that he failed to recognise the critical role played by dramatization in 'making knowledge work'. Had he done so, one feels that he would have made drama itself a less peripheral feature of his argument.
E. Characteristics of acting behaviour in Harriet Finlay-Johnson's classroom

Harriet Finlay-Johnson's style of practice was that of an enthusiastic team-manager, coaching from the sidelines, as it were, as pupils engaged in their endeavours. Unlike her successors, she does not feel impelled in her publication to give an account of what she did, but only what the children achieved.

Miss Finlay-Johnson gives us relatively few clues about the acting itself. Her chief concern seems to be that her readers should understand that whatever was created dramatically was the children's own invention:

...instead of letting the teacher originate or conduct the play, I demanded that, just as the individual himself must study Nature and not have it studied for him, the play must be the child's own. However crude the action or dialogue from the adult's point of view, it would fitly express the stage of development arrived at by the child's mind and would, therefore, be valuable to him as a vehicle of expression and assimilation (which is, after all, what we need), rather than a finished product pleasing to the more cultivated mind of an adult, and, perhaps, boring to a child.

The phrase "however crude the action" recalls Holmes' "however rude and simple", suggesting that "from the adult's point of view" there were indeed some deficiencies. What they were is not spelled out, but the view expounded by Finlay-Johnson, that in an educational context adult perception becomes irrelevant, is to

through play, but the form of the play (she used the telling term 'auto-play') is a solitary investigation of the 'Montessori' apparatus, with the teacher as a benign, perceptive observer of each child. According to her critics, Montessori, like Rousseau, was opposed to make-believe activities. W.H. Kilpatrick writes of her work [Kilpatrick, in Montessori Examined (1915) Constable & Co. Ltd., London P. 43]: "There is very little of dramatisation. On the whole, the imagination, whether of constructive play or of the more aesthetic sort, is but little utilised" One cannot be surprised by this absence of make-believe in a method whose creator regarded fantasy as something education should help the child to overcome. Such a view is expounded by Montessori in The Advanced Montessori Method Vol 1. Ch. 9. Perhaps the most striking denial of differences comes from Norman MacNunn, who, at the East Runton conference in 1915 on 'Montessorism in Secondary Schools' [P.86 of the report] averred that: "...difference in the ideals...of Madame Montessori and of Miss Finlay-Johnson are infinitely less essential than the community of aim."

68Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) op cit P. 19
anticipate the work of Franz Cizek in Vienna\textsuperscript{69}, who, in the 1920s, revolutionised the educational approach to the visual arts.

One of the dangers of attempting to trace a history of ideas is that one's present perspective causes one to misinterpret what an earlier writer means. Here is an example of such a trap. By referring (in the quotation above) to dramatisation as "a vehicle of expression and assimilation...rather than a finished product", it seems that Finlay-Johnson may be entering the process/product debate still raging today\textsuperscript{70} - and, ahead of her time, siding with the 'process' voices. However, it could be that she is adopting the sporting notion that it is the act of participating in the drama that is more important than the final outcome, and, of course, such a position does not necessarily imply that there should not be a final outcome. Or she may even intend her phrase '...rather than a finished product' to be read as 'rather than a \textit{finished} product'. She may simply be saying that the consequence of her pupils' having the chance to express their own version in their own way will inevitably be a product of less finesse than if a teacher had ordered the material and directed the activity. The notion of 'process' as an alternative to 'product' may have been outside her frame of reference - however relevant such a concept may appear to be for this thesis.

What Harriet Finlay-Johnson is conscious of in this connection, is the need to do away with 'acting for display'\textsuperscript{71}, a point she makes twice in her book. She overcomes this impediment as far as she can by 'doing away with an audience', turning the non-actors into stage-managers or, as we shall see from examples below, into active commentators or spectators.

\textsuperscript{69}See: Viola, Whilhelm (1936) \textit{Child Art and Franz Cizek}, Vienna


\textsuperscript{71}Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid Pp 25 & 54
'Dramatisation', in the sense of reformulating knowledge from curriculum subjects into a dramatic sequence or story was occasionally to be extended to include acting Shakespeare. She does not, however, comment on any ill-effect wrought by the presence of an adult audience of parents and other local villagers. However, she cannot resist referring to the occasion when "a great Shakespearean actor and actress who saw them" ['Rosalind' and 'Celia'] "waxed quite enthusiastic over their natural way of deporting themselves." She herself saw their acting as "so exceedingly good, dramatic and convincing in their parts that their performance really approached pure Art." She is not explicit about what she means by 'pure art', but her recall of The Merchant of Venice is vividly expressed in conventional 'performance' terms.

Their impersonation of the various parts, far from being calculated to draw a smile (which might be expected when young children attempted to act complex characters), were earnest and interesting. Shylock and Portia, ...realised their parts wonderfully, and yet they were played in an original manner, because the action and gesture were their own, and neither taught by an instructor nor copied from players seen previously. They had merely the text of Shakespeare to depend upon. That they read this aright was proved by the fact that in such speeches as Shylock's, commencing "How like a fawning publican he looks!" the boy impersonator used a venomous kind of undertone; and when Bassanio enters next and Shylock has to say, "I am debating of my present store, " &c., the boy changed his tone at once to a conciliatory, cringing tone, although no such directions are given in the play.

Clearly, from the above description, drama was at times conceived of and appreciated as classical scripts to be carefully rehearsed for showing to an audience. There is evidence in the book that plays were performed many times and no doubt the constant stream of visitors, created a strong sense of 'getting our

---

72 Harriet Finlay-Johnson's interest in Shakespeare led her to start an amateur Shakespeare group in the village. Ironically, this out-of-school activity led to her meeting the man she was to marry and, consequently, to retirement from teaching.
73 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 149
74 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid Pp 138-9
75 Mary Bowmaker op cit gives a list in her Appendix C 30 of His Majesty's Inspectors, some of
play ready', but she does not record the school's attempts at more formal, public performances.

The making of scenery, properties and costumes were to be taken seriously for both dramatisation and the performance of a play and involved non-acting members of the class. It is clear that both pupils and teacher gained satisfaction (in keeping with a Naturalistic tradition of Western Theatre) from this theatrical notion of representational realism. Indeed she sometimes went to great lengths to ensure a 'realistic' environment.76

Likewise the convention of appropriate 'casting' was unquestioningly followed. That the great stories from History or from Shakespeare were predominantly male oriented seemed not to be a matter for regret, and certainly not something that could be overcome by having girls play male roles. Indeed, not only was casting controlled by gender; physical attributes influenced choice: "It took but a few seconds for the boys to settle on a rosy, rotund boy for a jovial Friar Tuck..."77

One wonders if it is the same overweight boy she refers to later (P. 166) in commenting on what happy memories past students must have of their Christmas Carol performance:

The name Fezziwig will bring back to them the fat, rosy boy (stuffed in the region of the waistcoat with dusters to complete the illusion!) who sat up at the spindle-legged desk, once the hermit's cell for Friar Tuck, and beamed over spectacles, which sat with difficulty on his snub little nose...

Getting the appearance of a role right was but part of theatre orthodoxy, and like any traditional theatre-goer, Finlay-Johnson slips into such

whom visited many times. There were also official visits by the Vicar and School Managers and unofficial visits by parents. Holmes, in his address to the Oxford conference (referred to in Note 66, P. 29 above) comments favourably on the public performances and on how the parents were involved in the preparations.

76 On page 70 she describes plays that gained in verisimilitude when they were performed in a near-by disused chalk-pit, "where they could scale heights most realistically" or "under the shade of the greenwood tree".

77 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 35
The trouble with the educators like J.J. Findlay was their overlapping concept of 'games' and 'play'. Finlay-Johnson appears to divide dramatic activities into broad categories. It is noticeable that in relation to History, Literature, Nature Study and, obviously, Shakespeare, she refers to 'the play', but in relation to other subjects, such as Geography, Manual Work and Arithmetic, she tends to refer to the dramatic activities sometimes as 'plays' but most often as 'games'. The division is not a straightforward one, for occasionally she will refer to her whole non-instructional approach to learning as a 'game', (there is a nice distinction drawn between doing clay-modelling as a "lesson" and carrying out exactly the same activity as a "game") and in describing the children's way of devising a Nature Study revision she writes: "They first made up a form of game [my italics]...". This 'game' turns out to be carefully scripted:

Scholar: Oh, here is a pretty Sweetpea hanging over the fence!
Sweetpea: He is wrong. I am not hanging over it at all! I climbed up here on purpose to look over at the sun. If he tries to pull me down, he will find I am holding on quite firmly.

Clearly some of the dramatisations were carried out with the intention, not of further rehearsal or presentation, but of further study, the dramatic 'game' being a catalyst for alternative classroom activities, such as research, writing or handicraft.

---

78 Finlay-Johnson. H. (1911) ibid P.138
79 Finlay-Johnson. H. (1911) ibid P. 178
80 Finlay-Johnson. H. (1911) ibid P. 238. This could be the kind of activity referred to by H.L. Withers, quoted on P. 16 above, as 'action-play'. although his disparaging comment suggests that the scripts he saw were written by teachers.
It appears that the pupils in their 'shopping' games\textsuperscript{81}, for instance, spontaneously 'played' at handling money, measuring and weighing etc. while in role as shop-keepers and customers. One might feel justified here, in this kind of example of classroom dramatisation, in describing the pupils as 'participating in a game'.

As we have already observed, it seems to be symptomatic of the expectations roused by the doing of drama in Finlay-Johnson's classroom that both the 'play' and the 'game' required elaborate preparation for a realistic mis-en-scene. The pupils went to great lengths to recreate the appropriate environment. In the 'shopping game' for instance, the girls insisted, ("to add the necessary touch of realism"), in having "a proper door to open and shut" with a hand-bell attached. At first sight it appears that this kind of preparation is but an enhancement of the 'playing experience' of the participants, but when Miss Johnson writes\textsuperscript{82}:

> I need hardly say that, since all this took place immediately in front of the class, there was no need for the teacher to tell the children to "pay attention," nor did she need to have any fears that the class was not thoroughly keen about adding up various sums at payment time. The scholars would not have been real children if they had not been desperately anxious to catch the cashier giving the wrong change.

one wonders whether the 'necessary touch of realism', was for the benefit of the non-participants who were to "pay attention" as much as for the players. That there was to be an audience to their fictional 'shopping' no doubt increased the self-consciousness of the participants, some of whom at least may well have been out to impress their classmates with their 'shopping' skills. Indeed, if this were the case, then it would be impossible for them to see themselves purely as 'shoppers', as they had an audience to entertain, an essentially different context from the kind of absorbed 'playing at shopping' envisioned perhaps by Margaret Macmillan (1901)

\textsuperscript{81}Finlay-Johnson. H (1911) ibid Pp 228-234
\textsuperscript{82}Finlay-Johnson. H. (1911) ibid P. 232
in the kindergarten's play corner. Nor is this the formal audience to a Shakespeare performance, but rather an audience 'looking on'. It seems more appropriate, perhaps, to think of the rest of the class as critical 'spectators', as interested supporters of the game, rather than as an 'audience'. 

The only recorded occasions when the dramatic fiction was played out independently of the presence of even a 'looking in' audience were at 'playtimes', 'dinner times' and 'after school'. "Once", writes Miss Johnson, "I found the boys playing at "Princes in the Tower", and the game was so good I immediately commandeered it for school use." Even the most spontaneous 'playing', if it occurred in school time, would not escape the 'scrutinising' by peers. There were times in school hours when some of the boisterous out-of-doors drama playing verged on 'pure' adventure play. For example, following the reading of Bevis, the pupils set up for themselves an imitation 'raft' (packing-case) on a 'river' (the school brook) - and they sailed, removing boots and stockings before doing so. Miss Johnson's account goes on, however, with: "The raft went on voyage to all parts of places and (my italics) the chorus sat along the banks to explain matters." Thus what must have had the appearance of a lively, juvenile pastime on a hot summer's day, was elevated into an excursion worthy of interpretative commentary, the 'chorus' directing the muddy-legged wallowers into thinking they are discovering diamonds in South Africa. Another function of the spectators is to take copious notes, so that even the dialogue emerging from this kind of 'fun' is recorded for re-use. The very instant of experiencing (in this case an adventure) is to be fed back to them as dialogue worthy of inspection. We have here, therefore, the introduction of a further dimension to the 'game': an active audience, functioning as commentators or recorders, as if they are part of what is being created. This is

83Macmillam M. (1902) op cit P. 32
84Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 179
85Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 175
86Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid P. 205
slightly but significantly different from the 'Shopping' game, for in that instance the
onlookers had no responsibility for the fiction itself.

Thus, in this kind of example of spontaneous play, the acting behaviour is for the
most part likely to have been modified by a conception of 'audience' that is far from
traditional. A dramatisation occurs that is inwardly interactive, that is, the
participants have to concentrate on and with each other in order to make sense of
what they are doing. Their acting behaviour, however, is also, in part, directed
outwards towards the watchers, who have a vested interest in what is going on as
part-creators, and who are consciously treating what is going on as a 'product' to
be talked about, written about or, more significantly, concurrently manipulated by
choral interjection. Thus we have a special mode of acting behaviour, which has all
the characteristics of spontaneous 'playing' of kindergarten tradition and yet is
modified by others who are treating the activity as a 'product-in-the-making'; the
'meaning-making' of the event is partly controlled by the audience to it.

E. 1. **A summary of Harriet Finlay-Johnson's 'Dramatic Method'**

1. The purpose of dramatising is:

   a. to help the pupils, through freedom, group autonomy and corporate activity to
develop as persons

   b. to motivate the pupils to learn all aspects of the curriculum

   c. to gain in self-reliance.

2. The various forms of dramatising Harriet Finlay-Johnson employs may be
summarised as follows:

   a. **Performance of Shakespeare**

   This is a traditional approach, involving the learning of lines, rehearsing and the
presentation of a scripted play. Presentational accuracy in the way of costumes,
properties and 'touches' of scenery was sought. Showy acting was discouraged;
'crude' acting was tolerated.

   b. **Performance of History Plays and plays made from Literature**
The dialogue was researched, refined, rehearsed and memorised, although improvised speech during the 'performance' was not discouraged. As for Shakespeare, a reasonable degree of presentational accuracy was expected.

c. A means of getting facts over to the rest of the class

The 'sweetpea' script is seen as a kind of educational 'game', lacking the characterisation and story-line of (b) above.

d. 'Free' make-believe playing in 'out-of-school' hours

Miss Johnson recorded occasions when the pupils' spontaneous dramatic playing related to something that had cropped up in school. The participants were engaged in a process of reviving the story, drawn, usually, from a literary source. Their efforts were for once uninhibited by the presence of spectators.

e. Make-believe playing manipulated by others

Participants in spontaneous, 'adventure' drama find the process they are engaged in translated into a product to be modified concurrently by a chorus of commentators or subsequently by the note-taking recording of spontaneous dialogue which is to be refined and memorised. The rest of the class are to be co-creators of the dramatic product, which may be 'polished' and performed.

f. The 'shopping-game'

Seen as a 'game' with other members of the class 'looking in' as spectators. The participants were required to focus their attention on each other in order to carry out the required problem-solving, but the way they played the 'game' was no doubt affected by the scrutinising function of the spectators. Presentational 'realism' was a feature engaging both players and spectators.

F. Generalisations about acting behaviour drawn from Harriet Finlay-Johnson's classroom dramatisation

F1. The pupils as dramatists and stage-managers

'Dramatisation', for Finlay-Johnson, appears to have a precise meaning, the enactment of curriculum subject-matter. At its weakest it could simply be regarded as a kind of mnemonic for memorising information, rather like a rhyme for recalling the order of the British monarchs. No doubt some of the 'singing-rhymes' of the kindergarten were for this kind of purpose. Dialogue put into the mouths of different characters is a more elaborate form of representation than a singing rhyme
with greater potential for inventive expression and for management hazards.
Harriet Finlay-Johnson required her pupils to do the inventing and the managing, and before they could do the inventing they often had to search for the relevant information or knowledge.

Finding, selecting, re-ordering and dramatically interpreting first through scripting and then through enactment became a sequential pattern for her upper elementary age group. Each one of these steps had its own internal refinement process, for instance, if we take again the first two lines of their 'Sweetpea' play:

Scholar: Oh, here is a pretty Sweetpea hanging over the fence!
Sweetpea: He is wrong. I am not hanging over it at all! I climbed up here on purpose to look over at the sun. If he tries to pull me down, he will find I am holding on quite firmly. (Pp 238-9)

This scripting by the pupils involved empirical research, selection of which items to include in their presentation, what characters to have and how to arrange their interaction with some humour and (towards the end of their play) a philosophical parallel drawn between the deviousness of Sweetpeas and children! Only a dramatist's sense could have the 'scholar' lay himself open to contradiction in his very first line (see above).

F2. Acting characterised as knowledge-bearing

The final phase of the sequential pattern is presentation in which, as Finlay-Johnson describes, they enact 'scholars out on a ramble'. Rather like an ice-berg, the actual presentation is but the 'tip' of the work. However, to suggest that the presentation, in this kind of process, is less important than what has gone before, is to miss the point, for the presentation is critically part of the sequence. Nevertheless a

87Sweetpea: White Sweetpea...has cruelly twined her tendrils even around the Cornflower's blossoms, forcing them to support her.
Schoolmaster: I have known some children like that. They will let others do the work...
reasonable and perhaps useful way of describing the acting is as a channel for newly acquired knowledge. This is its principal function in the Sompting School curriculum. One can understand how Holmes (1911) came to place Drama, not with the other arts, but as one of the two 'sympathetic' faculties, with an 'outward' orientation towards things and people outside oneself. By 'self-expression' Finlay-Johnson did not mean, as Freudian influenced exponents of drama were later to do, expression of inner experience, but personal choice in and interpreting knowledge and finding a dramatic form for its presentation.

F3. Ownership of knowledge
And it is their knowledge. Although not a term used by Finlay-Johnson, the expression 'ownership' seems appropriate and in keeping with the spirit of her methodology. Traditionally, at that time, knowledge was perceived as a 'given' for pupils to absorb; Finlay-Johnson spurred her pupils on to find it and remould it, making it their own - for the sake of the play. This 'for the sake of' is the key to the method. Making a play provided an impetus for learning, offering the pupils an incentive outside the perceived purpose of schooling.

F4. An overarching cultural context - a 'game'
I want to suggest that dramatising knowledge amounted to something rather more than an 'incentive'. I believe it provided a different order of school experience. Conventional schooling may be regarded as being at one remove from living, a special context with its own laws, set aside for certain periods in a child's life. Now to engage in the making of fiction as a major task within the school is to enter an alternative culture with alternative laws, so that not only is knowledge reframed but matters such as school discipline and teacher-pupil relationship are open to readjustment.

To understand the apparent confusion that Harriet Finlay-Johnson showed (discussed above) in her sometimes random substition of the labels of 'game' and 'drama', we may need to appreciate that her use of 'game' may not have applied in
her mind to a particular kind of activity as much as to a new order of doing things, a 'game' of temporarily creating an alternative culture within a school culture. On the other hand, it could be that she wanted drama to be seen as a 'serious game'.

Looked at this way, we see the sequence discussed above of play-making and play-performing as part of a totality of a 'game'. It is the concept of 'game' that gives overarching significance to the dramatic work. It colours everything that occurs in the dramatic endeavours, including a tolerance for 'crude action or dialogue from the adult's point of view' referred to above. The classroom acting is conditioned by the 'game'. It is as if this alternative culture 'permits' the acting.

This may, in part, explain why Holmes (1911) is not able to enthuse about Dramatisation *per se* while writing that "In Utopia "acting" is a vital part of the school life of every class...". It may be that he sensed something inappropriate and unrealistic in even suggesting to elementary school teachers of that time that they invite their pupils to 'act' the material of their lessons. Without the underlying cultural infusion provided by the 'game', acting in a classroom could appear to be as unbecoming as running in church.

That such an alternative culture could be introduced was no doubt due to the special circumstances surrounding an enterprising teacher, a head-teacher, no less, along with her sister as the only other member of staff, in a two-class village school. The way in which Finlay-Johnson set up her dramatic method was undoubtedly peculiar to her and that school, but from it I suggest we may learn that dramatic activity in an educational setting may benefit from, if not depend upon, some kind of overarching cultural influence that gives plausibility to the acting.

---

88 To borrow a term used a decade later by Artaud, Antonin (c. 1920s) in *Selected Writings* (1976) edited by Susan Sontag. Farrar Strauss & Giroux. NY, Pp 156-7
89 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) *ibid* P.19
90 Holmes, E. (1911) *op cit* P. 174
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F5. How to 'do away' with an audience

This aspect of classroom acting behaviour will be pursued throughout the century as a central issue. Harriet Finlay-Johnson draws attention to it early in her book, suggesting that 'display to an audience' and even the 'idea (my underlining) of an audience' should be 'done away with'. She had three strategies: (1) turn them into stage-managers or 'crowds' (2) turn them into critical, note-taking onlookers (3) turn them into co-creators and directors.

To summarise:

Thus it seems that Harriet Finlay-Johnson evolved a kind of acting behaviour that in certain respects might be described as 'traditional Western': it mostly fulfilled a spatial requirement of actors performing in the direction of an audience from a designated 'stage area' [front of the classroom]; the actors mostly learnt their lines, so that performances were to this extent repeatable; casting and scenery followed a 'realistic'

---

91 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) ibid Pp 25 & 54
92 "Doing away with the audience" must have seemed a strange conception to those contemporaries encouraging Drama, such as Miss Gilpin referred to above (P. 15). We shall see that Caldwell Cook sought a degree of active cooperation from the audience, while retaining a Western theatre stance, but that subsequent exponents adopted other methods. For instance, Peter Slade and Brian Way, more than a generation later, evolved a system whereby there was no audience at all and in their professional Children's Theatre work they wove a degree of participation by the audience into the actor's presentation, although the 'spectator' function of the audience was retained. It is interesting that, by the 1960s Jerzy Grotowski, in adult professional theatre was experimenting with similar methods. According to Shomit Mitter [Mitter, S. (1992) Systems of Rehearsal Routledge London, P. 100] "In The Ancestors...they treated the audience as fellow actors by including them in the action. In subsequent productions, situations were created in which the audience had definite roles imposed upon them." Interestingly, as Grotowski became dissatisfied with these experiments, he 'did away' with the audience by harnessing their spectator function: "In Dr. Faustus, the central character had begun by asking the spectators to be his witnesses. A role was still 'imposed' upon the audience but it had been made congruent with their 'natural' role as observers." (P. 101) Perhaps when Grotowski [in an interview included in Towards a Poor Theatre and entitled "The Theatre's New Testament" (1968, translated 1965) Simon & Shuster, Pp 41-2] declares: "It is therefore necessary to abolish the distance between actor and audience by eliminating the stage, removing all frontiers. Let the most drastic scenes happen face to face with the spectator so that he is within arm's reach of the actor. can feel his breathing and smell his perspiration." he could have added, "as in the classrooms of Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Henry Caldwell Cook"! 
convention. In other respects she was breaking with tradition: the acting was a 'channel' for acquired knowledge; the acting, therefore, had a specific purpose - to entertain and instruct; the knowledge became 'owned' by the players through a sequence of researching, selecting, crafting and presenting; the audience, too, were given a function - they had a critical responsibility to improve subsequent repeats.

We will now, in the next chapter, turn to examine the work of her contemporary, Henry Caldwell Cook, but in doing so, it is hoped that further light will be shed on Finlay-Johnson's work and, indeed, her methodology will continue to be a source of reference throughout the study.
SECTION ONE: Chapter Two

Henry Caldwell Cook - (1886 - 1937)

After a brief biographical introduction, this chapter will begin with an analysis of Caldwell Cook's seminal publication using headings derived from the Progressive Movement in education of which he is commonly seen as a representative. Following a summary of his practice, characteristics of the acting behaviour to be found in his classroom will be identified and placed in general categories which may contribute to a final framework of classroom acting behaviour.

A. An introduction - an independent school setting: the English Master

Caldwell Cook was employed\(^1\) as a member of staff at the Perse School in 1911 by a headmaster, Dr. W.H.D. Rouse, who was warmly receptive to this young teacher's ideas. Rouse had already established in the school the 'Direct Method' of teaching Modern and Classical Languages\(^2\). Beacock writes\(^3\):

Thus it was to the right man that Caldwell Cook came, and to an atmosphere suitable for the fulfilment of his hopes. An enthusiastic advocate of dramatic work, he found to his joy that Dr. Rouse believed acting to be one of the most potent methods of learning...

As with Finlay-Johnson, Caldwell Cook's use of dramatisation can only be understood in the fuller context of the deeply-held, revolutionary views of schooling he maintained throughout his career. His romantic image of himself as a 'Playmaster'\(^4\), along with the quaint choice of title for his book, The Play Way, a title which caused some embarrassment to those who wanted to support his views

\(^1\)"Employed" is not quite the right word for, according to his biographer, D.A. Beacock, he declined to accept a salary for the first year
\(^2\)W.H.D. Rouse in Journal of Education, December 1901
\(^4\)Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) The Play Way P. 20
and methods, are but outer trappings of a serious challenge to the traditional image of a schoolmaster and to the educational system in general:

The educational system has in fact not been evolving at all, it has been congealing. And now it has become clogged, stuck fast. The educational system has ceased to be educational.

This 'Playmaster's' career deteriorated towards the end. C.W.E. Peckett, an ex-pupil of Cook's and subsequently headmaster of The Priory School for Boys, Shrewsbury, describes how the new headmaster replacing Rouse, who retired in 1928, closed the Mummery and told Caldwell Cook "to stop all this nonsense". Peckett adds: "Of course he resigned; he couldn't teach conscientiously any other way. He died of an excess of alcohol - who can blame him? - and a broken heart." He suffered a debilitating, nervous illness caused by shell-shock in the Great War, leading to a breakdown in 1933. He was not able to return to teaching again. John Allen, in an unpublished essay, dated approximately 1965, writes: "Henry Caldwell Cook died suddenly in 1937, almost unnoticed".

B. The Play Way: An Essay in Educational Method

There are a number of publications, some in quite recent years, that have included an attempt to explain what Cook meant by his term 'Play Way'. A close

---

5 See, for example, Sir John Adams [Modern Developments in Educational Practice (1922) ULP] who is concerned (Pp 205-6) that the title of Cook's publication misleads likely readers in respect both of staging school plays and avoidance of hard work.


7 Books published on the teaching of Drama that have given some attention to Caldwell Cook:

- Hodgson, John (Ed.) (1972) The Uses of Drama Eyre Methuen London pp. 145-155 (This includes a lengthy excerpt from The Play Way)
investigation will reveal that Cook's expression is made up of a complexity of meanings, which together make his contribution to education unique. It is not possible to understand the status of his dramatic work and the kind of acting that took place in his classroom without a grasp of his broader conception of what education is about and how he played a part in the Progressive movement of his day. It will be necessary, therefore, to examine the philosophy of his practice in some detail. For the sake of clarity, the discussion will be conducted under separate headings, isolating those characteristics of his Play Way concept which coincide with the 'Progressive' Education Movement of the period: 'action', 'freedom', 'individuality', and 'self-government'.

B1. Four 'Progressive' Concepts in Caldwell Cook's ideology

B1i. The 'Play Way' as Present Action

Caldwell Cook made a number of attempts at explaining what he meant by 'Play Way'. Following Froebel, he proposes that "boys and girls of the upper school should have as much play as the infants in the kindergarten". Early in his book he

Unpublished theses referring to Cook in some detail include:
Bowgett, David Richard (1996) "Teachers' Perceptions as to the nature and Practice of Primary Classroom Drama" unpublished Ph.D thesis University of Leeds

Publications on the teaching of English, making reference to the influence of Caldwell Cook
Parry, Christopher (1972) English Through Drama Cambridge Uni Press

9Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 4. Fleming (1982 op cit P. 40) raises the question of whether
appears to support the commonly held view that child play is a form of practice, a preparation for adult life: "It would not be wise", Caldwell Cook writes, "to send a child innocent into the big world...But it is possible to hold rehearsals, to try our strength in a make-believe big world. And that is Play."11

His 'rehearsal' metaphor lies uneasily with his later definition, for Caldwell Cook goes on to express faith in personal engagement as a factor in learning: "...by Play I mean the doing anything one knows with one's heart in it. The final appreciation in life and in study is to put oneself into the thing studied and to live there active. And that is Playing."12 The italics are Caldwell Cook's; such emphasis on 'doing' and 'active' and 'following one's heart' suggests a full engagement with the present rather than practice for the future. Indeed, much as he concurred with the message of Holmes' What is and What Might Be13 with its appeal for a swing in education from the 'Path of Mechanical Obedience' to the 'Path of Self-Realisation', his instinct as a practising teacher led him to see the pathway, not merely as the means of reaching a goal, but as the goal itself14. As Cook put it, "The claim here put forward is not for the destination, but chiefly for the journey"15 and that we should give our attention "to what is usually called the means, and make that our end"16. He reasserts the point. "The world goes on, and the life of each individual with it, not in telling what has to be done, nor in saying what remains to do, but in the

Cook’s reliance on 'Play' stemmed from a "more deep-seated theoretical understanding of the relevance of play to the learning of the child" or whether he saw it as a useful classroom technique, guaranteeing "application and interest on the part of the pupil". My conclusion is that the latter is nearer to Cook's position: he sees classroom learning as 'playful'. 10

10 See, for example the theoretical writings of Karl Groos, The Play of Man, translated by Elizabeth L. Baldwin, Heinemann (1901)
11 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 1
12 Cook, Caldwell, C. (1917) ibid P. 17
13 Holmes, E. (1911) op cit
14 In this he echoes John Dewey who wrote: "Education, therefore, is a process of living and not a preparation for future living" Dewey, John "My Pedagogic Creed" reproduced in Education Today, (1941) Geo Allen & Unwin, P. 6
15 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 8
16 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 74
present doing of present deeds.\textsuperscript{17} Such a philosophy, borne out by his practice, appears to deny a view of education as 'rehearsing'.

B1ii. The Play Way as Freedom

Having argued that education is in the 'doing', Caldwell Cook feels impelled to affirm that pupil 'Play' activity must be seen as something more than an amusing way of learning. According to Caldwell Cook, it is a way of freeing the imagination, so that the deepest levels of a person can be "brought into play"\textsuperscript{18}.

'Freeing' children to learn is a key part of the conceptual luggage of the 'Progressives'. Typically, Montessori claimed that "The fundamental principle of scientific pedagogy must be, indeed, the liberty of the pupil; - such liberty as shall permit a development of individual, spontaneous manifestations of the child's nature."\textsuperscript{19}

Caldwell Cook's view of himself as a 'Playmaster' seems to fit another view of 'freedom' popular with his fellow reformists: a freedom from restraint, in such matters as discipline, arranging classroom furniture and choice of curriculum. Caldwell Cook's approach could be described as non-traditional in all these matters, although he was not as radical a 'Progressive' as his contemporary Homer Lane\textsuperscript{20}, for example, who was prepared to tolerate confusion and chaos as necessary channels to self-discipline.

Some confusion appears to show itself when Caldwell Cook describes the need for a teacher to be a "necessary part of the scheme", and at the "very centre", and then, appearing to correct himself, he adds: "...or, better still, he is at the

\textsuperscript{17}Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) \textit{ibid} P. 9
\textsuperscript{18}Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) \textit{ibid} P. 26
\textsuperscript{19}Montessori, Maria (1912 - translated 1919) \textit{The Montessori Method} William Heinemann, London P. 28
\textsuperscript{20}See Bazely, E.T. (1928) \textit{Homer Lane and the Little Commonwealth} Allen & Unwin or Neill, A.S. (1962) \textit{A Radical Approach to Education} Gollanz
circumference."\textsuperscript{21} This apparent contradiction is perhaps explained by the subtle nature of this teacher's relationship with his classes. It is not, for Cook, a choice of either imposing or letting go, but rather, a conception, both sophisticated and paradoxical, of always being present by appearing to be absent, or as he elegantly phrases it: "...an influence continuously operative, though not constantly assertive."\textsuperscript{22}

Although Caldwell Cook saw the necessity at times of giving instructions, for the most part, he allowed the pupils to choose how they wanted to work, and how they wanted to evaluate each others' work, while taking it upon himself to decide what material to introduce to them. Any hint of compromise with the excesses of Progressivism should not, however, diminish his image as a passionate believer in his own methods. The teacher, according to Caldwell Cook, must have "a genuine interest in the play"\textsuperscript{23}, joining in with the pupils' interests\textsuperscript{24} "...honestly and heartily, not with any idea of amusing the boys, but because he is of like passions with them."\textsuperscript{25}

B I iii. The Play Way and Individuality

'Individuality' may well have been the "supreme educational end"\textsuperscript{26} of the Progressive movement, and yet it is in relation to this particular concept that it is possible to detect a departure from Progressivism in Caldwell Cook's approach. This apparent defection, it should be said, is not something of which he or his contemporaries appeared to be aware. Although Caldwell Cook at times appears to be taking a typically 'progressive' stance in respecting 'the individual': "A master must of course understand boys. But it is not enough for him to understand boys in

\textsuperscript{21}Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 31
\textsuperscript{22}Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 31
\textsuperscript{23}Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 36
\textsuperscript{24}It should be noted that the Perse school was a 'boarding school' with most of the boys and teachers resident
\textsuperscript{25}Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 37
\textsuperscript{26}Ballard, P. B. (1925) The Changing School ULP P. 201
a general way. He must know the particular boys now under his guidance..."27, it is noticeable that he fails to talk about getting to know each individual. Rather, it appears to be the teacher's responsibility to get to know 'particular boys' (in the plural), as though it is a particular group, rather than its individual members, that is to be understood. An examination of Caldwell Cook's writing reveals that although occasionally he refers to 'the child', he is more comfortable with the 'group' references: 'the class'; the 'form'; the 'Littlemen'; 'boys'; 'the players; 'the playboys'. Such a usage of collective nouns in the mouths of traditional teachers often denies a recognition of pupils as separate persons, but for Caldwell Cook the terms imply 'co-operative' rather than 'collective'28:

Although the members of a class are seldom enough treated as individuals, it is even more rare to find a class treated as a conscious group. The boys are either addressed collectively, or they are set to do each version of the same task separately. It is an excellent plan to treat the class, whenever possible, as a body of workers [my italics] collaborating.

Historians of twentieth century education have had little difficulty in identifying the principal ideals and associated concepts that have qualified pioneers for the designation 'progressive', and to varying degrees such historians have given some prominence to the part played by Henry Caldwell Cook in the 'Progressive Movement29, but it is worth reiterating the point, already made in connection with Harriet Finlay-Johnson, that the key notion of 'collaboration within a group', of a class as 'a body of workers' and of inter-dependence in learning has not, it seems, been given much practical attention30. Selleck 31 while listing the concepts

---

27Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 37
28Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 37
29For instance, Sir John Adams, the Professor of Education, University of London, R.J.W. Selleck, School of Education. University of Melbourne and W.A.C. Stewart, Professor of Education, Institute of Education, Keele University refer in their publications to Cook's contribution to the progressive Idealism.
30The image of a class of children as "a body of workers" had already entered some educational literature, albeit as part of an expression of idealistic hope rather than an account of known practice. For instance, J.J. Findlay, Professor of Education at Manchester University is drawing on the model presented by the Scout Movement when, in 1911, he fancifully writes: "Thus the class of a primary school re-shapes itself to our imagination not so much as a group of individuals
commonly associated with the progressive movement, such as 'individuality', 'freedom' and 'growth' etc., makes no mention of group collaboration.

Bliv. The Play Way and Self-Government

Caldwell Cook was interested in the few experiments of self-government in schooling already begun when he started teaching. He refers in his book both to the 'Junior Republics' in North America and to the school, 'The Little Commonwealth', for delinquent boys recently opened in Dorset by Homer Lane, an American, who became its first headmaster in 1913.

The American model of 'self-government' gained support from and indeed may have derived from the writings of the great American philosopher and educationist, John Dewey. It is not difficult to identify in Caldwell Cook's approach to teaching three of the basic tenets of Dewey's educational philosophy, described, for example, in Democracy and Education published in 1916, a year before Caldwell Cook's own publication. Dewey advocates a method of teaching which sets up "a genuine situation of experience" in which there is "continuous activity." Dewey envisages a form of democracy in the classroom that "repudiates the principle of external authority" and is "a mode of associated living" in which each member "has to refer his own action to that of others to give point and direction to his own." Dewey's ideal also includes a heretical view of the teacher/pupil relationship: "In such shared activity, the teacher is a learner, and the learner is, without knowing it, a teacher - and upon the whole, the less consciousness there is, on either side, of

sitting at separate desks, each imbibing instruction for himself from a teacher or a book, but rather as a hive of busy workers ..." (Findlay, J.J. (1911) The School: An introduction to the study of Education Williams & Norgate London, P. 243). It should perhaps be noted that whereas Findlay's 'busy workers' metaphor is drawn from bees Finlay-Johnson's and Cook's usage could be drawn from field or factory.

31. Selleck, R.J.W. (1972) op cit P. 59
32. Lane, Homer (1928) Talks to Parents and Teachers Allen & Unwin London
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giving or receiving instruction, the better." These three educational ideals: active, experiential learning; democratic responsibility; and the conception of teaching and learning as a partnership between pupil and teacher characterise Caldwell Cook's practice - as they did Harriet Finlay-Johnson's. What is missing from Dewey, however, is any persistent support for dramatisation.36

It is not the use of dramatisation in itself that prompts Caldwell Cook to label his approach 'Play Way'; the use of drama was not critical to his concept. He envisaged all senior school teachers applying the methods of the kindergarten to their subjects. He saw dramatisation as but one of a number of possible 'action' approaches peculiarly useful to the teaching of Literature or History only. According to Professor Adams, Caldwell Cook wisely stands apart from Finlay-Johnson who does indeed recommend "the dramatic representation in all subjects".37

More important then to Caldwell Cook than his cherished concepts of 'freedom', 'activity' and 'self-expression' is the notion that schooling should be conducted as a microcosm of society: "...a school must be as far as possible a little State in itself... [Cook's italics]..."38 Any classroom dramatisation had to submit to rules of procedure, election of officials, a system of rewards and punishments, and the right

36By curious coincidence John Dewey and Evelyn, his wife, in their joint publication, Schools of Tomorrow (1915) J.M.Dent & Sons Ltd., London and Toronto, record the progressive teaching of another Mrs. Johnson (a contemporary of England's Harriet Finlay-Johnson) of Fairhope, Alabama. Their interest and appreciation fall far short of Edmond Holmes' eulogy of Harriet Finlay-Johnson. The Deweys merely refer to drama's contribution to "the physical well-being of the children", P. 31 and its connection with story-telling. "...without directions from the teacher, a class will act out a whole story. such as The Fall of Troy, or any tale that has appealed especially to their dramatic imagination" P. 36. The Deweys do not attempt to spell out either the specific value of such an exercise or describe what actually occurs. It may be the first use in print of the term 'dramatic imagination'. but they do not see it as important enough to expand on
37Adams, John (1922) Modern Developments in Education op cit P. 205
38Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 357
of free-speech. Hence Caldwell Cook's 'The Junior Republic of Form 11b' or, less politically, 'Littlemen' and 'Ilonds'. Typically, Cook writes:

In 11b (average age under twelve) it chanced appropriately in connexion with our reading of "Le Morte D'Arthur" that certain boys should be knighted for single deeds of prowess or for general renown. Thus it happened that a certain six came to be known as The Knightly Guard. There was the Knight Captain, who held supreme sway, while the rest divided among them the control of the homework and the desks, and those other cares with which a Knight could be charged. In this form the officers have a fuller responsibility. A Knight of the Guard holds a daily wapenshaw to assure himself that all have fit and ready their equipment of pens, ink and paper; and the Knight Captain marshals his men orderly from one room to another.

This quotation may appear merely to be reiterating the point about self-government, but it seems that Caldwell Cook was offering his boys, as we have seen Finlay-Johnson offered her pupils, an 'alternative culture'. Whereas Finlay-Johnson's approach provided no more than a fictitious 'game' that granted a licence to their dramatisation, Caldwell Cook’s 'alternative culture' was more deeply concerned with identity. The Play Way approach endows the pupils with a long-term, collective identity. This, above all, is its distinctive feature.

B2. Caldwell Cook the English Master - a summary of his classroom practice

The kind of titles Caldwell Cook has chosen for the chapters of his major publication, The Play Way, are indicators of where his priorities lay as a teacher of English. After introductory chapters discussing his 'Play Way' philosophy and

---

39 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 60
40 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 151
41 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 65
42 Cook also published pamphlets entitled Perse Playbooks [1912] Nos. 1-6, Heffer & Sons Cambridge, which included a brief theoretical statement about his methods and examples of verse and playlets written by his pupils. These examples of pupil literary invention were to interest Marjorie Hourd, a Grammar School teacher and teacher-trainer of some considerable standing, who, as we shall see in Chapter 5, quoted from them liberally in her own book [The Education of the Poetic Spirit (1949) Heinemann London]. He also contributed a series of articles to the New Age journal in 1914. Other minor publications include Homework and Hobbyhorses (1921) Batsford, Littleman's Book of Courtesy (undated) Dent, and Two Plays from the Perse School (1921) Heffer, Cambridge
principles, he gives the following headings to his chapters: "Littleman Lectures" in which he describes the eleven year old boys' endeavours with oral composition, attention being given to content, style, wit, grammar, figures of speech and quality of speech; "Ilonds and Chap-Books" in which the drawing by the pupils of their own imaginary islands (Caldwell Cook was impressed by Stevenson's use of this stimulus for Treasure Island\(^{43}\) and from which sprang stories, poems and further drawings, all being recorded in the boy's personal note-book ('chap'-book);

"Playtown", an account of an out-of-school (most of the boys lived-in) activity for a chosen few boys involving the building in the back-yard to Caldwell Cook's own house in the school grounds of a large-scale model countryside with river, railway, bridge, farm, hostel, market-place etc. and then its citizens, designated characters about whom stories could be built; "Acting Shakespeare in the Classroom"\(^{44}\) is about how to introduce dramatisation to boys through the use of stories and ballads - "dramatising almost everything we read"\(^{45}\), as Cook put it, before advancing to acting Shakespeare; "Miming and the Ballads", a chapter giving instruction in conventional signing and in the telling of anecdotes or the representation of a ballad through gestures; and "Play-making" in which the boys create their own drama, either by representing 'real-life' (often too "cheap and sensational" according to Caldwell Cook\(^{46}\)), or by using literary sources.

The above chapter headings alone must have mystified and even shocked traditional teachers of English. Indeed Cook seems to be determined to offend his fellow professionals. He pokes fun at a fellow English master at Rugby School whose enthusiasm for teaching a Shakespearean text is unmistakable in spite of

\(^{43}\)Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 154

\(^{44}\)A footnote on Page 183 runs as follows: "This chapter should have been on "Acting in the Classroom." but in writing it I found that apart from matters in connexion with Shakespeare, there was very little of importance to say, which is not dealt with in the Chapters on 'Miming' and on 'Playmaking.' After all, if you can act Shakespeare you can act anything, and if you cannot act even Shakespeare you might as well sit down again."

\(^{45}\)Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 183

\(^{46}\)Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 270
Caldwell Cook's cynicism. The author of "Notes on the Teaching of English in the Lower Middles"\textsuperscript{47}, notes written with enthusiasm and wit, is ridiculed by Caldwell Cook to a point of caricature\textsuperscript{48}. The Rugby English master's besetting sin was, apparently, to recommend that before the 'parts' are assigned for the reading round the class of a play, the text should be minutely examined by the boys. Using the metaphor of 'the huntsman' the Rugby teacher suggests that after a lively reading of some twenty or thirty lines by the 'huntsman'\textsuperscript{49}:

The meaning is examined: dug out of the words, torn out of the idioms, enticed out of the allusions. Every bush is beaten, and hares started up, whether historical, mythological, moral, geographical, political, etymological, architectural, or ecclesiastical, are pursued, and, if possible, caught.

Such intellectual dissection, even seen as a 'fun-of-the-hunt' pursuit, is anathema to Cook to whom the meaning of a play-text lies in action. That the Rugby master intends that, after this close analysis by his boys, the play should be "read dramatically with any amount of coaching in emphasis and inflexion by the master...", fails to impress Caldwell Cook, for it is too late: "As well hand over your dog to be hanged, drawn and quartered, tarred and feathered, and then whistle him out to run!" Not only too late, but inappropriate, for Caldwell Cook knew that 'dramatic reading' for most teachers of English, including the Rugby master, implies "boys reading in turn while seated in their desks." He goes on: "I insist that to ignore action is to ignore the play. A book in hand is not a very serious impediment to a boy who has the chance to stab someone or to storm a city wall."\textsuperscript{50}

This was not, for Caldwell Cook, merely a matter of methodological preference, but a fundamental belief in the possibility of pupils' finding the true meaning of a

\textsuperscript{47}Cook supplies the date, 1914, but no further reference
\textsuperscript{48}Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 195-6
\textsuperscript{49}This excerpt from the Rugby Master's Notes is quoted by Cook [1917] on P. 195 of The Play Way)
\textsuperscript{50}Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 196
text (Shakespeare, in particular, but he treated ballads, myths and legends in the same way) from inside the action. In the next section of this thesis chapter, in which an analysis will be attempted of the different forms of dramatisation employed by Caldwell Cook, this question of the relationship between action and appreciation will reappear as an issue for discussion.

B2i. An analysis of Cook's use of dramatisation in the teaching of Literature
Early in his book he sums up his method with the word 'make': doing drama is making a play and the way to go about it is to get on and do it. "You must fall straight away upon the actual work, and you will find out what you are doing as you go along."51 The pupils' 'making' was most often linked with their reading: "We early formed a habit of dramatizing almost everything we read"52, but it becomes evident as Cook goes into his methods in more detail that 'falling in' to the drama was not entirely haphazard. His warning: "The thing must be acted extempore in the classroom. But unless you happen to have a special knack of casting stories into dramatic form you may find yourself in difficulties at the very start."53, reveals his strength as a teacher-artist: his interest essentially lies in dramatic form and it is this aspect of art that he set out to teach from the very beginning with his young pupils. "But at first I used to give the boys suggestions of a scheme of action. This was a very simple aid, but without it the boys never would have found the acting successful."54

He goes on to give an instance of how he unhesitatingly interferes: "But the master intervenes. This is not the way to tell a story dramatically. They are in too much a hurry to reach the climax."55 He explains to the boys about to 'make' their Beowolf play how to lead up to the arrival of Beowolf by having earlier scenes building the

51Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 20  
52Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 183  
53Cook. Caldwell (1917) ibid P. 183  
54Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 183  
55Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 185
Hall Heriot and, with some irony, celebrating this achievement, ignorant of Grendel's imminent attack. Typically, Cook notes: "...when your playboys become expert in acting and play-making they will, at such a juncture as this, interpolate a comic scene in which the builders rag one another and make comments upon life in general. This interpolated comic scene is of course borrowed from Shakespeare as instanced in the porter in "Macbeth" and the grave-digger in "Hamlet". Cook continually draws on Shakespeare as a principal source for learning about dramatic structure: "One is not born with a working knowledge of playmaking and dramatic conventions. We have learnt all we know in this kind from Shakespeare. The best way to make a start in classroom acting is to take a play of Shakespeare and act it. The boys will there find everything is set down for them in the book.".

Clearly this 'Play Way' approach to 'playmaking' is not a free-for-all romp but a serious harnessing of dramatic structure. Furthermore, Cook demands not just a literary understanding of dramatic form, but a grasp of the physical structure of the Elizabethan stage. Thus the pupils are to experience a 'double refraction' of the material they are handling: they are to adapt the material of the Beowulf story in a way that satisfies (1) dramatic form as opposed to narrative form and (2) architectural constrictions as opposed to a 'real life' presentation. Even in, or rather, as we shall see, especially in the enactment of Shakespeare, Cook requires this conscious 'distancing' from the performers. The pupils are to respond to Shakespeare's juxtaposition of scenes and, further, they are to adapt their acting to fit an imaginary Elizabethan stage: "All the while the boys are playing Shakespeare in the classroom they consider themselves subject to most of the conditions of an Elizabethan playhouse stage.". Thus, in acting Macbeth, their goal is not to recreate the atmosphere of Glamis Castle, but to achieve an authentic

---

56 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 184
57 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 185
58 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 190
representation of the original staging of Glamis. His argument is that to get to the heart of a play it is necessary that "...you get some knowledge of the conditions in relation to which this particular play was wrought actable."60

Whereas most of the material dramatised was drawn from legends, epic poems or plays, Cook occasionally (and, one feels, against his better judgement) permits his pupils to make up their own plays from "...the incidents of everyday life." Even here the material is to be subjected to literary and architectural constraints. The most casual adventure is to match Shakespeare's example in the selection of scenes; scenes are to be plotted to fit a supposed Elizabethan stage; and the language to be poetic in spirit although not necessarily in verse. It is a pity Cook does not supply the reader with sample texts, illustrating a style that is "poetic in spirit".

Cook's reasons are as instructive as they are clear. He argues that "a conscious pursuit of realism is inadvisable for boys," because it is "beyond their powers" to represent "things as they are", because it fails to teach the boys about theatre conventions and because it neglects boys' "ready comprehension of a romantic theme" and their "fitly imaginative treatment of it." Thus it seems that not only is the dramatic action to be conventionalised, the content is to be romanticised. It has already been pointed out in the introduction to Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Henry

---

59Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 190 [Authentic, in so far as Elizabethan staging was understood in Cook's time]
60Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 204
61Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 271
62Cook suggests: "They will be too apt to lay the scene in the cellar of a London bank, or in a Wild West canon, or in the boarding-house of a public school: and to choose for their protagonist a detective, or a bushranger, or one of those caricatures of boyhood who strut and fret their hour in magazines written for schoolboys, and then heard no more." [Cook, Caldwell (1917) ibid P. 267]
63Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 272
64Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 271-2. Such a view is in marked contrast to that published across the Atlantic a few years earlier by Alice Minnie Herts. who writes: "Give the boy of 15 his chance to play a thief...he will usually experience all he wants of stealing and killing". (Herts, A.M. [1911] The Children's Educational Theatre Harper & Brothers)
Caldwell Cook\textsuperscript{65} that an aspect of Progressivism was its search for the Romantic. Cook's avoidance of realism may also derive from his intuitive understanding as an artist educator.

C. Characteristics of the acting behaviour in Caldwell Cook's classroom

In attempting, in the last chapter, to analyse Harriet Finlay-Johnson's perception of the acting behaviour of her pupils it was possible to detect an ambivalence in her attitude to the need for the presence of an audience, although it was finally argued that most, if not all of the acting in and out of her classroom was dependent on some kind of audience function, which, it seemed, varied from that of critical observer, through a spectator role, to that of an active director function. A curious hybrid activity was also noted in which the process of spontaneous interaction by the participants in their 'game', was concurrently treated by onlookers as a product to be reshaped into something performable. It will be seen that acting in Cook's classroom veered more towards a stage performance, in the sense that his actors did not see themselves as purveyors of curriculum knowledge and the audience more often adopted a traditional audience stance, but he eschewed the fashionable realism of the times.

Cook taught some lessons in the Mummery\textsuperscript{66} and others in the regular school classroom. A visitor\textsuperscript{67} to the School gives a description of a typical lesson he observed in the Mummery with the 'First Year's':

\textsuperscript{65}See pages 12-14 above.
\textsuperscript{66}In his first year of teaching, Cook day-dreamed about building a theatre in the school itself, but a public appeal did not attract enough money, so in 1914 the Governors of the School bought the house next door and allowed Cook to redesign two of the downstairs rooms along the lines of the original Swan Theatre. Cook called this large room "The Mummery". For details of the design, based, of course, on the architecture of an Elizabethan Theatre, see Beacock D.A. (1943) ibid Pp 46-7. Although Cook makes many references to professional theatre he curiously makes no mention of the relatively new Madder Market Theatre also designed along Elizabethan lines and opened in 1911 in Norwich with the intention of performing Shakespearian plays in an authentic style. That the Madder Market Theatre was an amateur group employing professional actors in the lead may have placed it outside Cook's field of interest.
\textsuperscript{67}An account is given by the visitor (not named) in Beacock. D.A. (1942) op cit Chapter VI, Pp
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At the next lesson Caldwell Cook outlined the method of play-reading that he was going to adopt. He gave each boy a part in the play, with a few words of description about it, and even assigned the soldiers and messengers, that all might run smoothly. He detailed one boy to make a complete list of the characters and the boys acting them, and this was pinned on the Mummery door. He then told the boys that they would read their part on the stage, clad in appropriate costume, while acting to the best of their ability.

...The introduction over, the curtains were drawn across the windows, the stage lighting was turned on, and the play began. From now onwards Caldwell Cook himself vanished into the background and the lessons, to my amazement, seemed to run themselves. An occasional correction of pronunciation, or comment on the grouping of the characters was all there was to show that he was still in the room.

Between lessons boys were required to learn their lines, ready to be plunged further into the theatrical environment of spotlights, drawn-curtains, swords, arrasses and thrones. The focus of their attention is on 'making a play', with all that implies of pleasing an audience. Mostly that audience was just the rest of the class, usually busy with sound-effects and other forms of stage-managing, and the master. Together, Cook claimed, the boys can "...revel in din and clash and horrors, and learn to appreciate literature in its highest form...".

Leaving aside the effect of this invitation to bring "din and clash and horrors" into the classroom on the average schoolmaster of the day, it is worth questioning Cook's assumption that such raucous activity leads to an appreciation of "literature in its highest form". This point has already been touched on in relation to Harriet Finlay-Johnson's own observation that "however crude the action" it has value to

---

52-67
68 One of Cook's ex-pupils, D.W.Hughes, in Beacock's publication writes vividly: "For this was not a book to be studied, but a play to be acted. We wore cloaks and hats, we flourished swords and daggers, and the play escaped from the bondage of the print in which it was confined and became again the darling child of Shakespeare's fancy."
69 Cook Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 218
70 See Footnote on Page 30 above.
the child. Visitors, including those from the Inspectorate\textsuperscript{71}, to both schools were impressed with the pupils' achievements in literary appreciation. From the evidence, it cannot be doubted that the method worked, and yet there are arguments that do indeed cast doubt on a faith in juvenile recitation of text accompanied by crude action as an entry into the meaning of a play. Such an argument is put forward forcefully by W.S. Tomkinson\textsuperscript{72}, a contemporary of Cook and also a distinguished teacher of English.

Mr. Tomkinson is not convinced by the enthusiasts for what he calls "the Dramatic Method"\textsuperscript{73}. He is particularly suspicious of the presentation of Shakespeare: "A dramatic presentation of Shakespeare focuses attention primarily on the action, and not on the poetry.\textsuperscript{74} One cannot help but feel that this bald remark is intended as a personal attack on Caldwell Cook as much as for the general reader, and yet Tomkinson appears to share with Caldwell Cook a vision of the teacher as an artist embarking on the reform of oral expression; but for Tomkinson oral expression should not include dramatisation. "There is no danger of the Dramatic Method being over-looked in present-day practice\textsuperscript{75} he comments somewhat ruefully. He goes on: "The real danger, as it appears to me, lies in the teacher's forgetfulness of its pitfalls and limitations."

\textsuperscript{71}The extent of the support of the Chief Inspector for Schools, Edmond Holmes, of Finlay-Johnson's approach has already been discussed but an examination of the unpublished report (Ref: S.B. 5081/13 F.I. 1922) by HMI T.W. Phillips on Mr. Cook's work, reveals a heavily qualified respect for Caldwell Cook's achievements. For example, in one paragraph he writes: "It is clear that the character of the work is such as to appeal particularly to boys in the years preceding adolescence, and it is therefore important that a special care be taken not to carry it to too late a stage. A boy may suddenly become sceptical about it all, in which case it does him more harm than good. There seemed to be some indication that this actually happens at the present time."

\textsuperscript{72}Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) The Teaching of English OUP

\textsuperscript{73}Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) ibid P. 45 [1928 edition]

\textsuperscript{74}Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) ibid P. 48. Tomkinson has on an earlier page begun his chapter on Practice in Speech with this curious put-down of Cook (Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) ibid P. 19 [1928 ed]): "There were brave men before Agamemnon: and brave speech reformers before Mr. Caldwell Cook."

\textsuperscript{75}Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) ibid P. 45
This may be the first appearance in any publication of an attempt seriously to challenge the efficacy of the 'dramatic method'. Tomkinson's reference above to the reductionist effect of a dramatic presentation of Shakespeare should not be taken merely as his disapproval of a particular method with a difficult text but rather as part of a fundamental belief he holds relating to drama as an inadequate vehicle for the presentation of truth and reality. It will be necessary in this thesis to return from time to time to re-examine the grounds on which such an indictment of the art might be made.

Tomkinson justifies his position by citing the use of the Dramatic Method to teach History. He concedes the point that the only way the true meaning of a past event can be brought into the classroom is indeed through Art, but the Art of Narrative or Poetry, not Drama. For Tomkinson, Drama is an inappropriate art form and he offers a convincing anecdote to support his case:

Let the teacher make an experiment. Let him take such a piece of writing as Stanley's Murder of Becket, or Napier's Death... and read it to his class... The effect, if the reading is good and emotional, will be impressive... Now present the scene dramatically. Becket's murder (I take the subject of my own experiment) will be received by actors and audience alike with undisguised amusement. The atmosphere - and good history teaching is largely the presentment of correct atmosphere - will be falsified and debased. Imagination, by which alone we possess the past, will be overpowered by the crude realism of history in action. In grasping at the substance you have even lost the shadow.

Tomkinson is drawing a conclusion here from his own practice that the amateurish dramatising of an event, whether it be from History or from Literature actually diminishes the 'substance', by concentrating on the least important dimension of its meaning: crude action.

---

76 By contrast The Committee Report on The Teaching of English in England published in the same year (1921) gives strong support to what it calls 'Drama in Education', but it is also aware of the difficulties in introducing Shakespeare to young pupils Pp 309-328.
77 Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) ibid P. 46
78 Such a view echoes that of Harley Granville-Barker, the distinguished British theatre director and entrepreneur, [Granville-Barker, Harley (1946) The Uses of Drama T. & A. Constable,
As if anticipating his critics, Cook reasserts his belief with emphasis: "...it is not generally recognised that by letting them act the plays from the beginning you make it possible for boys under fifteen to appreciate some of the most difficult and moving passages of tragedy. To know this as a fact surely gives great support to my belief that a true feeling for art values may be expected to arise out of the trial practice of the arts." (Cook's italics). He goes on to give two instances of supreme achievement. A 14 year old boy's reading/acting of 'Hamlet' Cook describes as follows:

Hamlet began the scene with an air of assumed madness, snapping out the words in a high-pitched voice. But with "Come, come, and sit you down," his whole bearing changed to suit his altered purpose. He became outwardly calm, but spoke in a tense voice full of restrained excitement. Just that voice, in fact, which so frightened the queen that she cried out on murder...The boys all watching in breathless interest. No one moved in his seat...A change from pathos in "This was your husband," to contempt in "This is your husband" - no easy thing for a boy to express - was very effective, and the tone in "Ha! have you eyes?" rose to a kind of shriek, which seemed to make clear once and for all that the madness of Hamlet was neither real madness nor assumed, but hysteria....

The other boys (at the end of the scene) remained sitting and no one spoke a word. The atmosphere showed that no comment was needed, so I praised it as the finest piece of work I had ever seen in the school; and the class dispersed.

It is not Cook's way to isolate, as he has done here, a particular boy's performance; usually he sees the work as a collaborative effort. However, he was obviously keen to give the reader a picture of the best that could be achieved. Cook is seeing some kind of perfection here. His readers are left to ask whether for him it lies in the

---

Edinburgh]. He too had reservations about school children's and amateur participation in what he saw as professional territory. Granville-Barker, however, was discussing public performances; Cook and Wilkinson are disagreeing about classroom practice.

79Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 197-8
technique of the performance, in a young actor struggling to convey the meaning of the text, or in a pupil's personal discovery of a truth in the text - or a combination of these. Quality of acting is not something an author can convey for the reader: he can only convey his response to it. Such an attempt, as has been seen with Harriet Finlay-Johnson in her description of 'Rosalind' and 'Celia', is barely in keeping with either author's overall principles. Nevertheless it is a form of evidence that Cook's own emphasis on finding the action of the plays did not deny the pupils access to deeper meanings within the text.

One wonders why Tomkinson, also a gifted teacher, could not cite similar examples from his own classroom. Many teachers will respond to Tomkinson's and others' reservations about the superficiality wrought by the "din and clash and horrors" of mere activity. It may be, therefore, that there is a dimension of Cook's teaching that is missing for other proficient members of the profession. Some might suggest that it is his genius; it seems to be commonly accepted that he was an exceptional teacher. That he stood alone led HMI Phillips to conclude his 1922 report with "...it would be very dangerous for teachers to be encouraged to visit the School with the idea that they will find there something that they might and should imitate. That being so, it seems clear that if an application is ever made for an Art grant for this experimental work, it would be well for the Board not to entertain it."

Having one's work dismissed as distinguished but too idiosyncratic to be useful to others may be a slight that most pioneer teachers suffer. If Cook's success lies in

---

80 See P. 32 above.
81 D.W. Hughes, an ex-student of Cook, writes in Beacock's book "...that Caldwell Cook was a genius, in the true sense of that overworked word. I have not the slightest doubt." Beacock D.A. (1943) op cit P. 114. Dr. W.D. Rouse, the headmaster who employed him, in writing the Foreword of Beacock's book wrote: "He was a true genius, and simply bubbled over with new ideas, all brilliant. Visitors thronged to see his work, and he welcomed all. I miss him profoundly, and he will never fade from my memory".
82 Ref: S.B. 5081/13 F.I. 1922 op cit
83 For example, Dorothy Heathcote has suffered this kind of dismissal.
his methodology rather than, or as well as, in his genius, then there is a chance that we can learn how, for example, a boy of 14 can reach a stage of being able to give a moving and intelligent performance of the scene between 'Hamlet' and his 'Mother' after the pupil concerned had merely "read over the scene beforehand" and had seen "Mr. Poel's production at the Little Theatre". If this represented a final stage in Cook's hands (it took place during the pupil's 'final year' with Cook), one might wonder what earlier elements in training the youth led to that kind of success. It is not enough to say, for instance, that Cook's enthusiasm and love for Shakespeare won over a group of young boys who wanted to please their English master.

A return to the argument put forward earlier, related to the filtering of the text through the architectural frame of an Elizabethan stage may, in part, account for the apparent accessibility for Cook's pupils of a difficult text. Cook writes: "...the most remarkable fact about Shakespeare's skill in stage-craft is the way he tells his actors at every important moment exactly what he wants them to do. Could anything be at once more interesting for the boy-players to notice and so helpful to them in their acting of plays? The mister in charge has only to read the book with care to find all the directions literally waiting for him." He continues later: "He who has not tried putting himself and his players entirely into Shakespeare's hands, and playing all his games exactly as he directs they should be played, has missed half the fun so generously given by this amazing craftsman."

---

84Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 198
85'Mister' is one of Cook's designations for the boy whose turn it is to be in charge of lesson procedures: "The word "official" did not please us long, and none of the words in common use, such as "monitor," "prefect," "captain," "director," "manager," seemed to us fitly to describe the boy-official-in-charge-of-the-lesson., so I introduced the word Mister" Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 206.
86Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 206
87Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 208
Engaging with Shakespeare, then, is to be a 'game', a 'treasure hunt' for the hidden clues. For some readers this may appear to be no more than sugaring the pill of an unpalatable exercise. It is conceivable, however, that Cook had found, perhaps intuitively, a method of teaching that involves a deliberate use of refocusing, so that the immediate, explicit problem for the learner is transformed from an intellectual one into a practical one: the meaning of the text becomes in the eyes of the pupils 'merely' a matter of 'theatrical staging'; its other complexities remain unacknowledged, unspecified, unspoken and yet open to being engaged with subconsciously.

So far this section of the chapter has attempted to identify characteristics of Cook's methodology that could account for his spectacular success in getting young boys to perform well as actors. It has been suggested that Cook's insistence on his boys' learning about dramatic structure for application even to the most trivial material gave the boys a sense of achievement and stimulated them to know more about the dramatist's craft. It has also been argued that Cook's indirect way of teaching, emphasising the practicalities of Elizabethan staging rather than the sacredness of the text inverted the normal priorities in getting pupils to engage with Shakespearean verse. There is yet another dimension which uniquely defines Cook's approach to acting in the classroom.

In discussing Cook's philosophy of Play Way the conclusion was reached\(^88\) that the most distinctive and critical feature of Cook's concept of 'Playway' was that his notion of schooling as a 'little state' gave pupils a collective identity. Thus everything the pupils did was to be done or seen in the light of their respective roles in the 'Junior Republic of Form IIIb'. This sense of identity filtered through to how they saw themselves in Cook's English lessons.

\(^{88}\)See Pages 52-3 above.
It is fairly common for a group of pupils in a school to identify themselves as 'Mr. or Mrs. So-and-so's class', and it usually represents an unconscious reflection of a teacher-pupil bond. It is rare for this to become extended into a fully-fledged, consciously created organisation, with its own societal rules, agreed upon, sworn upon and abided by. 'Procedures' were no doubt of interest to the 'members', but it is more relevant to this thesis to note the probable long-term effect, not so much on what they did, but on who they were. They were prestigious 'Littlemen' or 'Ilonds' or 'Junior Republic of IIIb, part of a collective identity which no doubt became even more pertinent to the class when they became actors. They tackled their plays as a 'company' or 'band' of players: thus each member of the group was already 'in role' before taking on another role. This belonging to a company, it seems reasonable to assume, gave each player a sense of savoir faire before he even started to play his 'second' role. This 'banner of prestige' may have spread in many directions: it created an atmosphere of collaboration; it reduced the weight of responsibility for performing the play from individual shoulders; it encouraged the idea that no problem is unsolvable; it reduced 'showing off', because it was felt to be a group effort; it continually fed on public recognition gained from streams of visitors, Press and School Magazine reports, Headmaster's praise, in-school and public performances and, above all, from the 'total belief in the game' espoused by their own teacher, Caldwell Cook who is seen by them as part of the game, continually re-affirming their identity.

89 Cook does not discuss the matter in these kind of analytical terms, although the spirit of the élitist enterprise is well-illustrated in his article for the School Magazine, giving advance notice of the creation of the 'Perse Players': "Their constitution will be quaint, their shows conducted with formal ceremony, and the whole tenor of their doings above the common. Their art, though simple and near to the interests of ordinary people, will be so far removed from the aimless vulgarity of present-day diversions as to seem in keeping with another lovely age..." [Quoted by B.A. Beacock in The Play Way English for Today op cit P. 68]. A glance at Page X1 of the 1913 publication of The Perse Players No. 3 reveals an impressive line-up of honorary members, including Frank Benson, William Foel, Harley Granville-Barker and Cecil Sharp, well-known theatre figures whose printed names are then followed by 'Master of the Players', 'Officers', and 'Players and Members'. some forty in all made up of adults and scholars, one of whom, it is interesting to note, includes a certain 'F. R. Leavis'.

90 For Dorothy Heathcote, two generations later, this characteristic of 'total belief in the game from a teacher who is part of the game' became a central feature of her work.
D. Generalisations about acting behaviour in Cook's classroom

There seem to be five aspects that add to our understanding of classroom acting:
(1) drama as collaboration (2) pupils' identity as 'players' (3) indirect approach to a
   text (4) a 'platform' mental set (5) avoidance of 'realism'.

D1. Drama as collaboration

Drama, more than any other classroom subject requires group co-operation. This
occurs in its preparatory stages and in performance. It appears to have a reciprocal
effect on relationships in the classroom:

(D1.i.) its practice appears to develop in the teacher a particular way of looking at
' the-child-in-the-group', that is, as an individual member within a cast of 'play-
makers' (using the term in both its broadest and narrowest senses), and

(D1.ii.) its practice appears to develop in the pupils a propensity for learning from
each other through group responsibility.

D2. Pupils' 'identity' as players

In the previous chapter on Harriet Finlay-Johnson, it was argued that the invitation
to 'dramatise everything' implicitly established an 'alternative culture'. Cook took
this overarching dimension a stage further by explicitly devising such an alternative
culture, in which organisational rules were established and a code of behaviour laid
down. Its members knew who they were from their acquired group designations:
'Ilonds' or 'Perse Players', for instance. Thus pupil identity was modelled on the
standards set by the sub-culture. We shall not come across another example of
such dual identity until we examine the 'enterprise identity' of Dorothy Heathcote's
'Mantle of the Expert' approach.

Adams is perhaps the only educationist who recognised this critical dimension of
Cook's 'Play Way'. Adams appreciated that 'make-believe' is certainly essential to
the concept of 'Play Way', but it is not the 'make-believe' associated with
dramatisation. It is the 'make-believe' of a game, in this case a large-scale game in which the players 'play at' being members of a fictional society. Adams puts it:

"Scouting is carried out entirely on the lines of the Play Way. It is essentially a game, full of make-believe..."\(^{91}\)

The absence of an overriding 'game' identity may in part explain the failure of teachers like Tomkinson\(^ {92}\), who successfully captured the excitement of an event in History through the vivid telling of a story, but failed to achieve anything but a "falsified and debased" version from his pupils' attempt at enactment. This missing element of an overarching class identity, rather than a lack of ability of the pupils or, as Tomkinson would have it, an inadequacy in the medium itself, may have accounted for his (and possibly, others') lack of success with dramatisation.

It seems to me that this question of what image the pupils have of themselves as potential participators in 'the game', before they start any drama may be of general significance. An example from later in the century may be seen in the difference of attitude to acting of those pupils in British schools for whom Drama was a compulsory subject compared with those who chose Drama as an option. The latter group, it seems reasonable to suggest, designated themselves as 'those who chose'. Echoes of Caldwell Cook's 'mummery approach' (as Bob Allen\(^ {93}\) labelled it) may still be found towards the end of the century when Allen recommends:

"group[ing] the pupils into resident companies of four or five, each named after an Elizabethan theatre company...".

\(^{91}\)Adams, J. (1922) op cit P. 216
\(^{92}\)Tomkinson, W. S. (1921) op cit P 46
\(^{93}\)Allen, Bob, (1991) "A School Perspective" in Shakespeare in the Changing Curriculum [Eds: Aers, Lesley and Wheale, Nigel] Routledge London. P. 47. Allen's first experience of this approach, where the pupils were first in role as 'Elizabethan players' before taking on Shakespearean roles, was in 1968 when he observed Keith Crook, a member of staff at the Perse School, adopting the Caldwell Cook tradition. Chris Parry, publishing in 1972, had clearly been following Caldwell Cook's methods closely for a number of years. He divided his classes into 'Guilds' - 'The Haberdashers', 'The Costermongers' in one form and 'The Ironmongers' and 'The Merchant Taylors' in another. [Parry, Chris, (1972) English Through Drama CUP P. 26]
D3. **Indirect approach to a text**

It is possible to identify in Caldwell Cook's method a structure for engagement that appears to be dependent on the teacher refocusing the task away from the main goal of study\(^9\) to a connected but subsidiary goal, which becomes the focal task for the pupil. Caldwell Cook's own way of expressing it seems not incompatible with this notion\(^5\):

> It will occur time and again that what was at first undertaken only as a method of dealing with certain subject-matter will become itself the main concern.

He proceeds to give an example, showing how, in the kindergarten, what was ostensibly the study of 'The Daffodil', became much more: "Here then are many of the finest experiences of life centred round the alleged study of one flower. *The value has come, not from the subject, the 'alleged study' but from the method of treating it.*" (my italics)

Here we have an early example of a teacher grappling with the notion of 'unintentional' learning. Later learning theories identify an element in learning as 'unintentional', 'passive'\(^6\) or 'tacit'\(^7\) learning. It is Michael Polanyi's notion of 'subsidiary' awareness that becomes of central importance here.\(^8\) Mike Fleming of Durham University in his doctoral thesis (1982) goes as far as to say: "...the teaching of the subject (drama) is to a large degree undertaken with an implicit

\(^9\)Shomit Mitter (1992), in his *Systems of Rehearsals* [Routledge, London, P. 36], observes a similar deliberate use of indirectness in Peter Brook's work with actors: "Paradoxically, the movement away from meaning, creates conditions in which meaning may be discovered the more prodigiously."

\(^5\)Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid Pp 24-5

\(^6\)See, for example, Dunlop, F.N. (1977) 'Human Nature, Learning and Ideology' in *British Journal of Education Studies* Vol XXV No 3, October, Pp 239-257)

\(^7\)For example, Polanyi, Michael (1958) *Personal Knowledge: Towards a Post-Critical Philosophy.* Routledge and Kegan Paul

\(^8\)It is of interest to this study that one of the examples Polanyi cites of focal and subsidiary awareness is from the context of an actor's 'stage-fright' - caused, according to Polanyi, by a reversal of awareness levels in the actor, who is concentrating on how he should act instead of on the fictional context. (Polanyi, P. 55)
conception of unintentional learning. The teacher may deliberately direct the pupils' attention away from a primary target. 'Refocusing' in this way allows for a flexibility in teaching which, possibly, neither Caldwell Cook's critics nor his admirers fully grasped. By inviting his pupils to concentrate on Shakespeare's text as a stage-management problem of how to deliver that text authentically within the prescribed Elizabethan staging, the usual business of trying to do justice to the poetry is put on hold. This has a number of consequences, not the least useful being that the teacher can interrupt the work without upsetting it. As Caldwell Cook puts it: "... the play-method allows the master or the boys to hold up the dramatic narration of the story from time to time." Such interruptions, normally destructive to a 'text-centred goal', are natural to a 'stage-management-centred' goal. Caldwell Cook's own explanation for seeing the interruptions as harmless and even productive, is, as we saw, that he has eschewed the "hunting method" approach (referred to on P. 55 above) of intellectual dissection before the reading takes place. A more penetrating explanation, one which counters the two kinds of popular textual approach, (that is, the intellectual 'desk-work' approach and the non-intellectual 'let's-push-the-desks-back-and-act-it' approach, both of which require sustained concentration on the 'precious' words), might be that by working 'indirectly' Caldwell Cook has removed the 'reverence' for the text. Paradoxically, of course, it is that same use of 'indirectness' or 'unintentional learning' that makes the text accessible. Failure to recognise the need to work indirectly led to the kind of advice published by members of the committee of the Association of Assistant Masters in Secondary Schools in their Memorandum (1922)101.

100Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 204
101Memorandum on the Teaching of English by The Incorporated Association of Assistant Masters in Secondary Schools (1923) Cambridge UP, P. 34. In recommending a procedure for approaching a text, it includes: "...the first reading should be without a break, and at a fair pace." Following the first reading, the structure of the play is discussed and following the second, difficult words or constructions should be dealt with. After the second reading, "the play should be considered as a whole." The advice goes on in this literary fashion at length, but suddenly drops in: "...In connection with the reading of Shakespeare, some idea of the Elizabethan stage
D4. A 'platform' mentality

I have purposefully selected this slightly pejorative term to describe an aspect of acting behaviour that is conventionally associated with formal presentation in a theatre. In the concluding chapter on Harriet Finlay-Johnson I referred to a prescribed space - front of the classroom - from which the actors unidirectionally faced their audience - in their desks. This spatial feature of acting behaviour, derived from proscenium arch theatre, was to become deeply embedded in a teacher's expectations of classroom drama.\(^{102}\)

Caldwell Cook's ambition was to emulate theatre tradition, first by devising a makeshift platform with curtains in his regular English classroom and then, on acquiring the 'Mummery', designing an Elizabethan stage with curtain tracks and lighting paraphernalia. Using this particular design he was, of course, breaking with modern, proscenium arch with footlights tradition while retaining a basic actor-audience division. Thus classroom acting became, ideally, something done in a well-lit defined space and from an appropriate height to give the audience a good view, with curtains signalling the start and finish and division of scenes. This 'platform' concept was to govern school hall architecture for two generations, but with the proscenium arch, not the Elizabethan stage, as the dominant style.

---

\(^{102}\)Where space is available a standard-size stage should be marked out on the classroom floor with tapes" writes Howard Hayden [Hayden, Howard (1938) "Drama in the Classroom" in School Drama: It's Practice and Theory by Guy Boas & Howard Hayden (Eds) Methuen London Pp 32-37]
Teachers whose facilities fell far short of this ideal, could at least reinforce the tacitly accepted notion that acting was prepositionally governed. Both in the professional theatre and in the classroom acting it was understood that something that came from an actor in his space and directed to an audience in their space. However, this was not a matter of straightforward communication, as, say, in a public address (and to that extent the 'platform' metaphor breaks down), for the division between the respective spaces created a virtual barrier, which was seen by theorists of the time as a necessary distancing element of theatrical art. Such a barrier emphasised the artificiality of acting and the artifice needed by the actor to overcome it. The actor's skill in projecting both voice and gesture to 'the gods' was to be matched in miniature in the classroom or school hall.

There is another assumption tacitly built into this notion of acting as performance: the actor is inviting the audience to stare at him or her; indeed performing is dependent on such staring, a reversal of most social codes. It was Dorothy Heathcote (1995) who first drew attention to the way, say, English or History teachers, keen to use Drama, may not always appreciate that their pupils have not 'given permission to stare'. Again, whether or not permission from the pupils is implicitly given is dependent on the 'overarching image' built into the classroom context. If, for example, the participants are members of a School Drama Club or have 'opted' to do drama, then tacit 'permission to stare' has been given.

D5. Avoidance of realism

It is in keeping with Caldwell Cook's determination to break away from the proscenium arch that he steered his pupils away from realism, but his motive went

---

103 The seminal article of the time was "Psychical Distancing as a Factor in Art and an Aesthetic Principle" in *British Journal of Psychology*, 5, June 1912, Pp 85 - 118 in which Edward Bullough argued that theatre, because of its obvious physical divisions between performer and audience, more than any other art supports the maintenance of distance between the art object and the spectator (See P. 104 of Bullough's text)
beyond an architectural predilection. The current thrust of progressive theatre at
the time of Caldwell Cook's 1917 publication was towards social realism, in
content dealing with political and social issues, in style attempting a detailed and
faithful reproduction of 'real life' with a life-like setting, life-like costumes and life-
like (everyday) dialogue. The actor's 'naturalistic' art lay in appearing to be living
within the picture frame of the proscenium.

Cook lists three major objections to a "conscious pursuit of realism"104:

2 (i) Because it is frankly beyond their powers, since realism
implies a representation of things as they are, and boys have not
experience enough to go beyond impressions and appearances;

(ii) because it would be outside the scope of our educational
purpose, since true realism implies a certain sacrifice of conventions
and the avoidance of types of character and situation, while our
purpose as teachers is to ensure that by the exercise of play-making
the boys shall become familiar with these very artistic conventions,
and with the dramatic situations and characters which have become
typical from their frequent occurrences in literature we are taking as
our model; and

(iii) the pursuit of realism by boys is inadvisable because it implies
the abandonment of that tower of their artistic strength, the ready
comprehension of a romantic theme, and fitly imaginative treatment
of it.

There are a number of observations to be made here which can usefully be
summarised as follows: (i) the elusiveness for immature pupils of significance in
'everyday life' material; (ii) a diet of 'romantic' material (Shakespeare; legends;
Bible Stories; epics) deals in broad 'types' of characters and situations, most
suitable for pre-adolescent boys; and (iii) training in dramatic action in a school
setting should centre on the theatrical conventions appropriate to this kind of
'Romantic' material.

104 Cook, H. Caldwell (1917) ibid P. 271
In recommending an earlier theatrical style for his pupils, Caldwell Cook is making a pedagogical, not an artistic point. He argues that boys of the 11 to 14 age group are not ready for naturalism, in spite of their obvious taste for replicating 'school life' or some 'adventure in modern times'. He favours the 'Romantic' theatre of fantasy, myth and legend in which characters, broadly drawn, are heroic, villainous or innocent victims. He wants the many stage conventions that 'Realistic Theatre' sort to dispense with to be accessible to his pupils. Above all, he wants their drama to be 'poetic'. Caldwell Cook may be the first drama educationist to use the term 'poetic' in relation to a theatrical style, even for prose drama. He no doubt saw a danger in the literalness of some realistic theatre. The dramatic art he wanted his pupils to learn was to be freed from representational accuracy in favour of abstract expression. I want to suggest that once again a central strand of Caldwell Cook's dramatic work was either not understood or ignored by his successors and that consequently some school drama suffered from the cult of realism in the theatre.

Summary:
Henry Caldwell Cook perpetuated the 'platform' mentality begun in Harriet Finlay-Johnson's classroom, but sought from his pupils a conscious emulation of the Elizabethan stage to an extent that ostensibly made the study of Shakespearean text a 'stage-management' problem. They acquired a love of, but not a reverence for, Shakespeare. Their collective image of themselves made acting, with all that form of expression implies of being stared at, seem de rigueur in their English lessons. They were allowed to improvise, using their own choice of material, on condition that the subject matter remain 'romantic' and the style of performance 'poetic'.

105 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 271
106 Cook, Caldwell H. (1917) ibid P. 272
PART TWO

TRENDS IN DRAMA EDUCATION BETWEEN WORLD-WARS 1. & 2.
SECTION TWO: Chapter Three: ACTING AS PLAY

TWO EDUCATIONISTS' VIEWS OF PLAY AND EDUCATION: 'Play as a metaphor for progressive classroom practice' and 'Play as part of classroom practice'

This is the first of the four 'trends' making up the second section of this thesis. Labelled 'play', it seems to provide the strongest link with the two pioneers of Section One, especially with Caldwell Cook whose authorship of The Play Way gave credence to 'play' as a progressive educational shibboleth.

This chapter discusses the influence of two professors of education, Sir Percy Nunn (1920) and Susan Isaacs (1930). It will be argued that the former's well-intended enthusiasm for linking play and education amounted to a discouragement of acting as a classroom activity and that the latter's experience of teaching young children led, potentially at least, to significant theoretical support for the notion of classroom acting. As they added little, in the long run, to teachers' understanding of the place of drama in the classroom, it might be thought that the attention given to them in this study is misplaced. Although this thesis is not a straightforward history of events relating to the development of classroom drama, it is concerned with how ideas about acting behaviour evolved through the innovative methods of the selected exponents. In order to understand the full extent of our next chosen pioneer's (Peter Slade's) impact on drama education thinking, it will be necessary to indicate what ideas and practices preceded him. Some of those ideas, for instance, those of Frances Mackenzie and Irene Mawer, as we shall see, popularised forms of amateur acting behaviour that were anathema to Slade; others, for example, those of Marjorie Hourd and R.L Stone, initiated methodologies which Slade to some extent emulated. But these and others we shall be mentioning were all 'fellow travellers' in drama education and to that extent
shared an interest and a language with Peter Slade. It is the ideas of progressive educational experts of this period not associated directly with drama but having a view of its place, whose concept of acting as a potential in education has relevance to this study. Sir Percy Nunn and Susan Isaacs, both hugely influential figures in the twenty years before World War Two, have been selected because each articulates a progressive doctrine based on Play that appears to go so far in support of classroom acting and then holds back. We shall see that Nunn manages to extol the value of make-believe without recommending its practice and that Isaacs goes as far as developing a rationale for linking make-believe with learning, but fails to implement it. Between them, it could be said, they created a kind of vacuum of missed opportunities. This gap in leading educationists' support for drama perhaps created the most serious deterrent to progress in classroom acting - and gave Peter Slade his greatest challenge.

A final section of the chapter will turn to the first major publication purporting to base dramatic classroom practice on a developmental theory of Play, particularly that espoused by Susan Isaacs. Published in 1948 *Dramatic Work with Children*, written by E.M. Langdon, a London University Institute of Education colleague of Dr. Isaacs, outlines the kind of dramatic activity in which each age group might be expected to be engaged. We shall see that this theoretical input from the 1940s added a developmental dimension to the place of drama in schools. For instance, the first attempts to draw attention to the difference between 'pretending' and 'acting', and between 'playing' and 'a play' appear. I shall argue from the evidence of the text that the ways used by Finlay-Johnson and Caldwell Cook to give their pupils 'permission to act' were significantly neglected by Mrs. Langdon's praxis.

A. Play as a metaphor for progressive classroom practice
In an earlier chapter a quotation from Edmond Holmes 1, the Chief Inspector of Schools, who was inspired by Harriet Finlay-Johnson's work, read as follows: "In Utopia "acting" is a vital part of the school life of every class, and every subject that admits of dramatic treatment is systematically dramatised". It was further demonstrated in the same chapter, however, that this seemingly unambiguous support for classroom dramatisation was not sustained throughout Holmes' publications. Such tempering of enthusiasm for dramatisation was interpreted not as opposition to the activity but rather as the author's failure to enlarge upon his initial commitment to the method. Nevertheless even his limited espousal of acting was more generous than the dispositions of most 'progressive' educationists.

What the 'progressives' did share was a desire to link education and 'play'. Such a term caught the new educational spirit of the times: it captured the Rousseauesque image of the innocent child; it related to the Darwinian explanations of development drawn from the studies of playful behaviour in the young of animals; it carried experiential overtones of an Existential philosophy; it implied 'activity' and 'exploration'; it appeared to open a door to paedocentric education; it offered a conception of aesthetic education and physical education; and, in the practice of Finlay-Johnson and Caldwell Cook, it made connections with theatre. The term 'play', therefore, spilled over with connotations. Educationalists used it as a metaphor for 'Progressive Education' rather than as a reference to an identifiable activity. Indeed, even in its most narrow usage, it seemed to denote a wide range of behaviours from a child's manipulation of Montessori apparatus to 'playing house'.

Sir Percy Nunn represents those leaders in the field of education who relied on the concept of 'play' to promote their own version of 'Progressivism'. Richard

---

Courtney, in his seminal 1968 publication, *Play, Drama and Thought* selects Nunn as the between-Wars inspiration to later Drama teachers such as Peter Slade and E.J. Burton and sees Nunn's aphorism "the richer the scope for imitation the richer the developed individuality" as a trigger for legitimising classroom drama. I will argue, however, that Nunn's interest in Play was metaphorical rather than practical and that such usage took the activity of Drama down a cul-de-sac.

The major published work of this most distinguished Professor of Education, London University, was *Education: Its Data and First Principles* which became a basic text for student teachers for the next thirty years. He devoted two chapters to 'Play' and 'The "Play-Way" of Education' and made continual references to 'play' throughout his text.

Nunn bases his view of education on the assumption that "...nothing good enters into the human world except in and through the free activities of individual men and women, and that educational practice must be shaped to accord with that truth." He qualifies this statement later with: "Individuality develops only in a social atmosphere where it can feed on common interests and common activities." He goes on: "All we demand is that individuality shall have free scope, within the common life...".

Nunn's earliest reference in the book to 'play' activities arises from a discussion of the importance in child development of 'Routine and Ritual'. He points out how young children love the routine and repetition of action nursery rhymes such as 'Ring-a-ring-of-Roses'. It is the rhythm of movement and song that is fundamental.
in its appeal, man being governed by his rhythmic sense, physiologically, cosmically and artistically. Nunn gives approval to the teachers of 'Eurhythms', a method of teaching devoted to combining dance, movement and musical appreciation: "The simpler and less technical of their exercises might, with great advantage, be taught in all primary schools..." 'Eurhythms' will occupy a central place in Chapter 6.

In the second half of his chapter, Nunn identifies 'ritual' as an essential element of social life. He alludes to its kinship with great dramas, Greek and Mediaeval, performed annually in their times, and with the humbler but nevertheless crucial events, athletic festivals and formal assemblies that can take place in schools: "We may, therefore, with greater confidence give it (ritual, that is) a larger place in the education of the young, using it as a means of intensifying and purifying social emotion," and he adds: "It should give work for the young poets, musicians, actors and craftsfolk, and should provide a place for the ungifted girl or boy who can only carry a banner or join in a chorus." Note there is a reference to 'actor' here - but, of course, outside the classroom.

In Chapter VII, Nunn's section on 'Play', begins: "The spirit of play is an intangible and elusive sprite, whose influence is to be found in corners of life where it might least be expected." He goes on to define this 'sprite': "...she manifests her presence there in activities whose special mark is their spontaneity - that is, their relative independence of external needs and stimuli." In his attempt to find a biological explanation of the phenomenon of 'play', Nunn sees the 'surplus-energy' theory as having a minor, but not unimportant, place. However, he is more interested in 'play' as a creator of energy rather than as a channel for unwanted

8Several articles by Emile Jacques-Dalcroze, published between 1905 and 1919, were collected into a publication in 1921. entitled Rhythm and Music Education, translated by Harold F. Rubenstein. Chatto & Windus, London. We shall be examining this text in a later chapter entitled 'Acting as Rhythm'.
9Nunn P. (1920) ibid P. 69
10Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 78
11Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 80
energy; and he is keen to balance the 'anticipation theory' of Groos with the 'recapitulation theory' of Hall. In his view they complement each other, Groos seeing 'play' as engaging the intellect, Hall perceiving it as "motor heredity". The latter view leads Nunn to make the passing comment that "...dancing, eurhythmics and acting (my italics)..." might be substituted in schools for some of the more formal physical exercises, a more stimulating way of "securing...mastery over the body..." Notice this introduction of 'acting' as one of a number of possible physical activities.

In Nunn's view the critical feature of 'play' is that it revives in the child the capacity for 'self-assertion'. While recognising that 'games' and 'sports' can to some degree promote this capacity, their purpose is more in tune with the notion of 'relaxation', and as such are attractive forms of 'escape', lacking the potential of inventive and imaginative play.

Nunn then discusses "the distinctive marks of play as a mode of experience." He remarks that both work and play are dependent on a balancing between constraint and spontaneity. Using playing the role of Hamlet to illustrate his point, he suggests: "Similarly if I decide to fill the role of Hamlet in a performance of the tragedy, Shakespeare's text becomes a condition of restraint, and spontaneity is limited to "interpreting" the poet's lines." and adds: "But where spontaneity is able to triumph over the constraining conditions, the experience (Nunn's italics)

---

12 Groos, Carl (1899) The Play of Man Appleton Press
13 Hall, Stanley G. (1904) Adolescence Appleton-Century Crofts
14 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 84
15 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 86
16 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 87. He dismisses the commonly-held notion that 'play' is the opposite to 'work'. One illustration he employs to make his point is that of musicians and actors who, while happy to refer to themselves as 'players', "would resent the suggestion that their activities have no value and are unrulled by standard as bitterly and as justly as they would the implication that their "playing" is not work." (P. 88).
17 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 89
has always the quality typical of play, whether the activity be called "play" or "work"....the play-experience is...inseparably connected with joy."\textsuperscript{18}

Nunn moves on to discuss the connection between play and work recognised by thinkers such as the 18th century German philosopher, dramatist and poet, Friedrich Schiller\textsuperscript{19}. The common ground between the two is whatever is morally and aesthetically beautiful. He goes on: "It is not an accident that the noblest achievements of antique art were won by the race that cherished the humane and healthy Olympic games, not by the race that loved the horrible sports of the gladiatorial arena."\textsuperscript{20} Nunn then extends the 'surplus energy' theory by arguing that it is 'the play phenomenon' that changes a craft from being merely functional to having beauty: "The flint weapon, the pot, became more than a mere weapon, a mere pot; they became beautiful."\textsuperscript{21} He concludes that what is required in schools is for the pupils to "play (Nunn's italics) with their materials - and beauty will inevitably appear."\textsuperscript{22}

Nunn now moves to discuss an aspect of play that is of central interest to a thesis on acting: 'make-believe', which he refers to as an 'element' in play. He immediately pours a cold shower on any fanciful interpretations his readers might have on the meaning of make-believe. He warns: "...much that is attributed to the child's faculty

\textsuperscript{18}Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 89-90  
\textsuperscript{19}Nunn refers to Schiller's Letter 15 (See, for example Friedrich Schiller's \textit{On the Aesthetic Education of Man} by Elizabeth M. Wilkinson & L.A. Willoughby, published by Oxford University Press in 1967, in which the authors collect and provide a commentary for Schiller's 27 published letters). It is the fifteenth letter that contains Schiller's much-quoted paradox: "...man only plays when he is in the fullest sense of the word a human being, and he is only fully human when he plays." [Wilkinson & Willoughby (1967) ibid P. 107.]
\textsuperscript{20}This piece of wisdom is, in fact, a pithier version of Schiller's own words, also in the fifteenth letter. Schiller's text (in translation) reads: "If at the Olympic Games the people of Greece delighted in the bloodless combats of strength, speed and agility, and in the nobler rivalry of talents, and if the Roman people regaled themselves in the death throes of a vanquished gladiator or of his Libyan opponent, we can from this single trait, understand why we have to seek the ideal forms of a Venus a Juno, an Apollo, not in Rome, but in Greece."[Wilkinson & Willoughby (1967) ibid P. 107.]
\textsuperscript{21}Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 91  
\textsuperscript{22}Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 92
of making-believe may be due not to the transforming power of imagination but to ignorance and a sheer inability to see the world around him as it really is." \(^{23}\) This reminder, placing Nunn firmly within the Anglo-Saxon empirical tradition, of a distinction to be drawn between "the cold world of fact and the subjective world of purpose, thought and fancy" is followed by his drawing of analogies between make-believe and insanity and the child's tendency to 'fibbing', while conceding that such analogies should "not be pressed too far." \(^{24}\) Having issued these warnings against any extreme stance, Nunn proceeds to establish a position somewhere between the orthodox Froebelians who, according to Nunn, invite gratuitous make-believe and the Montessorians who "regard make-believe as frivolous and a form of untruth"\(^{25}\)

Nunn does not seem to rate the function of make-believe beyond seeing it as the child's temporary means of compensating for environmental inadequacies. Making-believe is "merely a biological device to secure that his self-assertion during the formative years of life shall not be frustrated by his inability to control the real conditions of his activities." \(^{26}\), those real conditions applying to "inconvenient facts" as much as absent objects. He argues that make-believe play is developmental in the negative sense that the child's ideas are "forced into ever-increasing congruence with the external world," as he matures. It appears, then, that make-believe play, when "untruths" \(^{27}\) are no longer needed to bolster the activity, is to disappear from the child's behavioural spectrum. Nevertheless, Nunn argues, "the power [my italics] of make-believe remains, and may still perform an essential function in securing the development of spontaneity." \(^{28}\)

\(^{23}\)Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 92. This comment by Nunn is in striking contrast to the view later expressed by the woman who was to become his colleague at his own invitation, Dr. Susan Isaacs.

\(^{24}\)Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 94

\(^{25}\)Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 95

\(^{26}\)Nunn, P. (1930) ibid P. 92. Note that Nunn's view of make-believe play as a 'biological device' is in keeping with Karl Groos' theory [The Play of Man (1989) Appleton Press]

\(^{27}\)Nunn uses this term 'untruths' on Note 2 on Page 95, in describing Montessorian attitude to Play.

\(^{28}\)Nunn, P. (1920) Pp 96-97
Nunn seems to be accepting that make-believe play is functionally necessary for a young child's exploratory learning and that its "power" is what remains beyond the infant stage. Any hopes advocates of dramatisation in the junior or secondary classroom might have had of support for that activity from Sir Percy Nunn must have been dashed by that word 'power', for he spends the next few pages making it quite clear that make-believe as such is to be left behind. (One may speculate that Caldwell Cook, teaching in Cambridge and Mrs. Harriet Waller [née Finlay-Johnson] must also have been disappointed by lack of support for their chosen practice from this major 1920 publication.) It is its 'power', not the activity itself, that is to be harnessed. For Nunn, as for Professor Adams, Nunn's colleague and previous incumbent of the London University Chair of Education 29, the supreme example lies in the Scout Movement, which Nunn describes as "pure make-believe"30. He goes on: "the scout's picturesque costume, his "patrol-animal" or totem, his secret signs, his "spooering", all belong to a realm of facts and ideas queerly incongruent with the humdrum actuality of civilised life." What the Scout learns, according to Nunn, is "often far more valuable than anything he acquires from teachers in school." This is because he draws "spiritual and intellectual vigour" from "the atmosphere (my italics) of make-believe."

Returning now to the use of the term 'play', Nunn suggests that the practice of make-believe is to be displaced in school by its residual elements of 'power' and 'atmosphere'. The make-believe is to be implicit, releasing intellectual energy without the embarrassment of pretending. "Overt making-believe" left behind, Nunn suggests:

...that the pupil's studies should be so shaped as to help him to be, in imagination and in anticipation, a sharer in those phases of human effort which have most significance for civilisation as a whole. His history and geography should look largely towards

29 Adams, John (1922) Modern Development in Educational Practice op cit
30 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 97
politics (in the wider sense) and economics; his science should make him a fellow-worker with men like Pasteur..."\(^{31}\)

It seems that Nunn has picked up this conception, so clearly seen in the work of Finlay-Johnson and Cook, of an overarching identity as a basis for pupil engagement with learning. Sadly he, unlike them, sees it as sufficiently potent - without actually involving the pupils in some kind of acting behaviour. For Nunn, any 'enactment' is to be confined to an attitude of mind.

In a following chapter (entitled 'The "Play-Way" in Education, a title he acknowledges is borrowed from Caldwell Cook), he elaborates on the 'power' of make-believe. He gives an example of the 'heuristic method' of teaching science:

"Since the professed object of the method is to place the student as completely as may be in the position of an original investigator, wrestling for knowledge as the man of science wrestles, it is clearly in principle a play-method."\(^{32}\) By his final chapter he is claiming that the aim in the teaching of science should be\(^{33}\):

> to make our pupils feel, so far as they may, what it is to be, so to speak, inside the skin of the man of science, looking out through his eyes as well as using his tools, experiencing not only something of his labours, but also something of his sense of joyous intellectual adventure.

This notion of pupils' identifying with the 'joyous intellectual adventure' of an expert both echoes Harriet Finlay-Johnson and anticipates Dorothy Heathcote's later work.

Thus 'implicit' or 'metaphorical' [my terms] role-taking allows Nunn to argue for a particular form of Senior Education. He sees the possibility of applying the 'play' concept to vocational education, an education adapted to "fit the young man or

---

\(^{31}\)Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 99
\(^{32}\)Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 104
\(^{33}\)Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 247
woman for some specific role in the great play of life." Instead of ranging widely over curriculum subjects the imagination is now "centred upon a chosen plot." Nunn's use of theatrical imagery here and, indeed, throughout the book, is another example of keeping the 'make-believe' metaphor going without having to resort to that activity. His ambivalence clearly emerges with: "Interest comes to close grips with the details of actuality, and making-believe is present only in so far as the student antedates his entrance into the calling of his choice."35

A1. What are the features characterising classroom acting to be discerned from the theoretical writing of Sir Percy Nunn?

Nunn appears to authorise a view that make-believe behaviour is no more than a young child's temporary means of covering up deficiencies in coping with the real world; and that in older children it may become legitimated in the form of culturally coded behaviour such as Scouting, or, more importantly, by the internalisation of its essential 'power', a special act of imaginative identification with what is being learned. While accepting the rhythmic actions and recitations of the infant school, Nunn places a seal on any kind of make-believe play with older children, whether inside or outside the classroom, hinting darkly of connections with 'fibbing' and insanity.

Coming from the leading educationist of the period (1920), this precise positioning of make-believe as a mental agent for learning no doubt appeared to teachers to be eminently sensible. Stated baldly, what they could learn from him about acting is that it does not belong to the classroom: Harriet Finlay-Johnson's 'dramatisation' was effectively discouraged, if not terminated.

And yet this is not entirely true, for, curiously, as we have already noted above, Nunn makes a passing reference to acting. On Page 84 of his text, concluding a

34 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid Pp 99-100
35 Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 100
discussion of the relative merits of the respective views of Groos (1989\textsuperscript{36}) and Hall (1904\textsuperscript{37}) on play as having an intellectual (Groos) and motor (Hall) base, Nunn appears to indulge in musing about possible implications for schooling, suggesting that such activities as dancing, Eurhythmics and acting might replace some of the formal physical exercises. This, albeit modest, allusion to acting in the classroom may not reveal an inconsistency as much as a semantic distinction. Whereas Edmund Holmes wrote explicitly of 'acting' in the classroom, referring to the dramatisation of school subjects, Nunn is here linking acting with exercises in physical well-being\textsuperscript{38}. He is mooting the possibility that the rhythmic moving to music might be of greater value than formal physical training and he appears to be classing 'acting' as one form of rhythmic expression.

There are therefore two conceptual implications emerging from this point. One is that the term 'acting' is to be applied to rhythmic, artistic expression; the other that, in an education context, the term is to relate to "mastery over the body\textsuperscript{39}. We shall see, as this study proceeds, that both implications influenced teachers' views of classroom acting behaviour.

We will next examine a much more positive approach to the practice of make-believe in the classroom from the pen of Professor Susan Isaacs [1930 & 32], an Educational Psychologist. We will also examine how a drama teacher colleague of Isaacs, Mrs. E.M. Langdon, attempted, some 16 years later, to evolve a developmental theory of classroom drama derived from Isaacs' theories of make-believe play.

\begin{footnotes}
\item[36] Groos, Karl (1989) \textit{The Play of Man} Appleton Press
\item[37] Hall, G.S. (1904) \textit{Adolescence} Appleton-Century-Crofts
\item[38] It was noted in the last chapter, Note 36 of P. 52 above, that John Dewey's only observation on drama in \textit{Schools of Tomorrow} (1915) op cit P. 31, was that it contributed to "the physical well-being of the children."
\item[39] Nunn, P. (1920) ibid P. 84
\end{footnotes}
B. 'Play' as part of classroom practice

By way of contrast to Sir Percy Nunn, we will now attempt to outline a rationale, drawn from Child Psychology, or, rather, from that branch of child psychology influenced by Freudian theory, that acknowledged child make-believe as having learning potential and seemed to advocate make-believe play as part of a young child's school day. The seminal writings of Susan Isaacs will be examined to this end. In the final part of the chapter, we shall turn to the first example in this country of a book on drama teaching purporting to be based on theories of child play, Mrs. E.M. Langdon's *Dramatic work with children* (1948)\(^{40}\).

Pre-Freudian Psychology had already registered interest in make-believe play activities and their connections with the art form of drama. In 1896 James Sully published his *Studies of Childhood*\(^ {41}\). He saw a lack of audience combined with self-centredness as the two principal factors separating child play from "fully art"\(^ {42}\). Joseph Lee, an American Psychologist, recognised the importance of what he called 'impersonation' in learning: "...his (the child) instinct is to grasp the whole, to enter by one sheer leap of intuition into the heart of the object of his study and act out from there."\(^ {43}\) It has already been noted that Professor Findlay, as early as 1902, was pointing the way to connections between Play and Drama\(^ {44}\), but Findlay seems to have been alone among educationists in looking forward to the introduction of drama into the regular curriculum. It has already been suggested in this thesis that even Edmond Holmes, whose theoretical view of Drama as an expression of the 'sympathetic faculty'\(^ {45}\) is not unlike that of Lee's 'grasping the whole' of 'the object of his study', seemed to be favouring the principles of dramatisation before its practice.

\(^{40}\)Langdon, E. M. (1948) *Dramatic Work with Children*
\(^ {41}\)Sully, James (1896) *Studies of Childhood* Longman Green & Co London
\(^ {42}\)Sully, J. (1896) *op cit* P. 326
\(^ {43}\)Lee, Joseph (1915) *Play in Education* The Macmillan Co NY P. 110
\(^ {44}\)Findlay, J. J. (1902) *op cit*
\(^ {45}\)Holmes, E. (1911) *op cit* P. 170
One psychologist in England, however, in the early 1920s, began a serious investigation into child play, an investigation that prompted her to construct a theoretical basis for make-believe activities. Dr. Susan Isaacs was prepared to give her name in support of drama in schools.

A few years after the publication in 1920 of Sir Percy Nunn's seminal work\(^{46}\), Mrs. Isaacs\(^{47}\) (née Fairhurst) began her long-term project of observing infant behaviour at 'The Malting House School', Cambridge\(^{48}\). For the first time a 'progressive' institution was to come under 'scientific' observation. Susan Isaacs became regarded as an authority on child development\(^{49}\) and her published observations included detailed accounts of children at play, and her theoretical conclusions about developmental outcomes of play activity, including 'imaginative' play activity.

Long influenced by Froebel and Dewey, in the 1920s she became interested in the theoretical works of Piaget (who visited 'The Malting') and Freud. She countered Piaget's view of logical development in the child by pointing out that a child's intellectual powers are variable, achieving, a relatively higher level\(^{50}\) through spontaneous play. She demonstrated to Freudian purists that play was a means of living and understanding life, not simply the "embodiment of an unstable shifting imagery of unconscious erotic impulses"\(^{51}\). Psychoanalysis nevertheless influenced

\(^{46}\) Nunn, P. (1920) ibid
\(^{47}\) Isaacs, Susan (1930) *The intellectual growth in Young Children* Routlege & Kegan Paul London
\(^{48}\) The post required her, according to her biographer, D.E.M. Gardner [Susan Isaacs (1969) Methuen, London], "to conduct education of a small group of children aged 2 - 7, as a piece of scientific work and research." Gardner D.E.M., P. 54
\(^{49}\) She was appointed in 1933 to set up a new Department of Child Development at the London University Institute of Education
\(^{50}\) Gardner, D. E. M. (1969) ibid P. 68
the way she viewed children's behaviour years later (1933) in Social Development in Young Children\(^52\).

 Isaacs' record and study of Child Play gave authoritative approval to the introduction of 'play corners' in the infant classroom. Thus the more rigid recital of action songs and nursery rhymes were displaced by freer activities belonging to the child rather than the teacher. Unfortunately, her experience as head teacher of an experimental school did not take her sights beyond the infant school. The older age group taught by Harriet Finlay-Johnson did not immediately benefit from Isaacs' work. And yet, Isaacs provided a rationale for relating dramatic activity to learning that could have been applied to older children.

B1. **Isaacs' classification of make-believe behaviour**

She divides 'imaginative' play according to its functions. The first is circumstantial, when make-believe "may at any moment slip over into genuine enquiry, and it offers many occasions for the furtherance of skill."\(^53\) The second is conative, a source of 'symbol-formation'. Isaacs shows her respect for Melanie Klein's work:

"The psycho-analysis of young children by Klein's play technique has shown that engines and motors and fires and lights and water and mud and animals have a profoundly symbolic meaning for them, rooted in infantile phantasy."\(^54\) Isaacs explains that "Their ability to concern themselves with real objects and real happenings is a *relative* (Isaacs' italics) matter". In such play children can work out

\(^{52}\)Gardner records [Pp 80 - 85] how Isaacs was torn over applying for the London Institute post, because she was by then practising psychoanalysis: "...educational work and analytic work are not really compatible within one person's life..." she wrote in a letter to Sir Percy Nunn, Director of the Institute. Nevertheless a few years later (1933) in Social Development in Young Children Routledge & Kegan Paul London, she wrote "My psycho-analytic experience did naturally lead me to be interested in all the behaviour of the children...I was just as ready to record and to study the less attractive aspects of their behaviour as the more pleasing, whatever my aims and preferences as their educator might be." [Pp 20 - 21, in the 1951 abridged edition of Social Development in Young children.]

\(^{53}\)I saacs, Susan (1930) ibid P. 99

\(^{54}\)I saacs, S. (1930) ibid P. 102
their inner conflicts, thus "diminishing guilt and anxiety". She goes on: "Such a lessening of inner tension through dramatic presentation makes it easier for the child to control his real behaviour, and to accept the limitations of the real world." Isaacs' third function of play is within the field of cognition: it is a hypothetical function. She writes eloquently: "The ability to evoke the past (Isaacs' italics) in imaginative play seems to me to be very closely connected with the growth of the power to evoke the future in constructive hypothesis, and to develop the consequences of 'ifs'." She goes on in a way that could well satisfy any theory of alienation in Brechtian theatre: "and in his make-believe, he takes the first steps towards that emancipation of meanings from the here and now of a concrete situation, which makes possible hypotheses and the 'as if' consciousness." Isaacs sees make-believe as an act of 'constructive imagination', a process of breaking away from the particularity of the here and now in order, to turn to Finlay-Johnson's terminology, to grasp 'the fitness of things'.

Susan Isaacs is searching here for a theoretical vocabulary that will adequately describe the different purposes of young children's 'imaginative play', which, in summary, promotes 'enquiry', 'develops skills', lessens 'inner tension' and creates a basis for 'hypothetical thinking'. Although Isaacs did not often appear in print explicitly to promote drama in schools, in outlining a framework within which

---

55 Isaacs, S. (1930) ibid P. 102
56 Isaacs, S. (1930) ibid P. 104
57 Isaacs, S. (1930) ibid Pp 113-117
58 Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) op cit P. 70
59 Of course there were those who could not accept her view of the importance of play. For example, three years after Isaacs' Intellectual Growth in Young children, Robert R. Rusk, a Principal Lecturer in Education in Glasgow writes: "The view that fantasy is the primary mental activity of the child has little psychological support" (Rusk, Robert R. [1933] A History of the Infant School ULP Press London P. 84/5) and adds "Psycho-analysis has taught us the dangers of pandering to the 'pleasure' principle of Freud".
60 She, likes others before her, saw connections between make-believe play and art. She writes: "...his play is a starting point not only for cognitive development but also for the adaptive and creative intention which when fully developed marks out the artist, the novelist, the poet."
'imaginative play' might be discussed, she is tacitly providing teachers interested in classroom dramatic activity with a rationale for adopting it as a methodology.

Indeed Isaacs was the 'authority' the Drama and Theatre Sub-Committee of the National Under-Fourteens Council turned to in 1947 to write a Foreword to their publication, devised by one of its members, Mrs. E.M. Langdon, a colleague of Mrs. Isaacs at the London University Institute of Education. Susan Isaacs' Foreword is generous in its support:

*I HAVE READ Mrs. Langdon's booklet with great interest and pleasure. It is an excellent account of what can and should be done in dramatic work with children from five to fourteen. The rich and varied technique suggested for the teacher is given its proper basis in the natural and dramatic instincts of children at successive ages. Mrs. Langdon carries the whole matter over from general principles to the concrete details of what and how and why...*

Here we have an esteemed psychologist giving support to drama as something that "can and should be done". Indeed the above preface to Langdon's book is the first instance of a leading figure in the world of educational psychology appearing to lend credence to a theoretical position directly relating the activity of play to the activity of Drama in an educational context. Drama is to be approved as a proper school activity with a respectable lineage in child play. What amounts to an explicit affirmation of the classroom practice of Drama seems to supersede the position adopted by Isaacs' colleague and head of department, Sir Percy Nunn, of using play as a metaphor for progressive teaching. Now, apparently, "Natural dramatic instincts" are to be seen as providing the "proper basis" for dramatic work.

B2. **What are the features characterising classroom acting behaviour derived from 'imaginative play'?**

---

61 Langdon, E.M. An Introduction to **Dramatic Work with Children** Theatre in Education Series by John Andrews & Ossia Trilling (Eds) Dennis Dobson Ltd London 1948. Other members of the Committee included Lady Mayer (chairman), Mr. John Allen, Administrator, Children's Theatre Ltd. (Glyndebourne), Mr. Andrew Campbell, Publisher of Theatre in Education, Miss Cobby, Essex County Drama Adviser and Robert Newton, Middlesex County Drama Adviser
Susan Isaacs was the first educationist of stature to introduce a form of free play into her infant classroom. She distinguished between play as manipulation of objects or apparatus and what she called 'imaginative' play, in which children invented their own make-believe world. That she did not use the term 'acting' in describing this latter activity seems to indicate a rather different conception of make-believe behaviour from that held by Harriet Finlay-Johnson who, as we have seen, freely used the term in respect of her pupils' improvised and scripted dramatisations. As far back as 1896, the psychologist, James Sully had affirmed:

"A number of children playing at being Indians... do not 'perform' for one another. The words 'perform', 'act' and so forth all seem out of place here." It appears then that Isaacs may have been following a tradition in Psychology in finding alternatives to theatrical terms for young children's spontaneous acting behaviour. What is significant here is that in avoiding applying the term 'acting' to classroom make-believe, she was tacitly confirming a generic distinction between what the child does and what the actor does. Like her predecessor, Percy Nunn, she made it difficult, (but, of course, for different reasons), for teachers to follow the 'dramatic method' of Finlay-Johnson or Cook who both saw their 'Play' approach to education as children in the classroom enjoying doing in their own way what actors do on stage.

Isaacs' considerable contribution however was in her analysis of 'imaginative' play as the child's own developmental tool. As we have discussed on Pages 90-91 above, she sees such play as having more precise functions than earlier theories of surplus energy or recapitulation had suggested and classifies those functions into 'circumstantial', 'conative' and 'hypothetical'.

This classification of imaginative play, arising from Isaacs experimental observations in the early 1920s, into enquiring, exercising skill, reducing tension

---

62 Sully, James (1896) op cit P. 326
and intellectual enhancement could almost\(^{63}\) be said to be definitive, in the sense that however much subsequent play theorists attempted to interpret, refine or amplify its functions, their conclusions turned out to be no more than variations of this classification.

The educational implications appear to be considerable, for Isaacs is discussing play activities that could actually take place in the classroom. Progressive ideals of 'freedom', 'child-centred learning' and 'learning by doing' no doubt seemed attainable through the make-believe inventions of imaginative play. The implications for classroom drama too could have been considerable. Although some psychologists may have been at pains to disassociate 'natural' make-believe play from 'theatre proper', the parallels that may be drawn between Isaacs' classification of the functions of play and the functions of theatre recur throughout the theoretical literature on dramatic art. Random dramatic art examples include: Cecily O'Neill's affirmation that "...drama is always enquiry"\(^{64}\); J.I.M. Stewart, the Shakespearean scholar, echoes Isaacs' reference to young children facing "their guilt and anxiety" with "those terrifying, surprising but authentic shadows of our unknown selves which the penetrating rays of the poetic drama cast upon the boards before us"\(^{65}\) What Isaacs calls, 'constructive hypothesis' is matched by Bertolt Brecht's 'hypothetical adjustment' required of an audience in the theatre\(^{66}\). Isaacs describes this intellectual disposition of play as "the first steps towards that

---

\(^{63}\) One function that later play theorists agree upon, and not included in Isaacs' classification, is control. See, for instance, Garvery, Catherine (1976) "Some Properties of Social Play" in Play: Its Role in Development and Evolution by Bruner, J.S et al (Eds) Penguin Books, P. 582. It should also be stressed that Isaacs is here confining her attention to function of Play. Others have looked at 'mode' (Piaget) and 'structure' (Vygotskii) and 'social skills' (Garvey) [All three authors appear in Bruner's Play.]

\(^{64}\) A keynote address, entitled "Talk and Action: Elements of the drama curriculum", given to the Canadian Tertiary Drama Education symposium held at the University of Victoria in 1994 and published in Canadian Tertiary Drama Education: Perspectives on Practice by Juliana Saxton & Carole Miller (Eds) University of Victoria, 1995.

\(^{65}\) Stewart, J.I.M. (1949) Character and Motive in Shakespeare London P. 95

\(^{66}\) Willett, John (1964) Brecht on Theatre Hill & Wang NY P. 191
emancipation of meanings from the here and now of a concrete situation..." For many theoreticians this is the distinguishing feature of theatre where, as Bruce Wiltshire puts it: "...there can be no enactment without typification and generalisation..." These quotations may suffice to underline my point that the very language in which Isaacs, in 1930, couches psychological purposes connected with imaginative play anticipates the conceptualising of theatre experience adopted by many theorists writing in the second half of the century. I suggest that Isaacs has opened up a language pertaining to child play that could have simultaneously embraced the meaning of theatre. The opportunity was there to formulate a generic basis for the two activities.

However, we have seen that Isaacs, while recognising the existence and power of 'natural dramatic instincts', fails to argue to any serious extent for harnessing those 'instincts' in the direction of the curriculum, in the direction of older pupils or, indeed, in the direction of theatre. One is left to muse on why this leading figure should become so close, but not near enough, to supporting drama as a medium for intellectual and emotional development. It may be that her own position as a female educational psychologist, in a field dominated by intelligence measurement, prevented her from exploring further the impact of her child play theories on curriculum innovation; it may be that her own interest in psychoanalysis took her into the more therapeutic aspects of play; or it may be that growing confusion over the identity of drama as a school activity dissuaded her from taking part in active experimentation. Some of the strands which contributed to this confusion will be investigated in subsequent chapters of this section of the thesis.

67 Isaacs, Susan (1930) op cit P. 104
69 One example of a theorist and practitioner in theatre from the first half of the century was, according to Lars Kleberg, Nikolay Yevreinov a Russian who believed theatre to be derived from child play [Kleberg, Lars (1993 edition), trans from Swedish by Charles Rougle, Theatre as Action Macmillan P 55]
In her second major publication\textsuperscript{70}, she retains her enthusiasm for "the impulse to make-believe"\textsuperscript{71}, recognising that "the older boys' games of hunting big game, fighting the Germans..." etc. derive from that same impulse but now "infused with a far greater knowledge, and with far more disciplined sense of what is possible and what merely phantastic." She sees such play as out-of-school, not, as Harriet Finlay Johnson did, as rich material for classroom learning. Isaacs still regards it as stimulus for enquiry: "And a great deal of real knowledge can be woven into the pattern of this play, as the genius of the Boy Scout Movement saw."\textsuperscript{72}, but it does not seem to occur to her that a teacher could purposefully foster that enquiry.

What Susan Isaacs contributed to our understanding of acting, however, should not be underestimated. She isolated dramatic instinct as a phenomenon uniquely identifiable. In recognising its peculiar functions she began a process of preparing the ground for an understanding of its essential nature, that is, its power temporarily to 'disengage' (\textit{my} term) with the exigencies of everyday living. In his way, Sir Percy Nunn also recognised this, but saw it as mental adjustment. Susan Isaacs acknowledged its effectiveness \textit{in action} and showed how it released the child into enquiry, practice of skills, therapy or hypothesis.

It seems reasonable to conclude that Susan Isaacs laid the foundation for an understanding of acting as a medium for self-education. She was sufficiently aware of its educative power to write a preface for the first book outlining a developmental view of acting in the classroom. Let us now examine to what extent its author, a colleague at the London Institute, Mrs. E.M. Langdon (1948), derived her developmental outline from the kind of play theory evolved by Isaacs.

---

\textsuperscript{70} Isaacs, Susan (1932) \textit{The Children We Teach: Seven to Eleven years} ULP London
\textsuperscript{71} Isaacs, Susan (1932) ibid P. 127
\textsuperscript{72} Isaacs, Susan (1932) ibid P. 128
C. Dramatic Work with Children, a publication by E.M. Langdon (1948)

C1. An examination of E.M. Langdon's Developmental Programme

This is the first publication in England sponsored by a Committee out of a desire to establish a developmental pattern in relation to children's dramatic activity in school. As the chairperson of the national Under-Fourteens Council put it: there was "an urgent necessity to formulate, at least in outline, children's needs of drama in relation to their own nature at various stages of development". There appear to be a number of assumptions behind the Committee's invitation to Mrs. Langdon: that dramatic activity was now seen to be part of the curriculum of non-selective schools; and that some kind of authoritative guideline was needed on the kind of drama suitable for each age group. Hence Langdon's division of her book into 'five to seven years', 'seven to eleven years' and 'eleven to fourteen years', and the Committee's decision to invite an educational psychologist to write the preface.

From Mrs. Langdon's first sentence, "First let us look at play itself", one might conclude that her developmental account of school drama was to be rooted in child play. Indeed she appears to reinforce Isaacs' classification by affirming that the play of young children is about making "imperfect knowledge really his own" and resolving conflicting emotions. In this she appears to be adopting Isaacs' 'enquiry' and 'therapy' categories. She sees these functions reflected in two kinds of dramatic play, which she labels "realistic play" and "imaginative play", the former usually demanding a degree of presentational realism evoking familiar

---

73 A note' written by Lady Dorothy Mayer by way of introduction to Dramatic Work with Children
74 It is possible that Langdon's book is the only drama book published this century to have its preface written by an educational psychologist.
75 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P.13
76 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 14
77 However, she makes no mention of dramatic play as practice in thinking hypothetically.
78 This emphasis on realistic presentation characterised the dramatisation of Finlay-Johnson's classroom.
surroundings and people, the latter offering a chance to "escape the actual world". Mrs. Langdon seems not to intend any difference in status to be allocated to these contrasted kinds of content, accepting that "...play itself is so fluid and varied that all attempts to place it in separate categories must be to some extent artificial."

The phrase 'making knowledge really his own' also reinforces the notion of 'ownership' of knowledge which was earlier suggested as characteristic of Finlay-Johnson's dramatisation. This early emphasis in her book, however, turns out to be somewhat misleading. Mrs. Langdon's is not to be an account of how school children might use drama to relate to aspects of the curriculum, nor is it to guide teachers in how to handle therapeutic aspects of dramatic play. The 'enquiry' purpose Isaacs attached to make-believe play, while remaining as an occasionally acknowledged feature in the background of Langdon's text, is overtaken, (or, rather, 'upstaged') by other matters, especially theatre form.

In setting out to describe what she sees as the defining features of 'realistic' and 'imaginative' play of 5-7 year olds, Langdon seems, in view of her claim to be examining 'natural play', too ready to point out apparent deficiencies. Her comments relating to perceived fragility of plot, indeterminancy of beginnings and endings and unreliability of commitment clearly stem from a theatrical stance which Finlay-Johnson and Cook, working with older pupils, of course, would have shared. Neither of the pioneers would have tolerated the equivalent of a 'play-corner' in their classrooms. What is important here, however, is to recognise that this first publication to outline a developmental theory of classroom drama derived from child play is being written by a professional teacher-trainer whose
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79 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 15
80 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 15
81 See Page 40 above
background is theatre and not child play. A tradition of bringing theatre into the classroom was well-established in Mrs. Langdon's day, and it will be necessary in later chapters to examine that and other developments that may have impinged upon what was understood as acceptable practice in drama by the time she was writing her book in the 1940s. It was no doubt very difficult for someone with Mrs. Langdon's background to avoid seeing 'play' in terms of its shortcomings. Nevertheless, she advocates that 'dramatic play' should persist throughout the infant and junior school, giving them the 'freedom' they need.

Mrs. Langdon also appears to be addressing a theatre-minded readership whose tolerance of free-play needs to be secured. It is an activity to be "watched" rather than "directed"82, she advises. But even 5-7 year olds, she assures, need an alternative to run concurrently with 'dramatic playing'. By the fourth page of her text, Langdon inserts the following heading: **Plays for children of five to seven years. 'We're Pretending' becomes 'We're Acting'.** She goes on83: "At some point the children begin to enjoy dramatic work of a more specific kind". She divides the 'specific kinds' into impromptu dramatisation of nursery rhymes (popular, as we have seen, in our infant schools from the beginning of the century) and plays the children make up themselves. She adds: "At this time a stage is not needed, and a small audience is best, but the children do sometimes want to 'do things for the rest of the school to see' in School Assemblies or at a Christmas Party."

Thus children aged 5 to 7 years are to experience three kinds of classroom drama, the traditional 'action rhymes', the 'play-corner make-believe' and 'plays the children make up'. It is the conceptual difference between the last two which is of interest to this study. Both are made up of spontaneous actions and talk, but Langdon

82Langdon, E.M.(1948) ibid P. 17
83Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 18
84Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 18
insists that whereas one kind of activity is 'pretending' the other is 'acting'. She does not attempt to explain the difference, as though she could expect her readers to know what she meant. The distinction seems not, essentially, to lie in observable behaviours. Indeed she is at pains to minimise, with this young age group, changes in expression such as the artificial slowing down of speech, for example, as though she sees the advantage with young children of retaining as far as possible, the qualities of actions belonging to the 'make-believe game'. The essential difference, then, appears to lie in a conceptual shift, to be understood, tacitly at least, by both pupils and teacher, from process to product, from 'playing' to 'a play'. Langdon does not, herself, use the terms, 'process' and 'product', but she does imply such a differentiation in her grammatical usage, in speaking of the activity of child play as a verb: "Let's pretend" and taking the noun form when she refers to the alternative to 'let's pretend' as 'a play'.

This seems to require, not necessarily a change in behaviour but rather a change in responsibility, which includes sharing 'the artefact', or, (to use her phrase) 'giving the play' to others who may be just fellow classmates or, as mentioned above, to the assembled school. Mrs. Langdon is using the term 'acting' then for a make-believe context in which something is made, that potentially may be repeated, reshaped, tidied up, dressed up, and projected to an audience.

The most significant feature of Mrs. Langdon's publication, therefore, is that she lays a foundation for the possibility of two parallel dramatic contexts (an advance, in this respect, on Harriet Finlay-Johnson's perspective of play-making as the only dramatic form.) The basis of her theory appears to be that both kinds of activities should be sustained throughout the infant school and that for the 'junior', 7-11 age

---

85 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 18
86 Froebel drew a similar distinction between 'spiel' (play) and 'spielen' (playing).
87 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 15
group, the 'playing' should gradually be superseded by 'the play', but she is anxious to establish in practice the fluidity of the two genres, to a point of suggesting that: "children's plays are merely a somewhat clearer and more self-disciplined form of dramatic play."88 This quotation from the final sentence of her first chapter betrays a confusion in the writer's mind which may have been typical of her times. Having earlier established a conceptual distinction between 'playing' and 'a play', a distinction that warranted a corresponding refinement in terminology, 'pretending' and 'acting' respectively, she now unhelpfully claims "...that children's plays are merely a clearer and more self-disciplined version [my emphasis] of playing"89. The point that Mrs. Langdon is keen to underline is that early attempts at 'a play' may not, indeed should not, seem markedly different from 'playing'. What she loses sight of in making this point is the implicit change of emphasis between them she initially propounded..

We may not feel comfortable with the terms 'pretending' and acting' but the underlying conceptual distinction may be critical to our understanding of classroom acting behaviour. It may be useful here to explore this point more fully. If someone says, "I am baking", he is describing his involvement in an occupation, an activity occurring in time. On the other hand if he says "I am baking a cake" he is drawing attention both to the activity occurring in time and to an outcome, an outcome for which the 'baker' has a responsibility. His first statement did not deny an outcome, but the new emphasis of the second statement gives more significance to that outcome. Similarly, were we to substitute the terms 'process' and 'product', the former focuses on an occurrence in time, while not denying an outcome, in contrast to the latter which draws attention both to the nature of the involvement and to the result.

88 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 20
89 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 20
In respect of acting behaviour, the cultural expectations of "I'm making a play" or "I'm giving a play to..." are sufficiently different from "I'm playing" or even "I'm playing at..." to affect the degree and kind of responsibility incipiently involved, for the product/process continuum implies a public/private dimension. A product carries a potential for public scrutiny - and, of course, a potential for teacher interference.

Langdon recommends ways of easing the pupils from process to product, based on their readiness. What begins as typical Junior group's robust playing, (presumably, as for Susan Isaacs\(^\text{90}\), out of the classroom, although she does not actually say so) for example, may "sometimes... crystallise out into a sketchy play-form and require an audience ultimately, as for instance, a Red Indian play, a Pirate play, or, in the case of younger children, a fairy-tale play"\(^\text{91}\). The modest level of 'public' exposure of such informal play-making is neatly summarised as: "They do not give a play so much as 'play it out' with an audience there"\(^\text{92}\) - a hybrid form of acting behaviour that combines Langdon's 'pretending' and 'acting', retaining, as it were, the verb, while purporting to give attention to the noun.

Mrs. Langdon follows Susan Isaacs' wording very closely in describing junior age group playing, but whereas Isaacs seeks to reinforce the notion that such play allows the pupils to absorb their wider knowledge, Langdon's interest is in how it may become material for 'a play'. As I have already suggested, both lecturers fail to pursue the 'enquiry' potential of the material as Finlay-Johnson did. Langdon claims that this is the age when the children themselves discover the need for some technique: "and their dramatic play can become at one and the same time a creative experience, an expression of their emotional needs and an outlet which is satisfying

\(^{90}\) Isaacs, Susan (1932) op cit P. 127
\(^{91}\) Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 23
\(^{92}\) Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid Pp 23-24
to their critical powers." These are not, of course, 'critical powers' associated with Isaacs' intellectual development or enquiry, but ability to be critical of dramatic form, even of plays written especially for children. In writing of older juniors she suggests that the hybrid form of 'playing it out with an audience there' should be superseded by a sense of responsibility towards "the rights of an audience" - the 'public' dimension of the product becoming unambiguously established. She goes on to argue for the social value embedded in playing to others: "The social value of the experience lies in the bond of union, the giving and sharing, and the sense of participating together in a common purpose."

Influenced as she is by Susan Isaacs, it is surprising that she does not acknowledge Isaacs' remarkable observation on a perceived gender difference in relation to drama and the need for an audience, remarkable in that Isaacs is the only author (at that time and, to my knowledge, since) explicitly to have distinguished between the sexes in respect of audience orientation. One wonders, too whether her observation may have some validity which has gone unnoticed. Isaacs writes:

It is sometimes thought that girls take more readily to acting in these years [referring to 7-11 years] than boys, and it is true that the straightforward pleasure in "dressing up" and the love of posing and personal display before an audience seems to be stronger and more naive in the girls. Boys are more easily self-conscious and more readily aware of their own deficiencies. And they are earlier sensitive about personal dignity. But my own impression is that everything depends upon suiting the play and the part to the age and temperament of the boy actor. After all, what is he doing in his games of hunting and fighting and exploring, and even his Boy Scout-ship, but play-acting? Only he does these for the sake of the doing, for the pleasure of losing himself in the new identity, rather than for the

---

93Langdon E.M. (1948) ibid P. 24
94Langdon E.M. (1948) ibid P. 30
95Langdon E.M. (1948) ibid P. 30
96Isaacs, Susan (1932) ibid P. 126
admiration of others. There is probably a genuine
sex difference here. It is more natural and normal
for the girl to offer herself for personal admiration
than it is for the boy. The boy can only act
successfully when his imagination is deeply stirred
by the play itself, and he forgets the audience in the
part. But then he will often put more creative
intelligence and a fuller vigour into the acting than
the girl.

We shall find that whether or not young children should act before an audience
remains an issue throughout the century and we have seen that Mrs. Langdon sees
the 7-11 age group as a period of gradual acclimatisation to the 'rights' of an
audience, during which time the pupils may slip into a hybrid form of acting 'with
the audience there' rather than acting to it.\(^97\) What Isaacs is mooting in the above
quotation, however, is not a blanket assumption about children's readiness for
more discipline and sophistication, but a recognition of cultural determinants that
build in a gender difference in audience orientation; decisions taken by a teacher
about presence of an audience should rest, according to this view, on gender as
much as maturity. There is a further implication, too, that engagement to any kind
of depth with content in dramatic playing is a masculine trait.

It is curious that Mrs Langdon, whose words follow so closely those of Mrs.
Isaacs in respect of the playing inclinations of this age group, should ignore this
highly controversial observation. One may wonder too whether the point expressed
by Isaacs here is tacitly supported by drama teachers generally. A glance at Harriet
Finlay-Johnson's book reveals that it is the boys of her classes who dominate (in
spite of their teacher's efforts to accommodate the girls' scripts) dramatisation.
Without wishing to push the point too far, it could in part explain why Finlay-
Johnson, a lover of theatre performance, felt urged to advise that "the idea of an
audience"\(^98\) should be "done away with". It may have been the case that when she

\(^97\) In Chapter 2, I designated this 'acting to' an audience as 'prepositional' acting behaviour.
\(^98\) Finlay-Johnson. H. (1911) op cit P. 54
recognised a potential for deeper involvement (and, consequently, in her view, a greater potential for learning) in impromptu and scripted dramatisation, she was drawing on her own assumptions about the kind of circumstances likely to lure boys into immersing themselves in the activity. Her solution, as we have seen, was to change the concept of 'audience' - spectators were not to be banished, but, rather, absorbed into the creative process as note-takers, scribes, script-refiners, commentators, chorus, and stage-managers. It is of some significance that under the influence, as we shall see, of the amateur theatre movement, the notion of an active audience seems to have been lost by the time Mrs. Langdon was writing. She saw responsible presentation to an audience as a peak in her developmental programme, an achievement one could expect of upper juniors.

Such formal presentations in Finlay-Johnson's village school were rare, but Mrs. Langdon sees carefully rehearsed performance as a regular part of Junior school life. Such a commitment leads Langdon to consider a besetting problem of Western theatre that became, as we shall see, of central interest to the Amateur Theatre movement and of particular concern to advocates of School Drama. The perpetual question posed at all levels of theatre was (put simply): Do actors before an audience feel 'real' emotion or do they apply their craft to simulating it? Mrs. Langdon feels obliged to discuss the extent to which Junior school pupils should be expected to "act with real emotion", although she is reluctant to sound dogmatic. She tentatively suggests: "It seems true that children do 'feel' their parts, and sometimes they act with real emotion," but she goes on with greater assurance as she draws on her knowledge of theatre: "But, just because their experience of life and emotion is limited, they do not yet create a real character."

She adds: "They may show quite acute observation of people in their acting, but

---
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true characterisation has to wait for the fuller development of later years." Thus if performing to an audience was to be seen as a peak in developmental achievement, 'true characterisation' was to be regarded as the final summit. We shall find that 'characterisation' is to become a defining feature of sophisticated acting in most educational literature on school drama, and that a way of distinguishing children's from adult acting was to apply this 'characterisation' factor.

Although the production of a form- or school-play by the teacher as its director is beyond the scope of this study, which is confined to 'classroom drama', it is worth mentioning here that in Junior schools, such productions often took over the timetable at certain parts of a school-year, so that, 'ordinary lessons' abandoned, the classroom or hall became a 'rehearsal room', with all that entailed of passive non-participants, under-nurtured 'crowds' and over-nourished 'leading parts'. Mrs. Langdon does not portray this kind of negative picture. She more optimistically speaks of casting parts against physical attributes, democratic decisions, children making their own scenery and costumes etc. She affirms: "The actual production may well have to be controlled by the adult, but even so, each stage of the work can be gay and creative." Towards the end of the 7 - 12 age group chapter, the pages flow with detailed advice and admonitions about how to ensure an imaginative production of a school play. Mrs. Langdon's language becomes that of one accustomed to the hazards of a 'first night' in the theatre. Here is an example:
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102 Langdon, E.M. (1948) ibid P. 31
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105 Langdon E.M. (1948) ibid P.34
106 Langdon E.M. (1948) ibid P. 35
It is wise training to prepare children to deal with unforeseen calamities during the show itself. They readily adopt the idea of 'gagging', keeping up appearances, of covering up mistakes... There is sometimes a high state of tension and excitement just before a performance of a play with sensitive children of these ages, and the adult has a real function to perform in keeping these children occupied... in establishing commonsense standards of dealing with costumes which cannot be found, costumes which come apart and so on. In fact, the whole organisation of the 'green room' can be an essential factor in the success of the play...

In referring to the 'green room', the writer seems to have strayed a long way from a developmental model of dramatic behaviour. She returns to the problems of having an audience. She sees occasions such as 'Open Day' or 'School Concert' as opportunities for the pupils to present their own material. The pupils, in keeping with the policy of respect for 'audience rights' are to have a sufficient sense of who their audience are: "The children will not give an improvised performance on this occasion, nor will they choose a story which has meaning only for them: the play must 'speak' to the audience."

Thus the pupils in the Junior School are to be taken through the whole gamut of audience experiences, from unrehearsed 'playing for themselves' to 'playing it out with an audience there' in the intimate classroom; from informal to formal, rehearsed productions for the entertainment of adults.

It should be stressed that with this Junior performance Langdon is favouring pupil scripts from the writing of which the young people can learn the craft of theatre. She explains:

Children will come to understand technical points such as climax, a quiet scene, a sad scene, an exciting quickly-moving scene and so on. They will learn quickly to appreciate a little of what goes on to build up atmosphere - of pace and emphasis, the value of simple lighting effects needed in particular scenes, of focus on the chief
character in a scene, of grouping and tension. Individually and collectively, as the play develops, they will see the need for clear speech, facing the audience, crowd effects... They will see the value of the slow curtain, a quiet ending, a rollicking ending and other such devices... they pass from the earlier phase of acting to the beginning of dramatic art.

It may appear that here Langdon is turning Junior pupils into smaller versions of amateur actors. This is not entirely the case for in her final section dealing with the 11-14 age group she is at pains to retain some of the impromptu characteristics of dramatic play, especially where there is a need, related to immaturity, learning difficulties\(^\text{109}\), or a desire to 'let go' etc. She is keen is satisfy the contrary pull of two relatively new doctrines. In education, received wisdom pronounced that 'education of the emotions' was as important as 'education of the intellect' (she refers her readers to the authoritative figure of Herbert Read\(^\text{110}\); in theatre (she refers to the Russian maestro, Constantin Stanislavski\(^\text{111}\)), improvisation became the fashionable route to in-depth characterisation. The climate was ripe for both freedom of expression and learning a craft. For the secondary child she wants teachers to consider a broad front\(^\text{112}\):

Is there not sometimes a place for spontaneous improvisation and dramatic play? Does not the adult sometimes help the children to take their first unsupervised version of a play a stage further? Do the children not enjoy reading or producing a play from the field of literature, whether they or the adult, or both together, carry out the production? And through a series of well-chosen dramatic experiences do the children not grow to appreciate drama as an art? It is surely true to say that there is room for several kinds of dramatic work, and that often many types of work are needed in the same age-group.

\(^{109}\)Langdon uses the label of the period: 'backward boys and girls' P. 47.

\(^{110}\)Read, Herbert (1943) Education Through Art Faber & Faber London

\(^{111}\)Stanislavski, Constantin (1933) An Actor Prepares Theatre Arts Inc. London

\(^{112}\)Langdon E.M. (1948) ibid P. 51
Chapter 3: Play

C2. What are the features characterising acting behaviour derived from Langdon's attempt to see drama as a development from play?

This chapter has been based on a concept embedded in the 'Progressive' movement. R.J.W. Selleck, in writing of that movement between the years 1914-39 suggests that 'play' was one of the concepts all the reformers of the time shared:

From educationist to educationist the meaning shifts and within the work of one man many variations can be noted. But the vagueness of the term is, from the reformer's point of view, its strength. Whether 'play' is an idea, an attitude to children, a fundamental process of nature, or anything else it was said to be, it is something the reformers agree about, something to which they can give allegiance.

In this chapter I have examined three publications over a span of nearly thirty years in an attempt to trace a thread from an idealistic view of 'play' in education presented by Sir Percy Nunn, through Dr. Susan Isaacs' authoritative confirmation of the place of the practice of make-believe play in the classroom, to Mrs. Langdon's developmental outline of the practice of drama throughout school life based on play theory. In examining Langdon's work it became clear that while she rationally sustained the notion of child play as a generic base for drama practice, her frame of reference was undoubtedly her own experience of theatre practice. It is that thread of amateur theatre which we need to examine in the next chapter, for its advocates competed with 'drama as play' exponents for official support. Mrs. Langdon represents those who tried to combine conflicting philosophies.

---

113 Selleck, R.J.W. (1972) op cit P. 82
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The opening paragraph of this chapter began with a remark suggesting that, of all the 'trends' we were about to look at in Section Two of the thesis, the 'play' strand most logically followed on from the chapters on Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Henry Caldwell Cook. Perhaps, therefore, the most astonishing discovery is its distance from what Finlay-Johnson and Caldwell Cook stood for. Their classrooms were places in which 'acting' regularly featured, although they saw such 'acting' as play, or rather, as a play way or a game that created its own sub-culture within a school sub-culture. Langdon's developmental thesis, however, far from building on this overarching concept, sought to distinguish between different kinds of activities, between 'pretending', a child's natural form of expression, and 'acting', a skill, requiring a more mature, more serious, more sophisticated form of behaviour, and demanding more responsibility and knowledge of stagecraft. As we have seen, such a classification would not have made much sense to our first two pioneers, who would not have allowed 'mere' pretending in their classrooms.

The two educationists, Nunn and Isaacs, embracing the notion of 'play' philosophically, in the case of Nunn (1920), theoretically and practically in the case of Isaacs (1930), managed to follow Finlay-Johnson in recognising its capacity for learning but failed to see that capacity as something a teacher might harness in curriculum terms. Nunn saw it as a mental capacity; Isaacs as belonging to an Infant play corner or playground adventure. Isaacs went so far as to classify its functions, in admirable educational terms of 'enquiry', 'hypothesis' etc. Langdon (1948), while taking on the task, approved by Isaacs, of weaving connections between play and dramatic activity, gives little attention to the curriculum thread central to Finlay-Johnson's methodology.

Harriet Finlay-Johnson finished her teaching career in 1910. By the 1940s schools were such different kinds of places that there is a limit to the usefulness of trying to
compare respective emphases across that time period, even if both women, in their
different ways, appear to cling to a philosophy of 'play' in education. I believe the
fundamental conceptual shift in drama education, represented by Langdon's writing
is rooted in a departure from the notion of 'sub-culture', to the extent that any
differences noted in the above paragraph about attention to drama and the
curriculum may be fully understood only in the light of this radical, yet elusive
dimension.

Within Finlay-Johnson's 'game' of dramatising knowledge, its participants (her
pupils) were subjected to a bracketing off from the norm of what is expected of
teachers and pupils in classroom: the 'game' has its own societal rules, its own
expectations of behaviour, its liberating permissiveness, its burden of
responsibilities; its highly disciplined tasks. Within this sub-culture, 'acting' is as
natural and therefore as acceptable as reading books or copying from the
blackboard. Towards the end of Chapter Two on Caldwell Cook I mentioned that
Dorothy Heathcote had coined the expression 'permission to stare', in respect of
children being required by a teacher to 'get up and act'. I wish to press this
metaphor further. I believe that in a school context the pupils need 'permission to
act'. The sub-culture of Finlay-Johnson's classroom, and indeed, in a different way,
in Caldwell Cook's 'Mummery', gave that 'permission' to its members to see
themselves as actors.

I believe the failure to recognise this overriding factor makes the formulation of a
developmental theory by Mrs. Langdon and others virtually irrelevant. That she
goes to such lengths to demonstrate how child play grows into child drama is more
about searching for a status for drama than diagnosing an indisputable principle.
My interpretation is that without the 'game' sub-culture, alternative conditions for

115 On Page 41 of Chapter One, I suggest that the 'game' sub-culture in Finlay-Johnson's
classroom permits the acting.
acting have to be sought so that 'permission' may be seen to be given. One way of achieving this, [if Finlay-Johnson's and Caldwell Cook's ways are not available] is to make 'Drama' a time-tabled subject, for as a subject permission to act is implicit. But, of course, as a subject, the permitted acting behaviour becomes not only circumscribed by such school restrictions as syllabus, examinations, and time-table etc, but, more importantly, by the new status of drama as a commodity, something to be taught and demanding a teacher, a demonstrably qualified teacher, to teach it. It submits to an attitudinal transformation based on pedagogical expectations of how pupils relate to a school subject. They are not Harriet Finlay-Johnson's or Henry Caldwell Cook's 'playmakers' - they are now 'pupils doing Drama [with a capital D]'\textsuperscript{116}.

We shall see that those teachers emerging from the Amateur Drama movement aspired to Drama as a time-tabled subject in which their pupils might legitimately 'do Drama'. The 'Doing of Drama' will be the subject of the next chapter.

\textsuperscript{116}The significance of this phrase, 'pupils doing drama', borrowed from Peter Millward [Millward, Peter, (1988) "The Language of Drama", Vols. 1 & 2, unpublished Ph.D thesis, University of Durham] will be further explored in Chapter 10 of this thesis.
SECTION TWO: Chapter Four

ACTING AS AMATEUR DRAMA

This chapter will seek to demonstrate how the popularisation of the notion of training the amateur actor provided enthusiasts in amateur theatre with an entrée into schools. Frances Mackenzie's (1930) text will be examined for a flavour of such training. E.J. Burton's publication (1949) will be used as an indication of how the amateur training approach could be translated into the Drama or English teacher's classroom. Four selected skills related to acting will be analysed. Where appropriate we will draw upon authors whose publications sought to make a specialisation of these skills.

A. Introduction

Since examining the work and influence of Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Henry Caldwell Cook on the concept of classroom acting, this thesis has begun to tease out strands of development evident since their time and up to the immediate Post-War Two period when Peter Slade became a prominent figure in the British Drama Education scene. It suits the purpose of this study to attach particular fashions in classroom acting to pertinent images. So far we have looked at 'dramatisation' associated with Finlay-Johnson, 'play as a metaphor', associated with Sir Percy Nunn and 'play as an activity from which drama naturally grows', associated with Dr. Susan Isaacs and Mrs. Langdon. We shall later look at acting as speech-training; acting as a way into literature; and, perhaps the key to understanding 'progressive' drama in mid-century England, acting as movement.

There is one image, however, held by many teachers in the profession, that does not exactly match any of these. It is the view of dramatic activity in the classroom as a juvenile branch of the Amateur Theatre movement. It may well have been the case that if drama made any impression on teachers at all, it was the image of amateur and professional theatre that persisted as the most powerful image in the
minds of teachers, and of their pupils, from the 1920s onwards. Of course, many books were written on esoteric matters such as 'play', 'rhythm' and 'oral expression', but it seems a reasonable assumption (although I do not have evidence as such) that for a majority of teachers knowledge of classroom drama practice came, not from books, but from the direct experience of local theatre.

Amateur theatre, according to Mary Kelly (1939 & 1946)1 can be traced back to Mediaeval times, but, after Puritan restrictions on theatre, it was not revived until the 20th century. There were two distinct early 20th century movements: development of theatre in the cities (many to become known as 'Little Theatres') and a much slower development in rural areas. Most of the city development took place in the north and a number of prestigious amateur companies were well-established by the 1920s.2 The early part of the century saw new local energies for reviving village drama sprouting in places as far apart as Grasmere in Westmoreland, Wells in Somerset and West Hoathly in Sussex, but it was only after the First World War, through the auspices of the Women's Institute3, the Village Drama Society (started by Mary Kelly herself in 1918) and the British Drama League (started by Geoffrey Whitworth in 1919) that isolated revivalists could be in touch with each other - forty years of 'training programmes' followed.

A leading figure in the training schemes, and of particular interest to this study, was Frances Mackenzie, for many years on the full-time staff of the British Drama

Mary Kelly, sometimes described as the 'first national pioneer' of amateur drama began her village drama in Kelly, Devon at the beginning of the century. [Ref: Griffiths, David The History and Role of the Drama Adviser an unpublished dissertation. University of Durham, 1970]
2Examples were: Sheffield Playgoers; Huddersfield Thespians; The Leeds Industrial Theatre; Bradford Civic Theatre; The Stockport Garrick Society; The Unnamed Society, Manchester. A few southern societies were founded, notably Citizen House, Bath and three London groups. Perhaps the most influential of all these was the Madder Market theatre in Norwich, an amateur company employing, from its inauguration in 1911, a professional director, Nugent Monck. Its uniqueness lay in its purpose-built architecture, modelled on the design of an Elizabethan theatre.
3The W.I. was brought to England from Canada by Mrs. Alfred Watt.
League, as 'Organizing Director of Drama Schools'. Her publication in 1935, *The Amateur Actor*, became a hand-book for teachers of amateurs. The notion that adult amateur actors were trainable caused a rush of publications in the 1930s. Commitment, intelligence and a sound knowledge of theatre crafts were to mark the new amateurism. I will now look at her publication in some detail as an example of the attitude to training popularised by her in the pre-War period.

B. *The Amateur Actor* by Frances Mackenzie (1935)

For the amateur, Miss Mackenzie represented not only a source of professional theatre expertise, but also a new kind of mentor: an authority on what amateurs needed to know. Many amateur actors were, of course, elementary and secondary teachers for whom amateur acting was a hobby, and such teachers simply wanted to share their love of doing theatre with their pupils when they tried to apply Miss Mackenzie's 'common-sense' approach to acting to their school drama.

Mackenzie is keen that the amateur actor should learn from the professional, although she recognises a gulf between them, expressed succinctly, if somewhat brutally, as: "Broadly speaking, the professional does not act unless he can, while the amateur does, although he can't." Nevertheless she believes:

> It would be an excellent thing if amateurs could sometimes watch professionals rehearsing. They would realise what an enormous amount of detailed and careful work goes to

---

4Frances Mackenzie was the first of many professional actors and directors to be appointed to train amateurs in theatre-craft. Whereas some were appointed to organisations such as the British Drama League (Miss Mackenzie), the Village Drama Society (Miss Mary Kelly) and the National Association of Boys' Clubs, others were appointed to geographical regions - for instance Miss Lyn Oxenford in Newcastle upon Tyne and Robert G. Newton in Berkshire, both of whom were appointed in the 1930s to promote drama with the unemployed. See Griffiths, David (1970) op cit

5In the Drama in Adult Education Report by the Adult Education Committee of the Board of Education (1926) Paper No. 6 HMSO London P.97, the authors refer to attendance by London teachers at a series of lecture recitals: "The large audience of teachers of all types that these have attracted testify to the width and intensity of the interest in drama in the educational world".

6John Bourne in a review of Mackenzie's book writes: "The most sensible, informative and readable treatise yet published"

7Mackenzie, F. (1935) ibid P.5
build up an effect, which probably in performance appears entirely spontaneous and casual.\footnote{Mackenzie, F. (1935) ibid P.20}

For Miss Mackenzie technique in acting is a matter of creating a calculated effect. Such an effect is marred if the actor treats a line of script too intellectually\footnote{Mackenzie, F. (1935) ibid P.20}, or relies overmuch on expressing himself 'naturally'.

Mackenzie writes:

All acting is artificial; it is a fine art; according to Coquelin, almost an exact science. The amateur must rid himself of the idea that he is in any way fulfilling the functions of an actor by simply 'being natural'... the function ... is not to be natural, nor even to give an imitation of nature, but to give a representation of certain aspects of nature, interpreted in terms of his own personality, and having artistic form and significance.\footnote{Mackenzie F. (1935) ibid P. 22}

Nor should the actor indulge in feeling the emotion of the part as he plays it. Mackenzie explains:

The actor brings his emotional and imaginative faculties most fully into force when he is forming his first conception of the part; he then, in rehearsal, translates it into terms of technique, but, in performance, he re-informs it with a representation of that emotion which he experienced when he first studied the part. He uses what Wordsworth would describe as 'emotion recollected in tranquillity', intensified by the corroboration of his intellect, and stimulated by the presence of the audience.\footnote{Mackenzie, F. (1935) ibid P.24}

Drama in schools is not directly Frances Mackenzie's concern, but she does acknowledge that, exceptionally, lack of technique may have its own attraction:

One does occasionally see, in plays acted by quite inexperienced village players or children, an emotional performance which is entirely spontaneous and artless, but which moves us profoundly by the force of its sincerity. Such performances are rare jewels... But
"the sad thing is that these lovely performances can so seldom be repeated. They have their own value, but it is not that of acting." 12

'Lovely performances', but 'not that of acting' confirms a distinction drawn by some amateur and professional instructors between what an adult might achieve through practice and performances by children. From this viewpoint, what children do on stage, provided it is untarnished by technique, does not qualify for the term 'acting': children's stage behaviour is to be seen as 'artless', and 'real' acting, in contrast, as 'artfully' working to achieve a calculated and repeatable effect. Such a view is in contrast to recommendations made by Mrs. Langdon, writing 13 years later, who, as we saw in the last chapter, urged teachers to recognise that even pre-adolescent children are ready to learn technique and consciously respect "the rights of an audience" 13

Publications such as Frances Mackenzie's in the 1930s not only opened up the question of what acting entailed and the possible difference between professional, amateur and children's performances, but they also introduced the idea, no doubt derived from professional theatre-schools, of a training scheme embracing cumulative, performance-enhancing 'exercise' activities independent of rehearsing a play. I have underlined this notion of exercises as part of a training scheme, for, although Mackenzie is not writing her scheme with schools in mind, it not only gave authoritative advice to teachers seeking a model on how to improve techniques in acting, it solved the problem of what to do in a drama lesson when a school-play was not in the offing. It had more serious conceptual implications too, for whereas hitherto the notion of 'practising' was related to developing a character or 'practising one's part', as it was more commonly expressed, the amateur theatre, following professional theatre training, now introduced the idea of practising acting itself, rather like a pianist practising scales.

12 Mackenzie. F. (1935) ibid P. 25
13 Langdon. E.M. (1948) op cit P. 30
Most of Mackenzie's book is devoted to providing the amateur student with exercises both to improve acting skills in, for example, use of voice, use of gesture, effective projection, sense of timing, and stage falls; and to acquire stage-management and production techniques in such matters as rehearsal organisation and general 'show' management.

C. The influence of the amateur drama movement on the school classroom

Those enthusiasts for theatre with a vested interest in schooling seemed to have fallen during this period into four categories:

1. those who simply wanted better quality school plays\textsuperscript{14}. Drama would not appear on the school timetable.

2. those who want to see Drama in Secondary Schools as a time-tabled subject, to be taught by the English teacher [or, possibly, the Speech specialist or the trained actor]\textsuperscript{15}, turning the front of the classroom into a stage area for an \textit{active} interpretation of scripts in contrast to the traditional reading aloud of texts from the classroom desks.

\textsuperscript{14} In his introduction to \textit{School Drama} (1938) [The British Drama League appointed a School Drama Committee which, under the joint editorship of Guy Boas and Howard Hayden, both English teachers in Grammar Schools, published in 1938 a collection of chapters separately dealing with all branches of school drama from "The Dramatic Play of Young Children" by Jeannette Hennessy to "Religious Drama" by Mona Swann. (Boas, Guy & Hayden, Howard (1938) \textit{School Drama: It's Practice and Theory} Methuen London)] Guy Boas confines his remarks to the public performance.

\textsuperscript{15} Howard Hayden contributed a chapter to his jointly edited book [Boas, G. & Hayden, H. (1938) op cit Pp 32 - 47] entitled "Drama in the Classroom" in which he assumes, as did Caldwell Cook, that if drama is to occur in a classroom, then a stage area (for performance of a script) should be simulated. Hayden advises: "The class should have fair notice of all acting lessons... Where space is available a standard-size stage should be marked out on the classroom floor with tapes." The classroom was to become the place for learning about the craft of acting scripted scenes.
(3) those who wanted to evolve a developmental theory of Drama relating the natural expression of play to the craft of theatre. Drama would be in place as a school subject, mainly devoted to inventing dramatic scenes.

(4) those who subscribed to the notion of a course of training in Drama, a course made up of exercises, independent of scripted or unscripted 'play-making'. The performance of a play is not the immediate target. Drama would be time-tabled as a subject devoted to performance skills.

The above classification is crude and may be misleading, especially in respect of educational objectives which practitioners across the categories may hold in common. Nevertheless, it does draw attention to broad trends, which, if set

---

16 Such a developmental theory, as we have seen in the last chapter attempts to differentiate between 'We're Pretending' and 'We're acting' [Langdon E.M. (1948) op cit P. 18]. In basing her theory of dramatic development on psychological developmental theories, Mrs. Langdon pinpoints the ages of 5 to 7 years as the time when "We're Pretending' becomes 'We're Acting'. Publishing a year later than Mrs. Langdon, E.J. Burton, 'Master in charge of speech Work and Drama', Latymer's School, Edmonton, in his Teaching English Through Self-Expression, divides the activities for young secondary pupils into 'Let's Pretend', 'Let's Talk' and 'Let's Act', but the distinction he draws between the first and last of these does not appear to relate to the kind of acting behaviour, but rather to a difference in structural matters of 'plot' and 'climax' etc. [Burton E.J. (1949) Teaching English Through Self-Expression: A Course in Speech, Mime and Drama Evans Brothers London] Although Mrs. Langdon's tendency to use theatrical terminology suggests an influence from the amateur and professional theatre movement, she tries to qualify her position by making a concession to personal development theory: "The acting itself is the important thing, not the final result at this stage..." [Langdon E.M. (1948) P. 20]. To Frances Mackenzie and others such a statement would amount to a contradiction of terms, for, as far as the adult theatre movement was concerned, acting, as we have seen above, was unambiguously about achieving a final effect. However the acting behaviour is to be regarded, this third category of enthusiasts is caught in a developmental dilemma of deciding the extent to which the natural, spontaneous, unstructured dramatic playing should be sacrificed to the finished art product.

17 This last (group 4) of drama teachers assumed that certain skills and aptitudes can be practised as a regular Drama 'lesson'. For instance, E.J. Burton, a man who was to become a leading teacher-trainer in Drama at Trent Park College, London, describes his 1955 publication as a Course in Speech Mime and Drama [Burton. E.J. (1955) Drama in Schools Herbert Jenkins London] for pre-adolescents in the lower Secondary school. In this he is adopting, at least in part, the concept of a training scheme, appropriate also to amateurs. Indeed, in his introduction, he points out that many of the later exercises in his book, recommended for the more experienced youngsters, had also been carried out with adults. His book is full of short-term exercises which give his pupils practice in a variety of dramatic experiences, which, as he puts it, educate "the pupils not only through (Burton's italics) drama but in drama". Whereas Frances Mackenzie does not have to justify her course of exercises in terms other than acting skills, educational writers feel bound to explain their recommendations as of overall benefit to the maturing process. Thus drama exercises must in Burton's eyes appear primarily to promote personal growth (education through drama), promotion of skills in the art itself taking an important second place.
alongside the contrasted approaches described in each chapter of this section of the thesis, contribute to the wide spectrum of drama activities out of which, or even against which, Peter Slade began to evolve his own particular theory and practice. Perhaps it is category (4), the notion of using graded exercises as a basis for training young people in drama skills, that had such a ready appeal to teachers interested in Speech and Amateur Theatre. The Speech bias, which was usually regarded as part of teaching English, we shall be examining in detail in the next chapter. For the remainder of this chapter, we shall concentrate on training in other acting skills most commonly included in publications of the time. These are 'emotion', 'characterisation', 'mime' and 'improvisation'. The writings of four leading figures will be used as illustrative of the kind of thinking about these topics that was prevalent in this period. They are Frances Mackenzie and E.J. Burton (already mentioned), Irene Mawer and Robert G Newton.

D. **Acting Skills**

D1. **Practising emotion**

Both Mackenzie and Burton created classroom exercises relating to emotion, but whereas Burton\(^\text{18}\) was concerned to give his secondary pupils practice in emotional expression, Mackenzie wanted to give her adult classes practice in emotional representation. They are worth examining in some detail for what they reveal about how acting was perceived in that period. Of particular interest is the assumption they make that expression or representation of emotion is something that can be practised out of the context of a play. However, there is no doubt that such practice became part of a new repertoire of drama lesson activities, fulfilling the demands of training in drama without the exigencies of an imminent play.

---

\(^{18}\) Burton, E.J. (1949) *Teaching English Through Self-Expression* Evans Bros London. Burton's work bestrides all four of the categories listed above. His interest in practical theatre and drama sprang out of his professional interest in the teaching of English. I have specifically included him under 'acting skills' because he appears to be the first classroom practitioner in English and Drama to introduce a training scheme of acting exercises for his pupils.
performance. Let us begin with an exercise from Mackenzie\(^{19}\) who recommends the following:

Two people start walking across the room towards each other; after a few steps they stop short in surprise at seeing each other.

After the pause of amazement, continue the meeting, registering (a) delight, (b) anger, (c) fear, (d) embarrassment.

In this exercise the participants are invited to register\(^{20}\) emotion, but E.J. Burton, writing in 1949, explains that "Acting is largely a matter of 'letting out', and not, as so many seem to think, of 'putting on' attitudes, airs and different clothes. Good acting will depend on the feelings that come from within the children."\(^{21}\) But, as for Langdon, Burton holds that 'acting' is more than (just) 'pretending' and the audience must be catered for. To feel is not enough. He goes on to advise his pupils\(^{22}\): "If we act, we have to let the audience know what we are experiencing and what we feel about the things that are happening to us." The young actor, then, has the responsibility of both feeling and communicating those feelings.

Burton then proceeds to set up a classroom exercise in 'letting out the feeling'. He divides the class "into two sides, one to act, the other to watch". The first 'emotion' exercise is as follows:

Here is the situation. You are going to meet an old friend whom you have not seen for many years. As you walk along the road to the station you think you see him. That is the start of a feeling of

---

\(^{19}\)Mackenzie, F. (1935, [1938 edition]) ibid P. 75
\(^{20}\)As late in the century as 1972, a research document was published in America which recorded a procedure for testing elementary pupils' ability in 'characterisation': Smith, Louis M. & Schumacher, Sally (1972) "Extended Pilot Trials of the Aesthetic Education Program: A Qualitative Description: Analysis and Evaluation" in Beyond the Numbers Game by Hamilton D., Jenkins D., King C., Macdonald B., & Parlett M. [1977] Macmillan Publication Houndsvile Basingstoke P. 318
\(^{21}\)Burton E.J. (1949) ibid P. 171
\(^{22}\)His engaging style of writing is of one who is addressing his class.
joy. As you go further, you become more certain that it is your friend. Lastly, you actually meet him - the joy reaches its climax. Try to live that experience. Think of a real friend. I will describe the various stages to you as you walk slowly down the stage together.23

The teacher, in this case, the author, proceeds to narrate 'plot' and 'emotional' instructions while half the students advance across a stage area. Clearly he believes that the atmospheric quality of teacher-narration will provide an effective stimulus for the 'release' of his pupils' emotions - and that these 'real feelings', obligingly, will be 'released' in keeping with the teacher's timing.24 Burton seems to see this imposed structure as an advance on what he calls 'ham acting', which he defines as "...going through outward appearances, striking certain attitudes and so on, without really feeling the situation at all."25

Mackenzie, by contrast, straightforwardly trains her classes in the technique of showing emotion in a way that is disciplined and effective. Indeed the major part of Miss Mackenzie's book is taken up with 'production' exercises, in which she gives a brief, four to six-line 'practice' script and then, through elaborate notes, proceeds to 'coach' the trainees through their lines, dealing particularly with matters of appropriate emphasis, timing, eye-direction, position on stage, use of gesture and, above all, how to convey an emotion to the audience.26

As Burton moves in his book to more advanced exercises in releasing emotion, the discipline of holding an audience's interest becomes paramount. The 'teacher' is to

23Burton E.J. (1949) ibid P. 172
24A more extreme version is included by Burton on the following page (P. 173): "You are asleep in Bethlehem on the hills outside the town. It is the first Christmas night. You wake to hear the angels' song. Then you see the heavenly host. Finally, you hear their proclamation that the Messiah is born." Additionally, he expects that his pupils will simultaneously be able to "let the audience know what we are experiencing." P. 171.
25Burton, E.J. (1949) ibid P.171/2
26An example of Miss Mackenzie's notes is as follows (P. 131): "A'. should here express surprise and eagerness, but not pleasure, until she has heard what John has to say. Telephone conversations need careful timing. The pauses must be just long enough to suggest what the other person is saying, but not so long as they would be in real life. The change of mood begins to show in 'A'.s face during the pause, and in her voice in her next line; the speech should increase in pace and reach an almost breathless climax and exit."
write a list of emotions on the blackboard from which groups of pupils are to create a scene based on the selection of one of the list. Burton gives advice:

"Fear may be shown by a whole party who are sheltering from gunfire. If there are several 'releasing' the feeling, each one must be an individual character, with a slightly different reaction from that of each of his comrades. For example, the coward will show abject fear in every word and movement; the braver man will reveal his fear only by increased alertness, watchfulness, and a tenseness of the body."

He then, significantly adds: "Now prepare your little scenes. We will mark them and see which team does best."

Thus Burton, in a book that became regarded as an authoritative text, introduced what may appear to be two rotten apples into the barrel of drama education: a conception of classroom drama as 'little scenes', with all that implies of 'juvenile', 'not important', 'not to be taken seriously' and the notion of competitiveness. Indeed, one might wonder how such a drama of 'fear' could be taken seriously, and one may have doubts about Burton's assurance that this kind of experience is truly about 'releasing' emotion. Do the pupils themselves, stimulated by a list of emotions on the classroom blackboard, and spurred on by the approaching contest between teams of actors, believe that they have really experienced fear?

There appears to be an ambivalence in Burton's position that is not apparent in the unambiguously technique-focused approach of Mackenzie. Such a contradiction may be but part of a comfortable, belittling attitude to 'little scenes' - 'comfortable', because such an attitude allows teacher and pupils alike not to invest too much of their serious selves into their drama work, belittling, because they are dismissable as unimportant. If this is the case, Burton cannot be loaded with the blame for this educational deception - he was writing for a readership who no doubt found the

---

27 Burton, E.J. (1949) ibid P. 194
28 It reached an eighth printing by 1967.
contradiction acceptable and indeed embraced the rhetoric of 'true feelings' while reducing the activity to a none too serious classroom competition. One is reminded again of Tomkinson's warning that "In grasping at the substance you have even lost the shadow".

D2. Training in Acting - through 'Characterisation'

In Burton's 1949 text, the term that most often crops up in the 'Let's Act' section is 'character' or 'characterisation'. After the first exercise, Burton addresses the lower secondary participants with the question: "When the climax came, did you act as the character you represented - or as yourself? Think before you answer. What should you have done?" and, in his 'Notes for the Teacher', he affirms: "...the first lesson of acting may be learnt: the necessity of remaining in character." As we have seen in the last chapter however, Langdon (1948), in writing of the 7-11 age group comments: "They may show quite acute observation of people in their acting, but true characterisation has to wait for the fuller development of later years." For Burton, 'sustaining a character', however one dimensional, was an essential first step with pupils of 12 years.

These authors, like many others, were simply following what appeared to be a theatre law. For any drama to get started, an actor is required to 'adopt a role', 'play a part', 'take on a character'. Mrs. Langdon's comment that 'true characterisation' was something that goes with maturity was no doubt accepted as a commonsense observation, for two reasons: that it was assumed young children do not have sufficient experience of life, and that 'true characterisation', associated as it was with a dramatist's script, - required the part to be studied. 'Building a

---

29 We shall see in the following chapter on Speech training that much of the communal growth of interest in school speech and drama from 1920 onwards took the form of competitive Festivals. Both Mackenzie and Burton had a hand in promoting this kind of competition. Burton's suggestions for 'marking' to find out the best team would not seem to his readers to be out of place.
30 Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) op cit
31 Burton, E.J. (1949) op cit P. 145
32 Langdon, E.M. (1948) op cit P. 31
character', from Stanislavski\textsuperscript{33}, became the in vogue phrase, suggesting a sophisticated long-term process of craftmanship, which amateurs could barely hope to emulate. Nevertheless, however inexperienced the actor, the only available entry into drama was seen to be through characterisation. Whether with a view to rehearsing a play or, more relevant to this chapter, as an exercise to improve acting ability, 'playing a part' defined the activity as drama. Not until John Hodgson\textsuperscript{34} published in 1966 was an attempt made seriously to adapt professional actor training to an educational context. Hodgson sought to bring a degree of sophistication to 'building a character' as a central plank of a student's creativity.\textsuperscript{35}

**D3  Training in Acting - through Mime**

Tomkinson's (1921) shrewd observation about "even losing the shadow"\textsuperscript{36} may have even greater application to the popular practice of mime. We shall see that what aspires to the heights of refined spiritual expression on stage becomes commonplace when it is reinterpreted for the classroom. And yet it is arguably more prevalent as classroom practice than any other form of drama between 1930 and 1960. I have chosen to analyse the publication by Irene Mawer (1932)\textsuperscript{37}, who was the leading figure in mime education in this country, pre World-War Two.

Silent acting, dumb-show or 'Mime' as it was generally called developed as a feature of classroom drama from the 1930s. Many of its exponents properly emphasise the physical basis of this form of expression and for this reason, it may

\textsuperscript{33}Stanislavski, Konstantin S. (1949) *Building a Character* translated by Elizabeth Reynolds Hapgood, Theatre Arts Books NY

\textsuperscript{34}Hodgson, John & Richards, Ernest (1966) *Improvisation* Eyre Methuen London. John Hodgson, who started his career as a Birmingham teacher and subsequently moved to the training of professional actors at Bristol Old Vic, where he was Vice-Principal, was well placed with his background to establish a prestigious Drama Department at Bretton Hall, the leading institution for training teachers in Arts education in the country. Hodgson educated a generation of talented Drama teachers whose influence is apparent today. He is at present, in retirement, revising his 1966 publication.

\textsuperscript{35}It is perhaps of interest to note here that Dorothy Heathcote's influence was later to replace 'Building characterisation' with 'Building belief' as the drama teacher's shibboleth.

\textsuperscript{36}Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) op cit

\textsuperscript{37}Mawer, Irene, (1932) *The Art of Mime: Its History and Technique in Education and the Theatre* Methuen & Co Ltd London
seem logical to include it in the later chapter on Movement (Chapter 6 below).

Certainly, classroom mime activities, especially when accompanied by music, might well have resembled the movement work of Emile Jacques-Dalcroze, Rudolf Laban or A.L. Stone, whom we will be discussing later, but it seems that most school mining did not derive from the 'dance' basis from which these exponents developed their methodology. Indeed, Jacques-Dalcroze\textsuperscript{38}, as we shall see, viewed Mime as an inferior, reductive form of expression.

Mime as an art form, (as opposed to classroom mime) however, does indeed share the same roots as dance in ancient forms of expression. Irene Mawer, the first Mime artist and educationist to publish a seminal history of mimetic movement from its primitive and religious origins declares\textsuperscript{39}:

Thus, before man paints or carves, he cries and beats his breast in impotent sorrow; he sings and leaps in rejoicing, embraces in love, or kills in hate. Thus gesture and expressive movement are born from the natural instincts of humanity.

She gives a well-documented account of the use of meaningful gesture in the rituals and festivals of China, Japan, India, Egypt, Greece and Rome; and she shows how Mime or 'Pantomime'\textsuperscript{40}, as Dr. Johnson called it, featured in dramatic genre such as mediaeval religious drama and Commedia dell'arte.

The middle section of her book is devoted to 'The Technique of Mime', which includes expressive exercises for each part of the body including facial expression and this is followed by 'Teaching Mime', for, as she explains, Mime is to be regarded as "an educational force". Such objectives as 'mental control', 'alertness',

\textsuperscript{38}Jacques-Dalcroze, Emile (1921) \textit{Rhythm, Music and Education} trans. by Harold F. Rubenstein Chatto & Windus London P. 192

\textsuperscript{39}Mawer, Irene (1932) \textit{ibid} P. 3

\textsuperscript{40}The term 'pantomime' goes back to Roman times and has retained its original usage, (actions without words or actions to music) in North American, while, in the UK, it seems to have become associated with Christmas vaudeville entertainment.
'sympathy', 'imagination', 'individuality' and 'personality' are placed alongside
"...physical fitness, muscular control and nervous sensitiveness in every part of the
body."41

Part One of Irene Mawer's book is about the collective impulse of humankind to
express its elemental meanings. Parts Two and Three move onto a plane of skill-
training that appears to be detached from primitive roots. Part Two, no doubt
invaluable to the new kind of stage entertainer, the Mime Artist 42, is packed with
training exercises. From Part One to Two there has been a conceptual shift from a
collective, spiritual dynamic to individualistic, histrionic refinement. Part Three is
Miss Mawer's attempt to transfer these performance skills to the school classroom,
a further conceptual shift from exquisite artistry of the individual to the
occupational miming of a class, an activity she justifies as "thought in action"43.
She herself warns: "In teaching children we must remember that we are not
training professional mimes, but rather developing expressive personalities, and
are, at the same time, aiming at the unity of mental and physical control."44 In
carrying out this aim, the "teacher must have a clear progression in mind
throughout."45

The exercises are to be simpler versions of the 'body' and 'control' routines of Part
2. 'Relaxation' is to be harnessed; imagination is to be stimulated. On emotion,
Mawer, in contrast to Burton, has this to say: "It is seldom, if ever, wise to teach
emotional expression to children." 46 The children (she does not specify an age
group) are to be taught how to create a 'Mime Play', by giving them practice in
Stage movement, particularly entrances and exits, gesture, crowd-work and

41Mawer, I. (1932) ibid P. 202
42On Page 112 is a photograph of Joe Grimaldi and his father as a monkey and clown.
43Mawer, I. (1932) ibid P. 212
44Mawer, I. (1932) ibid P. 212
45Mawer, I. (1932) ibid P. 213
46Mawer, I. (1932) ibid P. 213
character study. She gives some specific examples\(^{47}\). She includes actions to various nursery rhymes, practice in walking like "Kings and Queens' and a number of 'scenes', one of which is described as giving the children an 'Historical sense and Characterisation':

The queen enters and is sailing along with queenly dignity in her farthingale and high Elizabethan ruffle (every one has seen a picture of Queen Elizabeth!) when she finds a patch of muddy road before her. She says, 'We cannot cross this'. Sir Walter Raleigh advances gallantly....

This prosaic exercise seems a long way from the aspirations expressed by Irene Mawer in her introduction to the book\(^{48}\):

Mime is of every age, of every people. Her heritage is as great as that of Dance, or of Drama, her fellow-pilgrims. What in days to come shall it be said that this age has made of her? A shadow, with a voice mechanical? Rather let us give to her new life in bodies freed and minds new-lit. It is our duty and our honour to live courageously, to the full power of mind, of body, and of soul.

It seems that the courage of her own stage creations as an artiste, found little expression when she tried to translate her vision into the classroom. Unfortunately, the high regard with which she was held turned her book into an educational classic and exercise in Mime became legitimised as classroom drama practice\(^{49}\). That is not to suggest that Miming is necessarily an inappropriate form of dramatic behaviour - we shall see in Chapter 5 how miming actions to poetry helped Marjorie Hourd's pupils gradually to understand the poetic spirit of a text, and there are many instances of children's own play and of dramatic play-making when children purposefully 'mime' actions instead of handling real objects. It is the use of Mime as training in Drama which may be objected to, partly because it tended to

\(^{47}\)Mawer, I. (1932) ibid Pp 226-231. Curiously, she has a foot-note about her examples: "These scenes are copyright and must not be produced in public without permission."

\(^{48}\)Mawer, I. (1932) ibid P. viii

\(^{49}\)Hence, the sub-title of Burton's first publication (Teaching English Through Self-Expression) is "A Course in Speech, Mime and Drama"
be translated into pedestrian 'occupational exercises'\textsuperscript{50} seemingly a long way behind "new life in bodies freed and minds new-lit", and partly because training in drama seemingly detached from the making of drama falls far short of the drama-making adventures of Harriet Finlay-Johnson's and Henry Caldwell Cook's classrooms.

D4 Training in Acting - through improvisation

Whereas by the 1930s terms such as 'Mime', 'Movement, and 'Dance' were well established as part of a theatrical or dramatic vocabulary, 'Improvisation' was in its infancy. To the professional theatre, in England, the concept smacked of indiscipline. Although the word was occasionally used by educationalists\textsuperscript{51}, no-one took the term seriously enough to make more than a passing reference. The term

\textsuperscript{50}The supposed value of 'occupational mime' is confirmed by leading educationists of the period. Irene Mawer herself affirms: "Occupational gesture is of infinite value to children." [P. 213]; One of the few photographs in School Drama by Guy Boas and Howard Hayden (1938) is of 'Occupational Miming (facing P. 10) in "The Dramatic Play of Young Children" by Jeanette Hennessy; and Rose Bruford, Principal of the theatre school and training college bearing her own name, [Rose Bruford College, Sidcup, Kent] some 26 years later is not only recommending the use of such exercises, but spells out which kinds of actions are suitable for different age groups. For instance [P. 59 of Bruford, Rose (1958) Teaching Mime Methuen London] in exercising wrists she recommends the following:

\textbf{Infants} \hspace{2cm} \textbf{Juniors}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turning on a tap</th>
<th>Using an indiarubber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Playing 'tiddlywinks'</td>
<td>hammering with a small hammer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirring a mug of coffee</td>
<td>Cutting out a paper picture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Seniors} \hspace{2cm} \textbf{Adults}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sharpening a pencil</th>
<th>Pinning up a hem on the wearer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winding a small clock</td>
<td>Typing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using a pair of compasses</td>
<td>A screwdriver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A more imaginative use of Mime persists to the end of the century modelled on the work of Pat Keysell. [See, for instance, "Mime in the Classroom" by Pat Keysell in Scottish Drama Spring 1995, Issue No. 3.]

\textsuperscript{51}The HMSO publication Teaching of English in England (1921), for example, refers on one occasion (P. 317) to 'happy improvisations'. Even as late as 1955, E.J. Burton in Drama in Schools in his one reference to 'improvisation' (Footnote, P. 65) feels impelled to place the word in inverted commas, and to use the term 'charade' as an alternative.
'improvising' had more common usage, referring to something being made up on the spur of the moment, but the noun, 'improvisation' presented problems, its meaning varying according to its purpose. Cecily O'Neill has demonstrated the complexity of the concept in her doctoral thesis and subsequent publication.\textsuperscript{52}

The one British writer in the 1930s to take a firm grip on the genre was Robert G. Newton, although even he fails to include the noun 'improvisation' in the title of his first publication [Acting Improvised], leaving the reader to discover that the whole text is devoted to chapters entitled "An Introduction to Improvisation"; "Some Examples of Scenarios for Improvisation"; "Musical Improvisations"; "Group Improvisations" and "Romantic and Fantastic Improvisations". His two publications warrant a close analysis for their author is using his knowledge of professional theatre to invent a form of dramatic activity that he knows from experience has an appeal to amateur adults and hopes from his observation of school drama that it will have a place in the classroom:

Robert G. Newton (1937) "Acting Improvised" and (1949) "Magic and Make-Believe: An essay enquiring into the relationship between theatre experience and improvisation.\textsuperscript{53}

It is indeed Newton's particular experience, during the 1930s slump, with a special group of amateurs, the 'working-class unemployed', that spurred Newton to find a way of doing drama that catered for such a group of novices in theatre. Thus behind his particular usage of the term 'improvisation' lies an image of a group that may not readily respond to the words on paper of a printed text, who may not have much tolerance for sustained rehearsals, a group who need to learn techniques of


theatre within a less cumbersome form than a set play, and who need an opportunity to discover their own originality and inventiveness, a group for whom 'speaking learnt lines', even their own, may kill lively acting. Newton rarely makes these deficiencies explicit, occasionally, merely referring to the special needs of 'beginners'; he tends, rather, to look for positive aspects, for example:

The spontaneity of Improvisation reveals resources in players which no other method could bring out and which will colour the inventiveness of their acting. Again, the degree to which Improvisation will "get over" to an audience is helped by the imaginative concentration of the players; it is the intensity of their imagination which almost hypnotises the audience into following with ease what is happening on stage. The more freedom actors are allowed, the more invention and imagination they will bring to their work.

Unconstrained spontaneity is not what Newton wants, however, and the rest of his book argues for a subtle combination of spontaneity and form. 'Form', according to Newton, is a central concept of all theatre-making, including Improvisation. In his 1949 publication he discusses it as follows:

Now every theatrical moment must be sympathetically related to the one which precedes and to the one which follows it. Attention has to be paid to the way in which they merge judiciously from one to another. The clear-cut and vivid presentation of a single theatrical moment is a vital factor in theatre experience, but even more important is form which is, in general terms, a harmonious, truthful, and compelling relationship between such moments...the theatrical moments must be contrasted and linked together in truth and should have in their relationship some of the grace and sensitivity that is found in music as it modulates from one theme to another.

Cecily O'Neill (1991) comments on Newton's insistence on a 'sequence' of 'theatrical moments' as innovative practice, anticipating, to some extent, the kind of structure built into what in the 1990s became known as 'Process Drama'. Indeed

---

54 Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 15
55 Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 20
he sees a 'scenario' as a base-line, giving shape to spontaneous exploration by the actors, who, he claims, can only learn about 'form' (that is, elements of suspense, contrast, mood and climax etc) and "appreciate the importance of Invention, Clarity, Economy, Breadth, the Theatrical Moment"\textsuperscript{57} from within the security offered by such a foundation.

Implicit in Newton's work is the presence of a director, club-leader, or teacher whose initial task is to select the material, and then negotiate its interpretation and transformation into theatre-form with the players. 'Negotiation', a term which was to be popularised in Drama education circles in the 1970s\textsuperscript{58}, characterises this first attempt in Great Britain to publish the kind of question and answer interaction of a leader and group operating as co-dramatists. The author offers his group a 'mountain rescue' story (significantly to be performed in what Newton calls 'dumb-show'\textsuperscript{59}; his class are not to be burdened with the responsibility of finding dialogue with such intense material), and the following kind (not verbatim) of discussion takes place\textsuperscript{60}

Q. What is the climax of the story?
A. The Son finds the father gone.
Q. Where shall the action take place-
   The spot where the father fell-
   Some other place on the mountain-
   In the village-
   In all three places, or in some of them?
A. Where the father fell, because that is where the Son improvised the shelter in which the climax has to take place.....
Q. Are you going to show the building of

\textsuperscript{57}Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 42
\textsuperscript{58}See, for example, the School's Council Report (1977) Learning Through Drama, in which 'negotiation of meaning' became a motif.
\textsuperscript{59}'Dumb-show' is meant by the author to convey a freer form of presentation than conventionalised 'mime' [See P. 7 of Newton R.G. (1937)].
\textsuperscript{60}Newton, R.G. (1937) P. 35
shelter, and the son's all-night vigil?

A. No. There will be a short scene, played in front of the traverse curtains, in which the Father and Son will be lost in the mist. Father will stumble, then - Black Out......

The discussion continues at length; some of the above points are returned to and revised. A proscenium stage plan is also drafted, showing the position of such seemingly key items as sky-cloth, cut-out, curtains, improvised shelter and traverse curtains. The above kind of negotiation could well have taken place in Caldwell Cook's classroom: a problem is set; the task is to translate an incident (with Cook the material was often a legend or myth) into formal and scenic elements. The latter for Cook related to the Elizabethan stage; for Newton it was the picture frame of the proscenium arch.

The comparison with Cook founders perhaps in respect of overall context. Cook's pupils were set this task within the identifiable parameters of the 'English' lesson; Newton's young adults, subjects of a politically contrived 'do good' context defined by the government as 'recreation'\(^\text{61}\), no doubt needed the motivation of a public performance, not just the task itself. Thus, for Newton 'Improvisation' is scenario-based experimentation within a rehearsal context aimed at giving an audience, as Newton puts it, "its money's worth"\(^\text{62}\). The second half of Newton's book (1937) is devoted to examples of scenarios for improvisation, with and without dialogue, suitable for what he calls 'Concert Party' or Variety' programmes, but he has a broader vision of its usage. He is aware of its application to the training of actors, professional and amateur, and has aspirations for its inclusion in the "emotional and imaginative education"\(^\text{63}\) that he suggests educationists are beginning to understand.

\(^{61}\) Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 41
\(^{62}\) Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 40
\(^{63}\) Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 42
Newton's enthusiasm for improvisation as a vehicle for theatrical expression is sustained throughout his career, but a change of heart can be detected in later publications in respect of his own emphasis in the practice of improvisation and, more importantly, in relation to improvisational work in schools. Whereas in his 1937 publication he confidently claims: "The spontaneity of Improvisation reveals resources in players which no other method could bring out..."\(^{64}\), by 1949 he is writing: "In fact spontaneity tends to evoke stereotyped rather than true emotion."\(^{65}\)

Newton's 1937 publication expressed an optimism about the use of improvisation in education that gradually faded. By 1948 he expresses a distinct hostility towards school improvisation. In an early issue of the first drama journal, *Theatre in Education* (1948), he wrote\(^{66}\):

> Recently the "making use of drama" has shown new characteristics which have tended to confuse the whole conception of its educational value, and these characteristics have shown themselves chiefly in the field of drama for children of the primary school age group.

The root of the difficulty seems to be largely connected with improvisation. I have for many years been an advocate of this, and may, in a minor way, have contributed towards its development. Today, however, in certain circles improvisation, or free expression, seems to have acquired such significance that many regard it the be-all of theatrical experience, particularly the theatrical experience of the young. In my own *Acting Improvised* I stressed the point that "there is nothing like Improvisation for teaching players to think of material *in terms of Theatre* as well as in terms of everyday life." The italics do not appear in the printed text, but I fear that that particular phrase would cause many of the present supporters to shudder and feel concerned lest their children

---

\(^{64}\)Newton, R.G. (1937) ibid P. 15  
\(^{65}\)Newton, R.G. (1949) ibid P. 29  
be tainted by too much contact with that terrible thing - The Theatre.

This was written almost immediately after what became known as 'The Bonnington Conference', a landmark in Drama Education idealism. Whereas, as we have seen in our account of Mrs. Langdon's publication, some attempts were made to find a bridge between the conflicting demands of 'natural' play and theatre, the Bonnington Conference served to reinforce a growing rift between interested parties. For the first time in Drama Education history the traditions of classroom acting based on amateur and professional theatre were openly challenged by a spokesman for a form of drama based not on theatre but on play. That spokesman, a relatively new arrival on the drama scene, was Peter Slade who clearly saw in 'improvisation' the possibilities of unfettered personal expression. Robert G. Newton's 'improvisation' was a disciplined, ensemble, proscenium stage performance. Perhaps it is typical of the history of drama teaching that two leaders in the field could both use the same term but with antithetical intentions.

There is much ground to cover in this study before we reach the section on Peter Slade; the 'Drama as English' and the 'Rhythm' trends will complete the pre-World-War Two picture. But first let us summarise the features that emerge as characterising the kind of acting behaviour associated with the 'amateur drama' route.

E. A summary of the features characterising acting as Amateur Drama

There are two distinct kinds of acting identified here as 'amateur acting' - that required of rehearsing and performing a play and that required of an 'acting

---

67 Langdon E.M. (1948) op cit
68 'Improvisation', as it was understood in the 1950s onwards, will be examined in some detail in the chapter on Brian Way [Chapter 8].
exercise'. It is the former that characterised Caldwell Cook's classroom and that motivated Mrs. Langdon's attempt to find links between 'pretending' and 'acting'. For Langdon the only alternative to child-centred play was audience-focused presentation. That one could free one's pupils from the immediate responsibilities of interpreting or writing a text and that they could enjoy acting by practising it - perhaps refining the representation of emotion, sharpening the techniques of miming occupational actions and gestures, or improvising a scene - represented a change that had both pedagogical and conceptual implications.

Speech exercises had been part of English-teaching repertoire from early in the century, but as Drama became a time-tabled subject, more skills had to be identified and practised - and 'acting' became one of them. This laid the foundation for the subdivisions popularly associated with the study of drama - acting; make-up; stage design; costume design; history of theatre etc- that crowded the 'drama syllabus' in the later decades of the century. The conceptual implications were less obvious. Just as doing a five-finger exercise at the piano cannot be said to be 'music-making', so an acting exercise, abstracted from its normal context, cannot be said to be 'drama-making'. They are both decontextualised activities effectively reducing meaningful potential.

Such acting exercises have a reductionist effect on the actors, for having something to express, whether through an author's words or one's own, necessarily gives way to competence in techniques of expression. This matters less, if one holds Mackenzie's view of acting as artifice, rather than the 'letting out feeling' position adopted by Burton. If Mackenzie's exercises today appear trite but harmless, Burton's descend to banality combined with self-deception, particularly in respect of his exercises referred to above in which 'real feelings' were supposedly 'let out' for competitive grading.
By the 1940s, when Burton was writing, it seems to have been, in response to the climate of the times, every exponent's purpose to demonstrate the value of educational drama as an expressive medium. One can see how, logically, it followed that the actions and gestures of a young actor should be true to or expressive of personal feelings, that, somehow, the actor's actions should be 'natural'. Burton, must have known that his pupils' expressiveness fell far short of or was of a different order from genuine (to take the exercise quoted on Page 123 above) 'fear', and yet whatever its nature, we, the readers, were to understand that it was something more than or different from 'putting on attitudes, airs and different clothes'69. By conceiving of his 'speech', 'acting', 'character' and 'making your exit' exercises as opportunities for personal expression, Burton could argue for the dual goal he described as 'in' and 'through' drama, that is, achieving both knowledge of drama as an art and personal development. In this way he was endeavouring to legitimise drama as education rather than 'just' a leisure activity. His passionate belief in what he saw as a 'rebirth' of theatre70 is unmistakable. He was freeing pupils from their desks and their texts and inviting them to invent theatre.

'Creating a character' seemed to all actors, professional and amateur, as the essential starting point for all drama. To some educationists it was the principal distinguishing feature between child make-believe and 'drama proper'71. It became the goal aspiring pupils reached for when they were allowed to act 'real' plays. We shall see how Peter Slade created problems for teachers and pupils alike when he

69 Burton, E.J. (1949) ibid P 171
70 See Burton, E.J. (1993) Drama in Schools 1930-1960: Some Footnotes St. Radigund Press, P. 5, a pamphlet published by himself (when he was in his mid-eighties) in reaction to what he saw as the absurd rift between drama and theatre in schools. Burton himself was a scholar of literature who found a practical way of opening up the theatre he loved to his pupils and students.
71 Piaget, for instance, refers to "when older children play real parts" (Piaget, Jean (1951 trans) Play, Dreams and Imitation in Childhood Heinemann London
sought to remove this particular goal, for 'building a character' was thought to be its principal defining feature.

In adopting the popular practice of classroom mime\(^\text{72}\), often 'occupational mime', no doubt teachers recognised its built-in capacity for quietness, concentration, observation and communication, along with its flexibility for setting-up, closing-down, and varying the numbers from everyone to two or even one player. Richard Courtney saw the possibility for sophisticated development as his secondary pupils gained more experience:

Mime Improvisations; these can be based on occupations (a group at a fruit farm, and the rest guess what fruit they picked) or occupations\(^\text{73}\) (half-a-dozen different characters put together in the situation, and the rest have to guess who they are and where they are)...As the children get older, the mime becomes more detailed: the class as a whole now might spend twenty minutes discussing and miming exactly how to make a cup of tea, or the actions involved in washing your face

If it is the case, as argued above, that any acting exercise tends to decontextualise and thereby reduce the potential meaningfulness of the actions, it follows that 'occupational mime' could be regarded as an extreme example of such reductiveness. It reduces acting to mere copying of something or impersonation of someone. Attention is directed to something or someone, as it were, 'beyond' the stage. The actor is not to be part of the meaning of the stage event, for accuracy of representation is to be treated as paramount. We shall see in the next chapter how Marjorie Hourd brought an extra dimension to the use of Miming, but it is possible that in many schools it achieved little more than a facility for copying, an activity that may require considerable skill and concentration.

\(^{72}\)For example, Richard Courtney's 1965 publication (Courtney, Richard (1965) Teaching Drama Cassell London. P. 51).

\(^{73}\)This appears to be a misprint in Courtney's text: 'occupations' should here perhaps read as 'characters' or 'personalities'.
To understand Robert Newton's practice with young people, one has to appreciate that the skills involved were those of the dramatist first and the actor second. They were to be trained in the craft of ensemble theatre. Newton's conception of 'Improvisation' was as different from the Stanislavskian rehearsal techniques as from, as mentioned above, Slade's interpretation of the activity. Newton was more interested in developing an improvisational programme of scene crafting, which included the learning of initially impromptu speeches as well as offering scope for spontaneous dialogue and actions in the final performance.

---

74 It was different too from improvisation as it was understood across the Atlantic. For example, Chicago Little Theatre was the birth-place of 'Second City' style virtuosity in the 1950s in which the material for acting was determined by the audience and spontaneously developed by the actors. The father of improvisation in the States was J.L. Moreno who had set up the 'Theater of Psychodrama' at his Institute in Beaver, New York in 1936.
SECTION TWO: Chapter Five

DRAMA IN THE ENGLISH-TEACHING CLASSROOM
A. Acting as training in Speech
B. Acting to understand the Text

This chapter will discuss two major publications relating to the teaching of English. The first, the 1921 Ministry of Education Report, gives detailed guidance to teachers on how Drama could be taught through Speech-training; the second, published just after the Second World War, offers a theoretical framework for the inclusion of Drama within the teaching of literature which remains unsurpassed at the end of the century. Its author was Marjorie Hourd who would have qualified as a pioneer for this study had she chosen to pursue the interest she showed in 1949 and allowed herself to become associated with Drama teaching rather than creative writing in which she ultimately gained reputation as an authority.

A. Drama in the English-teaching classroom: acting as training in Speech

In the last chapter, 'Acting as Amateur Drama', it was established that the notion of training in acting as part of a course was introduced in the 1930s, so that it became possible for a school to have 'Drama' on the timetable without the activity being seen as a 'rehearsal'. Whereas the concept of 'acting exercises' was new in an educational context, one aspect of acting-training, 'speech' had a much longer history. Of course speech-training was not regarded as training in acting, but as 'personal development'. Nevertheless its established practice no doubt made it easier to introduce related acting skills as drama became established as a subject.

A1. Teaching of English in England (1921) an HMSO publication

Documents published by Educational Committees set up by Governments often portray a vision for the times as well as a picture of the times, for they tend to invite the best of the profession to submit sometimes idealistic material. H.
Caldwell Cook is listed among the distinguished 'witnesses' to the enquiry, set up in May, 1919, just six months after Armistice, by the President of the Board of Education to "...inquire into the position of English in the Educational System of England."\(^1\).

In describing the "Present Position" of 1919, the above Report suggests that English as a subject is liable to be "crowded out"\(^2\) in its attempt to "show deference" to the often conflicting demands of current educational principles, which, according to the authors of the Report were variously interpreted as: exercise of mental powers; knowledge as power; learning by doing; utilitarian relevance; or passing examinations. In view of these sprawling goals, they recommend the adoption of an overriding, "ultimate purpose of education"\(^3\) in relation to which the above diverse principles are to take second place. And that 'ultimate purpose' is to be: "... guidance in the acquiring of experience, or the giving of a wide outlook on life.". Such a post World-War One, 'anti-rationalism' vision of education no doubt challenged the average English master. In their conclusion to the 'Present Position' section, the authors indicate a particular philosophical tension, between 'subject' and 'method'\(^4\), that is to recur throughout the century for both the English master and his later colleague, the Drama teacher:

We have looked upon it (English) almost as convertible with thought, of which we have called it the very stuff and process. We have treated it as a subject, but at the same time as a method, the principal method whereby education may achieve its ultimate aim of giving a wide outlook on life.

Astonishingly, for there is no evidence that drama featured as common practice (rather, the reverse), more space is given to what the Report terms "Drama in Education", than to any other aspect of English teaching. Perhaps it is the

\(^1\)The Teaching of English in England (1921) HMSO P..iii
\(^2\)HMSO (1921) ibid P. 53 Para 54
\(^3\)HMSO (1921) ibid P.54 Para 55
\(^4\)HMSO (1921) ibid P.56 Para 57
optimistic spirit of the immediate post-World War One times that prompts its writers to declaim:

It was in no inglorious time of our history that Englishmen delighted altogether in dance and song and drama. It is a legitimate hope that a rational use of the drama in schools may bring back to England an unashamed joy in pleasures of the imagination and in the purposed expression of wholesome and natural feeling.

Only occasionally, however, do the writers return to this rapturous note. The tone is mostly that of practical advice sprinkled with occasional warnings about pitfalls to be avoided. Fully aware of the range of activities embraced by 'drama in education', the Report introduces the major section on the subject with a classification: "For the purposes of school work the Drama may be considered in three aspects: (1) as something to be written; (2) as something to be read; and (3) as something to be acted." The writers even recognise that 'acting' requires further subdividing:

"(a) the performance of scenes or pieces in class,
(b) the public performance of plays by pupils,
(c) visits by pupils to professional performances of suitable plays."

It is (a), of course, that is of central interest to this thesis. The very phrasing of (a) betrays the kind of activity intended. The term 'performance' is being used in a straightforward, unambiguous way, readily understood in this period as young actors entertaining a passive audience. In this report there are no indications, as in the work of Harriet Finlay-Johnson, that the audience might actively engage as note-taking critics, directors or text revisionists nor, as in the case of Caldwell Cook, that the performance might centre on Elizabethan staging, and certainly not.

---

5The Teaching of English in England HMSO (1921) op cit P.319 Para 291
6HMSO (1921) ibid P.310 Para 285
7HMSO (1921) ibid P. 315 Para 289
that the participants see themselves as a company of actors. Such refinements, qualifying, as has already been discussed in previous chapters, the meaning of performance for Finlay-Johnson's and Cook's young scholars, seems to have escaped the writers of this report. They do, however, on one occasion refer to 'improvisation' as an alternative form of performance to the presentation of scripts. It has already been noted that both Finlay-Johnson and Cook (reluctantly) permitted this freer form very occasionally.

It is the word 'pieces' in (a) above that is of special interest, for its usage implies an acceptance of a mode of dramatic behaviour that was popularly called a 'recitation' or 'monologue', in which an individual entertained an audience with the presentation of a memorised poem or excerpt from a play. Where participants combined, it was called 'choral speech'. From further examination of the Report, it can only be concluded that the basis of the writers' conception of classroom acting was indeed a narrow one; they saw its educational purpose as the improvement of speech. 'Speech Training' and 'Oral Expression' not only earn separate sections earlier in the Report, but the whole chapter devoted to 'Drama in Education' makes continual reference to the need for improving articulation, voice production, pronunciation, and skills in reading aloud verse and prose, not solely for the sake

---

8 HMSO (1921) op cit P. 317 (They refer to 'happy improvisations')
9 According to Marjorie Gullan [Gullan, Marjorie (1946) "Choral Speech" in Speech of our Time by Clive Sanson (ed) Hinrichsen. London], Choral Speaking [or 'Mass Recitation' as it was called in Socialist Organisations] became a popular art-form in the 1920's in a number of English-speaking countries. It started in Scotland, when, in 1922, John Masefield persuaded a group of young Scotswomen to enter some choruses from The Trojan Women in the Verse-speaking section of the Glasgow Musical Festival.
10 It is worth noting that W.S. Tomkinson's book The Teaching of English, published a year earlier than the Report and described by the author as "A New Approach", also gives considerable space to 'Oral Expression', 'Practice in Speech', 'Formal Speech Exercises' and 'Reading' (aloud) (Pp 7 - 70). Reference has already been made to his criticism of Caldwell Cook (Pages 61-62 above) and to his challenge of the assumption that dramatic action is a beneficial way of understanding significant meaning. Tomkinson's name does not appear among the 'Witnesses' to the Report.
11 The Teaching of English in England (1921) op cit Pp 64-71
of elocutionary correctness, but as a way of appreciating literature. The Drama section concludes with\textsuperscript{12}

There will be no better opportunity for correcting them (speech faults) than the play read or performed in class. This, following on some instruction in the elements of phonetics and of voice production, ought to do much to raise the whole level of reading and speaking both of prose and verse. Their rendering of literature by the voice is not a mere matter of mechanical correctness, but is the final result of sympathetic entry into the spirit of the writer, and without it no education in letters can be complete.

Mention should be made here of the influential figure of Elsie Fogerty, another 'witness' to the Committee, who founded the Central School of Speech and Drama, which included the first Speech Therapy School\textsuperscript{13} in 1906, held the first conference, in 1912, on "Speech Training in London Schools and Colleges", and formed the influential Society for Teachers of Speech and Drama\textsuperscript{14}. Her influence on the Committee Report is marked, acknowledging, as it does, the important place of "phonetic symbols" in the "scientific method of speech training", a method no doubt more accessible to London Schools (Elsie Fogerty's 'territory') than to other parts of the country. The Report avers\textsuperscript{15}

It is emphatically the business of the Elementary School to teach all its pupils who either speak a definite dialect or whose speech is disfigured by vulgarisms, to speak standard English, and to speak it clearly, and with expression. Our witnesses are agreed that this can

\begin{footnotes}
\item[12] The Teaching of English in England (1921) ibid P. 328 Para 298
\item[13] The Speech Therapy Department of Central School of Speech and Drama was not officially recognised by London University until 1923, significantly two years after the publication of this Report, which includes the following: "The Principal of the School (Elsie Fogerty) expressed to us in her evidence the hope that if the Institution were affiliated to the University of London, it would have the same effect upon the dramatic side of University life as the Slade School had had upon its artistic side. It is not our function to express an opinion upon the claims for recognition of individual institutions, but we hope that the University will seriously consider the possibility of granting a Diploma in Dramatic Art..."
\item[14] For the first 25 years, according to Geoffrey Crump its first secretary, the Society was maintained by graduates of Central School: in 1934 it was reorganised and became open to a wider membership of "teachers with high qualifications" (Page 54 of Speech of our Time Edited by Clive Sansom [c. 1946] Hinrechsen Ltd London). S.T.S.D. is still in existence at the end of the century
\item[15] The Teaching of English in Schools (1921) HMSO P. 65 Para 67
\end{footnotes}
be done, provided that definite and systematic teaching is given from the outset.

Such an affirmation from an official document of the importance of Speech-training as part of the teaching of English, not only enhanced the reputation of Elsie Fogerty, the Institute for which she was responsible and other similar academies of Speech and Drama, but also gave a backing to the recently-formed British Drama League. The Report too gave unofficial blessing to the domestic Speech-training industry that was to spread rapidly during the next forty years throughout the British Isles.

As a result of this 'domestic enterprise' almost every town in England eventually had its 'teacher of elocution'. Sadly, it gave unwarranted attention to the value of drama as a solo performance - the words 'monologue', 'recitation', or, as in the Report, performance of 'a piece', became part of middle-class vocabulary - and the

---

16 In the May, 1946 issue of a journal entitled Viva Voce, commemorating Elsie Fogerty's work (she died the year before) she is referred to affectionately by her past students as 'Our Pivot'. The 'Pivot Club' seems to have been an association of her 'disciples'.

17 The Central School of Speech and Drama was primarily a training establishment for Professional actors, and like a number of similar institutions, it offered a course in the training of teachers as a lucrative 'second string'. Often regarded as 'second best' - what you did if you failed the audition for the 'acting course' - the teaching courses nevertheless accrued a degree of éclat not shared by the usual broad curriculum-based teacher-training institutions. To have been to a 'specialist' London Drama College added an expertise and glamour to one's teaching qualification. Many teachers, trained in this way, stayed in London to teach in the hope of the odd professional engagement. London County Council Secondary and Elementary Schools tended to appoint directly from these institutions, no doubt with a view to bringing the whiff of greasepaint into their classrooms.

18 Professional theatre-training institutions, especially those linked with training professional musicians, such as Guildhall School of Music (founded in 1880), the Royal Academy of Music founded in 1822, Trinity College of Music (founded in 1872), and London Academy of Music and Dramatic Art (an amalgamation of four Music Colleges, the earliest of which was founded in 1861), opportunistically set themselves up as Examination Boards in 'Elocution' (later to be called 'Speech and Drama'). Graded examinations for solo performers, from six-year olds to adults, were introduced country-wide and their teachers were entrepreneurs, setting up their 'businesses' in their own homes, attracting large numbers of middle-class families who wanted to have the speech of their off-spring improved. By the time Trinity College, for example, celebrated its centenary in 1972, it recorded 20,000 Speech & Drama candidates (throughout the world) in just one year [Page 50 of Trinity College of Music: The First Hundred Years by Harold Rutland (1972) Trinity College of Music A typical textbook supporting this private industry was Frank Ridley's A Manual of Elocution for Teacher & Student (1924) Samuel French London]

19 The British Drama League is mentioned three times in the Teaching of English in England Report, Paras 178, 322 and 325
British Drama League, in introducing competitive Festivals, unwittingly gave support to this peculiarly British view of the performer in drama\textsuperscript{20}. Mark Antony's oration over the body of Caesar, for many children, became either an address in private to an examiner across a table, or a competition fought in public against other 'Mark Antonys'. That some 'private' teachers were brought, often part-time, into the State schools, reinforced the expectation that a progressive view of English would include Speech and Drama and that any practice of drama in the classroom would be framed within a Speech-training course in which 'solo' performance of an excerpt from a play was not thought to be unusual\textsuperscript{21}.

This strand of development which sought to link Speech-training with the teaching of English and the reading of poetry or dramatic text with speech-practice led to two kinds of publications giving advice to teachers. Typically, a book published in 1930, entitled \textit{The Teaching of English}\textsuperscript{22} defines what the author calls "The Oral Side of English" as: "Elocution", "Phonetics", "Pronunciation", and "Punctuation". The inclusion of the latter in "Oral English" is justified as follows: "Punctuation has relationship to elocution because it depends on pauses and the modulation of the voice."\textsuperscript{23} Alongside such books on the teaching of English appeared a flood of publications dealing with what might be called the 'performance' side of English Literature\textsuperscript{24}.

\textsuperscript{20}Also a colonial view - many 'speech' teachers emigrated to South Africa and Australia etc and well-established commonwealth countries, such as India, South Africa, New Zealand and the West Indies have continued the practice throughout this century of inviting examiners from England to supervise their Diploma or Licentiate Examinations. For instance, David Griffiths, a highly respected North-Eastern Inspector of Drama, since his retirement has visited, on behalf of Trinity College Examining Board, South Africa, India, Australia and New Zealand in the past four years [this was written in 1996]

\textsuperscript{21}An unpublished biography (housed in the Theatre Museum archives, Covent Garden) of Elsie Fogerty by Marion Cole devotes two whole chapters (Pp. 144 - 184) to describing the efforts by students of Miss Fogerty in seeking the coveted gold, silver and bronze medals at the annual "Oxford Recitations Contest" inaugurated by Elsie Fogerty in 1923.

\textsuperscript{22}Palmer, Herbert E. (1930) \textit{John Murray London}

\textsuperscript{23}Palmer, H.E. (1930) ibid P. 69

\textsuperscript{24}Examples are as follows:  
\textit{The Speaking of English Verse} by Elsie Fogerty J.M. Dent London 1923  
\textit{Choral Speaking} by Marjorie Gullan (President of The Speech Fellowship) Methuen London
There was another aspect of classroom drama in which the 1921 Report took interest. This was the development of skills in the writing of plays:

There is the actual work of planning the whole drama, then of planning each scene, of fitting the characters with becoming words, and of making the scenes accord with the conditions of time and space - of time and space in the artistic, historical sense, and of time and space in the practical, theatrical sense. This is training in the writing of English such as periodical attempts at essays will never give. It is, in the fullest sense, practical English composition.

The direct influence of Caldwell Cook is perhaps reflected in this quotation, and the Committee also concur with Cook on the value of Shakespeare, while adding "But it is not always sufficiently recognised that Shakespeare presents great difficulties." Such difficulties are to be recognised and surmounted, for earlier the Report puts a stop to all doubters: "We feel no call to dispute with those who tell us that Shakespeare is over the heads of children. He is over the heads of us all." The Committee does not, however, have Cook's trust in the pupils' ability to cope with the text: "...it is inadvisable" they advise "that the first reading of a play should be undertaken by the young pupils themselves."

The members of the Committee were no doubt realistically aware of the gulf in terms of linguistic ability between the kind of pupil attracted to prestigious Public Schools, such as the Perse School and those 'sent' to city elementary schools. This kind of qualification of Cook's position on the teaching of Shakespeare is an

---

1931
Poetry Speaking for Children - 111 Senior Work Methuen London 1937, in which advice on solo or choir performance was supported by extracts from 'suitable' poems and plays
25 The Teaching of English in England (1921) op cit P. 312 Para 285
26 Cook's influence on experimental teaching method is perhaps best exemplified in a publication by George Mackaness, entitled Inspirational Teaching: Work in the A Record of Experimental Teaching of English Dent & Sons London (1928). While acknowledging his indebtedness to Cook, Mackaness originates his own ideas and is realistic in his assessment of the contrasted conditions of the Perse School and his own State School [See Page 111, for example]
27 The Teaching of English in England (1921) op cit P. 312 Para 286
28 ibid P. 86 Para 91
29 ibid P 313 Para 286
instance of their pragmatism. It is their awareness of what they saw as a general cultural inadequacy in the majority of city pupils that appears to account for their whole-hearted support of speech-training: "Uncouth speech has been assumed to be the natural heritage of the children for whom elementary schools were originally instituted. Actually, the accomplishment of clear and correct speech is one definite accomplishment which the child is entitled to demand from the Infant School."

The Report's enthusiastic espousal of training in Speech, with all that came to imply for many teachers of individual coaching, of solo performance, of seeing literature in 'performable', even competitive, terms appears to have contributed little to the status of dramatic activity. Indeed the expression 'drama in education', adopted by the writers of this 1921 Report, seems not to have been taken up in subsequent reports. A concept of 'Acting as solo speech-training' no doubt achieved a very high standard in some schools as the training became more sophisticated but it was about as far removed from Harriet Finlay-Johnson's conception of dramatisation as one could get - and yet every government report for the next quarter century seemed to have little to offer beyond that.

There was, however, an alternative route for Drama as part of the English Classroom, an approach that sustained Caldwell Cook's view of English on the

---

30Beacock [The Playway English for Today (1943) op cit] suggests one criticism was that "Caldwell Cook liked to work with a picked Form of brighter boys, and had not the patience to struggle with boys intellectually less-gifted." P. 104

31The Teaching of English in England (1921) ibid 64 Para 66

32In fact, much of the spirit of the Teaching of English in England report was ignored for the rest of the decade. Just two years later, for instance, the Incorporated Association of Assistant Masters in Secondary Schools published its Memorandum on the Teaching of English pursued Speech-training as correction of faults and acting as reading aloud round the class. Not until the 1931 (Hadow) Report [Report of the Consultative Committee on The Primary School HMSO] do we read of recommendations for the practice of, for instance, 'dramatising poetry' or 'simple play production' [P. 130 of the report].

33One of the most influential leaders in the field of Speech and Speech Therapy was Catharine Hollingworth who was appointed in 1941 as 'Superintendent of Speech and Drama' to the City of Aberdeen, the first Education Department in the country to take responsibility for Speech Therapy. [See: Tilling at Windmills, a tribute to Catharine Hollingworth O.B.E. by Alan Nicol, published by Northern College Dundee. 1991]
time-table as an excursion into the Arts of Poetry, Prose and Drama. That most of the publications on the teaching of English during the 1920s, 1930s and 1940s took the 'Speech-training route' in considering dramatic activity is perhaps a matter of regret. The writings in the 1940s of one teacher of English stand out as an example of someone who preferred to follow in the footsteps of Caldwell Cook, Miss Marjorie Hourd. Her major publication, written some ten years after Cook's death and appropriately entitled The Education of the Poetic Spirit, will be discussed in the second half of this chapter.

A2. Features characterising acting as training in Speech

Official and unofficial documents of the period 1920-50 almost uniformly endorsed this practical element within the teaching of English. Recitation of poetry and dramatic excerpts learnt off by heart became a common feature of an English lesson. Such practice, along with the widespread 'domestic' commerce in 'private lessons', led to drama being seen as a means of presentation placing technique before matter. The Speech and Drama Colleges attempted (and they work at it today) to break this mould, but it is very difficult with individual competence in modulation, clarity, sensitive interpretation and projection as the target.

Another related assumption was that such presentations required an audience and that part of the player's technique included the ability to direct his/her performance (unidirectionally) towards the audience. This point will be raised again later in this chapter in our discussion of how Marjorie Hourd, an influential teacher trainer of the 1940s, became interested in how an actor's presentation could be 'in-the-round', as a piece of sculpture rather than an oration.

B. Drama in the English-teaching classroom: acting to understand the text

In 1949, there appeared in published form a way of writing about classroom drama in the context of teaching literature that wrested it from the skill-based 'Speech-
Training' approach adopted by teachers of English for the previous thirty years. It could be said that in this respect Marjorie Hourd's book was in the tradition of Caldwell Cook, but its pages reveal a determination to find a theoretical basis for understanding literature through Drama that went beyond Cook's 'Playway' philosophy.

B1. The Education of the Poetic Spirit by Marjorie Hourd (1949)

For Harriet Finlay-Johnson writing in 1911, dramatisation stimulated, 'a keen desire to know', 'mutual learning', and a scholarly 'habit of mind' For Caldwell Cook (1913) dramatisation led to 'bringing into play what one knows' and, in the acting of Shakespeare, to an appreciation of style, a grasp of the dramatist's craft and the understanding of dramatic poetry. It has already been pointed out that Tomkinson, a 'progressive' teacher writing in 1921, challenged the assumption that finding the actions in Shakespeare would lead pupils to discover the poetry or that the 'dramatic method' applied to history, for example, would lead them to engage with the material to any significant depth. "Becket's murder", Tomkinson suggests, "will be falsified and debased", if dramatic art rather than the art of narrative is employed. Tellingly he adds: "In grasping the substance you have even lost the shadow".

Marjorie Hourd attempts to outline a theoretical framework that, while not directly countering Tomkinson's argument, takes the discussion in a direction that appears to invert Tomkinson's metaphor. One suspects that if Hourd were to have extended the substance/shadow metaphor, she would have been inclined to argue that dramatisation spurns the substance in favour of the 'shadow'. She draws on T.S. Eliot's image of "The paw under the door": she does not want "the pocket torch

---

34 Hourd, Marjorie (1949) The Education of the Poetic Spirit Heinemann London
35 Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) op cit P. 45
36 See the earlier discussion on Pages 62-63 of this thesis.
37 It should be made clear that Hourd does not actually mention Tomkinson, nor does she use his 'shadow and substance' metaphor.
38 "Paw under the door" is a line taken from T.S. Eliot's Family Reunion and is part of Agatha's
of observation" (Eliot) searching out the literal meaning of the words in a poem, but the sensing of "The paw under the door".

Like Tomkinson, she is aware of the powerful hold a teacher's reading of a story can have on her listeners. Hourd sees what goes on in the listener's mind as 'dramatic', a process of "losing oneself to gain oneself"\(^3\), by which paradox she appears to mean that the very act of imagining is self-enhancing, that by temporarily moving away from oneself in the world one gains a firmer sense of oneself in the world. She adds, more practically: "...often dramatisation of a most powerful and mentally active kind can be taking place in a class-room where an untrained observer might only be conscious of passive listening." Like Tomkinson too she concedes that: "frequently class-room acting reveals a complete lack of any imaginative understanding at work." For Hourd the solution lies, not in a dismissal of acting, but in harnessing its potential to express the unspoken, to glimpse 'the shadow', to be awake to "the paw under the door". Marjorie Hourd is the first British teacher to articulate what happens when a child turns poetry into action. Whereas Finlay-Johnson and Cook merely concluded that through dramatisation an understanding of the poetry occurred, Hourd, through observation during her own 12 years of practice in schools\(^4\), seeks to analyse the process itself. This chapter will attempt to summarise what amounts to a break-through in understanding of how drama can work.

**B1a. A broad outline of Marjorie Hourd's theoretical perspective**

Hourd, in presenting a theory of teaching English for Expression, takes advantage of the recent impact on education of writers such as Sigmund Freud, Carl Jung, Jean Piaget, Herbert Read, Martin Buber and Susan Isaacs. Hourd explains\(^4\): "When I began to teach these children, like most teachers I did not set out with a

---

\(^3\)Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 37

\(^4\)Marjorie Hourd moved to 'emergency training' of teachers immediately after the war.

\(^4\)Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 17
psychological theory to prove or an educational philosophy to put to the test. It was only gradually that I realised that my findings were in line with many of those of Dr. Susan Isaacs, Piaget and the Gestalt School, to mention a few of the most outstanding sources of agreement."

Marjorie Hourd is a leading example of an English specialist giving prominence to classroom drama\(^{42}\) as an art, that is, not drama as a vehicle for improving speech. She acknowledges child play theories, but does not attempt to persuade her readers, as her contemporary, E.M. Langdon, appeared to do, that her observations derived from such theories. She offers her own developmental framework based on a distinction she sees between the need for 'psychologising knowledge'\(^{43}\) and 'aesthetic aims'. In her classroom practice she discerns a continual tension between satisfying the intellectual and emotional needs of the individual, and the demands of a dramatic art product that is meaningful to an audience.

According to Hourd, the infant child, continually asking the question "Who am I?" uses dramatisation to find that out. The Drama of the Junior School child is directed towards "imaginative sympathy"\(^{44}\), a necessary attitude for the less egocentric (and therefore, in Hourd's view, more aesthetic) exploration of Mime Ballads, Play Making and Play Production. The secondary pupil, however, tending to ask: "What kind of person am I?", may rely more heavily than the Junior pupil on the 'psychological purpose'. Public performances, therefore, may be more

---

\(^{42}\)It is disappointing, from this writer's point of view, that she does not develop her interest in classroom drama in subsequent publications: Some emotional aspects of learning (1951) Heineman Coming into their own (1959) Heinemann and Relationship in Learning (1972) Heinemann

\(^{43}\)Hourd borrows this phrase from John Dewey (Dewey, John (1906) The Child and the Curriculum University of Chicago Press). She explains 'psychologising knowledge' as knowledge: "kneaded and made digestible in the forms in which a child could take it as his own." Hourd, Marjorie (1949) ibid P. 12

\(^{44}\)Drama as "imaginative sympathy" recalls Edmond Holmes (1912) categorisation of 'dramatic instinct' as one of the two 'sympathetic instincts' [See Page 27 above].
appropriate with the upper Junior and the upper Senior child, both ages having the
stability to cope with 'aesthetic aims', although Hourd is well aware of artistic
limitations in the Junior child. The 'psychological purpose' may have to override
any aesthetic aims with the lower adolescent.

B1b. Marjorie Hourd's analysis of her own practice
Hourd's analysis is more complex and more penetrating vis a vis acting behaviour
than any text we have encountered in this study so far. Unlike previous writers, she
tends to adopt the esoteric vocabulary of specialists outside her own field of
English teaching in an attempt to develop new concepts of classroom acting. I
propose to divide her analysis into three ways of looking at 'understanding':
'Freudian', 'Piagetian' and 'artistic'. Hourd herself does not explicitly write under
these categories as headings, but I believe they are a fair reflection of her text, each
offering a slightly different perspective on her central thesis.

B1bi. Observable signs of 'inner understanding' - a Freudian interpretation
Hourd's attempt at analysis appears, in her first example with young juniors, to
be fairly superficial. She straightforwardly describes how, after a reading of Sir
Patrick Spens, (without any explanations of any kind), the class ask, "Let's act it".
The teacher restarts her reading while selected pupils mime the actions, and, as
different children play the parts for repeated versions, "more and more meaning is
drawn out of the lines". She explains: "It may be necessary to read the verse
several times until the meaning dawns."

45It is significant that nearly all Hourd's illustrations of children's work are from the 8 - 12 age
group. Her examples from the adolescent age group, for which she admits dramatic method is
"less successful" (Hourd, Marjorie (1949) ibid P. 114 footnote) betray a less healthy focus:
"...their personal need for expression is so strong that they are more interested in themselves in
the part than the part. (Hourd, Marjorie (1949) ibid P. 105"

46Hourd, M. (1949) ibid Pp 31-33

47Tomkinson is not alone in mistrusting the general use of dramatisation. Frank Whitehead,
while recommending free improvisation of legends and Bible stories, urges teachers to be
discriminating in their choice of literature to be dramatised and gives Sir Patrick Spens as an
example of a text to be avoided for dramatic purposes: "How on earth", he rhetorically asks, "...is
the ship's foundering in the storm to be represented in the class-room, without destroying the
tragic mood demanded of it? Yet the stanzas describing this are among the finest in the poem..."
[Whitehead, Frank (1971) The Disappearing Dais: A Study of the Principles and Practice of
The above account of classroom dramatisation could well have emerged from Harriet Finlay-Johnson's or Caldwell Cook's classrooms, especially when she comments: "The members of the audience act as critics and give suggestions all the time as long as they do not impede the action. Generally without prompting they provide the noises off, for the storm, the lashing of waves and the howling of the wind." One is reminded of Finlay-Johnson's "However crude the action..." and yet Hourd is claiming: "The classroom ceases to exist as quickly as the apron stage of the Elizabethans dissolved into the rocky coast of Illyria."

Hourd's own interpretation appears at first to be no more than a bald claim: "It is this spontaneous and direct treatment of the ballad theme in mime which develops the meaning of it in the mind of the child." Earlier on the same page she has affirmed: "I believe that they are aware of the beauty of the pathos". Hourd reinforces her point by giving another illustration, this time from The Wife of Usher's Well. She first describes a lesson she has witnessed in which the teacher fails to get the class to explain the meaning of some difficult words in the text48, and then goes on to show how through the use of miming (in which the girl playing the 'wife' broke into spontaneous dialogue) an unconscious understanding occurred. "It is understanding at a level where the verbal expression for it has not developed, and will not develop for several years."49

Hourd is at pains, however, wherever she can, to justify her claim of new understanding "From hiding places ten years deep"50 on the basis of outward, observable signs. The kind of gesture or remark made spontaneously by the pupils reveals, according to Hourd, that a 'deeper' understanding or the capacity for

---

48 Hourd. M. (1949) ibid P. 34
49 Hourd. M. (1949) ibid P. 35
50 She quotes from Wordsworth's The Waggoner. P. 22 of her text.
future richer understanding is occurring. The child is unconsciously leaving clues, not explaining. In acting the 'wife' the child apparently betrays a mixture of "anger and despair with her sorrow". Hourd simply tells us: "She has understood". Such an interpretation, pointing to levels of or degrees of understanding and even to a potential for understanding not yet verbally expressible is in keeping with a broader view of the mind put forward by Freud and his followers\(^51\).

Hourd's interest in quality of understanding underlies her concept of developmental stages in drama. After 'miming to ballads', she goes on to describe the next stage in dramatic sophistication: as the 'dramatisation of story material' (which, she explains, precedes 'play writing'). The Iliad is one of her favourite choices with the Junior School age-group. One means available to a teacher of gleaning how much the pupils have understood of the psychological intricacies of the characters and their relationships is to invite each class member to write down (for homework) what character s/he would like to play. Of the results Hourd comments: "It is true that very different levels of understanding have been reached in these confessions, but Elizabeth has penetrated deeply into the sources of conflict in Achilles. She has her finger right on the pulse of the Oedipus Complex, and the homosexual stress in his character.\(^52\) What Elizabeth (aged 10) had written was as follows:

I like Achilles best...I imagine he was tall and very strong with black curly hair and his face laughing and ever so nice one minute, and then not a bit nice, all scowly. I think he was spoiled. His mother spoiled him. She was always afraid of course that he would get wounded in the heel. You can understand it, still she shouldn't have done so much. It made him so that he always ran to his mother after a battle or anything even when he was a great warrior.

\(^{51}\) Of course, when Hourd asserts of a child: "She has understood", no-one is in a position to challenge Hourd's judgement here: her enthusiasm for results may have caused her to project her own feelings about the poem onto the gestural 'evidence'. As readers we can only assume that the observer is recording with integrity. We have no choice but to take teachers' explanations of why they might approve or disapprove of drama at their face value: it is necessary to accept as valid a teacher's description or assessment of acting behaviour. As has already been remarked (See Page 151 above), Marjorie Hourd is not unaware that poor quality drama occurs in some classrooms; we can only assume she retains a professional judgement in relation to her own work.

\(^{52}\) Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 40
And then it was babyish of him to sulk in his tent - and he really didn't love Patroclus right. He loved him too much really...

This is a vivid illustration of what Hourd means by a child's 'penetrating deeply' without the linguistic capacity for 'explanation'. We shall be returning to this point later in this chapter.

Having given a Freudian interpretation of limitations on a child's understanding, Hourd seeks reinforcement from Gestalt theory and from the structuralist psychology of Piaget.

B11ii. Signs of 'syncretistic understanding' - a Piagetian interpretation

Hourd is keen to find further theoretical backing for her practice. She sees the ballad examples of acting behaviour as bearing out Piaget's concept of syncretistic understanding. She maintains that the child is grasping the whole, before understanding the parts. She links this with Gestalt theory both in respect of relationships between the whole and its parts and in connection with the disparity between two kinds of reality, the "geographically locatable, and that which is behavioural in the sense that it is phenomenal or experienced." She goes on to explain that "To the child" (the 'junior child', that is), the geographical and behavioural environments often appear as one. He can reach out, apparently, for the improbable, while achieving what is merely possible, or as Hourd puts it:

So whole are his conceptions that he is capable of riding across most difficult tracts of country if we give him full rein to his behavioural posture and allow him to do one thing in the belief that he is doing another. In this way we saw how he penetrated the difficult many-peaked country of epic and romance - took Achilles and Hector in his stride and had no fear at what he had done, for

---

54 She writes [P. 157]: "It is not just true to say that the whole is more than the sum of its parts, the whole is something else than the sum of its parts."
were they not himself and his own extensions as well as glorious people in their own right?

Here Hourd is recognising a mismatch, an asymmetry, between a Junior child's portrayal through acting and the hero he is trying to portray. According to her theory, at this age it is necessarily an extension of himself he is portraying, a 'phenomenal' or 'experiential' focus - which is sustainable only in so far as he believes he is focusing on the character. This hypothesis may in part account for some teachers' high degree of tolerance for 'crude action'. Miss Finlay-Johnson's explanation is not so far from Marjorie Hourd's: "However crude the action or dialogue from the adult's point of view, it would fitly express the stage of development arrived at by the child's mind and would, therefore, be valuable to him as a vehicle of expression." Finlay-Johnson does not go as far as Hourd in pointing to the doing of one thing "in the belief that he is doing another", but such a paradoxical interpretation of acting behaviour provides, it seems to me, a plausible counter argument to those advocates of 'occupational mime' and 'impersonation' of character we discussed in the previous chapter. Richard Courtney's recommendation for twenty minutes to be spent on "exactly how to make a cup of tea", for example, seems to sustain a totally different conception of acting behaviour. This point will be returned to later.

Hourd presses further with "processes of knowing" and attempts to classify them. On Page 111 she explains: "There are four processes involved: knowing and not knowing, knowing that you know or do not know, knowing what you know or do not know, and lastly being able to explain the knowledge or lack of it." She claims that dramatisation can bring about some level of unarticulated, (or even

56 A not dissimilar example of mental asymmetry was noted earlier on Pages 66 & 71, in Caldwell Cook's pupils' focus on 'staging', with the implicit or subsidiary focus being their understanding of the poetry of Shakespeare's lines. Cook's pupils believed they were performing Shakespeare's 'glorious people' while expressing themselves in the pursuit of a knotty stage problem.

57 Courtney R. (1965) op cit P. 51
'unarticulatable') understanding. It is developmentally controlled. She draws
attention to Piaget's experiment in his *The Language and Thought of the Child* in
which Junior School age children reveal "an unconscious grasp of meanings
beyond them on the analytical plane," whereas adolescents employed a complex
process of analysis combined with synthesis. For Hourd an act of intuition or
'feeling power' enters into the process for both the Junior and the Secondary child,
but whereas the pre-adolescent child is satisfied with this feeling of knowing
something, that is, knowing without necessarily knowing what he knows, the
adolescent needs "the assurance of what he knows." She goes on to demonstrate
how, if the extent of an adolescent's understanding is to be tested, there is no point
in inviting him to give an explanation - a trap into which Hourd suggests many
teachers fall - for this would assume a premature arrival at the final stage of being
able to 'explain the knowledge or lack of it'. Revelations about quality of
understanding at this pre-adolescent level can be achieved only indirectly, through
analogy. She gives the example of asking her pupils to select quotations from
Julius Caesar which they felt could be applicable to present-day (that is, war-time
Britain). Hourd gives examples of some fascinating results. One such example is
as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quotation</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First, Marcus Brutus, will I</td>
<td>This reminds me of Hitler trying to be friendly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shake you</td>
<td>with the Balkan countries but not really wanting to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next, Caius Caesar, do I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>take your hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once again an indirect method of teaching is exemplified. In this instance,
however, the pupil is not moving from the studied text to action, as in Caldwell
Cook's work, but from the studied text to an analogous context. It is in keeping

---

58 Piaget, Jean (1926) (translated by Marjorie Warden) Kegan Paul London
59 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 110
60 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 110
61 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 112
with Hourd's view of drama as a less adequate vehicle for adolescents. She believes that the pupils of that age group do not gain from their acting the 'assurance' they need that they have understood\(^62\). She suggests this inadequacy stems from "the subjective aim of their acting" at this stage in their development. She is not, therefore, suggesting that acting should be avoided, but that any dramatisation should be supplemented by written experiments of an analogous kind - by looking away from the text at something different, they can grasp the import of that text.

Hourd is aware of the psycho-therapeutic interpretations that could be read into a child's expressive work, but sees these as outside the teacher's province. Drawing on Buber\(^63\), she expresses the view that the teacher/pupil relationship, one of trust, is formed of a fine degree of inequality that eschews friendship, a weakening force in a teaching bond. She adds, reminiscent of Caldwell Cook, "It is also the job of the teacher to decide when he has become unnecessary, and is it not one of the most difficult things in any human relationship to know when to go away...?\(^64\).

She nevertheless sees the teacher's skill as "leaving the way open between feeling and expression \(^65\) and adds that "...children seek for themselves this therapy which lies in acting, speaking and writing." Hourd's argument here is related to the possibility of what she calls 'neurotic manifestations', but in identifying 'acting', 'speaking' and 'writing' as alternative vehicles for expression, she seems to be implying it is the very process of harnessing the expressive medium that 'leaves the way open' to new understanding. When she claims that after several mimings of Sir Patrick Spens, "meaning dawns\(^66\), an inference could be drawn that it is the switch to a different medium, from the teacher's reading aloud of the poet's narrative to that of gestural expressions, that is in itself generative of understanding, of 'meaning dawning'. She is not, however, explicit about this.

\(^{62}\)Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 111

\(^{63}\)Buber, Martin (1955 - originally 1947)) Between Man and Man Beacon Press Boston

\(^{64}\)Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 123

\(^{65}\)Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 16

\(^{66}\)Hourd, M. ibid P.33
Blbiii. The child as artist

To Hourd the arts can be too exposing for some age groups, for "a child does not feel free to express himself unless he feels his expression will be protected". She is convinced that "aesthetic experience comes more easily to the young child through dramatisation..." and that dramatisation is more protective than other arts (in contrast, it should be noted, to Tomkinson) because of what Edward Bullough called 'aesthetic distance'. Although it is not entirely clear how Hourd is using this latter term, nor why she should come to a conclusion that drama is more 'distanced' than the other arts, there is no doubt that for her it is aesthetic experience that is the basis of all education. Influenced by Herbert Read who holds the same ideal about the arts and education, she would like her publication to do for poetic expression in education what Professor Cizek and Marion Richardson did for children's art, but it is not made explicit whether she intends to confine this ambition to the composer of the written word, the poet and the dramatist, rather than the actor.

In order to devise a "scheme of expression", she finds a philosophical conception that embraces both psychology and art. Offering a 'unitary view of development', she affirms, following Susan Isaacs, that "...the child's creative ability is of the same kind as that of the mature artist." that "The ultimate aims of Shakespeare

---

67Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 129
68Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 167
69Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) op cit
70Bullough, Edward (1912) "Psychical Distance as a Factor in Art and as an Aesthetic Principle" in British Journal of Psychology. V, pp 87 - 118
71On a later page (P. 128) Hourd affirms: "...the teacher makes it safe (her italics) through the authority of his integrity for the child to think and feel". It may seem reasonable to assume that for Hourd 'aesthetic distance' is also a matter of 'making safe'.
72Read, Herbert (1943) Education Through Art Faber London
73See Hourd's footnote on Page 24 and her reference there to Child Art and Frank Cizek by Wilhelm Viola ULP, originally Vienna, 1936
74Hourd, M. (1949) ibid Pp 171-2
75Isaacs, Susan (1930) Intellectual Growth in Young Children George Routledge & Sons, London. Isaacs maintains that the child first organising act is no different in kind from that of the scientist or philosopher.
76Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 147
and the child dramatist... are as far apart as maturity and genius can make them, but
the process by which they reach them is the same for both." 77 Both child and artist
seek, according to Hourd 'noetic synthesis' 78 This 'unitary process' is to be found in
all imaginative acts. Indeed failure to achieve unitary continuity in artistic
expression leads, according to Hourd, to a mere juxtaposition rather than a fusion
of ideas, to a double image that breeds false ornamentation rather than integrity of
expression, and to phantasy rather than imagination 79. She describes "genuine
expression" (and, again, one suspects that she does not have the child as actor, but,
rather, the child as poet or dramatist, in mind) in the following terms 80:

For in all genuine expression this unity is revealed where the past
 glistens in the present and the future is held treasure there also.
Throughout this study we have laid strong emphasis on this double
need in the child to go back and secure his past experience through
identification, dramatisation and symbolism; and also his need to go
forward in anticipatory thought, in metaphor and correlative ideas.

If this process of evoking the past and seeking the future in a unitary whole is an
artistic as well as a psychological imperative 81, there is another, equally significant
kind of fusion required of the poet and dramatist, one which, Hourd warns, is "of
course beyond the young child's achievement" 82. She is referring to the demand on
the dramatist, in creating his characters, to find what is "at once universal, charged
with the individuality of the character from whom it was distilled, and unmistakably
the expression of that writer alone." He has the power "...to pass through the
particularities of his characters to a value which is beyond them and yet is
contained in them." 83

77 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 58
78 Hourd borrows this term, 'noetic experience' from Susan Isaacs
79 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid Pp149-150. It is interesting that she is critical of the Poems (published
in the Perse Playbooks) written by Caldwell Cook's pupils in these respects [Hourd P. 92]
80 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 151
81 Hourd quotes in a footnote (Note 29 Page 151) from Isaacs [Intellectual Growth in Young
Children P. 104]: 'Ability to evoke the past in imaginative play is closely connected with the
power to evoke in constructive hypothesis and to develop the consequences of "if". This quotation
from Isaacs has already been referred to in this thesis on Page 91 above.
82 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 60
83 An examination later in this thesis (See Chapter 9 below) of the work of Dorothy Heathcote
Hourd seems to be impressed with the writings of Freud and Jung, but sections of her book in which she attempts to elaborate on her 'Scheme of Expression' in terms of, for instance, 'The Nourishment of the Unconscious' or 'The Work of Sublimation within the Alliance of Form and Meaning', offer expositions related to the writing of poetry only and make no reference to dramatisation. Hourd seems to have worked out her theory more fully in respect of the written expression than for acting behaviours.

However, she can be explicit about acting behaviour. She gives an anecdote illustrating what she understands as 'perfection' in the acting of the junior school child.

...the perfection of some of the acting is such that it is not within the power of a grown-up to recapture it any more than he would be capable of writing children's poetry or painting their pictures. One such moment of completeness is vivid in my mind. The class was miming the mediaeval version of the Orpheus and Eurydice legend. The fairy procession with Eurydice in their midst was passing by the spot where Orpheus was playing his lute. He looked up just as she moved by and a recognition took place, perfectly timed, and at once remote and near; whilst the look of tender despair on their faces afterwards was exquisitely penned that I do not think I have ever been more deeply moved.

Thus Hourd gives us this example as an illustration of her ideal vision of acting in the Junior School. She is well aware of the process of reconstruction that would be expected were it to be turned into a 'public performance'.

The conscious artist would have to reconstruct that emotion in terms of the stage, and it would need an elaborate piece of will reveal that she too recognised the relationship between the particular and the universal as central to dramatic experience.

84 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid Pp 96-98
85 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid Pp 98-103
86 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 67
87 Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 67. Hourd's view appears to coincide with that of Frances Mackenzie (See Chapter 4 on Acting as Amateur Drama) who suggested that the artlessness of a child's performance did not qualify it as 'acting'.
technique probably to regain it, to make it tell. The young child...is not in this sense the artist.

Although she sees this age group as more resilient than adolescence in terms of public performance, she warns against trying to turn young children into 'conscious artists'. There is an interesting contrast here in Houd’s expectations and those of her contemporary, Mrs. Langdon. Whereas the latter writer endorsed the learning of stage techniques with the upper Junior age group, Houd clearly wishes to reserve stage artistry for older children, indeed, much older children, for, as has been discussed above, she sees the subjectivity of early-adolescent acting as a barrier to formal performance.

Houd is not interested in developing in children what might be termed the craft or technique of acting. The environmental conditions must not appear to demand such skills: "A very small space in a form-room is sufficient for such dramatisation. The school hall is quite the wrong environment. A few desks at the front can be pushed backwards." Intimacy and informality are to be the key to the kind of playing:

The audience usually arranges itself round the room, sitting on desks and in window sills and sometimes on the floor...In fact the scene resembles the setting of a mediaeval minstrelsy in one corner of the hall when the meal was finished more than it imitates the artificial arrangement of stage and theatre."

This emphasis on informality represents a move away from, say, Howard Hayden (1938) who recommended that "a standard size stage should be marked out on the classroom floor with tapes" whenever drama was to be introduced into the English classroom. In a footnote following her discussion of the kind of space appropriate to dramatisation, she adds that because she had written that chapter before "the modern trend towards arena staging had developed very far" she had

---

88 Houd, M. (1949) ibid P. 35
89 Houd, M. (1949) ibid P. 36
90 Hayden, Howard in Boas (1938) op cit P. 39
not at that time "realised its potentialities for educational purposes."\textsuperscript{91} She was here anticipating a perceptive article she contributed to \textit{Theatre in Education} in 1948\textsuperscript{92} in which she concludes that: "The round' is an inner quality...." and that "it is not so necessary for them (actors) to look round as be \textsuperscript{her emphasis} round."

The action before the audience, according to Hourd, "turns in on itself", resulting in less consciousness of the audience and of "the whole to which they contribute\textsuperscript{93} than would be expected from the traditional Western Proscenium Arch Theatre.

Again Hourd is concerned with 'protection\textsuperscript{94}. The necessary 'aesthetic distance' so obvious in proscenium theatre in which the 'frame' of the stage creates the distanced 'picture', is present, but achieved differently, in arena theatre\textsuperscript{95}. Although the high degree of physical intimacy (sometimes the audience could actually touch the actors) appears to dissolve the required 'aesthetic distance', it is effectively still functioning because of this 'inward' "concentrated form characteristic of statuary".\textsuperscript{96}

According to Hourd there is a "danger signal" to be read by those who wish to apply the new theories about Arena Theatre to School Drama. She does not doubt that the intimacy of the arena is qualitatively different from that expected in classroom dramatisation, and thus, she warns, it would be misplaced either to see classroom drama in terms of 'arena theatre' or to see 'arena theatre' in terms of 'naturalistic' acting. "The child and the artist share much of each other's nature, but their work is as different as experience and skill can make."\textsuperscript{97} The image of 'in-the-round' acting as having the three-dimensional density of statuary is useful: while

\textsuperscript{91}Hourd, M. (ibid) Footnote 5, P. 36
\textsuperscript{92}Hourd, Marjorie "Androcles' in an 'Arena'' in \textit{Theatre in Education} No. 9, July-August 1948, Pp 11 - 14
\textsuperscript{93}Hourd, M. (1948) ibid P. 13
\textsuperscript{94}See Page 160 above
\textsuperscript{95}Stephen Joseph (1968) was to become the British leading authority on 'Arena Theatre' [\textit{New Theatre Forms} Sir Isaac Pitman, London]
\textsuperscript{96}Hourd, M. (1948) ibid P. 14
\textsuperscript{97}Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 14
denying the necessity of projecting in a particular direction (as in proscenium theatre) or in a number of directions (as some early attempts at theatre-in-the-round supposed was necessary), it does not deny a highly-charged skill in three-dimensional expression, normally beyond the ability of the Junior School or pre-adolescent pupil. Hourd does not want the acting appropriate to arena theatre to become confused with the more 'naturalistic' acting of the informal classroom.

B2. Features characterising 'acting to understand the text'

Of the writers we have looked at so far, Marjorie Hourd is the first\(^{98}\) to attempt a serious analysis of acting behaviour. The description of her practice above lies closely to the principal interest of this thesis, whereas from other authors we have had to tease out the implications in their practice for a conception of acting behaviour.

The issues raised by Hourd may be discussed under six headings: 'The paw under the door'; 'Psychological' and 'aesthetic' dimensions to creativity; Concepts of 'understanding' and drama; and the concept of 'naturalism'.

B2i. The paw under the door'

Hourd maintains that dramatic action, usually simple miming while teacher speaks the narrative poem, can, through repetition, illuminate its more elusive meanings for both the actors and the rest of the class watching. Her theory is that by isolating (as we have seen miming does\(^{99}\)) the superficial meanings in the text, the spaces between them, that is, the 'deeper meanings' may be 'sensed'. Such a view enhances the worth of miming as an activity. Whereas Courtney, for example, sets the miming against an occupational 'standard' of, say, 'how to make a cup of tea',

---

\(^{98}\)We may also find that she is the first of a very few!
\(^{99}\)See the discussion on the 'reductionist' effect of miming in the Chapter on 'Acting as Amateur Drama'. Page 138 above.
Hourd is juxtaposing the crude mimetic representations with elusive resonances of a text\(^{100}\).

**B2.ii. Psychological and aesthetic dimensions to creativity**

These two dimensions, Hourd suggests, may make for conflicting claims according to the stability of the personality. The early adolescent who is more interested in 'himself playing the part' than 'the part', is less ready for a public performance than the Junior child who unselfconsciously takes on a 'part' that may be beyond him in both understanding and artistry. He plays himself, while 'believing' that he is portraying a character. Late adolescence is the time when the two dimensions merge into a synthesis. Whatever developmental stage, there is a chance, according to Hourd's thesis, that the experience leads to "losing oneself to gain oneself".

**B2.iii. Concepts of Understanding and Drama**

Hourd's use of drama in the classroom is to enhance understanding, for which she has an interesting developmental theory. She labels stages of development as 'knowing or not knowing'; 'knowing that you know or do not know'; 'knowing what you know or do not know'; and 'being able to explain what you know or do not know'. Drama, according to Hourd, helps a child intuitively to recognise that s/he has learnt something, that s/he knows something new. While satisfying the Junior School child, this unconscious grasp of meanings is not enough for the older child who, not yet ready to explain, what s/he knows, needs a degree of protection which acting may not provide.

\(^{100}\)It should be stressed that Courtney, writing in the 1960s, was not the only exponent of unidimensional actions in drama. Patrick Creber [Creber, J.W. Patrick (1965), Sense and Sensibility ULP London] publishing in the same year as Courtney, included in his book on the 'Philosophy and Practice of English Teaching', a chapter on Drama in which he states that accuracy should be a criterion of 'expressive movement': "After the children [he is writing of Secondary pupils] have worked as a class on simple individual mime exercises, they may be asked to assess each other's efforts - to estimate how adequately John conveyed the idea that it was a hot day, or Gill that she was fed up with homework." Pp. 89-90
Hourd relies heavily on what may well be a basic law of drama education or drama therapy - she consecutively (and sometimes, simultaneously) harnesses more than one medium of expression. At its most superficial, pupils read the text or hear a text read and translate those words into mimic actions; at its most sophisticated, having read the story, they write about which character they would choose to play and why or penetrate a difficult text through analogy. It is the act of reinterpreting through a different medium, whether from (to take examples emanating from my own and others' teaching) acting into drawing, or talking about feelings, or turning it into a story, or making a formal report, or recapitulating as a character etc. that generates the new understanding, even if derived from quite pedestrian 'acting'. Marjorie Hourd in this began an approach that became a central feature of Drama teaching in the final three decades of this century.

B2iv. The concept of 'naturalism' in acting

Hourd, newly experiencing 'theatre-in-the-round', adopts the useful notion of 'being' round in relation to the kind of acting required and she further recognises that this would be an equally useful way of describing the acting behaviour of children in the more informal 'minstrelsy' setting of the intimate classroom performance. Having said this she is keen to make sure her readers understand that the two contexts, while sharing the 'statuary' style of acting, nevertheless part company when it comes to artistry, for 'in-the-round', like 'proscenium arch' requires a degree of technique and craftsmanship of which children are not capable. She does not want it to be assumed that 'theatre-in-the-round' is but a public version of children's 'naturalistic' classroom acting.

Common to both Hourd's and Caldwell Cook's classrooms, perhaps to a lesser degree in Hourd's, is the notion of pupils as craftsmen. The term 'craft' may be legitimately applied to the pupil's function as a 'dramatist' (as exemplified in both Hourd's and Caldwell Cook's classrooms) or as a 'stage-manager/director' as
established in Caldwell Cook's classrooms, but not necessarily to the pupil's skill as an actor, which Hourd designates as lacking in "perfected technique"\(^{101}\). Hourd does not want to see the pupil/"actor' achieving 'artistry', for she reserves that term for the 'artifice'\(^{102}\) of rehearsing and reproducing emotional truths of the script, to make them 'artifice'\(^{103}\). Caldwell Cook, on the other hand, while appearing not to train his pupils in 'acting techniques', nevertheless encourages them to match the "bold, definite touch"\(^{104}\) of Shakespeare's words with appropriate actions\(^{105}\). It seems then that by 'naturalistic' Hourd means 'acting that is unrehearsed and lacking in perfected technique'; it may, in its spontaneity, express complexities of emotion and meaning, but is without the technique to revive that emotion and meaning for an audience. However, is the kind of drama in Hourd's classroom justifiably regarded as 'natural'? It could be argued that 'Miming' is not lacking in artifice; that spontaneously acting the words of a script, even written by the pupils themselves, requires some performance skill. Indeed there are two forms of dramatic behaviour to which 'naturalistic' may more readily apply. An examination of these may well throw light on how best to describe the acting behaviour in Hourd's classroom.

There is the kind of free play which Hourd herself has observed in a "modern Nursery School"\(^{106}\) and to which E.M. Langdon applies the term 'unstructured playing'. This kind of acting behaviour, or spielen (Froebel), or 'pretend' behaviour, as Langdon also calls it\(^{107}\), must surely qualify for 'naturalistic', if indeed the term is to have any validity in relation to acting behaviour. 'Unstructured playing' or 'Pretending' appears to be less subjected to formal, social, technical or thematic prescriptions than other forms of acting.

\(^{101}\)Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 24
\(^{102}\)'Artifice' is this thesis writer's term
\(^{103}\)Using a term Hourd employs. P. 67 of her text.
\(^{104}\)Cook, H. Caldwell (1917) op cit P. 214
\(^{105}\)Indeed, what Cook regards as "being distinct and broad in their treatment" [P. 213], looks, to our present-day eye, rather stilted in the photographs reproduced in his publication.
\(^{106}\)Hourd, M. (1948) ibid P. 24
\(^{107}\)According to E.M. Langdon, at the ages of 5-7, as has already been noted, [See P. ? above] "We're Pretending" becomes "We're Acting" [Dramatic Work with Children (1948) op cit P. 18]
Of relevance here is a form of acting behaviour for which Caldwell Cook has very
low tolerance in the classroom. Earlier in this thesis\textsuperscript{108}, his arguments against the
introduction into the classroom of what he calls 'realism' were noted, but it is now
appropriate to return to them as, not only do they highlight contrasts with the
Houard approach, they also draw attention to a form of classroom practice that has
featured increasingly from Cook's time to present day, a representation of, to use
Caldwell Cook's words, "the incidents of everyday life\textsuperscript{109}". This kind of acting
behaviour appears to have a slight kinship with 'unstructured playing' of the
Nursery School, in that the staging, acting, shaping and the choosing of themes are
regulated by the pupils themselves. For Caldwell Cook, it is the subject-matter of
such drama that is its undoing: "...the incidents of everyday life, before they can
become fit stuff for drama, have to undergo a process of refinement or sifting, and
it is too much to expect this work of young boys."\textsuperscript{110} Caldwell Cook is not
dismissing the dramatic potential of inferior material, but rather placing it beyond
the skill and maturity of his pupils.

It seems that Caldwell Cook is arguing against both 'realism' and 'naturalism', if the
former is to refer to the familiar and the latter to a lack of theatrical style. E.M.
Langdon, however, reluctantly acknowledges the apparent need in some children
for both these. When she writes in connection with the same age group as Caldwell
Cook's pupils: "Some groups of children will want endless improvisation, charades
and quickly prepared group plays to perform to their club audience or
classmates"\textsuperscript{111}, she is showing at least a tolerance for spontaneous presentation of
'everyday' material, an exercise in "impressions and appearances" that was to
become part of a regular diet of many English\textsuperscript{112} as well as Drama lessons.\textsuperscript{113}

\textsuperscript{108}See Pages 73-74 above.
\textsuperscript{109}Cook, H. Caldwell (1917) op cit P. 171
\textsuperscript{110}See Cook H. Caldwell (1917) ibid P. 271 and P. 74 above.
\textsuperscript{111}Langdon, E.M. (1948) op cit P. 54
\textsuperscript{112}Frank Whithead, in his 1966 publication [Whithead. Frank (1966) The Disappearing Daisya
When Marjorie Hourd uses the term 'naturalistic', however, she clearly is not referring to glib performances of everyday material. There is a sense, then, in which the Drama of Hourd's pupils might be regarded as 'non-naturalistic' - in respect of its 'Romantic' material and in its kind of informal staging for an intimate audience. But, more distinctively 'non-naturalistic', a loftiness is achieved in the dialogue of the Junior pupils' own play-making, which is scripted from their close study of the literature. Hourd gives us an example, which includes a speech from Thetis before Zeus, on Mount Olympus:

Thetis: Promise me - O promise me, Father Zeus. Do as I ask I am sad and weary. O bow your head as a sure sign that you will give the Trojans victory.

(Zeus does not answer and there is silence)

This kind of stylised language from a Junior School child is far removed from the domestic 'sketch' or casual 'cops and robbers' improvisation that Caldwell Cook determinedly checked in 1913, but was positively encouraged by the 1950s. There was nothing casual about the spontaneous dialogue in Hourd's classroom. Here is another example:

Hera: Yes, my son, you are quite right, even if you are younger than your mother. (With a sigh.) I have still a great deal to learn.

---

A study of the principles and practice of English teaching Chatto & Windus London P. 126] writes enthusiastically of "free' or 'creative' or 'improvised' drama" as part of an English teacher's responsibility. 'Local market-place on a Saturday afternoon' and 'a crowded beach on a hot summer day' seem far removed from Cook's concept of 'Play Way' and Hourd's 'Poetic Spirit'.

The term 'sketch' might fit here, for a drama that aims at accurate reporting, but does not go "beyond impressions and appearances". The North American equivalent is 'skit'.

Writing in 1965, Creber (op cit P. 87) suggests that teachers trying out drama for the first time might want "to combat at the outset the superficiality of 'cops-and-robbers'. On the previous page Creber admitted that "in some cases what masquerades under the name of free drama may be little more than superficial, exuberant tomfoolery."
It seems reasonable to regard this kind of dramatisation as 'non-naturalistic', but it may be more difficult to characterise the pupils' acting behaviour in their performance of Mime-Ballads. Hourd does not give a detailed account of the kind of gestures they made. It seems unlikely that these Junior girls adopted the artificial signing of Caldwell Cook's 'Miming', as no mention is made of any kind of training. It may indeed be the case that for the most part her pupils' actions were simple imitations of actions described in the poetry. It has already been noted, however, that from the example given above of Orpheus and Eurydice that some acting appears to have risen above simple gestural imitation. No doubt the quality was variable, but even minimal achievement in acting, (i.e. the loosest 'sketching' of obvious actions), in this kind of dramatisation, is juxtaposed against the reading (often adult) of the verse, so that the overall impact carries a 'non-naturalistic' effect.

Hourd claims that the trying and retrying of different ways of doing the actions enhances the chances of "half-born thoughts" becoming expressed through the actions. It is, as has been observed earlier in this chapter, as if the act of translating the unfamiliar images of the poem into a different artistic medium releases unarticulated thoughts and feelings.

If the actions of these Junior School pupils can become charged with subtleties of meaning beyond 'impressions and appearances', then, at its best, this kind of acting-behaviour could be said to be artistically expressive, that is, gesture and stillness combining to tell of "the paw under the door". In the next chapter, the term 'musical gesture' is introduced as the Eurhythmist's way of describing the poetry of action.

---

115See Pages 162-3 above.
116Hourd, M. (1949) ibid P. 35
117Caldwell Cook's phrase
C. Summary of Chapter

This chapter has largely focused on two publications, *The Teaching of English in England* (1921) and *The Poetic Spirit*, intended by me to be perceived as representing two contrasted ideologies relating to the Drama strand of English teaching. For the writers of the government sponsored document classroom dramatic activity was to be grounded in the improvement of Speech; for Marjorie Hound, Drama was to provide pupils with a key to engaging with the meanings of a text. The former was skill-based; the latter awakened understanding. These contrasted perceptions appeared to affect expectations of acting behaviour, the solo recitation dominating the 'Speech' lesson; Miming as an accompaniment to verse-speaking characterising the quest for the 'poetic spirit'.

Notable authors on Drama and the teaching of English

English has continued throughout this century to be a major vehicle for Drama, each period reflecting current Drama practice. The publications in the second half of the century of leading English teachers such as E.J. Burton (1949)118 Chris Parry (1972)119, John Seely (1976)120, Ken Byron (1986)121 Peter Creber (1990)122 all sought to include the most up to date approaches to drama within their English orbit. From 1986 onwards the Cambridge project newsletter,

119 Parry, Christopher (1972) *English Through Drama* CUP
120 Seely, John (1976) *In Context: Language and Drama in the Secondary School* OUP
121 Byron, Ken *Drama in the English Classroom* Methuen London
Shakespeare and Schools, edited by Rex Gibson, rekindled interest in imaginative approaches to Shakespeare.
SECTION TWO: Chapter Six

ACTING AS RHYTHM

The speech-training and the enactment of ballads etc. discussed in the last chapter appeared to have connotations beyond that of a practical way of teaching English. That a physical dimension, however modest, was being introduced into the teaching of an academic subject was in keeping with and an example of the gradual breaking down of subject divisions. For instance, a new way of regarding possible interrelatedness among time-tabled subjects led to the 1931 version of the 'Hadow' report's tentatively opening up the possibility of links between Physical Education and Music. Drama and Dance were also mentioned in the same report as having aesthetic qualities in common with Drawing and Games. At one point in the report Physical Education was linked with Music and Drama. Significantly, in its section on 'Drawing and elementary Art', the following comment is made: "It is generally agreed that all children should feel and recognise the joy of colour, and the pleasure of rhythm and harmonious pattern" and it is further explained: "...rhythm is as much felt in such activities as pattern making, weaving and stitchery as in dancing, verse speaking and singing." Thus (albeit, towards the end of their report) the 1931 Committee urge the place of 'rhythm' as a basic component of those school activities that require some kind of physical expression or manipulation. Through 'rhythm' such diverse activities as stitchery and verse-speaking were to be linked. Rhythm was to be seen as a synthesising human characteristic, and, as such, basic to growth.

To slip in at the end of a document a fundamental conception of human development after previously mentioning rhythm only twice (in connection with music, but not linked with physical development), may suggest either a lack of

---

1Board of Education (1931) The Primary School Report: Report of the consultative Committee on the Primary School HMSO
2Board of Education (1931) ibid P. 152
conviction or an uncertainty about its reception. Such tentativeness seems at odds with the known views of the committee’s chairman, the vice-chancellor of Sheffield University, Sir W.H. Hadow who, ten years earlier, in 1921, had written an introduction to the first translation of *Rhythm, Music and Education*, a seminal publication based on ‘Eurhythmics’ in which he writes of Emile Jacques-Dalcroze as having "done more than any man living for the study of rhythmic beauty..." Sir Percy Nunn, too, as was seen in Chapter 3 of this study, acknowledged Jacques-Dalcroze’s influence in his own 1920 publication. Professor J.J. Findlay, in a footnote on Page 24 of his 1930 publication referred to *Rhythm, Music and Education* in the following glowing terms: "...in my opinion this book is one of the greatest contributions to education in our era, but neither the musicians nor the physical trainers treat it seriously. If Dalcroze had been a man of science his name would stand side by side with Einstein."  

Thus educationists such as Findlay, Nunn and Hadow paved the way for the possibility of two aspects of the curriculum, Music and Physical Education, hitherto seen as unconnected, becoming linked in the minds of some British teachers of these subjects. The connection was to be epistemological, founding a 'new subject', called 'Eurhythms'. The introduction, from the 1920's onwards of 'Eurhythmics' into British educational vocabulary, had repercussions, not only for...
teachers of Music and Physical Education but for the other Arts, especially Dance and even Drama.

For this reason it will be useful in this chapter to examine Jacques-Dalcroze's philosophy in some detail. This analysis will be followed by a discussion of an account of an experiment in a Birmingham primary school during the 1940s of a form of integrated education based on expressive movement. No such discussion can take place without reference to the arrival in Britain in 1937 of another continental teacher whose career was dedicated to the 'Art of Movement': Rudolf von Laban.

A. *Rhythm, Music and Education* by Emile Jacques-Dalcroze (1921)

This is an unusual book in that it is made up of a series of separate, dated lectures, previously published in journals on the continent. They spread from 1902 to 1919, representing not only Jacques-Dalcroze's twenty years of teaching, but also a twenty-year development, including self-confessed contradictions, in his thinking about the subject. It will be seen that it is not until the later years that he begins to make connections between his philosophy and Drama.

Swiss by birth, he was appointed to the Conservatoire of Geneva as Professor of Harmony in 1894. His experiments began with a discovery that young children need something more than practice in listening to sounds, if their ears are to be trained. He explains his early conclusions:

I came to the conclusion that the motive and dynamic element in music depends not only on hearing, but also on another sense. This

---

7 Stone, Peter (1948) *The Story of a School* HMSO
8 A third influence from the continent in the early decades of this century was Rudolf Steiner who saw education as of the spirit, "...a spirituality which comes to expression in the physical image of man." [Steiner, Rudolf (1971) *Human Values in Education: Ten Lectures given in Arnheim* (Holland) July 17-24, 1924, Translated by Vera Compton-Burnett for the Rudolf Steiner Press London P. 46]
9 Jacques-Dalcroze, Emile (1921) *Rhythm, Music and Education*. Translated by Harold F. Rubenstein Chatto & Windus London P. viii
at first I took to be a sense of touch...however, a study of the reactions produced by piano-playing, in parts of the body other than the hands - movements with the feet, oscillations in the trunk and head, a swaying of the whole body etc. - led me to discover that musical sensations of a rhythmic nature call for the muscular and nervous response of the whole organism (author's italics). I set my pupils exercises in stepping and halting; and trained them to react physically to the perception of musical rhythms. That was the origin of my "Eurhythmics".

By 1907 Jacques-Dalcroze was able to define his theory in terms of an interrelationship between muscular force, time and space: "...a properly executed rhythm requires, as a preliminary condition, complete mastery of movements in relation to energy, space and time." Like other educational innovators of this century, he was keen to claim that the application of his theory went beyond skill enhancement to personal development. He writes: "A person of rhythmic propensities always presents a certain harmony, an effect of corporal balance;" By 1914 he has gained sufficient confidence in his theory to put forward ideas for a scheme for training the body in rhythm. His claims become expansive "His imagination will likewise develop, inasmuch as his spirit, freed from all constraint and physical disquietude, can give free rein to his fantasy." Finally his vision extends to:

The actual practice of individual rhythms...is more than a pedagogic system. Rhythm is a force analogous to electricity...an energy, an agent, conducing to self-knowledge and to a consciousness not only of our powers, but those of others, of humanity itself. It directs us to unplumbed depths of our being. It reveals to us secrets of the eternal mystery that has ruled the lives of men throughout the ages...

Clearly 'Eurhythmics' commands 'believers', not just practitioners. By 1915, in a lecture entitled 'Rhythm in Music Drama', Jacques-Dalcroze saw training in rhythm

10 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 63
11 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 64
12 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 89
13 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 91
14 R.J.W. Selleck in English Primary Education and the Progressives op cit P. 43 tells of an exchange between floor and platform at the 1925 Conference of Educational Associations:
as the basis for all art-training: "Whatever the child's natural artistic proclivities, a conscientious study of the phenomena of movement, both in himself and in nature, cannot fail to produce a more vivid comprehension of art as a whole."  

In the following chapter, however, his attention is given to the achievement of 'unity' of word, gesture and sound in opera. A mental set derived from physical interpretation is to be the unifying factor, blending the three elements. It is from this chapter that emerges the viewpoint of the onlooker rather than the participant. In discussing the necessity for the opera singer to have "control over muscular movements", Jacques-Dalcroze goes on:

> All movements of the body, its gait, gestures, and attitudes, should be studied not only on a flat surface, such as the boards of a stage, but on different planes, on inclines of different degrees (where practicable) and on staircases, in such a way that the body may familiarise itself with space, its plastic manifestations adapting themselves to the material conditions dictated by the action, and imposing on the painter a new conception and treatment of scenery.

Within a sentence the reader is thus taken from kinaesthetic identification ("the body may familiarise itself with space") to that of the designer, seeing a moving stage-picture. This subtle shift in perspective marks a new emphasis in his book: its author devotes his attention for the remaining chapters almost entirely to viewing Eurhythmics as an effect, as an art-product to be witnessed.

"Might I ask a terribly ignorant question,' said an anonymous speaker...'What is Eurhythmics?' Mr. Percy Ingram (a public-school headmaster who founded the the London Dalcroze Society) gave a cryptic reply: 'Eurhythmics is an individual experience.' 'Individual experience' is not, however, how everyone regarded 'Eurhythmics'. In *Studies in Education* (1916) A & C Black, London, M.W. Keatinge writes in a chapter entitled 'Freedom in Education': "The essence of the Dalcroze eurhythmics is that the pupils shall not move as they wish, but in the precise manner dictated to them by the teacher" (P. 153)

15Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 136
16Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 150
17Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 152
This seems a major and abrupt switch from previous discussions centred on the inner sensibilities of a musician finding "a rhythmic harmony of desires and powers"\(^\text{18}\) through the feel of his own body in space. In the 1914 lecture, Jacques-Dalcroze was asserting: "The aim of Eurhythmics is to enable pupils at the end of the course, to say, not 'I know' but 'I have experienced', and so create in them the desire to express themselves."\(^\text{19}\) The reader is now suddenly led into a discussion of the failure of critics to recognise beauty of movement and, in the 1916 chapter, the 'spectator' viewpoint is pursued further with advice on how to manage gesture in a stage-crowd or in a 'Festspiel'. These excursions may at first seem to be trivialising the aims of Eurhythmics, but as he proceeds the relevance for educationists becomes clearer. The implications of aspects of the following passage for physical educationists and for those teachers producing pageants or classic plays are far-reaching\(^\text{20}\):

We have all of us admired, at gymnastic displays, the wonderful living picture formed by hundreds of young men moving in step to music; the synchronism of their gestures produces a sensation at once emotive and aesthetic, and yet these gymnasts are quite unconscious of creating an artistic effect. Their sole aims are discipline and hygiene. They cultivate movement for its own sake, and give no thought to it as a medium of expression of emotion. On the stage, in lyric drama, the crowd of supers also cultivates collective gesture, but in the interests of ideas. It sustains a double role, not only supporting the dramatic action, but, in addition (as in the plays of Aeschylus), communicating the thoughts of the poet or expressing the emotions of the spectators, thereby forming an intermediary between the stage and the auditorium. When it plays an active part in the drama its movements constituted gestures of action. When it bears the role of confidant of the hero.... or of the mouthpiece of the religious or philosophical truths contained in the play, its gesture partakes of the nature of music.

In the above passage, Jacques-Dalcroze identifies three categories of 'meaning' conveyed by synchronised action before an audience. The first, the gymnastic

\(^{18}\)Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 134
\(^{19}\)Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 90
\(^{20}\)Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 169
display, is not intended to 'mean' anything; its disciplined synchronisation creates a compelling aesthetic. On stage in a classic production, however, the actors are involved in either portraying a collective gesture to further the action or, at a more abstract level of meaning ("the nature of music"), to convey inner meanings of the play. He introduces the term 'musical gesture': "But where a musical gesture has to be made with the object of indicating a situation or creating an atmosphere, ...individual gestures must be unified, each member of the chorus discarding his personality to subordinate himself to the whole."  

Jacques-Dalcroze's discussion of 'Dancing' returns the reader to the centre of his theory, the relationship, on stage, between time and space. The art of dancing is "a fusion of rhythmic sound and movement". More than this it is an expression of a unity of thought and emotion. He explains: "A complete thought, an association of ideas and feelings, a 'state of the soul,' can only be interpreted by a body wholly absorbed in that thought." He elaborates: "It is futile for dancers who are not artists to make their steps exactly correspond with the musical phrasing - their movements express not music, but merely its external forms deprived of all vital impulse. Rapidity or slowness of sound or movement can only become expressive when made to represent a state of concentration or excitement produced by stimulating and emotive mental images."

Jacques-Dalcroze is critical of all kinds of stage-dancing. For him ballet had divorced itself from music. Much as he admires the attempt by Isadora Duncan to break away from the rigidity of the ballet form, he sees in her dancing a lack of

---

21 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 169
22 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 176. It may seem curious to a present-day reader that he can only discuss dancing in relation to his theory in terms of the stage. Presumably he saw communal dancing as outside Art and in 1912, when this particular article was written, he was not in a position to advocate any kind of education through dance.
23 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 178
24 On Page 176 he dismisses ballet as "...bodily virtuosity at the expense of expression..."
sensibility to the music and too much reliance on reproducing attitudes of Greek statues. He is impatient too with Mime, (or rather, Pantomime, as it is translated), as a gestural medium merely concerned with expression of conventional feelings and realistic actions.

Of particular interest to Jacques-Dalcroze is the importance of avoiding conflict on stage between the artificial and the real. What is 'real' is the dancer moving in three-dimensional space. The use of two-dimensional, painted scenery with "fictitious depth" would be an heretical ornamentation. Another kind of compromise with the necessary imaginative effort required of both dancer and spectator is to introduce, for example, real or artificial flowers for a dancer to stoop to pick. Such actions and properties belong to the inferior art of 'pantomime', in which 'reality' is of a different, more specific order. Jacques-Dalcroze seems to be suggesting, too, that more conventional theatre, in being restricted to representational accuracy, is correspondingly restricted to individual, rather than mankind's concerns.

Thus, for the first time in print, an attempt is being made to differentiate qualities of meaning in art, between the expressions of emotions akin to those animated by music (and thereby liberated from representational accuracy) and those emotions contextually derived, this latter "pertaining", as Jacques-Dalcroze puts it, "to that

---

25 On page 183 he writes: "If one carefully watches Isadora Duncan, in other respects the sworn enemy of mere technical virtuosity, and a seeker after naturalistic effects, one will notice that she rarely walks in time to an adagio."

26 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid Pp 191-3

27 In the same year as Jacques-Dalcroze delivered this lecture (1912), Edward Bullough in the British Journal of Psychology produced his seminal article (already referred to in Chapter 5 in connection with Marjorie Hourd's insistence on the importance of 'protection' in acting), entitled 'Psychical Distance as a factor in Art and as an aesthetic principle' in which he argued that a performance could become 'overdistanced' by the crude naturalism of an object.

28 We have already discussed the 'reductionist' quality of some of the Miming popular in schools in Chapter 4. Acting as Amateur Theatre.

29 This is my terminology: Jacques-Dalcroze expresses it as: "...concerned with individualisation and specialisation of the circumstances and forms of matter surrounding the individual." P. 193. - these awkward forms of expression must surely be, at least partially, the result of translation.

30 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 195
of the conventional theatre..."31. He reminds us again that "Eurhythmics aims at
the bodily representation of musical values". Anything less than this would
deteriorate into "mere imitation (his italics)"32.

It was noted above that in his pre-War writing there occurs an abrupt shift from
perceiving Eurhythmics as an inner experience to seeing it as a stage effect. By
1919 he is at pains to clarify his position on the connections between inner and
outer perspectives. He first establishes that the sensitive Eurhythmist will develop a
dual self-awareness: "In the laboratory of his organism a transmutation is effected,
turning the creator into both actor and spectator of his own composition."33. Such
self-observation, apparently, is to be "quite free from aesthetic ambition"34, that is,
it has no interest in communicating to an audience.

Jacques-Dalcroze claims that a lack of 'aesthetic ambition' does not
disqualify the activity as art. He affirms: "Eurhythmic exercises enable the
individual to feel and express music corporally, for his own pleasure, thus
constituting in themselves a complete art, in touch with life and
movement."35 His 'thus' of the previous sentence seems to presuppose a
conclusion that has still to be argued. He seems to want the experience of a
Eurhythmic exercise to be regarded as art, while distinguishing that
experience from the activity of the artist whose intentions are "aesthetic
and social"36. He conceptualises the latter as 'plastic art', distinct from allied
arts of painting or sculpture and akin to but critically different from
Eurhythmics. He summarises that difference in the following terms:

31 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 193
32 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 195
33 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 196
34 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 195
35 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 195
36 Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) ibid P. 196
Eurhythmics constitutes an eminently individual experience. Moving plastic is a complete art directly addressed to the eyes of the spectators, although directly experienced by the exponents. The impressions of a Eurhythmist may be conveyed to spectators particularly sensitive to the 'feeling of movement'; the plastic artist aims at conveying his impressions to the public.

It is pertinent to note here that the assumption that expressive movement may be alternatively experienced both for itself and for an audience, anticipates a view that was to be taken up much later, as we have seen, by educationalists interested in classroom drama such as Mrs. E. M. Langdon, who was to conceive of children enjoying their drama for its own sake even in the presence of an audience. As she phrased it: - 'playing it out with an audience there'. Jacques-Dalcroze too saw the possibility of sensitive onlookers understanding what was going on.

The major purpose of Jacques-Dalcroze's publication is to promote the idea of Eurhythmics as a basic subject of the school curriculum. It is not appropriate to this thesis to outline in detail how teachers experimented with his methods. Suffice to say that a view of movement education as providing a dynamic opportunity for uniting "all the vital forces of the individual" had some impact on the way a number of Primary Schools devised their curriculum. It not only affected how some educationists and teachers saw Drama, through its emphasis on rhythm it provided art educators with a basis for arguing a generic theory of the arts, a theory that became politically critical in education in the last three decades of the century. Edmond Holmes, as we have seen, sought to separate drama from the other arts as a 'sympathetic faculty', to be functionally contrasted with the 'esthetic

---

37 Langdon E.M. Dramatic Work with Children (1948) op cit
38 Jacques-Dacroze E. (1921) ibid P. 91
39 The Arts in School (1982 Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation London) is an example of a theoretical exposition relating to Arts education founded on a generic base for all Arts. David Best [as in, for example. "Generic Arts: An Expedient Myth" in Journal of Art and Design Education (1992)] is the key figure opposing such a theory.
40 Page 27, above.
faculty. For the remaining half of this chapter we shall see how drama along with other arts and crafts becomes but an off-shoot of movement. But first, let us elicit from Jacques-Dalcroze's text implications about the nature of acting.

A1...What are the features characterising acting behaviour implicit in Jacques-Dalcroze's published lectures (1898 - 1919)?

The only references to do with acting in Jacques-Dalcroze's lectures are related to the stage, and, more specifically, to opera, dance and classical theatre. The relevance for this study is his dual assumption (a) that rhythm should provide the basis for all education and (b) that classical acting aspired to 'musical gesture', expressive of rhythmic energy in time and space. An implication of (a) for educationists is an interconnectedness among all active subjects, including drama. On the other hand (b) has direct bearing on how acting itself might be viewed. It is to see acting as moving sculpture or architecture primarily meaningful in the way it penetrates space.

It seems we may usefully regard this non-specific form of expression as an extreme point of a continuum of abstraction in acting of which, say, putting flowers in a vase, on stage would be an instance of its opposite point. Put simply, having flowers on stage in the former would be to confuse two forms of expression. We have already seen that Caldwell Cook's educational instincts were to eschew a high degree of specificity or naturalism. Perhaps a way of talking about his pupils' attempt to match Shakespeare's eloquence with non-naturalistic gestures in a non-naturalistic stage area is to place their acting part way towards Jacques-Dalcroze's 'musical gesture' end of the continuum of abstraction. Using this kind of classification, Marjorie Hourd's pupils' efforts to mime actions against the

---

41We have seen in the previous chapter that Marjorie Hourd describes the density of 'in the round' expression as a "concentrated form characteristic of statuary" [Hourd, M. (1949) op cit P. 14 and P. 165 above.]
background of a well-read poem, might be regarded as close to Cook's actors, whereas Langdon's occasional tolerance for what she calls 'spontaneous improvisation' no doubt qualifies as an example of extreme concreteness.

Just as we selected Marjorie Hourd's seminal work, published in 1949, as an example of a poetic/dramatic approach to the teaching of English, we now look at a slender, but significant account, published in the same year, of how a Birmingham Primary School came to Drama through experimentation with movement.

B. The Story of a School by A.L. Stone (1949)

Thus we turn to another account of an experiment by a Primary headteacher. The difference in practice between Harriet Finlay-Johnson and A.L. Stone could not have been more marked and yet they both shared an interest in self-expression through the arts. Trained in Physical Education, Stone spent ten years at Steward Street School, Birmingham, before becoming a Physical Education Organiser for the West Riding of Yorkshire, under the mentorship of Alec Clegg, Yorkshire's charismatic Chief Education Officer who devoted his career after the Second World War to promoting the Arts in the School curriculum.

"All the arts have a common beginning in movement", writes A.L. Stone, echoing Emile Jacques-Dalcroze\(^\text{42}\), in his account of experimentation at Steward Street Primary School\(^\text{43}\). This is the first (and perhaps the only) record in England of the Arts taking precedence over the 3 R's. Such was the success of the experiment that the Ministry of Education undertook the publication of Peter Stone's\(^\text{44}\)

---

\(^{42}\)Stone, A.L. (1949) op cit

\(^{43}\)An unpublished play written in 1993 by Peter Wynne-Wilson celebrates the work of the school during the 1940's. It is called Heads or Tales and was given a school tour by 'Big Brum' theatre company during Autumn 1993. John Somers of Exeter University has been engaged in research (so far unpublished) on Steward Street.

\(^{44}\)He was known as Peter Stone by colleagues and friends, although his initials were accurately
account. It was not the case that this headmaster undervalued the 3R's. As he wrote: "I believe...that there are things of much greater importance; the development of the personality of the child, his growth as a whole, demand greater attention than the three R's." Thus what was taught under the heading of 'Reading' 'Writing' and 'Arithmetic' was dictated by whatever naturally emerged from the art experiences - and Movement was to be the central dynamic.

In a Foreword written by an unnamed member of His Majesty's Inspectorate mention is made of the Consultative Committee's 1931 Report on the Primary School. It is this Report, under the chairmanship of Sir W. H. Hadow, that (as noted above) made tentative suggestions about the possibility of subjects on the timetable such as games, dancing, drawing and craftwork together providing a basis for 'aesthetic development'. The report went further with an unsubstantiated claim that "...one cannot go far into the question of physical training without being led into references to music and drama", a claim hardly borne out by the strong emphasis in other parts of the Report on drama as speech-training and on physical training as strength development. As has already been observed, Sir W.H. Hadow, the man who in 1921 wrote the preface to Emile Jacques-Dalcroze's influential work appears to have exerted but modest influence on his Committee ten years later. 'Eurhythmics' does not get a mention as such, although Jacques-Dalcroze's methods are referred to briefly and indirectly as deriving from Plato's 'Eurhythmia'. A. L. Stone does not refer to Jacques-Dalcroze, but acknowledges the influence of Rudolf Laban whose theory and practice we need to look at before examining Stone's account in detail.

recorded as A.L.
45 Stone, A. L. (1949) ibid Pp8-9
46 Board of Education (1931) Report on the Primary School HMSO
47 Board of Education (1931) ibid P. 36
48 Board of Education (1931) ibid P. 83
49 Page 175 above
50 Board of Education (1931) ibid P. 76
Rudolf von Laban, born in Hungary and a refugee from Germany where he had his own dance company, came to live in England in 1937 at the age of 58. That an association, "The Laban Art of Movement Guild", together with the biennual publication of a journal, was formed round his name by an influential group of women Dance and Physical Education specialists just a few years after his arrival is one indication of the admiration a nucleus of teachers had for Laban himself and for his artistry. In different countries of Europe he had been a stage director, a dance teacher, a choreographer and a creator of 'Dance theatre', and, by the 1930s in Berlin, 'Dance-Drama'. During his exile in Italy at the beginning of the war the famous Laban Dance Notation was conceived. Once in England this notation was seized upon by educationists and industrialists. From Dartington Hall, his first destination in England, where he briefly recuperated after his ill-treatment in Germany, he moved with Lisa Ullmann to London where they gave the first of

52 Olive Moore wrote: "Unable to continue working with the Nazis, who saw in this theory of freedom and fulfilment through rhythm re-education a threat to their own harsh indoctrination practices. Mr. Laban came to England with some of his pupils." [in "Rudolf Laban" by Olive Moore in the Special 75th birthday number. December. 1954 (he died three years later) of The Laban Art of Movement Guild Magazine. His first publication in English was Effort (1941) Macdonald & Evans Ltd London. His better known book was Modern Educational Dance (1948) Macdonald & Evans Ltd London]

53 He choreographed the movement staged for the 1936 Berlin Olympic Games, but after the dress rehearsal attended by 20,000 guests, the performance was prohibited and Laban's work was declared 'staatsfeindlich'. According to one of his earliest protegees, Mary Wignam, Laban spent part of the 1930s stranded in Italy at his 'dance-farm'. During this time he began his life-long scientific study of movement. See "My teacher Laban" by Mary Wignam in the 'Special Birthday Number (1954) ibid P. 10

54 In 1942 Laban collaborated with F.C. Lawrence, a Manchester industrial consultant. Between them they invented 'The Laban Lawrence Test for Selection and Placing', a means of identifying the kind of machine most suitable for each worker, based on an operative's natural movements. It is interesting to note that this industrialist became vice-president of the Laban Art of Movement Guild [See Olive Moore (1954) ibid P. 39]

55 Miss Ullmann was to become Laban's chief interpreter in England and founder/principal of the Laban Dance Studio. Twenty-five years after his death, Lisa Ullman published for the Laban Archives a celebratory record of jottings and drawings Laban made. Many during his early stay at Dartington Hall. (Ullmann. Lisa [1984] A Vision of Dynamic Space Imago Publishing Thame Oxon) In 1948 Laban wrote 'poetic musings' about Dance. which he called "Stillness and Stir". Below are two of its many verses:

Stillness and Stir
what became known as "Modern Dance Holiday Courses", which ran each year for twenty one years. By 1942, significantly, it was decided to change the name to 'Modern Educational Dance'. Laban began to apply his practice and theory to the British Education system, or, rather, to that aspect of the system amenable to the influence of women educators (men were away at war) - to the primary school and to girls' physical education. Laban's reputation overtook the relatively flimsy support Emile Jacques-Dalcroze derived from the 1931 Hadow report.

Perhaps this was because Laban's interest in movement was broader-based than that of his predecessor. Whereas Jacques-Dalcroze's pursued his theory from piano playing to stage dance, Laban moved away from stage dance to an examination of how humans move in their everyday actions. In doing this he discovered a universal pattern based on efforts which he, in turn, re-translated into an art of

(translated by Lisa Ullmann)

Stir emerges from Stillness
Stillness follows Stir
Both are endlessly variable

and

Stir in the crystal
In its sparkling
In its growth
Stillness in the surfaces of a gem
In its hard quietude


Herbert Read, publishing in 1943 before Laban became known outside a small coterie of admirers, identifies Jacques-Dalcroze as the true interpreter of Plato: "It is extraordinary that we should have had to wait for a Swiss music master to rediscover the truths so eloquently proclaimed by Plato" Read. Herbert (1943) Education Through Art Faber London P. 65. On an earlier page, however, Read regrets that "...successful as the Dalcroze movement has been, there is no disposition on the part of educational authorities in Europe or America to make it the basis of the whole educational system."

Effort is the common denominator for the various strivings of the body and mind which become observable in the child's body" (P. 18 of Modern Educational Dance). Laban classified his 'efforts' (See Laban R. & Lawrence F. C. (1947) Effort. Macdonald & Evans London) into Floating, Gliding, Wringing, Punching, Dabbing, Slashing and Pressing.
dance suitable for schools. Such a conception, however, was to remain rooted in personal and social expression as an end in itself. Laban writes:\footnote{Laban, Rudolf (1948) Modern Educational Dance Macdonald & Evens London P. 50}:

> The cultivation of artistic taste and discrimination in general cannot be furthered better or more simply than by the art of movement. Yet the dances which are produced must never originate from the wish to create outstanding works of art. Should such a miracle occur once, everybody will be pleased, but in schools we should not attempt to produce external success through effective performances.

**B2 A detailed analysis of the work at Steward St Primary School, Birmingham**

Although an indirect influence\footnote{An example of a direct influence on an English and Drama teacher at Secondary level is Alan Garrard who records his indebtedness to Laban. [Wiles, John and Garrard, Alan (1968) Leap to Life: An Experiment in Youth and School Drama Chatto & Windus London]} of Jacques-Dalcroze and Laban may be detected in Stone's work, his experimentation in movement belongs to him and his staff and *Story of a School* is a modest, honest account of their discoveries. The marked contrast between the teaching contexts of Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Caldwell Cook has already been noted. Stone's professional environment appears to have little in common with either of theirs. Faced with 240 inner city school children, aged seven to eleven, roughly 50 to a class, Stone was aware of the ugliness in their lives. He did not know what to offer; he was prepared to wait and watch. He saw his professional responsibility as discovering priorities and only then giving a strong lead. He concluded that these deprived children could not learn anything until they could be freed into expressing themselves. The Arts seemed to open up a possible avenue.

Thus, tentatively, this headteacher learnt how and what to teach. Early ventures in paint, crayon and clay revealed an uneven capacity among his pupils in respect of interest, concentration and imagination, qualities which, he noticed, also varied from activity to activity. These three criteria were to become the yardsticks by which he measured the experience of each pupil, the experience claiming priority,
in his view, over the results. Stone was aware that for these city children to develop, they must first become 'free' and "For a child to be free, the first essential is that he should move easily."61

With charming honesty Stone admits that he did not know how to do this, drawing, mistakenly at first, on his own experience of country dancing62 and then abandoning that rigid course for something akin to 'Modern Dance' derived from Laban, which he describes as having limitations but "infinitely better" than anything he had introduced so far. He and his staff realised, not unlike Jacques-Dalcroze, that children needed to find their own basic rhythms and patterns through "natural movements of walking, running, skipping, jumping, hopping etc."63 A break-through appeared when his pupils were able to build on Laban's 'efforts', making them their own and gaining in confidence as a result. The gradual improvement in the pupils' ability to express themselves uninhibitedly through movement affected everything they did, socially and educationally. Stone finally reaches the following pedagogical conclusion64:

And soon it became obvious that the creative urge expressed in all the arts comes from the same source. Although the way it is expressed in each particular art is somewhat different, all the arts have a common beginning.

That common beginning is movement - movement, something primitive and fundamental, so it seems to me: not movement for expressing emotion or ideas, which becomes Dance: not movement which makes us feel we want to say something, which is Drama:

61 Stone, A. L. (1949) ibid P. 9
62 Stone's own teacher-training course specialised in Physical Training. It is not surprising that, following the recommendations of the 1933 syllabus [Board of Education (1933) Syllabus of Physical Training for Schools [HMSO], Stone should turn to 'English country dances', one of the four types of dance listed in the syllabus as suitable for physical training lessons [the others being Scottish country dances; Folk dances of different continental nations; and Morris and Sword Dances], P. 62 of 1944 reprint. There was no mention of Jacques-Dalcroze in the 1933 syllabus, although 'rhythm' [deriving from Plato - see the Prefatory Memorandum to the syllabus by George Newman] was seen as a central component of training, P. 5 of 1944 reprint
63 Stone A. L. (1949) ibid P. 14
64 Stone A. L. (1949) ibid P. 15
not movement for developing bodily strength or skills, which is Physical Training: but movement for movement's sake, the starting point of all the arts.

This conception of movement as an identifiable component on which all the arts can be based is not far removed from Jacques-Dalcroze's own thinking. What is different here is that Stone is setting his conclusions in a school context. He selects the three school subjects which might be expected to include movement - Dance, Drama and Physical Education - and argues that there is a form of movement-training independent of but also nurturing of each of these. His thesis is that such training provides the foundation for all the arts in general and for other 'movement' subjects in particular.

This publication, which amounts to no more than a pamphlet, marks the beginning of a view of Primary Education that for the next twenty years held considerable currency among a select group of Her Majesty's Inspectors, in certain localities of England. It seems likely that HMI saw the Steward Street experiment as an early interpretation of Laban's philosophy.

The pamphlet also had influence on the way Drama was to be viewed, for its central thesis required Drama to be seen as but one of the three 'movement' subjects and, along with Physical Training and Dance, dependent on a foundation of rhythmic movement. This 'movement' approach to Drama was to become a central feature of Peter Slade's work. Historically, it also marks the beginning of

---

65 Ruth Stone, Staff Inspector of Physical Training for Girls, Jim Gill, HMI in charge of Teacher-Training, and John Allen, HMI for Drama, for example, were to become devotees of the method and helped turn a 'thesis' into an official 'policy'. I can recall a certain growing preciousness associated with movement education. On one occasion I was taking part in a workshop for teachers conducted by Peter Stone. When it was over I moved to ask him a question, but Jim Gill intervened by explaining "You have just witnessed a great artist at work: he now needs to be left alone".

66 Particularly Birmingham City and the West Riding of Yorkshire, to which authority A.L. Stone was appointed, after his headship at Steward Street, as Organiser for Physical Training.
determined opposition to the 'Speech-training' approach described in the previous chapter. It would be misleading to suggest that Stone set out from the beginning of his time at Steward Street to test out what I have called his 'central thesis'. Indeed it is more likely that his views were not articulated until he came to write the pamphlet, for the account he gives of practice represents a journey of following a hunch rather than implementing a hypothesis.

In the early days at the school Drama was regarded as 'Mime', but it becomes clear from Stone's descriptions that 'Mime' at Steward Street was not the practice of rigid, occupational mime introduced by Irene Mawer. Just as Jacques-Dalcroze saw Eurhythmics as musical expression, so Stone seems to have linked Miming with Music, a distinct departure from the occupational mimes described in Chapter 4 above. It took place against a background of carefully selected music, so that the children's actions no doubt at times appeared to be an extension of the sounds emitted from the gramophone or the piano. Stone gives two significantly contrasted accounts:

I remember in a mime of the nativity in which the whole school participated the difficulty the children had in making a slow entrance. The angels walked down the hall to the music of Roger Quilter's "Children's Overture", blowing imaginary trumpets. How these youngsters waddled, as they tried to keep their steps in time with the slow beat of the music and play their trumpets at the same time!

...Two years later, when they had more experience of movement through dance and effort training, we revived the same Nativity

67 Stone makes the following comment on Speech-Training: "Speech training as such had little value, I discovered, with these children, but when they spoke, as a result of their emotional interpretation through movement and mime, their speech was clear and well enunciated and could easily be corrected...we achieved a certain level of clear and well-enunciated speech throughout the school without making any laborious effort to superimpose another language, which so often happens in speech training." P. 21
68 See Chapter 4. Pages 125-129
69 Stone, A. L. (1949) ibid P. 16
mime, and their awkwardness in moving to a slow time was gone.

In the first instance, much of the spontaneity had unavoidably been killed by constant practice in trying to achieve a certain balance. In the second instance...spontaneity was not killed by constant repetition.

There are a number of things to be noted from this quotation. Whereas most teachers using Mime assumed the classroom was an appropriate place for its practice, Stone's Mime, conceived of as movement in space or (to use Jacques-Dalcroze's terminology) 'musical gesture', required a hall space, a space that could be filled with sound as well as bodies. All Stone's examples in his pamphlet seem to include having a group of pupils moving to music across from one end of the school hall to the other. Whereas in the first instance above, the pupils suffered from the imposition of a slow beat, in the second instance, they were freed to make the music their own. In terms of the continuum of levels of abstraction suggested above, the above examples represent two different points on that continuum, the first, 'trying to keep in step to the music' veering towards the specific end, compared with second attempt in which the pupils captured the quality of the music, finding the 'musical gesture'.

Implicit in Stone's description is the assumption that a Mime is a 'Composition', devised mainly by the teachers. A repertoire of stories and accompanying music could be built up by a teacher, so that, as in this example, it could be taken out and reworked two years later. Seen as a created event for a large number of pupils, 'constant practice' did not seem out of place.

It should not be assumed, however, that this was the normal 'constant practice' of rehearsal towards a public event, as Harriet Finlay-Johnson, Caldwell Cook or E.M. Langdon might have understood it. Certainly the Mime was to be shared, but as a communal experience within the school. The 'constant practice' did no more
than fulfil an understood obligation to do something well. Stone is quite firm on
the point: "

...I am quite sure that when the child is miming and using this
medium as a purely creative art an audience has a detrimental effect
on the sincerity and value of this medium of expression - only very
privileged people were allowed to see drama in school, and only a
few at a time.

This choice of expression, 'pure creative art', should be noted. Stone
himself contrasts it with 'interpretative art', giving life to a written play.
This distinction was to feature significantly in the theoretical writings of
Peter Slade and others for more than a generation after the publication of
Stone's pamphlet. It should be noted, too, that both these forms of
expression, the 'creative' and the 'interpretative', were to be regarded as art.
Stone does not appear to consider 'inner reflection', as Jacques-Dalcroze
does, of the creator becoming, in the act of creating, "both actor and
spectator of his own composition." He may well have been aware of this
as a psychological concept, but not felt it necessary to write about it in a
short publication.

Stone and his staff discovered that the movement training began to affect the
children's art (including Marion Richardson hand-writing) and their creative
writing. Music as a time-tabled 'subject', however, seemed to remain at an
uninspired level. Stone wonders whether this was because it was approached as an
"interpretative art." He reinforces his point with: "The only spark of real creative
work developed when we composed our own rhyme and tunes for our dramatic
work."

---

70 Stone, A. L. (1949) ibid P. 10
71 See Jacques-Dalcroze E (1921) op cit P. 198
72 Stone A. L. (1949) ibid P. 30
Stone finally arrives at a developmental formula: (a) The 'repetitive' stage; (b) The 'expressive' stage and (c) The 'communicative' stage. Significantly, he does not attempt to align this sequence with any age grouping. He sees the phases as applicable to any new encounter with the arts. No doubt this formula does fairly reflect how he saw the development in his school, but it has a distorting effect which may have been unhelpful, if not misleading to other teachers attempting to understand and adopt the approach. His description of the 'repetitive' phase seems, on the surface, irreproachable:

There is a 'repetitive' stage during which the child makes use of material usually in a rhythmical way. He makes rhythmical strokes with a brush and colour: his dramatic play is so closely bound up with movement that it shows itself chiefly as the repetition of a movement pattern: modelling is an activity involving the pounding and pulling of material into shapes which have no recognisable form.

Although it may be fair to accept that some aspects of children's dramatic play include discernible movement patterns, none of the extended observations of children's play suggest that such repetitive behaviours dominate their make-believe; impersonation, devising or following a plot or purposeful action might be said to characterise most dramatic play. One suspects that if the staff have observed the repetition of rhythmic patterns in their pupils' playing, an explanation may be that that is what the staff had been looking for, or, possibly, the pleasure the pupils had already experienced of such exercises in the school's 'P.T.' lessons encouraged them to transfer that practice to those occasions when they engaged in the freer form of dramatic playing.

There can be no doubt that Stone's interpretation was valid for the circumstance of that particular school. What is perhaps regrettable is that his attempt at a formula

---

74Stone, A.L. (1949) ibid P. 31
75See for example, Jean Piaget's Play, Dreams and Imitation (first published in English in 1951) or Susan Isaacs' Intellectual Growth in Young Children (1930)
should lead teachers to expect such movement patterns to be critical to the early stages of dramatic activity. Likewise, it may have been misleading to give others the impression that the expressive aspects and communicative aspects of dramatic activity will make a delayed appearance, awaiting stages 2 and 3 respectively. Isaacs' observation of children much younger than A.L. Stone was concerned with led her to see their make-believe as immediately both 'expressive' and 'communicative'.

This theoretical quibble in no way diminishes the practice at Steward Street School. The basic training in movement did indeed 'free' the pupils into engaging with the rest of the curriculum. As far as this thesis is concerned, it must be acknowledged that Stone 'discovered' a mode of dramatic activity that came close to Jacques-Dalcroze's concept of 'musical gesture', pitched at a level of physical expression beyond that of 'mere mime'.

B3. Acting behaviour in Peter Stone's classroom - or rather, in Stone's school hall.

By the 1940s teachers were beginning to require a special kind of space for doing drama, as well as a special place on the time-table. If drama was to become part of a Physical Training programme as it was for A.L. Stone, then the School Hall was the kind of space it needed. It certainly affected what acting could be. The 'amateur actor' pupil could utilise the stage end of the school hall - but not if he was stripped for P.E.! Marjorie Hourd's pupil would find no intimate niche for 'the paw under the door'.

Jacques-Dalcroze was conscious of an incongruity of styles in the example cited on Page 181 above when a dancer picks up a 'real' flower from the floor of the stage. Stone has his own anecdote that makes a related point:\footnote{Stone A. L. (1949) ibid P. 18}
In the story of Arthur and the Knights of the Round Table, I gave the Archbishop a gold tinsel crown with which to crown Arthur. Of his own desire, he preferred to crown Arthur with an imaginary crown. It was, he said, a much better crown than the one I had given him.

For us to be satisfied that this was indeed an improvement we need to have it confirmed that the child's crowning of Arthur was to be something more than mimetic, that it expressed the poetry of Jacques-Dalcroze's 'musical gesture'. If the latter, then, one might wonder, to raise an issue Stone tended to be dogmatic about, whether the presence of an audience would have made any difference. Of course, it is likely that Stone, along with Jacques-Dalcroze, would have argued that the work fails when such gesture is aimed at for the sake of an audience. Both claimed that what was created was art and that it would 'speak' to sensitive onlookers.

Many of the points discussed in relation to Stone's experimentation at Steward Street will be raised in connection with our discussion in the next section on our two pioneers, Peter Slade and Brian Way. In this section we have looked at four major trends evident since our first two pioneers. In Chapter 3, we looked at how the progressive concept of 'play' was interpreted both ideally and practically and how an attempt was made to show how drama was a natural development from early childhood play. In Chapter 4 we saw how teachers were attracted to the notion of school drama as reflecting amateur and professional theatre practice and the idea of practising dramatic skills, including acting, was introduced. English teaching, discussed in Chapter 5 seemed to embrace speech-training as a practical route to personal development, until the 1940s when enactment was deployed for understanding and interpreting literature. Finally, in this chapter, we see drama taken out of the classroom and becoming part of Physical Education.
A Summary of points raised in this chapter relating to acting behaviours

Rhythm, according to each of the three exponents of movement discussed here, Jacques-Dalcroze, Laban and Stone, is to be the basis for all the arts. Each is concerned in his different way with significant movement in space and one can see the seeds here both of those theorists who later were to pursue a generic basis for all the arts and of Dorothy Heathcote's understanding of the basic elements of theatre. 'Musical gesture', Jacques-Dalcroze's expression, will become a motif of this thesis. Of significance too is the latter's recognition of 'self-awareness' as an essential psychological component of dance expression.
PART THREE

PETER SLADE

AND

BRIAN WAY
SECTION THREE: Chapter Seven

Peter Slade

A. A brief summary of Peter Slade's professional work: "a professional of that new profession"¹

Having been a free-lance actor and director, story-writer, radio script-writer and presenter, Peter Slade in 1943 took up the post of 'Drama Adviser' for the County of Staffordshire at the age of 31. "That new profession" of Drama Adviser referred to the new status bestowed on amateur drama². The terms of reference of his appointment required that he should train leaders, advise on choice of plays and raise standards of production. Slade, in his publications³, makes it clear that he had rather different ideas about what this 'new profession' should entail: he succeeded in becoming the first 'professional' in drama education, concerned with enlightened practice with children.

His partially autobiographical publication, Experience of Spontaneity (1968⁴), includes fascinating anecdotes of his early observations of himself in different kinds of make-believe play from boyhood to manhood. They read as though the self-spectator in Slade, even as he played, recognised a significance he was predestined

¹Slade, Peter, (1968) Experience of Spontaneity op cit P. 119. It should be noted that the Slade archive material is housed in the Theatre Department of Manchester University. Private correspondence between Peter Slade and Gavin Bolton, some of which is referred to in this thesis, is held in the Drama Archives in the School of Education, University of Durham.
²The early 1930s had seen the appointment by the National Council of Social Services of two regional Drama Advisers, one of whom was Robert G. Newton whose experimentation in Improvisation we have discussed in Chapter 4. Their remit was to promote Drama with the unemployed. For a full account of the history of the Drama Advisory Service see the unpublished dissertation by David Griffiths (1970) The History and Role of the Drama Adviser, University of Durham, School of Education Library.
³Slade's major publications are as follows:
   Slade, Peter (1954) Child Drama Pitman London
   (1958) Introduction to Child Drama ULP
   (1968) Experience of Spontaneity Longman London
⁴Child Play (1995) is also, in part, autobiographical
to tell others about\textsuperscript{5}. The excitement, genuineness and spiritual vigour of spontaneous dramatic group activity was the message he devoted his life to passing on to others.

He established his first company (simply called 'The Children's Theatre Company) in 1932\textsuperscript{6}, always seeking new ways of getting his actors to break with a proscenium arch tradition\textsuperscript{7}. He seized opportunities to do drama with disturbed young people and those with learning difficulties. Interested in the therapeutic and preventative potential of Child Drama\textsuperscript{8}, he contacted the medical profession for advice and started a clinic. He took on free-lance lecturing engagements and consultations and became an unofficial adviser to educational institutions and local authorities. He joined the staff of the BBC as 'the youngest uncle on Children's Hour'.

Becoming a full-time County Adviser gave Peter Slade a more solid platform from which he could expound his philosophy of child education - and extend his own practice in school. But it was the appointment to the City of Birmingham Education Committee in 1947, the most prestigious position related to drama education created in Britain to date, that allowed Slade to become a public figure - and the leading authority on all matters to

\textsuperscript{5}On a particularly memorable occasion for him when he was playing "various small but interesting parts" (Slade 1968), he and his fellow 'bit-players' abandoned a late-night party to climb high into the Malvern Hills. recklessly quoting from a mixture of the play scripts as they sped into the darkness: "That night we ran ourselves into a New World." (P. 66) and "It was then that Drama started." Spontaneity took over and, inspired by the occasion, they created magic.

\textsuperscript{6}The 'Parable Players' followed in 1936

\textsuperscript{7}They were the first full-time professional companies devoted to working with children, although it was the 'Pear Tree Players', founded in 1945 whose personnel became known as 'actor-teachers', a concept to be taken up twenty years later by Theatre-in-education teams. It was the 'Pear Tree Players' who experimented more fully in audience participation with children of all ages; the work of the earlier companies usually involved taking, for instance, mediaeval plays round churches and schools.

\textsuperscript{8}An example of this interest is in the pamphlet published (undated) for him by the Jungian Guild of Personal Psychology, entitled Dramatherapy as an aid to becoming a person, a title evocative of Carl Rogers' 1954 publication \textbf{Becoming a Person} Oberlin Ohio.
do with drama and children. In keeping with his and Drama's new status, it was fitting that he was invited to broadcast on the BBC Midland Home Service, 15th July, 1948. He began:

These days Drama is almost in the air. Everyone seems to be talking about it, you hear of conferences everywhere; and all this goes side by side with a general recognition of Drama as an important part of Education, and this in turn has ultimately led to the appointment of Drama Advisers under local Education Authorities... But when you come to schools... you quickly find that the approach to children in this subject should be very different indeed from that which used to be considered right for adults.

When Slade claims, optimistically, that "everyone seems to be talking about it", he is responding to an undoubted change of attitude towards Drama since the war. Conferences were held, journals were published, courses for teachers were run, and, perhaps the key event of the period, an

9 The text of his broadcast was subsequently published in Creative Drama (undated, possibly late 1948), the Magazine of the Educational Drama Association.

10 The most famous post-war conference was, according to Slade "a watershed". Overnight, as it were. Slade's chance to present himself as a national leader in Drama education came on the occasion of a conference held at the Bonnington hotel in London on 5th & 6th January 1948. Philip Coggin (1956) in his Drama and Education attempted to assess the impact of this conference. A teacher-trainer in Drama, Mary Robson, who attended it, vividly recalls her impressions:

The only person on the organisers' side who spoke a language I understood was Peter Slade, a trim, slight figure, quietly expounding his belief in the value of drama work with children. He seemed to cause embarrassment to his side of the room, but a sense of doors opening and light coming in to mine.

As a purely personal opinion, I believe that this conference, organised by Theatre News Service, will one day be seen as a turning-point in views about Drama and its possibilities, for there, perhaps for the first time, the full impact of the Child's own Dramatic endeavour and its implications was faced by a widely representative gathering. Those of us who are ardently concerned with the Child's endeavour notice a considerable and generous change of attitude towards things, dating from that time. For this reason the conference was a milestone in educational and Dramatic history.

11 The first was Theatre in Education started in 1946 and devoted to "Drama in University, College, School or Youth Group", but, about the same period, the first journal specifically relating to schools, sprang from the Birmingham-based Educational Drama Association and was entitled Creative Drama. Peter Slade became the 'Permanent Director' of this association in 1948.

12 Slade's own annual Summer School course, started in 1961, was perhaps the first to attract
Examining Board was set up for leaders in Youth Drama\textsuperscript{13}. Peter Slade's influence was pivotal in all these activities, but of special significance was that the Ministry of Education, in setting up its first Working Party (1948) in Drama education, invited Peter Slade to be one of its members. Its draft report, written by George Allen HMI in February 1951 was never published, but nevertheless it allowed a Ministry policy to emerge.

That policy included the recommendation that in future the teaching of drama may well "involve jettisoning much of the existing theatre tradition."\textsuperscript{14} and that, at least until the Secondary age group, Drama was to be perceived as "a natural activity, not a 'subject'"\textsuperscript{15}. Also, teachers should be wary of 'dramatisation' in case, through lack of "artistic output", imaginations were merely to be "singed" rather than "kindled"\textsuperscript{16}. A further major plank of their policy was that language was to be seen as a natural development from movement\textsuperscript{17}.

Now, we shall see that the above recommendations drawn from the Ministry of Education document could very well serve as a summary of Peter Slade's own Child Drama ideology. It may not be going too far to say that the Working Party relied on Peter Slade to help it evolve a philosophy it was ready to believe in.
drawn from a practice it knew little about\textsuperscript{18}. What set Slade apart from others on the Working Party was that he was already practising the new approach and had been doing so, unreported, for many years. The members of the Working Party no doubt had before them evidence of the four strands of development in drama we have discussed in the previous section of this thesis. According to their chairman\textsuperscript{19}, they were united in opposing the 'school play' approach and the study of Shakespeare as "a mine of useful knowledge". It seems that what I have called the 'Amateur Drama' trend and 'English as textual study' dominated the overall scene.

The negative attitude of the professional theatre towards 'creative' drama also generally prevailed. Respected theatrical entrepreneurs such as Harley Granville-Barker, a supporter of Caldwell Cook, challenged the very concept of the young actually \textit{acting} a play\textsuperscript{20} and were even more opposed to the notion of 'self-expression' in school drama\textsuperscript{21}. George Allen suggests the Working Party members were clearer on what they did \textit{not} want than what they did want.

During the late 1940s Peter Slade was gathering material for his first publication, \textit{Child Drama} (1954) which was to be received as a coherent exposition of what this alternative might be. His world-wide readership, not having direct access to his skilful practice, learned about Child Drama from the pages of his book. In the examination of Slade's work which now follows, we shall look first at the

\textsuperscript{18}That is not to insult the members [they included George Allen HMI (Inspector for English) Martin E. Browne (free-lance theatre director, well-known for his promotion of T.S. Eliot's plays). John Allen (Director of Glyndebourne Children's Theatre). Peter Slade and Andrew Campbell (journalist) and. possibly Dorothy Hammond. (HMI for Primary Education)] of the Working Party. Very few people understood the new philosophy. In a private letter [George Allen to Gavin Bolton, 14th April. 1995] the chairman of the Working party recalls: "But it couldn't be a profound study; it certainly was not that, and I'm not surprised that it was never published." In a phone conversation two months later, George Allen said to me: "We did not know what we were doing, but there was excitement in the air about drama at that time."

\textsuperscript{19}Private letter to Gavin Bolton (1995) ibid Pp 3-4

\textsuperscript{20}Granville-Barker (1944) writes: "For having well studied a play. they really should have gained too much regard for it to be \textit{ready to defame it by crude performance.}" [my italics]

\textsuperscript{21}Granville-Barker wrote: "Study of the drama. indeed. should properly begin for the adolescent not from the self-expressive. but from the exactly opposite standpoint." [Granville-Barker, H. (1922. 2nd edition) \textit{The Exemplary Theatre} Books for Libraries Press NY, P. 1]
implications of his *theory* for acting, before attempting to determine the kind of acting behaviour emerging from his recommended practice.

B. **Peter Slade’s concept of ‘Child Drama’**

In Part One of this study it was demonstrated how Henry Caldwell Cook (1917) broadened his concept of ‘Playway’ to include both its obvious reference to two particular kinds of human activities (‘play’ as something to be put on in the theatre and ‘play’ as a game), and also to an attitude of mind, which embraced a number of metaphorical connotations, such as "bringing something into play". Another of Cook’s broader definitions of play was "...doing anything one knows with one’s heart in it". It was also noted that it suited the purpose of a number of progressive educationalists such as Sir Percy Nunn, to conceive of play as an energising educational drive rather than as an identifiable set of ludic activities.

Peter Slade, writing more than a generation later, chose to see Play as a metaphor for ‘living’ in its fullest sense, but he, following Susan Isaacs, was also passionately interested in children’s behaviour when they engaged in natural play.

For Slade ‘Drama’ was the word that best described the ‘Art of Living’. It stood for any spontaneous activity generated by the child him/herself in pursuit of ‘doing’ and ‘struggling’ with life. Slade does not attempt to justify this broad

---

22Cook. Caldwell H. (1917) *op cit* P. 17
24‘Drama is doing’ was to become the catchphrase of progressive drama teaching for the next generation of leaders in the field [See, for instance. Chris Day’s *Drama for Middle and Upper School* (1975). P.3]. By appealing to its etymological origins [from the Greek. *Dron*, meaning to do, to act or to make] advocates were able both to dissolve barriers with seemingly non-artistic activities such as play and games and even claim a kinship with John Dewey's 'Learning by Doing' aphorism. Such a deconstruction of the term 'drama' allowed other theorists in the *theatre* (but outside education) similarly to widen the term 'performance' to embrace a range of social activities from play to ritual and from everyday greetings to celebrations [See, for example, Richard Schechner's *Performance Theory* (1977 Reprint 1988 P. 40)] Both Child Drama advocates and Performance theorists pursued the breaking down of conceptual barriers between the arts and other human activities. Both groups saw themselves as examining the 'Art of Living', but the very choice of terms, 'personal play' and 'performance', predisposed them to look through different lenses. (Schechner Richard (1977: reprinted and revised 1988) Routledge NY; Carlson, Marvin (1996) *Performance* Routledge NY).
usage of 'drama'; he simply draws our attention to a phenomenon: "This book is about a very wonderful thing which exists in our midst but is as yet hardly noticed." With such an arresting claim (and all Slade's writing for the next forty years continues in this vein of having discovered something so important that he has to share it) Slade demanded of his readers that they look more closely at the children engaged in Play and that they reappraise their expectations of Drama.

Drama is to be everything that is good in life and is even to embrace the other arts. Whereas, as Slade explains, "...Play with dolls and toys, I would call drama of the obvious kind", he adds, "...as a creative form of 'Doing', Art is Drama of the less obvious kind." In a footnote on the same page Slade avers that "Where climax is intentionally brought in and enjoyed, Music might be said to be bordering on Drama." and he takes the point even further in a personal note to me in January, 1995: "Music with no drama-thinking in it would be very dull and makes bad use of timing, pause, quietness and climax."

Slade intends, through this 'hard sell', to put Drama at the centre of living and, consequently, at the centre of education, and, more specifically, at the centre of all the arts. From now on, he believes, the quality of a school would be determined by its Drama, and, indeed, the quality of home-life for the pre-school child. The 'sensitive' parent and 'sensitive' teacher are to carry the same kind of responsibility to the child's make-believe play.

B.1. **Slade's theory of Personal and Projected Play**

---

26 Slade. P. (1954) ibid P. 23
27 Letter dated January 1995 held in University of Durham Drama Archives
28 For example, of one school he visited Slade commented: "This is a happy school. I have never heard a cross word from teacher or Child. The school accepts the principles of Child Drama and develops all work from this starting-point." [Slade. P. (1954) Child Drama ibid P. 205]
From his close observation of children over many years, Slade evolved a developmental theory of Child Drama, arguing that at each stage of development the healthy child will engage in a balanced diet of two distinct forms of play, although for the very young child Play will be predominantly projected. "The true distinction in Play is that of personal Play and projected Play". He elaborates:

**Personal Play** is obvious Drama; the whole Person or Self is used. It is typified by movement and characterisation. We note the dance entering the experience of being things or people.

**Projected Play** is Drama, too; the whole mind is used, but the body not so fully. The child stands still, sits, lies prone, or squats, and may use chiefly the hands. The main action takes place outside the body, and the whole is characterised by extreme mental absorption. Strong mental projection is taking place.

This categorisation of play is unlike that of any previous play theorist. It appears, at first sight, to be based, not on structure, nor function, nor content of Play, but...
on the extent to which the body is critical to the activity. Slade gives a list of associated activities, so that the reader might understand the distinction:\(^{32}\):

Out of personal Play we may expect to develop later: running, ball games, athletics, dance, riding cycling, swimming, fighting, hiking. These are forms of acting. To these should be added Acting in the full sense.

The 'whole' body is to be used. Notice also the claim that 'These are forms of acting'. So keen is Slade to establish that Play and Drama are one and the same thing he logically follows his own thesis through by referring to these commonplace physical activities as 'acting'. But, no doubt aware of an absurdity here, he deftly invents an 'Acting' with a capital 'A', presumably to represent that kind of play behaviour characterised by impersonation, leaving acting with a small 'a' to cover all action.

He proceeds to give a similar list for 'Projected Play':

Out of projected Play we may expect to develop later: Art, playing instruments, love of freshwater fishing, non-violent games (from snakes and ladders to chess), reading, writing; observation, patience, concentration, organisation and wise government. To these should be added interest in puppets and model theatres, and, in the full sense, Play Production.

These activities too are to be seen as Drama, but, once more, there is to be a 'full sense' of 'Play Production' with a capital 'P'. He then reaffirms the 'physical' basis for his classification, adding a 'sound' dimension:

In personal Play the tendency is towards noise and physical exertion on the part of the person involved; and if noise is not employed, exertion is. In projected Play the tendency is towards quietness and physical stillness; and if there is not quiet some physical stillness is there.

If the above description, relating merely to criteria of exertion and noise, or the lack of them, adequately discriminates between what Slade intended as two forms

\(^{32}\)Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 35
of Play, one might wonder what all the fuss was about and why, years later in an interview on video-tape and in his latest (1995) publication\textsuperscript{33} Slade continued to stress how this classification was basic to his theory.

For Slade, 'noise' and 'exertion' represent something more than crude instances of assertive behaviour; they are also the raw material of aesthetic expression. Moving to an aesthetic frame, one may see 'noise' and 'exertion' as extreme points along two of the three basic dimensions of theatre: sound/silence and movement/stillness respectively\textsuperscript{34}.

That there appears to be some confusion or overlap in Slade's theorising between psychological and theatrical explanations, however, is no accident. It was not whimsicality that prompted Slade to insist that all these play activities should be called 'drama'. His perspective on how children behave was focused through the lens of his theatrical experience\textsuperscript{35}, for one of his realisations was that previous authoritative studies of children had largely ignored their theatrical impulses. His claim was that you can look fully at the development of a child only if you perceive the whole child, including the child as artist, and as a theatrical artist in particular. Any observation he makes about the psychology or sociology of child behaviour, therefore, tends to be finally expressed by Slade in artistic terms. When he writes, for example, of young children's typical satisfaction in 'banging things', Slade interprets such experimentation as the development of "rhythm and climax"\textsuperscript{36}.


\textsuperscript{34}The third dimension is, of course, 'light/darkness'.

\textsuperscript{35}Victor Turner, anthropologist, similarly drew on his early exposure to theatre [his mother was a founding member and actress in the Scottish National Theatre] by applying theatrical concepts to emotionally heightened social events. But he sees the elements of a well-made play in such breaches of social routine. [Turner, Victor, (1972) From Ritual to Theatre: The Human Seriousness of Play. PAJ Publications, NY, P. 10]

\textsuperscript{36}Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 22
Thus a psychological phenomenon, 'satisfaction from banging' is seen as having an aesthetic potential.

Stemming from Slade's classification of play are related aspects, critical to both psychological development and to acting behaviour. I will briefly discuss Slade's psychological interpretation, comparing, where relevant, his position with other authoritative writers both inside and outside the field of drama education under the following sub-headings: A(i) 'Difference in affect'; (ii) 'The Self and 'Other"; (iii) 'The body in space and the attention across space'; (iv) 'Impulse and Stimulus'; (v) 'Rhythm'; and (vi) 'Impersonation'. Building on these headings, I will then begin to discuss his theatrical interpretation, that is what he calls "Acting with a capital 'A'", in respect of which there appear to be two central desirable criteria: B(i) A significant relationship with space and sound and B(ii) Sincerity.

B.1.a. Slade's Psychological attributes of Personal and Projected Play

B.1.a.i. Difference in affective quality

Critical to his classification are qualities Slade terms 'sincerity' (to be discussed in detail below) and 'absorption', the former connoting characteristics of behaviour that are predominantly subjective, spontaneous, and 'true to oneself'; the latter suggesting an outward orientation, of behaving attentively and respectfully in terms of something or someone outside oneself. Both, in their different way, are necessary, Slade claims, to a 'balanced' development.

B.1.a.ii. The self and 'other'

Conceptual distinctions of 'Personal' and 'Projected' play reflect an inside/outside metaphor of 'self', i.e. 'me' and 'not me'\(^{37}\). What Slade calls 'treasures' are, to use the term later to be coined by D.W. Winnicott\(^{38}\), 'transitional objects', occupying undefined territory between the child's sense of self and others. Slade refers to the

\(^{37}\) Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 26
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child's sucking of a fist which is the first developmental step towards establishing the 'not-me', but like the loved piece of blanket or rag-doll, is not fully perceived by the baby as being separate. The playing may not become entirely 'projected' until 'treasure' moves into 'monument', as Slade puts it. 'Monument' is something made or created or built or just 'piled up' by the child, still, as it were, 'part of him/herself', vulnerable to destruction by someone else, for it remains a metaphorical 'extension' or 'projection' of the child. Fully projected play takes the form of what Piaget has called 'Constructive Play', in which the older child adapts materials to some objective scheme\(^{39}\), or, as Slade would put it: "to carpentry, mechanics or, in adulthood, building a house."

B.1.a.iii. The body in space and the attention across space

Personal and Projected play require a contrasted relationship with space, the former representing the child's 'whole-self', invasion of space, especially when s/he begins to walk\(^{40}\), compared with the projected play's investigative attention across space, from the child to the object. Turning him/herself round\(^{41}\) to examine space may be the baby's first combination of 'personal' in the service of 'projected' play. Of especial interest to Slade is how small or large groups of children enter and use space\(^{42}\), a clear indicator, in his view, of the social health of a class and of the ethos of the school.

B.1.a.iv. Impulse and Stimulus

Slade's concept of Personal and Projected Play to some extent anticipates the theoretical writings of Robert Witkin (1974)\(^{43}\). Slade is alert to an important difference between the the relatively uninhibited expression of the whole person in Personal Play, say, in skipping for joy, and the more calculated, more controlled

---

\(^{39}\)Piaget, J. (1951 trans.) op cit P. 288
\(^{40}\)Slade. P. (1954) ibid P. 30
\(^{41}\)Slade. P. (1954) ibid P. 25
\(^{42}\)Slade, P. (1954) ibid [photo.: opp. P. 48]
\(^{43}\)Witkin, Robert (1974) The Intelligence of Feeling Heinemann London
manipulation in, say, making something: one 'on impulse', the other in response to outside stimuli. Witkin terms these respectively 'Expressive action' and 'impressive action', the latter behaviour "being very much under the control of...specific properties..."\textsuperscript{44} of the materials being handled.

B.1.a.v. Rhythm
Personal play, more than Projected play, will help the child, according to Slade, to achieve a sense of rhythm. In seeing rhythm as a human achievement, the development of which education can aid, Slade is following a tradition which has already been discussed in an earlier chapter\textsuperscript{45}. Slade quotes from Plato:

"Rhythm...is the expression of order and symmetry, penetrating by way of the body into the soul and into the entire man; revealing to him the harmony of his own personality"\textsuperscript{46} and whereas Slade earlier refers to Elsie Fogerty's publication on the rhythm of Speech\textsuperscript{47}, it is the tradition of rhythm in education derived from music, dance and movement that had been developed throughout the first part of the century by practitioners such as Jacques-Dalcroze (1912 [translated 1921]), Lee (1915), Mawer (1932), Driver (1936) Jordan (1938), Laban (1948) and Stone (1949) and encouraged by educationalists such as Nunn (1920) and Findlay (1924)\textsuperscript{48}, that he is extending. Slade sees rhythm as basic to Personal play, whether it be in 'The Running Play of the street', or in the highest achievements of athletes and skaters\textsuperscript{49}. It emerges from time-beat as a 'magic' quality\textsuperscript{50}.

\textsuperscript{44}Witkin, R. (1974) ibid P. 6
\textsuperscript{45}Principally in Part Two. Chapter 6. 'Acting as Rhythm'
\textsuperscript{46}Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 121
\textsuperscript{47}Fogerty, Elsie (undated) Rhythm Allen & Unwin London
\textsuperscript{48}The books referred to are:
Jacques-Dalcroze, Emile (1912 [1921 translation]) Rhythm, Music and Education
Lee, Joseph (1915) Play in Education The Macmillan Co. N.Y.
Mawer, Irene (1932) The Art of Mime Methuen London
Jordan, Diana (1938) The Dance as Education OUP London
Stone, R.L. (1949) Story of a School Ministry of Education HMSO

\textsuperscript{49}Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 47
B.1.a.vi. Impersonation

If 'rhythm' is a basic element of Personal play in its broadest sense, then 'impersonation', or 'imitation' might be thought to be the defining component of its narrowest sense: 'make-believe'. Like 'rhythm', 'impersonation' or 'imitation' has traditionally captured the interest of all specialists in child development. Sully (1896)\(^5\), for example saw make-believe play as combining "imitation and invention". Cassirer (1953)\(^6\), on the other hand, saw imitation as selectively representational: "For reproduction never consists in retracing, line for line, a specific content of reality; but in selecting a pregnant motif...". Slade\(^7\) notes Adler's early view that a child who adopts the role of a 'king' is prompted by a 'power motive'. All these interpretations, it will be noticed, seek to promote a concept of 'imitation' that goes beyond mere copying.

B.1.b. Slade's "Acting with a Capital 'A'"

An attempt has been made in the above paragraphs to list the distinguishing psychological characteristics that appear to give credence to Slade's theory of two fundamentally contrasted Play orientations. The source of Slade's theorising about Play came directly from observation of children in and out of the classroom. He discovered, and this is the key to his 'message', a new way of looking at children and the way they developed. His publications, especially Child Drama, represent his struggle to find a language to describe his discovery. He stands among those few national figures to invite their followers to see children as artists and to see schooling as education in art\(^8\), the art of living, no less. Peter Slade, however,

\(^5\) Slade adds that whereas a rehearsal could be regarded as 'time-beat', the final performance, with luck, achieves rhythm.
\(^6\) Sully, J. (1896) ibid P. 322
\(^7\) Cassirer, Ernst. (1953) The Philosophy of Symbolic Forms Yale Uni Press
\(^8\) Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 64

\(^8\) Herbert Read was regarded, in England, as the visionary in respect of the arts and education.
stood alone in seeing that art as the art of Drama and children as actors of life. He looked at the development of children both with a psychologogical appraisal and with his 'theatre eye'. His observations on "Acting with a capital 'A'" derived from a classification of Play based, as we have seen, on physicality. 'Good acting' was dependent on how well a child related to space. This criterion was balanced by another - the equally important quality he calls 'sincerity'.

B.1.b.i. The child's body in space and sound

Peter Slade is fond of describing Child Drama events as 'beautiful'. For example,

"...and a very clear, exceedingly beautiful piece of theatre is acted out before our eyes. The climax, so beautifully timed, is of high realms of Drama and Music." and later: "There does, then, exist a Child Drama, which is of exquisite beauty and is of a high Art Form in its own right." To the casual reader these kind of comments may sound like affectation, but they nevertheless reflect Slade's theory. Children's acting behaviour is to be viewed in terms of an aesthetic dimension, specifically a spatial/musical dimension in relation to Personal play. Formal meaning is to take precedence over content, to such an extent that, for example, how a group use the available space, in a drama about 'finding a mysterious box' might be, in Slade's view, of more immediate concern to both teacher and participants than the reason for its being there, or, indeed, what is in it!

This attending to form as a way of making expression significant has a respectable pedigree in aesthetic education, dating from Friedrich Schiller (1788), who describes the disposition eloquently:

[Read, Herbert (1943) Education Through Art Faber & Faber London

Far from seeing Drama as the central art. Herbert Read barely gives it a mention.

Slade. P. (1954) ibid P. 68

See photograph opposite P. 114 in Experience of Spontaneity (1968)

Uncoordinated leaps of joy turn into dance, the unformed movements of the body into graceful and harmonious language of gesture; the confused and indistinct cries of feeling become articulate, begin to obey the laws of rhythm, and to take on the contours of song. If the Trojan host storms on to the battlefield with piercing shrieks like a flock of cranes, the Greek army approaches it in silence, with noble and measured tread. In the former case we see only the exuberance of blind forces; in the latter, the triumph of form and the simple majesty of law.

Slade too is disposed to perceive in children's own drama the "harmonious language of gesture", the "law of rhythm" and the "contours of song", but, Slade would have us understand, their achievement of "high realms of drama" is to be largely unconscious. Indeed it is the very lack of contrived artistry that contributes to its beauty. Within each child, Slade claims, there is a Child Drama that intuitively seeks beauty of form, a form which, when expressed collectively, captures moments of theatre. For some of Slade's followers the connection between the 'Drama within the child' and 'Theatre' was no doubt elusive, for it was perhaps easier to assume that Slade intended an anti-theatre posture rather than try to grapple with a new conception of theatre.

Slade's regret is that so many teachers failed to see what is there. Even the most pedestrian improvisation may bear incipient spatial, aural, structural, or climactic indicators that enhance the creation. Because the teacher fails to see these possibilities, s/he is left wondering both how to build on the original and how to find theatre in an activity so indifferent to 'performance'.

Whereas leaders in the drama field such as Mrs. Langdon (1948) and E.J. Burton (1949) clearly recognised that 'progressive' drama, based on children's play, was

---

59 We shall see that Brian Way (1967), for example, seemed to reinforce the view that Slade's educational philosophy was anti-theatre. Later writers on Slade, such as Fleming (1982) P. 42 and Robinson (1980) P. 144, correctly identified Slade's perspective of theatre as the culminating step in an educational progression, but did not draw attention to Slade's insistence that Child Drama had a theatrical potential within it.
improvisational, exploratory and different in appearance from theatre proper, they did not appear to be ready to grasp the paradox that the significance of the 'informal' activities might lie in their potential for 'formal' (that is, aesthetic or theatrical) expression. E.M. Langdon, for instance, in describing the characteristics of Dramatic Play 5-7 years, is more concerned to distinguish kinds of content to be expected of young children's drama, dividing them between what she calls 'realistic' and 'imaginative'. She appears to concede potential value in the activities of a privileged play corner where things can be left 'undisturbed', but is clearly bothered by their lack of structure: "The form of the play changes frequently, for it is acted out rather as a game of 'let's pretend' than as a 'play'." Langdon clings to a conception of 'the play' as a 'noun', a familiar structure that the dramatic play of young children somehow falls short of. She appears not to be sensitive to form in the sense Slade is seeking - of momentary climaxes spontaneously shared, of contrast in sound and movement, of the shape on the floor.

Slade, however, invites teachers to re-examine what is going on when children play and to see it as 'art'. He wants them to understand that when children and teacher work together as artists in the classroom (or rather in the school-hall for his 'lens' is wide), they are creating theatre. The choice of photographs included in Child Drama, and the written references to background sound effects or music, to different levels, to 'filling-space', to mood and climax, to shapes on the floor, to 'language-flow', even to lighting, betray a theatrical awareness on the part of the author when he watches a drama activity. Slade is looking for a new form of theatre embedded in Personal play. Many of his contemporaries, leading writers on drama education, sought to make bridges between a kindergarten and a proscenium arch: - imaginative, expressive dramatic exercises were invented by exponents such as E.J. Burton, in the name of 'free-expression' and drama.

60 Langdon, E.M. (1948) op cit Pp14-17
61 See our discussion in Chapter 3 Pages 101-102
classrooms became lively places. Peter Slade was not building a bridge, however; he was changing the template. 'Theatre' was already there in the kindergarten, but, of course, not theatre of the proscenium, nor theatre waiting to be directed by someone else, nor theatre setting out to entertain someone else.

Slade held his theatrical lens over the whole group; not just the few selected to 'act out at the front of the classroom'; his new conception of theatre was 'group' theatre, its formal elements described above dependent on broad strokes created in uncluttered space. He was also aware of the individual child as an actor in his own private space, not an actor striving for an effect, but an actor 'centred' within an enclosed 'circle of attention': "They act outwards from Self all round the body."62 This notion of 'a circle of attention', Slade acknowledges, coincides with Stanislavskian (1937) methodology which trained professional actors to concentrate, to the exclusion of anything or anybody outside the circle, on either 'inner', imagined objects or objects literally within the prescribed circle63. When a child is acting spontaneously he is metaphorically drawing a circle round himself, isolating himself from distractions. Slade calls this 'acting-in-the-round', not the same as 'theatre-in-the-round', but these three-dimentional qualities of child acting should be passed on to the best kind of adult arena theatre64. We have seen that

62 Slade. P. (1954) ibid P. 48
63 To reinforce the degree of isolating concentration he required of his actors, Stanislavski [Stanislavski, Constantin (1937, translated by Elizabeth Reynolds Hapgood) An Actor Prepares (Geoffrey Blis London, P. 86)] tells a Hindu story of how a Maharajah selected his Minister by making the candidates carry a dish full of milk round the top of the city walls without spilling - in spite of distractions:
Then came another, whom no scream, no threat, and no form of distraction could cause to take his eyes from the rim of the bowl...
'There is the real Minister.' said the Maharajah.
'Didn't you hear the cries?' he was asked.
'No.'
'Didn't you see the attempts to frighten you?'
'No.'
'Did you hear the shots?'
'No. I was watching the milk.'
Marjorie Hourd (1948) voices a similar point when she puts it succinctly: "'The round' is an inner quality..." requiring the actors, not so much to "look round as be round."65

In 'acting-in-the-round' the child invades space with his whole body, moving in any direction, relating particularly to floor space and learning to respect the space of others: "It is during this movement-at-will that the Child begins to cover the actual floor space in so interesting and beautiful a manner, filling the space as if it were a picture."66 Thus Slade gives another glimpse here of his central thesis that drama embraces all the arts, that the child absorbed in acting is making a picture on a large canvas, or sculpting figures in three-dimensional space, moments to be caught by the camera or the observant teacher, and presumably, to be felt unconsciously by the children. This 'making space significant' [a feature we have already come across to some extent in the work of R.L. Stone (1949)67] is, for Slade, an overriding characteristic of classroom acting.

B.1 b.ii. The concept of 'Sincerity'

It seems that most writers about children's drama, Slade included, assumed that their readers know what is meant by 'sincerity'. And yet, it might seem ironical to apply the term 'sincerity', a word of high moral overtones68, to an art that is based on what Stanislavski calls a 'palpable lie'69. Nor does it make immediate sense to say of a child's performance: 'S/he played, say, a villain or a con man or a murderer 'sincerely'.

---

66Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 49
67Stone, R.L. (1949) op cit
68Peter Brook nicely captures such overtones: "Sincerity is a loaded word: like cleanliness it carries childhood associations of goodness, truth-telling and decency." [Brook, Peter (1968) The Empty Space Atheneum NY P. 116-117]
69Stanislavski, Constantin (1948 translated by J.J. Robbins) My Life in Art Theatre Art Books NY P. 467
I will begin this section by looking at how some writers on professional theatre use the term, in order first, to tease out how Peter Slade intended it should be understood in relation to classroom acting, and, secondly, to argue for a particular usage. It should be stressed, however, that concentrating on what is meant by 'sincerity' in relation to acting only, while suiting the purpose of this study, does not do justice to Slade's broader conception, when for example he writes: "The qualities out of these forms of play [Projected and Personal] are Absorption in the task and, under adult guidance, Sincerity about the way of doing it. Both are excellent qualities necessary for work and honest relationships throughout life."70

Some authors see sincerity merely as a quality compensating for absence in technique: for instance, the authors of the 1926 Board of Education The Drama in Adult Education Report wrote71 "'Sincerity' of acting in amateur/children's acting often atones in great measure for deficiencies in technique and finish". This begs the question 'to whom'? To whom is 'sincerity' compensating for lack of technique? The authors clearly have an audience72 in mind, an audience of adults who are counted on to adjust their 'normal' expectations of performance. Apparently child performers and untrained adult performers share this capacity for 'sincerity' without 'technique'.

The above comment by the 1926 Report writers does not explain what 'sincerity' is, unless it is meant merely to be understood as 'well-intentioned' or 'artless'. Such a view would deny a more positive usage applied by some authors in respect of professional actors. Stanislavski (1948), for example, expects of his actors that,

70Slade, P. (1977) Natural Dance op cit P. 123
72Harriet Finlay-Johnson, as we have seen on Page 30 of Chapter One, refers to 'crude action from the adult's point of view', but does not apply the term 'sincerity'.
through the "creative if" (an expression also adopted by Slade) an imagined truth will command a "sincere belief"\(^\text{73}\) (46). Linked with belief in this way, 'sincerity' represents the HIGH point on a 'belief barometer' - the lowest point presumably reading 'disbelief' or 'cynicism'.

Thus, on this basis, all acting could fall into three categories: (1) 'lack of sincerity', because of insufficient or intermittent belief in what is imagined [to be found in the untrained and trained alike] (2) 'sincere acting', meaning adequate belief in what is imagined [to be found in both untrained and trained] and (3) 'sincere acting combined with performance techniques' [to be found in the trained only]. Keeping to this 'sincerity as belief' metaphor, there is a fourth category, for the trained, described by Peter Brook (1968)\(^\text{74}\) as follows: "So he [the young professional actor] searches for technique: and soon he acquires a know-how. Easily, know-how can become a pride and end in itself. It becomes dexterity without any other aim than the display of expertise - in other words, the art becomes insincere," Thus 'insincerity', in Brook's usage, is different from 'lack of sincerity', the former representing a trap for the trained actor only.

This kind of simple categorisation, into 'without sincerity', 'sincerity', 'sincerity plus technique' and 'insincerity', still does not tell us enough about sincerity in acting. For instance, it fails to explain how anyone can be 'sincere' in an action of 'pretence', or as Peter Brook puts it\(^\text{75}\): "...he (the actor) must practise how to be insincere with sincerity and how to lie truthfully". The answer appears to require, as we shall see, a conceptual adjustment, a displacement or overriding of 'pretence' and 'lie' with the notion of capturing a 'truth'.

---

\(^{73}\) Stanislavski, C. (1948) *My Life in Art* ibid P. 467. It should also be noted that although Slade acknowledged the usefulness of Stanislavski's term 'circle of attention'. Slade had observed this phenomenon before Stanislavski's publication was available in English.

\(^{74}\) Brook, Peter (1968) *The Empty Space* Atheneum NY Pp 109-120

\(^{75}\) Brook, P. (1968) *op cit* P. 117
Other theoretical writers on theatre adopt this latter, more positive view of sincerity in acting, and in so doing take us nearer to what Peter Slade understood by 'sincerity' in Child Drama. They tend to write in terms, not of 'pretence', but of 'truths' created by the fictional act. For instance, Charles McGaw (1964)\(^{76}\) writes:

"The actor's imagination allows him to abstract the essence of truth from the familiar and the everyday..." Such an observation matches the philosophical position adopted by Cassirer (1953) and quoted on page P. 212 above:

"...reproduction never consists in retracing, line for line, a specific content of reality; but in selecting a pregnant motif...". The actor, it seems, abstracts from reality. Is 'sincerity', then, to be linked with the meaningfulness of that abstraction? Slade does not attempt within his extensive publications to define how he is using the term, but when I sent him a draft of these chapters, he wrote comments\(^{78}\), suggesting he thought that the 'belief' metaphor applies to an audience situation, for sincere acting is that which has 'believability' for the audience, in spite of "lack of attack, poor diction, clumsy foot work and letting the scene down". He goes on to suggest, however, that what he calls "the best child's creation" (presumably to be found in classroom drama and, perhaps, exceptionally in 'performance drama', is "truth" - for it is a discovery of reality or a "re-testing and a deep proving of something the child has experienced in real life, being played out before us." This seems to be helpful as far as it goes, but it would be useful at this point in the thesis to arrive at a fuller definition of 'sincerity', if we are to retain the concept in relation to classroom acting, a definition, if possible that would still be faithful to Slade's usage.

\(^{76}\)McGaw, Charles. J. (1964) *Acting is Believing* Holt, Rinehart & Winston NY P. 47
\(^{77}\)It also echoes Caldwell Cook's concern that "...boys have not the experience to go beyond impressions and appearances" [Cook, H. C. (1917) op cit P. 271]
\(^{78}\)Housed in Durham University Drama Archives
In the above explanation Slade is drawing on two resources commonly assumed to be contributory to imitation - the present reality of the world and past personal experience. He seems to be linking 'truth' and 'imitation' within the concept of 'sincerity'. Let us first look at imitation specifically in Piaget's study of Child Play.

After watching a near two-year old girl play with her dolls Piaget concluded, "When real scenes are reproduced in games with dolls, imitation is at its maximum, but there is also a transposition for subjective ends..." On the previous page Piaget gives an example of what he means:

> At 2;7 (22) she made up by herself a long game of washing, drying and ironing her dolls' sheets, then gave all the dolls a bath, which was very well imitated in detail.

In this illustration, Piaget has selected make-believe play at its most realistic; although, the child was not, in actuality, washing, drying and ironing sheets: her actions could be said to meet an acceptable level (acceptable, apparently to both the child and the adult observer) of realism. Piaget himself does not acknowledge the possibility of a conventional signing of reality, but concedes the possibility of "a transposition for subjective ends". That he is disposed to comment on the impressive degree of accurate detail suggests that he might have seen the episode as exceptional. Indeed many of his examples of child play include but token imitative actions. From this it may be concluded that the meaning of a make-believe event may not be dependent on an intention to imitate accurately, if 'imitating accurately' refers to external features of the model. There may, instead, be a form of engagement with the model relating to some aspect for which selected external features may be but a point of reference. For instance, Piaget cites the example of a near two-year old sliding a shell down the edge of a box while

79 Although Piaget and Slade were not aware of each other's work, their conclusions about child play were very similar.
80 Piaget, Jean (1951 translated by C. Gattegno & F.M. Hodgson) *Play, Dreams and Imitation in Childhood* Routledge & Kegan Paul
81 Piaget, J. (1951) ibid P. 124
explaining "Cat on wall". Piaget further refers\textsuperscript{82} (echoing Slade's "a deep proving of something") to examples of symbolic play that "liquidate a disagreeable situation by re-living it as make-believe..", one example being the child who, afraid of the tractor in the field next to the garden, puts her doll on an imaginary tractor. Now the tractor, in this kind of instance, does not have to resemble a tractor, nor is the child required to do accurate 'steering-a-tractor actions'.

Thus Piaget follows McGaw in seeing make-believe as an abstraction from reality rather than a pure imitation of it. They have, however, distinctly different ends in mind. Whereas Piaget sees accuracy of imitation undermined by an egocentric subjectivism, McGaw perceives what is abstracted as a more penetrating truth. Piaget sees the child in play distorting reality; McGaw sees the artist commenting on it. Both may behave independently of verisimilitude. Slade's "it is truth" perhaps embraces both perspectives, although he does not actually say so.

It seems that in enactment therefore, whether in play or on stage, imitation as copying appearances is almost irrelevant, but \textit{identification}\textsuperscript{83} is paramount. It is the degree and quality of identification that partly determine the choice of abstraction. The last child's intensity of identification with the tractor is unmistakable - she was afraid of it - but the intellectual quality of the identification was perhaps superficial, maybe based on no more information than that there was a monster over the garden fence. Whatever the level of identification, it could be said that it was 'true for the child'. It can be argued, then, that imitative actions in play are consequent on the meaning the child is abstracting from reality as s/he understands it. The child abstracts a 'truth' from the situation as s/he sees it for the purpose of representation. What is represented is the child's understanding of, not a

\textsuperscript{82}Piaget, J. (1951) \textit{ibid} P. 134

\textsuperscript{83}Juliana Saxton and Norah Morgan were the first educationists to recognise and categorise 'identification' as the prime dynamic of all forms of acting behaviour. [Morgan, N. & Saxton J. (1987) \textit{Teaching Drama} Hutchinson Education Pp 30-36]
facsimile of a reality. In adult acting the 'actor's understanding of a reality' may not always be enough to satisfy a public notion of that reality, but what is created is nevertheless based primarily on the actor's identification with the world. 'Sincerity' is related to the degree to which the child or actor successfully represents that 'truth'.

This seems to be a valid interpretation of 'sincerity' in acting, both in Child Drama and Stage Drama: sincere acting could be said to involve a fitting representation of the actor's understanding of reality. In children's drama such a conception allows for a wide spectrum of imitative behaviours - from a purely mental adaptation with minimal physical imitation to technically controlled performative actions. Let us contrast the following instances of acting behaviour:

- **A task orientation**: "I am in role in our 'restaurant' drama as a waiter sitting here with pen and paper, working out how many parties of 10 this dining-room can seat."

- **A subtle adoption of a complex disposition**: "In our drama based on the Bible story I am jealous of my brother, Joseph, and would like to be rid of him, but I will bide my time...";

- **An elaborate impersonation**: "In our drama I am a witch about to deliver my evil incantation...".

All three of these examples, if carried out by children at play or by children doing drama, could be what Sully (1896) regards as "contented privacy", in which the sole purpose is identification with the context. A teacher passing by an open classroom door may not 'read' anything into the first two of

---

84 In a margin note of my draft chapter, Peter Slade indicates his approval of my interpretation.
86 These three examples are just made up, not taken from a published text.
these. There is a chance, however, a passer-by might recognise the meaning of the third one, but only if the child was driven to using conventional witch-like gestures and voice modification, that is. It is conceivable, of course, that the child might (with no less 'sincerity') sit huddled in a chair muttering under the breath!, remaining in 'contented privacy' - and leaving that passing teacher non-plussed.

The child is under no compunction to find a 'public voice'. Although Slade does not express his ideology in these terms of 'public' or 'private', this is what he means by Child Drama: an artistic endeavour not necessarily communicable to others. The child's commitment to the process of identifying and representing will nevertheless create its own pressure, for the child's own sense of how the truth of a situation or character as s/he understands them can best be expressed will determine the extent of conventional signing. S/he discovers the 'right' form as s/he plays alone or adapts to the play of others. S/he may find need for more precise external imitation when sharing meaning. In other words, there are two kinds of spectatorship - firstly, the demands she makes on herself and, secondly, the demands of her fellow participants. It seems that in group make-believe play individual identification is not sufficient. There must be an adequate degree of effectiveness in communication, although it may be far short of the standard required for communicating to outsiders. Nevertheless, it could be said that the sharing of work among a group represents an incipient performance87, although, it should be noted, Slade does not choose to discuss this point.

Supposing, however, individuals in the group fail to be interested in the same 'truth'? If there is a conflict of identifications, does each individual sustain his/her separate 'sincerity'? It seems more likely that the outcome of conflicting individual

87 Using 'performance' in the sense of having some responsibility to communicate to an audience.
'truths' will cause a breakdown in group creativity, in which case it would be appropriate, although Slade does not speak in these terms, to regard the failing attempt at make-believe as 'insincere', to judge what was created as 'untruthful'. If 'sincerity' is bound up with the intention to abstract something from reality that is 'true', then it seems reasonable to conclude that a group art such as drama is dependent on the sincerity of a group creation, that indeed it is possible to speak of 'sincere drama work', not of just a 'sincere actor'. Slade is strongly aware of 'groupness', and where a whole class fail to cohere, he looks for sub-groupings within the larger group. Typically, he writes: "The result was not yet a whole climax by the complete group, but various groups were beginning to be formed as an entity." He does not apply the term 'sincere' to the group product, but it seems reasonable to assume that to do so would not be contrary to his approach.

Whereas Slade would not go as far as Hilliard (1917), who absurdly wrote: "Educational Dramatics is as far removed from amateur dramatics as the real true outdoors of the country is removed from the stage picture of the country.", he would endorse the implication that Child Drama is significantly different from any kind of traditional stage drama. Slade, in writing of a drama that requires absorption, exploration of significant space and a process of sincere identification, is inviting his followers to entertain a mode of acting that is important in itself, related to but independent of stage acting. There is, he maintains, a gulf between the two. Whereas the stage actor too seeks identification with 'truth', he is required to make his art public. This is more than a matter of good communication.

88In the next section of this thesis this discussion on sincerity will be taken up again in light of Dorothy Heathcote's preference for the term 'authenticity' as more appropriate for drama work than 'sincerity'. See Pages 338/9 below.
89Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 232
90Indeed in his inspection of the first draft of this chapter (housed in the Durham University Drama Archives), he raised no objection to this point.
91Hilliard, McCormick Ogleby (1917) Amateur and Educational Dramatics The Macmillan Co. NY
McGaw\textsuperscript{92} adds an additional responsibility for the actor expressed as "unexpected significance" which Slade would urge as outside the scope of children: "The actor's imagination", writes McGaw, "allows him to abstract the essence of truth from the familiar and the everyday, and give it new form which will command our attention and make us vitally aware of its significance." We, the audience, are to have our attention arrested into seeing something afresh. Anthony Frost and Ralph Yarrow\textsuperscript{93} add another dimension to the stage actor's art: disponibilité, that experiential condition of being "open to the moment". That "moment" is to include the audience in a spontaneous, existential sharing. This is to describe a stage performance at its best. Child Drama at its best is also of the 'existential moment', but in 'contented privacy'. Responding with 'openness' to one's fellow participants while retaining the integrity of what one is representing may well be another aspect of 'sincerity'.

If, according to Peter Slade, teachers attempt too early in their pupils' development to train them for adult theatre instead of their own drama, then a great deal of harm can be done. Asked from the floor of his lecture hall: "Why is acting on a normal stage bad for young children?", Slade answered\textsuperscript{94}:

> Because it destroys Child Drama, and the Children then merely try to copy what adults call theatre. They are not successful in this, and it is not their way of playing. They need space, and don't need to be embroiled in the complicated technique of an artificial theatre form. It makes them conscious of the audience, spoils their sincerity and teaches them to show off.

As we have seen, some of Slade's contemporaries were already trying to break away from a rigidly held view that drama in schools should replicate adult theatre. The work of Mrs. Langdon and E.J. Burton, for example, challenged

\textsuperscript{92}McGaw, C.J. (1964) Acting is Believing op cit P. 47
\textsuperscript{93}Frost, Anthony & Yarrow, Ralph (1990) Improvement in Drama Macmillan Basingstoke Pp 152-3
\textsuperscript{94}Slade, P. (1954) ibid P.351
preconceptions about children's drama, but their innovatory suggestions sprang
from an idiom of the proscenium stage. Slade was a true innovator in the sense that
he changed the idiom of theatre. Consequently his message was rarely understood
at first because he challenged educationalists to see differently, to teach differently,
to judge differently.

Sully\textsuperscript{95} maintained that the "contented privacy sets the play off from art proper".
This would be a view held by many teachers who permitted a freer kind of make-
believe activity in their classrooms. Many of Slade's followers, not surprisingly, in
reading the kind of answer about young children and adult theatre quoted above,
jumped to the conclusion that Child Drama was anti-art and anti-theatre. This, as
we have seen, was far from the case. On Page 105 of Child Drama Slade writes:
"First...let me reiterate that \textit{Child Drama is an Art in itself, and would stand alone
as being of importance} [his italics]." Thus he has identified an art of drama that is
shot through with the magic of theatre, and yet he could not call it "Child Theatre",
for had he done so he may have unwittingly reinforced the very "Beginners
Please!" mentality he was struggling to oppose. He had to find a terminology that
conveyed the development of the 'whole child' through Art, for ultimately, it is the
child as a liver of life of which Art is an important part, that Slade wants us to look
at.

We shall now review how he translated his philosophy and theory into practice, or
rather, review his practice, from which his philosophy and theory emerged. In his
publications he included many examples of his own and others' practice from which
we will be able to draw further conclusions about acting behaviour.

\textbf{C. Child Drama in Practice}

\textsuperscript{95}Sully, J. (1896) \textit{op cit P. 326}
Chapter 7: Slade 228

From the 'trends' followed in Part Two of this study, it seems that a number of leading practitioners sought to promote particular lines of practice: dramatisation, textual study, speech, dramatic play, movement, mime, play performance etc. Against these kinds of specialisms, Peter Slade's approach could be described as eclectic, for within the pages of his various publications there appear examples of his own practice that spread across a whole spectrum of activities. He is as comfortable with a class of adolescents in Social Drama\(^96\) and with Dance Drama with Adults\(^97\) as with "backward and disturbed" six to seven year olds, making up a story to play out\(^98\) Such eclecticism appears to defy any attempt to characterise Child Drama in terms of specific kinds of activity.

It is more likely to be defined in terms of 'freedom' and 'encouragement': 'freedom' from teacher interference, from the need to entertain an audience, from authoritative judgment and from moral pressure. 'Making up a story to play out', however, marked a distinct break with any of the methodologies associated with the four previous trends. [We shall be examining this strategy in detail below.]

There was, too, a physical feature to his teaching, a characteristic that became known as 'exploration of space'. Given a choice between a classroom and a school hall, Slade would always opt for the larger space, for freedom from the normal clutter of a classroom. The most regularly used teaching space at Rea Street Centre was the central hall. His work was nevertheless adaptable to classroom constrictions\(^99\).

\(^{96}\)See, for example, pages 11-15 of the EDA publication Freedom in Education by Peter Slade (undated - 1970s?)

\(^{97}\)See, for example, pages 164-170 of Experience of Spontaneity op cit (1968)

\(^{98}\)See, for example, pages 83-89 of Experience of Spontaneity

\(^{99}\)See, for instance, the photographs opposite Page 184 of Child Drama
Exploration of space is both liberating and constraining; interacting with other members of the class and using different levels of rostra, offer both stimulation and limitation. Slade, conscious of these contrary pulls, although occasionally permitting uninhibited playing, tended to employ one of two kinds of artistic constraint: (1) a story (mentioned above) made up by the teacher from the children's own ideas, to be enacted as the story was retold in a way that was flexibly open to innovation by the children or (2) some kind of sound stimulus or music, a selected record, percussion or, occasionally, piano accompaniment to which the class could either (a) move freely and spontaneously or (b) interpret in a prepared Dance Drama. Both these, (2a & b) were conceived of as 'Natural Dance', in which each person has the chance of developing personal style, a freer form of expression than the more structured interpretation associated with the Laban system of Movement. Accompanying these artistic constraints were social constraints, such as beginning with the class spread out individually and equidistantly and putting hands up to give suggestions, one at a time. Here is an example, in the first year of the Junior School, of both aesthetic and teacher control:

SELF: 'When I put the music on, I am going to suggest some funny things to you (note, not "I want you to" [Slade's italics]). I'm going to make quick changes, just to see how quick and clever you are at getting into things. Ready?'

---

100 See Pages 51-55 of Natural Dance (1977) for Slade's sympathetic comments on Laban and what he was trying to do. Slade is critical of some of Laban's interpreters, especially Physical Education specialists who reduced Laban's vision to a training scheme. Slade writes: "Laban himself told me he despaired of this [when they do Labanesques all wrong], and of what some physical education specialists had done to destroy his work. At the last he (Laban) implied that he regretted ever getting involved with education. He said he should have stuck to theatre." Clive Barker (1977), a self-confessed disciple of Laban, convincingly argues that the fault lay not with Laban's 'method' but with those who, instead of teaching movement, rigidly taught 'the system'. [P. 46 of Theatre Games Eyre Methuen London]

101 In what I hope was a gently mocking vein. I have referred to this as 'space on your own' drama. [See my keynote address to the University of Bergamo, Italy. "Una breve storia del classroom drama nella scuola inglese. Una storia di contraddizioni." (1994) in Teatro Ed Educazione in Europa: Inghilterra E Belgio a cura di Benvenuto Cuminetti, Guerini Studio.]

102 Slade, P. (1995) op cit P.73
I put music on (not forgetting to have put volume down -always- before starting, so no unwanted scrape or odd sound destroys the atmosphere). I bring up some cheerful quick jazz.

SELF: 'You are someone in a hurry to do shopping. Off you go'. (I often give a quick clap here so that people know exactly when to start. It avoids a lazy beginning and keeps a good strong control from the start. I hate to see a class that is not in hand. It is bad for discipline. The clap, in starting improvisation in these circumstances, is almost the parallel of the rise of the curtain in adult theatre. Everyone knows: Now we begin. When there is no curtain, there has to be something else and, to obtain the best work, it must be precise. [Slade's italics and emphases])

Although he does not explicitly comment on it, Slade was no doubt aware of the ambiguity signalled by these architectural and pedagogical arrangements. To the children (and, no doubt, to their teachers) the association with Physical Training of being placed equidistantly in a school hall must have been overwhelming in the early days when Slade started his experimentation. A tradition of stripping down to vest and shorts for Drama dated from this time, not that Slade himself encouraged it, but those in the teaching profession, particularly Her Majesty's Inspectors who wanted imaginative, physical expression of this kind to be seen as an enlightened form of physical education, pressed for Drama as an extension of basic Laban movement - and, as such, 'vest and shorts' became the required attirement.

Slade may have sensed that the physical exercise setting offered both the security born of familiarity and the potential for physical freedom when

---

103 Many of the photographs in his books show children in ordinary clothing.
104 This tradition of changing into special clothing for Drama ('gym strip', as it was often called) lasted for almost a generation. When I (employed as an LEA Drama Adviser) first went into schools in the North-East of England, in the 1960s and asked to do Drama with a class of children, it was automatically assumed by teachers (and their pupils) that undressing would be required.
105 The instruction: "find a place alone" precedes each of the early lessons illustrated in the Board of Education's 1933 Syllabus of Physical Education. From the 1950s onwards, for many teachers, the practice of Drama was dependent on a similar instruction. Even as late as 1972 Drama was associated with 'a space on the floor' [King, Colin (1972) A Space on the Floor].
the class were ready. Indeed Slade's maxim was 'beginning where people reveal they are in life.' His choice of physical setting and tight lesson structure combined with teacher-controlled, short, sharp commands requiring a series of instant, physical responses may have seemed at odds with a creative activity, but the manner adopted by Slade of gentle, quiet tones no doubt smoothed down the harsh edges of instructions, giving them a quality of an invitation. Slade wanted children to 'reveal where they are' when they were feeling safe. 'Space on their own' combined with teacher-instruction represented the familiar out of which freedom might burst. Some teachers, it seems, allowed the physical conditions to dictate a heavily imperious, 'physical instruction', style. Occasionally, Slade came across the following kind of lesson, with rapid changes of activity in too quick succession. The teacher's instructions with a class of 42 seven years old ("Turn yourselves into big fat frogs"; "Now little baby frogs"; Now all asleep"; "Now show me how your father walks" etc. etc. until they in turn represent horses, wheelbarrows, clowns, chariots, crocodiles and trees - all within a few minutes) draw Slade's tactful comments to the teacher: "But you are using this as a P.E. period too, aren't you, when taking it at this speed? It is all right so long as you only suggest what to do not how to do it." Slade is only too aware that a series of instructions taken at this rate is merely a way of establishing a surface discipline not an invitation to creativity, resulting in an equally surface level of involvement.

planned approach to teaching drama Ward Lock Educational London]

106 Slade, P. (1968) Experience of Spontaneity op cit P. 126
107 It should be remembered too that class-size during this 1940 - 1960 period typically could be 40-50 pupils.
108 I had the privilege of seeing Peter Slade teach a class of Secondary pupils in the mid-fifties.
It is instructive to see how Peter Slade himself treads delicately between teacher imposition of ideas and children's suggestions. The following suggestions to teachers are for an infant class of five and six years:

...try making a noise and saying, 'What does that sound remind you of?' 'What does it make you want to be or do?' Sometimes you may get little response, particularly at the beginning, before children fully understand that they are allowed and are encouraged to use their imaginations. Response at first may be rather traditional....You then may have to say what it reminds you of...you will be helping them to open up, to widen their vision. It also helps them to see how far they may go and give them rails to run on. They will learn that you will not deflate them, or laugh at them for saying imaginative things.

This brief quotation exemplifies principles of teaching that many 'progressive' classroom practitioners, especially in the arts, attempted to adopt. Slade recognised that children sometimes needed to be persuaded to be imaginative and to take risks. Thus what may sound like teacher imposition, is supplying them with a temporary track to run on, creating a mood of fun and freedom from which their imaginative ideas may spring. When the children, faced with this stranger's first question, are non-plussed, he might share with the class (and one can imagine how 'tentatively') what the sound reminds him of - not as a teacher who knows what needs to be done next, but as a 'fellow traveller' intrigued by a shared problem. Peter Slade has recaptured the vision of Harriet Finlay-Johnson of teacher and class as 'fellow-workers', prepared to be 'fellow-artists'. When they do take risks, his pupils discover that he accepts their wildest imaginings - and trust is established.

Slade, then, attempts to create (within the limits, it should be noted, of the 'once-a-week' Drama or P.E. lesson, or even worse, the 'one-off' occasion of his visit)

110 Slade, P. (1977) *Natural Dance* op cit P. 127
111 In this respect there can be no comparison with the classroom of Harriet Finlay-Johnson's pupils who could act whenever they chose to, at any time of any day.
an atmosphere of trust and happiness in which children might play, but it takes place, as we have seen, in an environmental and pedagogical context that militates against the kind of child play activity from which his philosophy derives. Let us remind ourselves of the dimensions of child play.

From the earlier discussion in this chapter, it may now be concluded that make-believe behaviour in solitary play is a commitment by a child to represent something. The representation is a mixture of imitation and invention determined by the quality and intensity of identification. The representation can be described as 'sincere', if it is 'true' to the child's understanding of the context (even if it be a parody of that context). It 'occurs', existentially, and in 'contented privacy'. Adoption of this 'playful' frame is of the child's initiative; allowing it to lapse is also the child's prerogative: the child is 'in charge' and part of his satisfaction derives from seeing him/herself as 'in charge'.

If the above is an adequate description of make-believe play, then examples from Peter Slade's publications of classes being introduced to Child Drama appear to be antithetical to it - a whole class are simultaneously required either to respond to teacher's or a fellow pupil's suggestion by springing into appropriate actions, which continue until the teacher decides on the next instruction or question, or builds a whimsical story for re-enactment. This appears, in respect of the defining features listed in the above paragraph, to be far removed from child play and to make a mockery of an art form. In terms of education it appears to be no more worthwhile than if an art teacher asked his class rapidly, in succession, to draw cartoon figures.

However, one of the problems for a reader of Slade's accounts of particular lessons is that the mood of the pupils and the general atmosphere of the lesson cannot be grasped from the printed page. It is not possible to 'read' the (presumed) warmth of the response from children or young people to this smiling, friendly stranger,
sounding more like an ally than a teacher, inviting them to venture into fantastic, silly, funny, nonsensical, unschool-like games. The invitation extended to them to shed their school selves was undoubtedly disarming. At a period in our educational history when the majority of schools followed a formal tradition, one can only assume that Peter Slade's judgement was sound and the method successful. In a formal school, children present their formal selves - they are well-practised in leaving their personalities, imaginations, feelings, opinions, guesses and humour at home. To expect them, perhaps just once a week, to be creative in a group, to be playful in a setting that inhibits play, appears to be asking the impossible. It seems that Peter Slade found a way of achieving just that112.

Slade's recipe for success seems, then, to have relied on whimsicality, what Peter Slade describes as "a sort of poetic romanticism"113, combined with a gentle but firm physical exercise type of control. There was another feature, however, that may have had something to do with the extraordinary attraction of his teaching style: his approach suspended the normally expected deference to 'meaning'. The meaning of anything created did not have to be sensible, worthwhile, significant, moral or useful. That such epithets rarely appear in Slade's practice or theory is one of the features that marks his work apart from that of his predecessors. Harriet Finlay-Johnson constantly referred to the usefulness of the knowledge acquired through dramatisation. While Henry Caldwell Cook held a high degree of tolerance

112 As has already been observed, Peter Slade was among the first professional drama educators to teach classes that were not his own. We have already commented, in Chapter 6 of Section Two on R.L. Stone's early struggle to introduce creative movement into Physical Education. Stone found that to be an uphill task at first, even in the context of regular lessons, often taken by Stone himself as headmaster, linked with other art forms and language work and with the staff working as a team, backing each other's experimentation. Slade was expected to 'make things happen' for a 'one-off' visit.

113 It is difficult to find one word to describe Slade's style - there is something of the magician who, fully in tune with his fellow creators of magic, is ready to spring into an adventure. The phrase, "a sort of poetic romanticism", was suggested by Peter Slade in his private correspondence with Gavin Bolton (dated 30th January, 1995) after reading a first draft of this manuscript.
for "din and clash and horrors"\textsuperscript{114}, he continually stressed learning "to appreciate literature in its highest form." Slade's emphasis too seems far removed from the 'poetic spirit' of Marjorie Hourd's literature-based dramatic method\textsuperscript{115}. Slade, of course, recognises the potential in dramatisation for learning, including the learning of school subjects and the learning relating to children with special needs\textsuperscript{116}, but such learning, during periods of what he calls 'pure Child Drama' is to be incidental, spontaneous, and not to the fore of the minds of either the pupils or the teacher. That he is alert too to the poetry of children's expression is seen in his obvious delight in what he calls 'language flow'\textsuperscript{117}.

Slade's approach freed pupils from an immediate obligation to learn directly from whatever the content happened to be, a sine qua non of most curriculum subjects. Thus Drama's kinship with physical education was not only a matter of physicality and lesson structure: both subjects were to be seen as experiential, as valuable in themselves. Slade persuaded his followers that the 'doing and struggling', the life-learning through Drama\textsuperscript{118} was all-sufficient. The 'life-learning' was broader than specific content. As Slade expressed it in his private correspondence (1995): "They learned other things ...that they might not learn in any other way - about space, self-expression, cooperation, tidy movement, clearer speech and communication."

Thus the teacher, although not teaching about a particular content area, was to have a strong sense of purpose behind each lesson. In the above quotation Slade lists a number of personal and social skills which are to make up the teacher's objectives. It is of significance that these are not to be taught directly, as earlier

\textsuperscript{114}Cook, Henry Caldwell (1917) op cit
\textsuperscript{115}Hourd, M. (1949) op cit
\textsuperscript{116}See, for example, top of Page 359 in Child Drama (1954), but, to an extent that seems out of proportion in comparison with his previous publications, in Child Play (1995) Pp 95-121 and 154-168.
\textsuperscript{117}See Child Drama (1954) ibid Chapters 6 and 13
\textsuperscript{118}This phrase, 'life-learning through Drama' was kindly suggested by Peter Slade in the private correspondence referred to above.
Speech specialists might expect to teach Speech, or the English specialists might teach Language, or Jacques-Dalcroze followers might teach Eurhythmics. The Child Drama teacher is to use the art to create the conditions in which these skills might develop. (This absence of direct teaching should not be confused with the more precise, tightly-structured indirect learning employed by Caldwell Cook who harnessed a pupil's capacity for tacit understanding by setting a dramatic problem.119)

Thus in Drama and Natural Dance "intelligent guiding"120 was required from the teacher, but, as we have seen, that guiding was rarely towards specific learning goals; it was a catalyst for the pupils' own creativity which might go in any direction. If the teacher has created conditions of freedom and opportunity, then 'life-learning' can take place.

That the initial stimulus, the structure and environment are chosen by the teacher, critically distinguishes it from child play. One may also wonder whether, at least in the teacher-controlled stages of the lesson, the acting itself was qualitatively different from the acting of a child's own make-believe play. This point brings us to a central issue of this study.

D. Acting behaviour in Slade's approach

D.1. Signing

A critical tenet of Slade's philosophy was that Child Drama could function independently of an audience, as in a child's own make-believe play. A hypothetical example was briefly discussed above121 of the choice facing a child 'playing a witch'. S/he could, in her private play, adopt a conventional impersonation, using

119See Pages 69-71 in Chapter Two
120Slade, Peter (undated, 1970s?) Freedom in Education a pamphlet published by the Educational Drama Association. P. 9
121See Pages 223-224
wild gestures and strident cackles, or a more intimate representation, seated in a
corner with elbows on knees and hands over eyes as she silently curses someone.
These two kinds of behaviour appear to be at extreme points along a
'public/private' continuum\textsuperscript{122}. In both instances there is the same special kind of
audience, that is, the 'self-spectator', but whereas the former resorts to
conventional signs (in some circumstances we might say 'stereotypes'), potentially
communicable, the latter does not have that potential. It seems reasonable to
wonder whether, against received perception of Slade's approach, the
circumstances and style of Child Drama methodology initially invite the former, the
'public', form of representation, rather than the 'private' expression, so long
associated with Child Drama. That it is taking place in a school, in front of a
teacher, at the teacher's instruction, may alone be sufficient to tilt each pupil's
acting in the direction of the former, 'public' representation.

It seems, then that at the moment of a teacher's instruction, pupils are required to
find an instant, communicable response. If this is the case, it could be argued that
Child Drama is dependent on a kind of acting unerringly related to 'conventional
signing' or 'stereotyping'. Such an argument certainly appears to challenge Slade's
theoretical rhetoric.

A way of containing the apparent contradiction is to accept the notion of two
phases to creative acting. If the pressure on the child to find a 'public'
representation is regarded as both temporary and protective, no more than a means
of entry into true creativity, then it is conceivable that the conventional 'witch'
becomes the sincere 'witch' (using public or private form) when the child is ready.
It is as if Slade is opening a safe, conventional door for the children to go through
at their own pace, using whatever stereotype, if any, they need. Once through, they

\textsuperscript{122}It should be stressed that Peter Slade does not describe child acting in these terms, although he has since approved of this analysis when he saw the text in draft form.
are free (and the lesson structure usually allows for it) to break-away into 'true' expression, which may or may not be understandable to an observer and which is independent of any need to show off to the teacher. If we look at a typical Sladian story, built up from suggestions from the class of "blue hat", "food" and "umbrella", we can see how the stereotypic control implied in the tale offers the young children a high degree of safety:

Once upon a time there was funny old man and he lived in a funny old house over there in that corner, and there were two things which he wanted very much in the shops over there... he shuffled out of the house and down the winding road... and by the road were trees which bent towards him as he passed, saying, each in turn, 'Good morning, old man good morning.' But when he got to the shops...

and when the story has finished he invites: "Now, we'll act it, and you shall add some more ideas." The class are required to follow a set course, stereotypically signing each event until they are ready to break away into a quality of acting that belongs to child play, in which conventional signing is contingent on the child's freedom to choose. Slade generously praises a Birmingham teacher's lesson which is a good example of this transformation into child theatre. With a class of 5 year olds the teacher, using piano accompaniment at first, gives a sequence of instructions to be slavishly followed by the young children, but then changes her strategy so that Slade is able to describe the new phase of her lesson in these terms:

Two or three boys became postmen. The teacher saw that she had created the right atmosphere for Child Drama, and ceased to guide the class. She backed quietly into a corner...

---

123In the aforementioned private correspondence the author has checked with Peter Slade that he is comfortable with my conclusion that there could be two phases, although, in a later letter [20th October, 1995], he warns me against "arriving at speculation of what is taking place & what one cannot really know, only partially observe."

124Taken from "Starting Improvisation" in Theatre in Education No. 7-8, March-June 1948 Pp. 6-7

across the hall in naturally formed groups, become different kinds of transport etc]...

This was the supreme moment of real Child Theatre....Lorries were driving towards the train between the tea-parties and behind the postmen; inter-crossing waves of six and six aeroplanes were weaving a snakewise dance in the only space left, their arms outstretched and banking as they ran... I longed for a gallery to see from. This is what we should realise. The Child creates theatre in his own way, own form, own kind. It is original art of high creative quality.

D.2. Content

The activity is nevertheless markedly different from self-generated child play, in that even the new ideas introduced freely by the child are logically determined by the teacher's story structure. It seems unlikely that there might be an input from individual children equivalent in personal concern and intensity to the child in Piaget's example, referred to above of repeatedly playing the 'monster tractor', although the occasional child might use any fictional context as an escape into his/her own obsessions. (Such is the openness and tolerance of Child Drama that this kind of personal indulgence can be accepted and contained.)

A curious mixture of serious and non-serious, or rather, 'tongue-in-cheek' serious and whimsey seems to characterise Slade's approach to content. A golden rule to be learnt by children, if they do not already follow it, is that once Stanislavski's 'if' is adopted, what follows requires 'hard' logic126 (if a dragon has a cold, then an aspirin becomes an item in the story):

So a baby dragon with a bad cold becomes a real problem, not an idea to giggle at, but something that really makes you think. Can it take an aspirin? Careful: does it snort fire when it sneezes?

126 Slade, Peter (1993) "Afterword" in Playtherapy with Children by Sue Jennings Pp 193-4
This 'following an idea' through with due logic is basic to creativity, although for Slade, sharing this story with a class of teachers had more to do with finding the child within themselves and the expression of sincerity and compassion than with logic.127

There is a non-realistic aspect, however, that Slade seems determined to promote. Most of his examples verge on fantasy. For example, the lesson mentioned above with first year Junior children continues in the following vein128:

SELF: (seeing the hasty shoppers have started off well, no doubt moving round the space anti-clockwise) 'You see an amazing hat in a window. The hat whizzes out of the door to meet you. Put it on and start to feel posh.'

(Not too satisfied, I don’t criticise by saying things like "Oh, come on, you are not looking posh at all. When we are being posh we spread ourselves out like this, don’t we?" Don’t show. Be patient and watch)

'Feel even posher...yes, posher still...Throw hat(s) away; you are a jumping kangaroo

(they jump for a short time); you are an ogre with a bad leg; you are suddenly a nice person - but with an ugly face. Be as ugly as you can. Now be horrid and pull a face at people near you as you pass by.'...

'A Wizard put a spell on you and you are very beautiful. Yes, aren't you nice? Not too cissy, though. (I had noticed one or two boys mincing on purpose.) Better get conceited, so you are now a Dinosaur.(this will probably show some ingenuity among them) ...

The pupils in this example, switching rapidly from shopping to hats to wizards, seem to have been rendered totally dependent on the teacher's whims. One might

---

127I have amended this sentence since receiving Slade's comment on the draft. I had merely stated the connection between logic and creativity; Slade requested that I should include 'sincerity and compassion' and 'the child within themselves' as the main purpose behind the choice of example.

wonder at the level of creative potential in such an exercise: - supposing, on hearing 'shopping' a child invents his own image of a 'do-it-yourself' shop, to be discarded, within seconds, in favour of the teacher's 'amazing hat'?...and, having visualised a hat, supposing the same child has a distinctly promising image of, say a 'fireman's helmet'...to be superseded by the requirement 'to be posh' etc. etc.?

Looking back from the end of the century, one is hard pressed to find a justification for this approach and yet one can see that Slade is signalling at least two messages to a class used to a rigid physical education syllabus and no pupil input. One is that in this lesson we can be as 'silly' as we like; the other is that we are making something together - we are all part of this fun. Slade is tempting children to break with normal school thinking - given time, he would soon have them inventing their own story sequences and (in the case of adolescents) he wants them to have the taste of joy their childhood may have missed. It is deliberate whimsey. Even his more realistic settings seem to warn his classes that no-one expects them to be taken too seriously. Note the language in which the following example is couched129

You can read a story and then get the children to act it; they can mime to a story or poem while it is being read; better still they can make up something entirely of their own - you may have to give them an outline such as - a bad man is trying to get money from an old woman, he watches her in the bus. There are too many people in it to try anything so he follows her off - then honk! When he has bonked her what happens?...

To contemporary ears this 'story' sounds shocking and offensive, and yet Peter Slade himself would be equally shocked at our seeing it that way. Part of an address to an audience in 1948, there is an assumption that no-one is going to take the ideas of 'bonking' seriously, any more than the drowning of the Scottish lairds in the ballad of Sir Patrick Spens would be expected to be taken as a tragedy by

129 Slade, P. (1948) "Starting Improvisation" op cit P. 6
young pupils in an English literature classroom. Such an attitude, however, has implications for how Drama in Schools was to be conceived. It may be that the authorities, the public and the children themselves needed to be reassured that, ultimately, the content of dramatic material would be of a non-serious nature. Whatever the reason, it seemed that if Drama was to have a place in Education it was to have kinship with the lighter side of literature. We have noted this possibility in discussing E.J. Burton's (1948) work and, of course, W.S. Tomkinson's (1921) complaint was related to what he saw as the inadequacy of drama as a vehicle for making poetic meaning available to school pupils, and no doubt the earliest attempt at the acting of Nursery Rhymes did not expect pupils to be upset by Jack 'breaking his crown' and Jill 'coming tumbling after'. Classroom drama, and indeed most school-play performances, by tradition, veered towards the 'playful'. Peter Slade knew this very well and, to some extent, nurtured it. His reasons, one suspects, are complex. There is to some extent an adherence to the kind of public attitude to Drama I have described in this paragraph, but Slade's choice of language in the above 'old woman' story is his way of capturing something of the cruel humour of the child. The style of telling represents Slade's identification with a mask of heartless detachment sometimes adopted by young people of his day, to hide a deep, unarticulated concern.

When children were observed to reach the phase of shedding their initial need to 'show' stereotypic representation and when they rose above this tongue-in-cheek tradition of not taking the content too seriously, then 'absorption' and 'sincerity' no doubt came into their own. It is noticeable that Slade's usage of these latter terms tends to coincide with the the end of a lesson, as though, some pupils were only

130 This assumption no longer holds for the end of the century. In a recent videoed discussion with teachers on 'Chamber Theatre' Dorothy Heathcote describes how a presentation of 'Jack and Jill' by 8 year old pupils took a frighteningly serious turn in spite of her attempt to offer an alternative interpretation. [Dorothy Heathcote's visit to Birmingham Polytechnic's MA in Drama Education class, 20.5.91 - David Davis' students.]
131 Slade confirms this in his comments on the first draft of this manuscript.
then ready 'to go through a door' (a metaphor to which Peter Slade responded with warmth)\textsuperscript{132}.

D.3. Collective Art

But it is the collective enterprise that Peter Slade is finally looking for: when there is a mutual sharing, spontaneously occurring; when the whole is more than each actor's contribution; when the space is unconsciously used as an aesthetic dimension; when a collective sense of timing brings about climax and denouement. Theatre is created, 'sensed' rather than contrived by the pupils\textsuperscript{133}. This, too, occurs, if at all, in the later phase of the lesson. Such "golden moments" prompt the following kind of observations from Slade, who has obviously felt privileged to have been a witness: "It is the type of acting not seen under any other conditions, and is an experience no one should miss." and, on another occasion, "I felt the light dying. If not of a real sun, it was the light of 'real theatre' when a great scene comes to a close."\textsuperscript{134}

E. Classroom acting - a summary of Slade's approach

Although Slade's approach was eclectic he appeared, in respect of Drama (as opposed to 'Natural Dance') to promote, more than any other, a particular methodology which required the teacher to be a narrator/instructor to a class acting the same roles simultaneously. This teaching style, evocative of a physical training lesson, seemed to invite a form of acting behaviour in which children adopted quick-fire postures which, as argued above, represented the first stereotypic step of a creative process. Where the lesson permitted, a child could 'go

\textsuperscript{132}See private correspondence, dated January, 1995
\textsuperscript{133}It is certainly contrived by the teacher. See, for example, the instruction to teachers quoted on Page 230 above: "The clap (by the teacher, that is) in starting improvisation under these circumstances, is almost the parallel to the rise of the curtain in adult theatre." [Slade, P. (1995) \textit{ibid} P. 73]
\textsuperscript{134}Slade, Peter (1954) \textit{op cit} P. 196 and 198 respectively
through the door' into a creative phase in which conventional signing might be replaced by more personal expression.

The rigidity of this particular methodology may be explained (although Slade himself, we should remind ourselves, does not see the need to offer an explanation) as a safe way of utilising a traditional P.T. format and environment, in order, not to promote it, but to break with it. In other respects Slade followed the kinds of methods engaged in by followers of the four trends discussed in Section Two. Had he confined his work to these, he may have appeared as but an enlightened exponent of familiar approaches. His 'narrative' technique, however, revolutionised classroom drama.

Because there was no conventional audience (not even 'the rest of the class') and no rehearsing in preparation for a final 'product', Slade's narrative approach might be expected to epitomise 'drama as a process', or as Slade himself puts it: Drama as "'doing' and 'struggling'". But we have already identified experiential, processual drama of a different kind in the dramatic playing of young children in the Infant play-corner. We have noted in Chapter 3 that Mrs. Langdon distinguished between 'playing' and 'making a play', mirroring the grammatical distinction between a verb and a noun. However, Slade's practice of 'narrative' drama has little bearing on 'playing' as understood by Mrs. Langdon and others such as Susan Isaacs or Jean Piaget. Most play theorists would agree that child play is by definition 'meaningful' to its creators. Vygotski goes so far as to suggest that: "In play, action is subordinated to meaning..." a view we shall be returning to later when we discuss the essence of Dorothy Heathcote's work. Slade's methodology with its emphasis on 'doing' and 'struggling' inverts this observation, giving priority to

135 Slade, P. (1954) ibid P. 25
137 See Page 288
doing the actions that fit teacher's instructions. My metaphor of 'going through the door' accounts for a transformation then that not only frees the child into taking his own initiative, not only breaks the mould of stereotypic signing, but becomes a different order of dramatic meaning-making. Peter Slade claims he observed this transformation in both his own and other teachers' classes.

This emphasis on the actions of narrative, however, no doubt contributed to a limited view held by a generation of teachers and pupils of Drama as a narrative form. The 'what happens next' of a story-line became the guiding structure of many Drama lessons and the base-line for dramatic form. Acting became temporally biased, that is, anticipatory of the next action of the story rather than exploratory of the present moment. It is difficult to sustain Slade's emphasis on 'spontaneity', a concept bound up with immediacy and impulse, with a responsiveness to and anticipation of teacher-instruction. However, I believe it has to be understood, to do justice to Slade's conception of Child Drama, that once 'through the door' a child's actions (including language) could become spontaneous and fully creative, an opportunity for free expression that we shall see our next pioneer, Brian Way, seemed not to cater for.

---

138The acting required of Brecht's 'Epic Theatre' is also 'temporally biased', but in the opposite direction, towards the past. The actor is saying: This is how it was'. See, for example, his essay 'Street Scene' translated by John Willett in which Brecht instructs: "The street demonstrator's performance is essentially repetitive. The event has taken place; what you are seeing now is a repeat." Brecht, Bertolt (1968; first translated by John Willett, 1949) 'Street Scene' in The Theory of Modern Stage: An Introduction to Modern Theatre and Drama by Eric Bentley (Ed) Pelican original P. 86
PART THREE  Chapter 8

Brian Way

A. An Introduction

A close friend and protégé of Peter Slade's for many years, it is not surprising that Brian Way was Slade's choice of editor for Child Drama (1954). In his introduction to this book Way writes: "Whilst with the West Country Children's Theatre Company,...I continually sensed a chasm in educational thought; that chasm has now been filled by the writing of this book, and my own and the hopes of many have been fulfilled."1 They shared a passionate interest in children's theatre2. Like Slade, his experience and knowledge in this field represent a major aspect of his professional work, and any attempt at a full account of Brian Way's influence would necessarily place that work as central. Children's theatre, however, is beyond the scope of this study,3 but his free-lance work took him into schools and teacher-training colleges to which institutions he became an unofficial adviser on classroom practice4. It was, no doubt, his association with Peter Slade that gave

---

1 Slade, P. (1954) op cit P. 9
2 Towards the end of the war (1943) Brian Way was co-founder of The West Country Children's Theatre Company. He was later to found (1953) Theatre Centre Ltd, in London, from which he developed the largest school touring system in the country. Along with Peter Slade, he became an acknowledged authority on playing 'in-the-round' and audience participation. In addition to his authorship of 65 plays, he published Audience Participation: Theatre for Children and Young People. Baker's Plays. Boston, in 1981. As early as 1949, when he was 26, he became Founder-Director of the first Drama Advisory Service and, subsequently (1950-51), editor of the magazine, Theatre in Education.
3 Brief summaries of the development of the pioneering work of Peter Slade and Brian Way in children's theatre may be found in the following texts:
   Doolittle, Joyce & Barnieh, Zina (1979) A Mirror of our Dreams. Talonbooks. Vancouver
4 It is not clear how this came about, for Way had no training in either teaching or theatre, and was indeed without any academic qualifications of any kind. This is also true of Peter Slade. Both were in this sense 'self-made men', but Slade's early appointment to Staffordshire County Council legitimised the experimental work he conducted in all kinds of educational institutions, including schools. Way had no such backing, and yet he emerged in the 1950s as an expert in classroom drama, to the extent that during the period 1953 - 1977 he undertook various appointments as external examiner of practical drama in teacher training institutions in London, Oxford, Nottingham, Exeter and Loughborough. and he conducted one-day or week-end workshops for 69 Local Education Authorities in the British Isles. A feature of such courses was the adoption of
his early work cachet and publicity. It may well be a comment on the confidence and adventurous spirit of the times that educational institutions so readily invited these two charismatic personalities from professional theatre into their academic enclaves.

Although their classroom practice appeared to spring from a common philosophy, Way moved away from Slade's doctrine of a play-derived art form. As Hornbrook (1989) has expressed it:

Way reinforced Slade's distinction between 'theatre' and 'drama', but largely abandoned his idea of Child Drama as Art in favour of a comprehensive theory of personal development.

Way seems to avoid using the expression 'Child Drama', appearing to eschew both its artistic and, as Margaret Wootton points out, its child play connections. A drama/theatre dichotomy is clearly spelled out by Way: "...there are two activities, which must not be confused - and one is theatre, the other is drama." He then goes on to define these terms:

For the purposes of this book - that is, for the development of people - the major difference between the two activities can be stated as follows: 'theatre' is largely concerned with communication between actors and an audience; 'drama' is largely concerned with experience by the participants, irrespective of any communication to an audience.

---

Slade's strategy of teaching classes of children in front of visitors.

5 It is astonishing that these two men, untrained in the classroom, were both such able teachers of children. I had the privilege of watching Brian Way teach many times. Young people and adults alike responded to his generous, gifted, and inspired teaching. His particular strength was in freeing teachers themselves to be expressive and confident in his many workshops held throughout the country. As a Preston teacher, I was indebted to him for the regular visits he made to our north Lancashire town to oversee our training.

7 Wootton in writing of Way's work comments: "The link which Slade bears constantly in mind between what he calls 'the personal play of children'...and drama, has somewhere been lost". Wootton, M. (1984) MA thesis (unpublished) "An investigation into the determining influences on Drama in Education, 1947-77". Institute of Education, University of London P. 45
Thus Way dichotomises, more explicitly perhaps than Slade attempted, a complex mimetic behaviour into either 'communicating' or 'experiencing', binary oppositions which the earlier pioneers, Finlay-Johnson and Caldwell Cook, would not have understood. In Way's writing the very term 'acting' is dropped; clearly its theatrical connotations were thought to place the term outside the new orthodoxy.

Way also overlooked Slade's broader concept of theatre. In the previous chapter on Slade, it was pointed out that Slade was always seeking to create a form of 'theatre' in an artistic sense, as opposed to theatre as a place where actors perform to an audience. It is the shared, unconscious achievement of a group of children who spontaneously create 'moments of theatre' that mark Slade's approach as art. Robinson draws our attention to Way's curious dismissal of 'the arts' as offering too narrow a conception of personal creativity. In his teaching Way is not looking for opportunities to 'go through a door' (to use a metaphor introduced in the previous chapter), but the enhancement of specific personal attributes. As we shall see his emphasis on 'Drama as exercise' did not lend itself to development into the kind of shared moments of theatrical significance sought by Slade, although his choice of vocabulary, as we shall see, still tended to be that of the theatre.

No doubt the apparent arbitrariness of reaching Slade's 'magic moments' might well have bewildered Slade's followers, however impressed they may have been with his child-centred approach. Brian Way, in contrast, offered a conception of drama practice that seemed to reduce the risk of abortive creativity and provided a clearer recipe for lesson content. That the similarity of Slade's and Way's philosophy and classroom practice was largely superficial seemed at first to pass unnoticed. This

---

10 Compare, for instance, Courtney, Richard (1968) Play Drama and Thought Cassell London P. 47, with his later article "Goals in Drama teaching" in Drama Contact (Council of Drama Education. Ontario) 1st May. 1977 in which Courtney contrasts the philosophical derivation of
may have been due to the common ground shared by their children's theatre work or it may be because Way appeared to adopt much of Slade's rhetoric. It is more likely, however, the continuation by Way of Slade's 'physical education' basis underpinning classroom drama that caused people to assume a deep professional similarity between the two men. Way appears to confirm Slade's methodology when he writes: "...the whole class is working at one and the same time, but each individual is working entirely on his or her own."¹¹ - and, as for the PT lesson, the pupils are to be equidistantly placed, poised for an action starting point.

B. Brian Way's theory of Education

The basis for Way's philosophical position is to be found in the circular diagram to be found on page 13 of his (1967) text. While emphasising the notion of the 'whole person', he develops a model dividing the personality into 'facets'¹², relating to Speech; Physical self, Imagination; The senses; Concentration; Intellect; Emotion; and Intuition¹³. The planned development of these interconnected faculties through carefully graded practice is to Way the central purpose of education. Such a circular model is offered in deliberate contrast to the notion of linear development associated with the learning of disconnected subjects of a traditional school curriculum.

It is this model that provides Brian Way with a conception of Drama teaching marking a radical departure from the practice of his erstwhile friend and colleague.

---

¹¹Way, B. (1967) ibid P. 82
¹²Way, B. (1967) ibid P. 11
¹³This latter, intuition, was left out of his circular diagram on Page 13 by mistake, Way explained to Gavin Bolton and Mike Fleming in an interview on 1st November, 1993. In fact Way tended to emphasise education of the intuition at the expense of education of the intellect. Perhaps he is the kind of romantic Jerome Bruner. who was also interested in intuition, had in mind in commenting [Bruner, J. S (1974) The Relevance of Education, Penguin London, P. 99] that "Only a romantic pedagogue would say that the main object of schooling is to preserve the child's intuitive gift."
As Mike Fleming\textsuperscript{14} has pointed out, there is a significant philosophical shift. Whereas Peter Slade, following his contemporaries in the visual arts such as Dr. Cizek\textsuperscript{15}, writes in terms of 'The Aims and Values' of Child Drama, a heading implying an inherent worthwhileness in the activity itself, Brian Way subtly shifts this to 'The Function (my italics) of Drama', leaving the way open to a more purposeful interpretation and to activities directed specifically towards different points of his personality 'circle'. Determining selected goals was not of course new to Drama teachers - those following the Amateur Drama Route, the Speech route or even the English Lit. route tended to work to specific targets. What was new was that a non-performance orientated drama approach could be so explicitly purposeful.

Both men would claim that classroom drama was experiential. I have suggested in the last chapter\textsuperscript{16} that Slade's 'narrative/instructional' methodology distorted the experience away from the present in anticipation of the next instruction. Way, too, as we shall see, adopted this method at times, but in adding the 'exercise' dimension to the activity, he sometimes further distorted the 'experiential' towards 'practising'. This key to Way's methodology will be discussed below in greater detail.

An above paragraph refers to the 'Amateur Drama' movement, but the 1950s and '60s were times of innovation in professional theatre training too and it seems not unlikely that Brian Way, in breaking with the child-art approach to education of Peter Slade, was in part influenced by the new emphasis given to improvisation and spontaneity by innovators in the theatre. Margaret Wootton\textsuperscript{17} draws our attention to improvisational techniques used by Way, derived from Stanislavski, St Denis

\textsuperscript{14}Fleming, M. (1982) op cit P. 90
\textsuperscript{15}See Viola. Wilhelm (1936) \textit{Child Art and Franz Cizek} Vienna
\textsuperscript{16}See Section Three. Chapter 7, P.245
\textsuperscript{17}Wootton, M. (1984) ibid P.48
and, in particular, Viola Spolin\textsuperscript{18}, whose exercises for actors seem remarkably close to Way's. Wootton points out that whereas innovators such as Stanislavski worked with a clear theatrical end in view, that is, learning to act or act better, Way, in using similar techniques, "pours them into an educational mould."\textsuperscript{19}

And that 'educational mould' is to be of a particularly narrow kind, not the theatre craftsmanship of Caldwell Cook, nor the deeper grasp of ballad poetry of Marjorie Hourd, not 'movement for movement's sake' of Peter Stone, nor the open-ended creativity of Peter Slade, but specific personal attributes such as concentration, imagination and sensitivity around which he built his graded exercises. The centre of the teacher's attention is to be the individual child - and the centre of the child's attention is to be himself as an individual. Way summed up his philosophy with his adage: "drama is concerned with the individuality of the individual"\textsuperscript{20}. We shall see that such a holistic perception of the worth of the child, did not stand in the way of planned exercises for the child's separate faculties.

**C. Brian Way's classroom practice**

C.1. Way's 'work in a space on your own or in pairs' approach

'Find a space on your own' echoed through many schools following the dissemination of Way's methodology\textsuperscript{21}. Pupils over many parts of the country engaged in exercises taken directly from the pages of Way's book. There were

\textsuperscript{18}Spolin, Viola (1963) *Improvisation for the Theater* Northwestern University Press Evanston. Margaret Wootton convincingly demonstrates a similarity between both the content of Way and Spolin exercises and their accompanying instructions. The comparison rests, not just on a similarity in the kinds of exercises used, but on a shared philosophical background. Like Way, Spolin's first interest was in personal development of all people, not just actors, through, as she put it: "self-discovery and personal experiencing." [Spolin, Viola (1963) ibid P. xi]

\textsuperscript{19}Wootton (1984) ibid P. 49

\textsuperscript{20}Way, B. (1967) ibid P. 3

\textsuperscript{21}It should be pointed out that Way's influence spread through Local Education Authorities and Teacher-Training Institutions long before his 1967 book was published. In many ways Brian Way's book marks the climax of his years of free-lance teaching in England. Ten years later, he left for Canada.
three kinds of such exercises: what might be described as direct, non-dramatic exercises (for instance 'listening to the sounds outside the room'), or as indirect and non-dramatic (recall the sounds of your neighbourhood), or as indirect and dramatic (recall the sounds of your own neighbourhood - and listen as though you were going to leave the neighbourhood and store up memories). There are three implications to be drawn from such exercises.

1. One is that for the first time in the history of drama teaching in this country a leader in the field is suggesting that exercises of a non-dramatic kind should be included in a drama teacher's repertoire and may even be dominant in the lesson. So important is the development of, say, 'intuition' that it did not matter to Way that no make-believe was involved. He saw his theory of development through drama as part of an all-embracing philosophy of education whose practice was basically concerned with human development. He set his sights beyond the promotion of drama; he was part of a larger 'personal growth' movement that was beginning to sweep the Western world, particularly North America. That many of Way's exercises were non-dramatic appealed to operators in the fields of Gestalt and Group therapy, who shared his concept of 'the uniqueness of the individual'. The 'human growth' movement was not established to the same degree in the U.K., but the idea of being able to structure a Drama lesson without necessarily doing any Drama began to take hold in some quarters, a diversion also paralleled by the Laban movement which had such an influence on Drama teachers that a lesson purporting to be 'Drama' could be made up entirely of 'effort' exercises.


23 That they shared with Brian Way a personal commitment to 'growth philosophy' may have in part accounted for the warm welcome the Canadians gave him when he took up permanent residence there in 1978.

24 The history of drama teaching in this second half of the century seems to have had a pronounced 'avoidance' streak', for following the 'direct' experiences of Brian Way and the 'movement as preparation for drama' of HMI favouring a Laban approach, the 1970s saw the growth in popularity of 'games' as principal ingredients of a Drama lesson. A typical publication
Way seemed not to make a distinction between dramatic and a non-dramatic exercise. He opens and closes his book\textsuperscript{25} with a recommendation that moving round a room with one\'s eyes closed might help to know what it is like to be blind\textsuperscript{26}. This may be the case, but Way offers this as an example of the function, not of sense deprivation, but of Drama. This conflation of two orders of behaviour betrays either a failure to mark their distinctive differences or, more likely, a vested interest in identifying common ground.

A further reason for including non-dramatic exercises in a training programme came from professional theatre. \textquote{Warm-ups} were becoming very popular among acting companies as a mental and physical preparation for rehearsing. Brian Way must have been among the first educationists to introduce \textquote{relaxation} into a teacher\'s repertoire\textsuperscript{27}. As teachers took up the idea it became an unspoken law that no Drama lesson could start without its \textquote{warm-ups}, including a suitable period of \textquote{relaxation}.

\begin{enumerate}
\item of the period was Donna Brandes\' and Howard Phillips\' \textit{Gamesters\' Handbook: 140 Games for Teachers and Group Leaders} (1977) The Growth Centre, Newcastle-upon-Tyne
\item Way, B. (1967) \textit{ibid} P. 1 & 298
\item Nikolai Gorchakov\'s book, published in USA three years before Brian Way\'s \textit{[Gorchakov, Nikolai (1954 translated by Miriam Goldina) Stanislavski Directs N.Y. P. 38]} shows how Stanislavski was experimenting with such direct, non-drama, sense exercises during rehearsals. Indeed he set up the very same \textquote{blindness} exercise in a particularly frightening way, causing the blindfolded \textquote{victim} to burst into tears, at which, according to Gorchakov. Stanislavski triumphantly exclaimed: \textquote{Now you know what blindness is like}. It is something of a coincidence that Clive Barker, writing ten years later \textit{[Barker, Clive (1977) Theatre Games Eyre Methuen London Pp 57-61]}, uses a similar exercise to illustrate the \textit{differences} between a game utilising sense deprivation (being blindfolded) and theatre (playing the same game, but \textit{pretending} to be blindfolded). Barker actually sets up both experiences for his students so they, as participants or audience to both versions, can appreciate the chasm between the two. He claims that not until actors acquire the high degree of mimetic skill to be found in Peking Opera (the source for the exercise) can they without blindfolds convincingly behave as if they were blindfolded. Photographs nicely contrast the automatic difference in posture adopted by the respective \textquote{game} and \textquote{theatre} participants.
\item Way, B. (1965) \textit{ibid} Pp 78-81. Richard Courtney (1965) and Robin Pemberton-Billing \& David Clegg (1965) were also strong advocates of \textquote{relaxation} in the Drama classroom.
\end{enumerate}
2. The second implication to be drawn from the exercise approach is that drama, far from being a social activity is, initially at least, to be as solitary as any pianoforte five-finger exercise. We have, of course met this with Slade's approach. Way offers some dubious theorising in support of his recommended sequence of starting with 'individual' exercises, moving on to work (perhaps weeks later) in pairs and then eventually into small groups and, finally (but exceptionally) the whole class:

Way, B. (1967) ibid P. 160

Human growth follows a similar pattern. The baby discovers and lives most happily in a simple form of isolation until it is about three years old; then it enjoys...sharing with one other person; then with two others and so into smaller groups. Integration within a larger social unit is a sophisticated and later stage of development, very much dependent on full opportunity for experiencing the other stages...

(One may feel some sympathy for humankind, isolated for the first three years of life!) It could be said that Way is here denying drama's essential characteristic as a social art.

Way, without Slade's passion for 'natural dance', does nevertheless use music or sound effects as a unifying medium. Notice, in the following extract from an exercise that takes up three pages of description, the care with which he instructs his readers:

He (the giant) bends down and picks up the stone - and starts to rub the mud off of it - and finds himself fuming and turning and turning - and as he turns he is getting smaller and smaller and smaller - until he becomes a very small bird (again the slowly growing climax of sound for the spinning and the changing from one character to another - a final loud sound completes the change). This bird has never flown before, so he hops around a bit - then discovers he has wings and stands very still and begins to try to use them - to practise flying...at last he is able to go soaring off into the sky. (Again, a very, very slow, rolling climax of sound for the practice at using the wings, and finally for taking off - then
with up and down rolling rhythms, the bird is helped to fly - and a slow de-climax brings it back to earth) The bird finds itself by the pool and sees a yellow stone - and picks it up and starts to rub off the mud - and finds itself turning and turning and growing very tall and thin and stiff - growing into a puppet (again the slow climax of sound for the turning and growing into a puppet - the repetition of the formula has many values of its own, including the class's growing readiness for what is coming, and beginning to spin almost the moment that they pick up the new stone) - and the puppet enjoys dancing by the pool (and gay rhythms on the tambour or drum help both the dancing and the 'stiffness' of the puppet - after a while we drop the volume of the sound, without losing the gaiety of the rhythm, so that we can be heard over the sound, with the dancing still in progress.)...

Way believes that music adds to the depth of the drama experience, but his explanation is far from compelling:

...experience of doing drama to music adds depth to the experience; but the same quality of experience might well be contained within the more concentrated passage of music the full duration of which is only four or five minutes; the microcosmic level is changed, but the experience can be very much the same, and what a play takes two hours logically to unfold, the passage of music will unfold, in no less logical terms, in a much shorter period...

Not usually obscure, Way has written a passage here that is almost incoherent.

What both Slade and Way no doubt discovered, although they do not actually say so, is that music can effectively override the 'physical education exercise/space-on-your-own' mentality. While the teacher's instruction demands solitary practice, the accompanying music or sounds creates the illusion of group experience and possibly contributes to a general readiness for subsequent collaboration. Further, however pedestrian the pupils' actions, the music elevates indifferent miming to a sense of an artistic creation, to, perhaps, what Jacques-Dalcroze referred to as 'musical gesture'.

---

30 Wat, B. (1967) ibid P. 116
31 Jacques-Dalcroze, Emile (1921) op cit P. 169
3. The third implication is the underlying 'exercise mentality' (this writer's expression) that the pupils bring to their pretend behaviour. Way seems to eschew Slade's occasional use of story-building from the children's suggestions, preferring to use a sequence of his own (often less whimsical and more imitative of 'real life'). If we take an example in which Way recommends that the teacher instruct the pupils in what to do (not how to do it)\textsuperscript{32}:

One morning you are fast asleep in bed; the alarm clock rings, so you push back the blankets and sheets, put on your slippers and go to the bathroom; then you wash your face and hands - and don't forget your neck and behind your ears; and then you clean your teeth...

One may conclude, as for Slade, that the resultant acting behaviour is likely to be qualitatively affected by this kind of quick-fire teacher-instructional context. A travesty of creative acting, it no doubt became fast practice in stereotypic signing. No activity appears to require sustaining beyond three minutes, often much less - one minute is typical. Whereas Slade, at the end of such a sequence would look for opportunities to let the class break out (using whatever grouping seemed natural to them) into freer 'playing', Way's rigid theory about individuals on their own only moving into pairs when ready can only lead to further exercises. The 'exercise mentality' pervades the drama lesson and gives rise to a new conception of what drama in the classroom might mean for both teacher and pupil. One aspect, however, that should be stressed is the undoubted all-pervasive atmosphere of fun that was generated\textsuperscript{33}.

C.2. Class Drama

Even when Way does give attention to the final stages of his grouping sequence, that is a whole class involvement, he still persists in giving the experience an

\textsuperscript{32}Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 196

\textsuperscript{33}My attempt here to present a critical analysis should not allow the persistent overall sense of enjoyment emanating from Way's classrooms to be overlooked - nor must I forget that I myself taught this way in the late '50s and early '60s.
exercise orientation. The following example is taken from his chapter on improving 'speaking'.

Stage 1

In pairs. Two factory workers are grumbling about conditions at work: long hours, bad canteen arrangements, low wages, dangerous machinery...

Stage 2

Each pair joins up with another pair. In fours, the grumbling continues and now includes discussion of what should be done about conditions, the main thought of which is that the manager of the factory should be forced to do something to improve them.

Stage 3

The groups of four begin to join up into larger groups, arguing about the best way of forcing action from the manager. Each group decides they should at once go to the manager's house and demand that he listens to their complaints and does something about them.

Stage 4

The first three stages are repeated, leading to the whole group walking to the manager's house and calling for him, perhaps reaching some kind of general chant, such as 'We want the manager, we want the manager', etc.

Read as 'Drama' such a sequence can only be regarded as banal, but Way has intended the whole experience as a series of speech exercises. He extends the plot to:

---

...suddenly one of the workers picks up a brick and throws it at the manager(s); the brick hits the manager; the crowd are shattered and deflated by this as they did not want it to go so far - and they go back to work, talking in pairs or small groups about the incident.

and then explains: "The additional circumstances [Way is referring to the brick-throwing] within such an episode do not take long to build and are enriching experiences, taking a simple intention of speech exercises to the kind of exciting and stimulating moment that creates further interest in the activity." Late 20th century readers of Way's book might have expected that the stimulation of further interest from the pupils might here be referring to arousal of interest in the final scene as a piece of theatre or of concern for the outcome of the brick-throwing, but while acknowledging the dramatic potential of such a scene, Way's obsession with 'personal development' is paramount: "Always this factor of creating further interest is important, not just for the possibilities of the drama that are thus realised, but because as interest grows so do responsibility and effort; there develops a conscious realisation that many personal factors - concentration, sincerity and maximum effort among them - are all basically concerned with the success of the scene." The new awareness for Way's pupils is not to be theatrical or political but 'attribute-centred', a conception perhaps paralleled in the theatre by rehearsals in Grotowski's Laboratory where it is not the character but the self that the actor is required to discover.

There was a precedent for large group work, however, that Way occasionally followed. Uncomfortable as he appears to be with the idea of a whole class sharing a drama, he nevertheless occasionally gives a tighter structure to an approach first

---

35 Way, B. (1967) ibid Pp. 135-6
36 Way, B. (1967) ibid P. 136
recommended by E.J. Burton (1948) who conceived of large group work, not as dramatic events to be faithfully followed sequentially, but as 'settings', as places where a crowd assemble, members of which may or may not be expected to mingle and interact\(^{38}\). Such settings, for Burton and Way, included 'a busy street', 'a busy railway station', 'street-market', and 'school playground'.

Way, in developing what he calls 'a crowd scene', sees such scenes of course as opportunities for practice in one or more segment of his 'Personality circle'. He did not attempt to work out a sophisticated methodology for sustained, thematic work with a large group. One suspects that such work was, in the main, out of his experience and out of the experience of his readers, who sought and were indeed satisfied with the simple exercises which make up the bulk of the book. He obviously sensed potential in an elaborate large group creation, but his suggestions for possible topics: 'mine disasters', 'a storm wrecking a market-place', 'earthquake', 'landslide' and 'avalanche' etc. betray a naive faith in children's ability to represent such violent, environmentally-oriented scenes with any degree of credibility, and indeed, in a teacher's ability to lead a class into such serious topics.

There is an assumption of course in my last sentence that the goal of such dramatic presentation as an 'earthquake' or a 'storm' is \textit{indeed credibility}. These kind of 'disaster scenes' were played for decades from the 1960's onwards throughout the country in drama (Brian Way was but promoting a fashion that had already started). The HMI for Drama, AF Alington\(^{39}\) who must have witnessed more of


\(^{39}\) Alington, A.F. (1961) ibid P. 48
these scenes than anyone, appears to have a high tolerance for this kind of
endeavour, provided it is treated *romantically*. He explains, echoing Caldwell
Cook: "Real life is one of the hardest things to portray convincingly." He goes on
to suggest that no attempt should be made to make, say, 'A Shipwreck' 'real';
movement and mime may be the appropriate means for children to create such a
topic. Perhaps Jacques-Dalcroze's 'musical gesture' is a useful term here.

Way's approach to 'A Mining Disaster'\(^40\), however, reveals a more penetrating plan
than his previous examples have led us to expect. For instance, so that his pupils
are better informed, he suggests holding preliminary discussions and giving
practice in relevant occupational actions. The general style of the performance,
however, (for instance, a clash of a cymbal is to represent the sound of the
disaster) is in keeping with Alington's conception of 'romantic' drama. Leaders in
the 1960s did not have access to Dorothy Heathcote's expertise\(^41\) - how she made
a topic like 'mining disaster' 'true' for her pupils will come under discussion in the
next chapter.

Way did occasionally include a 'dramatisation' approach reminiscent of Harriet
Finlay-Johnson's work in which learning about the environment appeared to be a
prime objective, but such examples are few and seem to be placed by Way as final
achievements in a lengthy programme of training. One can sense his ambivalence
towards the dramatisation of history in the following comment: "Inevitably,
however, as an extension of the circle, the time comes when intellectual and
emotional experience can be enriched by using themes and stories...side by side
with reliving (re-enacting) events...that are part of the heritage of knowledge
because they are known facts\(^42\)." 'Inevitably', 'however', and 'the time comes'

\(^40\)Way, B. (1967) *ibid* pp 211-2
\(^41\)Although, it should be pointed out, she was already teaching at Durham/Newcastle University
by then.
\(^42\)Way, B. (1967) *ibid* p. 266
appear to give but tentative approval to the use of drama in the acquisition of knowledge. Way rounds off his point with typical rhetoric:

Drama provides the unique opportunity for bringing immediacy to any situation, making what is knowledge of the past an actual discovery in the present. Drama helps the re-creation of human endeavour, and can draw on material from the beginning of time, making immediate what is now possibly dry information. Drama transcends information and makes of it living experience, significant to the heart and spirit as well as to the mind.

Way does try to provide a bridge between drama and theatre. He is aware that, eventually, pupils well-trained in "all the many different points of the circle" and in a "non-audience and uncritical framework" may be ready to create "a kind of end-product" which he describes as "...a fully conscious drama, fully intended acts of creation...It is a deeply valuable experience to reach, affecting all facets of personality and life...", but this concession to polished work takes up but a page or two in a book almost totally devoted to dramatic activity that is to be spontaneous, short-lived and not for repeating.

C.4. Improvisation

It may seem strange that in Development Through Drama, a book devoted entirely to improvised dramatic activity, Way should choose to include a separate chapter entitled 'Improvisation'. Indeed this lengthy chapter does lie uneasily within the book's conceptual frame for most of the chapter's content, in respect of both ideas for discussion and examples of practice replicates those of other chapters. One may speculate that Way was well aware that 'improvisation', the noun, was by the 1960s...

---

43 Way, B. 91967) ibid P. 222
45 Pp 183-234
firmly established as part of the vocabulary shared by professionals, amateurs and teachers and that he felt obliged to establish his views of it as a genre rather than simply a disposition to spontaneity. Although the term was indeed shared by most people interested in drama, its applications were diverse to a point barely sustaining a common usage. There is very little in common between, for example, the improvisational theatre of Commedia Dell'arte and Stanislavski's intense use of improvisational exercises for characterisation development during rehearsals, or between the paratheatrics of Moreno's Psychodrama and Child Play. What these examples have in common, of course, is a quality of extemporariness or spontaneity; what in the main divides them is the critical presence or absence of an audience. It is likely that Way felt under some pressure to re-establish the position of improvisation in relation to his child-centred approach to drama.

We have seen that the first person to introduce the term 'improvisation' into education was Robert Newton (1937)\(^{46}\), and that later (in 1948)\(^{47}\) he expressed his regret that improvisation in the classroom was losing its connection with theatre. Most texts of the period \[See for, instance, Alington (1961)\(^{48}\]\ advocate pupils improvising scenes on their own with a view to showing them to the rest of the class later. Way, however, stolidly reinforces the notion that improvisation is to be understood as a private exploration in the double sense of unobserved and self-expressive\(^{49}\), making demands on "each person's own resources without the

---

\(^{46}\)Newton, Robert (1937) op cit

\(^{47}\)Newton, R. (1948) op cit and Pages 130-135 above.

\(^{48}\)Alington, A.F. (1961) op cit Pp 29-63. Richard Courtney (1965) followed a similar line, giving the definition of improvisation as "the impromptu creation of plays" [P.12]. E.J. Burton (1955) identified stages of sophistication in improvisation, from 'charades' to an advanced form of 'play-making' requiring attention to 'plot', 'characterisation' and 'speech'. Perhaps the broadest interpretation comes from Robin Pemberton-Billing and David Clegg (1965) who see the outcome of any Speech or Movement (or Speech and Movement) exercise as Improvisation, a position which Way adopts.

\(^{49}\)Some of the work of Stanislavski and Copeau is associated with pre-performance improvised exercises, but such devices were seen as preparing the actors mentally and emotionally for a more truthful performance with a script and before an audience.
complex necessity of interpreting an author's intentions as well. In other words improvisation for Way is "quite simply a play without script" and without an audience.

Way's chapter seems to fall into two separate halves. In the first he appears to be referring to the kind of drama in which small groups of children make up plays. In this approach Way is aware of the problems a teacher may have in seeing improvisation as "akin to charades" and so he points out that, in spite of its "lack of quality in the early stages", (he calls it 'a scribble stage') it can reach a sophisticated form that, he claims, could be mistaken for a scripted play. There appears to be implicit in this kind of statement at least a hint that such work is eventually to be appreciated by an audience, but this turns out not to be the case. Way, two pages later, categorically instructs: "There should be no audience whatsoever, nor any planned intention of working towards having an audience". He is, however, realistic enough to recognise that 'showing' is a tradition that is not going to die, so, in a later chapter, he supplies advice on damage limitation. Sincerity, concentration, naturalness of grouping and simultaneous dialogue seem to be the goals in this work, avoiding anything that smacks of proscenium arch artificiality and "playing at being actors acting a play." While denouncing the artificial manipulation of space, he seems to welcome the artificial manipulation of time, for in the second half of the 'Improvisation' chapter he returns once again to the kind of activity advocated throughout the book, in which the children carry out actions simultaneously to teacher's narration. To advocates of improvisational experiment in the theatre this kind of 'dictation' must have seemed far removed from what they understood as spontaneity, with or without the presence of an audience - and yet

50Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 183
51Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 183
52Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 184
53Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 186
54Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 282
55Way. B. (1967) ibid P. 186
he often uses the vocabulary of theatre, referring to 'climax and de-climax', to 'beginnings and endings', to 'characterisation', 'mood', 'atmosphere', 'conflict' and 'scenes'. He seems to want his pupils' creativity to have the shape of an end-product without their experiencing it as an end-product. Like Slade he is concerned that if young people know that their expressive work is being watched or is being prepared to be watched, many will adopt a self-conscious, 'showing' behaviour instead of allowing themselves to become absorbed.

Way seems, nevertheless, to see a virtue in accurate representation. The exercise quoted above on Page 256, beginning "One morning you are fast asleep in bed, so you push back the blankets and sheets, put on your slippers and go to the bathroom...." Way must have found particularly useful, for he repeats it in a slightly extended form in his chapter on Improvisation, showing how to raise the level to more advanced skill-training. He now suggests the following sequence:

So you go to the bathroom and turn the doorknob - push the door open - go inside - close the door gently behind you so that you don't wake up anybody else in the house; now you go to the basin - put the plug in - turn on the tap - it's a very stiff tap - and as the water runs in you feel it with your fingers in case it's too hot - if it is too hot then add some cold water - just enough to make it right - and now pick up the soap and rub it in your hands to make a nice lather...and so on.

Way goes on to explain that: "Such detail in the early stages would be too full and fussy, but as experience grows, so we enrich the experience by the type of suggestion that draws further detailed thinking and imagining from each person..." And then he adds unexpectedly:

...perhaps another reminder should be put in here that we are not concerned with communication, so there is no worrying about accuracy in mime; accuracy in mime arises

---

56 Way, B. (1967) ibid Pp 212-224
57 One may wonder at his seeing this miming exercise as 'improvisation' for it does not meet his own definition, "a play without a script" - the exercise appears to be far removed from 'a play'.
58 Way, B. (1967) ibid Pp 196-7
from detailed thinking and imagining, not by destroying absorption through forcing audience consideration.

This appears to be confusing an issue, denying the importance of accuracy in mime and yet seeing it as an achievement if acquired by some private, non-communicating route. It becomes less of a contradiction if we place the emphasis on worrying: "...so there is no worrying about accuracy in mime".

That is, the teacher is not required explicitly to demand some audience oriented standard, for if the pupils concentrate, accuracy will naturally emerge. Thus although the exercise is geared to accuracy of representation, the love of doing it, I believe he is suggesting, should in itself be sufficient. One may wonder what this kind of rapid representation has to do with improvised drama, or indeed with drama of any kind, and why it is considered by Way to be an 'enriching experience'.

We should nevertheless remind ourselves, that these kinds of activities seem to have been very satisfying to all ages of children in the 1950s and 1960s. One can understand, however, how an authority on Improvisation in Amateur Theatre and Youth Theatre such as Robert Newton regretted what he must have regarded as a theatrical aberration and yet, by the 1960s, Newton's was gradually becoming a minority voice, at least within the pages of published texts. A popular handbook of the 1960s emerged, for instance, from Loughborough Training College where the influence of Slade and Way inspired a tutor, Robin Pemberton-Billing and a student, David Clegg, to

---

59 This mimetic accuracy recalls Courtney's "the class as a whole might spend twenty minutes discussing and miming exactly how to make a cup of tea, or the actions involved in washing your face." [Courtney. R. (1965) op cit P. 51]. but Courtney's approach does not include the rigid miming to teacher's 'dictation' required in this example of Brian Way's.

60 I had the privilege of seeing Brian Way use these strategies with Primary and Secondary classes. There was no doubt that an atmosphere of well-being was created by the release offered by the chance to follow teacher's undemanding instructions in a non-judgemental atmosphere.
collaborate in the writing of \textit{Teaching Drama}\textsuperscript{61}, the introduction of which describes "a typical lesson" as follows:

'It is early on a summer's morning. Outside the sun is shining. We are asleep in bed; very soon the alarm clock will sound...'

The children seem asleep - some restlessly, some deeply. The teacher meanwhile has moved imperceptibly over to the stage, and suddenly he rattles a side-drum, and the class reacts - some quite violently. They seem absorbed in the real process of getting out of bed...'Go into the bathroom and have a good wash. Don't forget behind your ears! Clean your teeth and get dressed.'...The narration continues, and the children cut sandwiches and pack things to take for a day in the country.

They set off to catch a bus in a happy mood, whistling, running and skipping. To help them music blares through the loudspeaker: a 'pop' record from the past - \textit{Jumping Bean} - just the thing to convey the spirit of setting off, and the children respond well to it. They meet friends and begin the bus journey, amid much slapstick comedy with imaginary bus conductors....

Now they are searching for wild flowers and listening for bird songs. 'Morning' from \textit{Peer Gynt}, sets the quiet atmosphere. They cross a farmyard and feed ducks....

The lesson proceeds with playing a ball game, fishing, swimming, getting caught in a shower of rain, packing up and returning home to bed. This was a 'first year class' (11-12 years). The authors explain that with a second year class: "we might see a market in full swing - suddenly an old lady faints or a messenger arrives to announce that the king is on the way." Making up their own plays from a given idea in small groups or a whole class Dance-Drama might typically make up a third year lesson. The authors then explain: "Whatever the activity the first thing that they would strike the outside observer would be the atmosphere of sincere absorption."

\textsuperscript{61}Pemberton-Billing R.N. & Clegg J.D. (1965) \textit{op cit} Pp 13 - 15
In contrast to this advocacy of teacher-narration and much nearer to Newton's conception of Improvisation, John Hodgson and Ernest Richards, bestriding the education/theatre divide, published an authoritative manual on how actors and adolescents might train in what they saw as a distinctive performance oriented activity. In describing the early stages of the work, the authors advise: "At times, after discussion, groups can be asked to work on the same scenes, trying this time to correct the main faults or improve generally the clarity of expression and interest." Their perception of the aims of Improvisation, 'Growth of the individual as a person', nevertheless clearly echoed Brian Way's person-centred philosophy. Those teachers brought up on amateur drama or Speech and Drama no doubt at this time found Hodgson's and Richards' assumptions about Improvisation more palatable than the more confusing 'private' notion of Brian Way's theory, for it was the very discipline of improvising a scene for public performance that was seen by many teachers as contributing to that 'individual growth'.

This was the period, the mid-60s, when exciting experiments were being conducted by Keith Johnstone and others in Professional theatre. In his early appointment to work at the Royal Court Theatre under George Devine and before he emigrated to Canada (University of Calgary), Johnstone devised 'Theatre Machine', a semi-rehearsed programme which was liable to change in public performance, the first

---

62 Hodgson, John & Richards, Ernest (1966) Improvisation Eyre Methuen London. John Hodgson came from professional theatre [but he was also trained as a teacher] and was Deputy Principal of the Old Vic theatre School, Bristol at the time of writing, later becoming Head of Drama Studies at Bretton Hall College of Education. Ernest Richards was Head of English and Drama at the I.M. Marsh College of Physical Education, Liverpool and was founder of the Liverpool Youth Theatre.

63 Hodgson, J. & Richards, E. (1968 edition) ibid P. 45

64 Hodgson, J. & Richards, E. (1968) ibid P. 21


"THEATRE MACHINE is improvised performance. If you don't know the show is improvised, or don't believe it can be, then the bits that work well seem obviously rehearsed. The bits that flop you will think 'obviously not rehearsed' - you'll think those are the 'improvised' bits and you'll wonder why they improvise. if it doesn't work." (P. 57-58 of Frost & Yarrow).
presentation of its kind in the UK. Hodgson and Richards' (1966) publication, Improvisation, successfully attempts to embrace contemporary knowledge of professional actor-training and a rich resource of theatre tradition in the service of education. They relate improvisation to textual study and performance and introduce a new form of theatre education, the 'documentary', developed more fully as a genre by Albert Hunt. Obviously confining their attention to Senior pupils and Youth Theatre, their voices may not have been so influential at a time when the Slade/Way/Heathcote philosophy appeared to be steering drama educational interests towards the younger pupil and away from performance art.

If Brian Way's special brand of Improvisation set him apart from some of his contemporaries in classroom and theatre, his introduction of a mode of dramatic exercise that went beyond, say speech-training or acting exercises, opened the door for further classroom experimentation in the name of drama. Dramatic exercise, in one form or another, was here to stay for the rest of the century.

D. 'Dramatic Exercise form' developed by others

D1. Role-Play

The earliest texts on 'Role-Play' as a genre emerged from America, following experiments conducted at Harvard University on its use for Management Training. Most early writers on the subject claim that their work derives from the Psychodramatic techniques of Moreno (1946) whose methodology dealt with personal trauma which the sufferer was invited to re-enact before an audience. The

---

66 Albert Hunt [Hopes for Great Happenings: Alternatives in Education and Theatre (1976) Methuen London] further developed the 'documentary' style of theatre in a College of Higher Education in Bradford, moving into professional work when he became somewhat disenchanted with educational circles.

67 For a useful discussion on how role-play contrasts with what later became known as 'drama in education' see O'Toole, John (1992) The Process of Drama Routledge London, Chapter 1.


69 Moreno J.L. (1946) op cit
'protagonist', using others from the audience as fellow actors, would display some aspect of his/her past life drawn from a social, often family, context. Enlightened Business Management translated these methods for commerce, recognising their capacity for training and even changing behaviour in agent/client, salesman/customer, employer/employee, employee/employee pairings. Role-Play became a means of putting relevant social behaviours under a microscope - and short-term exercises were invented as vehicles for this scrutiny by the rest of the class. Brian Way's concentration on the development of personal skills and his exercise format created a natural opening through which life-skill training could appear in school classrooms. Morry van Ments, who became an authority figure in this field describes Role-Play as "practising a set of behaviours which is considered appropriate to a particular role." Notice this 'practising'. The idea that one can improve one's life skills by practising them in a safe environment was legitimised by Way and today is regarded as a critical teacher-strategy in a school's Personal Development Programme. At first, of course, it was thought to be the proper province of the Drama teacher, who, as we have seen, welcomed it as a manageable classroom practice. It did not even require any kind of special space - just asking for two volunteers to enact an employee asking an employer for a pay rise was even possible in a room full of desks. Today it is part of the repertoire of the Secondary class teacher who, perhaps for an hour a week, is required to deal with interpersonal relationships.

Thus, in some hands, Way's notion of a dramatic exercise as a vehicle for the private practising of life skills such as concentration, sensitivity, imagination, speech and movement, became transformed into public scrutiny of contextualised life-skill training.
functional skills. Others, however, saw dramatic exercise as an opportunity for relating to knowledge.

D.2. Dramatic exercise for knowledge

Whereas the Pemberton-Billing/Clegg publication of 1965 referred to above closely follows the philosophy and methodology of Brian Way, in Chris Day's Drama for Middle and Upper Schools a new emphasis emerges that introduces a 'subject-matter' component into the dramatic exercises making up the many examples of lessons included in his book. This text may be regarded as a good example of a writer at the 'cross-roads' of Drama Education. Day's theoretical rhetoric appears to echo Slade/Way aims when he writes of 'Drama as Doing', involving 'the whole self', but when he later affirms that "Drama should...concern itself with the recognition and development of all personal resources and skills", he adds: "...it should not be surprising to find pupils writing, painting, reading, researching, tape-recording, filming or involved in thoughtful discussion during what is time-tabled as Drama." Some items in this list, such as researching, discussion and writing take the horizons beyond self-expression and personal development to concern about content. In contradistinction to Way's position, Day values the intellect as well as the intuition and imagination. His work is unmistakably child-centred, but not merely in style. Day pursues child-centredness beyond Way's limited conception by investigating what topics interest children most, planning a whole range of lessons with various ages based on the results of his questionnaires, indicating the plan and outcome of each lesson, and showing how the pupils' responses affected new directions with further indications of how a

72 See Page 265-266 above.
73 Day, Christopher (1975) Drama for Upper and Middle Schools Batsford London
74 Day, Chris (1975) ibid P. 3
75 Day, Chris (1975) ibid P. 5
76 This 'Project' may well be the first example of research into drama education. (Day, Chapter 2 and Appendix). It is curious that other exponents of drama education (including those well-placed for such research in Universities) failed to follow Day's example. The status of Drama in Schools today might have been considerably enhanced had serious research been sustained.
topic might be extended. The notion of a lesson becoming a series of exercises all linked with a theme\textsuperscript{77} seems a significant advance on dramatic action dependent on a teacher narration of rather mundane actions.

The wide range of lesson content is based on children's collective choice of subject-matter and, in contrast to Slade and Way, is planned out of respect for its significance. This represents a turning point in drama teaching, revealing, one may surmise, the early influence of Dorothy Heathcote. It should be reinforced, however, that Day's attention to Drama for Learning is understated in his text, in that having affirmed at the beginning of his book: "It (drama) provides opportunities for learning"\textsuperscript{78}, he appears then just to accept this at its face value without having to resort further to discussions of 'learning objectives' or methods of meeting those objectives.

Although it seems necessary to isolate in Day's writing this 'thematic' point of departure from Way, it is equally important to stress that his affinity with Way's methodology remains. The ethos of Day's lessons are reminiscent of Way. Relaxation; games; warm-up exercises etc are to feed into the chosen theme. However, to the strategies popularised by Way of 'Space on your own'; the teacher's list of carefully sequenced exercises; the handiness of the tambour, the record-player or tape-recorder; the working in alone, in pairs small groups and (more often than Way) whole groups etc., should now be added the gathering together for discussion; the handiness of the writing materials and the carefully chosen aids to understanding the topic: pictures, film extracts, library books, readings from literature etc. Chris Day intends that Way's kind of exercise sequence should be made to work for substance as well as for self-expression.

\textsuperscript{77}We earlier in this chapter noted Brian Way's somewhat reluctant support for the idea of following a theme in Drama [Way. Brian (1967) op cit P. 266]. See Pages 260-261 above.

\textsuperscript{78}Day. Chris (1975) ibid P.1
From the many lessons described in Day's book, I have chosen to look in some
detail at the one on Volcanoes taken with a class of 11 years old. This should
compare interestingly with an account of a series of lessons on the same topic with
nine/ten year old pupils, conducted twenty years later, by Peter Millward\textsuperscript{79} of
Durham University.

\textbf{Volcanoes}\textsuperscript{80}

\textit{Introductory exercises}
1. Introductory exercises, including 'individual work' on horse-riding, running,
.playing football etc.
2. The teacher asks the pupils to become people of the village or people of the fair
.visiting the village
3. As the evening comes, the stalls begin to close down. The sun moves behind the
.shadow of the volcano which towers over the village. The inhabitants settle down
.for a night's rest. [Here the teacher acted as a narrator to the action, fading the
.music as the story came to its close.]

\textit{Developmental exercises}
1. Slides of volcanoes and a recent volcanic eruption in Sicily were shown and
discussed.
2. The teacher said: 'You are people living in a village under the shadows of a
.volcano. When? What is the name of the village? Where is it? What time of
day/night is it? Go to you houses and when you get there, decide on your name,
age, religion, work, friends and acquaintances, what you house is like, and how
.long you have lived in the village. [...] they became totally absorbed. Time was given
.for them to think themselves into the situation].
3. The villagers were asked to introduce themselves to each other, moving on to
.another person at the sound of the tambour.
4. 'It is noon. You are eating your dinner/sleeping/working/playing /talking. The
.fair visits your village.' ['Come to the fair' was played]
5. A cymbal was used as the signal that the volcano was erupting. The class
.spontaneously bolted for the shelter, some to the sides of the hall, others to the
.shelter of buildings in the centre.

\textit{Conclusion}
The teacher led a class discussion, based on the experiences of the lesson, and the
pupils wrote about them. [papers and pencils were distributed]

\textsuperscript{79}Peter Millward's account will be discussed in Chapter 10 of this thesis.
\textsuperscript{80}Day, Chris (1975) ibid Pp 51-53. The account given above is a mixture of quotation and
.summary.
Chris Day includes extracts from written work of two pupils.

One of the assumptions behind the above lesson sequence is that cumulatively the pupils, by becoming more informed about their situation in terms of knowledge about volcanoes and characterisation will have a more valid 'volcano' experience.

One interesting feature (not typical of Day's lessons) is that the pupils experience the incident twice, the second time after greater preparation, as though, 'conditioned' by extra information, their contribution will be more valid and their insight into 'living under a volcano' greater. In keeping with the 'Way' tradition, Day 'romanticises'\(^{81}\) the disaster itself, using a cymbal to represent the distant rumblings leading to a climactic sound.

D.3. **Exercise structured for theatre form**

The above has been an example of the dramatic 'exercise' mode being used to shape a particular kind of insightful experience related to knowledge. Later in the century it became not uncommon for a teacher to use the exercise form as an aesthetic or theatrical experience.

An example taken from my 1992 publication\(^ {82}\) is of an exercise based on a very precise understanding of dramatic tension, intended to operate as a microcosm of a well-structured play. The exercise was part of a sequence, based on a Bible story, dealing with the attempt by Joseph's brothers to be rid of their father's favourite. In order to help the class feel what it might have been like to have to tolerate their brother's unfair treatment and to contain their jealousy, I set up the following 'pairs' exercise - in a sequence of 3 steps:

1. One of each pair was the boy Joseph, the other was an elder brother. 'For this first practice stand side by side, each hammering a stake in the ground'\(^{—}\). These will be used for a sheep-pen.' I discussed the length of the stake and the differences of

---

\(^{81}\) We noted above that the HMI for Drama, A.F Alington [op cit (1961) P. 48], used this word to describe a suitably (in his view) non-realistic way of tackling topics such as disasters.

\(^{82}\) Bolton, Gavin (1992) *New Perspectives on Classroom Drama* Simon Shuster Hemel Hempstead, P. 90
strength of a man and a boy. 'In this first step of the exercise do not interact; simply show the differences between a mannish and a boyish way of knocking in a stake - pure miming.'

2. 'Now, still out of the biblical context, for the next step. Be any two brothers. The elder is going to teach the younger how to knock in a stake. What kind of thing will the older one feel he has to draw his younger brother's attention to?'

3. 'Now you will be in role again as young Joseph and the elder brother. The latter is determined to teach this idle lad how to drive in a stake. Joseph, however, is equally determined to use this as an opportunity to tell his brother about one of his wonderful dreams...in which all his elder brothers...bowed down to him!!!...'

This is a dense exercise loaded, through language, through the symbolism of difference in size, through the opposition of boasting and teaching, with many levels of meaning. Effective, but like most exercises, of limited scope and duration.

D.4. Conclusion on dramatic exercise structure

Brian Way brought exercise into our drama repertoire. His intention was for his pupils privately to practise personal skills such as concentration, imagination, intuition, language and movement. Some educationists saw its potential for close investigation of interactional skills within typical family and business contexts. Others enlarged its scope to embrace the acquisition of knowledge. A further opportunity was seen, from the 1980s onwards, in its potential as a tightly controlled dramatic structure, a play in miniature.

We will now conclude this chapter on Brian Way, by summarising how acting behaviour might be perceived in his classrooms. We will then take this perspective further by advancing an argument that an actor's intention represents the critical defining factor in distinguishing one kind of acting from another.

E. Acting in Brian Way's Classroom

Brian Way took the risk out of classroom acting. At a time when his fellow pioneer, Peter Slade, was urging a 'playful' release of fantasy, Way was
circumscribing acting behaviour into prosaic exercise. At a time when some professional theatre was taking risks, when professional actors were engaged in their own exploratory workshops led by people like Keith Johnstone and Joan Littlewood, Way was consolidating the idea (started by Slade but without the 'exercise' orientation) of a dictated sequence of actions. When rehearsal rooms became hot-houses for ensemble playing, Way kept his young actors working 'in a space on their own'. What was it then about Way's pioneering work that made it attractive to teachers in countries all over the world?

The key to understanding his approach lies, I believe, in his determination to sustain the notion of acting as a private activity. This was in keeping with the British obsession with child-centred education and the general interest of the wider, humanist movement in 'self-actualisation'. Without an audience the only standards were one's own. The drama teacher was not to impose some external notion of what acting should be nor offer any criticism of any kind. The young actor may have felt freed from criticism, but certainly could not escape from teacher instruction on what to do - and, usually, from the pace at which to do it. In most of Way's exercises, 'Acting' is reduced to reacting mimmatically. He has virtually taken the word 'acting' out of the educationist's vocabulary, so that teachers are left with the impression that whatever goes on in the classroom in the name of drama has nothing to do with what people do in a theatre. One can understand John Allen's 1979 attempt at 'damage limitation' when he urges that "drama in schools is basically and essentially no different from drama anywhere else." Even today, as mentioned in the Introduction to this thesis (See Page 3 above) it is not easy to reintroduce the term 'acting' into the language of classroom practice.

83 Allen, John (1979) op cit P. 119 - already quoted on Page 1 of this thesis.
If drama is to be defined merely as 'practising living', then it would seem, at first sight, to be inappropriate to give attention to acting itself. It is the practice of social or personal skill that warrants attention, to the exclusion, it seems, of artistic endeavour. Such a conception no doubt made Way's approach accessible to all kinds of humanist teachers and therapists who would not have been interested in traditional performance.

Nevertheless, there was obviously some version of acting behaviour going on in Way's classrooms, even though its importance was played down. So far in this study, we have discovered that on the rare occasions exponents before Slade and Way felt it necessary to refer to acting, they tended to see it as either 'natural' or 'artificial'. In the last chapter I attempted to expand on Slade's use of 'sincere' by opening up the concept of *identification* as a basic component of all make-believe behaviour. I propose now to consider ways of describing *differences* in acting behaviours. All such behaviours require a conscious decision to enter a fiction. This 'as if' disposition prompts an expressed act of identification. In order to distinguish between different kinds of such expressed acts a criterion for classification needs to be found. I will use evidence from Way's methodology as an introduction to this theoretical exploration.

**F. Defining characteristics of acting behaviour**

---

84 Way, B. (1967) ibid P. 6
85 Within the therapeutic tradition 'Psychodrama', a system of therapy evolved by J.L. Moreno [Moreno, Jacob Levy. (1946) *Psychodrama* Vol 1 Beacon House NY], stood alone in its dependence upon a stage setting for its proper functioning. I can recall an uncomfortable moment when I first visited the Moreno centre in New York, unintentionally shocking fellow spectators by squatting at the side of the stage to watch - instead of taking my 'proper' place - in the auditorium beyond the footlights! Moreno's philosophy seemed to rely on a 'protagonist' (patient) gaining personal release from the opportunity spontaneously to reenact a past painful incident within the formal conditions of a stage performance.
86 See Pages 217-225 above.
Way, by the late sixties, managed to establish for a large number of Drama teachers (and, Drama teacher-trainers) that classroom drama was to be sans text, sans audience and sans acting. There was a further marked absence in Way's approach: little importance was to be attached to content. We have seen in the discussion above (Pages 257-258) that in his 'factory' example, his notion of development extended, not to any implications to do with a factory strike, but to the participants' sense of their own personal development. I wish to argue here that that particular orientation, the monitoring, largely to the exclusion of other elements, of how one is using one's personal skills, may be said to constitute, the acting behaviour of the participants. It could be said that, in this instance, the participant's make-believe behaviour is, at least in part, determined by his intention\(^7\) to privilege one kind of responsibility over another, to give attention to personal skills over content.

The idea of a 'defining orientation' in acting behaviour is perhaps more readily perceived in stage acting. Bert States\(^8\), for example, classifies acting into three modes, (self-expressive, collaborative and representational) as a way of describing the intention\(^9\) of an actor respectively to display his skill to an audience, relate to an audience or demonstrate a play to an audience. States seems to be making the point that actors tend to favour [not exclusively, it should be said] one of these

\(^7\)I am aware that the use of the term 'intention' in relation to the arts can be problematical. [See, for instance, the discussion by Mike Fleming (1982, op cit Pp 233-236) and G.E.M. Anscombe's (1979) *Intention* Basil Blackwell Oxford.] I am using 'intention' here in line with David Best's position when he argues that "To refer to an action as being done with a certain intention is to say what kind of action it is." [Best, D. (1992) *The Rationality of Feeling* The Falmer Press London P. 137]

\(^8\)States, Bert O. (1985) *Great Reckonings in Little Rooms* Uni of Californian Press Los Angeles Pp 124 & 160

\(^9\)It is interesting that States writes of "the intention of the speaker" [he is referring to the actor here as a 'speaker' addressing an audience] in his book (1985 ibid. Chapter 5, P. 160), but when he repeats that chapter as a contribution to a later publication [States, B. (1995) "The Actor's Presence" in *Acting (Reconsidered)* by Phillip B. Zarrilli (Ed) Routledge London & New York], he changes the manuscript to "the "intention" of the speaker" [P. 24] i.e. putting intention in inverted commas.
modal categories, involving an identifiable orientation, as part of an 'actor’s presence'. States had a stage performance in mind, of course, but it is conceivable that such orientations could to some degree affect how, say, a small group of pupils show their prepared work to the rest of the class.

If we try to describe the acting behaviour before that small group is 'ready' to show the others or look at the process in a rehearsal room rather than on a stage, it is possible to define the acting in terms of a different kind of intention or disposition. Two such contrasted imperatives are to be found in accounts of Stanislavski's rehearsals, for example. In the earlier stage of his well-documented experimentation, he insisted on his actors being clear about a character's 'objectives' in order to justify each action; in his later career, however, he encouraged his actors to plunge into action, letting the justification emerge from the physical. In these contrasted examples from Stanislavski, the kind of acting behaviour, during rehearsal, is dictated by the actors' choice, either to be disciplined by an intellectual impulse, or to be freed by a state of kinaesthetic receptivity. (Neither of these would apply, of course, if the actors or pupils were at the stage in rehearsal when lines should have been learned - their focal consciousness would be 'burdened' accordingly!)

Thus we have from the above classroom, stage and rehearsal room examples of three different kinds of factors determining the acting behaviour, which might be summarised as: educational priorities, the actor's presence and the actor's way into a part. Each of these factors is dictated by the context in which the acting takes place.

---

90 Gorchakov. N.M. (1954) op cit P. 370
91 It should be said that the only example I have come across so far of pupils struggling to remember lines during a school lesson as opposed to a school-play rehearsal is in Caldwell Cook's classroom.
Let us look further at how the classroom dictates the factors affecting the acting behaviour. Perhaps the clearest example may be drawn from Harriet Finlay-Johnson's 'shopping practice'. The overriding intention, as in all forms of acting, including stage and rehearsal room, is an agreement to 'play the drama game'; the defining feature of their acting behaviour is a simulation of what one does when one shops, including getting the arithmetic right. There may be something too, for some of the pupils, of States' 'self-expressive' mode: "Look at me! I'm good at this!". In Caldwell Cook's 'Mummy', how to translate Shakespeare's lines into a Elizabethan Playhouse design could be said to define the acting behaviour. For many teachers of English the acting of pupils engaged with Shakespearean texts would, by contrast, be described as 'making the meaning clear'.

That these classroom examples are to a large extent performances to a critical audience makes definition relatively easy; indeed the conscious priority of the actors matches the conscious attention of the spectators, who can reinforce the kind of acting by legitimately asking "Is this efficient shopping?"; "Is this good Elizabethan stage technique?" or "Is the meaning of these lines clear?" Interesting an audience would have been seen by the early exponents as a necessary element of the acting behaviour and the audience's response a critical, reflexive feature of that element. In Brian Way's improvisational work, however, 'performance' is not relevant; there is no homogenous identification between actors and audience. Their acting behaviour is not to be 'coloured' by that particular orientation.

It seems possible, then, to classify acting behaviour, according to the actor's focus of responsibility. For instance, had Way pursued implications of a strike in his 'factory' improvisation, then subsequent acting behaviour would be determined by their intellectual interest in the content of the drama. Way, however, reoriented

---

92 See Page 38 of Chapter One above
their acting (or, at least, claimed he did; whether or not he succeeded does not upset the theory) towards self-awareness and personal accomplishment. I suggest that to the extent that a participant consciously embraced that personal skills objective, that is, in so far as he made that his 'focus of responsibility', that commitment constituted his/her acting behaviour. Some behaviour may, of course, remain unfocused or cross-focused: there may have been some who tried to suspend their curiosity about the outcome of the factory strike, in order to oblige the teacher by noting what personal skills were being practised. Their acting thus 'burdened' could be said not merely to reflect the contrary orientations but to be defined by them. There may have been others, as in any class, whose minds were really on some matter outside the drama, in which case that lack of relevant purpose could be said to characterise their acting.

We may now put forward a conception of acting behaviour as a list of determining responsibilities.

1. Prime intention to enter make-believe and
2. distinguishing intention relating to content, character, dramatic form, self-spectator, performance skills and
3. where there is a formal or informal audience an expressive, communicative or demonstrative mode\(^\text{93}\) predominates and
4. extrinsic 'burdens', such as trying to remember lines, or a teacher-expectation, may further qualify or even dominate the acting behaviour.

Further determining responsibilities could be added relating specifically to Slade and Way's approach. We have already noted the 'temporal imperative' in their work where they use the fast action narration to accompanying actions by all the pupils together, and Way, we have earlier observed, created a new genre for the drama classroom: improvised drama as 'exercise', with all that entails of task-focused, short-term, practising or trying something out, or getting ready. Thus a fifth item

---

\(^{93}\text{Frost and Yarrow (1990) op cit P. 152. suggest 'disponibilité' is a useful term for expressing the condition the actor aspires to of being open to both fellow performer and audience.}
of the above list of determinants would relate at times to a temporal (that is, preparing, while carrying out the present instruction, to anticipate the next one) imperative and/or to a limited expectation of the structure per se. At its worst, the latter 'exercise' form would invite an 'it's only an exercise' disposition. At its best, it could be seen as a tightly structured vehicle for honing in on some aspect of living in which the actor has committed interest. A dimension of responsibility thus can embrace the participant's attitude to the medium itself and to the understood purpose of doing the drama.

To summarise this attempt at classifying acting behaviour, it seems that although it would be in order to see the actor's 'intentions' as the defining factor, the expression 'focus of responsibilities' is perhaps more usefully all-embracing. The actor's 'responsibilities' need to be seen as many-layered, variously relating to content, skill, style, audience, attitude and context. At times it would be appropriate to speak of 'intention' or 'disposition' or 'colour' or even, 'burden' as the defining metaphor, but I hope that my choice of 'focus of responsibilities' covers all these.

**Summary**

In this chapter Way's use of drama in terms of its function has been examined. Way used some of Slade's methods but developed 'drama as exercise', rather than drama as a special form of theatre. The reiterated emphasis on 'individual' expression undermined the concept of drama as a social art. Non-drama activities were introduced, sometimes supplanting make-believe altogether. Both audience and content were neglected in favour of personal expression. 'Acting' seemed to be out of place in this 'personal development' model.

Brian Way's extreme 'progressive' perspective on drama seemed a useful starting point for considering the main purpose of this thesis, to provide a conceptual
framework embracing all classroom acting behaviours. Conceiving of acting
behaviours as characterised by 'determining responsibilities' appears to have the
potential to embrace both private expression and the various kinds of acting on
stage. This is the beginning of a conceptual formulation that will be taken up more
fully in the final chapter.
PART FOUR

DOROTHY HEATHCOTE
SECTION FOUR  Chapter Nine

Dorothy Heathcote

'Living through' Drama and 'Depiction'

This chapter will be divided as follows:

A. Introduction to Dorothy Heathcote
B. The essential nature of her work
C. Dorothy Heathcote's classroom practice
   1. 'Living through Drama'
      (a) Teacher-in-Role
   2. Heathcote's 'traditional strategies':
      (a) Ritual, Movement and Depiction
      (b) Example of a 'Project'
D. Acting behaviour in Dorothy Heathcote's classrooms
   1. Acting behaviour in 'Living Through' Drama
   2. Acting behaviour in 'Depiction'

A. Introduction to Dorothy Heathcote

Dorothy Heathcote was appointed to the University of Durham Institute of Education\(^1\) in 1950 at the age of 24\(^2\), four years, it is worth noting, before Slade's Child Drama appeared. It was not until the 1960s that leaders in the field became aware of her and her work. Perhaps the first time she was referred to in print was in the mid-sixties after her teaching came under observation of Her Majesty's Inspector for Drama, who described her work as follows:

\(^{1}\)Institutes of Education were formed at this time by Universities to promote in-service training for experienced teachers. Until the 1980s they were separate from Departments of Education which concentrated on initial training of teachers. The Durham Institute (located in Newcastle) became part of Newcastle University in 1954.

\(^{2}\)For a full biographical account see Sandra Hesten's unpublished Ph.d thesis, entitled "The Construction of an Archive and the Presentation of Philosophical, Epistemological and Methodological Issues relating to Dorothy Heathcote's Drama in Education Approach". Dr. Hesten was responsible for building a 'Dorothy Heathcote archives', at Lancaster University, which comprehensively houses an extensive collection of published and unpublished material by Heathcote, her past students and colleagues in the field. Hesten's detailed and scholarly analysis of Heathcote's work, written in 1995, makes interesting comparison with Betty Jane Wagner's more vivid attempt, 19 years earlier, to captivate her readers with the possibility of "dancing with a whirlwind" [Wagner, B.J. (1976 {1979, this edition}) Dorothy Heathcote: Drama as a Learning Medium Hutchinson London P. 231]. Betty Jane Wagner is currently (1996) revising this publication.
Some teachers make a distinction between improvisation and improvised play-making. The latter has led to some of the most interesting work that we have seen. Close questioning of the pupils by the teacher has led to deep involvement or sense of relevance so that a new dimension has been added to apparently threadbare scenes. 'All right you are on an island,' says a teacher in the north, 'how did you get there? Who are you? Are you alone or with anyone?' This teacher and her students from an institute have conducted projects lasting a whole week in which the boys and girls in the school have investigated with great profundity such subjects as birth, marriage, death, in all their personal, social, anthropological, and artistic aspects.

The writer of the above is John Allen, HMI and the teacher he refers to is Dorothy Heathcote. The date of publication is 1967\(^3\), coinciding with the publication of Brian Way's *Development Through Drama*\(^4\) and the Government's report on Primary Education, known as *The Plowden Report*\(^5\). The two latter publications appeared to epitomise the spirit of child-centred education overtaking schools, but John Allen warned against paedeocentric zeal that absolved the teacher from clarifying thoughts and defining intentions\(^6\). Of all the influential people in drama education [or drama in education as once again it became\(^7\) known in official documents\(^8\)], John Allen stood out as the one person having an overview of what was going on. His appointment as an Inspector strengthened a broad perspective he had been acquiring over a number of years. He had been a prominent member of the 1948 Ministry of

\(^3\)DES (1967) *Drama: Education Survey 2* HMSO, P. 38
\(^4\)Way, Brian (1967) *op cit*
\(^5\)DES (1967) *Children and their Primary Schools* (The Plowden Report) HMSO
\(^6\)DES (1967) ibid P. 19
\(^7\)The first use of the term 'drama in education' appeared, as we have seen, in the Ministry of Education publication, *The Teaching of English in England* (1921) HMSO. It is significant, I believe, that the Inspectorate did not want to adopt Slade's 'Child Drama' terminology.
\(^8\)Dorothy Heathcote used the term 'drama in education' in relation to her own work, so that it gathered both a broad and a narrow meaning. Sometimes it meant 'non-performance'; at others it referred more particularly to the kind of classroom drama emerging from the North-East.
Education Working Party on Drama in Schools\(^9\), and, while pursuing his own eclectic interests in directing, broadcasting and writing about theoretical and practical aspects of theatre, he became an acute observer, from the sidelines, as it were, of how others who had a more direct influence on what might go on in the drama classroom, set about promoting their interests.

In the second section of this thesis, we attempted to analyse a wide range of 'trends' which sprang from or competed with the pioneer work of Harriet Finlay-Johnson and Henry Caldwell Cook, trends which, perhaps starting in the 1920s, still persist to the end of this century. The Drama teaching profession in this country has a history of 'taking sides', sometimes reaching a point where one set of exponents would not talk to its 'rivals'. John Allen, by the 1960s, was seen as a neutral and benign, but nevertheless shrewd, onlooker, who personally knew most of the leaders in the various branches of drama and worked hard at keeping communications open between them.\(^{10}\) He was the right man in the right place when Jenny Lee, as Minister of Education, sought a report on drama in schools.

I have chosen to introduce this section on Dorothy Heathcote through this DES publication because John Allen's unbiased reporting provides a picture of drama practice in the 1960s. He reports broadly, showing respect for all kinds of dramatic representation from the nursery play-

---


\(^{10}\) After serving as HMI with special responsibility for Drama, John Allen became Principal of The Central School of Speech and Drama. Throughout his career he was seen as a prestigious figure, serving all aspects of professional, amateur and pedagogical drama and dance education. Now, long retired. I believe people still regard him as that 'wise onlooker', and, as I do, still seek his advice.
A book that seems to embrace all the 'trends' is Creative Drama in Schools by a York teacher, Brother Barnfield, in 1968 [Barnfield, Gabriel (1968) Creative Drama in Schools Macmillan London]. His chapter headings [including 'Rhythm'; 'Movement'; 'Dance'; 'Improvisation'; 'Mime'; Character & Plots'; 'Dance-Drama'; 'Speech'; 'Play-reading'; 'Production'; 'Lighting' and so on] seem to embrace all the trends of the previous 30 years. He is not without criticism; he is aware of poor standards to be found in each branch of these activities, for instance the impoverishment of the literary side of drama and the reduction of movement to 'reeling and writhing', but, without a vested interest in a particular approach, he seeks high standards in all approaches and favours a balance between them.

Dorothy Heathcote too, from her position in the University, is also fully aware of these competing trends which she finds exemplified in the work of her own students, who are all experienced teachers, but her innovatory work does not appear to build on any particular aspect of current practice. Her purpose seems to be to disconnect with even the best of that practice, choosing to alienate herself from the very vocabulary of her contemporaries and predecessors. To categorise dramatic activities as most people did, and as John Allen has done above, as 'speech' or 'movement' 'mime' or 'play' or 'improvisation' or 'limbering' or 'textual study' or even 'child drama' makes communication about drama education seem possible, but Dorothy Heathcote worked

---

11 DES (1967) ibid P. 25
12 DES (1967) ibid P. 32
13 A book that seems to embrace all the 'trends' is Creative Drama in Schools by a York teacher, Brother Barnfield, in 1968 [Barnfield, Gabriel (1968) Creative Drama in Schools Macmillan London]. His chapter headings [including 'Rhythm'; 'Movement'; 'Dance'; 'Improvisation'; 'Mime'; Character & Plots'; 'Dance-Drama'; 'Speech'; 'Play-reading'; 'Production'; 'Lighting' and so on] seem to embrace all the trends of the previous 30 years.
14 Heathcote did once write at the editors' request an article entitled 'Improvisation' in a pamphlet, 'Drama in Education', by the National Association for the Teaching of English and published by Bodley Head [Heathcote, D. (1967) 'Improvisation' in Dorothy Heathcote: collected writings on education and drama (Eds: Johnson, Liz & O'Neill, Cecily) Hutchinson London, Pp 44-48, but its content bears little relationship to contemporary practice in Improvisation - and she tended subsequently to avoid using the term.
outside these categories. Her work was not identifiable as any of them, for although speaking, miming, moving, improvising, studying texts etc featured in her work, they were not in themselves indicative of its essential nature.

John Allen, in the above quotation, gives Heathcote's work a label, improvised 'play-making', a term which appears to meet Heathcote's own occasional usage\(^\text{15}\), but, again, this is to attempt to describe the activity, not the central assumption behind the activity. In 1976 she said "I don't have a name for what I do."\(^\text{16}\) but she is not unwilling to show what she does through film and video tape and through her many articles in a wide range of journals all over the world. She is good at showing but not at explaining, for just as a painting is not finally explainable in terms other than itself, so Heathcote resists articulating her practice, only reluctantly struggling for the sake of her students to find the 'right' words, painfully aware of their reductive, distorting effect. Others, including myself, have sought to capture her philosophy and methodology. It seems that now, towards the end of the century, no article or book may be written about classroom drama without explicit or implicit reference to her practice\(^\text{17}\), although Hornbrook, as early as 1986\(^\text{18}\), expressed surprise that her work was still being studied.

\(^{15}\)One example is in The Uses of Drama by John Hodgson [Heathcote, D. (1972) 'Drama as Challenge' in The Uses of Drama by John Hodgson (Ed) Eyre Methuen London Pp 156-165] in which she refers to the children "making knowledge clear to themselves" in a 'play-making situation' [P. 160]

\(^{16}\)Wagner B.J. (1979) ibid P. 13

\(^{17}\)In the last decade a handful of writers have been critical of her work. One of her first critics was John Crompton (1978), who facetiously entitled Chapter 17 of his thesis: 'Drama as Dorothy Heathcote'. For a well-reasoned account of the early stages of the ensuing debate see Ken Byron's two articles, 'Drama at the Crossroads', Part One & Two in 2D Vols. 6 & 7, Autumn 1986 & 7 respectively.

B. The essential nature of Heathcote's drama teaching

It is my purpose here to summarise her work sufficiently to look at implications of her approach for classroom acting. Such a summary is retrospective. By this I mean that I shall not attempt to show how explanations of Heathcote's work, her own accounts and those of her interpreters, have evolved over the years, interesting as that would be.

With such hindsight, I am in a position, therefore, to suggest that the essential nature of her work is bound up with her assumption that dramatic action, by its nature, is subordinated to meaning. Such a notion goes back, as I have already indicated in the chapter on Peter Slade, to 1933 when Vygotski first made the following comment about child make-believe play. He writes: "In play a child deals with things as having meaning". He suggests that whereas in 'real life' action is

19 Like many leaders in the field of Drama education Dorothy Heathcote tended to employ terminology from other disciplines according to what was in fashion. For instance, in the early 70s it would surprise no-one that she adopted Liam Hudson's notion of 'convergent and divergent thinking' [Hudson, Liam (1966) Contrary Imaginations Methuen London] in "Subject or System" (1971) in Nigel Dodd & Winifred Hickson's Drama and Theatre in Education Heinemann London, whereas by 1989 she is using a term like 'blurred genre' from Clifford Geertz's (1983) Local Knowledge Pp 19-36 Basic Books, in her address to the NATD Conference October 1989

20 It is curious that the only publication devoted entirely to an account of her work came from America: Wagner, B.J. (1976) op cit. It is not surprising that some attempts, in the earlier days, to describe her approach should misconstrue [John Hodgson, for instance, writing in 1972, described her active participation as like "a Moreno-style Director" (Hodgson, John (1972) op cit P. 156)]. but, sadly, much later writers also misinterpret. for instance, Hornbrook's charge that Heathcote's approach reveals "a commitment to naturalism" could not be more misleading (Hornbrook, D. (1989) op cit P. 76). It is relatively easy for me to spot stark errors such as these in other people's writing; I may well be blind to my own misinterpretations of Heathcote's work. My understanding has evolved over 30 years of contact. The way in which I have chosen to describe her approach through these chapters differs markedly from my earlier accounts [see. for example, Drama as Education (1984)] but I hope that each occasion for writing takes me nearer the essential characteristics of her complex practice. Let us hope that I am not guilty along with others cited here of misrepresentation.

21 See Page 244 above

prioritised over meaning, the opposite occurs in make-believe. It seems to me that everything Dorothy Heathcote said about her work in those early attempts at explanation stemmed from this assumption, (not, as far as I am aware, ever stated explicitly by her) that dramatic action was to do with attending to meaning, or, rather, meanings, to be negotiated with her class and leading to action. We have seen that as early as 1930 in this country, Susan Isaacs drew attention to a cognitive and hypothesising function of child make-believe play. I now suggest that in addition to an 'as-if' structure, there is an 'as' imperative. Without sacrificing the particularity of an action, a make-believe action also stands for itself, a meaning-making metaphor. This does not just apply to drama, of course. Mike Fleming describes photography in similar terms:

Photography is sometimes quoted as an extreme example of representation but consider the difference between looking at a street scene and looking at an equivalent photograph. The very fact that a human agent has intervened and has selected and isolated a particular moment carries to us an implicit message that at the least says, 'this was worth looking at'.

That drama education in Heathcote's hands harnesses make-believe's natural capacity for meaning-indicating, fundamentally contrasts her

---

23 Perhaps the nearest she gets to explicitness is in the middle of her lesson with a group of adolescents at the Royal Court Theatre Conference [Robinson, Ken (Ed) (1980) Exploring Theatre and Education Heinemann London P. 29] when she remarks to her class 'We only have to find a meaning'.

24 Isaacs, S. (1930) op cit P. 104. See Pages 90-91 of this thesis.

25 This point is also argued by Bruce Wiltshire. [Wiltshire, B. (1982) Role Playing and Identity: The Limits of Theatre as Metaphor Indiana Uni Press USA, P. xi] I first addressed this 'as' conception in "DRAMA/Drama and Cultural Values" in Selected Readings in Drama and Theatre Education: IDEA '95 Papers NADIE Publications Brisbane, Pp 29-34. It will be referred to again later in this chapter and in the final chapter of this thesis.


27 It seems extraordinary to me that John Crompton, in his M.Ed thesis on A Critical Evaluation of the Aims and Purposes of Drama in Education (1978) unpublished, University of Nottingham, concludes of Dorothy Heathcote: "What she does differs little from Slade's practice..." P. 344
methodology with that of Slade and Way for whom the 'doing' of drama was to be all-absorbing. In their work, as in child play, the potential for meaning-indicating was always there, but it was generally assumed that it was bound up with the personal and private, subjective engagement of each individual. For Heathcote it was the teacher's responsibility to make the most ordinary action seem extraordinary. As she expressed it in an interview with me, a single, ordinary action, must appear

THUS!

Heathcote's approach does, however, in this respect represent a continuation of the work of Marjorie Hourd (1949) for whom the attempt to articulate meaning was central to her pupils' exploration of myths, legends and ballads. Heathcote, too, is concerned, to use Hourd's Wordsworthian quotation, to tap "hiding places ten years deep." Such a perspective echoes Caldwell Cook's "bringing into play what one knows" and Finlay-Johnson's "a sense of the fitness of things." I suggest that Heathcote is part of a tradition of teachers who placed an emphasis on meaning-making or meaning-seeking in their drama. It was, as we have seen, Tomkinson's (1921) worry that drama was an inadequate vehicle for penetrating to any depth of meaning, that "in grasping at the substance you have even lost the shadow". By the 1960s the emphasis on free expression or mime and speech or exercise or

28 Sandra Hesten. (1995) op cit P. 97 links this ordinary/extraordinary aspect of Heathcote's purpose with Meyerhold's 'Theatre of the Grotesque'
29 Sound Tape. dated 24.2.96. Side A No. 350
30 I did not know, when I wrote this, that Hourd's publication was indeed a seminal book for Dorothy Heathcote (from a note written by DH on reading this manuscript).
31 See Page 155 of this thesis.
32 Heathcote's own term for making deep connections is 'remembering'. (See interview by GB of Heathcote, 26.02.96)
33 See Caldwell Cook, Henry (1917) op cit [P 48 of this thesis].
34 See Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) op cit P. 70 and P. 24 of this thesis.
35 See Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) op cit P. 46 and P. 62 of this thesis.
relaxation appeared to many teachers (for different reasons) to minimise the importance of meaning. Dorothy Heathcote would have been the first to sympathise with Tomkinson’s doubts while successfully demonstrating how drama should be treated so that serious meaning-making was possible. We shall see that whereas Tomkinson envisaged (he may even have tried it) a group of pupils straightforwardly setting about acting the incident of the murder of Thomas à Beckett, no doubt to a raucous reception, Heathcote’s methodology, at least by her mid-career, would never embrace such a risky form of direct presentation, as we shall see later in this chapter. She recognised instinctively that integrity in classroom acting behaviour is to be achieved, not through a straight enactment of an event, however ‘dramatic’, but from the selected ‘point of view’ or ‘necessary bias’.

The possibility of a class proceeding to ‘making-meaning’ resided in her understanding of the nature of drama itself. In 1973 she used Kenneth Tynan’s definition of drama (‘Good drama...is made up of the thoughts, the words and the gestures that are wrung from human beings on their way to, or in, or emerging from a state of desperation’) which, applied to her work, eventually became dubbed as ‘Man in a Mess’. Thus, if the purpose of Drama in Schools was to be understood as ‘meaning-making’, its substance was to be drawn from the frailty of humanity. Heathcote continues with the quotation from Tynan in which he defines a play as being ‘an ordered sequence of events that brings one or more of the people in it to a desperate condition which it must always explain and should, if possible, resolve.’ Heathcote affirms: “In these two sentences lies the key to the essential nature of drama.” It is, of course,

\[\text{36} \text{Heathcote uses this quotation from Tynan in Heathcote D. (1973) op cit P. 157. She abstracted it from Tynan, Kenneth (1957) Declarations McGibbon & Kee}\]
the two sentences *together* that formulate a principle for Heathcote: it is not enough to give children a taste of 'a state of desperation' through drama, for inherent in that dramatic experience must lie the potential for explication. Drama is to be about meaning-indicating, meaning-seeking, meaning-making and meaning-finding, always keyed in to her pupils' readiness to work in depth.

But such a perspective takes Drama *outside* curriculum norms. The meanings sought or indicated do not fit comfortably into identifiable disciplines\(^\text{37}\). As David Davis put it:\(^\text{38}\)

> She (Heathcote) sees drama as the means of rooting all the school curriculum back in a human context where it sprang from, so that knowledge is not an abstract, isolated subject-based discipline, but is based in human action, interaction, commitment and responsibility.

Davis' observation places Heathcote's work beyond dramatisation as a method to teach curriculum subjects, valuable as that might be\(^\text{39}\). She sees Drama as the very foundation of human knowledge at once personal, cultural and universal, pointing to a new conception of the curriculum and *overriding* the prevailing 'disciplines'\(^\text{40}\) view of

---

\(^\text{37}\)The recognition that Drama was something more than a school 'subject', was voiced as early as 1920 by E.M.Gilpin (1920 *op cit.* P. 178) who suggested: "It [Drama] seldom, or never, has the status of a school "Subject", and yet may it not be more than any one subject - a synthesis of many?"

\(^\text{38}\)Focus paper delivered to NADIE conference in Sydney entitled "In Defence of Drama in Education" subsequently published in *The NADIE Journal* Vol 15, No1 Summer 1990.

\(^\text{39}\)A good example of the use of drama in the service of other subjects, in contrast to Heathcote's dramatic system overriding subject divisions, is Peter Slade's revised version of his philosophy [Slade, P. (1995) *op cit*] which includes fairly considerable advice to subject teachers. For instance, under 'Geography' Slade writes: "We might base some of the teaching still on what it is really like to live in such-and-such part of the world, how climate affects them and their crops, which part do drugs play in trade, so as to build both understanding and compassion for those who live in very different situations from our own...true learning and understanding may go deeper by acting the part." [P. 157]

\(^\text{40}\)Fleming (1982) *op cit* P.141 has pointed out that educational theorists such as P. Hirst and R.S. Peters reacted against the excesses of Progressivism, epitomised for them in the Plowden Report. by reaffirming the 'public modes of experience', as reflected by the 'disciplines'. Fleming quotes from *The Logic of Education* [Hirst, P. & Peters R.S. (1970) Routledge, P. ix]
knowledge. Some critics of Heathcote have thought that she reduced drama to a methodology. I believe it to be nearer the case that she raised the level of school learning from subject-bound parameters to 'a study of Mankind'. The art form of Drama was to be a 'crucible' for knowledge.

I think it is fair to say that Heathcote was pioneering a view of education the implications of which she herself did not fully grasp. Attempting to revise our view of knowledge led at times to ambiguity. In Heathcote's efforts to describe her position, there appeared a constant slippage between the art of drama and learning outcomes, as though she was not always sure where she wanted to place the emphasis. Indeed, in the final paragraph of her perhaps most famous piece of writing, 'Signs and portents'\(^4\), in which she discusses a teacher's need for skilful 'signing'\(^2\) in 'working in role', she concludes:

> Finally, having spent a long time wondering why I have for years been irritated by the cry of 'let's have more drama in our schools', I now realise why I have always wanted to say, don't lobby for dramatics, lobby for better learning! It is, of course, because the heart of communication in social situations is the sign. All teachers need to study how to exploit it as the first basis of their work.

which its authors saw as providing "a much needed reconciliation between subject-centred and child-centred approaches to education." Dorothy Heathcote, I believe, does not 'centre' her work in either direction.

\(^{41}\) Heathcote, Dorothy (1980) 'Signs and portents' in Dorothy Heathcote: collected writings on education and drama (Eds. O'Neill & Johnson 1984) op cit P. 169

\(^{42}\) From 1980 Heathcote started to adopt the language of Semiotics which no doubt fitted her campaign to persuade people, particularly teachers, to see non-verbal or extra-verbal communicating as basic to all teaching and not just a prerogative of theatre. Typically of Heathcote, she draws from an idea behind a new movement in scientific, sociological, theatrical or anthropological thought and adapts it, indeed reshapes it, to her own needs. She sees no need, thereafter, always to draw attention to her original source which sometimes would not be recognisable. In this particular instance of Semiotics, Heathcote invents her own very useful terms: significant and significantly.
Heathcote's two basic, related assumptions underlying her drama praxis were, as I have argued above, that its participants engage with making meanings and those meanings relate to a human struggle. Her remarkable methodology which we will now examine followed from this as night the day. Every aspect of her complex and (sometimes) obscure way of working stemmed logically from these two assumptions.

C. **Dorothy Heathcote's Drama Practice**

C.1. **'Living through' Drama**

'What shall we make a play about?' seemed to be Heathcote's most common starting point in getting down to drama with a fresh class. As she asked this question, her intention was to mould answers into a theme, context and particularity of action, operating jointly with the class as fellow dramatists to bring about a coherent text. This combination of 'theme', 'context' and 'action' represents the principal strands of any play, along with 'plot' which latter Heathcote seemed in the eyes of many teachers to neglect, it being the one strand of play-

---

43 Heathcote herself puts it less grandly in the video tape of 'Making History', dated 1971. She says: "There's the drama of big 'uns telling little 'uns what to do...there's the drama of ordinary people just being plain awful to each other and having to learn how to get on. I suppose this is our social drama, our "kitchen sink", drama if you like, and there's this other drama of large events over which we have no control, the great catastrophes, the great miracles, where all you do is struggle through." [Video Tape 1. No: 0.03.48, "Making History" N'castle University Library]. Sometimes when Dorothy Heathcote wanted to give a class she had not met before a choice of topic, she would offer that choice by asking the class which of these three kinds of drama they preferred - See, for example "Evoking Gut-Level Drama" by Betty Jane Wagner in Learning: The Magazine for Creative Teaching (US) March, 1974. P. 18

44 I think it is fair to say that because these two assumptions were so obvious to her, she did not always see the need to spell them out to her readers.

45 Dorothy Heathcote taught classes of all ages regularly as her most effective way of training her students. These sessions became known as 'demonstration lessons'. It was fairly common, as part of a summer school abroad for her to teach a volunteer class every day for one or two weeks for a couple of hours, so that continuity with a theme could be demonstrated.

46 Using the term 'text' here in its broadest sense. as does Hornbrook in Education in Drama The Falmer Press London (1991) P. 49.
making with which traditional teachers felt most comfortable. We have seen that Slade and Way stressed the importance of one action following another in story form; indeed, many of Slade's lessons were based on this kind of stimulus. For Heathcote, 'plot', the least important play component\(^{47}\), was something to be appreciated by the pupils in retrospect, after the play-making. Her focus was always on one 'internal' situation breeding or foreshadowing the next 'internal' situation, rather than 'plot', for whereas the latter prompts a 'what-happens-next' mental set\(^{48}\), the former is more conducive to 'living through'\(^{49}\), operating at seeming life rate, a modus vivendi that lent itself to staying with a situation sufficiently long to explore it and understand it more. Small group play-making, so popular in schools at this time\(^{50}\) and indeed for the rest of the century, often found pupils 'condensing' time, quickening up rather than slowing down. We shall see that Heathcote became dissatisfied even with the 'living through' approach as sufficiently snail-like for the purposes of penetrating reflection.

The three strands of theme, context and particularity of action are not by themselves dramatic. They need the cementation of 'tension', which in Heathcote's 'Living through' approach to play-making is injected by the teacher. As in all make-believe Play, the 'living through' of the participants is characterised by freedom from the consequences and

\(^{47}\)I am using the term 'plot' here in the sense of 'what comes next', while appreciating Mike Fleming's point [Fleming, M. (1995) "Progress in Drama and Aesthetic Unity" in Drama: The Journal for National Drama Vol 4 No 1 Autumn 1995. Pp 2-4] that "...to be fully satisfying in an aesthetic sense drama needs to have some feeling of progression...". P. 3, that it is the 'narrative structure' that gives the lesson its dynamic.


\(^{49}\)"Living through' became a favourite way of identifying Heathcote's approach. She uses it herself, for instance in 'Drama as Challenge' [in Johnson & O'Neill (1984) Pp 80-89]

\(^{50}\)Many observations of this kind of drama making are to be found in the Schools Council's Learning Through Drama: Schools Council Drama Teaching Project (10-16)]McGregor, Lynn, Tate, Maggie and Robinson. Ken (1978) Learning Through Drama Heinemann London
arbitrary occurrences of real life, but while Heathcote harnessed this particular brand of 'freedom', she also insisted on a 'double burden': both a selected dramatic tension and the need to understand its implications. The tension may take the form of a problem, a threat, suspicion, wonder, curiosity, resignation and so on - all the tension ingredients of theatre are available to 'Living through' drama. She does not, however, want the pupils to be so absorbed by the tension that they are caught in its grip, (that is, action predominating over meaning). She wants the pupils to recognise the tension as tension and to understand something of its effect, a cognitive response as well as an affective one.

Nor does she want the pupils to be caught up in wondering how a dramatic episode is going to end. The pupils themselves must choose the outcome they will be most satisfied by or with which they can cope. In 'Making Magic' the first class of 5-6 year olds are searching for a lost baby. When one or two children suggest that the baby be found dead, Heathcote checks this out, to discover, not surprisingly, that their collective preference was for a live baby (one child later suggests a 'tied-up' baby, but that is ignored by the rest of the class) who would successfully be found. The details of how this success is to come about always remains an unknown factor, but a 'what happens next' drama, with its 'temporal bias' is avoided, but surprising things can still happen.

51 One of the confusions evident among drama teachers at this time was that 'Drama was 'Conflict', rather than 'tension'. so that teachers and pupils alike assumed that drama could not occur unless people were put in opposition to each other - schools halls and drama studios became very noisy places! It was regarded as normal for improvisational work to be based on family confrontation. (There are many examples in the work observed by the Schools Council Drama Teaching Project (10-16)
52 Video-tape 'Making Magic' (1972) No. 0.24.00 Newcastle University
53 I coined this expression 'temporal bias' in respect of Peter Slade's narrative control which requires the pupils continually to anticipate the next bit of the story. See Page 245 above.
The existential potential\textsuperscript{54} of Heathcote's work seems to be more of a promise than a practice. This may appear to contradict what I have claimed above to be an essential feature of her 'Living through' drama. While it is certainly true that Heathcote uses the \textit{power} inherent in the present tense of spontaneous acting behaviour, she, paradoxically perhaps, distrusts abandonment to it. There are very few moments\textsuperscript{55} in her videoed lessons when her pupils sustain their improvisational play-making beyond five minutes. More typically, hardly a minute goes by without Heathcote intervening with new input, checking, challenging, suggesting, protecting or high-lighting.

It is sometimes assumed that improvisational work by definition implies a flow of uninterrupted creativity. Indeed much 1960s drama suffered from this ideology\textsuperscript{56}. In Heathcote's hands the 'being there' of the present and presence is a temporary luxury too valuable to be lost in existential indulgence. Rather it is a luxury to be examined and deconstructed to shape the next 'dip' into the present.\textsuperscript{57} A number of writers\textsuperscript{58} have seen parallels in this with the Bertolt Brecht's approach to Theatre.

\textsuperscript{54}I have applied the term 'existential' in most of my publications to a dramatic mode of 'being in the present': of 'something occurring now'. Children's uninterrupted play is of this ilk. I have sometimes called it a 'dramatic playing mode'. \textit{[See, for instance, Towards a Theory of Drama in Education (1979) Longman London]}

\textsuperscript{55}'Mantle of the Expert' responsibilities excepted, as we shall see in the next chapter.

\textsuperscript{56}David Self has a telling personal anecdote relating to a comment from one of his teacher-trainee's following Self's struggle to get good discipline from a Comprehensive School class: "On the bus back to college, one student was worried. 'With all respect' he asked, 'aren't you afraid you might have repressed their natural spontaneity?' \textit{[Self, D. (1975) A Practical Guide to Drama in the Secondary School Ward Lock London P. 7]} This matches my own experience in the 1970s. I recall working with a group of teachers on a week-end in-service course who complained bitterly that when I interrupted their play-making I was 'ruining their creativity'.

\textsuperscript{57}It is this continual interruption by Heathcote of her pupils' work that has gained her the reputation in some quarters of 'Fascist' interventionist.

\textsuperscript{58}One of the first admirer's of Heathcote's work to draw attention to similarities between Brechtian theatre and Heathcote drama was Oliver Fiala in 1972. Dorothy Heathcote herself
With these continual teacher interruptions, the ensuing drama can at best be episodic, but this is to be one of its strengths, not a shortcoming to be regretted. Heathcote's aim is to construct a series of 'episodes', not a 'through-line' of the Naturalistic dramatist but the episodic presentation of Epic Theatre. Whereas Stanislavski appeared to aim at a seamless flow of events, Brecht writes as follows:

...the individual episodes have to be knotted together in such a way that the knots are easily noticed. The episodes must not succeed one another indistinguishably but must give us a chance to interpose our judgement.

Although 'Man in a Mess' is a convenient label for Heathcote's kind of work, it is misleading to some extent, and we shall see, in the next chapter that she drops this 'traumatic' aspect of her methodology during the late 1970s & 80s. It is true that Heathcote's conception caused the work to veer towards those very dark themes prominent in any drama repertoire, but she often demonstrated that drama did not have to imply large-scale tragedy. Indeed, part of her purpose, as we have seen, was to 'make the ordinary extraordinary', as Schlovski expressed it, 'to

...showed interest in parallels being drawn in "From the Particular to the Universal" in Exploring Theatre and Education by Ken Robinson (Ed) Heinemann London (1980) in which she takes a poem by Brecht and, line by line, 'translates' its meaning into a teacher in the classroom orientation, not, it should be said, to everyone's satisfaction. As Nicholas Wright, writing in the same publication puts it: "Heathcote's reading of the poem represents another point of view". (P. 101).

60Another popular term used to describe Heathcote's work was 'gut-level drama'. It appeared in the title of an article by B.J. Wagner [Wagner. B.J. (March.1974) 'Evoking Gut-Level Drama' in Learning: the Magazine for Creative Teaching. In a private letter B.J. Wagner explained to me that she was persuaded by the journal editors against her better judgement to use this phrase in the title. However, the term seemed to 'catch-on'. This was perhaps unfortunate, for Heathcote sought to balance emotional engagement with 'a cool strip' of reflection.
defamiliarise\(^{61}\) However, I believe the parallel with Brecht cannot entirely be sustained, for whereas Heathcote's purpose was to invite the participants to 'see afresh', Brecht's purpose in defamiliarising was unremittingly social criticism. Heathcote, I believe, wanted her pupils continually to make judgements but not necessarily political ones\(^{62}\)

As we turn now to examine Dorothy Heathcote's methodology, let us remind ourselves that much of the charm and whimsicality of Slade's story-building, the sheer delight of dancing freely to a gramophone record, the fun and speed of response to E.J. Burton's 'Abandon Ship!', the security of miming Courtney's cup of tea, the exuberance and wit of comic improvisations, and melting like snow in Brian Way's sunshine gradually disappeared from the repertoire of teachers coming under her influence.

C.1.a. 'Living Through Drama - Teacher-in-Role

That Heathcote nearly always plays a role herself to start, sustain and/or further the drama, has excited attention from both admirers and critics.

In the early days of her career, the idea that the teacher should 'join in' was mystifying to most teachers\(^{63}\). To some such a ploy has remained

---

\(^{61}\) Shklovski, Victor. "Art as Technique" in Russian Formalist Criticism: Four Essays trans. by Lemon, L.T. & Reis, M.J. (1965) Pp 3-57. Heathcote herself quotes from Shlovski [Heathcote, D. "Material for Significance" in Dorothy Heathcote: Collected Writings on Education (1984) op cit P. 127] when she says: We very readily cease to 'see' the world we live in and become anaesthetized to its distinctive features. The art permits us 'to reverse that process and to creatively deform the usual, the normal, and so to inculcate a new, childlike, un-jaded vision in us'.

\(^{62}\) It is possible that Chris Lawrence [See his review for National Drama Volume 4 No.2 (1996) of Drama for Learning by Heathcote, D. & Bolton G. (1995) Heinemann NJ] would not agree with this point, for he seems to be arguing that Brecht and Heathcote share reconstitution of society as an all-embracing moral purpose behind their work.

\(^{63}\) John Fines and Ray Verrier, two most distinguished practitioners in the teaching of History and Drama, were so stimulated by Dorothy Heathcote's example, that they became a team to try out her methods with the teaching of History - and seemed to take 'teacher-in-role' in their stride, as though they had known no other method. Their very readable book explains it all! [The Drama of History: an experiment in co-operative teaching (1974) New University
indulgent and idiosyncratic. For others, it is the principal strategy in classroom drama. As we proceed with this analysis of her work, we shall see that 'teacher-in-role' falls into place as the defining characteristic of 'Living through' drama.

C.1.a.i. 'Teacher in Role' - 'now time' and 'vulnerable space': the 'present' and the 'presence'.

It is the aspect, 'now time', that is probably related most obviously to 'teacher-in-role'. Heathcote writes:

I am constantly amazed by the miracle of how thinking about a dramatic idea can in an instant become that of carrying it into action. There is a world of difference between someone in class saying, 'Well, they would take all their belongings with them' and saying, 'Let's pack up and leave'.

A teacher saying 'Let's pack up and leave' is there, inside the make-believe. A fictitious world has been entered and the class are invited to join her. 'They would take all their belongings with them' is hypothetical, impersonal, and safe in a well-tried 'teachers having a discussion' context. 'Let's pack up and leave' is 'now-time', personal, and unsafe - and the surrounding space threatens. Any gesture, remark or step, not

---

64Malcolm Ross was probably the most vehement opponent of 'teacher-in-role'. Although he advocated the forming of a "...special relationship - that allows teacher and pupil to play together" [Ross, Malcolm (1978) The Creative Arts Heinemann London, P. 24], he saw 'teacher-in-role' as of a more manipulative order than a playful sharing: "Every such manipulative act takes away something of the child's freedom and responsibility for his own actions: too many drama teachers I feel are ready to jump into role to heighten the tension, thereby running the same risk as the art teacher who takes his brush to the child's painting." [Ross, M. "Postscript to Gavin Bolton" in The Development of Aesthetic Experience by Malcolm Ross (Ed) (1982) Pergamon Press P. 149]. See also Hornbrook, D. (1989) op cit P. 15

only occurs in fictitious time; it is made visible in fictitious space - to be accounted for.

Let us for a moment compare with the security of space in Slade's and Way's 'Find a space on your own' Drama. Dressed in PE kit, spread evenly throughout the school hall, each child could hide safely in his 'cell' of exercise space. In Heathcote's class, the security during the pre-discussion of a whole class huddled together (probably sitting on the floor en masse) suddenly evaporates with 'Let's pack up...' or even with just 'Let's............', for not only is it present tense, it is inclusive. The cluster of pupil bodies on the hall floor and the teacher are now together in a fictitious space - which can no longer be relied on to behave as school space should! An uneasy hiatus, astride two 'worlds', demands the pupils' collective, tacit agreement to enter the fiction. And any slight remark, gesture or even silence may be read by the teacher as belonging to that world. The pupils are caught in a new reality which can only persist if they are prepared to take a risk and join the teacher.

This 'teacher-in-role' strategy clearly invests the teacher with considerable power. When she starts her role, she is, at it were, 'holding all the cards'. One is reminded of Grotowski's retrospective thoughts on the time when his actors sought 'audience participation' from unprepared spectators. He wrote:

66 Years ago we tried to secure a direct participation of spectators. We wanted to have it at any price... We compelled spectators to 'perform' with us... We reached a point where we rejected these kinds of proceedings, since it was clear that were exerting pressure, tyranny of sorts. After all, we were putting the

66 Grotowski, Jerzy (March 1973) "Holiday" in The Drama Review No. 17, P. 129
people who came to us in a false position, it was disloyal of us: we were prepared for this sort of encounter, while they were not.

Clearly, if the pupils are anticipating the 'teacher-in-role game', it becomes a different order of experience, but if seen as unexpected behaviour of a teacher, it increases the teacher's power potential. As we proceed with this study we shall come across some of the many ways Heathcote used to qualify the impact of teacher's role, while retaining something of its 'punch'. The comparison with Grotowski cannot be sustained, of course, when it is realised that Heathcote selected her cards according to her reading of the needs of the class and with a view to giving the pupils the confidence to play their own cards.

Growtowski's actors compelled the spectators to join in the actors' play.

C.1.a.ii 'Teacher-in-Role' - Interpretation

In using 'teacher-in-role' the teacher is providing a 'script' or 'text' to be 'read' by the pupils. This is, of course, a script in the making.

---

67 In the sound-taped interview between Gavin Bolton and Dorothy Heathcote (24.2.96), Heathcote emphasised the interpretative function of the teacher-in-role and the need for children to interpret before they can relate. [See Tape 1 Side B No. 600 & Tape 2 Side A No. 075]. Speaking of the pupils responsibility for their own learning she advises: "Interpret it first and only then can you relate with it...if it's going to change the quality of your existence."

68 It is noted that recent writers on child make-believe play are adopting the term 'script' to describe a canonical repertoire of actions and words a child invents in relation to familiar events. See, for instance, "Playing with Scripts" by Katherine Nelson & Susan Seidman (1984) in Symbolic Play by Inge Bretherton (Ed) Academic Press Orlando & London.

69 Cecily O'Neill, in her doctoral thesis (1991) writes: The word 'text', before it referred to a written or spoken, printed or manuscript text, meant a 'weaving together'. In this sense it is clear that there can be no performance without 'text', but while there is always a text there may not always be a script. To conceive of the text, whether written, improvised, or transcribed as the weave for the event is useful...we can perceive of the text as a kind of design for action, a kind of net or web, woven tightly or loosely, and organising the materials of which it is made." P. 140. Of the two words I have used here, it is probably 'text' that is more generally useful, although in this instance I am using 'script' to convey a parallel with a traditionally accepted view of giving pupils a written script to which they are expected to respond.

never written down. When, for example, in a lesson with 5 year olds (subsequently given the title of 'Making Magic'\textsuperscript{72}) Heathcote takes on a series of roles of different women who open their doors to a group of children who are enquiring about an abandoned baby, she is demanding of those children that they 'read' each context and respond accordingly. To their horror, the sixth\textsuperscript{73} 'woman' grabs the 'baby', saying "No, I haven't lost a baby, but I've always wanted one!" Suddenly their role of smug achievers is capsized and they temporarily flounder in astonishment and guilt, eventually, drawing on a degree of collective persistence they did not know they had, winning the baby back again. This new 'page of the script' in 'Making Magic' required a high degree of adaptation from the 'readers', and, correspondingly, the teacher is 'reading' the 'script' of the pupils, which is being 'written' at two levels. She is following and adapting to their input within the fiction and their input as themselves, for as they 'write' their responses to the new crisis in the drama, they are also variously displaying signs of over-excitement, loss of attention, competition for attention, thoughtfulness, more courage, less courage, more inventiveness and fidgeting. The teacher in turn attempts to pitch her response to this mixture of signals, trying to find just the measure that will accommodate the whole class\textsuperscript{74}. Taking

\textsuperscript{71}Peter Slade also provided a 'script', but his was a story-building shared with the children before they got on their feet in action. It nevertheless had to be 'read' and later 'interpreted' by his pupils.  
\textsuperscript{72}'Making Magic' was made into a video tape in 1971. It is housed in Newcastle University library.  
\textsuperscript{73}Previous roles included 'a deaf lady', 'an obsessive polisher', 'a sly lady, suggesting hidden motives', 'a lady who put the baby in a kennel', a lady who tried to feed the baby with a bacon sandwich'.  
\textsuperscript{74}Teachers constantly find themselves in this position of attempting to contain or embrace a large group, but it is not surprising that many drama teachers feel it too crude a ploy for artistic work. Malcolm Ross puts the point forcibly: "large group work (the normal school drama set-up) seems to me to be virtually impossible in most school situations - except at the most superficial and so educationally pointless level." [Ross, M. (1982) ibid P. 149] In this instance, however, it should be noted that Dorothy Heathcote, having 'shocked' the class knows how to 'cool' it. An aspect of her work which Ross seems to miss when he urges that drama lessons are too "extravagent and excitable, often on account of some commitment by
up the accusation from one child that she was 'a witch', she briefly came out of role (as a way of giving them all metaphorical 'space' in which to regain control as 'children doing drama', so that, in turn, they might gain control as 'victims of a witch') ostensibly because she needed their advice on how she should 'play' a witch. She then resumed that role (that is, the role endowed by the children) presenting a 'witch script' to be 'read' by a newly energised class.

The teacher-in-role's function is that of a dramatist, a dramatist who not only is supplying the words but also the accompanying non-verbal signals, so that the 'reading' required of the pupils is multi-dimensional. And as for any script, it is loaded with 'givens'. As 'dramatist' the teacher is dictating at both structural and thematic levels. Additionally as the teacher in charge she is dictating at the levels of organisation and learning opportunity. Peter Slade, of course, also held control of each of these dimensions, building theme and value system into his made-up stories and controlling behaviour and structure through his narration. From Heathcote's point of view these controlling factors served her overriding conception of drama as active interpretation.

We have said above that entering a fiction through drama is an act of subordinating action to meaning. Early in the 'Making Magic' lesson the drama teacher to giving the children a good (i.e. a 'hot') time in every single lesson. " (ibid P. 149). There may be some justice in Ross' comment here. It may be that lessons he has observed simply represented a misreading of Heathcote's approach, for it was never her intention to wind children up into a state of excitement. On the other hand, Ross may have suffered from having observed only examples of bad teaching. It is a curious coincidence that his colleague Robert Witkin in his illuminative theoretical text [Witkin, Robert W. (1974) The Intelligence of Feeling Heinemann London, P. 81] includes but one detailed description of a drama lesson with a view to demonstrating drama education's excesses - and this, too, appears to be a singularly inadequate example of teaching. Both men seem inclined to make a law out of 'bad cases'. Nevertheless their criticisms were reflecting some apprehension about the emotional and interpretive elements of Dorothy Heathcote's method.

75 A constituent skill of teacher-in-role is the ability to know when and how to come out of it.
Heathcote is told by a child that 'there are some children who might be able to help'. The rest of the class, passive receivers of this conversation between teacher and a fellow pupil are suddenly transformed by 'theatre', for, simply sitting there, bunched together on the school hall floor, they are suddenly the centre of the 'fiction' as Heathcote and the child slowly walk round them, Heathcote, politely 'not staring' and muttering in a stage whisper, "Are these the children?". "Yes", confirms the child in hushed tones. "Do you think they'll be able to help...?" continues Heathcote as they complete their slow perambulation. It is worth considering the nature of that transformation.

From passive onlookers they, at one stroke, are now framed as 'meaningful': they are now the children, the ones who 'can help'. They are now people with a past within the fiction and certainly with a future, for a sense of responsibility hangs over them, and their new 'presence' and the new 'present' only exist in so far as their 'meaningfulness' can be sustained. The fictitious state is meaning-orientated and as such is open to interpretation by teacher and pupils alike. "Are these the children?" has transformed being children to being as children, laying open for examination what it is to be labelled 'helpful', what it is to be 'trapped by a wicked baby-snatcher' and what it is to 'triumph over her' - before going off to the classroom. The 'meaningfulness' of the event does not go away. Next day, next week or even next year a teacher may tap their interpretations of what went on when they were those 'helpful children' - and new connections may be drawn. Dorothy Heathcote expresses it:

"When a child has done something, the thing you want them to walk out of a lesson with is not 'I had a great time'...that's nice as well...but that 'the child I really am has changed a bit; I've come out with a different understanding of something I hadn't quite
There have been two uses of 'transformation' here. Firstly, I have used it to describe the 'fiction' frame's replacement of the 'pupils in school-hall' frame. More accurately, I should say the potential replacement of the original frame, for some children may not allow themselves to be 'caught' by the new frame. Thus one may only claim that the fiction creates a capacity for transformation. Secondly, Heathcote is using the term to describe a further change. It is not enough to have the opportunity for seeing 'as': there is a further potential for personal transformation as a result of insightful development. Such insight is not likely to be born of some Pauline conversion during the drama, but an accumulation of connection-making, resulting in what Mike Fleming calls "transformed ways of understanding."77

Just as a playwright's script may never become 'owned' by actors either on stage or in the classroom with lack of commitment, imagination or understanding of the text, so the interpretation of Heathcote's 'script' may remain with Heathcote, as indeed in Slade's classes, the methodology risked that his made-up narratives remained stubbornly his. In watching the video of 'Making of Magic' one can glimpse one or two pupils who appear to be unabsorbed, while others are tumbling over themselves in their eagerness to give advice to the 'teacher-in-role'. One

76 This quotation is from a sound-tape (Tape 1 Side A No. 418) of an interview by me of Dorothy Heathcote on 26th February. 1996. The tape is housed in Durham University Drama Archives.

77 Fleming, Michael (1982) op cit. P. 152. Theorists of the time (including myself) who tried to explain Dorothy Heathcote's methodology relied heavily on Learning as a central concept. Such phrases as 'Drama as a learning medium' or 'Learning through Drama' became popularised. Fleming has shown how such terminology with its propositional overtones is less than useful. Hence his inclination to favour 'understanding' as an accumulative development beginning with "the moment of imaginative commitment to the drama" (P. 241) and ultimately changing one's way of behaving.
should not, of course, be too ready to make judgements either about those children who may well be taking things in while appearing to be lukewarm or about those who seem to be doing a lot of talking but are really being glib. It could well be that the (apparent) non-participants are interpreting at the deepest level. The point to emphasise here is that the teacher's initial interpretation controls the pupils'. In Heathcote's own words, a teacher is "using the art form in order to help them take a look at this." and the 'this' is the 'script' predecided or thought up on the spur of the moment by the teacher. Even when the teacher appears to be letting the children choose the topic, her way in to that selected topic represents an initial interpretation by the teacher to be 'read' by the pupils. Not until the children make their own interpretation can the Heathcote method be said to be working, for her aim is to pass over the dramatist's responsibility to the pupils, an aim that Heathcote is prepared to wait a long time for - two, three or four lessons on, depending, not on age, but on their maturity in drama-making. Notice that it is the dramatist's role that she wants them to take over which implies a certain detachment from what is going on, a point we shall be returning to in the section on 'acting behaviour'. She herself puts it "In many ways I don't think it's very valuable to be 'caught up by the muse'...But I want them to be in charge, not taken up too much.". Such a statement, made in 1996,
however, seems not entirely compatible with a view firmly held by others of Heathcote's early drama that she was indeed intent on working towards 'moments of awe'. B.J. Wagner\textsuperscript{83}, for example, writing in 1979 waxes eloquent: "A spell has to be cast; rituals must be followed; conditions have to be right; the universal inherent in this moment must be realised, and she's witchlike in her control leading to this effect."

One of the principles of art-making Heathcote understood from the beginning was that you cannot give children power, blithely saying 'Over to you to do what you like with', but Wagner here makes it seem that Heathcote retained the power, literally a 'spell-binding' one. Certainly she generated excitement and at times her 'performance' in role seemed awesome, but its effect often beguiled the onlooker who, caught up in that excitement, missed the double signal from Heathcote, saying 'Take over when you are ready'. That moment of taking over from the teacher-dramatist can only occur when the pupils are ready to interpret committedly, imaginatively and rationally in the light of what has gone on before.

Rationality looms large in Heathcote's work. Her classes are always being invited to 'look for implications', 'check the motivation', 'assess the consequences', 'make decisions', but this is the rationality that springs from a 'feeling context' spontaneously created, drawing on 'common knowledge'\textsuperscript{84} or, as Jerome Bruner puts it, 'Folk Psychology'\textsuperscript{85}.

\textsuperscript{83}Wagner, B.J. (1979) \textit{op cit} Pp 14-15

\textsuperscript{84}Mike Fleming draws attention to the usefulness of seeing the knowledge base of drama, not in terms of a 'discipline', but rather as 'common understanding' an expression derived from R.K.Elliott. Fleming writes: "What he (Elliott) has in mind seems exactly the sort of understanding of human situations which the teacher of drama is likely to promote." [Fleming M. (1982) \textit{ibid} P. 161]

\textsuperscript{85}Bruner, Jerome (1990) \textit{Acts of Meaning} Harvard Uni Press Cambridge, Mass
Heathcote appears to be handling the everyday coinage of schooling in her regular use of terms such as 'learning', 'meaning' and 'knowledge', but these concepts in Heathcote's hands are not propositionally based, even though, written down, they could be mistakenly read that way. Let us consider Heathcote's summary, spoken towards the end of the Video Tape called 'Making History' in which she worked with top juniors in Tom Stabler's School. She is near the end of a two-week project on Saxon history and comments:

I think the children realised the sharing of the amber was sharing of trust and the acceptance of the stranger into the household. The monks I think recognised something of the responsibility of the book as well as the glory of the book [the whole project had been based on the making of a Saxon book which, in their drama, suffered many adventures through history. The 'book', an elaborate artefact based on authentic sources, was made by the pupils themselves before the drama with Heathcote began], and all the class realised: 'How strange that you cannot choose what you do; that you are expected to do what tradition says'.

Such comments on 'the meaning' of the experience or on what the pupils have 'learnt' from the experience, or on what now counts as 'knowledge' are not based on logic but on interpretation. Heathcote's statements are more emblematic than propositional; they are resonant, context-bound and value-laden. Above all they are interpretative, saying no more than that if the drama experience has had significance for all or any of

---

86I now believe my attempt in Towards a Theory of Drama in Education to couch learning through drama in propositional terms was misplaced. [Bolton, G. (1979) op cit P. 60]
87Tom Stabler [Stabler, T. (1978) Drama in Primary Schools: Schools Council Drama 5-11 Project Macmillan London] headmaster of Ward Jackson School, Hartlepool, an ex-student of Dorothy Heathcote's, was responsible for the Schools Council Primary School project which ran concurrently with the Secondary investigation.
88Video tape (1971) 'Making History' Part Three 1.57.00. (housed at the University of Newcastle)
89Using a term adopted by Bruner (1990) op cit P. 60
90See Fleming, M. (1982) for a thorough discussion of the place of concepts such as 'learning', 'meaning' and 'knowledge' in drama education.
the children that significance might be described as 'such and such', just as one might pick out the possible themes of a play. It is unlikely that in the above summary Heathcote was far short of the mark in her assessment, for if that were to be the case, if indeed she had got it wrong, then one might wonder what it was that sustained the pupils' attention, energy and inventiveness for so many hours, day after day. Of course one could look for 'evidence' of learning in the nature of various pupils' contribution to the drama itself, to comments afterwards, to connections made when in subsequent lessons with their teacher, to an attitude to life fed by an insight into the nature of history. But such 'evidence' may be as elusive as the change in understanding occurring in an audience as a result of a play performance.91

C.1.a.iii 'Teacher-in-role' - A Cultural Perspective

That Heathcote almost always worked with the whole class together in the initial stages of a new piece of work was more than an organisational preference. Her whole approach is based on a communal perspective, so that the pupils take on their roles primarily as 'we', the people of 'this culture', and not as 'I', an interesting individual interacting with other individualistic characters. It is the collective motivations of the group rather than idiosyncratic psychological desires that give the drama its dynamic. 'Man' in a Mess is rarely about a particular personality; it is about the problem 'we' have to face.

91 David Hornbrook is right to assert that "the major theorists of drama-in-education have themselves paid very little attention to how achievement in drama might be measured." [Hornbrook D. (1989) op cit P. 23].

92 It is to be regretted that David Hornbrook cannot see this key characteristic of Heathcote's work. He complains [Hornbrook, D. (1989) op cit P. 126] that groups are seen "as simply an aggregation of individuals relating to each other".
To say that Heathcote was influenced by authoritative writing in other fields is to overstate her position. She may at times have adopted 'fashionable' terminology from other fields but in a somewhat superficial way, managing to preserve (the mark, perhaps, of a 'true pioneer') a unique degree of insulation from external input, but there was one writer\textsuperscript{93}, the anthropologist Edward T. Hall\textsuperscript{94} of Chicago, whom she had the pleasure of meeting and who helped her to find a language for what she had intuitively understood. Hall had become interested in how the deepest values of a society or cultural group are those acknowledged only when threatened. He called these a society's 'formal laws', unspoken rules governing people's lives at a deep level, and absolutely non-negotiable\textsuperscript{95}.

In Drama the tacit rule is often made explicit. When Dorothy Heathcote, through her choice of role, endows a group with their roles, she operates on the assumption (and wishes them to do likewise) that they are controlled by unchangeable laws. Indeed these laws are what gives the group its identity. Thus, in the classic example of the BBC's 'Three Looms Waiting'\textsuperscript{96}, when she was working with the young adolescents from Axwell Park Community Home, the non-negotiable element at the start of the drama was that they were British soldiers submitting to a German officer. 'Throw your guns through that window' from Heathcote was not a matter of choice; the action symbolised their submission, without which the drama could not progress or even start. Only when

\textsuperscript{93}Another example of a writer to whom Heathcote turns for confirmation of her work is Doris Lessing, for example Lessing's \textit{Substance of We Feeling}.

\textsuperscript{94}Hall, Edward T. (1959) \textit{The Silent Language} Doubleday Chicago

\textsuperscript{95}Hall gives an instance of the non-negotiable and formal in respect of a visit to an Arab country earlier this century by a group of American Scientists who advised a village suffering from typhoid that one of their water holes needed cleaning up and a pump installed. Their advice was ignored because that water was sacred, a source of virility.

\textsuperscript{96}Made by the BBC in 1972
the pupils accept this 'rule' can they know who they are. When, in the
'Making of History' the 'Saxon villagers' hear that there might be surplus
corn at the Monastery, the pupils' hasty 'Let's go to the Monastery' is
thwarted temporarily by Heathcote who recognises that the pupils
designated as 'monks' cannot be so unless they have some inherent
'value' to cling to, some 'law' that will give them an identity and a way of
proceeding. When the 'Saxons' eventually do arrive at the Monastery, it
is as a meeting of two cultures: guardians of a precious book with total
faith in God's providence meeting villeins who want food, not words in
their bellies. The 'Abbott's (a ten year old boy): "You do not understand
why this book is so important. It's part of our life. We need it so much.
It's what our Lord said." conveys something of the embedded values
of a distinct culture. Had Heathcote not intervened and delayed that
meeting, the boys would simply have accommodated to the scene's
confrontational but not its cultural implications. Later in this series of
lessons, 'Vikings' are required and, reluctantly, Heathcote, because of
shortage of time, gives up the idea of preparing the ground for yet
another culture and a pretty empty scene ensues.

C. I. a. iv. Teacher-in-Role - a 'teacher' perspective

Overriding the 'teacher-in-role' function as a 'dramatist' or as a
'character' is the teacher as inspirer, carer, challenger, protector of
children - and of the individual child. No drama can be promoted if the
teacher is not sensitive to both the collective mood and the individual's

97 Video Tape (1971) 'Making History' Part One No. 0.30.26
98 Heathcote comments in the subsequent interview: "This isn't good drama at all. For the
moment they were just simply the means by which we could bring about the destruction of the
monastery. But lots of people mistake what those Vikings were doing for real drama. That's
just empty 'doing things' with no real motivation at all. And it isn't any good the teacher
having the motivation: the kids have to have it - and this is where it takes time." [Video Tape
(1971) No. 1.19.38]
needs. A unique feature of 'teacher-in-role' is that its functioning potentially and simultaneously embraces the requirements of both the art and the artists. When Heathcote shouts: "Throw your rifles through the window, now!", she is not merely symbolising the authority of the German Officer over the British captives, she is insisting on submission by the pupils to the teacher's authority, (a state of affairs she knows will not last long). When in 'Making History', 'as if' in a state of frustration and resignation she says: "I've had enough...it's up to the rest of you now", she is literally as well as figuratively urging townsfolk/pupils to take more responsibility. These are obvious, perhaps crude examples to do with who should have the power, but almost every requirement of the 'play' and need of the 'players' can be fed through 'teacher-in-role'. In 'Making History', when the two 'cultures' meet, her choice of language in role is a deliberate attempt to bestride both cultures: "A book doesn't fill empty bellies", she yells at the 'Abbot', thus providing the 'fellow villagers' with a model of style and a sense of 'oneness' but, more importantly, feeding the pupils' imaginations with pictures from both cultures within one pithy rebuke. In 'Making Magic', she can in her role say in awed tones to the 'King and Queen', "Do you know where the 'Robbers' Castle' is...? (and, apprehensively)......can you take us there...?" A teacher setting up drama and not using 'teacher-in-role' would find herself saying to the five year old boy and girl playing the 'king' and 'queen', "Now, can you lead the rest of the children round the hall as though you're going to the 'Robbers' Castle'?" The 'as though' is a teacher planning a fiction. With ".....and can you take us there?" we are in the fiction 99.

99For a thorough discussion of the wide variety of 'registers' Heathcote employs both in and out of role see Wagner, B.J. (1976 in USA, 1979 in UK) op cit Pp38-47.
Perhaps, more significantly, 'Teacher-in-role' can raise the potential level of the content. The teacher's dialogue always seeks to take the pupils beyond their current level of discourse\textsuperscript{100}, whether it is to challenge the reasonableness of the pupils' (characters') contribution or to bring a formality to the proceedings or to give a particular child a status the class were failing to provide or to broaden or narrow the choices or to give a glimpse of a level of generality or universality presently out of reach of the children. What is said by the teacher coming out of role, a comment perhaps casually 'dropped', while appearing to get on with something else, may supplement any of the 'teacher-in-role' ploys. For instance, when in 'Making History', the 'villagers' appeal to their pagan gods, they are challenged by the furious 'monks' - "a new religion talking to the old one" is the passing gloss offered by Heathcote\textsuperscript{101}. She does this, not with any expectation that they are even listening, let alone understanding. Nevertheless she holds a conviction that contextualised language however obscure or unfamiliar may be accessible when pupils and teachers share that context as fellow artists and not as 'teacher teaching pupils'.

Critics of Heathcote's teaching would not be prepared to accept that Heathcote treated her pupils as artists, or indeed that her work had anything to do with the aesthetic\textsuperscript{102}. Malcolm Ross, for example would

\textsuperscript{100}For Heathcote this is an obvious link with Vygotski's 'zone of proximal development'. [Vygotski. Lev (1938) op cit]

\textsuperscript{101}Video Tape 'Making History' (1971) No 0.59.10

\textsuperscript{102}Malcolm Ross and Peter Abbs created a strong anti-Heathcote camp. I have already (See Page 301 above) quoted Ross' resistance to 'Teacher-in-role'. Abbs (See Abbs. Peter (1992) "Abbs Replies to Bolton" in Drama Vol 1 No 1 Summer) writes of the above kind of work as "subvert{ing} the place of drama as an arts discipline". Abbs does not disapprove of the method as legitimate pedagogy. but he feels it does a disservice to Drama itself. Likewise Malcolm Ross forcibly expresses his concern about what he sees as an abuse of Drama. He is in particular, in this quotation, complaining about the position I have adopted [Ross. M. (1982) op cit P. 148]: "The issue revolves about the centrality, in drama in education, of the
see the aesthetic in drama education as of "over-riding obligation"\textsuperscript{103} and argues that Heathcote's concern to teach about content diminished the art of Drama. His arguments are to a large extent countered by Mike Fleming\textsuperscript{104} who suggests that the aesthetic should not be seen in drama education as having primacy over understanding something, or the other way around, but rather that 'aesthetic meaning' represents an integration of many factors including artistic and pedagogical elements: "The increase in understanding which gives content to the teacher's teaching remains part of the aesthetic dimension."\textsuperscript{105} 'Content' cannot be detached from 'form', nor 'form' from 'content'.

Cecily O'Neill was perhaps the first to identify the dimensions of theatre form within improvised teacher-led class playmaking and to articulate a theory of form for drama in education exponents. In her "Drama and the Web of Form"\textsuperscript{106}, she identifies 'time' as the key dimension of dramatic art, for both scripted or improvised 'texts'. In her doctoral thesis\textsuperscript{107}, she extends her argument to include continuity, repetition, variation and contrast as part of "the broader principle of rhythm" to be found in all art and theatre in particular. It is out of this theory of art that she related ideas of drama as expression and art-form. Gavin proposing a much wider range of functions for drama in education than I would wish to endorse. Indeed he seems ready to settle for any learning, any educational outcome that genuinely benefits the pupil - rather than the concentration upon. and (in his estimation) likely failure of purely aesthetic outcomes...I would wish to see an exclusive commitment to the aesthetic...if drama has, traditionally been unable or unwilling to admit this over-riding obligation, then that might be one reason behind the persistent problems of drama education."

\textsuperscript{103}See quotation in last sentence of Note 101 above.
\textsuperscript{105}Fleming, M. (1982) ibid P. 241
\textsuperscript{106}O'Neill, Cecily "Drama and the Web of Form" (1978) unpublished MA Dissertation, University of Durham
developed her conception of 'Process Drama', which we shall be looking at further in the next chapter.

C.2. Heathcote's traditional strategies

C.2.a. Heathcote's 'traditional' strategies - Ritual, Movement and Depiction

There were times in the classroom during the first half of her career when Dorothy Heathcote looked like any other drama teacher. So much attention is given by writers to her innovatory ploys, especially 'teacher-in-role', that those occasions in her teaching when she stays outside the pupils' drama are sometimes overlooked by writers. I have put 'traditional' in commas to suggest some deception in the use of the term, for all the strategies Heathcote employed in that period need to be seen in the context of her own overall conception about drama education which was startlingly inventive, with the result that the most ordinary teaching strategy could become transformed both by her overall intentions and her particular usage.

In the use of ritual, movement and depiction, however, Heathcote appeared to inherit the practice and philosophy of Emile Jacques-Dalcroze and Rudolf Laban. The latter trained her at Bradford Theatre School, giving her a strong sense of the quality of movement in space and awakening her understanding of the basic elements of theatre. The poem, Stillness and Stir, written by Laban during his early years in Britain, but not published until 1984, captures the very basis of

---

Heathcote's practice\textsuperscript{110}. From Jacques-Dalcroze she seems to have acquired a sensitivity to the relationship in visual presentation between the 'specific' (to use Jacques-Dalcroze's term\textsuperscript{111}) and the abstract. Both Jacques-Dalcroze and Heathcote were excited by the significance (or significance\textsuperscript{112}, as Heathcote puts it) of an object in theatrical space. Heathcote may be at her most imaginative when she is selecting the visual image, making decisions about: - two or three dimensional?, size? kind of material?, positioning?, authenticity?, accuracy?, resonance?, how framed (literally)?, how framed (metaphorically)? movable? accessibility to spectators?, can they touch it? walk round it? who are the spectators?, when to appear?, combined with which other objects or people? how many clues? are the clues to be obvious or subtle? what kind of gestures, if any, will match the object's degree of particularity/abstraction? will 'sound' or the 'human voice' enhance the presentation? will the written word enhance? etc. etc. Dorothy Heathcote outside the drama displays her theatrical touch just as surely as when she is playing a role within it. Although it is the latter she knows has the greater potential for subtlety, ambiguity and complexity, it is from within it that she feels most at home in working with children.

In setting up rituals such as processions (evident in both 'Making Magic' and 'Making History') her work resembled that of Slade and Way. She even followed, very occasionally, their tradition\textsuperscript{113} of using background

\textsuperscript{110}Perhaps the educationist outside drama to whom Heathcote felt most close was Seonaid Robertson whose book was an inspiration to her. [Robertson, Seonaid M. (1963) \textit{Rosegarden and Labyrinth: A Study in Art Education} The Gryphon Press Lewes, East Sussex]

\textsuperscript{111}See Page 181 above.

\textsuperscript{112}See, for instance Page 173 of Heathcote & Bolton's (1995) \textit{Drama for Learning}

\textsuperscript{113}In the 1960s the use of 'background music' became the norm for many teachers. For instance, Pemberton-Billing's and Clegg's \textit{Teaching Drama} is filled with lesson examples relying heavily on percussion instruments and records, with a lengthy appendage giving precise record numbers. [Pemberton-Billing R.N. & Clegg J.D. (1965) \textit{Teaching Drama} ULP]. Dorothy Heathcote used records sparingly, sometimes to create atmosphere, sometimes
music. Her theatrical artistry was again revealed in her use of 'depiction', a term she used broadly to cover both still and moving 'pictures' and the use of another person 'in role'. However 'still' in reality, there must be for Heathcote a metaphorical movement to the depiction, always going beyond itself, "a memory activated, or future indicated, or circumstances reflected upon, considered and interrogated". This kind of strategy, which depended so much on clarity of 'signing', was not available to Slade and Way, who would have dismissed it as theatrical. Dorothy Heathcote saw it, as she saw ritual and movement as public expression in space and in arrested time. Laban helped her always to see 'the act of do', so that any action or gesture in drama always carried its dance connotation. She further explains Laban's influence: "...you thought MOVE and could see MOVE in everything to do with drama without you having to be called a dancer or an actor". For Heathcote it was not a break in methodology to move to stimulate, and sometimes, as in 'Making Progress', a series of lessons preparing 'A' level students to take an examination on Pilgrim's Progress, to 'hide behind'. With young or vulnerable adult classes she often favoured choral singing by the whole group, usually using whatever song or hymn the class cared to choose, as they 'journeyed'.

It is interesting that a somewhat reduced version of Heathcote's 'depiction', often referred to as 'tableau', (a term we saw first used by Finlay-Johnson) has now become the most popular form of dramatic activity in our schools towards the end of the century, to a point of being overused. [See Fleming, Michael (1994). Starting Drama Teaching David Fulton London P.93].

In 'The Treatment of Dr. Lister', for example, Heathcote uses an adult in role as Dr. Lister [a penetrating analysis is given of this series of lessons by John Carroll { Carroll, John (1980) The Treatment of Dr. Lister Mitchell College of Advanced Education Bathurst Australia}. It contains the video transcript in Appendix A. [The reference number is VT 1047, University of Newcastle]. A further account is given within Heathcote's "Material for Significance" See: Johnson & O'Neill. (1984) ibid Pp 126-137. Early in the series, the junior age pupils first see 'Lister' within a picture frame, to be viewed minutely but from a distance. Later, they communicate with him, entering 'his' time from 'their' time by the convention of knocking on an imaginary door to enter 'his office'.

This quotation is taken from some notes written by Heathcote (May, 1996) after reading my manuscript.

Heathcote also had considerable respect for Veronica Sherborne [Sherborne, Veronica (1990) Developmental Movement for Children Cambridge UP] a leading figure in the Physical Education field who adapted Laban methodology for the benefit of, particularly, physically challenged children.

Sound Tape (24.2.96) No 160-180
from 'living through' drama to 'depiction' or 'ritual'. She perceived the underlying 'dance' in each of these\textsuperscript{120}, setting her sights on what Emile Jacques-Dalcroze regarded as 'musical gesture'. Writing in 1967 about Improvisation she indicates how her mind works\textsuperscript{121} (and here she is sharing common ground with Peter Slade who might well have written what follows):

Let us take a situation often used by teachers in Primary Schools - the capture of Persephone and the ultimate agreement between the earth mother and Pluto. Persephone is often playing with her friends when the God of the underworld takes her to his kingdom. Here we may have the \textit{sounds} of children's voices at play, their \textit{movements} at play. Suddenly this may be frozen into \textit{silence} and \textit{stillness} as the God emerges with \textit{sounds} unlike those previously heard and the \textit{movements} unlike those previously seen.... The friends may then depart in \textit{movement} totally unlike their first play and the place be left in complete \textit{emptiness} and \textit{silence} or there may be the \textit{sound} of Persephone's weeping. Likewise all these situations will be richer if \textit{light changes} occur as well, and \textit{musical sounds} may be used effectively too.

The three basic elements in theatre of sound/silence; light/darkness and movement/stillness\textsuperscript{122} combined with the elements of Laban's efforts, space, energy, pace and level, are always there in her perception of classroom drama. In depiction, these elements are overlaid by, as we have seen above, the further consideration of the abstractness or specificity of an object in space. Jacques-Dalcroze was faced with the inappropriateness of a dancer picking up real or artificial flowers from

\textsuperscript{120}It is worth noting that Heathcote's use of language, often poetic or archaic, at moments of true creativity attempts to capture the \textit{abstract}, as she comments, or asks a question.

\textsuperscript{121}Heathcote, D. (1967) "Improvisation" op cit P. 47 of \textit{Dorothy Heathcote}

\textsuperscript{122}It is these same elements that Heathcote turns to as late as 1995 [Heathcote & Bolton (1995) op cit P. 195 when she is pressed to describe what basically theatre means to her.}
the stage\textsuperscript{123}, for to him the specificity of the flowers aesthetically diminished and offended the abstractness of the dancer's space. In turn Heathcote was sensitive to a comparable dilemma of, say, an interaction between two such incompatible planes of experience as pupils relating to an effigy. Although Heathcote draws on Goffman, particularly his \textit{Frame Analysis}\textsuperscript{124}, to explain her notion of 'framing', I believe it is primarily her intuitive aesthetic sense rather than her social perception that guided her to recognise appropriateness. As modern-day trainee doctors about to sit an examination, the junior school children can legitimately scrutinise the 'portrait' [another teacher posing 'in role'] of Dr. Lister and then, later, 'step into' his period and his place by the convention of a 'knock on the door'. What the pupils cannot do is talk, as pupils, directly to Lister. Here we have another example of the 'non-negotiable' - the trainee doctors only exist in so far as they are serious thinkers about discoveries in medicine. It is that highly selective, 'classic'\textsuperscript{125}, strand alone that can carry them over the threshold of time and place. Such a device achieves the \textit{aesthetic} coherence of Jacques-Dalcroze's dancer who on stage captures the \textit{essence} of gathering flowers.

A similar example from her work is when Heathcote set a class of pupils working on the text of Shelley's poem 'Ozymandias'. Here is part of her description of the setting up of a depiction\textsuperscript{126}. Note the selectivity, economy and symbolism of the images:

\begin{quote}
...nine Ozymandiases quietly manifested themselves around them [the pupils]. No one
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{123}See Page 181 above.
\textsuperscript{124}Goffman, Erving (1974) \textit{Frame Analysis} Penguin Books Middlesex
\textsuperscript{125}'Classic' is Heathcote's choice of word, meaning "highly selective as to position, language, tone and pace of communication." [Heathcote, D. (1984) "Drama as Context for Talking and Writing" ibid P.145 (footnote)]
\textsuperscript{126}Johnson & O'Neill (1984) op cit Pp142-3
moved, but the teachers [the nine effigies]...had placed upon their chins rope beards formally arranged and highly stylised. Each beard had woven into it a number of black beads, perfectly round, ranging from one to nine, and before the feet of each one there was a piece of writing (later to be known as the tablets of stone)...

The tablets of stone were in fact simply paper glued upon thick card. Their borders corresponded with the number of beads upon the beards of the effigies, but instead of beads in the drawings they were knots, to symbolise slavery.

An example of Heathcote's aesthetic sense being less than satisfied is towards the end of the series of lessons on 'Pilgrim's Progress'\textsuperscript{127} when she dresses an adult student of hers (an obvious 'paper' collar in place to avoid deception) as a clergyman to be interviewed in that role by the 'A' level students. There is an absence on this occasion of a 'classic' dimension, an absence of the 'non-negotiable' limitation on the interaction. Neither side, the 'priest' nor the students were sure of what plane of experience they were on and it dwindled into a 'make-believe' discussion.

There was one other traditional drama strategy that Heathcote occasionally adopted. When she saw it as appropriate, she slipped into a 'director's role. In the videoed lessons already referred to, there are examples of her directing both Junior age children (in 'Making History') and older adolescents (in 'Making Progress'). In the former, the episode is improvisational, when she wants the pupils to demonstrate friendship. As one Saxon shares a gift with another, the 'director' coaches: "Sit beside him...sit beside him", she urges, the director's viewpoint clearly

\textsuperscript{127}The video 'Making Progress' is housed in Newcastle University library. On this tape Heathcote expresses her unease about the discussion.
overriding the boys' 'natural' way of doing it. It is the 'public' expression, more than any other with which Dorothy Heathcote is, at least for this particular episode, concerned. In the 'Pilgrim's Progress' video she is trying to get a small group of eighteen year old non-actors to find meaning in a difficult text through spatial relationships, just as Caldwell Cook did with his younger age group and many English or Drama teachers have done since. In respect of 'Directing' Heathcote's tactics would have seemed familiar to many school colleagues. But for her they represented a turning point in her methodology. Dissatisfied with the inadequacy of naturalistic performance as a vehicle for meaning-making, after the 1970's she eschewed this approach and developed a sophisticated range of conventions\(^{128}\) that ensured that pupils in performing to others would rarely (the exception of course would be if she were teaching Theatre Studies students) have to rely on the artifice that belongs to Naturalistic ('it is happening now and for the first time!') theatre. This point will keep recurring, for it becomes a central feature of Heathcote's work and contributes to a new conception of classroom acting, promoting a mode of performance that is both meaningful and manageable.

A third major strategy, for which it is difficult to find a name, could be described as distinctly 'Heathcotian'. It involved elaborately planned, carefully sequenced experiences, usually involving pupils in 'reading' others' presentations, writing and discussing. I do not think Heathcote has a name for it. Let us call it a 'project'.

C.2.b. Example of a 'Project'

\(^{128}\) See, for example her list of such conventions on Page 135 of *Dorothy Heathcote* op cit (1984) by Liz Johnson & Cecily O'Neill [Eds.]
It is difficult to give a reader an idea of the conception and detail of a particular 'Project' without taking up several pages of explanation. I will do my best to summarise without distorting the intentions of the teacher. The lesson plan I am about to describe was actually carried out by Dorothy Heathcote in February, 1995, it being a good example of work involving neither 'teacher-in-role' nor 'mantle-of-the-expert'. We shall also see that this particular example illuminates the very basis of Heathcote's philosophy of education.

Typically of the kind of project she took on, the two-session lessons involved three groups of people: a class of Grade 9 foreign language students from a Comprehensive School where the teaching took place, a group of Foreign Language teaching experts from several countries assembled to hold a three-day seminar on the issue of how to teach foreign language students about a foreign country's culture and a group of 3 adult actors who had been involved in rehearsing the various scripts Heathcote had included in her lesson plans. These three groups were all to be involved in the teaching process, and the whole project 'orchestrated' by Heathcote. She sees the purpose of the work (in keeping with the objectives of the Seminar) as helping the adolescents to 'decentre' from their own culture and she describes the structure of the teaching experience as129:

All the plans are based in the children being engaged in tasks which, I hope, carry the learning in process of being accomplished. The tasks change but the centre of each develops the logic from one step to another. The session with the children will probably appear disjointed but to the children it should feel not only logical but pleasantly varied.

The Lesson Plan

Stage 1: Awakening the adolescents' existing attitudes to other cultures.

129This quotation is taken from unpublished lesson notes entitled: "Rationale for the work with children and adults on Friday 17.2.95"
1. The pupils are introduced to the foreign visitors and are invited, on a large sheet of drawing paper covering several long tables to write down or draw all the images that immediately come into their heads when they think of those nationalities represented in the room.

2. On another roll of paper the 'visitors' then proceed to write or draw their stereotypical pictures of adolescent British.

3. Both groups are given time to gaze at (and be amused by!) each other's sheets.

**Stage Two**: to help the pupils realise that personal values are embedded in what people do and the way in which they do things.

1. Using the blackboard, Heathcote (referred to hereafter as 'the teacher' in this plan) explains the following belief structure expressed by any action:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The act</th>
<th>I do this</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The motive</td>
<td>because</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The level of investment</td>
<td>it matters to me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The models learnt from</td>
<td>I understood this from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belief system</td>
<td>this is how life should be</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   The teacher demonstrates how even a simple act of picking up some litter, can reveal, if the 'doer' is systematically questioned, each of the above five levels of values.

2. The three actors demonstrate with other simple actions e.g. making a telephone call - and the pupils are encouraged formally to put each level of question to the actor who has to answer each time at the appropriate value level.

3. The pupils in small groups are paired off with an equally small group of 'visitors'. The pupils think up actions and their 'visitors' press them with the formal question.

**Stage Three**: Expansion of 'Value hierarchy' to include dimensions of feeling and motive

1. The actors take on characters from Shakespeare's 'Mechanicals' (Midsummer Night's Drama). They in turn adopt an action typical of the character e.g. The 'tailor' sews a button. The pupils now probe the action in terms of the same hierarchy of questions but this time with a 'motive' or 'feeling' bias - and the 'character' answers the questions.

---

130 Geoff Gillham in *Theatre and Education Journal* Issue 1, Sept 1988 and reprinted in 2D Vol 8 No 2 Summer 1989 Pp 31-38 does a political reworking of these levels in an interesting article entitled "What Life is for: An analysis of Dorothy Heathcote's 'Levels' of Explanation". She, in turn, writes a response in the same journal, P. 39.
2. In their pupil/visitor groups the adolescents choose other 'Mechanical' roles for further practice with the 'visitors' once more putting the questions.

Stage 4: Having introduced the notion of an individual's value system, the pupils are now to be introduced, much more thoroughly, for this is central to the teaching objective, to cultural values, based on Hall's Silent Language\textsuperscript{131}.

1. Hall's terminology of 'Formal', 'Informal' and 'Technical' is put on the blackboard and explained to the class.

2. The actors show the meaning of these three terms by enacting a brief scene from a non-western society wherein a male accidentally strays into female territory, the teacher explaining the three levels as the performances take place.

3. The pupils are now given written texts describing in text-book kind of language two contrasted Saxon societies. (They are fictitious societies, but the material used is authentic) One society is a hunting community and the other trades in artefacts. The pupils, in their groups, are invited to put their heads together and to mark on the texts which aspects of the cultural descriptions are formal, informal or technical.

4. The actors now enact a trading scene from one of the Saxon societies. This brief scene is repeated as often as the pupils require and during the playing the pupils are encouraged to shout "Freeze!" and then to classify the particular action they have frozen using Hall's terminology.

5. The pupils are now shown a modern parallel - the actors are now representing a Pakistani buying an anorak in Marks & Spencers, England. This scene, too is analysed into Hall's classification, the pupils becoming, it is hoped, more adept at 'freezing' and more confident that they can handle Hall's concepts.

6. In their pupil/visitor groups the pupils are invited to devise their own 'modern' scenes and to teach the visitors how to recognise the cultural implications.

7. The visitors now explain publicly what they have understood about formal/informal and technical from the pupils' teaching.

Stage Five: The pupils are to apply their new knowledge in a different way.

\textsuperscript{131}Hall, E.T. (1959) op cit
The Saxon texts are now to be analysed in more detail. This is to be in the context of a chieftain's son of one tribe, making a prolonged 'training' visit to the other tribe (a custom of Saxon times). The responsibility for guiding the 'son of the chieftain' is to be the pupils.

1. The pupils re-examine the 'texts' to discover which aspects of the other culture the chieftain's son should learn about first, bearing in mind the degree of embeddedness within the culture of each aspect.

2. The actors begin a scene in which the visiting son is presented with a horse to ride (there are no horses in his tribe!) The pupils are to supply the inner thoughts of the 'characters' i.e. the son's private reaction to seeing the horse. In their groups they are to discuss these 'sub-texts' at each level of Hall's cultural hierarchy.

3. The pupils are then to explain to the 'characters' from the host tribe what is going on in the mind of their guest. Likewise they are to explain to the guest what offering one of their precious horses to ride means to his hosts at each level of 'formal', 'informal' and 'technical'. They then instruct the actors as to how the scene should proceed.

4. The actors now present a modern parallel in which two experienced night-watch dog-handlers hand over the dogs to the newcomer to the team! Again the pupils may 'freeze' the scene at any moment as they recognise (now, it is hoped, with some efficiency) the different levels operating.

Stage Six: time for revealing what they now understand.

1. The 'foreign visitors' are now invited to hand over to the pupils the scripts each has prepared beforehand in which s/he gives a factual description of her/his culture. The pupils are to read these and analyse them in terms of Hall's hierarchy of values.

2. The pupils are now to consider their own British culture and to select and rehearse in their small groups the kind of incident that might be open to misconstrual by young visitors learning about England on a school trip. As Heathcote puts it herself: "The children should help them (and us) to perceive when formal, informal and technical elements are operating - in other words when choices are available and when things must be respected; when people follow unrecognised and unrealised patterns; when they follow rules, and when they can make up their own."

3. They rehearse and enact their 'scenes'. The whole group discusses them as examples of cultural hazards for a foreigner.
4. Finally, both the pupils and the visitors return to the large sheets containing their original perceptions of another culture, with an invitation from the teacher to amend or add to the images expressed at the beginning of the project.

The above outline, a mixture of Heathcote's own planning notes and my account of what actually happened, fairly represents this way of working using drama for the purpose of targeting precisely a particular learning area. It is a form of drama that is merely illustrative, not concerned with art or with 'moments of awe'. The pupils have operated, in a carefully sequenced teaching package, as learners, analysers, teachers, directors, playwrights and actors. The teacher is almost totally in control [without, that is, using any 'teacher-in-role' strategy], clearly following a tight plan. Each task for the pupils, while reinforcing some skill or knowledge exercised in the previous task, becomes more exacting in terms of content or public accountability. Only at the end of the two sessions are the pupils (who have little if any experience of Drama) invited to prepare some scenes for the whole group to watch.

This example of teaching from Dorothy Heathcote, however, bears little resemblance to what many would have thought of as typical. Heathcote in fact used this 'project' or 'programme' approach fairly regularly, especially in working with groups who were not primarily interested in doing drama. It is the specific aim of the lesson (chosen, in this instance, by the language seminar organisers) that makes this an apt choice to represent Heathcote's 'project' work. To be aiming at teaching about personal and cultural values is to be dealing explicitly with a thread of purpose that runs through Heathcote's work implicitly at every stage of her career, including the stage we shall be studying in the next chapter.

---

132 I do not think Heathcote has a name for this kind of non-participatory, non-mantle of the expert work. She sees it as "honing drama into a specific tool for an explicit purpose."
Mantle of the Expert. In a paper read as part of the introduction of the above mentioned seminar on Drama and Foreign Language teaching, I said the following (I was course, addressing language experts, not drama teachers):

There is a sense...in which we can claim that in our school drama we are always dealing with cultural values: we are always...‘decentring from our culture”, that is **what drama is**.

To see drama as a process of de-centring contrasts starkly with the self-expression romanticism of the 1950s and 1960s and offers us an image underlying all Heathcote's teaching. Sometimes her approach is described as 'child-centred'. In so far as her methodology is continually dependent on making judgements about a child's wants or a child's needs or the child's capacity for reaching out or taking a risk, this appears to be the case, but the demands she makes of children to look outside themselves beyond their present horizons, to embrace new thinking, new knowledge, new skills seem to have strayed far from a traditional 'child-centred' conception and nearer to what Vygotski meant by 'zone of proximal development'.

Of interest to this study is whether the kind of bland demonstrations of behaviour encountered in the two lessons, when the actors enacted prepared scripts and the pupils prepared their own or directed the actors

---


134 In my 1984 publication [Drama as Education. P. 154]. I put it: "...drama can be seen as what Iris Murdoch calls a process of 'unselfing'. for the group interaction provides a continual counter-pull to subjectivism."


in how to behave could be said to be drama at all. In IDEA 95: Selected Readings in Drama and Theatre Education\textsuperscript{137} I suggested that the most low-key demonstration might qualify as 'theatre' in that, just as the Lady of Shalott imprisoned in her tower overlooking the river flowing down to Camelot sees in her mirror life passing below as events, so pupils or any of us looking at a demonstration of some aspect of life are invited to see it as life, a conscious act of abstraction by a spectator. I wrote\textsuperscript{138}:

That mirror frame, for the Lady of Shalott, is a kind of theatre: it is a peep-show; what is happening there is not real life; she can treat the images as fiction. The furniture of her room is real life. As she follows the shadows appearing from one side of the mirror to the other, she does not know what will happen, but, whatever it is, she is safe from it, beguiled by it, but safe, protected. Even in quiet times when nothing could be said to be happening, the frame is filled with her anticipation, just as an empty stage\textsuperscript{139} is "filled with waiting" Like the Lady of Shalott's mirror a theatre is a defined space "filled with its own future"\textsuperscript{140}, its own future, it can only be treated as a fiction - by whoever chooses to spectate, chooses to see it as, chooses to interpret.

This quotation, I believe, captures the defining elements of theatre.

There must be a spectator attending to it and that spectator must intend to perceive the event as meaningful\textsuperscript{141} Lars Kleberg\textsuperscript{142} puts it that the mere presence of a spectator is not in itself enough to make theatre: "To

\begin{footnotes}
\footnotetext{137}{Bolton, Gavin (1995) "DRAMA/drama and Cultural Values" in IDEA 95: Selected Readings in Drama and Theatre Education NADIE Publications Pp 29-33}
\footnotetext{138}{Bolton, G. (1995) ibid P. 30}
\footnotetext{139}{Bruce Wiltshire [(1982) op cit P. x] tells of an amusing event when the theatregoers attended a makeshift theatre in a warehouse. As they waited for the 'play' to start the "main door of the warehouse in the middle of the wall rolled up and opened" - and the events of the street outside became 'theatre'.}
\footnotetext{140}{Langer, Suzanne (1953) \textit{Feeling and Form: A theory of Art} Routledge and Kegan Paul NY}
\footnotetext{141}{Wiltshire, Bruce (1982) op cit P. 39}
\footnotetext{142}{Kleberg, Lars (1993 [in English]) \textit{Theatre As Action} Macmillan London. Pp 42-3}
\end{footnotes}
be theatrical, an event must also point to something beyond itself, represent something, in a way that a boxing match or lecture on anatomy does not."

Following these definitions, the meanest of scenes (representing, for example, a Pakistani in Marks & Spencers purchasing an anorak) qualifies as theatre. And yet, Heathcote has instructed her actors that it must not be played for DRAMA, even though there is potential drama in the scene to do with misunderstanding between two cultures. It is to be laid out bare for examination by the pupils as an example of its kind. The paradox is, of course, that in deliberately playing down possible 'DRAMA', the very absence of the dramatic looms like a dark cloud on the horizon - to those who can see it!

It may be useful, therefore, to regard the use of demonstration scenes as 'theatre'. Indeed some would argue that they carry the same purpose as Brecht's Lehrstück, his 'Learning-plays' in which audiences are treated as a collection of individuals ready to learn. Theatre, according to Brecht, "becomes a place for philosophers"143 Wiltshire144 argues that Brecht's notion of 'involved detachment' applies to any good theatre, but it may be that in his 'Learning-plays' Brecht intends to give more emphasis to the 'detachment' aspect of this dialectic, as indeed is the intention behind Dorothy Heathcote's scripted scenes.

It seems to me that such scenes, with the intention of having spectators 'read' them 'as meaningful', are, at least minimally, an example of

143Brecht, Bertolt (1936) Left Review translated by J. Willett
144Wiltshire, B. (1982) ibid P. 15
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theatre\textsuperscript{145}. In case this is thought to be a self-evident conclusion, a reminder that Peter Abbs sees Dorothy Heathcote's work as "Devoid of art, devoid of the practices of theatre..."\textsuperscript{146} may be timely.

D. \textbf{Acting behaviour in Dorothy Heathcote's classrooms}

D.1. \textbf{Acting behaviour in 'Living through' Drama}

D.1.(a) The choice of terminology

I have been careful to slip in the word 'behaviour' after 'acting' in the above title, for when I interviewed Dorothy Heathcote and posed the question about classroom \textit{acting}, her immediate, unqualified response was to say "Oh, I wouldn't call it \textit{acting}! When I directed plays with amateurs I don't think I even then used the word \textit{acting}! I would ask them to find out why they were doing a particular action, what their motives were." She and I laughed and shared the idea that a director was more likely to say to an actor "Stop \textit{acting}!" She added "I think \textit{behaving} is the word I use in my mind." It would be fair, I think, to add that she sees children in drama behaving 'significantly'.

It seems reasonable to assume too that for Heathcote 'acting', put at its lowest, is indeed associated with something false, deceiving by trickery, artifice, pretending or perhaps working purely technically, giving a faultless performance while mentally making a shopping-list, a form of behaviour not to be found either in the classroom or the amateur stage. At its best, however, she would see the art of acting as "the highly controlled and perfect selection of behaviour to interpret a playwright to an audience"\textsuperscript{147}.

We can recall\textsuperscript{148} that Frances

\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{145}It is perhaps worth noting at this point that Wiltshire [Wiltshire, Bruce (1982) \textit{ibid} P. 89] adds another dimension to a definition of 'theatre', repeatability, a requirement fulfilled by this 'project' method. For, indeed the scenes were repeated over and over again for more searching observation, but of course such a definition would appear to preclude improvisational drama.

\textsuperscript{146}Page ix of the Preface to David Hornbrook's (1991) \textit{Education in Drama} Falmer Press London

\textsuperscript{147}This quotation is from her notes jotted down after reading this manuscript. (May, 1996)

\textsuperscript{148}Page 25 of Frances Mackenzie's (1930) \textit{The Amateur Actor} op cit.
Mackenzie (1930), director of training for the British Drama League, eschewed the use of the term in respect of children and non-professionals, not because it was pejorative but too sophisticated. Finlay-Johnson, Caldwell Cook, E.M. Langdon and Marjorie Hourd had no such inhibitions about its usage. Slade and Way avoided the term with pre-adolescents because of its theatrical overtones. They saw acting as something pupils would grow into when they were ready. Heathcote seems to be denying even this, not, however, because of some level of immaturity she sees in the children, but because classroom drama, in her view, requires a different mind set of the participants, one that is not framed by the need to behave like an actor - or, as we shall see, like a pupil.

Whatever usage of the term 'acting' her predecessors adopted, they would no doubt have agreed, in true Western theatre tradition, about its defining feature: that is, that 'real acting' was to do with developing a 'character'. Indeed the reluctance of those who chose not to apply the term to children's make-believe behaviour appeared to stem from the assumption that 'characterisation' was beyond the immature. Whereas Peter Slade excludes the term 'characterisation' in relation to children completely from his most recent publication, Brian Way saw it as part of the natural development of the child, becoming more discriminating as the child gets older. The Schools Council team (1979), on the other hand, drew a distinction between playing a role and playing a character:

---

149 Andy Kempe. in his The GCSE DRAMA Coursebook (1990) Blackwell, P. 178, gives a Glossary definition of acting as "This involves not only adopting someone else's attitudes and beliefs as in role play, but developing a sense of their character by altering the way you speak and move.


151 See Way, B. (1967) op cit P. 174-5. The child, according to Way, begins his early characterisation with "intuitive and unconscious exploration of characters of the inner world of fantasy and imagination" and then moves to unconscious exploration of characters in 'the real world'. [In this distinction between 'fantasy' and 'real' he is following E.M. Langdon]. He includes two further stages: conscious attention to cause and effects in character building and, finally, the exploration of 'inner factors (motivations)' (P. 175)

152 McGregor, Lynn, Tate, Maggie & Robinson Ken (1977) op cit Pp 11-12
In taking up a role...the individual is basically representing an attitude or set of attitudes. The role they are identifying with may be a pure stereotype - a charity worker, a company director. If the child begins to identify more completely behind the role, he begins to move into characterisation.

To both role-play and characterisation the authors apply their new term 'acting-out'. Their whole book is devoted to the examination of an activity in which pupils temporarily adopt attitudes in order to explore ideas. Ken Robinson, one of its authors, later puts the distinction between 'acting-out' and 'acting' slightly differently, the former, in his view, applying to "exploratory activities of classroom drama", the latter exclusively to "the activities of those who act a part to an audience.", thus investigation of a 'character' does not in itself qualify as 'acting', unless it is to occur on stage, a view which coincided with my own at the time, reflecting, it seems, my 1979 continuum referred to in the introduction to this thesis. At that time I saw 'performing' and 'playing' polarised on a public/private basis, but I also made the mistake which Robinson avoids of seeing what the pupils do as 'dramatic playing' and

153 This hierarchical perspective on acting behaviour is adopted by many writers, including Norah Morgan and Juliana Saxton (1987) whose 'Categories of Identification' will be referred to again in the concluding chapter of this thesis. (See Page 399, Note 21 below). Morgan, N. & Saxton, J. (1987) Teaching Drama: a mind of many wonders Hutchinson London, Pp 30-37

154 The term 'acting out' did not appear to be readily accepted among subsequent drama education writers. Chris Day ["Teaching Styles in Drama" in Issues in Educational Drama (Eds: Day, Christopher & Norman, John) Falmer Press, P. 81] is one of the few who adopted the expression as a useful way of specifying an "enactive mode of learning". It is interesting that when Hilton Francis undertook the publication of a Vocabulary of Educational Drama in 1973 and 1979 (revised) she did not include either 'acting' or 'acting out' in her glossary of terms. [published by Kemble Press, Banbury]

155 Ken Robinson is currently Professor of Arts Education, University of Warwick. A copy of his Ph.D thesis (1981) is housed in the Drama archives of Durham University.


157 During the mid and late '70s 'exploring a character' became a legitimate drama workshop practice. By this was meant, not a character necessarily related to a play, but one invented by the workshop participant. I can recall one week-end workshop for teachers in which the participants arriving on the Friday evening would give themselves a character which they were require to sustain in all the public rooms throughout the week-end!
what the actors do as 'theatre'. Robinson rightly corrects this by defining 'theatre' as the *encounter* between actors and audience, and not what actors do\(^{158}\). My original idea for a continuum of contrasted *activities* of make-believe play and theatre, I later amended\(^{159}\) to contrasted *dispositions* or *intentions* either to be in a make-believe event or to *communicate* a make-believe event. This revised version will itself be subjected to challenge in the final chapter of this study.

The Schools Council team in using terms such as 'role-play', 'attitudes' etc. are adopting the language of sociology, the newly popular subject of the 1970s, in order to convey a progressive kind of Secondary Drama practice to do with negotiating meaningful *social* situations. Dorothy Heathcote herself appeared to adopt this kind of language, especially when she wrote\(^{160}\):

> I define educational drama as being 'anything which involves persons in active role-taking situations in which attitudes, not characters are the chief concern, lived at life-rate (i.e. discovery *at this moment*, not memory based) and obeying the natural laws of the medium.'...I maintain that problem-solving is the basis of learning and maturation.

McGregor et al hoped (reasonably, enough from Heathcote's\(^{161}\) above remarks) that the attempt to create a new way of talking about drama would embrace all

---

\(^{158}\)Robinson makes a further comparison: "In comparing drama and theatre then, I am comparing two types of social encounter which use elements of make-believe and forms of role-play. I am not comparing the professional super-structures which have grown up around them." [Robinson, K. (1980) *ibid* P. 150]

\(^{159}\)See, for example, *Drama as Education* (1984) *op cit* P. 32

\(^{160}\)Heathcote, Dorothy (1971) "Drama and Education: Subject or System?" in *Drama and Theatre Education* Heinemann London, P. 43

\(^{161}\)It should be made clear at this point that what might be described as individual characterisation did sometimes emerge from Heathcote's *living through* drama. A classic example is the 'stool pigeon' [For an interesting analysis of this piece of characterisation, see "Experiencing Drama: what's happening when children are doing drama at depth?" in *Schooling and Culture* (Spring 1979) Issue 4 by Geoff Gillham] in 'Three Looms Waiting', a role that becomes isolated from the rest of the other roles. One should, however, still be cautious in applying the term 'character' to such a role, for the part only existed in so far as the boy adopted a 'stool-pigeon' function; other possible dimensions of his character as a person did not become relevant - although they *could* have done. 'Living through' drama may *potentially*, however rarely, centre on the development of a three dimensional character in the
modern practice, both the fairly safe 'make up a play about privilege and deprivation' kind of drama and the more risky 'living through' approach of Dorothy Heathcote. If in writing about characterisation Brian Way, following the Naturalistic theatre tradition, could be said to have psychologised the character-building process, now all forward looking drama could be described as socialising it. As we have seen, however, the common ground shared by a group in Heathcote's classes tended to be culturally rather than socially bound.

To describe 'preserving the word of God for posterity by hiding the book from the marauders' (as in the 'Making History' video) merely as an adopted social 'attitude' seems reductive and appears to miss the kind of fundamental level of understanding sought by Heathcote. It seems to me that Heathcote's own usage of sociological terminology served her inadequately but it was fashionable at that time.

D.1. (b) Some features of acting behaviour in 'Living through' Drama

In Heathcote's 'Living through' drama, more usefully described perhaps as anthropological than sociological, acting behaviour, at its best, involved a group identification (conscious or unconscious) with a set of values implicit in whatever culture or sub-culture was being created. The fictitious identity of the group was sustained by the collective enterprise and the extent to which

---

Naturalistic theatre sense, providing such an exploration emerged naturally from the dramatic context as an evolutionary process.

162 This is an example from Page 11 of their text, in which pupils typically work on some 'underdog' issue. Ken Robinson later describes this kind of activity as follows: "Most classroom drama intends to put children in the role of initiator. The general function of these activities is heuristic: they are to do with discovery. In this way they are explorative - of issues, themes, events - and expressive - of attitudes, ideas and feelings about the issues at hand." [Robinson, K. (1980) ibid P. 168]

163 A close examination of the kinds of lesson examples included in the Schools Council publication reveals that the authors have not actually included the kind of 'teacher-in-role' controlled, 'living through' experiences to be found in Heathcote's classrooms. It may be, therefore, that the application of sociological terminology best fitted the kind of Secondary Drama that was actually going on in most teachers' classrooms at the time: Heathcote's kind of teaching was taking a long time to filter through.
individuals submitted to that enterprise. This is what places Heathcote's drama in a different order of experience from both Child Drama and from a traditional view of improvisational work in which individual characterisation formed the starting point\(^\text{164}\). This is also why Robert Witkin's (1974)\(^\text{165}\) elegantly articulated theory of personal expression in the arts can have little bearing on Heathcote's practice, for in 'living through' drama the initial behaviours are nearer to a group contracting to feel their way into a value system, rather than, as Witkin would have it, a 'subject response' to an impulse. In Heathcote's classroom there is a task for the group to carry out or a problem for them to solve. At its most unsophisticated level of practice their actions may not look any different from a group of pupils carrying out a 'real' task; at its best the pupils will effectively and economically use the basic dimensions of theatre: darkness/light, stillness/movement; and sound/silence. In this respect, Heathcote has claimed, the pupils are "following the same rules" as actors\(^\text{166}\) who are also bound by these elements of the theatre art form\(^\text{167}\). One could add that outside dramatic acts we continually attempt to sign significantly within those same 'theatre' dimensions.\(^\text{168}\)

\(^{164}\)This point may account for some of the difficulties Americans have with understanding Heathcote's approach while admiring its outcome. Their tradition is singularly related to 'developing one's character' as a starting point to improvisatory work. See my keynote address, entitled "Out of Character" to the Columbus, Ohio 1995 conference. [Bolton, G. (1996) "Out of Character" in Drama Matters Vol 1 No 1 Spring]

\(^{165}\)Witkin, Robert. (1974) op cit

\(^{166}\)Heathcote, Dorothy (1975) "Drama and Learning" in McCaslin, Nellie Children and Drama David McKay NY P. 85 of 2nd (1981) edition

\(^{167}\)Although, for Heathcote, children in the classroom are not called upon to be 'actors acting,' they nevertheless avail themselves of the basic theatre elements. It is in this respect that Heathcote changed from playing down the need for knowledge of theatre when talking to teachers to recognising that there were certain theatre basics that were essential for all teachers. She recalls vividly that it was in trying, many years ago, to get a student teacher of English, Ali Cooper, to use a 'drama eye and ear' when preparing lessons, it dawned on her that understanding the six elements was a prerequisite of any teacher using or teaching drama. She added "I owe Ali Cooper more than she'll ever know!" [Personal correspondence, May 1996]

\(^{168}\)In "Signs and Portents" (1980 op cit. P. 160 of Dorothy Heathcote: Collected Writings on education and drama) Heathcote herself broadens the common rules between actors and children to embrace all living: "Actual living and theatre, which is a depiction of living conditions, both use the same network of signs, as their medium of communication; namely the human being signalling across space, in immediate time, to others and with others..."
What is of supreme interest here are the parameters of behaviour emanating from the implicit values of the fictitious sub-culture that control how those tasks may be tackled. Choices may be made by the pupils only within those constraints, which may affect style (even the hungry Saxons in 'Making History' may approach the Abbott only with some measure of restraint), knowledge (the pupils have to remember that as Saxons they cannot read the words in the precious book), and understanding (they have to have some sense of what it is to have the crops fail and be hungry). Outside these cultural constraints there are organisational (a whole class cannot effectively stand in front of the Abbott without blocking views or crushing, so the pupil playing the Abbott must use his role to instruct them to sit on the floor as soon a possible), aesthetic\(^{169}\) (the 'book' will only become important if it is well placed) and reflective (the pupils are 'makers' and 'spectators' of their own 'Saxon' actions, judging their effect and their effectiveness) imperatives. Thus at its most sophisticated all these dimensions may impinge on that basic engagement with the task.

The above six dimensions (style, knowledge, understanding and organisational, aesthetic and reflective imperatives) may in practice be adhered to unevenly within a group, from the priest who finds just the right posture and rhetoric to give dignity to his role to a fellow priest who yawns as 'the precious book' is taken out of its hiding place. Appropriateness of the pupils' 'signalling' may fluctuate; the import of what is going on may only be sensed by a few\(^{170}\). Thus

\(^{169}\)For an analysis of the elements contributing to the 'aesthetic' in 'living through' drama, see O'Neill (1978).

\(^{170}\)Dorothy Heathcote has her own amusing anecdote of how in using improvisation to approach Macbeth she was taken aback to discover abruptly that the plane of 'high tragedy' she thought they were on was no more than an 'Andy Capp' domestic sketch - "She's on her arse again!" says 'Macbeth' of 'Lady Macbeth'! [Interview with Heathcote, 26th February 1996, Tape Two, Side Two.] It should be noted that this lesson occurred with students in a Special School who had been working on different kinds of heroic and tragic themes over a period of two years. Normally, Heathcote, like Caldwell Cook, would never improvise a Shakespearian text.
each individual may be assessed on his degree of effectiveness and commitment to the process of accommodating to the task. The wording in this last sentence, 'accommodating to the task', is significant. In traditional drama one might talk of judging a pupil's effectiveness and commitment to 'playing his part' Slade spoke of 'sincerity' and 'absorption' in playing. In the earlier analysis of Slade's work we concluded that 'sincerity' could be usefully linked with 'identification': 'a commitment to adapting one's behaviour in representation of a 'truth' abstracted from one's perceived reality'. Heathcote's pupil could be said to 'identify' with the task in hand. Alternatively one might say that in order to carry out that task, a boy playing a priest is not so much 'playing' the part or 'representing' it, as 'indicating' or 'signalling' shared values. The pupil in Heathcote's 'Living through' drama is, with his fellow pupils, presenting a culture, rather than representing a role or attitude. This concept of acting behaviour as collectively 'presenting' I believe to be critical to our understanding of 'Living through' drama, although it is not a word Heathcote herself uses. It falls outside the usual contrasted assumptions about child acting, that either children 'become' or they 'impersonate' their roles. Nor does it fit the Brecht's concept of 'gestus', requiring the actor to both represent and comment. It is nearer to what we do in everyday life when we present ourselves as belonging to a group, say, of teachers, or shoppers or students. Its significance, of course, lies in the children's submission to the disciplines required of that culture. When its inner laws are expressed the pupils have, to use Heathcote's well-known phrase, 'stumbled on authenticity'.

Although it may seem inappropriate to apply the word 'sincere' to the work of Heathcote's pupils in 'Living through' drama, Dorothy Heathcote favours the

---

171 In our concluding discussion in the final chapter we shall adopt Peter Millward's phrase 'presenting experience dramatically'. See Page 382 below.
172 See John Willett's The Theatre of Bertolt Brecht (1959) London. P. 175
173 Taken from Heathcote's notes written in response to this manuscript. [May. 1966].
term 'authentic' as not inappropriate for some moments of acting, when, (she is here speaking from a pupil's point of view): 174

...you know what you are doing is right. You know how soldiers are...but it's your idea of how soldiers are and you've taken it as far as you can within the context, but because you know that's what you've done, you've widened your experience of soldiers, because this context and this teacher have challenged you to take it a bit further than you ever could have done by yourself. But also it adds to your understanding of being a person. There's more people inside you because you've done this.

I think Heathcote is assuming here that if it 'feels right' for the participant it will ring true for others, that authenticity in acting behaviour is a unity of 'process/product' and of private/public. It is again significant, however, that Heathcote says "...you know how soldiers are...you've widened your experience of soldiers...". She cannot bring herself, as others might, to say "You know how a soldier is..." The pupil acting authentically in Heathcote's eyes is presenting soldiers.

D.1.(c) Spectatorship in 'Living Through' Drama

The boy referred to above is also presenting soldiers in his own eyes, a spectator, as it were, along with the other participant/spectators of the presentation. There are at least three levels of spectatorship involved here: an awareness of what is happening to himself, an awareness of what is being 'made', in this case, a presentation of 'soldiers', and an awareness of what could happen or needs to happen to further the drama. Mike Fleming 175 uses the term 'percipient' to embrace both participant and the dramatist functions. The pupil's natural feeling response to the immediacy and particularity of the dramatic moment is qualified by the spectator/dramatist overview 176 - and further

174 Interview Tape 2 Side A No 340
176 Cecily O'Neill (1995 op cit P. 125) improves on my (Bolton 1979) version of 'this is happening to me and I am making it happen' by introducing an explicit 'spectator' dimension:
qualified by knowledge of the safety net, as in all make-believe play, of another
world (a 'real' one?) in which to take refuge. And, most importantly, is the
underlying pleasure of creating something which may serve to override all other
feelings.

D.1.(d) Enhancing the acting in 'Living Through' Drama

I have discussed a number of times the notion that establishing an outer frame in
which pupils doing drama may operate positively (for instance Caldwell Cook's
pupils saw themselves as 'the prestigious players') enhances the view they may
have of themselves. We related this concept to the collective image Heathcote
endowed her pupils with, in 'Living through' drama, as members of some
identifiable culture or sub-culture. Heathcote exploits two further 'lures'.177, this
time to promote not so much the self-esteem of the participants as the image of
the work itself. At some point early in the work, she will insist that 'someone
else somewhere in the world is doing this', implying that we're not just playing
games - this is a real problem for someone. A further 'bait' to the pupils is the
promise of an audience, not, of course, the audience one rehearse for but the
audience to whom the pupils will be able to teach something. As Heathcote put
it in the interview: "They won't come to see our performance but to understand
something."178 Thus the pupils are persuaded, should they need it, that what
they are doing is important in two ways, 'that it is actually happening to others
as well as us', and that 'others, even people like headmasters, can learn from
what we do'.

D.1.(e) Emotion and acting behaviour in 'Living through' Drama

"I am watching this happening to me. and I am making it happen."

177'Lure' is a word Heathcote has used regularly in recent years to mean 'the bait with which
to catch interest'. She carefully grades that level of interest into "attention first. then interest.
then all the others thro' to involvement and commitment." [Notes in response to manuscript.
May. 1996]

178Interview with Dorothy Heathcote 26 February 1996 Tape 2 Side A No. 510
We have seen that raw emotion has no place in 'Living through' drama. The content may well be about a 'Man in a Mess', but the spectator/audience function combined with the pleasure in creating function is so strong that painful experience is filtered through that distanced perspective. Thus as Fleming says 179: "The feeling of anger in drama is real but it would be misleading to actually say the participant is angry." Heathcote herself would prefer to put it as "the feeling of anger is explored in drama" 180

As we have seen, in Heathcote's approach a further distancing is guaranteed by her continual intervention and demand for further reflection. One can see, however, that in less experienced hands the pupils could become over manipulated either by the teacher or by powerful pupils in the class who exploit the drama for ends related to the 'real world' 181.

However, the more common problem, it seems to me, is not in the drama becoming 'too raw' but in its failing to be credible enough. At its worst, the pupils are simply left outside the teacher's play 182 or they resort to 'pretending' feelings, as though they were in a traditional drama where characters are required to show their feelings of 'surprise' or 'hate' or 'jealousy' or 'amusement' 183 to an audience. If the pupils fall into the latter trap, the teacher has misunderstood the basic task driven structure of 'living-through' drama.

179 Fleming, Mike (1982) ibid P. 259. Fleming is here defining R.K. Elliott's (Elliot, R.K. (1966-7) "Aesthetic Theory and the Experience of Art" in Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society Vol. LXVII) use of 'predicable' i.e. that the emotion is present in the participants but not 'predicable by them'.
180 Notes in response to manuscript (May. 1996)
181 David Davis cites the example in "What is Depth in Educational Drama?" [Davis, D. (1976) Young Drama Vol 4, No 3. October Pp 89-94] of a girl with some kind of physical deformity being chosen by the rest of the class to play a witch. so that the 'real life' isolation and victimisation continues within the drama. John O'Toole (1992 op cit Chapter 3) also gives an alarming, but intriguing, portrayal of 'Mary' in a drama on the theme of madness in which the participant overcomes the destructive gibes of her fellow 'patients'.
182 Geoff Gillhan discusses this point in his (1974) unpublished Condenser Report for Newcastle upon Tyne LEA under his catchphrase 'play for the teacher' and 'play for the child'.
183 Mike Fleming suggests that whereas (as pointed out by Mary Midgely in Man and Beast)
Although Heathcote herself has written (perhaps on only one occasion) of the importance children feeling 'real' emotion "in the heat of the moment"\textsuperscript{184}, when one examines how she illustrates such a moment, her explications are wrapped up with "thought and planning". She admits that "emotion is at the heart of the drama experience"\textsuperscript{185}, but in her dramatic work emotional experience invariably turns out to be the kind that a community can share: the participants \textit{collectively} suffer fear, anxiety, sadness, disappointment, hope, or relief etc. about, or as a result of, a planned enterprise, such as guiding Macbeth safely through the jungle after battle\textsuperscript{186} - and such feelings, as we have seen, are further modified by the 'percipient/pleasure' function. For Heathcote the principal component of all acting behaviour is the 'self-spectator', which protects the participants into a level of emotion from which they may remain safely detached, both \textit{engaged} and \textit{detached}.

In the next chapter we shall see that interest by some of Heathcote's followers in giving participants an exciting 'theatrical' experience through the use of 'Living through' drama has led to a dramatic 'genre' in the classroom whose objectives in some respect are no longer consistent with Heathcote's intentions.

\textbf{D.2. Acting in Depiction}

'Man in a Mess' or 'living through' implies, as we have seen, 'being there': "Let's pack up and go!" demands instant response. Dorothy Heathcote sought other methods; one was 'depiction'. The use of 'tableau'\textsuperscript{187} or 'still picture' is probably

---

\textsuperscript{184} Heathcote. D. (1975) ibid P. 86
\textsuperscript{185} Heathcote. D. (1975) ibid P. 85
\textsuperscript{186} Heathcote. D. (1975) ibid P. 84
\textsuperscript{187} We noted in Chapter One of this thesis that Harriet Finlay-Johnson used the term 'tableau'. [Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) op cit P. 106]
one of the most popular drama ploys in the Western world and appears at first sight to be what Heathcote means when she uses 'depiction'. However, it soon becomes clear in discussion^{188}, that she sees 'tableau' as a superficial form of presentation that confines the participants to the external features of an action or feeling^{189}. 'Depiction' for Heathcote is an arrested movement, a form that penetrates.

There appear to be two root sources for this depth of demonstration. Heathcote believes that the body can draw on "deep wells it already knows"^{190}, that somatically it has the capacity for capturing long-held modes of expression^{191}. The second source is the personal value system (used, in fact, to begin the session described above in connection with the 'Project' on teaching culture to language students.) There is a fascinating difference here with the 'living through' drama which puts the group in touch with the formal, informal and technical laws of a sub-culture. In 'depiction' it is the personal values^{192} of "I do this...because...it matters to me...I understood this from...this is how life should be." Each of us carries this hierarchy of values and each action we make is susceptible to this kind of analysis. Heathcote sees depiction as an action (usually stillled^{193}) portrayed by a pupil in a way that gives a glimmer of a character's belief system. This is perhaps nearer to Brecht's 'gestus', for the pupil is not the 'character', but demonstrates the character and at the same time provides a kind of commentary on what the action 'means'^{194}.

^{188}Interview Tape. dated 26.02.96, Nos. Tape 1 Side B 700-900
^{189}I suspect that she has not had the chance to see the remarkable achievements of some drama classes using this form. It is a dramatic tool carried to perfection. I believe, by Carole Tarlington's Vancouver Youth Theatre up to 1995.
^{190}Interview Tape. dated 26.02.96. Tape 1 Side B No. 745
^{191}To illustrate this difficult point, Heathcote gave me an example of a photographer, before and after World-War 2 taking a photograph of old people in the streets, the same streets, of Warsaw, their shoulders. In the second set of photographs bearing their years of oppression.
^{192}See Page 324 above
^{193}In the interview dated 26.02.96 Tape 1 Side B No. 820. Heathcote offers a vivid image for depiction: "Depiction is like a humming bird that is still but still flying."
^{194}By 'gestus' I understand Brecht intended the actor to evaluate the action. According to
The 'demonstrating' is bound up with active spectatorship from the rest of the class\textsuperscript{195}. Of prime importance is the 'reading' by the audience. Depiction is nothing without a 'reader'. The spectators provide the raison d'etre of the depiction. Indeed, if the 'actors' are inexperienced in drama, Heathcote will make sure from the beginning that somehow or other it will be the non-actors, the audience, who will be put on the spot\textsuperscript{196}. Active spectatorship may go from minimal involvement (discussing what they see) to placing something within the 'picture' or giving each actor a line of dialogue, redirecting the scene or even\textsuperscript{197} replacing the actor.

'Depiction' appears to be in marked contrast to 'living through' drama. Whereas the mode of acting in the latter may described as existential\textsuperscript{198}, not easily repeatable and audience-independent, the former could be said to be illustrative.

\textsuperscript{195}This concept of 'active spectatorship' began with Harriet Finlay-Johnson (See Page 36 above) and seems to have been neglected by exponents until Dorothy Heathcote reintroduced it. It is Harriet Finlay-Johnson of course to whom Dorothy Heathcote has always felt most close.

\textsuperscript{196}Even as I write this in April, 1996, Dorothy Heathcote is preparing a course for senior doctors from other cultures on doctor/patient signalling. It can be fairly guaranteed that there will be no 'living through' drama and that where'depiction' is needed from members of the class, they will be heavily protected by the spectators appearing to carry the greater responsibility.

\textsuperscript{197}The Forum Theatre of Augusto Boal [Boal, Augusto (1979) \textit{Theatre of the Oppressed}, Pluto Press London] independently of Dorothy Heathcote, used this extreme version of active spectatorship, but confined the content to society's victims. What began as an urgent, honest use of theatre in a political crisis has now become, if the demonstration of 'Forum Theatre' at the second IDEA conference in Brisbane (1995) is anything to go by, a commercial, and rather cynical, enterprise. Examples of his original conception of Forum Theatre included in his publications [see for example, his account of using Forum Theatre in a Psychiatric hospital in which the patients in the audience demonstrate how a patient in the enacted scene should have been handled {Boal, A. (1995) \textit{The Rainbow of Desire}, Routledge London Pp 53-56}] show how its successful application is dependent on the audience having a vested interest in the problem enacted on stage.

\textsuperscript{198}Whereas 'existential' is a term that I have applied to 'living through' acting behaviour in most of my publications; it is not part of Heathcote's drama vocabulary.
repeatable and audience-dependent. It seems to me that contrast between the two modes inevitably centres on the difference required in acting skills and structure. As such the issue will be central to the final chapter of this thesis.

In the meantime, we need to complete this account of Dorothy Heathcote's work. As others were seeking an alternative version of her methodology that found its most profound expression in teacher workshops, Heathcote was experimenting with a world of enterprise culture, finding there a new educational vista that could revolutionise teaching. In the next chapter, we shall begin with accounts of three contrasted teaching experiences, conducted by Gavin Bolton, Cecily O'Neill and Peter Millward respectively, each based on Heathcote's original methodology. We shall then be in a position to examine 'Mantle of the Expert', Heathcote's own reinterpretation of 'Living through' drama, which in many respects will be shown to differ profoundly from theirs.

A Summary
It was argued that Heathcote's approach is interpretative, using either 'Living through drama', a form that relies on teacher-in-role, a dramatist function, to penetrate cultural values or on 'depiction', a form that penetrates the characters' personal value systems. In the early days of 'living through' drama, she espoused Tynan's notion of drama as 'Man in a Mess', structuring the spontaneously created drama round some domestic, societal or environmental disaster which the pupils 'lived through'.

The most notable features of Heathcote's methods may be summed up as: Brechtian, in its episodes, alienation and engagement/detachment; an unmistakable bias towards a cultural group rather than to individual characters; the gradual 'ownership' of the material by the class whose
acting is controlled by a strong combination of dramatist responsibility, and spectator function; and imperatives related to knowledge, understanding; and a reliance on the dimensions of theatre in respect of movement, light and sound.
SECTION FOUR  Chapter Ten

Re-interpretations of Dorothy Heathcote's 'Living through' Drama

This chapter will be divided as follows:

A. 'Living through' Drama as a theatrical experience
B. 'Living through' Drama as 'dramatic presentation of experience'
C. 'Living through' Drama as 'Mantle of the Expert'

We have seen in the last chapter that although Heathcote herself introduced the image of 'Man in a Mess' to capture the essence of her approach, she related to it less and less as her 'task-centred' work evolved. Such a vivid motif, misleadingly highlighting dramatic substance, undoubtedly took attention away from the crux of her innovation in methodology which centred on her use of 'teacher-in-role' combined, as will be emphasised more in this chapter, with 'framing'. Other pioneers, such as Harriet Finlay-Johnson have viewed the teacher as a 'fellow artist' with her pupils, but Dorothy Heathcote was the first to work with the pupils from inside the fiction. 'Living through', also introduced by Heathcote herself, seems to have overtaken 'Man in a Mess' as expressive of a mode of working uniquely hers.

The chapter deals with three mature interpretations of this approach. The first of these, 'Living through' Drama as a theatrical experience, will attempt to describe a new genre, arising from Heathcote's work but taking it in a different direction. The second, 'Living through Drama' as dramatic presentation of experience, will give an account of a research project by Peter Millward which throws light on the fundamental principles guiding teacher and pupils in making a dramatic fiction. Finally, the chapter will return to Heathcote's development of her own
methodology to which she gave the title 'Mantle of the Expert', a dramatic approach to teaching and learning favoured by her up to the time of writing this thesis (1996).

A. 'Living through Drama' as a theatrical classroom experience

The first section of this chapter will present a more personal account of my own work than I intended to include in this thesis. It was never within my plan to cast myself as a pioneer in drama education, on the grounds that whereas I may have made some original theoretical contribution to the field I have always felt that my work was largely derivative, indebted as I am to Peter Slade, Brian Way, Dorothy Heathcote and to others in amateur and professional theatre, but it has become pressingly obvious now to me that I have to a large extent been responsible for a re-interpretation of Heathcote's methodology that has taken 'Living through' Drama in a direction never intended by her and perhaps, from her point of view, off-target, if not misguided. In this section, therefore, I will give an account of an example of my own practice, in order to indicate a genre that developed in parallel to Heathcote's work from the 1970s onwards, and also to throw further light on Heathcote's practice by inviting her to respond to my lesson plan. The section will then be concluded with an account of Cecily O'Neill's methodology to which she has given the name 'Process Drama'.

A.1. A new direction for 'Living Through'

The point has already been made in the previous chapter that emotional engagement and detachment characterised all Heathcote's work. The evident modification of emotional engagement did not always protect her from misinterpretation - by her followers as well as her critics. That emotions could

---

1Her most articulate critic in this respect was Margaret Faulkes-Jendyk ["Creative Dramatics Leaders Face Objective Examination" in Canadian Child and Youth Drama Association]
arise naturally and sometimes powerfully from an agreed make-believe context appealed to the imaginations of some of her admirers who saw new possibilities in drama workshops. Just as leading directors in theatre were beginning to experiment in rehearsals with somatic means of generating feeling, some leaders in the classroom were setting up intensely emotional dramatic contexts. 'Living through' became associated with 'deep' emotional experiences.2

Imperceptibly, in the hands of some of Heathcote's emulators (I include myself here), the target group for 'Living through' drama changed from Heathcote's 'pupil-centred' work to 'adult-centred' (usually teachers) refreshment. In-service training was in vogue and almost every local education authority in this country committed itself to running week-end drama courses for its teachers3.

My own contribution to such courses set a pattern of almost total workshop participation. Thus 'Living through' drama became accepted by some as something adults successfully did together and there is little doubt that these groups of highly committed teachers gradually transformed the potential of this way of dramatising. It became a carefully crafted and deeply felt theatre experience - important in itself and only incidentally committed to learning something. Typically, as 'the experience' came to a close, the questions immediately pursued would relate to 'how was it for you?' and/or 'how did you

Bulletin 1975

2Emotionalism was 'in the air'. In keeping with the progressive 'humanist' movement, such group interactions as 'T Groups' and 'Encounter Groups' validated the flow of uninhibited emotion. For some, and I include myself to some extent in this 1970s trend, Drama was another opportunity for releasing 'genuine' feeling. In the professional Theatre, Stanislavski, for example, went through a phase of manipulating his actors by deception. I have already given a brief account [See Page 253. Note 26. above] in which he puts an actress through a frightening experience, triumphantly exclaiming: "Now you know what blindness is like."


3These were paralleled abroad in countries such as Canada and USA by Summer School drama courses.
feel?' questions Dorothy Heathcote would never find herself asking. Only after this personal debriefing would the week-end course or the Summer School get round to asking: 'How might we apply this experience to the pupils we teach?', an example, to Dorothy Heathcote, of 'putting the cart before the horse', although she 'has no quarrel'\(^4\) in principle with the idea of adults 'exploring what it's like to participate in drama work'.

This reversal of teacher priorities (not, I may say, recognised at the time - during the 1970s) had fairly considerable repercussions which are summarised below:

1. The beginnings of a new form of adult workshops were being tested. Previous workshop experience in drama had taken the form either of investigative work on playscripts or Brian Way type exercises related to concentration, sensitivity, intuition and relaxation etc., or Laban Dance. Dorothy Heathcote rarely (almost never) gave her own adult students a sustained 'living through' experience - whatever practice they tried out was always with 'teacher hats' firmly on. At the beginning of a week-end course I would say "Take off your 'teacher hats' for the time being so that you can have a 'dramatic experience'." 

2. Because neither the leaders nor the participants fully understood that they were creating a new genre, any attempt at explication continued to be couched in 'learning objectives' associated with Heathcote's original version of 'living through'.

3. Writers such as myself unnecessarily struggled to demonstrate the aesthetic or artistic dimensions of the work when, had it been understood as an alternative form of theatre rather than as a 'learning area', its artistic intent would have seemed self-evident. The 'struggle' lay in trying to accommodate a language of learning within the language of theatre.

4. Whereas Heathcote's approach had always been 'child-centred' in the sense that she based all her practice on her observation of what children were capable of (and beyond!), the alternative yardstick for thinking about drama in education (especially the Secondary age group) became the potential in the material for exploitation by skilled adults. Thus I was able to give an account in my 1992 publication\(^5\) of a drama sequence on Robin Hood with 9/10 years old pupils, which translated with facility to a powerful theatre experience with adults.

---

\(^4\)From Heathcote's own notes, dated May, 1996

5. I strayed from the Heathcote tradition while believing I was following it. The new genre required a different language which it has taken twenty years to find. The confusion caused by this theatre-centred work masquerading as learning-centred led to difficulties in communication, in spite of such concepts as 'process', 'pupil choice', 'negotiation', 'problem-solving', and, above all, 'living through' appearing to supply common ground. At an adult level, the existential quality of 'living through', uninterrupted by a teacher, but based on that teacher's careful structuring, was often sustained, in time and depth, well beyond what most classes of children would be capable of. Some teachers felt they had a new vision of what their pupils might emulate. Such teachers and Dorothy Heathcote were looking in different directions - while sounding as though they were facing the same way!

One of the dangers of this 'experiential' approach was that some who had found the kind of workshops described above as personally satisfying, mistakenly associated the new genre with therapy, a view far from my own and sickeningly offensive to Dorothy Heathcote. There is little doubt that all the arts and any other satisfying experience (like, for some, 'gardening') may be therapeutic, but that cannot be and must not be a goal in an educational setting. Another danger in this approach was that its exponents, at least in the 1970s, zealously confined the dramatic form to an improvisational mode, eschewing alternative forms such as script, exercises and depiction. Preliminary tasks might be necessary to set up the theme, but, once launched, it was thought that sustained improvisation offered the most potent experience. Its 'success' often depended on the intensity of the theme, providing a dynamic sustainable through a whole workshop. Given skilled leadership and commitment by the participants this sustained approach often worked, participants claiming they had had a worthwhile experience. In our enthusiasm for engaging with significant themes, we failed to

--

Hempstead. P. 70 -77

6 It is not the place here in this thesis to examine the differences between drama education and therapy. Historically, distinctions have been blurred, especially in the minds of teachers dealing with what used to be called 'remedial classes'. One of the British experts in the field of therapy entitled her first book Remedial Drama [Jennings, Sue (1973) Remedial Drama: A Handbook for Teachers and Therapists Pitman London] - notice the sub-title. A much later publication by Robert Landy [Landy, Robert (1986) op cit Pp 7 - 16 draws a distinction between 'Drama in Education', 'Drama in Recreation' and 'Drama in Therapy.
notice that Dorothy Heathcote was gradually turning away from drama as 'A Man in a Mess'.

David Davis is an example of a leader in the field who experimented with breaking away from whole group 'Living through' experience, finding an alternative form in the use of a carefully structured, sustained exercise in pairs that, because of its structure and retention of a sense of 'living in the present' as opposed to the more typical 'practising' or 'investigating' goals, had greater potential than the traditional exercise form. For Davis, a criterion of good work was the extent to which the participants in an improvisation actually suffered the relevant emotions. According to his paper "What Is 'Depth' in Educational Drama?" 'real' feeling is to be generated: "If the improvisation is carefully built up, it may be possible that the woman gets a real feeling of fear." He would sometimes adopt Stanislavski's 'objectives', giving separate instructions to each actor before an improvisation, deliberately giving them (unknown to each other) conflicting objectives so that irritation, or hurt or confrontation was guaranteed. Of course, he knew too that the eagerness of his classes to play such an exercise always qualified the rawness of the emotional engagement. Nevertheless the intensity of the exercise combined with its psychological focus, was a departure from Heathcote's combination of detachment with a cultural, rather than psychological, bias. Such work, as I have already pointed out, was thought to be respectfully following Heathcote's philosophy.

---

7David Davis is currently teaching at the University of Central England and was responsible for setting up the Drama Education Archives which house many video tapes of Dorothy Heathcote teaching in Birmingham Schools.
8Davis, D. (1976) op cit P. 94. Such a view is in marked contrast to Fleming's (1982 op cit P. 259) later distinction that although one might say that an actor's feeling of anger is real, it would not be appropriate to conclude that the actor was angry.
A word should be said here about the BBC films that have given Dorothy Heathcote's work such prominence. Because the cameras did their work well the acting behaviour of individual children in 'the Stool Pigeon' and 'Death of a President' scenes, may have become inflated in the minds of spectators. When, for instance the 'Stool Pigeon' breaks down and weeps, this appears to be a remarkable moment of natural, spontaneous expression of emotion (some might be led to think, epitomising Heathcote's work at its best). It was, however, a piece of effective contrivance between an astute film director and the boy actor who had previously raised the question with Heathcote and the class whether it would appropriate for his character to cry. Likewise the deft hiding of the keys when the guards suddenly arrive, had been worked out technically ready for the camera to 'make authentic'. More importantly from Heathcote's point of view, much of the preparation for the scene was taken up with building 'self-spectatorship' in all the boys and a rational examination of how people like guards signal power. By the time they 'performed' for the cameras, their engagement was as much an intellectual understanding as a 'feeling' experience. Reading Davis' "What is 'depth' in educational drama?", one is left with the impression that he, like many of us, saw it as a camera fortuitously picking up on a child's moment of shock at having betrayed his friends. Of course, in some sense, this was the case, but Heathcote knows the emotion of the incident was properly backed with intelligent calculation, understanding and self-awareness.

By the 1980s the dependence on 'Living through' Drama no longer prevailed. Experimentation in many kinds of dramatic forms occurred, but the notion of the dramatic sequence sustaining a single thematic cycle of experience was maintained, often leading to a carefully structured 'dramatic playing' mode and some form of recapitulation through depiction or even script-writing or interpreting. Below is such an example taken from my own teaching. A version

9See hand-written note by Heathcote, dated 16.05.96
of the plan was first used with adolescents in the late 1980s, and later in adult workshops\textsuperscript{10}. The description below was written as a 'teaching' document for students of drama education.

\begin{quote}
A lesson devised originally for adolescents preparing to study 'The Crucible' by Arthur Miller
\end{quote}

One of the difficulties for young pupils engaging with a dramatic text based on historical material is that their grasp on the hidden values of a 'strange' culture such as Puritan Massachusetts in the 17th century is too slender for them to make connections with their own lives. They may be inclined to regard people who were prepared to burn witches as quaint or mad or too childish to be worth bothering with. My purpose therefore in setting up a drama experience about the play before they read the script was to whet their appetites and to make the circumstances of the play seem more familiar.

In Miller's text he introduces the idea (based on historical fact) that a group of young girls gained so much power over the community that by merely pointing an accusing finger at any adult in the community, that victim would be sent to the stake.

My initial task towards preparing this lesson was to find a pivotal scene which would portray the period while at the same time capturing the sense of potential power over parents lying within the hands of their off-spring (I did not make a distinction, as Miller did, between boys and girls). The picture in my head, drawn from Miller's play, was of a community of families torn by rumour that some of their adolescent children might have been dancing naked in the nearby woods and engaging in black magic. I knew that, unless the class I was going to work with were very experienced, a confrontation between parents and children or any attempt at giving them the experience of 'dancing in the woods' would deteriorate into embarrassed flippancy or empty technique, for they would simply be engaged in presenting the surface of incidents rather than grappling with the implicit values underlying the incidents.

This is undoubtedly the drama teacher's continual dilemma - how may a class of pupils begin to engage with a culture's deepest values before they have any real grasp of either those values or the contexts in which those values might be expressed? In the early days of drama teaching, we used to rely on 'characterisation' as providing a base from which to begin, which we now realise

\textsuperscript{10}This description of the 'Crucible lesson' was written in preparation for a forthcoming publication by \textit{Contemporary Theatre Review} (Ed: Dan Urian, Haifa University) Harwood Academic Publishers, Switzerland.
is a dramatic cul de sac, for it too hastily sketches psychological differences at the expense of cultural sharing. It is of little educational and dramatic use to give the young actors a chance to enjoy defying their 'parents' if they have no shared understanding of a cultural system that is based on respect - for parents and the authority of the church and fear of God. To engage in an act of deceiving and lying to 'parents', however lively the improvisation may seem, actually takes the pupils away from the Puritan period and Arthur Miller's play - unless their act of deception can be carried out in the knowledge of the full horror of the cultural and religious rules they are choosing to break. This has to be the pivot of the scene.

With this in mind, I see a scene taking place in the town's meeting-house or chapel, with me in role as the 'Minister' or 'Pastor', shocked at the rumours I have heard of dancing and witchcraft, inviting the families I have sent for whose houses border onto the woods, to send their off-spring to the altar rail to swear on the Bible that they are innocent. The act of deception will be an isolated act, carefully timed, public and formal. Pupils may begin to engage dramatically with an unfamiliar context if the structure of a scene draws on that context's formal rules.

This picture I have of a pivotal scene represents the beginning of my planning, but, typically in what might be called 'Process Drama', it cannot necessarily be the beginning of the lesson itself. There are usually a number of steps emerging as preparation for such a scene. Below is an account of the whole session. I will attempt to give an explanation for each step.

1. I talk briefly about Miller's play, mentioning that Puritans of the period feared many things, including the supernatural - rather like our superstitions today. I then laid out on the ground, far apart, five or more big sheets of blank paper around which pupils could group to make a rapid list of all the superstitions they could think of. This is a useful releaser of class energy. Informally squatting on the floor they could just instruct their appointed 'scribe' to write whatever came into their heads, while they hear me, their teacher, half seriously, urge them to make a longer list than the next group. All light-hearted and fun - deliberately not striking a tone appropriate to the play.

11 Professor Cecily O'Neill of Ohio University, in her book Drama Worlds: a framework for process drama Hutchinson NJ Pp 34-5, also gives an account of this 'Crucible' sequence. A close analysis of my teaching has come from the pen of Margaret Burke of the University of Brock. See, for example, her account of a session with adults based on the Nursery Rhyme, 'Miss Muffet': "Learning from a Master: Bolton at Ohio, 1995" by Margaret Burke (1996) in The Journal of Ohio Drama Education Exchange Vol 1. No 1. Pp 51-66. For an account of a session with High School students at the University of Victoria on a 'serious accident', see Teaching Drama by Norah Morgan & Juliana Saxton (1987) Hutchinson London Pp 8-13.
2. I then ask them to walk round all the sheets, putting their initials by all the superstitions that they personally are sometimes affected by. This 'signing one's name' is an act of commitment and also has bearing on Miller's play, when John Proctor, in the last Act, cannot give the authorities his name! As they sign, I ask them, casually and lightheartedly, to count the number of superstitions they identify with. We then do a count, laughingly starting with the highest number (I think 25 was the most!) and then going down to 3 or 2 or even 1 - and "there may even be someone here who denies being affected by any of our list...." A chill creeps into my voice...notice this 'our' list, an exclusive and excluding touch. The one or two who have not signed anything are suddenly isolated...not belonging to the rest of us...different..."Come into the middle of the room and let the rest of us form a circle round you" ..."We don't like people who are different..." I then invite the others to harass the isolates. (It only lasts a minute or so - I'm not a sadist!) I stop it, put my around the 'victims' and thank them for showing us what 'The Crucible' is about: "This play is about gaining power by accusing people who seem to be different...."

3. All sitting in a circle now, I suddenly mime picking up a doll "a poppet, as they called it in Salem..." I slowly lifted the doll's petticoats, narrating as I carried out the actions, and thrust a long needle deep into the doll's belly..."for I have the power to curse as the children of Salem did, and if I wanted to curse someone I sent them this impaled poppet.." Again suddenly, I turned to my neighbour in the circle, thrust the 'doll' into his hands and instructed "pass it on" - and when, eventually it came round to me again - I refused to take it. Each child has a momentary public attention, as everyone watches how s/he receives and how s/he gives. A curse has descended on us! - and we have a feeling that 'theatre' has started.

4. Breaking the threatening mood, I invite the pupils to divide into 'family' groups, limiting each family to two or three adolescent children. They are invited to think of themselves as a family portrait, a still picture which will not only convey the 'purity' of the off-springs and the father as 'head of family', but also, if they wish, the less than passive role of the mother, who, I suggested, might have more authority at home than the public image of the 'head of the household' embraces. Any such authority in a female member of the family must just be hinted at in this 'portrait'. The final responsibility for the portrait lies with the 'parents', who are to try to make their children look like the most respectable and god-fearing children in Salem! I then, with each family formally facing inwards to the rest of the group, address each 'head of family' in turn, asking him to introduce each 'child' by name and to tell us whether each child has for example learnt to read the Bible aloud at meal-times. This is a very stiff interchange, anticipating the rigidity of address needed for the 'chapel' scene and giving the class chance to test whether they are capable of treating such formalities with due seriousness. Notice this chance I give the girls playing 'mothers' to take on a more forceful role, albeit subtly, for when I first tried this sequence, I realised that it virtually leaves these girls out as 'passive onlookers', unless I give them a chance to find an important niche. Preparation
for creating the portraits included a brief discussion about clothes - greys and blacks - hidden ankles and wrists and necks; daughters could use head-scarves so that 'not a thread of hair could be seen'.

5. "Parents, you may think, that your children are as innocent as you have made them look in this portraiture, but I have to tell you that, last night, round about midnight, some of your children were seen dancing naked in Salem woods - near your houses". Having announced this, I then invite them to split up, inviting the 'parents' to leave the room while their 'children' write down, each on a slip of paper, the word GUILTY or INNOCENT. Then they show what they have written to each other and we have within this 'children' group a number who took part in last night's dancing and those who did not. I invite them to memorise which is which - and then I hint darkly that those who are guilty, who will be denying that guilt in the next scene, 'playing a part of the innocent', might like, at some point when it seems most favourable, to drop hints that bring the accusation of guilt on the wrong children, on the innocent! - just as in Miller's play. Notice this 'last night' - we are now into 'drama time'. Allowing the pupils to choose whether they want to be guilty or innocent is a very important part of the proceedings. It gives them a feeling of being in charge of themselves and it creates a 'real' secret from the actors playing the 'parents' who, in actuality, do not know which are the offending offsprings.

6. The chapel scene begins with the families entering and the 'minister' giving them permission to take their usual family seats in the 'House of God'. In my role I then give them the news of sacriligeous behaviour in the woods. I warn them of the wrath of God and speak of dire punishment. I then invite each 'child' in turn to come forward, place a hand on the Bible (it has obviously to be not a Bible, of course - this is Drama) and say after me: "My soul is pure". Thus they can 'be' those citizens of Salem, because the context is so tightly structured that at every moment behaviour is regulated. The actors have no room for manoeuvre within the the imposed strictures, but of course the greater the limitations the more subtle can be the creativity - and individual input was sometimes astonishingly inventive - within the 'rules of the Puritan game'. The restrictions are both safe and constructive. It is the deep values of the culture that are dictating behaviour not 'personality'. The 'plot' for the scene was that the pastor had sent for the families - even though it was week-day and harvesting time - and they had had to change into their stiff 'chapel best'.

7. The 'minister' now instructs each family to seek privacy in one of the many chapel vestries in order to interrogate the children and "get them to confess" so that they may be publicly admonished. This is the most testing part of the whole session. If the previous steps have not been handled well, this scene, which requires small family groups to operate separately with the 'parents' taking charge (replacing the 'teacher-in-role' function of the teacher, as it were) will collapse. The security of the previous scene is replaced with too much room for manoeuvring in this one. Only if the
pupils are by now committed and if the 'parents' take on their responsibility is it going to work. My role is, of course, still available to intervene as the 'Minister just going round the vestries to see if anyone has confessed', should it be necessary. If all goes well, one or two innocent people will be 'named'!

8. Final scene, back in the chapel, in which the Minister invites confessions, and, just as in 'The Crucible', accusations. This scene may go in any direction. At its best, the class take over and the 'Minister' plays a minor role in the ways things are handled.
This handing over of power to the class is of course very important and cannot always be achieved within one session. In this particular lesson, such class autonomy is built into the plan. If it works well, the pupils will not only be trying to think inside the Puritan situation, but also trying to adopt a kind of stylised language to accompany their posturing.

9. Outside the drama, the 'children' reveal the truth! - and there is much laughter for many of the 'parents' were genuinely deceived.
A sign of a worthwhile drama experience at this point is whether the pupils, out of role, feel an urge to talk about it to each other. If your class remains respectfully silent, waiting for you to tell them what to do next, something has gone very wrong! - and this happened to me on one occasion. Mostly however, this sequence seems to have all the ingredients that make for good 'theatre' -and creates an eagerness to turn to Miller's text.

Clearly the above account intends to go beyond a mere description of what happened. Each episode of the lesson is used as a platform from which to explain the method to teachers inexperienced in 'Living through' Drama and, as such, has relevance to this thesis in that the mechanics behind setting up an exciting drama experience for both older adolescents and adults are made explicit. I think most teachers today using 'Process Drama' or 'Role Drama', as it is called in Canada, would agree that the above lesson is typical of the genre.

Having an account of such a lesson at hand, the opportunity to have it examined by Dorothy Heathcote with a view to getting her reaction and, further, inviting

\footnote{12}{For an excellent account of this Canadian genre see Role Drama by Carole Tarlington & Patrick Verriour (1991) Pembroke Publishers, Ontario}
her to indicate briefly how she might set about meeting the same objective seemed fortuitous and irresistible. I am grateful to her for her ready agreement.

Heathcote's reaction to the above lesson plan was generally to applaud its intention while expressing discomfort at what she calls the 'tricky bits', where I conn the pupils into vulnerability, especially in the example where I "invite the others to harass the isolates" - a "penalty undeserved", as Heathcote puts it, which "allows the teacher to retain power unnecessarily". She is wary too over leaving such an important matter as 'naming the innocent' to crude teacher hints. There was a need, she feels, earlier within the plan to spend more time on self-spectatorship, so that the initiative of blaming the innocent arises logically out of the adolescents' understanding of their situation. Indeed, Heathcote senses that a missing dimension in this plan is the preparing of the 'self-spectator', pointing, perhaps, to a fundamental difference between our priorities. More obviously divergent, however, is her unease at using improvisation to illuminate a text. She agrees that my plan will give the students insight into the background of the play, but its very theatrical achievement, may, in the long run, militate against close attention to Miller's text, which for her must be paramount.

In note-form Heathcote jotted down the kind of images that would become strands of a plan should she be faced with preparing work on The Crucible. Characters on a journey of 'judging' or 'being judged' became juxtaposed in her mind with what people were allowed to wear and that moment in their history when new rules about what was offensive decoration had to be acted upon...or else...!

13 Dated 15th May, 1996
14 Heathcote was doing this 'off the cuff' of course, aware that a close reading of the text may lead her to different images.
Such 'judging' images, Heathcote suggests, might be translated into active classroom tasks, such as examining the text (a cut-up text, or selected excerpts, displayed 'large' round the room) for 'judgemental statements'. These statements then to be selected and depicted by the pupils for the purpose of clarifying those elements necessary to the making of judgements. Alternatively, the making of a 'judgmental road map', separate routes indicating 'persons judged', 'persons who made mischief', 'persons trapped' 'persons questioned', and 'persons judging' etc, with cut-out figures on winding roads, matched by relevant quotes cut out from the text. In the depictions of textual judgements, the people in the picture, may, when invited, allow us to hear the extracts spoken, or the other members of the class may speak the lines for the characters, for all characters, it should be noted. For whereas my lesson plan guarantees arousal of interest in the blatant judgemental stance of some of the characters, not only are the more subtle accusations between, say, the Proctors (DH reminded me of John Proctor's "Oh Elizabeth your charity would freeze beer"), neglected, but, more importantly, the opportunity for awakening the students to the possibility of degrees of judgement is missed.

In Heathcote's version the 'costume' images would be circumscribed by a symbolic 'cutting out'. The representation of the richness of pre-Puritan garments, displayed, perhaps on the blackboard, would be overshadowed by the black outline of scissors, like a scorpion waiting to destroy - "and it is our hands (the class's, framed as - but not in role as - members of a Puritan community) that will have to use those scissors, deciding what the authorities want us to cut off - .....and could we hide some lace away somewhere?.....but who will be watching?....who will tell and accuse?" Privately, each of us writes a note confessing what we have kept and where it is hidden. An 'inspection' follows of the class wearing the 'seemly' garments, but, inspection over, we draw something we have removed (and kept!), and, ritualistically covering it with our
hands holding the symbolic scissors, we in turn speak our thoughts aloud of what other things are now forbidden - that family Christening gown of lace; the Maypole dance; the church bells; the feast days of cakes and ale; invented stories at the hearth. On the blackboard we are in a position now, to list things "missing from our lives" and "present in our lives".

This use of 'framing' by Heathcote, developed by her over the last twenty years, is one of the principal methodological innovations differentiating her later work from her earlier 'Living through Drama'. This example of working at the edge of the form (in this case 'living through') is typical of Heathcote's artistry. The members of the class are not to be 'in role' - they will not behave like people judging and being judged in an active present and presence of a Puritan setting. Nor will they, at the other extreme, as students, be discussing what the clothing of the times would be like and what lace would have to be removed to meet the new regulations. They will be 'framed' in a Puritan perspective, an incipient role, for the teacher addresses them both as students and as fellow Puritans. A hybrid aura is created in which the student/Puritans examine their situation from outside of it. They can regard a large portrayal of fashionable wear clipped onto the blackboard and suggest what will have to be removed. They may, in turn, go up to the model and remove an offensive piece of braid, while the teacher formally narrates the Church's attitude to what is being done, but neither the students nor the teacher is there in a Puritan context: they are on the edge of make-believe; no student is being a Puritan daughter tearfully removing the offending collar; no teacher is being a Pastor reprimanding his flock. It is, as it were, a direct route to implications and comments. Any actions, such as removing an item of embroidery from a model, are a means of conveying finely focused meanings, uncluttered by the complexity of being there in a multi-level psychological/social/cultural context. And yet, through the teacher's use of
language, drawing heavily on the images and references of Miller's play, the atmosphere of narrow-minded tyranny will be conveyed.

'Framing' overtakes direct use of 'teacher-in-role' in Dorothy Heathcote's 'living through' armoury. In the example given here of Drama based on a text, Heathcote had specific reasons for avoiding anything that smacked of improvisation because it often fails to serve the text, but we shall see in the final section of this chapter, when we look at her 'Mantle of the Expert' approach, that 'Living through' in the sense of 'being there in the centre of the event' has almost entirely faded from her repertoire of strategies. Through opening up the wider possibilities of 'Framing', she seems to see what I have described above as 'the direct route to implications and comments' as the more rewarding kind of educational experience.

A2. Process Drama

Cecily O'Neill who introduced the term 'process drama' into our drama education vocabulary has long been associated with the work of Dorothy Heathcote, notably since her collaboration with Liz Johnson in collecting within one volume the writings of Dorothy Heathcote published in international Journals over a period of 20 years. Two years before Dorothy Heathcote, appeared Drama Structures: A practical Handbook for Teachers, written by O'Neill in collaboration with an ex-student of Heathcote's, Alan Lambert.

This latter text begins unambiguously with "Drama in education is a mode of learning." By this date, 1982, although the general public might have needed

---

15Cecily O'Neill currently divides her time between her responsibilities as an Associate Professor of Drama Education in the School of Teaching and Learning, Ohio State University, Columbus and her free-lance work in England and other countries
some persuasion about the validity of this statement, there was a fair guarantee that most of the people likely to read such a book would perceive the assertion by O'Neill and Lambert as familiar rhetoric. Nor would their readers be surprised that the lessons described in the book included the use of 'teacher-in-role', teacher narration, whole group decision-making, small group tasks, interaction in pairs, the use of depiction, enquiry into issues related to curriculum subjects, moral dilemmas, related written work and art work. What was new for readers was the authors' attempt to show, in considerable detail, patterns of carefully structured dramatic sequences based on Heathcote's methodology and philosophy. Sample examples of 'Living through' drama already available in print were to be found in: Heathcote's various journal articles in which she would refer to key moments of a lesson; in Betty Jane Wagner's analysis, which tended to abstract vivid incidents from lessons to illustrate Heathcote's pedagogy; and in my own 1979 publication which tended to use actual classroom practice as illustrative of some theoretical point. O'Neill and Lambert's purpose was rather different. By giving detailed reports on lessons they had taught in schools, they sought to help readers identify, not a formula, but guidelines for developing a cumulative process of learning when planning to engage a group of pupils with a topic of interest. Each sequence had been tested with more than one class, so that alternative routes are sometimes included in the account.

---

18By this time there were a growing number, if small minority of Arts education experts, such as Malcolm Ross, David Aspin and Peter Abbs whose theoretical position embracing the idea of a generic base for all the arts prevented them from accepting learning as of prior importance in drama education.
19Narration by the teacher in Heathcote's and O'Neill's work had many different functions, but usually avoided dictating actions by the pupils of the kind described in the chapters on Slade and Way.
20Wagner, B.J. (1976) op cit
21Bolton, G. (1979) op cit
Before Heathcote's work became known the pattern most familiar to teachers was 'plot'. O'Neill and Lambert see 'structure' as overriding 'plot', even when the dramatisation is embedded in a time sequence such as their lesson based on 'The Way West'. The authors warn:

Since this theme has a kind of narrative shape provided by the 'journey', it is important not to allow the linear development of story-line to take over. If it happens, the work may become merely a series of incidents - 'what happened next'. Instead, drama is likely to arise from moments of tension and decision, or when the settlers must face the consequence of their actions.

Following faithfully Heathcote's search for ways of opening up an understanding in her pupils that every person's action betrays deeper values, the teacher of 'The Way West', in using the device of 'family' photographs (as in The Crucible example above), demands of one or two pupils to answer the following kinds of questions from their picture frame: "What are you looking forward to in your new life?"; "What do you fear most in the months which lie ahead?"; "What do you miss?"; "Any regrets?"

Above all, their work derived from a respect shared by Heathcote for how a dramatist works. These practitioners understood, for instance, how the deliberate manipulation of events out of their natural time sequence multiplies the perspectives from which they may be viewed. 'Looking back' or 'looking forward' to an event highlights and makes accessible hues of significance not available if one can only be in the event. The potential for 'Living through' Drama expands, making a cascade of possibilities if the present embraces the past and the future, if the pain of an event was 'yesterday' or the implication of an event is 'tomorrow'.

O'Neill and Lambert fed this 'expanded 'Living Through' into many of their lessons. The creative manipulation of time$^{23}$ became part of their 'dramatist's' repertoire. An example from Drama Structures is entitled 'Disaster'. We have seen that Chris Day's (1975) research$^{24}$ established that 'disasters' rated high on the list of topics popular with pre-adolescent pupils for drama, so that it is not surprising that we read in O'Neill's and Lambert's text that "They (their class) had asked to work on the theme of 'Disaster'"$^{25}$. Day's strong sense of the dramatic led him, in his plan for a lesson on 'volcanoes'$^{26}$, to juxtapose the timing of the first rumbles of the volcano with a fair being held for the villagers who lived beneath it, thus sharpening the experience through vivid contrast - and the pupils worked at creating their light-hearted fairground in the knowledge that the volcano is metaphorically looming over them. When Day, the 'dramatist', gauges that they are 'ready', using a cymbal, he begins the ominous rumble - and they experience (albeit, as suggested before, 'romantically') the moment of disaster.

With rather older pre-adolescents, O'Neill begins the lesson with:

'Twenty-five years ago, in a certain community, a terrible disaster occurred. The way of life of that community was change forever. The young people of the community who had been born after the disaster decide to commemorate the twenty-fifth anniversary by presenting a play about the disaster. They hope this will remind the people of what happened, and will prevent such a disaster from ever happening again.'

---

$^{23}$Cecily O'Neill's fascination with time as a key element in drama emerged in her MA dissertation with Durham University (1978) entitled "Drama and the Web of Form".

$^{24}$Day, Chris (1975) op cit P. 26. [See also Page 272 of Chapter 8]


$^{26}$Day, Chris (1975) ibid Pp 51-2
This class of fourteen year pupils were then invited to select a disaster. They decided that a man-made, rather than a natural disaster, would be more interesting to explore and chose a nuclear explosion, not due to war, but to a result of a series of blunders on the part of the government.

O'Neill begins the work on this theme by inviting the class in small groups to show some background to the disaster, such as what errors of judgement were made and how communities were affected by it. These are played without comment, simply supplying images of an event. O'Neill now reverts to her original ploy - the idea of young people born after the event using an art form to commemorate it. Thus the 'Living through' mode, the sustained 'now', as it were, of the drama is occurring outside the time of the incident and yet safely invites concentration on that incident. Just as thrumming on the cymbal to create a volcanic eruption could be said to be in keeping with a Brian Way tradition, this example of finding a reason to place the class outside the event in order to look at it could be said to be true to Dorothy Heathcote.

However, and this is my reason for using this particular illustration, Cecily O'Neill drew from her dramatist's palate, a colour Dorothy Heathcote was by the mid-1970's deliberately avoiding. O'Neill describes the next step of her lesson:

...the teacher...takes on the role of a visitor from the State Council for the Arts, and tells them that she is very pleased that they are working so hard to commemorate this tragic incident in history. She admires their talent, as well as their effort and dedication. However [my italics], she is slightly unhappy about the way they have chosen to approach their text. They seem to be taking a rather negative view of the whole incident. They also seem to have got their facts wrong. She tells them that it is now known that the
disaster was due to the sabotage of one employee, who had become unbalanced due to overwork...

Gradually, the pupils begin to recognise they are being subjected to an act of deception which becomes clearer when 'this nice lady from the State Council for the Arts' further suggests that should they consider changing the form of the commemoration, a great deal of financial support from the Government might be forthcoming. Suddenly, as a direct result of teacher intervention, the pupils find themselves in a play about State repression for which the 'Disaster' was but a pretext - and O'Neill has in this one strategy moved her work in a direction with which Heathcote has less sympathy.

This injection by the dramatist/teacher of dramatic irony into the structure of the sequence is an example of moving the experience towards what I referred to in the last chapter as a new genre for which I may initially have been responsible and to which Cecily O'Neill eventually applied the name 'Process Drama'. It is a predominantly 'Living through' experience derived from Heathcote, but crucially independent of her in respect of its theatricality. Within three introductory pages of her later publication O'Neill (1995) is able to claim both that "Process Drama is almost synonymous with the term drama in education" and that it is a "theatre event". Significantly, there is no repeat in Process Drama of "Drama in Education is a mode of learning". It is not that O'Neill is now denying learning outcomes, but that by seeing her brand of drama education as a genre of theatre, the term 'learning' appears too narrow, too limiting, too reminiscent of teaching objectives. In Drama Structures (1982) the authors, while struggling towards a new form of 'Living through' Drama, nevertheless cling to specified learning categories. In Process Drama

27 O'Neill, C.C. (1995) op cit xv & xiii, respectively
28 See Drama Structures P.11
29 They are (1) Learning arising from content of the lesson; (2) Social learning; (3) Skills and
(1995) the participants are not treated explicitly as 'learners', but as active agents making theatre happen. O'Neill explains:

When drama techniques are valued only for their capacity to promote specific competencies and achieve precise ends, and remain brief, fragmented, and tightly controlled by the teacher or director, the work is likely to fall far short of the kind of generative dramatic encounter available in process drama.

It is the language of theatre that Cecily O'Neill now applies to her study of how Process Drama works. This is not the traditional language of climax, shape plots & sub-plots but contemporary theatre language of episodes, transformation, ritual, spectatorship, alienation and fragmentation. She is intent, by references to dramatists and theatre theorists, on drawing parallels between the components of a play performance and those of a drama sequence. It is improvisation, however that she sees at the centre of Process drama, even though she may employ depiction and scripts as part of the sequence.

I propose now to analyse an example of Process Drama which seems to epitomise the approach, "Frank Miller"

Frank Miller

O'Neill gives two separate versions of this sequence about the return of an exile. The first account, making up the book's Preface not only gives the reader a flavour of what Process Drama feels like, it points to a critical importance of sequential improvisation within a larger structure.
feature, that the experience of Process Drama, like any significant event in
life, readily lends itself to becoming a narrative. For a participant, in
retelling 'what happened to me in our dramatic event', the necessary
reformulation into a story becomes a source for new understanding.

I will now attempt to analyse the episodic record shown in the first
chapter. I will centre on assumptions about acting behaviour of the
participants, drawing attention to O'Neill's own analysis of drama elements
where appropriate.

1. The leader in role speaks to the whole group and announces that news
has come that Frank Miller intends to return to town. What is his purpose
in coming back and what action should the townspeople take to protect
themselves? There are implied questions about their involvement in
Frank's departure ten years previously.

This use of teacher in role is exciting and threatening to both teacher and
members of the class. The range of reception of this 'pre-text' with some
classes will be from 'cynical', through 'entertained' to 'captivated'. This is
the first step in what Heathcote calls 'allurement', when there is a partial,
collective mental engagement with teacher's 'performance' in role. The
teacher is signing that she is in a fictitious mode and is inviting the class to
join her. The responses, according to O'Neill in her Preface, are unevenly
helpful, from "perhaps it's a joke" to "He could be out there waiting for
us." It is integral to 'Process Drama', as indeed to all 'Living through'
approaches, that elements of this 'reader' response will become
incorporated into the subsequent 'text'. The class, however, are readers
framed. Like it or not they have been endowed with an incipient role as
people with a past who share some kind of relationship with a 'Frank
Miller'. To play this game properly, the members of the class are restricted
to behaving as if they already own this fiction, a conspiracy of deception,
impossible to sustain beyond a few minutes.

The leader clarifies some of the details that have emerged, and the group
decides on further elaborations of time and place.

---

32 O'Neill (1995) ibid Pages 1-3
33 I can recall doing this kind of introduction on one occasion when one section of the adult
class, giving the lead to others, announced they were all newcomers to the town and therefore
had no memories!
34 O'Neill writes that "It is useful to conceive of the text, whether written, improvised or
transcribed, as the "weave" of the event. [O'Neill, C.C. (1995) ibid P. 19]
Relief may be felt by the participants that the conspiracy is temporarily over, and they are free to become planners of drama rather than 'be there'. This section turns out to be absolutely crucial to the rest of the work, for their decision that they as townsfolk all had a hand in sending Frank Miller to jail ten years ago combines a dramatist's touch with pedagogic genius, for in that single decision lies the potential for each member of that class to 'own' Frank Miller, the story and the character. Each person in the room has effectively been 'given' a piece of history which theoretically links him/her with Frank Miller. The possibility for Frank Miller existing for everyone has begun, albeit, at this early stage, merely a seed of an idea.

*Working in small groups, the participants create tableaux of a number of moments in the early life of Frank Miller*

This 'composition', as O'Neill calls it, is an exploration, no doubt quite shallow, of possibilities, a sketching of images to see what appears, but it also represents a commitment, for each sketching publicly scrutinised becomes definable. The participants own their bit, however sketchy, of Frank Miller's history.

*Improvised encounters. The students work in small groups as they meet and attempt to identify strangers at different locations in town, 'sussing out' which is Frank Miller.*

The class are in familiar 'Brian Way' territory here (recall his method of getting participants to talk about a problem - for instance, the 'factory strike' in pairs, then in a small group). It is an 'exercise' with a single objective, to 'play at' interacting with strangers. It is carried out in small groups simultaneously throughout the room. It may be an unexceptionable example of an exercise structure, but, for the first time, the participants are on their feet 'being' townsfolk, as opposed to 'demonstrating' them, using what I have previously referred to as a 'dramatic playing mode' as opposed to 'performance mode'. I suspect that this flavour of 'being townsfolk' was but briefly sustained. Like most exercises of this kind, unsupported by 'teacher-in-role', its status is such that the teacher avoids underlining its outcome as critical, so that if any participants fail to 'play' convincingly to themselves (and pitfalls abound), there is little loss of face or of drama. The teacher may have wanted it merely to serve as a break with the pressure 'to be seen to be doing well' of the previous exercise. Indeed, however tentative or rough-hewn, it can supply the material for the more serious step to follow:

---

35The word 'ownership' is very useful, embracing as it does a mental commodity that may both expand and become more dense. That is, out of the experiences of the drama session, a participant may both acquire more knowledge about something and become more responsible and possessive about it. In this example, a participant may learn more about 'Frank Miller' and also 'identify' with Frank Miller. That 'identifying' process may arise from acting or directing or contributing to a discussion. [An interesting B.Ed dissertation by Carol Malczewski of Victoria University, British Columbia (1988) is entitled "Toward a Theory of Ownership in the Dramatic Process"]

36See Page 257 in Chapter 8
One of these encounters is recreated for the rest of the group, and it emerges that Frank Miller has indeed returned.

I suspect that the connection between this enacted performance of the Sherriff approaching two strangers and the original 'dramatic playing' of that meeting was but slender. It is a typical 'Living through' Drama ploy that the teacher can take a pedestrian contribution and elevate it into something significant. Dorothy Heathcote is always keen, for example, to write up on a blackboard even the most casually dropped in answer to her question, knowing that a response spelled out on a blackboard by a teacher suddenly changes its status. In this lesson a casual bit of roleplaying can suddenly become enhanced by its being selected for demonstration, as though the original 'dramatic playing' was but a sketched outline, a point of reference to be filled out - and, one can be sure, the incident thus demonstrated takes on unexpected prominence, especially in the particular instance of O'Neill's lesson, since the person playing Frank Miller, when asked by the 'Sheriff' what his occupation was, answered "Hate".

Working in pairs, participants discuss, in role as past associates of Frank and friend, the particular implications of Frank's return. What effect will it have on the lives of those who knew him well or feared him most? Half the group, the confidantes, reflect aloud on the information acquired, their partners listening. The class then select the character who had a son, born soon after Frank had left.

There are three parts to this item. The role play in pairs, sharing a worry with a friend, is a more secure exercise than the previous one of assessing whether a stranger could be Frank Miller. The familiarity of the interaction is likely to give the 'worried one' a sense of becoming a character in a play. Immediately afterwards the responsibility switches to the listeners who feed back to each other (and to the 'audience' of friends) what they have heard. Once more a piece of role-play is made to be significant, for one group now hear their own words reported back. This confirms their place in the play. However, that confirmation is but temporary, for the teacher wants them to select one of these characters. In choosing the 'Postmistress whose son thinks his father is dead', the group show a sharp sense of what would be dramatic and a mature willingness to cooperate in giving up their individual creations - just as they have been elevated to public acknowledgement. Such a switch of loyalties could be said to be typical of Process drama. It is conceivable that for some participants a process of 'ownership' of role had begun, now to be abandoned.

Returning after a break, the class are invited to participate in a game - Hunter and Hunted, which the teacher sets up as Frank and Sarah (the postmistress). Two volunteers are blindfolded and are required to find escape each other within a circle of watchers.

Reviving an atmosphere from a previous session can present problems. A game such as the above brings back the feeling of what they have been creating, a feeling they could easily lose if new details had been
immediately pursued. Central to Process Drama is the sense of experiencing the fiction\textsuperscript{37}, even while making decisions about it. The teacher in this sequence wants the class to feel that Frank Miller is \textit{there} as they make up their play about him. In this way it is an overarching 'Living through' experience for the participants, even though they may be planning or directing or playing a game.

\textit{Narration by the leader to clarify the development of the work so far.}

No doubt this narration, while useful for recalling and tidying up details, is also recreating Frank Miller's presence in that room.

\textit{The students work in pairs. One is Frank and the other is his son. Frank knows who the boy is, but the son only knows Frank as a stranger.}

This moves the drama into a different gear. On the surface it is but another 'pairs exercise', but it is an advance on any exercise in Brian Way's repertoire. The mode will certainly be 'dramatic playing', but deception has crept in, which now colours every gesture, every line of dialogue. The actors can enjoy the theatre game of two characters who cannot be explicit, the one because he cannot tell; the other because he does not know. It seems that this theatrical dynamic overrides the disappointment some may have felt that they have dropped their incipient roles for the sake of class consensus. It is possible that ownership of the emerging story is gaining priority over specific role-experience.

This kind of 'theatre game' marks again Process Drama's departure from Heathcotian principles. Whereas Heathcote might, very occasionally, set up such a scene to be demonstrated for the benefit of scrutineers, she would avoid setting it up as an exercise to be experienced simultaneously in pairs. Process Drama seeks such an opportunity, seeing it as central to the creative sequence.

\textit{Forum Theatre. Two students volunteer to play the scene where Frank's child tells his mother about his meeting with Frank.}

An active audience direct the scene, suggesting dialogue and reactions. An example of collective 'ownership' of the fiction.

\textit{A Dream Sequence. The class works in three larger groups, creating a "dream" in sound and movement for either Frank, Sarah or their son.}

Another chance to experience their fiction differently, at a more abstract level of guilt or wishful fantasy or ugly memories, using expressive resources of 'musical gesture'\textsuperscript{38} rather than the 'naturalism' of preceding exercises and demonstrated scenes. Here the teacher is electing to tap the thematic thrust of the fiction, temporarily taking its meaning beyond 'who should say what to whom and how someone might feel about it'. When the

\textsuperscript{37}This point is brought out well by David Davis in interviewing Dorothy Heathcote who says: "I would say you are always in the play whenever the mind's image begins to affect how you're feeling about what's going on here..." [Davis, David (1985) "Dorothy Heathcote interviewed by David Davis" in 2D Vol 4, No 2. Spring]

\textsuperscript{38}To use Jacques-Dalcroze's useful term
participants return to naturalism some will carry something of this thematic impulse into it.

In threes, the family has a meal
Pure improvisation, without an audience. This is another example of the dramatic playing mode elevated through appropriate tension within the structure. More demanding than the equally telling previous scene of Frank meeting his son, for it is a three-way interaction the experience of which could be seen by the participants as making or breaking the worthwhileness of the whole work. The level of ownership needs to be such that the improvisation both feels authentic and achieves a significant outcome, one worth reporting to the rest of the class. Again, it is a significant part of Heathcote's and O'Neill's approaches that 'reporting', whether as in this instance to the class or just to one's own diary, is an enhancement mechanism for elaboration or refinement.

Three volunteers recreate their scene for the rest of the group. Tensions grow between the characters. Inner "voices" are added. The scene ends with a threat of violence and the characters trapped in their isolation. Once more there is a relinquishing of one's own creation for the sake of selecting one. It seems that the collective passion about themes is to override personal ownership. This is not a criticism of the lesson or of the method. Indeed the alienation built into this requirement to lay one's own pursuit on one side may be regarded as a strength of the experience. Ultimately, it is the 'spectator' component that predominates. Process drama in O'Neill's hands has returned unerringly to Heathcotian objectives, an achievement missing from the work by me on The Crucible described above.

It is also in Heathcotian style that O'Neill adopts the 'inner voices' as part of the final presentation and again, in the following description of the final episode of the Process drama sequence, O'Neill is inviting the participants as spectators to confirm what they now understand. "What did I discover as an actor?", although not without importance, has been overtaken by "What do we, as audience, now 'read' into the complexities of our Frank Miller situation?":

Earlier tableaux are recalled, and each of the Franks is isolated and placed in relationship to the others. One extra figure is added to show Frank as he is at the end of the drama.

The whole sequence, culminating in this final reflection, has indeed been "its own destination and the group an audience to its own acts."39 Theatre has been made.

---

O'Neill's interpretation of 'Living Through' Drama has been sophisticated in its conception and multiformal in its methodology. The impression should not be made however that all interpreters of Heathcote moved towards complexity. We will now examine an example of teaching that occurred in a Primary School in 1987 which qualifies as 'Living through' drama in that 'teacher-in-role' is used continually to sustain a sense of 'being there', but its lack of planning, lack of opportunity for pupil reflection and undeviating use of a 'dramatic playing' mode, move it into a version of Heathcote's approach that Dorothy Heathcote herself would not employ. At the same time, its contrast with Process Drama could not be more marked, and yet we shall see that it is equally dependent on elements of theatre.

B. Research into 'Living through' Drama by Peter Millward

I have suggested that the above accounts of practice by Bolton and O'Neill, respectively, represent a re-interpretation of 'Living through' practice, but in attempting to give instances from Heathcote's practice in the previous chapter, I may have lost sight of its definitive character. One of the confusions is that although the words 'living through' imply that important sense of 'being there in the present and presence', Heathcote's methodology also builds in its opposite of 'being outside it'. There is a mercurial inside-outside dialectic that heightens awareness. Thus 'Living through' implies continually arresting the process of living to take a look at it, and it is the 'spectator' as much as the 'participant' that re-engages with that 'living'.

---

'Living through' drama is virtually adult dependent, both 'inside' (teacher-in-role) and 'outside' (initiating the 'spectator' function). It is not, therefore, the same as 'dramatic playing', for that suggests children behaving in the fictitious present, but without an adult in role or, as sometimes happens, with an adult in role, but virtually extinguished by new developments in the drama.

It now seems possible to define Heathcote's version of 'Living through' as a form of drama in which the whole class with teacher engage (not knowing how it will evolve, although in much of Heathcote's work the children predecide the final outcome) as both percipients and participants, a dialectic of energy fed by the teacher from both inside and outside the episodic dramatic event.

We will now look at Peter Millward's account of his own unique experimentation in 'Living through'. It is unique in a number of respects, one being that he did not intend, when he set up the experiment, that it should turn into drama. His initial interest was in how eight-year old children contribute to a discussion and for this purpose arranged to have a teacher colleague take a mixed ability group of six children to an empty staffroom so that Millward could sound record the proceedings. What started as a discussion on volcanoes Millward suddenly took over simply because "...it felt right". Even when the recording of this tentative venture into drama was over, Millward did not immediately see it as a basis for his research. However, three months later, he invites the same six children to continue the drama from where they had left off, which they do with only a modicum of prompting from Millward. For the first time up to now in my writings I have used the terms synonymously. It seems more useful to reserve 'dramatic playing' for those moments in the drama when children improvise freely independently of teacher in role with them.

I think up to now in my writings I have used the terms synonymously. It seems more useful to reserve 'dramatic playing' for those moments in the drama when children improvise freely independently of teacher in role with them. It will be recalled (See Chapter 9, P 339 below) that Mike Fleming introduced this term into drama education vocabulary. Millward, Peter (1988) ibid Vol 2 P. iv. Millward explains: "There was no plan to move the discussion into drama and it is not easy to say why I decided to interrupt: it just seemed a good opportunity."
recording, the children and the original teacher (who took no part in the drama) continued to sit round a staffroom table as they 'slipped into' drama. For the second recording, they moved around in a chosen space in the hall. Throughout both recordings Millward was in role. Thus the data for this research is uniquely a record of the dialogue that emerged from the interactions of a teacher and just six pupils in a fiction-making context, the topic of which was ostensibly 'living under a volcano', an extension of what they had been discussing. The teacher had no preconceptions of where it was going and certainly no notion of teaching the six something about volcanoes.

We have a complete recording, then, of an unassuming, unsophisticated version of 'Living through' Drama. In addition, we have Millward's penetrating analysis from an ethnomethodological perspective. Millward gives us both an unpretentious, 'pure' example of 'Living through' drama and a way of talking about it that is of critical interest to this study.

The Drama

Having asked permission to interrupt the discussion Millward finds himself saying:

Teacher  Can you imagine that each of you....are a person who lives in a little village by a volcano, all right? And I'm a stranger and I'm coming to talk to you. All right?

All  Mmm

Teacher  Can you do that from this moment? Stop being yourselves for a moment, well, be yourselves....but [laughter] be yourselves in this village.

Ian  Mmm

Teacher  All right?

All  Mmm
Deceptively fumbling, Millward invites his group of six to stumble with him into living beneath a volcano, interrupting a discussion on what it must be like for people living in such circumstances. The 'fumbling' of course is this teacher's way of ensuring that what ensues is 'Living through'. He could have said, "This has been a very interesting discussion; shall we turn it into a play?" Millward believes there are two traps in such a question. Firstly, the 'we' [shall we turn it into a play] reinforces the framework of 'a teacher and six pupils' for in that staffroom that is who they are. Having engaged in discussion they (the said 'teacher and pupils') would have then switched to a different 'teacher and pupils' task, that is, - making up a drama. According to Millward, 'Pupils doing drama' about volcano living is of a different order from 'living under a volcano'. Hence Millward's deliberate 'Stop being yourselves...well, be yourselves in this village' muddle. It is his way of saying "Be here now". They are not to 'do drama' but to 'present experience dramatically'. Of course they will continue to be pupils with a teacher and the school staffroom will remain as a stubborn reminder of who they are and where they are, but in the 'dramatic presentation of experience' such a school framework may fade (but never entirely disappear) in favour of the new framework of people living here, at the foot of a dangerous a mountain.

Secondly, making a play about people who live beneath a volcano traps the class into an unhelpful assumption about the nature of drama. It is one (Peter Millward has pointed out) that writers on drama education misleadingly convey when they imply that the meaning of drama lies in its faithful representation of a 'real' world. Such writers do not always take care to

---

45 Millward draws our attention to a number of quotations behind which lurks the idea that drama reflects 'real' life. For instance, Cecily O'Neill writes of "measuring the fictional against the actual" [O'Neill, Cecily (1985) "Imagined Worlds in Theatre and Drama" in Theory into Practice, Vol 24 No 3 Summer. P. 159] and Brian Watkins writes of drama as a "model of the social interaction we experience everyday." [Watkins, Brian (1981) Drama and Education Batsford Academic & Educational Ltd. London. P. 17]
distinguish between drama as an experience in its own right and drama as a duplication. 'Shall we turn our discussion into a play?' appears to be inviting a class to consider people who live beneath volcanoes and represent their lives by imitating them as best we can. This would, in Millward's terms, be 'doing drama', drawing on an imitative talent that does not belong to 'Living through'. Thus the two traps within the question, "Shall we do a play about people living beneath a volcano?" relate to who (teacher and pupils) and what (representation of those volcano people).

Millward could, of course, have chosen to invite the six children to 'just play' at living underneath a volcano, clearing a space in the staffroom for them to do so. Assuming they were capable or motivated to be so engaged, this would have amounted to what I have defined above as 'dramatic playing'. For Millward, however, this was not an alternative choice. Straightaway he brings in teacher-in-role, a dimension, as we have seen, critical to 'Living through'. His choice of role ("And I'll be a stranger and I'm coming to talk to you") is highly significant at a number of levels affecting the ensuing drama. Three will be discussed below.

(1) "And I'm coming to talk to you" deftly takes them into the drama without a break, for talking is what he is already doing, so that when he continues with:

Teacher You know, what I can't understand...is, being a stranger and not living in a place like this little village which you live in with that great volcano smoking away all day...what I can't understand is why you still stay here....why do you keep your village down here below this great volcano?

---

46 His account and recording of the original discussion suggests that these children were a long way from being ready to 'play' at Volcanoes. (See Chapter 3, Vol 1)
47 Page 21 of transcript (Vol 2)
they, the children, are able to continue sitting in their chairs\textsuperscript{48} 'hearing' that they are somewhere else and no doubt 'seeing' the 'stranger's' uplifted nod in the direction of something towering above them. Only in the second session of this drama, three months later, do the six 'get on their feet'. This sedentary acting behaviour represents a huge contrast with the Stone/Slade/Way 'physical education' starting-point for drama work. All that appears to be necessary, for drama of this kind is a token physicality (in this instance the upward movement of the stranger's eyes). Necessary, but obviously not always sufficient, that is, for we shall see actions later take on central importance.

(2) By taking on a role of someone who may ask questions Millward appears here to be perpetuating 'the power teachers have over children' and exercising his teacher 'rights' to ask questions. The diffident style of the teacher's language, however, is sufficient to signal that the social context has changed. Just as the physical change was virtually non-existent, so the structural aspect of 'teacher asking questions to which children answer' has not changed. What \emph{has} changed is this teacher's whole demeanour including his choice of paralinguistic signals. The first two dimensions, the physical and the structural components, keep the children anchored in a school setting; it is the \emph{style} of presentation, illuminating 'stranger' and 'village dwellers' that appears to be just sufficient, at this testing moment of opening up a 'Living through' drama, to help the children present their experience dramatically. Only just sufficient, for after a four second silence\textsuperscript{49} from the class, one boy risks "You get plenty of water", an answer, as

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{48}This example has some similarity with what I have elsewhere [Bolton, G. (1992)...op cit Pp 60-61] described as 'Sitting down' drama, an introduction to dramatic behaviour I have found very useful with classes unused to drama, but I have not come across any account of it other than my own.
\item \textsuperscript{49}Millward describes that moment in these terms: "It is at moments such as this that we can feel the full weight of the years of schooling, of the time spent as teachers and pupils. The four second pause which follows the teacher's introduction seems like an awfully long gap....It is uncomfortable because we are straddled between the two levels of experience. The teacher is already committed, and is 'reaching back' to see if the others will follow." [Millward, P. (1988)...ibid Vol I. P. 163]
\end{itemize}
Millward points out, safely poised between two structures, for such an answer cleverly satisfies both 'pupil responding to teacher' and 'villager responding to stranger' contexts. The same boy's subsequent generalisation ("There's water in the ground in some volcanoes") suggests a reversion to the former context, but the 'stranger's "Do you all have hot water in your huts?" now throws out a challenge to establish a 'volcano' context in which they can no longer cling to being pupils in a staffroom. "Yes", they answer in turn - and when, to the teacher's follow up question, "Has any of you [and here the 'stranger' adopts a newly serious tone] ever had a... close friend... hurt... or even killed by the volcano?", one girl affirms that this is the case, they are suddenly people endowed with a past history - and there is no going back.

3. We have seen that an important part of Dorothy Heathcote's management of 'Living through' drama is her constant departure from role in order to get a class to reflect and dig deeper into what they are creating. Peter Millward has chosen one of the few roles open to a teacher that have their own built-in reflection device, for as a 'stranger' he can pose questions at an ever deepening level and, equally important to 'Living through' drama, his 'strangerness' inherently contributes to creating an image of the children as a community, for his role is a catalyst to their collective role. His 'strangerness' to a community provides the natural dynamic for giving it an identity, which the rest of the dialogue proceeds to do. From 'past injury', the questions and answers between stranger and villagers moved to 'thoughts of climbing the volcano', which the children turn into a taboo, for reasons to do with 'jewels at the top' ('you can see 'em glittering at night'), which turn out to be a 'sign of the great god'...who turns climbers into victims...kept 'in the heart, the heart of the volcano'. To the teacher's question "How do you know he (the great god) put them there?", one of the answers is "We just believe in him". Others know he is there, "cause you see him on a night...his..."---"great crown against the sky."---"against the sky".
All of which speedily, but with no prompting from the teacher, turns into a drama in which, before 'high priests' the 'stranger' is challenged with "Have you learnt the great laws?"50

Thus in response to a teacher whose mind was pursuing matters of practical significance to do with constant hot water and climbers getting hurt, the children take their play into mystical and canonical realms. There seems no reason for this. All one can do is note the point where the class seem to veer away from the practical51:

```
Teacher | Why do they try to come up it...I mean do...do you any of you try to go up sometimes?
Mark    | No
Julia   | No way
All     | No
Teacher | Mmm
Ian     | That's 'cause there's...'cause there's treasure on the top.
Teacher | Are there? Are they your treasures?
Ian     | The island's treasures
All     | Yeah
Mark    | Yeah, the island's
```

Within a couple of pages of transcript these eight year olds land themselves with an implied guardianship of the 'great god's' treasures, which later becomes tested when the 'stranger' asks their permission to "live here too". These children are writing their own Homeric text when the 'stranger' enquires52:

```
Teacher | Do you think I could come and live here too? [there are chuckles from the class]
Julia    | Yes.
Teacher | Do you have strangers or not?
Ian      | Mmm...well..
Shirley  | Yeah, we have...
Teacher | What would I have to do to be able to come and live here?
Shirley  | Believe in our god and do our ways
```
Ian  Climb the great mountain. And if he doesn't, he won't be one of our people.

These young children are penetrating into a community's values - and it is the teacher's choice of role that has created the opportunity to do so. Everything they do subsequently in their 'Living through' is sustained by this deeper commitment, which they have to work hard to maintain.

The ethnomethodological theory adopted by Millward is of social experience as a managed accomplishment, of social life existing "in the manner through which we attend to it". His purpose is to extend that theory to embrace drama. He argues that just as there is tacit agreement among those involved in a social situation to make that situation meaningful through their talk and actions, so people participating in presenting experience dramatically honour the same agreement and draw on the same kind of 'common knowledge' to make the dramatic situation meaningful. In the everyday presentation of experience, meaning is located in the work done by those involved to give their experience stability and character so that it may appear to themselves and others as real.

Only when something goes wrong in our everyday management of social experience are we made to be aware of this collaborative effort. In presenting experience dramatically, however, we are conscious of what we are doing; we know that it is make-believe and therefore without the consequences of everyday experience, but it is meaningful as everyday experience is meaningful, in that the way we work at it is, reflexively, a constituent part of its meaning. The dramatic context is not a 'given' to be enacted (as in 'doing drama'); it is a managed accomplishment to be treated, as for any social context, 'as real'. If social life can be described,

---

53 The tape-recorder couldn't pick up Julia's remark
as Rom Harre does, as "a kind of conversation"\(^{56}\), so can the dramatic presentation of experience, for they are both dependent on a shared frame of mind, generated from the same resources, composed of the same elements, and made effective through communication and interpretation. Both 'Living through drama' (along with the dramatic playing of children without a teacher) and everyday experience are wrought from the efforts of the participants. They may draw on familiar patterns of social codes, but each moment is newly forged. In the 'volcano drama' the children work at making sense of the contingency of their inventions - testing a stranger, guarding their treasures and beliefs etc. Because it is make-believe, their universe is hugely enlarged and they knowingly invent their 'new conversation'.

Just before the second phase of their drama (three months later), Millward injected a dramatic tension into the work. The children had already evolved a situation in which two of the children were in role as guides\(^{57}\) to the 'stranger' encouraging and helping him to climb the mountain, whereas two others were disapproving of the 'stranger', and disapproving of his taking the test. Millward, outside the drama, encouraged the latter to behave, however, as if they had the stranger's 'best interests' at heart. With this ploy, of course, Millward is straying from Heathcote's 'pure' 'Living through', but one can sense the huge enjoyment and sense of power the children gained from the subtle deception. At one point in the sequence, it is thought necessary by the


\(^{57}\)It is typical of 'Living through' drama that what starts as a collective role (in this instance, 'children who live beneath a mountain'. gradually becomes individualised as it is required by the drama, so that now the children are adult 'guides', 'guardians' and 'priests', one of whom, on the spur of the moment, becomes a 'blind parent'.
'guardians' that the 'stranger' should meet their father, a 'blind man'
whose disability, so it emerged, had been caused by doing the same
'test'. We will now examine the relevant recorded dialogue in some
detail.\textsuperscript{58}

We have seen in previous chapters that teachers, workshop leaders and
directors are fascinated by 'blindness'. We have had instances from Brian
Way,\textsuperscript{59} who believed that moving with ones eyes shut gave one a sense
of blindness, Stanislavski who tricked his actress, Maria, into terror of
isolation, Clive Barker, who worked technically with his actors on the
difference between being blindfolded and 'pretending' to be and Cecily
O'Neill, who created a game of finding each other through touch.

Millward's class of six young children create blindness out of dialogue.
It is not the boy playing the 'blind father' who has to work to portray
that role. He is not required as in Clive Barker's exercise to convey that
his open eyes can't see. His blindness is established by the very way
people tend to present disability in a social context:

\begin{quote}
\textit{Mark:} Ah, here he is now \textit{[said as though the man cannot present himself]}. Come on...careful...over here....
\textit{come on...}
\textit{Julia:} Mind the steps.
\textit{Mark:} Careful...careful down.
\textit{Julia:} One more. There you are. We'll get you a seat.
\textit{Mark:} He's made it now, as you can see.
\textit{Julia:} There you are. Sit down on there.
\textit{Mark:} Sit down. Right...he made it here.
\end{quote}

Here is a remarkable example of how a particular person's handicap\textsuperscript{60} is
not his alone, but also "other people's perceptions" of a person with a

---

\textsuperscript{58}Peter Millward has published this excerpt from his thesis in "Drama as a Well-made Play" in \textit{Language Arts} February, (1990) National Council of Teachers of English. References made above to this section of his thesis will also apply to this latter publication.

\textsuperscript{59}See Page 253, Footnote 26, above

\textsuperscript{60}or 'challenge' as some politically correct pedants would have it, although it should be borne in mind that these children are set on making out their blind person as inadequate.
handicap, as Millward\textsuperscript{61} puts it. The others speak of the blind man as though he were not there, quite incapable of speaking for himself. Thus they are meeting \textit{two} objectives. Making blindness visible is part of presenting the social structure, but at the same time, they are conscious that by doing so they are moving their drama along, for that 'stranger' will be made more and more uncomfortable by the evidence of this blind man's failure to pass the test unscathed.

The 'teacher/pupil' structure has faded even more into the background, as they confidently make sense of what is going on and also see what is going on as a drama. Their drama-making has at least two aspects: their dialogue becomes more publicly viable as they acquire a stronger sense of spectatorship towards what they are creating; and the structure of what they are creating becomes closely allied to a well-made play. Peter Millward demonstrates\textsuperscript{62} how dramatist's skills of handling beginnings, endings, entrances, dramatic irony, symbolism and sub-texts\textsuperscript{63} etc. are part and parcel of these eight year olds' dramatic repertoire. Millward warns us\textsuperscript{64} that we should not be beguiled into assuming that these beautifully managed constructions are stored up in their minds as calculated effects waiting to be expressed. They \textit{discover} what they are doing as they do it. This is artistic spontaneity, grounded in understanding of what is needed, at it best. The meaningfulness of the event inheres in itself, without reference to some 'real' world out there or to some preconceived script or to some previous discussion. 'Living through' drama essentially operates from \textit{inside} the event; there is no \textit{model} of fact or form, nor is there any felt need to

\textsuperscript{61}Millward, Peter. (1990) \textit{ibid} P. 155
\textsuperscript{62}Both in his 1990 article (\textit{ibid}) and in Chapter 9 of his (1988) thesis.
\textsuperscript{63}Millward illustrates [See pages 489- 492 of Vol 1] a vivid example of the working of a sub-text within the transcript. One of the pupils, Julia, uses the offering of tea to the 'stranger' as a subtle way of manipulating and revealing a complex relationship. On the surface, her 'tea' talk fits her 'hostess' role, but underneath it is about power.
\textsuperscript{64}Millward, P. (1988) \textit{ibid} Vol 1. P. 491
maintain the 'teacher and pupils' social structure. Its defining component, however, is 'teacher-in-role'. Peter Millward just followed the direction the children took; in doing so he honoured their creativity. When it seemed appropriate, from outside the drama he guaranteed dramatic irony by encouraging a theatrical deception, and then he continued to follow.

Teacher-in-role continues, as we shall see, to be critical to Dorothy Heathcote's own reinterpretation of 'Living through' drama. 'Mantle of the Expert', however, depends on an even more sophisticated usage.

C. Mantle of the Expert

In *Drama for Learning* Dorothy Heathcote (1995) describes her first intimation, in the mid 1970s, of an alternative to 'Man in a Mess' drama. She and her students were conducting, at the request of a school, a project with all the classes on the theme of 'The Nativity', when she found herself landed with three 'naughty boys' who were thought too disruptive to stay with their classes. So Heathcote made the role of the 'Three Wise Men' their particular contribution to the project. Automatically she turns the work into 'Living through' drama by playing the role of servant to the 'Three Wise Men'. To understand Heathcote's approach one needs to understand that where the participants are themselves required to take on a role in improvised as opposed to scripted work, she sees no alternative to helping them 'from the inside' by taking a role herself.

Whatever similarities may be found between her and her predecessors, 'teacher-in-role' is distinctively Heathcotian.

---

65 To use a term Dorothy Heathcote often employed.
67 It should be noted that in the 'cultural' project described on Pages 323-327 above, she did not play a role at any point - because the participants were never in a fictional role; they were observers or directors or dramatists, but never actors.
In her earlier 'Man in a Mess' days, her planning for the three boys would have centred round the nature of the 'mess' - how to find the way; suppose one of the camels falls sick?, shortage of water across the desert etc, but on this occasion, conscious that she must get these lads doing something, in fact anything but acting, she let the tasks dictate the meaning of the experience. So, examining genuine maps of the night sky\textsuperscript{68}; making wills, grooming camels, bartering for water; guarding the precious gifts became the dynamic of the work, which could still cater for the above named 'Man in a Mess' themes, but the boys were now in control as 'experts' not in role as 'suffering a crisis'. As Heathcote puts it: "...it was the tasks we did on our journey as Magi that created the power, curiosity and vulnerability of the three wise men." She further writes:

I began to realize that this "expertise of viewpoint" could help teachers with little conscious understanding of theatre to get things started under the story line instead of merely replicating narrative. Also, because children enjoy playing at "busy authority" (as younger children enjoy "playing house"), the work could be launched via short, precise, honed-for-the-purpose tasks relevant to the theme.

'Busy authority' sums up the 'Mantle of the Expert' role. From this point in her teaching, Heathcote saw 'busy authority' as the fictional springboard from which all learning could be pursued. Rarely, from this point in her career, is 'what shall we make a play about?' to be heard in her classrooms, for such a question opens the wrong door. Like Harriet Finlay-Johnson before her, dramatic activity is to be explicitly tied to the curriculum. Of course if that curriculum were to include 'Drama' in some traditional sense, then Mantle of the Expert can accommodate it, for the method can be used to teach anything - including 'theatre history'.

\textsuperscript{68}One can assume that had Heathcote been working on Millward's 'Volcano' drama, her pupils would have early in the work been 'examining volcanoes' - a direction Millward would happily have followed if his class had not taken it into more mystical realms.
Thus Heathcote unambiguously adopts the position of an educationist harnessing the potential of drama, setting herself apart from her contemporary drama specialists who see drama as an important area of a person's education\(^69\) - on a competing footing with others areas. Whereas their attention necessarily is on drama, hers is on the curriculum and whatever is to be taught determines the kind of fiction that will be needed. However, and this is critical to the approach, the fictional starting point will rarely be that of the matter being studied. Thus, if we take examples from Heathcote (1995), the American students studying 'Watergate' were in role as 'people running a museum'; for the topic of 'an aircrash' the pupils were in role as 'radio engineers'; for a study of China, the roles were running a hotel management training school. The fiction developed before the relevant aspects of the curriculum are directly engaged with provides the continuous 'enterprise' (or, 'subculture')\(^70\) and dictates both the 'rules' of how things shall be conducted and the particular 'way in' to the curricular knowledge or skill. In one 1995\(^71\) example, the pupils learn about the Science of Light, but their entry into the topic is through the perspective of mediaeval monks needing to extend their scriptorium. In another example the class of nine year old pupils enjoy the excitement of capturing a tiger, but they are in role as 'experts' working for OXFAM, so that their 'tiger' experience is at one remove. It is 'Man in a Mess'.

\(^69\)John Somers is an example of what I mean here. In outlining a contemporary perspective on Drama in the Curriculum [Somers, J. (1994) op cit P. 55], 'Mantle of the Expert' does not warrant a mention even with 'curriculum' as the context for his writing, and in one of two references to Dorothy Heathcote he perpetuates the 'Man in a Mess' image, long dismissed by Heathcote as too blunt an instrument for sophisticated curriculum learning.

\(^70\)This concept will be discussed in the final chapter.

conducted with a cool eye of 'this is how it was for them' or 'this is how it would be for them'; rarely 'this is happening to us now'.

This asymmetric correspondence between a sustained basic role and a temporarily evoked second or third role allows Heathcote to indulge in an infinite variety of techniques in the creation of the secondary roles. The pupils are released from being inside an event in their secondary role, but required to be inside the enterprise of their primary role, that is, they actually carry out tasks as experts, designing, tracing, writing formal reports and letters, measuring, looking things up in authentic adult texts, decoding, practising, visualising, hypothesising, demonstrating, rehearsing, devising regulations, instructing etc. The tiger hunt is not happening in the 'here and now' but OXFAM is established in the continuous present and presence of an OXFAM headquarters.

This interweaving of two levels of fiction demands a sophisticated 'dramatist's' touch from the teacher. She can never adopt a teacher position unless she comes out of role; she can only be a colleague to fellow experts or a visitor who needs advice (always on behalf of 'someone else who has sent her') from experts. It is the way she sets about endowing her pupils with 'expertise' that requires the dramatist's skill. Her dialogue must belong to an OXFAM text. Traditional teacher language of "Would you like to make a project folder...?" is out of place and becomes: "Does anyone have the West India file?" Thus a professional vocabulary and an implied history are woven into the dramatist's text in one short question.
In setting up the secondary roles, a whole range of theatrical devices are available. Perhaps the most formal of these is 'Chamber Theatre' which Heathcote favours as a theatre genre suitable for the classroom. We have already had a glimpse of the facility in Heathcote for the artistic manipulation of time and space in the description above of the pupils 'who crossed a time zone' in order to converse with Lister. Chamber Theatre represents a more formal convention and can only be used where there is a 'given' script.

'Chamber Theatre' is a branch of the American 'Reader's Theatre' to which Heathcote has been attracted, one suspects, because of its capacity for staying 'true' to the literary text. Chamber Theatre, retaining the same degree of literary integrity but offering scope for greater flexibility, seemed ripe for absorbing into the Heathcote system of teaching. Heathcote & Bolton (1995) write:

An interesting feature, especially relevant to an educational context, is that while leaving the responsibility of expressing the underlying motives and feelings to the narrator, in order to function the actor must nevertheless understand those motives and feelings. Thus, paradoxically, the students may feel strongly the tension of the event, picking up by osmosis the feelings of the characters as described by the narrator because the burden of having to express those emotions is removed.

---

72 See P. 320 above and John Carroll (1980).
73 See video (A2 1991) of her session on 'Chamber Theatre', UCE archives.
74 The seminal text on the subject is Chamber Theatre by Robert S Breen (1986), William Caxton, Evanston Illinois. According to this author, Chamber Theatre was first demonstrated in 1947 at Northwestern University (where, America's greatest pioneer of Creative Dramatics, Winifred Ward, was Head of Department of Speech [Ward, Winifred (1930) Creative Dramatics Appleton, NY]
75 Robert Breen writes: "...there is a techniques for presenting narrative fiction on the stage in such a way as to take full advantage of all the theatrical devices of the stage without sacrificing the narrative elements of the literature" [Breen, R. S.(1986) ibid P. 4]
76 Heathcote, D. & Bolton, G. ibid P. 213. This kind of classroom practice has connections with Marjorie Hourd's use of miming by the pupils while the teacher reads a poem - the pupils' understanding may well surpass their ability to express it. [See Page 160 above and Hourd, M. (1949) op cit P. 33].
The narrator almost invokes the feeling and emotion in the student as they demonstrate the behavior that conveys the story.

Less formal devices for the secondary role take an infinite number of forms. For instance in a Mantle of the Expert project on King Arthur of England the pupils' primary role is modern American beekeepers, but one of their secondary roles is representing the discoverers of a mediaeval manuscript (one, which earlier in the project they had themselves made) hidden for centuries in a box in a tree trunk, split by the force of a bull's horn caught in it during a storm. The enactment of the part of this incident in which one boy climbs into the tree, lifts the box, unties the rope and opens the box proceeds as follows:

Finally, a boy is chosen to climb into the tree trunk to retrieve the box. The class, with their 'binoculars' [hands curled round eyes] for seeing, instruct him where to put his feet, while he is actually sitting on the floor with eyes closed, matching his climbing actions [in his mind] to their guidance, until he tells them "he is there".

Once there, they turn away so they can not see him as he unties the rope, giving an account (to which they can only listen) of what he is doing and of what the newly found box looks like. He draws out the length of rope, requiring his colleagues to haul him and the box out of the hole.

This highly disciplined, stylised presentation is then followed by the 'fun' of opening the box, 'discovering' the manuscript and trying to read it as though they had never seen it before! They are looking at some writing they prepared in their primary role through the eyes of their secondary role.

Heathcote would claim that this degree of 'prismatic' sophistication takes

---

these 12 year old pupils into dramatic realms opening up layers of meaning unattainable by straightforward enactment.

How shall we sum up this pioneer's approach? What is it that her followers must acquire or understand first and foremost? The answer, I believe, lies in 'authenticity'. There is an educational principle amounting to a moral imperative pervading Heathcote's Mantle of the Expert approach. By authenticity she means rigorous attention to and respect for what is true, true for the scientist and scholar and for the artist and craftsman. A passionate interest in things, in how things are made and in who will be responsible for them underlies her emphasis on tasks, for tasks rely on that kind of knowledge. Thus the very foundation of Dorothy Heathcote's methodology is focused on the school curriculum at a level that demands the integrity of scholarship. It will be a long time before our leading educationists and politicians recognise the potential in her work.

Summary of this chapter
The structure and content of this chapter is based on my own evolving theory that Heathcote's 'Living through' drama, characterised by the use of teacher in role, led to three kinds of re-interpretations, each retaining 'teacher-in-role' as a fundamental strategy. The first major evolution, exemplified by examples from the teaching of Bolton and O'Neill sought to create a profound theatrical experience through a structured sequence using a wide range of dramatic forms, recently given the name of 'Process Drama' by Cecily O'Neill. The second interpretation is an unsophisticated version of 'Living through' in which the

---

79 Dorothy Heathcote's drive for authenticity may also be found in the writings of an ex-student of hers, Eileen Pennington, a former Northumberland teacher, now free-lance lecturer. See, for example, "Drama has to be about something!" in Scottish Drama, Issue No 3, Spring 1995, in which meticulous attention is given to accurate portrayal of knowledge.
teacher takes on a low status role and does not step out of it during the drama sessions. The example given in this chapter is from the research of Peter Millward whose ethnomethodological perspective leads him to point to a key conceptual distinction between 'dramatic presentation of experience' and 'doing Drama'. The third interpretation is Heathcote's own: 'Mantle of the Expert' which, in placing the curriculum to the fore, represents a subtle, complex and indirect method of teaching it, through task-based activities.
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TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR CLASSROOM ACTING BEHAVIOUR
SECTION 5: CHAPTER 11.

TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR CLASSROOM ACTING BEHAVIOUR.

This chapter will be divided as follows:

A. Common ground of all classroom acting behaviours.
B. Differences in Acting behaviours
C. Differentiating Acting behaviours
D. An Image for classroom acting behaviours
E. Conclusion

A. Common ground of all classroom acting behaviours

Towards the end of Chapter 8\(^1\) I put forward a model of acting behaviour based on what I described as 'determining responsibilities', the prime one of which was an intention to engage in make-believe. I suggested that this entry into fiction represents the nucleus, and therefore the defining characteristic, of all acting behaviours, however dissimilar they may appear in other respects. The account of the work of five pioneers and other leading exponents of classroom drama has revealed many different kinds of activities executed in the name of drama, but 'entry into fiction' is what they (mostly)\(^2\) share. This chapter will be concerned with identifying related features that could contribute to a definition of acting behaviour and to a conceptual framework for classroom acting. We will begin by examining

\(^1\)See Page 280 above.
\(^2\)This qualification is a reminder that some activities that became part of a drama teacher's repertoire were not always contributory to a fiction. For instance, the formal speech practice of W.S.Tomkinson referred to on Page 143 above [Tomkinson W.S. (1920) op cit Pp 61-62]; the 'efforts' exercises of Rudolf Laban referred to on P. 188. Note 56 above [Laban, R. & Lawrence, F.C.(1947) op cit P. 18]; the 'Natural Dance' of Peter Slade when his pupils simply responded to music. referred to on Page 229 above [Slade. P. (1977) op cit Pp 51-55]; Brian Way's notorious 'blind' exercise referred to on P. 253. Footnote 26. above [Way. B. (1967) op cit P. 1 & P.298]; the 'relaxation', 'warm-ups' and 'games' so popular from the 1960s onwards. referred to on P. 253 above [See, for example. Brandes. D. & Phillips, H. (1977) op cit]; and the game played in Cecily O'Neil's 'Frank Miller' Drama, referred to on Page 371 above [See O'Neill, C.C. (1995) op cit P ix & 2]. This is not to decry the use of 'direct' experiencing. Often it was central to the sequence of work, as for instance, in' Frank Miller' in which the 'game' recaptures the theme of the fiction.
Chapter 11: Acting behaviours

the mimetic, aesthetic, generalising and communicating features commonly
associated with acting.

A1 A psychological feature common to all acting behaviours: The tension between
imitating and inventing.\(^3\)

I began this study with John Allen's (1979) translation of Aristotle's 'Mimesis' as
"an act of recreation"\(^4\), a term suggesting invention as well as imitation. The story
of this century's drama teaching reveals marked contrasts in the degree and kind of
imitation involved. Irene Mawer's (1932) 'practice in walking like kings and
queens'\(^5\), for instance, represents an extreme version of imitative behaviour
required by a teacher of her pupils, as Peter Millward's (1988) deliberately
ambiguous instruction to his class "...stop being yourselves for a moment, well be
yourselves... but be yourselves in this village..."\(^6\) seemingly precludes imitation;
'seemingly', for, as we shall argue later in this chapter, any form of enactment
requires a public medium sufficiently referential to be understood\(^7\) by others. I
have earlier quoted\(^8\) from Ernst Cassirer who captures the tension between

\(^3\)The expressions 'imitating and inventing' are taken from John Sully. [See Page 212 above and
Sully, J. (1896) ...op cit P. 322.]
\(^4\)See Page 1 of this study and Allen, J. (1979) op cit P. 119.
\(^5\)Referred to on Page 128 above. See also [Mawer, I. (1932) op cit 226-231]
\(^7\)The example of Peter Millward's pupils' drama (Millward, P. (1988) ibid Vol 1, P. 163)
remains referentially tentative in respect of its supposed topic of 'volcano', with but token
indications - hot water supply: danger 'up there' - of a volcano, but nevertheless with strong
indications of societal matters such as 'laws': 'status': 'testing': 'teaching': and 'leading'. Peter
Millward elicits from his pupils an understanding 'from hiding places ten years deep' [Marjorie
Hord's quotation from Wordsworth used on P. 155 above] in a way that no doubt Robert Witkin
would see as honouring subjectivity (Witkin writes: "If the price of finding oneself in the world is
that of losing the world in oneself, then the price is more than anyone can afford." [See Witkin,
R. (1988) op cit P. 11], but, in deferring to Witkin here, it should also be noted that the fictitious
world Millward's pupils create is based on their understanding of how people function in society.
The content of their drama is grounded in observation and their methodology in logic. Any
deeply shared feelings are expressed through a public medium. This public/private view of
expressiveness, which will be discussed later in this chapter, teases the minds of a number of our
drama exponents since the psychologist, Sully (1896) drew a distinction between the "contented
privacy" of child dramatic play and the public face of art. [See Pages, 206 [Footnote 31] & 223
above and Sully, J. (1988) op cit P. 326] See Page 223 above for my detailed examples of a
spectrum of imitative behaviours.
\(^8\)See Pages 212 & 220 above and Cassirer, E. (1953)
imitating and inventing: "...reproduction never consists in retracing, line for line, a specific content of reality; but in selecting a pregnant motif."

However, there seems to be a cluster of terms used by writers to explain the two-pronged psychological relationship between the actor and the 'real' world and between the actor and the fiction. I want to suggest that **Identification** may be a useful umbrella term under which the imitative/inventive tension of 'Mimesis' could be subsumed along with other recurring characteristics. I have already favoured 'identification' over Peter Slade's and others' insistence on 'sincerity' as a prerequisite for Child Drama. Indeed I defined the process of identification in terms that simultaneously expand both on 'Sincerity' and 'Mimesis': "The child abstracts a 'truth' from the situation as s/he sees it for the purpose of representation. What is represented is the child's understanding of, not a fascimile of, a reality." I have written 'child' here, as I am concentrating on classroom behaviour, but the same could be said of the actor on stage, or, indeed of one of Caldwell Cook's 'players' who offers, not Hamlet, but his understanding of Hamlet expressed through an act of interpretation. It may be dualistic to suggest in this way that the player's 'interpretation' reflects his 'understanding', implying two discrete stages of a temporal sequence, as though, having (internally) 'understood' Hamlet, he then proceeds (externally) to 'interpret' him. Nevertheless, it seems important to the practice of drama to give a place to the notion that there may be a mismatch between understanding and interpretation, that, for instance, an

---

9 See, for example. David Clegg (1965) wrote: "It is only through such sincerity and absorption that true creative work becomes possible." (P. 19 of Teaching Drama)
10 See Pages 216-222 above and Slade. P. (1954) op cit P. 123
11 See Page 219-221 above. My assertion that the child "abstracts a truth... as s/he sees it..." is taken from Charles McGraw, who writes: "The actor's imagination allows him to abstract the essence of truth from the familiar and the everyday..." [See Page 220 above and McGraw. C. (1964) op cit P. 47].
12 Norah Morgan & Juliana Saxton include 'interpretation' as the fifth in their taxonomy of personal engagement. They subdivide interpretation into 'communicating'; 'experimenting'; 'adapting'; 'analysing'; and 'reflection'. [Morgan, N. Saxton, J. (1987)...op cit P. 26]
13 See Caldwell Cook's description of "the finest piece of work I had ever seen..." [Page 63 above and Caldwell Cook. H. (1917) op cit P. 197-8]
act of interpretation may, through lack of skill or commitment, fall short of a person's understanding, or, conversely, that engagement in an act of interpretation may itself extend the understanding.

To link the *inventive* face of Mimesis solely with 'interpretation', however, is to concentrate on its intellectual aspect, whereas a central feature of acting behaviour is its potential for spontaneity, a quality the Psychodramatist, J.L. Moreno, experimented with in his patients as early as 1922 when he set up the first 'Therapeutic Theatre' in Vienna\(^{14}\). Most of the educationists supporting the notion of improvised drama have valued it for its immediacy. There seems to have been an assumption that 'spontaneous' was synonymous with 'creative'. Brian Way built his whole theory of education on the importance of intuition as opposed to intellect. Even working on a Chamber Theatre script, Dorothy Heathcote insists that the players 'discover on their feet' the best way to convey the multiple meanings of the text\(^{15}\). According to Shomit Mitter\(^{16}\), Stanislavski, towards the end of his career, refound his faith in the somatic and advised his actors to "start bravely, not to reason, but to act". Perhaps the antithesis of imitation is best expressed in the term 'disponibilité', described by Frost and Yarrow\(^{17}\) as "a kind of total awareness, a sense of being at one with the context: [with a] script, if such there be, actors, audience, theatre space, oneself and one's body." Simon Callow captures its essence with\(^{18}\):

You are the master of time and rhythm, and you play with them like a jazz musician. You create pleasurable tension and then relieve it pleasurably. You hear everything as if for the first time. The performance is not so much new as newly revealed.

\(^{14}\)Moreno, J.L. (1946) *Psychodrama* Beacon House NY
\(^{15}\)See Video Tape of Heathcote's session, 20th May, 1991, with University of Central England MA students entitled 'Chamber Theatre'.
\(^{16}\)Mitter, Shomit (1992) op cit P. 23
\(^{17}\)Frost, Anthony & Yarrow, Ralph (1990) op cit P. 152
Only if 'identification' can embrace the notion of spontaneity as an essential part of Mimesis is it useful to us as an umbrella term. Identification must imply a sufficient capacity for ownership of the fiction to allow free play within both the interpretation and 'the moment of it happening'.

Thus, in the context of acting behaviour, 'identification' stands for a many-stranded, radial connection between a person and the 'real world' and that person and the fiction created, involving 'understanding', 'imitation', 'individual interpretation', 'group consensus', 'commitment', 'sincerity', and 'disponibilité'. John O'Toole (1992) writes of the quality and degree of identification, treating them almost synonymously, and suggesting they will vary according to commitment, maturity, and dramatic skill. An implication here is that quality of identification is objectively assessable. This may be so, but it seems also to be the case that even ill-informed identification may remain 'true' for the participant and, further, the degree or intensity of the identification may be relatively high in spite of inadequate information or knowledge. The possibility of a direct correspondence between degree and quality of identification remains in doubt.

John O'Toole draws our attention to a source of commitment in fiction-making that may sometimes override all other factors, in which the vested interest in the content of the drama by the participants is explicitly expressive of their 'real world'. O'Toole cites an example from my teaching in South Africa in 1980, made dramatic in both a fictitious and real sense by a black boy, towards the end of the improvised drama, taking my hand (he, in role as an old man living in the year 2050 and I in role as a 'white journalist'), and saying as he shook it, "We are equal now". Such a moment of 'identification' was 'true for us', 'spontaneous', and 'committed',

---

20 O'Toole, John (1992) op cit P. 233
but not necessarily dependent on dramatic skill, or, for my part, on extensive knowledge.

I have suggested that 'fiction' is the nucleus of all acting behaviour. It is possible now to see 'identification' as a further defining feature, so that a definition of acting behaviour would read as **fiction-making involving identification through action**. It is not meant to suggest, as Morgan and Saxton (with a different purpose in mind) do\(^{21}\), that the extent or depth of identification is paralleled by a matching complexity in level of action, but rather that maximum identification may be expressed in *any* kind of acting behaviour, whether it be the child described by Piaget who put her doll on an imaginary tractor\(^{22}\) because she is afraid of the tractor in the neighbouring field, or the ten year old boy in Heathcote's 'Making History' series who confronts the 'villeins' with "You do not understand why this book is so important to us. It's part of our life. We need it so much. It's what our Lord said"\(^{23}\), or Finlay-Johnson's pupils who were "so exceedingly good" as Rosalind and Celia\(^{24}\). Just as the degree of identification does not determine its *quality*, so the intensity of identification does not determine the *kind* of acting behaviour, nor, conversely, can it be assumed that one kind of acting behaviour will guarantee a higher degree of intensity than another.

Degree or intensity of identification does, however, determine whether or not make-believe can occur. A major problem emerging from Marjorie Hourd's, Peter Slade's and Dorothy Heathcote's approaches, for example, has been the one of 'ownership'\(^{25}\) in the early stages of, respectively, Hourd's invitation to 'do the

---

\(^{21}\)Morgan & Saxton (1987) classify 'identification' by reference to a hierarchy of dramatic structures, "delineating the increasing complexity of becoming someone else", so that, for instance, 'dramatic playing' where the children are 'themselves' is seen as the lowest step and 'acting' as the highest. [See Morgan, N. & Saxton, J. (1987) op cit Pp 30-36

\(^{22}\)See Page 222 above and Piaget, J. (1951 trans) op cit P 134

\(^{23}\)See Page 312 above and Video Tape (1971) 'Making History' Part One No. 0.30.26

\(^{24}\)See Page 32 above and Finlay-Johnson, H. (1911) op cit P 149

\(^{25}\)I first used the word 'ownership' in this thesis on Page 40 in connection with Harriet Finlay-Johnson's commitment to her pupils' autonomy in learning. On Page 97 I quote from Mrs.
accompanying actions to a ballad\textsuperscript{26}, Slade's narration of a made-up story\textsuperscript{27} and Heathcote's use of teacher-in-role\textsuperscript{28}. Each of these exponents' methodologies, depends for its success on relinquishing the teacher's ownership of the fiction to their pupils while relying on considerable external input - a poem in (possibly) obscure language from Hourd, a series of teacher-timed instructions from Slade and a powerful acting display from Heathcote - and it is possible that none of these sufficiently capture the imagination of some children in their classes and that consequently their commitment remains too low for engagement with the fiction.

On the other hand, in Hourd's classroom the poem may capture the child's imagination, but the techniques and personal exposure required to represent it in Mime may seem formidable. These are but examples from particular methodologies, but the problem of appropriate level of commitment is a feature of all acting behaviours\textsuperscript{29}.

A2. An aesthetic feature common to all acting behaviours: Fictitious time and space

If we search the publications of our pioneers and other leading figures for selected images of what they might have considered to be among the principal aesthetic features of classroom acting behaviour, we find in Harriet Finlay-Johnson (1910)\textsuperscript{30} Langdon who writes of making "imperfect knowledge really his own" [Langdon. E.M. (1948) \textit{op cit} P. 14] On Page 152 I discuss the distinction Marjorie Hourd makes between her pupils' 'psychologising knowledge (making it one's own) and their 'aesthetic aims' [Hourd, M. (1949 \textit{op cit} P. 17]. Here, however. I am using it in connection with 'owning' the drama, as in the example of Frank Miller discussed on Page 369.

\textsuperscript{26}See Page 153/4 above: also Note 47 on Page 154 in which Frank Whitehead deplores the use of miming to Sir Patrick Spens.

\textsuperscript{27}See, for example, Page 230 above in which Slade instructs: "You are someone in a hurry to do shopping..." [Slade, P. (1995) \textit{op cit} P. 73].

\textsuperscript{28}See, for example, Heathcote's "Let's pack up and leave" on P. 300 above.

\textsuperscript{29}The opposite kind of problem can exist, if rarely, of the fictitious context being too close to the participant's life to sustain the drama. I can recall setting up a drama about a psychiatric hospital to discover that one of the participants' daughter had just gone into such an institution. Robert Witkin, too, records a drama he observed about 'schooling' in which the 'real context' spilled over into what was supposed to be fiction, or, as he put it, "permeate(d) the barrier between the simulated network and the real network." [Witkin, R. (1974) \textit{op cit} P. 80]. I always felt that it was a pity his one full account of a drama lesson should be one that demonstrated this kind of excess.

\textsuperscript{30}See Page 30/31 above
a high tolerance for "crude action" combined with a penchant for representational realism in costume, properties and scenery\textsuperscript{31}; Henry Caldwell Cook (1917)\textsuperscript{32} sought an intelligent use of the spatial proportions of an Elizabethan stage and the avoidance of realism;\textsuperscript{33} for Jacques-Dalcroze (1921)\textsuperscript{34} 'rhythm' created the basis for all the arts and he introduces the concept of 'musical gesture'\textsuperscript{35}; Irene Mawer (1932)\textsuperscript{36} emphasised mental and muscular control, physical fitness and imagination; Frances Mackenzie (1935)\textsuperscript{37} insisted on the importance of the use of the voice, facial expression, timing, holding pauses and effective exits; Robert Newton (1937)\textsuperscript{38} was concerned with 'form', by which he meant elements of surprise, contrast, mood and climax; Langdon (1948)\textsuperscript{39} saw the shape of the dramatic event, the plot, beginnings and endings and climax, as its key components; Marjorie Hourd\textsuperscript{40} (1949) sought 'naturalism', by which she meant an untutored, unselfconscious, 'statuary' style of acting, as her pupils discovered the actions to accompany a ballad; Peter Stone (1949)\textsuperscript{41} introduced "movement for movement's sake", in the Physical training space of the school hall; Peter Slade (1954)\textsuperscript{42} believed in a spatial/musical dimension that could reach heights of artistry; Brian Way (1967)\textsuperscript{43} favoured individual practice of actions to music, stimulating the pupil's image-making. Dorothy Heathcote (1995)\textsuperscript{44} pursues significance in the use of the theatrical elements of sound/silence,

\textsuperscript{31} See Page 33 above.
\textsuperscript{32} See Page 65 above.
\textsuperscript{33} See Page 74 above.
\textsuperscript{34} See Page 177 above.
\textsuperscript{35} See Page 180 above.
\textsuperscript{36} See Page 127 above.
\textsuperscript{37} See Page 122. Note 26 above.
\textsuperscript{38} See Page 132 above.
\textsuperscript{39} See Pages 98 & 107 above.
\textsuperscript{40} See Page 168-172 above. It was suggested in the section on Hourd that 'naturalistic' was not altogether a suitable term for the style of acting of her pupils, controlled as it was by the poetry of a ballad, for instance, while appreciating that she was anxious to indicate a deficiency in what she called 'perfected technique'.
\textsuperscript{41} See Page 191 above.
\textsuperscript{42} See Page 212 above.
\textsuperscript{43} See Page 254 above.
\textsuperscript{44} See Page 317 above.
movement/stillness/ and light/darkness; and Cecily O'Neill (1995)\textsuperscript{45} seeks dramatic irony. Such a collection of images may not entirely do justice to the individuals referred to, but they serve to give an overall picture of a range of aesthetic priorities pointing to considerable conceptual differences.

One aesthetic aspect they have in common, however, is a dependence on time and space. Such a truism, however, barely advances our attempt at a rationale, for indeed most of our living is controlled by time and space. However, the relationship of acting behaviour to time and space is of a different order from the everyday, from what Schechner (1982) more poetically, if depressingly, calls "the flux and decay of ongoing living"\textsuperscript{46}. Acting behaviour is dependent upon, yet outside or bracketed from the time/space dimensions. Bateson's (1955)\textsuperscript{47} analogy of 'picture frame' and 'wallpaper' which he used to demonstrate a different order of meanings within 'play' and 'not play' activities\textsuperscript{48} may, I believe, be extended.

Whereas his argument pertained to the 'denotation', and 'interpretation' of what was going on within the frame (as opposed to the wallpaper on which the frame is hung), I suggest the same metaphor could be applied to a 'player's'\textsuperscript{49} perception of 'time' and 'space' within the frame. The 'here and now' of acting is not the 'here and

\textsuperscript{45}See page 366/7 above.
\textsuperscript{48}Bateson's theory has attracted the attention of a number of social theorists [indeed his exposition is acknowledged as a source of inspiration by Erving Goffman. See Goffman, E. (1974) op cit P. 7] and a number of drama educators find support for their conception of drama education as derived from play (See, for instance. Bolton G. (1984) op cit P. 80 and O'Toole, J. op cit 25-26). The writer who most fully gives attention to time is Cecily O'Neill [O'Neill, C.C. (1991) op cit Pp158-160]. In a Chapter entitled "Time in Theatre and Improvisation [Pp 154- 178] she writes brilliantly on its many facets. Here is an example in which, interestingly, she uses the term 'bracketed': "A significant example of the operation of 'bracketed' time is Waiting for Godot. Here, actions occur within the 'dawn-dusk' bracket, but are not causally related to each other and are not repeated exactly or in the same order. Vladimir and Estragon have no grip on time. Their 'present moment' indicates a complete discontinuity, and is disturbing to both the characters precisely because of the absence of any true relationship to past and future. All they know of time is that it passes. Time has lost its meaning but paradoxically has acquired total significance. Beckett is squandering time, putting the play outside all temporal reality while apparently immersed in its categories." (Pp165-166)
\textsuperscript{49}Using 'player' in its fullest sense of any person engaging with make-believe.
now" of existing. Schechner (1977) captures something of the difference when he says:

"Theater, to be effective, must maintain its double or incomplete presence, as a here-and-now performance of there-and-then events. The gap between the "here and now" and "there and then" allows an audience to contemplate the action, and to entertain alternatives.

Again, Schechner's focus, while seeing time and space as critical elements, is ultimately concerned with the meanings to be extracted and interpreted by an imaginative audience. I am interested here in establishing that this 'double and incomplete presence' requires the actor to be in the 'here and now', but, as it were, outside the bracket, playing with the 'here and now' inside the bracket. A vivid example of such 'playing with time and space' can be seen in Bertolt Brecht's instruction to his actors that in rehearsing a text they should render it

not as an improvisation, but as a quotation. At the same time it is clear that he has to render, in this quotation, all the undertones, all the concrete, plastic detail of human utterance. His gestures, though they are frankly a copy (and not spontaneous), must have the full corporeality of human gestures.

In this example the actor is astride two time/space dimensions. He is located in space and existing 'in present time' and yet conveying implicitly, through 'quotation', a third person and a past time. In Chamber theatre, as we have seen, the elasticity of the time/space dimension is harnessed explicitly. If we look at some of the aesthetic images attached to exponents of drama education listed above, we discover interesting variations linked with time and space.

---


51See Page P. 390 above. Chamber Theatre is defined by Robert Breen as "a technique for presenting narrative fiction on stage in such a way as to take full advantage of all theatrical devices of the stage without sacrificing the narrative elements of theatre." [Breen, R. (1986) op cit P. 4.]
Emile Jacques-Dalcroze introduces the term 'musical gesture' in connection with Eurhythmics, but its underlying conception of gestures (as he puts it) "partaking of the nature of music" provides us, I believe, with a metaphor for understanding the central thrust of Peter Slade's and Peter Stone's teaching. When Slade writes of "...the climax, so beautifully timed, is of the high realms of Drama and Music," he is perceiving the acting behaviour as expressive of a higher level of abstraction than everyday action. In Stone's writing a nice distinction is drawn between a group of pupils, new to movement, 'waddling' and losing their balance in their effort to keep step to slow music and more experienced ones moving elegantly to the same music, as it were, finding the quality of 'musical gesture'. Both Jacques-Dalcroze and Stone are dismissive of Mime, but Irene Mawer's vision of gesture and expressive movement being "born from the natural instincts of humanity" appears to outstrip some of the 'occupational miming' she found herself recommending in practice. And yet, as we have seen, Jacques-Dalcroze favoured the miming of picking up a flower over a real or artificial flower and Stone learnt the huge advantage of a mimed crown over a tinsel one. The significance lies, of course, in the quality

52 See Page 180 above and Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) op cit P. 169.
53 See Page 179 above.
54 See Page 213 above and Slade, P. (1954) op cit P. 68.
56 Perhaps it is apposite here to remind ourselves that the use of music for drama does not guarantee a high level of artistry. Often, during the 1950s and 60s it supplied nothing more than a background to whole class activity. John Allen amusingly wrote: "The prevalence of tape-recorders and record-players has been of dubious advantage to drama. and even if the widespread use of such colourful orchestral pieces as The planets suite, Sacre de printemps, L'Apres midi d'un faune, The Sorcerer's apprentice, The Ritual Fire Dance and many others give a dramatic stimulation to their work, they cannot be thought to be producing a musical experience since the young people are not listening to the music but pretending to be at the bottom of the sea or treading the surface of the moon or fighting their way to the top of Mount Everest. When girls respond, as in one school, to Brahms' Paganini variations by strutting round the hall 'like policemen' one cannot feel the experience has been successful musically or dramatically." [Allen, J. (1967) Drama Education Survey 2 HMSO] P. 42.
57 See Pages 181 & 192 above [Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) op cit P. 183 & Stone, R.L. (1949) op cit P. 16. respectively]
58 See Page 126 above and Mawer, I. (1932) P. 3
59 See Page 129 above.
60 See Page 181 above and Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) op cit P. 191-3
of the gesture in each case: if the action of picking the flower or raising the crown were carried out merely at an everyday, denotational level [as much occupational mime seems to be satisfied with], then, for it to be drama, some other time or space manipulation must be occurring. For instance, the picking up a flower may be signalled as a *token* action - say, idly waiting in the garden for someone who has failed to turn up. It is the 'waiting' that is being underlined by the acting behaviour, and the flower gathering *condensed* by mere indication.

The opportunity for both 'musical gesture' and 'token' gesture' may also be part of the miming required of Marjorie Hourd's pupils. One can imagine how crude were her pupils' early attempts to provide the actions to a poem. Hourd claims that it is through repetition of mimic actions by different children that understanding gradually dawns\(^\text{63}\). There is of course a built in manipulation of time, in that the actions must coincide with the lines of the poem, but for Hourd this is not enough in itself; her expectations are that the child will gradually, through her miming, convey a deeper understanding of the poem as yet unexpressible by her in words\(^\text{64}\). One may assume that, with experience, the 'token' gesture indicating 'where we are in the poem' becomes displaced by 'musical gesture', matching the poetry in expressive eloquence.

I have argued that the common feature of all classroom acting behaviour is the manipulation of time and space from 'outside the bracket', but we have recorded at least one instance where it appears not to be so. In the first part of Peter Millward's experimental drama, action was avoided altogether. "Why do you keep your village down here below this great volcano?"\(^\text{65}\), from teacher

---

\(^{62}\)By 'token' action or gesture. I mean *lacking* in the 'full corporeality of human gestures' [to use Brecht's expression. quoted on P. 403 above].

\(^{63}\)See Page 154 above and Hourd. M. (1949) op cit P. 34-5.

\(^{64}\)See Page 155-6 above.

\(^{65}\)See Page 378 above.
fumbling with his role as stranger, hardly seems to invite either 'musical gesture' or 'token gesture' or 'miming' of any kind. In fact, as we have already seen, he is addressing six children round a table in the staffroom - and that is where they stay for the whole of that first session. Does this qualify as drama? Where does this stand in relation to a theory that all acting behaviour is dependent on 'bracketed' time and space? In this episode action seems to have been reduced out of existence, but nevertheless a fictitious time and space are implied. That is not to suggest that incipient action is present: there is a huge gap between being round that table and the actions of living in that village; it would have required a very brave soul (and perhaps one insensitive to the medium) to have started doing 'volcanic village actions'. We have, therefore, a special kind of manipulation of time and space. Those dimensions grew and were 'played with' in their minds and did not become evident until the second session three months later. Thus the definition of acting behaviour as 'fiction-making involving identification through action' adopted on Pages 398-9 above should be understood to embrace implied or mental action.

I have been among those writers who have stressed the power of improvised drama on the grounds that its existential quality of something 'actually happening' allows the child to experience and then reflect on the experience. Peter Millward's (1988) ethnomethodological approach to analysing dramatic behaviour emphasises how participants in drama collaboratively draw on the same kind of common knowledge and the same kind of social, particularly linguistic, resources to make the experience real to themselves as people draw on in making a 'real life' situation believable. The critical difference between 'real life' and 'presenting experience dramatically', of course, is that in the latter case the participants know and enjoy knowing that they are creating make-believe.

---

66 See Page 382 above and Millward, P. (1988) op cit P. 55
Chapter 11: Acting behaviours

What is not brought out by Millward's thesis is the notion of double space/time dimensions. Part of being in the fiction is the chance to play with time and space, so that the most literal gesture, coinciding exactly with how it would be done in 'real life' in terms of time, space and energy, is done that way by choice; the participant is still outside the time/space bracket while deploying the dimensions within it. When Millward's pupils move into the school hall\textsuperscript{67}, space is immediately manipulated by giving status to the 'priest's place outside which shoes have to be removed', but time runs at a conversational pace until slowed down by the ceremony of 'meeting the priests' and we then, and only then, become aware of time and space being deftly handled to give meaning to their creation. When, out of choice, their timing and 'spacing' coincides with an everyday, 'conversational' usage, we are not aware of it as manipulation from 'outside the bracket'.

It is interesting that Dorothy Heathcote, the one writer who explicitly offers us an image of the manipulation of the three dimensions of 'space', 'time' and 'light' as central to her work should also present us with the greatest problem when it comes to trying to fit that image into her later practice, 'Mantle of the Expert'. Mostly her pupils are carrying out tasks in a way that suggests they are 'inside the bracket'. They are doing 'literal' tasks requiring 'everyday' timing - they are discussing, drawing, recording, cutting out, measuring, looking something up etc etc. There is no question that when they are fulfilling their 'expert' roles they are, as I have suggested above, choosing to match the time, space and energy of everyday life. Drawing a map demands the same spatial/temporal dimensions whether or not one is in a role as 'expert'. Set against this, however, in 'Mantle of the Expert', we have another example of implied fictitious dimensions: just as

\textsuperscript{67}Page 31 of the transcript in Vol 2.
a tilt of the head could suggest 'a volcano up there' in Millward's first session, so 
a glance at the the desk in the classroom corner indicates where you go to 

discuss a problem with the 'manager'; on the wall is the staff 'holiday' roster'; 

over the door shows the firm was established in 1907; and your 'Personal File' 

shows you have been an employee here for two years nine months. The whole 

activity is suffused with fictitious time while operating in the obstinate present 
of 'having in actuality to finish that map'. You can't draw a map with a 'musical 
gesture' or even a 'token' one. Nevertheless, traditional views of drama become 

challenged by the 'Mantle of the Expert' task-centred approach because it is 

traditionally assumed, by theatre theorists and child play theorists alike, that any 

'character' drawing a map as part of 'a Play' or in 'Playing' will happily make that 

'condensed' gesture.

We can now add this time-space dimension to our definition of 'acting 

behaviour: it is an act of fiction-making involving identification through 

action and the conscious manipulation of time and space. I believe this 

definition applies across the range of classroom drama.

A3. 'Acting as generalisation' in all acting behaviours

That acting behaviour manipulates time/space from outside the bracket 

reinforces the notion, first introduced into education literature by Susan Isaacs 

(1930), that make believe play enables "the emancipation of meanings from the 

here and now of a concrete situation."

Drama as a medium for education is 

based on this capacity for generalisation from its particularity. The 'bracketing'

---

68See Pages 91 & 94 above and Isaacs. S. (1930) op cit P. 104. On Pages 94-5 I also introduce 
Bruce Wiltshire's contribution to theatrical theory that "there can be no enactment without 
writing of the dramatist's responsibility in creating characters says he can "...pass through the 
particularities of his characters to a value which is beyond and yet is contained in them..." [See 
Page 162 below and Hourd. M. (1949) op cit P. 84]

69See Page 29 above. Finlay-Johnson, (1910 op cit P. 70) refers to 'the fitness of things'.

---
of action invites that action to be attended to 'as' action, of interest "beyond itself", as Lars Kleberg (1993) has expressed it. Put succinctly: as well as being 'as if', drama essentially is 'as'. This theoretical aspect is once again challenged by the 'Mantle of the Expert' role. Mantle of the Expert's reliance on actual behaviours seems, in this respect, to disqualify it as dramatic, although, of course, like geysers bursting out of a plateau, the most obviously dramatic structures of 'depicting', 'replaying the past' 'anticipating the future' and 'Chamber Theatre' etc are as integral to 'Mantle of the Expert' as the task work.

Thus our definition might now read: Acting behaviour is an act of fiction-making involving identification through action, the conscious manipulation of time and space and a capacity for generalisation.

A4. The concept of 'audience' as a feature common to all acting behaviours

Interest in whether or not there should be an audience has been a major thread running through this history of drama teaching amounting, almost, to a plot-filled story of exponents' preferences. Finlay-Johnson wrote of "doing away with an audience", by giving them responsibilities; Caldwell Cook's whole approach was with an audience in mind, developing what I have designated a 'platform' mentality; for Susan Isaacs research of the play of her infants only observers were present; Langdon saw the presence or absence of spectators as a developmental issue - with no audience for infants, "playing it out with an audience there" for lower Juniors" and beginning to understand "the rights of an

---

70 See the discussion on Page 326-7 above.
72 I make this point in "DRAMA/Drama and Cultural Values" in IDEA '95 Papers Edited by Philip Taylor and Christine Hoepper. NADIE Publications. Pp 29-34. and also on Page 329 above.
74 See Page 72 above.
75 See page 89 above.
audience" for the older ones; Mackenzie (1935), Mawer (1932) and Newton (1937) saw their work as entirely audience orientated as did the Speech teachers of the 1920s and 30s; Hourd, like her colleague, Langdon, saw it as a developmental matter but interestingly perceived Junior school children (provided they were not turned into "conscious artists") as stronger candidates for public performance than lower adolescents; Stone (1949), Slade (1954) and Way (1967) banished the idea of an audience, although Way does accept that small groups showing each other their work may be inevitable, if regrettable; Burton (1949) urged that the audience should "know what we are experiencing". The Schools Council (1977), while recognising the significant "shift of emphasis" between 'acting-out' and 'performing', saw it as a matter of 'readiness'. Robinson (1980) makes a clear distinction between "exploratory activities of classroom drama" and "the activities of those who act a part to an audience." Heathcote's (1979,1984, 1995) teaching shows little interest in a traditional audience, but nevertheless generates a strong 'sense' of audience; in Millward's (1988) work an audience would have been irrelevant; O'Neill's (1995) 'Process Drama' includes, selectively, opportunities for rehearsal, with or without texts, and intense audience observation.

To argue as I have done above for 'manipulating time and space' as the common ground in spite of so many obvious differences in practice may seem to have
challenged conventional wisdom. It may seem even more perverse to now argue in the light of the contradictory list in the preceding paragraph that audience is a common factor in classroom drama practice. However, I believe it to be important to do so.

We may group the exponents according to (1) those whose classroom practice appeared continually to stress the importance of communication to an audience - including a 'hypothetical' audience, or the rest of the class^{87} (2) those whose classroom practice appeared to deny the importance of communication to an audience of any kind^{88} (3) those whose classroom practice varied the emphasis on communication to an audience^{89}. Such a grouping, although roughly indicative of past preferences, inhibits our attempt at reformulating a definition of acting behaviour. The decision to give priority to 'communication to an audience' or, conversely, to deny its value, seems often to be bound up with related philosophical dichotomies^{90} such as 'performance/experience', 'private/public', 'process/product', all of which have become part of the drama educationist's theoretical vocabulary.

It will be my purpose within the next few pages to replace the audience/no audience division with a tripartite classification based on the orientation of the players. In other words, in order to establish 'audience' as a common factor in all

---

^{87} Such a category would include most pre-1960 Speech teachers and Mime teachers, Theatre Studies teachers of today and Caldwell Cook (1917), Mackenzie (1935), Newton (1937), Boas & Hayden (1938), and Hornbrook (1991).


^{90} Mike Fleming also draws up a list of 'dichotomies and controversies' [Fleming, M. (1994) op cit Pp 14-19.]
classroom drama, we shall be required to neutralise some of its related dichotomies\textsuperscript{91} and at the same time introduce an alternative classification.

A. 4i The concept of 'audience: a performing/experiencing dichotomy

An apparent dichotomy between what actors do and what children at play do was first mooted in England by the psychologist, James Sully\textsuperscript{92}, who wrote "A number of children playing at being Indians...do not 'perform' for one another. The words 'perform', 'act' and so forth all seem out of place here." Harriet Finlay-Johnson rarely used the term 'performance', (although she did use 'acting') confining it to the rare occasion when her pupils gave a 'public performance'\textsuperscript{93}. Indeed she reiterates the point that she wants to "do away with acting for display"\textsuperscript{94}, which may seem at first sight to be an unconvincing protestation from someone whose pupils daily prepared (writing and rehearsing) plays for performing to the rest of the class. I believe, however, that \textit{within Finlay-Johnson's expression "acting for display" we have the seed of a major conceptual shift}\textsuperscript{95} that will clear the way for a revised framework of classroom acting behaviour.

I infer (and this is where I begin, necessarily, to reformulate differences in order to promote a truer understanding of audience as a common factor) that in banishing 'acting for display', Finlay-Johnson was attempting to remove from her pupils' acting behaviour that element that would have normally been seen as legitimate fodder for audience appraisal and appreciation - \textbf{the skill involved in the acting}. I noted above that she wanted to change the function of the audience, but she also

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{91}These 'dichotomies' are related to communication to an audience. Jonothon Neelands, in an unpublished article dated May, 1995, devises a much longer list of what he calls 'oppositions' by broadening the area to 'Learning through drama' versus 'Learning in Theatre'. P. 5.
  \item \textsuperscript{92}See Page 93 above and Sully, J. (1896) \textit{op cit} P. 326.
  \item \textsuperscript{93}See Page 32 above and Finlay-Johnson, H. (1910) \textit{op cit} P 148-9, when she comments: "...their performance approached pure Art."
  \item \textsuperscript{94}See Page 31 above and Finlay-Johnson, H. (1910) \textit{op cit} Pp 23 & 34/5
  \item \textsuperscript{95}A shift that I suspect Edmund Holmes HMI did not appreciate when he wrote: "In Utopia "acting" is a vital part of the school life of every class..." See Page 25 above and [Holmes, E. (1911) \textit{op cit} P.174].
\end{itemize}
wanted to change what they looked for: they were to give their attention to their fellow pupils' presentation as a medium for curriculum knowledge and avoid seeing it as an acting achievement to be applauded as such. Notice here that I have used the word 'presentation', not 'performance', for it did not seem appropriate to use the word 'performance' for an example of acting behaviour that sought to eliminate a proper attention given to **acting**. I want to suggest, therefore, that the term **'performance'**\(^\text{96}\), in a drama context, is most meaningful when it refers to acting

\(^{96}\text{I am aware that my definition of 'performance' here considerably narrows both its common usage and its application by role and performance theorists. For instance Schechner [Schechner, R. (1977) op cit P. xiii of 1988 edition] defines 'performance' as follows:}

Performance is an inclusive term. Theatre is only one node on a continuum that reaches from ritualizations of animals (including humans) through performances in everyday life - greetings, displays of emotion, family scenes, professional roles, and so on - through play, sports, theatre, dance, ceremonies, rites, and performances of great magnitude.

However, my definition may be close to that intended by Goffman [Goffman, E. (1974) op cit P. 124/5 of Penguin edition] who writes:

A performance, in the restricted sense...is that arrangement which transforms an individual into a stage performer, the latter, in turn, being the object that can be looked at in the round and at length without offence, and looked to for engaging behaviour, by persons in an "audience" role.

This "can be looked at in the round and at length without offence" is reminiscent of Heathcote's 'permission to stare'. [See Page 73 above]. This comparison with Goffman, however, should not be taken too seriously as he is searching for a theatrical metaphor to help us understand the everyday world, and not theatre itself. Marvin Carlson, who introduces his book, *Performance* [1996], with a range of definitions for what he calls this "contested concept" (P. 5) gives an example of an incident illustrative of a conception of 'performance' very close to the one I have chosen to adopt. Carlson writes:

I recently came across a striking illustration of how important the idea of the public display of technical skill is to this traditional concept of "performance". At a number of locations in the United States and abroad, people in period costume act out improvised or scripted events at historical sites for tourists...a kind of activity often called "living history". One site of such activity is Fort Ross in Northern California, where a husband and wife, dressed in the costumes of the 1830s, greet visitors in roles of the last Russian Commander of the fort and his wife. The wife...decided at one time to play period music on the piano to give the visitors the impression of contemporary cultural life. But she later abandoned this, feeling, in her words, that it "removed the role from living-history and placed it in a category of performance."

This is a nice example of the skill of the performance being relevant *in itself.*
Chapter 11: Acting behaviours

for which an actor would expect to be applauded, and that it be replaced by the term 'presentation' in respect of dramatic activity in which the acting is not highly relevant in itself. In defining the terms in this way 'acting' and 'performing' are being used synonomously and 'performance' may refer either to the acting retrospectively or to the occasion of the acting. Thus it follows that 'acting' or 'performing' are a special category of acting behaviour, along with other categories that will be expanded upon in the final section of this chapter.

It is this reordering of the basis for conceptual distinction that puts some of the activities of our pioneers in a different light. For instance, in respect of Finlay-Johnson, her intention to 'do away with display' places her work in the 'presentation' category - her pupils' endeavours at researching, script-writing and rehearsing are directed towards presenting, not performing. Caldwell Cook's work, on the other hand, unambiguously belongs to 'performing'. Finlay-Johnson's pupils, according to these terms, were engaged in 'acting behaviour as a vehicle for curriculum knowledge'; Caldwell Cook's were 'acting' in its traditional or pure sense, in what Slade designated 'Acting in the full sense' - with a Capital 'A'. One fundamental effect of this change in rationale is that 'communication' can no longer be perceived as the prerogative of 'performance'. Other acting behaviours, involving some kind of 'presentation' (that is, depicting significant subject-matter inviting a show of appreciation from the audience. [Routledge, NY, P. 3]

97The choice of the term 'presentation' for this category should not be confused with Peter Millward's expression used elsewhere of 'presenting experience dramatically'. I will continue to employ both terms, relying on the context to make the difference clear. The alternative choice of term for the second category would have been 'demonstration' or 'illustration'.

98See Page 207 above and Slade. P. (1954) op cit P. 35. Slade of course did not see what he describes as 'Acting in the full sense' as part of classroom practice

99It should be noted that whereas by 'subject-matter' or 'content' exponents such as Finlay-Johnson referred to traditional school subjects and Heathcotian followers usually referred to some important issue or centre of interest, within today's broader curriculum, including 'Theatre Studies', presentation could equally apply to a demonstration related to a dramatist's craft or to Theatre History. For instance, Andy Kempe [Kempe, Andy (1990) op cit P. 106] having drawn from his pupils that David Hockney's 'Big Splash' conveys a startling moment of contrast, invites them to consider "how we capture a contrast in drama in such a startling way?" Such an exercise,
for an audience to examine) require clear communication to an audience, but not their applause. It is conceivable, therefore, that the *audience* in part determines how the acting behaviour is to be perceived - what was intended by the players as a presentation could be turned by the audience into a performance. Just as Lars Kleberg\(^{100}\) argued that for an event to qualify as 'theatre', there must be present a spectator willing to see it 'as theatre', so I am now suggesting that a spectator may also contribute to determining whether acting behaviour qualifies as 'acting' in its narrow or pure sense. The categories I am putting forward, 'performance' and 'presentation', are both concerned with conscious communication to an audience outside the drama. The extent to which skill of acting contributes to the meaning of that event, for actor or spectator, determines the category to which the behaviour belongs.

A further practical implication of this separation of 'acting', in a specific sense of being important in itself as an artistic skill, from other acting behaviours is that work by pupils on a script does not necessarily qualify the pupils' behaviour as 'acting'. Thus it is conceivable that while the performance of a play to another class or in school assembly or as a public performance is 'acting', the pupils' behaviour in the presentation of an excerpt from that same play as part of a classroom project on some social issue is not necessarily to be regarded as acting - unless, of course, the ethos of the school be such that pupils and teacher alike are conditioned to seeing enactment of any kind as demonstration of a skill awaiting applause. That is not to deny that there will be occasions within, for example, Process Drama when the artistry of the actors invites the expressed adulation of the spectators. This especially occurs in the final stage of the work when the students plan and rehearse a resolution of the story or issue, when the format being used is clearly of

\[^{100}\text{See Kleberg, Lars (1993) op cit P. 42-3 and Page 329 above of this thesis.}\]
'performance' dimensions, needing the audience's approval of the performance as a performance for a sense of completion. Thus although I am making a conceptual distinction between 'acting' and other acting behaviours, this way of defining 'acting' by no means excludes it from the classroom.

In the heading (A 4) above, however, I have placed 'performing' and 'experiencing' in polar opposition. There are, as we have seen, radical differences, to a point of mutual exclusion, between the performance behaviours, say, of Caldwell Cook's players and Millward's volcano-dwelling pupils, but 'experiencing' no longer seems the right choice of word for the culture-building at which the latter are working so hard. 'Experiencing' has a passive ring to it, but Millward's pupils are more than 'experiencing'; they are, as dramatists, forging a set of tacit laws within a cultural context; they are, to give this kind of orientation a label, 'making'. Such a category of acting behaviour [which in the past I have called 'dramatic playing', a term that nevertheless lacks the active, composing connotation of 'making'] applies also to children's make-believe playing, to the kind of 'free' improvisations recommended in the 1960 textbooks such as 'be at the sea-side', and to the sophisticated kind of tightly structured, simultaneously enacted exercises to be found in 'Process' Drama.

Thus I am suggesting the 'performing'/'presentating' classifications be set against a third category, 'making'. I use the expression 'set against' for whereas a criterion of classification for the former categories is the conscious communication to an 'outside' audience, the 'making' category is of a different order. It has sometimes been possible in the past to argue for 'performing' and 'experiencing' as alternative

101 'Making', a term first used by Caldwell Cook. [Page 56 above] has been popularised in the last two decades particularly by those arts theorists keen to find a generic base for all the arts, so that they can be grouped under 'making', 'performing' or 'responding'. See for example, Hornbrook, D. (1991) Education in Drama The Falmer Press P. 13]. Robinson (1980 op cit P. 168) gave a more active slant to the dramatic experience by his use of the term 'heuristic'. [See also Chapter, 9, Page 335. Note 162 above]
ends of a continuum, each with the potential for merging into the other, but the acting behaviour in 'making' taps everyday means of expression in order to signal the building, in 'now time', of a social or cultural entity and it could not logically 'merge into' either 'performing' or 'presenting'. When Millward drew a distinction between 'presenting experience dramatically' and 'doing Drama', he was alluding to a conceptual shift, not merely to a change of degree. 'Performing'/Presenting and 'Making' are indeed in a dichotomous relationship.

A further practical implication of this distinction is that an invitation in the classroom to 'do drama' will commonly feed performance expectations, in which pupils, functioning in that role of 'pupils', with a teacher's guidance, enact an improvised or scripted play. In 'presenting experience dramatically' (or, 'making', as I am now labelling this orientation) the children's function is not primarily mediated by their normal 'pupil/teacher' school role. They are 'makers' (dramatists) of 'life under a volcano'. Similarly the child playing at 'tractors' is not primarily functioning in a child/adult relationship, even if the mother is joining in the playing. The child's primary role is that of a dramatist, 'making' a 'tractor context' - unless the mother invites the child to "show us your 'tractor play'", in which case the activity would have moved out of 'making' into 'performing' - no doubt inviting the mother's expressed admiration.

One might conclude from this that the presence of an audience is relevant only to 'performing' and 'presenting'. If we turn now, however, to the other common dichotomies relating to audience as a feature of acting behaviours, namely, 'public/private' and process/product', we shall be obliged to broaden the traditional concept of audience.

---

103 See Page 222 above.
A.4ii The concept of audience: 'Public/Private' dichotomies

Again this dichotomy is to be found in the writings of James Sully (1896) who draws a distinction between the "contented privacy" of child play and the public face of art. In the history of drama education and professional theatre there have been two meanings applied to the notion of 'privacy'. One is linked with the need for protection from public scrutiny at times of vulnerability, such as many theatre directors guarantee their actors during rehearsals and when Peter Stone insists that only selected guests may be brought in to observe the work. The other, relating to linguistics or semiotics, raises an important philosophical issue. Part of the progressive movement's ideology is the notion of an 'inner self' whose expression remains private, personal and inaccessible to others. Among the drama exponents, Slade and Way most notably, take this position, one which David Best argues is untenable. He avers that not only is an individual's means of expression dependent on culturally determined media, it is the media (particularly language and the arts) that determine the kinds of thoughts and feelings he is capable of having in the first place. In other words, all forms of expression are potentially 'public' because they are culturally derived.

105 Peter Brook writes: "...as the years go by, I see more and more how important it is for actors, who are by nature fearful and oversensitive, to know that they are totally protected by silence, intimacy and secrecy." [Brook, P. (1993) There are no secrets Methuen Drama, P. 100 of 1995 edition]. By contrast, Robert Breen [(1986) Chamber Theatre op cit P. 70] notes Kenneth Tynan's account of a visit to the Berliner Ensemble where the actors rehearsed in front of a large mirror in the footlights with "photographers continuously shooting pictures ...to provide visual records for the actors to study later.
106 See Page 197 above.
107 This point is made by R.J.W Selleck (1972) in English Primary Education and the Progressives 1914-1939 op cit P. 55.
108 Best, D. (1992) op cit P. 18. Best explains that the picture of self he offers is one of "the common human way of acting and responding, set in the context of cultural practices. which is the foundation of the self, not some supposed 'inner' spirit or mentality."
109 Best, D. (1992) ibid P. 82/3. He puts it that "...if people succumb to popular pressures, and are thus limited to the circulating library of cliché forms of expression, then their capacity for their own individual thought and emotional experience is commensurably limited."
If we take an example of classroom drama that clearly showed no interest in entertaining an outside audience, such as Millward's 'Volcano Drama', in the light of Best's theory, we can see that the young pupils' struggle to 'present experience dramatically' (to use Millward's phrase), has little to do with idiosyncratic, individual expression, but, rather, its opposite. They are seeking a public agreement about what is going on - and they are 'audience' to each others' endeavours. If we look again at the example I gave of a child playing 'a witch', taking Best's argument to its extreme, the most 'private' mutterings of a child in solitary play pretending to be 'a witch' count as at least potentially communicable because the linguistic and paralinguistic expressions are culturally derived. It seems that the meaning of any form of drama is in the public domain, whatever the personal feelings and interests of each participant. If the child is playing the 'witch' as part of a classroom drama, her presentation of 'witchness' is born both of a state of mind that is uniquely hers and of how she and the others see the fiction and it is created by she and they together, that is, their reinforcement feeds her creation. How she/they do it depends on the meaning the fiction has for them. In the earlier discussion in connection with Slade's approach, I pointed out a contradiction between the Sladian rhetoric of 'free expression' and the stereotypic gestures his pupils needed to carry out intelligent signing of the narrated story. I suggested then that one way of containing such a contradiction was to see such signing as a temporary measure preceding true creativity. Although Slade has given his approval of this analysis, I believe it to be misleading in so far as it suggests that once the second phase has arrived, the child has a choice between further 'public' or 'private' expression. I am now arguing that once the stereotyping is over, any change in the medium of expression, however individualistic, will be potentially communicable. Indeed Slade always looked for a change from individual

110 Discussed on Pages 236-7 & 242 above.
dependence on the story to inventive small group interaction, which, I am now suggesting, can only be meaningful if the signing is public.

A.4iii The concept of audience: 'Process/Product' dichotomy

The first writer\textsuperscript{112} to make a precise distinction between 'process' and 'product' in classroom drama\textsuperscript{113} was E.M. Langdon (1948)\textsuperscript{114} who drew on a grammatical analogy of 'playing' and a 'play', which she used synonymously with 'pretending' and 'acting'. She saw the infant age group as the province of the former in preparation for a gradual change to the latter in the Junior school. The first was to be without an audience and the second was to go through a phase of "playing it out with an audience there"\textsuperscript{115} and beginning to recognise "the rights of an audience".\textsuperscript{116} Exponents may have disagreed over the age groups, but Langdon's division into 'playing' and 'a play' is a thesis many have found acceptable\textsuperscript{117}. Just as the rehearsal process is seen as an opportunity for actors to explore and experiment without penalty, so 'playing' is seen as an essential element of improvised classroom drama\textsuperscript{118}. At its weakest it is intended to be regarded as exploratory, not 'public', and therefore not subject to critical appraisal; at its best it is intended to be regarded as a 'public'\textsuperscript{119}, spontaneous, meaningful, dramatic

\textsuperscript{112}The Hadow Report (1931) had already made an 'official' distinction between 'formal' and informal drama [Board of Education (1931) HMSO. P. 76] which paved the way for a 'process/product' dichotomy. Ten years earlier in The Teaching of English in England the authors' classification [(a) the performance of scenes or pieces in class and (b) the public performance of plays by pupils] appeared to focus on all drama as 'product'. [See Page 142 above and Board of Education (1921) op cit P.315 Para 289]

\textsuperscript{113}Although Mrs. Langdon appears to have been the first to make a distinction between product and process in drama, progressive educationists before her had drawn such a distinction in respect of education, including Caldwell Cook who saw the goal of education as "...not for the destination, but chiefly for the journey." [See Caldwell Cook (1917) P. 8 and P. 47 above.]

\textsuperscript{114}See Page 100/101 above and Langdon E.M. (1948) op cit P. 15. In our discussion, Page 31 above, it was pointed out that although Finlay-Johnson used the expression 'finished product', we should not assume that she was placing it in opposition to 'Process'.

\textsuperscript{115}See Page 102 above and Langdon, E.M. (1948) op cit P. 38.

\textsuperscript{116}See Page 102/3 above and Langdon, E.M. (1948) op cit P. 30.

\textsuperscript{117}On Pages 101-102 above I introduced the grammatical analogy of 'baking' and 'baking a cake' to illustrate difference in emphasis while retaining interdependence.

\textsuperscript{118}Of course, have continually referred to this element as 'dramatic playing'. [See, for instance Bolton, G. (1979) op cit P. 6]

\textsuperscript{119}In the sense in which we have argued for seeing all media of expression as 'public' because of
presentation of experience, having, as in Millward's work, 'all the makings of a well-made play'.

It has made sense to a large number of drama teachers to emphasise the value of this kind of process for itself. The experimentation, risk-taking and discoveries involved have been seen as integral to personal development, whether the methodology be stage or child or content centred. It may be mistaken, however, to leave the impression, as some writers have done, that 'process' is to be seen as an alternative to 'product', for they are interdependent, not polar, concepts. Fleming (1994) expresses it succinctly: "For in an active discipline like drama every end product contains a process within it and every process is in some sense a product." As he points out, we would hesitate to make a distinction between 'a football match' and 'playing football' and yet that is what Langdon did between 'a play' and 'playing' - to most practitioners' approval. However, the theoretical language applied to drama today tends to reduce the distinction. Millward's phrase, for example, "presenting experience dramatically" implies both process and product.


Following Langdon's use of the verb 'playing' others have adopted the idea of using the present participle to convey an emphasis on a continuing 'process'. Pemberton-Billing and Clegg, for instance, [Pemberton-Billing, R. & Clegg, D. (1965) op cit P. 28] coin the term 'playing-out', and, as we have seen, [see Page 333 above and McGregor et al (1977) op cit P. 10] the Schools Council Secondary Project use 'acting-out'.


It is a pity, in some ways that recent authors have, for reasons that are understandable, included 'Process' in their book titles, isolating it once more from 'Product'. John O'Toole (1992) in The Process of Drama makes a startling appeal for the return to 'Process' as characterising the purpose of drama education. He quotes from a young South African teacher, by the name of Brendan Butler, who sees schooling in his country as a weapon for oppression, using all the theatrical paraphernalia at its disposal to do so. For the pupils school is removed from 'real life', for they sense they are required to act in the authority's play. O'Toole writes: "Butler sees the processuality of drama in education as giving teachers the opportunity to subvert this theatricality..." [O'Toole, J. (1992) op cit Pp57-59] O'Toole recognises that to take 'Process' out of our drama education vocabulary as a counter to 'product' is, for Butler, to deny a political weapon.

Cecily O'Neill (1995) who published her book under the title Drama Worlds: a framework for process drama needed a term for what she describes as "the kind of generative dramatic encounter" her methodology promotes. Published in America, the title had to make sense to both
A. iv. Conclusions about the three dichotomies related to the issue of 'audience'
In the above analysis I have attempted to neutralise the polarisation effect: in respect of the 'public/private' and 'process/product' dichotomies I have argued that forms of self-expression are potentially 'public'; in connection with 'process/product' I have appealed for a recognition of interdependence. With regard to the performing/experiencing continuum, I have suggested it be replaced with a three-prong thrust, two interconnected categories of 'performing', and 'presenting', and a third independent category of 'making'.

If it can be agreed that all kinds of drama are potentially communicable to others outside the drama and that there is always at least an implicit product, then it is but a short step to further argue that a 'sense of audience' is properly present, whatever the methodology: if the pupil is 'acting' in its pure sense, then s/he is subjecting herself/himself to an audience's appraisal, even if that audience is made up of just other members of the class or the teacher. If the child is 'making', whether in the sense of 'living through' under the guidance of a teacher-in-role or independent of an adult, then the pupil will be treating fellow pupils as audience in order jointly to present experience dramatically. If the drama is in the form of 'depiction' or 'tableau', then the demonstration is dependent on effective, accurate and economic signalling to a real or hypothetical audience. If the work is 'Mantle of the Expert', that special version of 'living through' drama, then the 'sense of

---

Theatre and Creative Dramatics people. When such people read it, of course, they will see that a great many of the activities are to do with creating 'a product' [O'Neill C.C. (1995) op cit P. 5].

124 Ken Robinson adapts James Britton's phrase 'a sense of audience' [Robinson, K. (1981) op cit P. 242 quotes from Britton et al (1975) The Development of Writing Abilities 11-18 Macmillan] to drama: "In Dramatic activity the audience is vividly present. Even in the drama lesson, the child works with other members of the group as audience to his/her actions... Similarly the actor will adapt his/her performance... as he/she interprets the audience's reactions and responses."
audience', that is, a sense of who will need to understand what is being done to meet a contract, is paramount.\textsuperscript{125}

This final example of 'audience', of course, resides in the participant himself, the 'self-spectator'\textsuperscript{126}. Emile Jacques-Dalcroze, writing in 1919 drew attention to the dual function of actor/spectator as follows: "In the laboratory of his organism a transmutation is effected, turning the creator into both actor and spectator of his own composition."\textsuperscript{127} The psychologist, Susan Isaacs (1932) related the meaning of self-spectatorship to degrees of 'self-centredness' suggesting a significant gender difference in the needs of Junior children doing drama. She suggested that whereas girls enjoy displaying themselves, the imagination of the boys needs to be awakened by the play itself\textsuperscript{128}. Whether or not such a hypothesis is viable is not so important here as the implication that acting behaviour may be generated by a difference in impetus. According to Isaacs, whereas the main thrust of young male acting behaviour veers towards substance, female orientation is in the direction of making an effect, the result, presumably of greater interest in 'showing off'. Marjorie Hourd, conscious of differences in vulnerability rather than gender, points to the self-conscious aspect of self-spectatorship in the adolescent, who may be more interested in 'himself playing the part than the part'\textsuperscript{129}. Far from seeing this as a need for display, she sees this brand of self-consciousness as the adolescent's painful awareness of a deficiency in understanding. She suggests that early adolescent pupils are deprived at that age of the necessary "assurance" that they have understood, say, a difficult text. In other words, as self-spectators in their drama they fail to recognise what they know from the drama, so that they are left

\textsuperscript{125}In North American schools, I have experienced in my students a further 'sense of audience' - that of the teacher who will be grading the work at the end of the session.
\textsuperscript{126}See Heathcote's comment on my work as not giving sufficient attention to the 'self-spectator' element. [P. 359 above]
\textsuperscript{127}See Page 182 above and Jacques-Dalcroze E. (1921) op cit P. 196.
\textsuperscript{128}See Page 103 below and Isaacs (1932) op cit P. 126
\textsuperscript{129}See Page 166 above and Hourd, M (1949) op cit P. 105.
aware of what they do not yet know, whereas in slightly younger pupils the self-spectator is more easily satisfied and in older adolescents their mature perspective on what they are creating takes them into deeper understanding.

Hourd's theory of 'self-spectatorship' goes further than this. Whereas she sees the adolescent's phase of development as one of uncomfortable, but temporary, self-awareness, she sees the pervasive purpose of enactment as a means of "losing oneself to gain oneself". Taking this expression further, it seems that through the fiction one can, to use Bruce Wiltshire's phrase "come across oneself". The fiction-making exposes the self reflected in it. It is as if the fiction is a mirror in which one might glimpse oneself. It is this view of 'self-spectatorship' Heathcote has absorbed into her work, while avoiding the therapeutic connotation that Hourd's expression 'losing oneself to gain oneself' could have. Heathcote's insistence on self-spectatorship is a deliberate harnessing of our capacity through Mimesis to examine our own values. She feels it is not something that can be left to chance.

Thus 'self-spectatorship', at its best, can be said to promote a double valence of being an audience to one's own creation and being an audience to oneself. Mike Fleming uses the term 'percipient' to combine the participant/spectator function in drama. Such a concept takes us beyond individual spectating to the collective feelings shared by all the players as 'an audience' to what they are creating or presenting. It further extends the theory of self-spectatorship to take on board the notion of the 'percipient's' emotional engagement with what is going on. The dimensions of 'playwright' and 'director' could also be added. The four functions, 'dramatist', 'spectator', 'participant' and 'director' are occurring simultaneously. This

---

130 See Hourd M. (1949) op cit P. 37 and Page 151 above.
131 Wiltshire, Bruce (1982) op cit P. 101
is so in all kinds of improvised drama, including children's informal play. Most of the past research into children's play has focused on the expressive components of a child's make believe behaviour. Viewed from the perspective of a dramatist's or directorial functions, however, 'child-play' may be open to a new range of meanings, as evidenced by the research of Nelson and Seidman (1984), who see young children at play as making their own scripts.134

The definition of acting behaviour may now be extended: Acting behaviour is an act of fiction-making involving identification through action, the conscious manipulation of time and space and a capacity for generalisation. It relies on some sense of audience, including self-spectatorship.

Summary
In this first section of the final chapter an attempt has been made gradually to build a definition of acting behaviours, a process of identifying the common ground or centre of its many forms. This involved a clarifying or even a reinterpreting of long-held concepts associated with classroom acting. The recognition of the three major orientations of 'performing', 'presenting' and 'making' made way for challenging past dichotomies bound up with the previously divisive notion of 'audience'. Acknowledging 'audience' as a central feature of acting behaviour, along with 'identification', 'manipulation of time and space', 'capacity for generalisation' and 'disponibilité' amounts to a reformulation of theoretical positions. The most radical departure from traditional perception however may be ascribed to the notion underlying the classification into 'performing', and 'presenting', that 'acting' in its narrowest sense may be determined, not so much by identifiable behaviours, as by the combined intentions of the actors and audience. From this it has been concluded that this sense of 'acting' which may or may not be

part of 'presenting', is the critical defining feature of 'performing'. Significantly, 'acting' has no place in 'making', in which, it has been argued, the acting orientation is of a different order.

Thus in a section purporting to seek common ground, important differences have necessarily emerged as reflectors of that common ground. We will now examine further differences.

B. Differences in Acting behaviours

B1 Acting within a sub-culture as a feature of some acting behaviours

On Page 40 of this thesis, in the chapter on Harriet Finlay-Johnson, I wrote: "I want to suggest that dramatising knowledge amounted to something rather more than an 'incentive'. I believe it provided a different order of school experience." Throughout my attempt to trace a history of classroom drama I have argued that beyond and above a particular methodology of some exponents, there has been evidence of an overarching social order amounting to an identifiable sub-culture. The headmistress of Sompting village school, a respected resident of that village, is able to give a new meaning to what is meant by 'schooling'. It is to be a 'game'\(^{135}\), made up by teacher and children together. To play this 'game', you take the school curriculum and turn it into plays for teaching the rest of the class. Thus 'acting behaviour' is required by the 'school game' and each pupil knows who s/he is - a player. Just as it is in order to kick a ball into a net because you are a player in a game of football, so if you are a player in the Finlay-Johnson school game, acting is in order. I believe this sub-cultural 'ordering', that endows the pupil with the role of 'player' (and who no doubt is seen as such by the rest of the village) before s/he takes up any specific acting role, lies at the basis of Finlay-Johnson's success - and explains why teachers have found it so difficult to emulate her\(^{136}\).

\(^{135}\)See Pages 34/5 & 41 and Finlay-Johnson, H. (1910) op cit Pp 173 & 178.

\(^{136}\)See the point I make in this connection about Edmond Holmes (P. 41)
When we turn to Henry Caldwell Cook, we see that under the regime of a very sympathetic Headmaster, W.H.D. Rouse\textsuperscript{137}, he was able to contrive a democratic sub-culture with the classes for which he was responsible. When his 'Littlemen' and 'Members of Junior Republic of 1111'\textsuperscript{138} entered the English classroom they were 'actors' entering 'The Mummery'. 'Acting' was seen as part of their sub-culture before they even picked up a script. I have referred a number of times in this thesis to a contemporary of Caldwell Cook, W.S. Tomkinson\textsuperscript{139} who put forward a cogent argument for avoiding dramatics in the English or History classroom. In illustrating his point by describing the treatment by boys of an enactment of the story of the murder of Thomas à Becket, Tomkinson concludes with "Becket's murder will be received by actors and audience alike with undisguised amusement." I suggest it is likely that generations of talented teachers such as Tomkinson have faced similar 'disasters'. Without the sub-cultural role of the 'players', Thomas à Becket would have died in mirth in Caldwell Cook's classroom too!

Although not explicitly discussed in terms of a 'sub-culture', some exponents of classroom drama, at different points in the century, were aware of the need to signal that 'doing drama' was permissible. The 'Wendy House', as it used to be called, signalled to very young children that it was all right to play. The proscenium stage with curtains and footlights signalled a new respectability attached to Secondary Drama. Stone, Slade and Way persuaded teachers and pupils alike that it was all part of physical training - and you 'stripped down' appropriately, your reduced clothing signalling the sub-culture to which you belonged. Pemberton-Billing and Clegg began the notion of a 'Drama Studio' by turning the school hall into a place of mystery\textsuperscript{140}. Less definable but nevertheless

\textsuperscript{137}See Page 44 above.
\textsuperscript{138}See Page 67 above and Caldwell Cook, H. (1917) \textit{op cit} P. 67
\textsuperscript{139}Sec. for example, Page 62 above and Tomkinson, W.S. (1921) \textit{op cit} P. 45
\textsuperscript{140}See Pemberton-Billing, R. & Clegg, D. (1965) \textit{op cit} P.11. who write "The empty hall seems
effective sub-cultures were indicated, for instance, more than a decade after Pemberton-Billing by an attitudinal image: 'We are politically committed, young adults and the Drama Room is where our views are taken seriously', or, today, 'Drama is an examination subject - and we carry around our play-texts' or 'We are members of the School Drama Club'.

The most unusual version of this 'sub-culture' context is Heathcote's 'Mantle of the Expert' which, as we have seen, builds a sub-culture into its methodology. In the extended example analysed in Heathcote & Bolton (1995), the 8-11 year old pupils, during ten sessions of drama, role play: Saxon bee-keepers, saga-writers, bardic chanters, archivists, discoverers of an ancient document, and King Arthur's courtiers. Their commitment to these roles is generated by an undercurrent of fiction continuously perpetuated by their collective role as modern American bee-keepers with daily responsibilities for tending their hives. It is as though, to change the metaphor, their 'expertise' is a trunk road forever needing maintenance, while the spurs and bridges of its other roles demand new architectural and engineering invention.

There would be little point to the imaginative appendages if the trunk road did not exist. If Heathcote's pupils were not experts there would be little point in their acting other parts. Likewise, there would be little point to Finlay-Johnson's dramatisation if her pupils were not 'players', to Caldwell Cook's pupils acting Shakespeare, if they were not 'actors' and to the publication of Andy Kempe's The vast and gloomy, full of interesting corners and patches of light. The curtains are closed and spotlights cast irregular pools of shiny light on the floor...An intense orange light shines in your eyes...

141 For an account of the 'politicising' of drama education see Chapter 4, 'Barricades and Bewilderment' in David Hornbook's (1989) Education and Dramatic Art and Warwick Dobson's (1996) Ph.D. thesis with the University of Sussex.
142 See Page 386 above.
GCSE Drama Coursebook\textsuperscript{144} if his readers were not labelled 'Examination students'. Perhaps more important than asking whether there is a difference between one kind of acting and another, is to ask whether pupils and students have been given 'permission to act' by the role with which they, collectively, are continually endowed.

B2. 'Teacher in Role', a feature of one kind of acting behaviour

I have suggested above that acting behaviour is 'playing with the here and now'. One unique approach, within the category of 'Making', is 'Living through' Drama in which the teacher determines the fictional present and the location\textsuperscript{145}. More than that, the way the teacher plays a role determines choice of style, of focus, of connections with 'the past'. In other words the teacher is the key dramatist until her fellow 'dramatists' take over ownership of the 'play' created. Even then, the teacher's responsibility is to continue to keep the 'self-spectator' aroused and the capacity to 'make connections' sustained. This classroom phenomenon, a device I believe to be the most effective existing educational strategy known to us for learning about both dramatic art and about life, appears to have no place in even the most avant-garde workshop practice of leaders in the theatre, although, of course, \textit{in the home}, it is commonly observed between parent and toddler!

Relating it to our previous analysis in this chapter, 'Living through' drama, (as I have chosen to call all 'teacher-in-role' drama) precludes the possibility of 'acting' in its purest sense. It 'plays' with time and space in a way that can only draw on social resources as everyday living does - it relies on a mutual, tacit, open-ended discovery of what is being made. The whole tenor of the behaviour is in the first place exploratory, seeking a common ground, a shared context. In pure 'acting',

\textsuperscript{144}Kempe, A. (1991) op cit
\textsuperscript{145}Although asking the class 'What do you want to make a play about?' is commonly associated with 'teacher-in-role, such a choice by the pupils themselves is often more apparent than real, for it is the teacher who turns their 'topic' into dramatic art.
even if it is improvised, the *occasion* makes the enactment interesting in itself and so the elements of 'time' and 'space' are played with differently, as though they were 'givens' from a hidden text.

**B.3 Theatre as a feature of some acting behaviours**

Perhaps the crudest definition of theatre is Eric Bentley's\(^{146}\) "A impersonates B for C". Bruce Wiltshire\(^{147}\) elaborates on this, pointing out that the Greek word for theatre [*theatron*, a place for seeing] is linked with *theoria* which can both mean spectacle and speculation or theory. "Thus", concludes Wiltshire, "it is suggested that theatre, at its origins, was its own mode of speculating and theorising about human nature and action." Such a definition, Wiltshire admits, takes the wonder out of theatre until one appreciates that *theoria* can also mean "to look god in the face"\(^{148}\) It is towards the mystery of theatre that Peter Brook turns. He defines theatre in the following terms: "The essence of theatre is within a mystery called 'the present moment'"\(^{149}\) He later extends it into a mysticism that would have appealed to Peter Slade\(^{150}\):

It [theatre] is the truth of the present moment that counts, the absolute sense of conviction that can only appear when a unity binds performer and audience. This appears when the temporary forms have served their purpose and have brought us into this single, unrepeatable instant when a door opens and our vision is transformed.

Thus theorists of theatre appear to swing between the functional and the poetic. Ken Robinson, for instance, sees theatre as a kind of social encounter between actors and audience\(^{151}\), "...it is partly their presence [the audience] and their activity which identifies what is going on as

\(^{146}\)Bentley, Eric (1975) *The Life of Drama* Atheneum NY. P. 150  
\(^{147}\)Wiltshire, Bruce (1982) op cit P. 33.  
\(^{148}\)Wiltshire, B. (1982) op cit P. 33  
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theatre." By comparison, for Peter Slade, theatre is "a golden moment". He writes: "I felt the light dying. If not of the real sun, it was the light of 'real theatre' when a great scene comes to a close." It seems that the place where an encounter can occur between audience and actors may be called 'a theatre' and that, more importantly, the encounter itself is to be called 'theatre'. Sometimes, however, 'theatre' is used as a criterion of effectiveness - Fleming refers to Heathcote's 'Three Looms Waiting' as qualifying for the description of "an effective piece of theatre". From Brook and Slade we see that special moments of ecstasy are to be called 'theatre'. Yet earlier I referred to Cecily O'Neill's 'Process Drama' as a genre of theatre. "Theatre has been made" was my laconic summing up of the 'Frank Miller' work. In my 1979 publication I wrote, misleadingly I now believe, of theatre as being one of three broad kinds of dramatic activity to be promoted in schools: 'theatre' (meaning 'performing to an audience'), 'dramatic playing' (meaning improvisational and without an audience), and 'exercise' (meaning 'practising something'). Then, perhaps more usefully, in the same book, I introduced the idea of 'theatre form', referring to such elements as 'tension', 'focus', 'surprise', 'contrast' and 'symbolisation'. For Heathcote, as we have seen, theatre "...has many 'communicating faces' that surround and give variety of shapings to a few operant laws". Its dimensions are movement/stillness, sound/silence, and light/darkness.

---

154 See Page 373 above. On Page 368 I comment on O'Neill's 'language of theatre': "This is not the traditional language of climax, shape, plots and sub-plots, but the contemporary theatre language of episodes, transformation, ritual, spectatorship, alienation and fragmentation.
I am reluctant to deny any of the above usages. It may be that in discussing formal elements it is more useful, as Hornbrook does, to employ the term 'dramatic art', in which case the most common usage of 'theatre' could be left to a combination of place and occasion when actors perform to an audience.

Summary
In this second section of this final chapter we have added to some of the basic differences that emerged in the first part. We shall see in the next section that any particular example of acting behaviour may be determined by a wide range of competing intrinsic and extrinsic factors, but three such factors, emerging from our examination of publications throughout the century, have stood out as being of particular interest to many writers. They are the concept of a 'sub-culture' explicitly or implicitly giving an identity to the 'actors'; the use of 'teacher-in-role', a device that allows pupils and teacher to work as artists from the inside of the fictional event; and the multi-faceted concept of 'theatre', a term more sensibly replaced by 'dramatic art', potentially present in all forms of classroom drama, confining 'theatre' to the more formal occasion.

C. Differentiating Acting behaviours

So far in this chapter an attempt has been made to summarise the preceding historical survey by drawing attention to many points made by exponents that appear to contribute to a definition of acting behaviour. In order to arrive at a definition, it has been necessary to find a common basis for the acting behaviours present in markedly dissimilar methodologies. We are

---

now in a position to take up a discussion begun towards the end of the chapter on Brian Way.\textsuperscript{159} in which 'focus of responsibilities' was seen as a key to looking at acting behaviours in terms of their particular, defining characteristics.

Since that discussion it has emerged that the major differences in acting behaviours may be classified according to the prioritizing intention of 'performing', 'presenting' and 'making'.\textsuperscript{160} The acting behaviour in 'performing' includes a responsibility to display a performance skill (as in one group performing for the rest of the class to watch); the acting behaviour in 'presentation' includes a responsibility towards precise communication or demonstration of some significant content (as in tableau or depiction); the acting behaviour in 'making' includes a responsibility towards the mutual building of a social context (as in child play or 'living through' drama). These responsibilities may be expanded to include other basic dimensions that have emerged at different points in the preceding chapters. They may be listed as follows:

**Performance** - embracing dimensions of display, content, 'givens', and ownership of 'givens'

**Presentation** - embracing dimensions of a dramatist's and director's responsibilities, content, 'givens', ownership of 'givens', communication, and (possibly) display

\textsuperscript{159}See Pages 277-281 above.

\textsuperscript{160}It should be noted that I am using the terms 'presenting' and 'making' here in a specialised sense which should not be confused with their usage by Abbs (1987) or Hornbrook (1991 op cit). [Abbs. Peter Living Powers: The Arts in Education The Falmer Press London]. My usage here coincides more closely with the classification of my 1992 publication [New Perspectives] which refers to 'illustrative/performance activity' and 'dramatic playing' respectively.
Making - embracing dimensions of dramatist's and director's responsibilities, social context, underlying cultural laws, 'givens', ownership of 'givens' and (possibly) task.

If the above three categories broadly represent discernible basic differences in acting behaviour, in practice there are a whole range of further, often competing, factors constraining, embellishing or heightening any particular example of acting. We have seen that Bert States (1985)\textsuperscript{161}, concentrating of course on stage performance, classifies acting into self-expressive, collaborative or representational. Such differences in style of performance could no doubt also be observed within a classroom, just as the differences noted by Marjorie Hourd (1949) who sought to distinguish both between acting that is uni-directional and 'in-the-round' and between 'perfected technique' of the formal stage and the informality of a 'minstrelsy setting'\textsuperscript{162} could also be observed.

Similarly, there are a whole range of distinguishing features that could characterise 'presenting' or 'making'. The acting behaviour is ultimately defined by a combination of many of these features. Two such overridingly significant factors are the knowledge brought to the part and the extent to which that knowledge is 'owned' by the participants. Let us take a simple example of 'making' in Chris Day's (1975) lesson on 'Volcanoes', an account of which we have already read in Chapter 8\textsuperscript{163}.

The 11 year old children are first asked to "become people of the village or people of the fair visiting the village". [This is a typical 'crowd scene'
improvisation of the 1960s, loosely structured and open-ended, the quality of the 'making' dependent on fortuitous cohesion. Following this experience, [and in keeping with the kind of structural development of the 1970s], the same children are shown slides of a volcano eruption; they are given instructions to plan 'who' they are; and are given a preparatory exercise of introducing themselves to each other - before resuming the village and fair drama.

Thus the children experience two 'runs through' of a fair visiting a village, both requiring the mutual building of a social context. I am suggesting that the difference between the two in terms of acting behaviour is, firstly, governed by 'the givens' [the sparseness of information characterising the first run through compared with the extra information and the knowledge of the imminent eruption in the follow up] and, secondly, by the extent to which each child is capable of 'owning' the givens. Thus the acting behaviour is defined in part by the 'burden' of information it carries.

There are, however, extrinsic factors that could affect a pupil's acting behaviour in all three categories. In 'performance', such factors as struggling to remember lines, wanting to show off to a member of the audience, or consciousness of previous criticism can colour if not dominate the acting behaviour. In 'making', containing inappropriate behaviour from a fellow participant, or feeling uncomfortable with the choice of theme, or failing to concentrate etcetera, could contribute adversely to the acting behaviour.

Thus I am arguing that any particular example of acting behaviour is uniquely a combination of responsibilities such as we have listed above, unique in that in each participant the kind of responsibilities, their relative priority, their fluctuation and
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their degrees of consciousness will be idiosyncratically present, determining the acting behaviour. For example, when a teacher instructs, endows, beguiles or imposes, the nature of this initiation into the fiction gives a 'colouring' to the subsequent acting behaviour. As we have seen, the acting is in part definable by its 'givens'. If Slade says to a class: "Sh! don't wake the giant...", or Stanislavski instructs his actors to be clear about the character's objectives, or Brecht demands of his actors that their acting 'comments' on the political issue, or Millward asks "Why do you live under this volcano?", or Heathcote ponders "I wonder how you address an Abbott?" these 'givens', waiting to be 'owned' and recreated, determine the acting behaviour to a large extent. Richard Schechner\textsuperscript{164} likens the 'givens' of life to 'raw' food, waiting to be cooked by art. Extending his metaphor we may say that the 'givens' offered by the teacher in 'living through' drama, emerging from the teacher's role within the drama, are already 'partly cooked'. If a teacher instructs the class: "Sh! ...don't wake the giant" from outside the drama, such a 'given' is, I suggest, less easily owned (digested!) than "Sh! ...don't wake the giant" coming from teacher-in-role within the drama. Superficially, it remains the same 'given', but I maintain that the subsequent acting behaviour is affected by the qualitatively different access of the children engaged in owning it.

D. An Image for classroom Acting behaviours

The title of this thesis and this final chapter is 'Towards a Conceptual Framework for Classroom Acting behaviour'. I hope I have had some success in revising some of the vocabulary of drama education theory in a way that allows us to draw conclusions about how acting behaviours might, at the end of the century, most usefully be perceived. The emphasis, I feel, should be on use, for I see no point in proliferating categories and classifications without a purpose in mind. The

\textsuperscript{164}Schechner. R. (1977) op cit P.38.
reshuffling and grouping of concepts I have engaged in particularly in this final chapter may amount to a revised conceptual framework. However, 'frameworks' are not what most teachers engage with in the long run. I therefore want to offer an image of acting behaviours. I want to suggest that acting behaviour looks like this:

An Amoeba

The attraction of selecting an amoeba as an image of acting behaviour is that this single-nucleus creature, I understand, is perpetually changing its shape, thrusting out pseudopodia purposefully in different directions. I see acting behaviour as energised by a nucleus of fiction-making adopting limitless thrusts and combinations of thrusts. Attached to that nucleus are characteristics of identification, manipulation of time and space, a sense of audience, disponibilité and a potential for dramatic art. These characteristics, always present but in differing degrees, have bearing on the differentiating foci of responsibilities
defining the particular acting behaviour, the pseudopodium, as it were. The pseudopodium, energised from the nucleus of fiction-making, uniquely combines the ownership of givens and an infinite number of extrinsic factors. Nevertheless, it seems useful to identify three major responsibilities or 'pseudopodia': (1) a responsibility to *perform* (2) a responsibility to *present* and (3) a responsibility to *make*. The fluidity of the amoebic state fittingly suggests the impermanence of a particular thrust. For instance, what began as work on a presentation could suddenly become a performance admired for the quality of the acting - and back again to teasing out the implications of the issue being presented. Nor should the above diagram be seen as static. The three pseudopodia exemplified are not rigidly, permanently there. They could be replaced by less clearly defined pseudopodia - dramatic 'games' are an example. Acting behaviours cannot be caught in a 'framework' nor even in a *still* picture of an amoeba.

Central to this newly devised conceptual framework is the notion that acting in a traditional or pure sense, that is acting as skilful display, of interest in itself to either the actor and/or the audience may usefully be isolated as a determining responsibility. In the diagram, this is represented [with some biological licence!] as a layer of ectoplasm in the amoeba, selectively present in the pseudopodia, indeed virtually absent from Number (3) above. The latter pseudopodium, lacking even a potential for 'acting' is not to be regarded as an incipient form of (1) or (2). It represents a singularly independent orientation.

Also, amoeba-like, acting behaviours can only survive if the environment is right. Where the participants are culturally conditioned, that is, endowed by a distinguishing role, that culture gives them 'permission to act' and our amoeba can survive.
E. Conclusion

Let us now reconsider some of the positions briefly outlined in the Introduction to this thesis in the light of the historical account attempted in these eleven chapters and of some of the conclusions I have come to in this chapter. I began by quoting John Allen who argued that "drama in schools is basically and essentially no different from drama anywhere else." Out of the context of his 1979 publication this seems to be no more than a platitude. One cannot disagree with it, but does it mean anything useful? The answer, of course, is that it did at the time of his writing when the very language of drama teachers became exclusive, divisive and sectarian. Allen was responding to a philosophical schism that separated drama into public/private, audience-centred/child-centred, product/process, performing/experiencing, and theatre/play dichotomies. The model I have presented here serves, I hope, to break up these dualities. Of particular importance is my conclusion that all kinds of acting behaviours rely on a sense of audience.

If we turn, however, to the quotation cited in the Introduction from David Hornbrook, who claimed "that conceptually there is nothing which differentiates the child acting in the classroom from the actor on the stage in the theatre", we will see that my model puts forward an alternative position without seeking to recapture the kind of thinking about acting that led Hornbrook to make his rather extreme statement. The two main thrusts of 'performing' and 'presentating', along with the third independent orientation of 'making' take our thinking about acting behaviour into a different conceptual framework. I am suggesting that acting per se is defined by the interest of the actors and/or spectators. This is acting in its purest or most traditional sense, applying equally to what the actor does on stage and to what the child may do in the classroom. Differences in such features as quality,

165See Page 1 above and Allen. J. (1970) op cit P. 119
style, spontaneity or repeatability will vary from classroom to classroom and from stage to stage, but essentially it qualifies as acting because it commands attention to itself as an achievement.

A different kind of responsibility, towards content, defines the second thrust. Here actors and spectators alike focus on what is being demonstrated. As suggested above a germ of traditional acting within this is never entirely absent, but if it is overridden by attention to subject matter then that subject matter becomes the defining characteristic of the acting behaviour: if the centre of interest for both players and classmates remains at a level of scrutinising an issue or a sample of behaviour then it can be described as 'presentational' - however dramatically evocative that presentation might be. The players and audience may be emotionally engaged by the material illustrated; it may qualify as 'dramatic art', but if for both the audience and the players what is being said outweighs how it is being said, making applause for 'the performance' redundant, then it fulfils a presentational function. Of course, the spectators may spontaneously applaud their approval of the 'message', as one would applaud a speaker at a meeting, without giving undue attention to it as a performance. Clearly in practice the two forms of 'performance' and 'presentation' may be so fluid (amoeba-like) that which applies in relation to any particular piece of work could remain academic. In the educational context of a classroom it is not so important in itself always to be able to draw a clear distinction between the two as it is to recognise a tension between them. In fact the School's sub-cultural context will often control how a piece of work may be seen. In responding to the same stimulus, a Theatre Studies class may automatically rely on a 'performance' frame of mind whereas a 'presentation' of the same piece would more likely satisfy a Social Studies class.

The third focus of responsibility is towards 'making' a social/cultural context. In this thesis I have given more attention, because of its complexity and huge
potential as an educational tool, to the 'teacher-in-role' led, 'living through' kind of 'making', associated with Dorothy Heathcote than to the free playing by a class without teacher participation, or to any sophisticated kind of dramatic exercise. I believe Peter Millward's research illuminates our understanding of 'making' as no other reported example of classroom drama has yet achieved. Of particular relevance to this thesis is his demonstration that the acting behaviour involved in 'living through' is of a different order from what would be expected of 'performing' or 'presenting' while nevertheless achieving the structure of a well-made play. As for 'performing' and 'presentating', 'making' may achieve a standard of artistry amounting to 'dramatic art' but without the adoption of acting in its traditional sense. The acting behaviour in 'making' embraces a dramatist's and a director's functions as much as an actor's and the participants are joint 'percipients' of both the 'making' and 'the thing made'. My more considered response therefore to David Hornbrook's contention that\textsuperscript{166}:

\begin{quote}
...conceptually there is nothing which differentiates the child acting in the classroom from the actor on the stage of the theatre.
\end{quote}

is that "the child acting in the classroom" is sometimes more than and at other times different from "the actor on the stage of the theatre", by which cryptic response I am alluding (1) to the additional dramatist, directorial, cultural and spectator functions that a group of children acting in the classroom may share, responsibilities that are normally beyond the scope of the stage actor and (2) to the essential difference between 'making' a social context and 'doing drama', the former being a crucible for a different order of acting behaviour from that for which a stage actor is striving. This is not to deny the important place of 'acting' in it purest (stage-actor) sense as part of classroom repertoire. I do not share Dorothy Heathcote's resistance to including the term in educational and amateur practice. I

\textsuperscript{166}See Page 2 of the introduction to this thesis and Hornbrook D. (1989) op cit P. 104.
believe such resistance, in the long run, to have been unhelpful, while appreciating what has given rise to it.

I hope that the framework I am recommending allows for an eclectic approach. It may perhaps be most vividly illustrated by reference to the many versions of the ever popular 'blindfold' exercise recorded earlier. At one extreme we have Clive Barker's acting exercise from Peking Opera in which acting technique alone is required to convey being blindfolded [other examples include Brian Way's and Constantin Stanislavski's sense deprivation exercises 'to find out what it is like to be blind' and Cecily O'Neill's 'hunter and hunted' game as a means of reviving, after a break, the atmosphere of the 'Frank Miller' drama] and, at the opposite extreme we have Peter Millward's nine year olds' play-making in which they subtly create blindness in a character by the way they treat him: "Ah, here he is now...Come on...careful...over here...come on...mind the steps......Right...he made it." This latter example may be seen as a perfect example of 'making' in that it illustrates the dramatist function, even in relatively young children; Clive Barker's may be seen as a 'pure' example of acting technique. I hope the framework caters for both these extremes and for the other examples too.

That fiction-making is at the centre of all the activities appears to support the educational advocacy that drama provides a creative way of looking at the world. In this respect I believe Edmond Holmes, writing in 1911, was wise to see drama as having a rather different function from the other arts, but that is a matter for others to look into. Holmes wrote of dramatisation as "...teaching them to identify themselves, if only for a moment, with other human beings". He claimed

168 See Page 371 above
169 See Page 384 above.
170 See Holmes. E. (1911) op cit P. 165 and also Page 27 above.
171 Holmes. E. (1911) op cit P. 175-176
this demonstrated the 'sympathetic' function of drama as an educational tool. This may be to err in the direction of a degree of 'compassion' which I do not believe drama necessarily feeds. Nevertheless, Holmes seems to be feeling towards the recognition that drama promotes some kind of relationship between the 'self' and 'the world'. Of growing importance in the vocabulary of writers in recent years is the notion of 'self-spectator', a conception that enactment leads to seeing oneself reflected in the fiction one is making. I have argued for 'fiction-making' as the defining nucleus for all acting behaviours. Perhaps 'self-spectatorship' should be regarded as the definitive outcome.
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